

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

DEPARTMENT OF ARCHAEOLOGY

**CENTRAL ARCHAEOLOGICAL
LIBRARY**

CALL No. **R 910.3025432 G**
R G.B.P. - H.G.

D.G.A. 79.

GAZETTEER
OF THE
BOMBAY PRESIDENCY

VOLUME I. PART I.

HISTORY OF GUJARÁT.



17315

UNDER GOVERNMENT ORDERS.

R 910.3095432G
G. B. P. / H. G.

BOMBAY:
PRINTED AT THE GOVERNMENT CENTRAL PRESS.

1896.

P 223 24

CENTRAL ARCHAEOLOGICAL
LIBRARY, NEW DELHI.

Acc. No. 17315

Date 20.2.59

Call No. R 910.30954326 / G.B.P/H.G.

(54 in all)
(81 of 27 vols + 19 suppl.)

Bombay Castle, 14th February 1902.


In further recognition of the distinguished labours of Sir James McNabb Campbell, K.C.I.E., and of the services rendered by those who have assisted him in his work, His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to order that the following extract from Government Resolution No. 2885, dated the 11th August 1884, be republished and printed immediately after the title page of Volume I, Part I, of the Gazetteer, and published in every issue:

"His Excellency the Governor in Council has from time to time expressed his entire approval of the Volumes of the Gazetteer already published, and now learns with much satisfaction that the remaining Statistical Accounts have been completed in the same elaborate manner. The task now brought to a close by Mr. Campbell has been very arduous. It has been the subject of his untiring industry for more than ten years, in the earlier part of which period, however, he was occasionally employed on additional duties, including the preparation of a large number of articles for the Imperial Gazetteer. When the work was begun, it was not anticipated that so much time would be required for its completion, because it was not contemplated that it would be carried out on so extensive a scale. Its magnitude may be estimated by the fact that the Statistical Accounts, exclusive of the general chapters yet to be reprinted, embrace twenty-seven Volumes containing on an average 500 pages each. Mr. Campbell could not have sustained the unflagging zeal displayed by him for so long a period without an intense interest in the subjects dealt with. The result is well worthy of the labour expended, and is a proof of the rare fitness of Mr. Campbell on the ground both of literary ability and of power of steady application for the important duty assigned to him. The work is a record of historical and statistical facts and of information regarding the country and the people as complete perhaps as ever was produced on behalf of any Government, and cannot fail to be of the utmost utility in the future administration of the Presidency.

"2. The thanks of Government have already been conveyed to the various contributors, and it is only necessary now to add that they share, according to the importance of their contributions, in the credit which attaches to the general excellence of the work."

The whole series of Volumes is now complete, and His Excellency in Council congratulates Sir James Campbell and all associated with him in this successful and memorable achievement.

H. O. QUIN,
Secretary to Government,
General Department.



THE earliest record of an attempt to arrange for the preparation of Statistical Accounts of the different districts of the Bombay Presidency is in 1843. In 1843 Government called on the Revenue Commissioner to obtain from all the Collectors as part of their next Annual Report the fullest available information regarding their districts.¹ The information was specially to include their own and their Assistants' observations on the state of the cross and other roads not under the superintendence of a separate department, on the passes and ferries throughout the country, on the streets in the principal towns, and on the extension and improvement of internal communications. As from Collectors alone could any knowledge of the state of the district be obtained, the Collectors were desired to include in their Annual Reports observations on every point from which a knowledge of the actual condition of the country could be gathered with the exception of matters purely judicial which were to be supplied by the Judicial Branch of the Administration. Government remarked that, as Collectors and their Assistants during a large portion of the year moved about the district in constant and intimate communication with all classes they possessed advantages which no other public officers enjoyed of acquiring a full knowledge of the condition of the country, the causes of progress or retrogradation, the good measures which require to be fostered and extended, the evil measures which call for abandonment, the defects in existing institutions which require to be remedied, and the nature of the remedies to be applied. Collectors also, it was observed, have an opportunity of judging of the effect of British rule on the condition and character of the people, on their caste prejudices, and on their superstitious observances. They can trace any alteration for the better or worse in dwellings, clothing and diet, and can observe the use of improved implements of husbandry or other crafts, the habits of locomotion, the state of education particularly among the higher classes whose decaying means and energy under our most levelling system compared with that of preceding governments will attract their attention. Finally they can learn how far existing village institutions are effectual to

¹ Secretary's Letter 4223 to the Revenue Commissioner dated 30th December 1843. Revenue Volume 1854 of 1843.

their end, and may be made available for self-government and in the management of local taxation for local purposes.

In obedience to these orders reports were received from the Collectors of Ahmedábád Broach Kaira Thána and Khándesh. Some of the reports, especially that of Mr. J. D. Inverarity, contained much interesting information. These five northern reports were practically the only result of the Circular Letter of 1843.

The question of preparing District Statistical Manuals was not again raised till 1870. In October 1867 the Secretary of State desired the Bombay Government to take steps for the compilation of a Gazetteer of the Presidency on the model of the Gazetteer prepared during that year for the Central Provinces. The Bombay Government requested the two Revenue Commissioners and the Director of Public Instruction to submit a scheme for carrying into effect the orders of the Secretary of State. In reply the officers consulted remarked that the work to be done for the Bombay Presidency would be of a multifarious character; that the article on the commerce of Bombay would require special qualifications in the writer; that again special qualifications would be required for writing accounts of the sacred cities of Násik and Pálitána, of the caves of Ajanta and Ellora, of the histories of Sindh Gujarát and Ahmednagar, and of the Portuguese connection with Western India. The Committee observed that a third form of special knowledge would be required to write accounts of Pársis Khojás and other castes and tribes; that in short the undertaking would be one of much wider scope and greater difficulty than the preparation of the Gazetteer of the Central Provinces. Much thought would be required before the general plan could be laid down, and after the plan was fixed all sorts of questions as to arrangement and treatment of particular parts would be sure to arise. In the Committee's opinion local revenue officers could not as a rule find time to devote to work of this description without neglecting their ordinary duties; but they could correct and amplify such information as a special officer could compile from the published and unpublished records of Government.

In January 1868 the Bombay Government decided that the general supervision and direction of the work should be placed in the hands of a Committee consisting of the Revenue Commissioners, the Director of Public Instruction, and the Commissioner of Customs, and that an Editor should be appointed with a small copying establishment to act under the directions of the Committee. The Editor was to give his entire time to the work and was expected to

finish it in about a year. He was to collect and arrange in alphabetical order all recorded information regarding the towns and other places of interest in each Collectorate, and to send printed on half margin each draft when completed to the local officers for verification, additions, and alterations. When the drafts were returned and corrected by the Editor, they were to be laid before the Committee. To enable the Editor to meet such expenses as a fair remuneration for articles contributed by qualified persons, and also to pay for the printing of the work with small accompanying maps, an amount not exceeding Rs. 12,000 was sanctioned for the total expense of the Gazetteer including the payment of the Editor. At the outset it was decided to place a portion of the sum sanctioned not exceeding Rs. 2000, at the disposal of the Commissioner in Sindh to secure the preparation of articles referring to Sindh. The Committee were requested to meet at Poona in June 1868 and to report to Government on the best mode of preparing and editing the Gazetteer and supervising its publication. The Collectors and Political Officers were in the meanwhile requested to ascertain what records in their possession were likely to be useful for the preparation of a Gazetteer and what papers in the possession of others and likely to be useful for the purpose were obtainable within their charge. Collectors and Political Officers were requested to send their replies direct to the Director of Public Instruction who would collect them on behalf of the Committee.

In August 1868 the Bombay Gazetteer Committee, composed of Messrs. A. F. Bellasis Revenue Commissioner N. D. Chairman, Mr. W. H. Havelock Revenue Commissioner S. D. and Sir Alexander Grant, Director of Public Instruction, submitted a report recommending the following arrangements :

(1) That Mr. W. H. Crowe, C. S., then Acting Professor in the Dakhan College, be appointed Editor of the Gazetteer with a monthly remuneration of Rs. 200 out of the Rs. 12,000 sanctioned for the expense of the Gazetteer and that he should at the same time be attached as an Assistant to the Collector of Poona ;

(2) That Mr. Crowe be allowed an establishment not exceeding Rs. 60 a month chargeable to the grant of Rs. 12,000, and such contingent charges as may be passed by the Committee ;

(3) That Professor Kero Luxman Chhatre be requested to assist Mr. Crowe on various questions both local and mathematical, and that on the completion of the work a suitable honorarium be granted to Professor Kero ;

(4) That agreeably to the suggestions of Major Prescott and Colonel Francis, Mr. Light should be directed to compile for the different districts all information in the possession of the Survey Department in communication

with the Editor of the *Gazetteer* who was to work under the Committee's orders ;

(6) That the above appointments be made at present for one year only, at the end of which from the Committee's progress report, it would be possible to state with approximate definiteness the further time required for the completion of the *Gazetteer*.

These proposals were sanctioned on the 11th, September 1868. Towards the close of 1868 Mr. (now Sir) J. B. Pells took the place of Sir A. Grant on the Committee and Colonel Francis was added to the list of the members. Adhering as far as possible to the arrangement followed in the *Gazetteer* of the Central Provinces, which had met with the approval of the Secretary of State, Mr. Crowe drew out the following list of subjects which was forwarded to all Collectors Sub-Collectors and Survey Superintendents :

I.—GENERAL DESCRIPTION.	VIII.—SUB-DIVISIONS.
(a) Latitude and Longitude.	(a) Names of Talukās.
(b) Locality.	(b) Names of Towns.
(c) Boundaries.	IX.—PRODUCTION.
(d) Aspect.	(a) Agriculture.
(e) Water-supply.	(b) Forest.
(f) Rivers.	(c) Animals.
(g) Mountains.	(d) Minerals.
(h) Area.	(e) Manufactures.
(i) Altitude.	X.—TRADE AND COMMERCE.
II.—CLIMATE, SEASONS.	XI.—COMMUNICATIONS.
(a) Rainfall.	(a) Roads.
(b) Health.	(b) Railways.
(c) Prevailing Diseases.	(c) Telegraphs.
III.—GEOLOGY.	(d) Post.
(a) Soils.	XII.—REVENUE SYSTEM AND LAND TENURES.
(b) Minerals.	XIII.—EDUCATION.*
(c) Scientific Details.	Schools.
IV.—HISTORY.	Instruction.
V.—ADMINISTRATION.	XIV.—LANGUAGE.
(a) Judicial.	XV.—ARCHITECTURAL REMAINS AND ANTIQUITIES.
(b) Revenue.	XVI.—PRINCIPAL TOWNS AND VILLAGES.
(c) Miscellaneous.	
VI.—REVENUE.	
(a) Imperial.	
(b) Local.	
VII.—POPULATION.	
(a) Census.	
(b) Description of Inhabitants.	
(c) Castes.	

In 1869 the draft articles prepared by Mr. Crowe were submitted to Mr. (now Sir) W. W. Hunter of the Bengal Civil Service who expressed his satisfaction at the progress made. The Committee adopted certain suggestions made by Sir W. Hunter for the arrangement of the work and for obtaining fuller district figures from the Marine, Irrigation, Cotton, and Survey Offices. In March 1870 a further extension of one year was accorded. The Bombay Government directed that each Collector should choose one of his Assistants to correspond with the Editor and obtain for him all possible information from local records. All Heads of Offices were also desired to exert themselves zealously in aiding the prosecution of the work. In 1871 Mr. Crowe's draft article on the Dhárwar District was sent to Mr. Hunter for opinion who in addition to detailed criticism on various points made the following general remarks :

"My own conception of the work is that, in return for a couple of days' reading, the Account should give a new Collector a comprehensive, and, at the same time, a distinct idea of the district which he has been sent to administer. Mere reading can never engender practical experience in the district administration. But a succinct and well conceived district account is capable of antedating the acquisition of such personal experience by many months and of both facilitating and systematising a Collector's personal enquiries. The Compiler does not mean to have caught the points on which a Collector would naturally consult the Account. In order that the Editor should understand these points it is necessary that he should have had practical acquaintance with district administration and that he should himself have experienced the difficulties which beset an officer on his taking charge of a district or sub-division. The individual points will differ according to the character of the country. For example in deltaic districts the important question is the control of rivers; in dry districts it is the subject of water-supply. But in all cases a District Account besides dealing with the local specialities should furnish an historical narration of its revenue and expenditure since it passed under the British rule, of the sums which we have taken from it in taxes, and of the amount which we have returned to it in the protection of property and person and the other charges of civil government."

Sir William Hunter laid much stress on the necessity of stating the authority on the strength of which any statement is made and of the propriety of avoiding anything like libels on persons or classes. In 1871 Sir W. Hunter was appointed Director General of Statistics to the Government of India. In this capacity he was to be a central guiding authority whose duty it was to see that each of the Provincial Gazetteers contained the materials requisite for the comparative statistics of the Empire. As some of the Bombay District Accounts were incomplete and as it was thought advisable to embody in the District Accounts the results of the general Census of 1872, it was decided, in October 1873, that pending the completion of the census

the Gazetteer work should be suspended and that when the results of the census were compiled and classified a special officer should be appointed for a period of six months to revise and complete the drafts. In October 1871, pending the compilation of the census returns, Mr. Crowe was appointed Assistant Collector at Sholapur and the Gazetteer records were left in a room in the Poona Collector's Office. In September 1872 the whole of the Gazetteer records, including thirty-one articles on British Districts and Native States, were stolen by two youths who had been serving in the Collector's Office as peons. These youths finding the Gazetteer office room unoccupied stole the papers piece by piece for the sake of the trifling amount they fetched as waste paper. Search resulted in the recovery in an imperfect state of seven of the thirty-one drafts. The youths were convicted and sentenced to a year's imprisonment in the Poona Reformatory.

In 1873 Mr. Francis Chapman then Chief Secretary to Government took the preparation of the Gazetteer under his personal control. And in June 1873 Mr. James M. Campbell, C.S., was appointed Compiler. An important change introduced by Mr. Chapman was to separate from the preparation of the series of District Manuals certain general subjects and to arrange for the preparation of accounts of those general subjects by specially qualified contributors. The subjects so set apart and allotted were :

No.	General Contributions, 1873.	
	Subject.	Contributor.
1	Ethnology	Dr. J. Wilson.
2	Meteorology	Mr. G. Chambers, F.R.S.
3	Geology	Mr. W. Blandford.
4	Botany	Dr. W. Gray.
5	Archæology	Dr. J. Burgess.
6	Manufactures and Industry.	Mr. G. W. Terry.
7	Trade and Commerce ...	Mr. J. Gordon.

These arrangements resulted in the preparation of the following papers each of which on receipt was printed in pamphlet form :

I. ETHNOLOGY ; II. METEOROLOGY ; III. GEOLOGY ; and IV. BOTANY.

Of these papers it has not been deemed advisable to reprint Dr. J. Wilson's Paper on Castes as it was incomplete owing to Dr. Wilson's death in 1875. Reprinting was also unnecessary in the case of Mr. Blandford's Geology and of the late Mr. Chambers' Meteorology, as the contents of these pamphlets have been embodied in works

specially devoted to the subject of these contributions. Dr. Burgess never prepared his article on the Archaeology of the Presidency, but the materials supplied by the late Pandit Bhagvānlāl Indrajī prevented the evil effect which this failure would otherwise have caused. Dr. Bhagvānlāl also ably supplied the deficiency caused by Dr. G. Bühler's failure to contribute an article on the Early History of Gujarāt. The notices of the manufactures in the more important industrial centres to some extent supply the blank caused by the absence of Mr. Terry's contribution. Nothing came of the late Mr. Gordon's Account of the Trade of the Presidency.

On the important subject of Botany besides Dr. W. Gray's original contribution, a valuable paper On Useful Trees and Plants was prepared by Dr. J. C. Lisboa, and a detailed account of Kaira field trees by the late Mr. G. H. D. Wilson of the Bombay Civil Service. These three papers together form a separate Botany Volume No. XXV.

The general contributions on History contained in Vol. I. Parts I. and II. are among the most valuable portions of the Gazetteer. Besides the shorter papers by Mr. L. R. Ashburner, C.S.I., on the Gujarāt Mutinies of 1857, by Mr. J. A. Baines, C.S.I., on the Marāṭhas in Gujarāt, by Mr. W. W. Loch, I.C.S., on the Musalmān and Marāṭha histories of Khāndesh and the Bombay Dakhan, and by the late Colonel E. W. West, I. S. C., on the modern history of the Southern Marāṭha districts, there are the Reverend A. K. Nairne's History of the Konkan which is specially rich in the Portuguese period (A.D. 1500-1750), the late Colonel J. W. Watson's Musalmāns of Gujarāt with additions by Khān Sāheb Fazl Latifullah Faridi of Surat, and the important original histories of the Early Dakhan by Professor Rāmkrishna Gopāl Bhandārkār, C.I.E., Ph.D., and of the Southern Marāṭha districts by Mr. J. F. Fleet, I.C.S., C.I.E., Ph.D. With these the early history of Gujarāt from materials supplied by the late Pandit Bhagvānlāl Indrajī, Ph.D., is perhaps not unworthy to rank. The work of completing Dr. Bhagvānlāl's history was one of special difficulty. No satisfactory result would have been obtained had it not been for the valuable assistance received from Mr. A. M. T. Jackson, M.A., of the Indian Civil Service.

The importance and the interest of the great subject of Population have added several contributions to the Reverend Doctor J. Wilson's original pamphlet of twenty-three pages. Most of these contributions appear in different District Statistical Accounts especially Dr. John Pollen's, I.C.S., accounts in Khāndesh, Mr. Cumine's, I.C.S. in Bijāpur, Mr. K. Raghunāthji's in Thāna and Poona, Assistant Surgeon Shāntārdm

Vinayak's in Sholapur, Mr. P. F. DeSouza's in Kánnera, and the late Ráo Bahádúr Trimalnáo's in Dhárwár. Except the valuable articles contributed in the Statistical Account of Kachh by Major J. W. Wray, Mr. Vináyakráo Náráyanand Ráo Sáheb Dalpatráo Prájívan Khakhár, in the Account of Káthiawár by the late Colonel L. C. Barton, and in the Account of Rewa Kántha by Ráo Bahádúr Nandshankar Tuljashankar the early date at which the Gujarát Statistical Accounts were published prevented the preparation of detailed articles on population. This omission has now been supplied in a separate volume No. IX. The chief contributions to this volume are Ráo Bahádúr Bhimbháí Kírpáram's Hindus, Khón Sáheb Fazl Lutfullah Parídi's Musalmáns, and Messrs. Kharatji N. Servai and Bamanji B. Patel's Pársis.

Besides to these general contributors the series of Statistical Accounts owes much of their fullness and practical usefulness to District Officers especially to the labours of the District Commissioners who in most cases were either Collectors or Assistant Collectors. The most important contributors of this class were for Ahmednábád Mr. P. S. P. Lely, C. S.; for Kaira Mr. G. F. Sheppard, C. S.; for the Panch Maha'ls Mr. H. A. Acworth, C. S.; for Thá'na Messrs. W. B. Malock, C. S., E. J. Edden, C. S., W. W. Loch, C. S., and A. Cumins, C. S.; for Kolá'ba Mr. E. H. Moscardi, C. S.; for Ratnágiri Mr. G. W. Vidal, C. S.; for Kha'ndesh Mr. W. Ramsay, C. S., Dr. John Pollen, C. S., and Mr. A. Crawley-Boovey, C. S.; for Ná'sik Messrs. W. Ramsay, C. S., J. A. Baines, C. S., and H. R. Cooke, C. S.; for Ahmednagar Mr. T. S. Hamilton, C. S.; for Poona Messrs. J. G. Moore, C. S., John MacLeod Campbell, C. S., G. H. Johns, C. S., and A. Kuyser, C. S.; for Sa'tara Mr. J. W. P. Muir-Mackenzie, C. S.; for Sholá'pur Mr. C. E. G. Crawford, C. S.; for Solgaum Mr. G. McCorkell, C. S.; for Dha'rwa'r Messrs. F. L. Charles, C. S., and J. E. Muir, C. S.; for Bija'pur Messrs. H. F. Silcock, C. S., A. Cumins, C. S., and M. H. Scott, C. S.; and for Ka'nara Mr. J. Montenu, C. S., and Colonel W. Peyton. Of the accounts of Native States, the interesting and complete Gazetteer of Baroda is the work of Mr. F. A. H. Elliott, C. S. The chief contributors to the other Statistical Accounts of Native States were for Kachh Colonel L. C. Barton; for Káthiawár Colonel J. W. Watson and Colonel L. C. Barton; for Pa'tanpur Colonel J. W. Watson; for Mahi Ka'ntha Colonels E. W. West and P. H. LeGeyt; for Rewa Ka'ntha Colonel L. C. Barton and Ráo Bhádúr Nandshankar Tuljashankar; for Sa'vantva'di Colonel J. F. Lester; for Ja'njira Mr. G. Lazon; for Kolha'pur Colonels E. W. West and W. F. F. Waller and

Ráo Bahádur Yeshvant M. Kelkar. The names of numerous other contributors both in and out of Government service who gave help in compiling information connected with their districts have been shown in the body of each District Statistical Account. Of these the learned and most ungrudging assistance received from Dr. J. Gerson DaCunha of Bombay requires special recognition.

The third main source of preparation was the Compiler's headquarters office. Through the interest which Mr. Francis Chapman took in the Gazetteer the Compiler was able to secure the services as Assistant of Ráo Bahádur Bhimbháí Kirpáram who was Head Accountant in the Kaira Treasury when the Statistical Account of Kaira was under preparation in 1874. Mr. Bhimbháí's minute knowledge of administrative detail, his power of asking for information in the form least troublesome to district establishments, and of checking the information received, together with his talent for directing the work at headquarters formed one of the most important elements in the success of the Gazetteer arrangements. Besides to the interest taken by Mr. Francis Chapman the Gazetteer owed much to the advice and to the support of Sir W. W. Hunter, who, in spite of the delay and expense which it involved, secured the full record of the survey and other details in which the Bombay revenue system is specially rich.

In addition to Ráo Bahádur Bhimbháí, the members of the Compiler's office whose work entitles them almost to a place among contributors are: Ráo Sáheb Krishnaráo Narsinh, who drafted many of the Land Revenue and Survey Histories; the late Mr. Ganesh Bhikáji Ganjkar, B.A., who drafted many of the Political Histories; the late Mr. Vaikunthráam Manmathráam Melta, B.A., and Ráo Bahádur Itchárám Bhagvándás, B.A., who drafted many articles on Description, Production, Agriculture, Capital, and Trade; Mr. K. Raghunáthji who prepared many of the fullest caste accounts; Mr. Ratirám Durgáram, B.A., who drafted many papers on places of interest; and Messrs. Yeshvant Nilkanth and Mahádev G. Nádkarní who drafted many of the sections on Population, Agriculture, Capital, and Trade.

Other officers of Government who have had an important share in the satisfactory completion of the Gazetteer are: Mr. J. Kingsmill the former and Mr. Frámroz Rustamji the present Superintendent of the Government Central Press and Mr. T. E. Coleman the Head Examiner, whose unfailing watchfulness has detected many a mistake. Mr. Waite the late Superintendent of the Photozincographic Press and Mr. T. LeMesurier the present Superintendent have supplied a set of most handy, clear, and accurate maps.

A further means adopted for collecting information was the preparation of papers on the different social, economic, and religious subjects which had proved of interest in preparing the earliest District Statistical Accounts. Between 1874 and 1880 forty-nine question papers which are given as an Appendix to the General Index Volume were from time to time printed and circulated. The answers received to these papers added greatly to the fullness and to the local interest of all the later Statistical Accounts.

The Statistical Accounts of the eighteen British districts and eighty-two Native States of the Bombay Presidency, together with the Materials towards a Statistical Account of the Town and Island of Bombay extend over thirty-three Volumes and 17,800 pages. In addition to these Statistical Accounts 475 articles were prepared in 1877-78 for the Imperial Gazetteer.

JAMES MACNABB CAMPBELL.

Bombay Customs House,
29th May 1896.

HISTORY OF GUJARÁT.



This Volume contains the Articles named below :

- I.—EARLY HISTORY OF GUJARAT (B.C. 319 - A.D. 1304).—From materials prepared by the late Pandit Bhagvānlāl Indrajī, Ph.D., completed with the help of A. M. T. Jackson, Esquire, M.A., of the Indian Civil Service.
- II.—HISTORY OF GUJARAT, MUSALMÁN PERIOD (A.D. 1297-1760).—Prepared by the late Colonel J. W. Watson, Indian Staff Corps, former Political Agent of Kāthiāvāda, with additions by Khān Sāheb Fazlullāh Lutfullāh Farīdī of Surāt.
- III.—HISTORY OF GUJARAT, MARÁTHA PERIOD (A.D. 1760-1819).—By J. A. Baines, Esquire, C.S.I., late of Her Majesty's Bombay Civil Service.
- IV.—DISTURBANCES IN GUJARAT (A.D. 1857-1859).—By L. R. Ashburner, Esquire, C.S.I., late of Her Majesty's Bombay Civil Service.

APPENDICES

- I.—The Death of Sultān Bahādur.
- II.—The Hill Fort of Māndu.
- III.—Bhīmānāl or Shrinānāl.
- IV.—Java and Cambodia.
- V.—Arab References.
- VI.—Greek References.

JAMES M. CAMPBELL.

29th May 1896.

The Valabhis (A.D. 509-766):

Valah Town (1893); Valabhi in A.D. 630; Valabhi Copper-plates; Valabhi Administration (A.D. 500-700); Territorial Divisions; Land Assessment; Religion; Origin of the Valabhis; History	PAGE 78-86
--	---------------

First Valabhi Grant (A.D. 526); Senāpati Bhagārka (A.D. 509-520 F); the Maitrakas (A.D. 470-509); Senāpati's Sons; Dhruvasena I. (A.D. 526-535); Gubhasena (A.D. 539-569); Dharmasena II. (A.D. 569-589); Śīlāditya I. (A.D. 594-609); Kharragraha (A.D. 610-615); Dharmasena III. (A.D. 615-620); Dhruvasena II. (Bālāditya) (A.D. 620-640); Dharmasena IV. (A.D. 640-649); Dhruvasena III. (A.D. 650-656); Kharragraha (A.D. 656-665); Śīlāditya III. (A.D. 666-676); Śīlāditya IV. (A.D. 691); Śīlāditya V. (A.D. 722); Śīlāditya VI. (A.D. 766); Śīlāditya VII. (A.D. 766); Valabhi Family Tree; The fall of Valabhi (A.D. 750-770); The importance of Valabhi	87-96
---	-------

Valabhi and the Gehlots; The Valas of Kāthiāvāta; The Valas and Kāthīs; Descent from Kanakasa (A.D. 150); Mowād and the Persians; Valas	97-106
--	--------

The Chalukyas (A.D. 634-740):

Jayasindhavarman (A.D. 666-693); Śevāstraya Śīlāditya (Heir apparent) (A.D. 669-691); Mangalarāja (A.D. 698-731); Pulakesi Janāstraya (A.D. 733); Bāddhavarman (A.D. 713?); Nāgavarddhana; Chalukya Tree	107-112
---	---------

The Gurjjaras (A.D. 680-808):

Copperplates; Gurjjara Tree; Dadla I. (c. 685-695 A.D.); Jayabhata I. Vitarāga (c. 696-620 A.D.); Dadla II. Prāśāntarāga (c. 620-650 A.D.); Jayabhata II. (c. 650-675 A.D.); Dadla III. Bāhmasāyā (c. 675-700); Jayabhata III. (c. 704-734 A.D.)	113-118
---	---------

The Rāshtrakūṭas (A.D. 743-974):

Origin; Name; Early Dynasty (A.D. 450-500); The main Dynasty (A.D. 630-972); Rāshtrakūṭa Family Tree (A.D. 630-972); Copperplates; Kakka II. (A.D. 747); Kṛishṇa and Govinda II. (A.D. 765-795); Dhruva I. (A.D. 795); Govinda III. (A.D. 800-808); Indra (A.D. 808-812); Karka I. (A.D. 812-821); Dantivarman (Heir Apparent); Govinda (A.D. 827-833); Dhruva I. (A.D. 835-867); Akālavaraha (A.D. 867); Dhruva II. (A.D. 867); Akālavaraha Kṛishṇa (A.D. 888); Main Line restored (A.D. 888-974); Kṛishṇa Akālavaraha (A.D. 888-914); Indra Nityavaraha (A.D. 914)	119-124
---	---------

The Mihiras or Mers (A.D. 470-900):

History; The Chāḍasamās (A.D. 900-940); The Jethivās; The Mers; White Hūgas; Jhālās	135-147
--	---------

THE KINGDOM OF ANAHILAVĀDA (A.D. 720-1300).

The Chāvada's (A.D. 720-956):

PAGE

Pañchāsar (A.D. 788); Jayasēkhara (A.D. 696); Vanarāja (A.D. 720-780 P); Founding of Anahilavāda (A.D. 746-765); Vanarāja's Installation; His Image; Vanarāja's Successors (A.D. 780-961); Yogarāja (A.D. 806-841); Kāhomarāja (A.D. 841-880); Chāmunda (A.D. 880-908); Ghāghuḍa (A.D. 908-937); Chāvada Genealogy 149-155

The Chaulukyas or Solankis (A.D. 961-1242):

Authorities; The name Chaulukya; Mālarāja (A.D. 961-996); Chāmunda (A.D. 997-1010); Durlabha (A.D. 1010-1022); Bhīma I. (A.D. 1022-1064); Mahmūd's Invasion (A.D. 1024); Somanātha (A.D. 1024) 156-169

Karṇa (A.D. 1064-1094); Siddharāja Jayasingha (A.D. 1094-1143) 170-181

Kumārāpāla (A.D. 1143-1174); Ajayapāla (1174-1177); Mālarāja II. (A.D. 1177-1179); Bhīma II. (A.D. 1179-1242) ... 182-197

The Vāghela's (A.D. 1219-1304):

Arnorāja (A.D. 1179-1200); Lavṇaprasāda (A.D. 1200-1233); Vīradhavaḷa (A.D. 1233-1238); Viśaladeva (A.D. 1243-1261); Arjunadeva (A.D. 1262-1274); Sārāṅgadeva (A.D. 1275-1296); Karṇadeva (A.D. 1296-1304); Vāghela Genealogy 198-206

MUSALMAN PERIOD (A.D. 1297-1760).

Introduction:

Territorial Limits; Sorath; Kāthiavāda; Under the Kings (A.D. 1493-1573); Under the Mughals (A.D. 1573-1760); Condition of Gujarat (A.D. 1297-1802) 207-228

Early Musalman Governors (A.D. 1297-1403):

Alā-ud-dīn Khiljī Emperor (A.D. 1295-1315); Ulugh Khān (A.D. 1297-1317); Ain-ul-Mulk Governor (A.D. 1318); Order established (A.D. 1318); Muhammad Tughlak Emperor (A.D. 1325-1351); Taj-ul-Mulk Governor (A.D. 1320); Suppression of insurrection (A.D. 1347); Surrender of Gīrnār and Kachh (A.D. 1350); Firuz Tughlak Emperor (A.D. 1351-1388); Zafar Khān Governor (A.D. 1371); Farhat-ul-Mulk Governor (A.D. 1376-1391); Muhammad Tughlak II. Emperor (A.D. 1391-1393); Zafar Khān Governor (A.D. 1391-1403) 229-233

Ahmeda'bad Kings (A.D. 1403-1573):

Muhammad I. (A.D. 1403-1404); Muzaffar (A.D. 1407-1419); Ahmed I. (A.D. 1411-1441); Ahmedābād built (A.D. 1413); Defeat of the Fīdar Chief (A.D. 1414); Spread of Islām (A.D. 1414); Expedition against Mālwa (A.D. 1417); Chāmpāner attacked (A.D. 1418); War with Mālwa (A.D. 1422); Defeat of

the I'dar Chief (A.D. 1425); Recovery of Málwa (A.D. 1429) and Bágán (A.D. 1431); Muhammad II. (A.D. 1441-1452); Kutb-ad-din (A.D. 1451-1459); War with Málwa (A.D. 1451) Battle of Kapadvanj (A.D. 1454); War with Nágor (A.D. 1454-1456); War with Chitor (A.D. 1455-1459) ... 234-242

Mahmūd I. Begada (A.D. 1459-1513); Defeat of a conspiracy (A.D. 1459); Improvement of the soldiery (A.D. 1459-1461); Help given to the king of the Dakhan (A.D. 1463); Expedition against Junágadh (A.D. 1467); Capture of Gírnár (A.D. 1472); Disturbances in Chámpáner (A.D. 1472); Conquest of Kachh; Jagat destroyed; Conspiracy (A.D. 1480); War against Chámpáner (A.D. 1482-1484); Capture of Pávágad (A.D. 1484); The Khándesh surrection (A.D. 1508); Muzaffar II. (A.D. 1513-1526); Expedition against I'dar (A.D. 1514); Disturbances in Málwa (A.D. 1517); Capture of Mándá (A.D. 1518); War with Chitor (A.D. 1519); Submission of the Rána of Chitor (A.D. 1521); Death of Muzaffar II. (A.D. 1526) ... 243-252

Sikandar (A.D. 1526); Mahmūd II. (A.D. 1526); Bahádur (A.D. 1527-1536); Portuguese Intrigues (A.D. 1526); Khándesh affairs (A.D. 1528); Turka at Dín (A.D. 1528-1530); Capture of Mándá (A.D. 1530); Quarrel with Humáýún (A.D. 1532); Fall of Chitor (A.D. 1532); Mughal conquest of Gujarát (A.D. 1535); The Mughals driven out (A.D. 1536); The Portuguese at Dín (A.D. 1536); Death of Bahádur (A.D. 1536); Muhammad II. Asín (A.D. 1536-1554); His escape from control; Choosing of evil favourites; Quarrels among the nobles; Disturbances (A.D. 1545); Death of Mahmūd (A.D. 1554); Ahmed II. (A.D. 1554-1561); I'timád Khán Regent; Partition of the province; Dissensions; Sultanpur and Nandurbár handed to Khandesh (A.D. 1560); Defeat and death of Sayad Mubarak; Death of Imád-ul-Mulk Rámi; Daman district ceded to the Portuguese (A.D. 1550); Assassination of Ahmed II. (A.D. 1560); Muzaffar III. (A.D. 1561-1572), a minor; I'timád Khán and the Pauládis; The Mirzáa (A.D. 1571); Defeat of I'timád Khán; Death of Changiz Khán; I'timád Khán and the Emperor Akbar (A.D. 1573) ... 252-264

MUGHAL VICEROYS (A.D. 1573-1756).

Emperor Akbar (A.D. 1573-1605):

Capture of Broach and Surat and advance to Ahmedábád (A.D. 1573); Mirza Aziz first Viceroy (A.D. 1573-1575); Insurrection quelled by Akbar (A.D. 1573); Mirza Khán second Viceroy (A.D. 1575-1577); Survey by Rájá Todar Mal; Shaháb-ud-din third Viceroy (A.D. 1577-1583); Expedition against Junágadh; I'timád Khán Gujaráti fourth Viceroy (A.D. 1583-1584); Ahmedábád captured by Muzaffar (A.D. 1583); Mirza Abdur Rahim Khán (Khán Khánán) fifth Viceroy (A.D. 1583-1587); Defeat of Muzaffar (A.D. 1584); Ismáíl Kuli Khán sixth Viceroy (A.D. 1587); Mirza Aziz Kokaltash seventh Viceroy (A.D. 1588-1592); Refuge sought by Muzaffar in Kathiáwáda; Muzaffar attacked by the imperial army; Muzaffar's flight to Kachh and suicide (A.D. 1591-92); Sultan Murád Baksh eighth Viceroy (A.D. 1592-1600); Mirza Aziz Kokaltash ninth Viceroy (A.D. 1600-1606) ... 265-273

Jahāngir Emperor (A.D. 1605-1627):

PAGE

Kalij Khān tenth Viceroy (A.D. 1606); Sayad Murtaza eleventh Viceroy (A.D. 1606-1609); Mirza Asir Kokaltash twelfth Viceroy (A.D. 1609-1614); Sack of Surat by Malik Ambar (A.D. 1609); Abdullāh Khān Firūz Jang thirteenth Viceroy (A.D. 1611-1616); Mukarrab Khān fourteenth Viceroy (A.D. 1616); Elephant-hunting in the Panch Mahāls (A.D. 1616); Prince Shāh Jehān fifteenth Viceroy (A.D. 1618-1622); Rebellion of Shāh Jehān (A.D. 1622-23); Shāhi Bāgh built at Ahmedābād; Sultān Dāwar Baksh sixteenth Viceroy (A.D. 1622-1624); Saif Khān seventeenth Viceroy (A.D. 1624-1627). 273-277

Shāh Jehān Emperor (A.D. 1627-1658):

Shēr Khān Tūar eighteenth Viceroy (A.D. 1627-1632); Famine (A.D. 1631-1632); Islām Khān nineteenth Viceroy (A.D. 1632); Disorder (A.D. 1632); Bakār Khān twentieth Viceroy (A.D. 1632); Sipāhdār Khān twenty-first Viceroy (A.D. 1633); Saif Khān twenty-second Viceroy (A.D. 1633-1635); Azam Khān twenty-third Viceroy (A.D. 1635-1642); The Kolis punished; The Kathis subdued; Revolt of the Jām of Navānagar (A.D. 1640); Pān Tarkhān twenty-fourth Viceroy (A.D. 1642-1644); Prince Muhammad Aurangzib twenty-fifth Viceroy (A.D. 1644-1646); Shāistah Khān twenty-sixth Viceroy (A.D. 1646-1648); Prince Muhammad Dārā Shikoh twenty-seventh Viceroy (A.D. 1648-1652); Shāistah Khān twenty-eighth Viceroy (A.D. 1652-1654); Prince Murād Baksh twenty-ninth Viceroy (A.D. 1654-1657); Murād Baksh proclaimed emperor (A.D. 1657) Kāram Khān thirtieth Viceroy (A.D. 1657-1659); Victory of Murād and Aurangzib; Murād confined by Aurangzib (A.D. 1658) ... 277-282

Aurangzib Emperor (A.D. 1658-1707):

Shah Nawāz Khān Safāvi thirty-first Viceroy (A.D. 1659); Rebellion of Prince Dārā (A.D. 1659); Prince Dārā defeated (A.D. 1659); Jasavantsingh thirty-second Viceroy (A.D. 1659-1662); Jasavantsingh sent against Shivājī (A.D. 1662); Mahābat Khān thirty-third Viceroy (A.D. 1662-1668); Capture of Navānagar-Islāmānagar (A.D. 1664); Surat plundered by Shivājī (A.D. 1664); Copper coinage introduced (A.D. 1668); Khān Jehān thirty-fourth Viceroy (A.D. 1668-1671); Sidi Yākūt the Mughal Admiral (A.D. 1670); Mahārāja Jasavantsingh thirty-fifth Viceroy (A.D. 1671-1674); Muhammad Amin Khān Umdat-ul-Mulk thirty-sixth Viceroy (A.D. 1674-1683); Increased power of the Bābi family; Revolt of Idar (A.D. 1679); Mukhtār Khān thirty-seventh Viceroy (A.D. 1683-1684); Famine (A.D. 1684); Shujāat Khān (Kārtalab Khān) thirty-eighth Viceroy (A.D. 1684-1703); Mutiny quelled by Shujāat Khān (A.D. 1689); Revolt of Matlās and Momnās (A.D. 1691); Disturbances in Kāthiāvāda (A.D. 1692) and Mārwar; Durgādās Rāthod reconciled to the Emperor (A.D. 1697); Scarcity (A.D. 1698); Prince Muhammad Azām thirty-ninth Viceroy (A.D. 1703-1705); Intrigue against and escape of Durgādās Rāthod; Surat (A.D. 1700-1703); Ibrāhīm Khān fortieth Viceroy (A.D. 1705); Marāthās enter Gujārāt; Battle

of Ratanpūr and defeat of the Mussalmāns (A.D. 1705); Battle of the Báha Piārsh Fort and second defeat of the Mussalmāns (A.D. 1705); Koli disturbances; Prince Muhammad Bidār Bakht forty-first Viceroy (A.D. 1705-1706); Durgadās Rāthod again in rebellion; Ibrahim Khān forty-second Viceroy (A.D. 1706) ... 283-295

Fifty Years of Disorder (A.D. 1707-1757):

The Marāṭha advance to Ahmedābād and levy of tribute (A.D. 1707); Bahādur Shāh I. Emperor (A.D. 1707-1712); Ghāzi-ud-dīn forty-third Viceroy (A.D. 1708-1710); Jahāndār Shāh Emperor (A.D. 1712-13); Asif-ud-daulah forty-fourth Viceroy (A.D. 1712-13); Farrukhsiyar Emperor (A.D. 1713-1719); Shahāmat Khān forty-fifth Viceroy (A.D. 1713); Dāud Khān Panhī forty-sixth Viceroy (A.D. 1714-15); Religious riots in Ahmedābād (A.D. 1714); Further riots in Ahmedābād (A.D. 1715); Mahārāja Ajitasingh forty-seventh Viceroy (A.D. 1715-1716); Disagreement between the Viceroy and Haidar Kālī Khān (A.D. 1715); Khān Daurān Nasir Jang Bahādur forty-eighth Viceroy (A.D. 1716-1719); Famines (A.D. 1719); Muhammad Shāh Emperor (A.D. 1721-1748); Mahārāja Ajitasingh forty-ninth Viceroy (A.D. 1719-1721); Pilāji Gāikwār at Songad (A.D. 1719); Decay of imperial power (A.D. 1720); Nizām-ul-Mulk Prime Minister of the Empire (A.D. 1721); Haidar Kālī Khān fiftieth Viceroy (A.D. 1721-1722); Disorder in Ahmedābād (A.D. 1721); His arrival in Gujurāt (A.D. 1722); Signs of independence shown by him and his recall (A.D. 1722); Nizām-ul-Mulk fifty-first Viceroy (A.D. 1722); Hāmid Khān Deputy Viceroy; Momin Khān Governor of Surat (A.D. 1722); Increase of Marāṭha power (A.D. 1723) ... 295-304

Sarbuland Khān fifty-second Viceroy (A.D. 1723-1730); Shujaāt Khān appointed Deputy; Nizām-ul-Mulk and Sarbuland Khān; Sarbuland Khān's Deputy defeated (A.D. 1724); the Marāṭhas engaged as Allies; Battle of Arās; Hāmid Khān defeated by Rustam Ali (A.D. 1723); Hāmid Khān joined by Marāṭhas against Rustam Ali; Mubāriz-ul-Mulk sent against the Marāṭhas (A.D. 1725); Retreat of Hāmid Khān and the Marāṭhas; Ahmedābād entered by Mubāriz-ul-Mulk (A.D. 1725); Defeat of the Marāṭhas at Sojitra and Kapadvanj (A.D. 1725); Marāṭha expedition against Vadnagar (A.D. 1726); Tribute paid to the Marāṭhas (A.D. 1726); Alliance with the Peshwa (A.D. 1727); Baroda and Dabhoi obtained by Pilāji Gāikwār (A.D. 1727); Capture of Chāmpāner by the Marāṭhas (A.D. 1728); Grant of tribute to the Peshwa (A.D. 1729); Disturbance raised by Mulla Muhammad Ali at Surat (A.D. 1729); Pollād given in farm (A.D. 1729); Ashva fort (A.D. 1730); The Viceroy in Kāthiāvāda and Kachh (1730); Riots at Ahmedābād; Mahārāja Abheysingh fifty-third Viceroy (A.D. 1730-1733); The new Viceroy resisted by Mubāriz-ul-Mulk; Battle of Adālāj; The Mahārāja defeated by Mubāriz-ul-Mulk (A.D. 1730); Retreat of Mubāriz-ul-Mulk; Government of Abheysingh; Momin Khān, ruler of Cambay (A.D. 1730); The Peshwa and Viceroy against Pilāji Gāikwār (A.D. 1731); The withdrawal of the Peshwa; His opponents defeated;

Abdálláh Beg appointed Nizám's Deputy at Broach; The death of Piláji Gáikwár procured by the Viceroy (A.D. 1732); Baroda taken; Famine (A.D. 1732); Affairs at Surat (A.D. 1732); Teghbeh Khán Governor of Surat ... 305-313

Ratansingh Bhandári Deputy Viceroy (A.D. 1733-1737); Return of the Maráthás; Contest for the government of Gogha; Disturbance at Virangám (A.D. 1734); Baroda recovered by the Maráthás (A.D. 1734); Change of governor at Virangám; Failure of Jawán Mard Khán in an attempt on I'dar; Rivalry of Ratansingh Bhandári and Sohráb Khán (A.D. 1735); Battle of Dhlí; Defeat and death of Sohráb Khán (A.D. 1735); Rivalry between Ratansingh Bhandári and Momin Khán (A.D. 1735); Maráthá affairs; Dámáji Gáikwár and Kántáji (A.D. 1735); Battle of Anand-Mogri; Defeat of Kántáji; The Maráthás helping Bhávsingh to expel the Virangám Kshátrís; The country plundered by the Gáikwár and Peshwa; Momin Khán fifty-fourth Viceroy (A.D. 1737); Siege of Ahmedábád; Mahárája Abhaysingh fifty-fifth Viceroy (A.D. 1737); The siege of Ahmedábád continued by Momin Khán; Defence of the city by Ratansingh Bhandári; Ahmedábád captured by Momin Khán (A.D. 1738); Momin Khán fifty-sixth Viceroy (A.D. 1738-1743); Prosperity of Ahmedábád (A.D. 1738); Tribute collected by the Viceroy (A.D. 1738); Sher Khán Bábí Deputy Governor of Sorath (A.D. 1738); Tribute collected by the Deputy Viceroy (A.D. 1739); Capture of Bassein by the Maráthás (A.D. 1739); Tribute expedition (A.D. 1740); The Viceroy at Cambay (A.D. 1741); Virangám surrendered and Pátdi received by Bhávsingh; Siege of Broach by the Maráthás (A.D. 1741); Battle of Dholka; Defeat of the Maráthás (A.D. 1741); Contests between the Muslimáns and Maráthás; Disturbance at Ahmedábád (A.D. 1742); Collection of tribute in Káthiáváda by the Viceroy; Death of Momin Khán (A.D. 1743) ... 314-326

Fidá-ud-din acting as Viceroy (A.D. 1743); The Maráthás defeated by Muftakhir Khán; Dámáji Gáikwár's return to Gujarát; Abdúl Aziz Khán of Junnar Viceroy (by a forged order); Mutiny of the troops; Petlád captured by the Maráthás; Muftakhir Khán fifty-seventh Viceroy (A.D. 1743-1744); Jawán Mard Khán appointed Deputy; The Maráthás in Ahmedábád; Battle of Kim Kathodra; Defeat and death of Abdúl Aziz Khán (A.D. 1744); Fakhr-ud-daulah fifty-eighth Viceroy (A.D. 1744-1748); Jawán Mard Khán Bábí Deputy Viceroy; Khanderáv Gáikwár called to Sátára; Defeat and capture of the Viceroy by Jawán Mard Khán Bábí; Rangoji disgraced by Khanderáv Gáikwár; Rangoji and Jawán Mard Khán opposed by Punáji Vithal and Fakhr-ud-daulah; Siege of Kapadvanj by Fakhr-ud-daulah (A.D. 1746); The siege raised at the approach of Holkar; Momin Khán II. governor of Cambay (A.D. 1748); Increased strength of Fakhr-ud-daulah's party; Dissensions among the Maráthás; Surat affairs (A.D. 1746); Escape of Mulla Fakhr-ud-din to Bombay; Cession of Surat revenue to the Gáikwár (A.D. 1747); Famine (A.D. 1747); Maráthá dissensions; Fall of Borsad ... 326-332

Mahārāja Vakhatsingh	fifty-ninth Viceroy (A.D. 1748);	PAGE
Ahmed Shāh Emperor (A.D. 1748-1754); Spread of disorder;		
Surat affairs (A.D. 1750); Sayad Achchan unpopular; Saḍdar		
Muḥammad brought back by the Dutch; Retreat of Sayad		
Achchan; Jawān Mard Khān and the Peshwa (A.D. 1750); The		
Peshwa and Gaikwār (A.D. 1751); Broach independent (A.D.		
1752); Pānderang Pandit repulsed at Ahmedābād (A.D. 1752);		
Marāṭha invasion; Return of Jawān Mard Khān; Gallant		
defence of Ahmedābād; Surrender of Jawān Mard Khān;		
Ahmedābād taken by the Marāṭhas (A.D. 1753); Collection of		
tribute; Mughal coinage discontinued; Failure of an attempt		
on Cambay (A.D. 1753); The Kolis; Cambay attacked by the		
Marāṭhas (A.D. 1754); Alamgir II. (A.D. 1754-1759); Contest		
with Momīn Khān renewed (A.D. 1754); Gogha taken by		
Momīn Khān (A.D. 1755); Ahmedābād recovered by Momīn		
Khān (17th October 1756); Jawān Mard Khān allying himself		
with the Marāṭhas; Ahmedābād invested by the Marāṭhas		
(A.D. 1756); Momīn Khān helped by Rāo of Lḍar (A.D. 1757);		
Successful sally under Shambhūrām; Negotiations for peace;		
Marāṭha arrangements in Ahmedābād; New coins; Momīn		
Khān at Cambay; Expedition from Kachh against Sindhi (A.D.		
1758); Tribute levied by the Marāṭhas; Surat affairs (A.D.		
1758); The command of Surat taken by the English (A.D.		
1759); Momīn Khān's visit to Poona (A.D. 1759); Saḍāshiv		
Rāmchandra Peshwa's Viceroy (A.D. 1760); The Marāṭhas in		
Kāthiāwāḍa (A.D. 1759); Apā Ganesh Viceroy (A.D. 1761);		
Battle of Pānipat (A.D. 1761)	332-345

Appendix I—Death of Sultān Bahādur (A.D. 1526-1536). 347-351

Appendix II—The Hill Fort of Māndu; Description;	
History; The Mālwa Sultāns (A.D. 1400-1570); The Mughals	
(A.D. 1570-1720); The Marāṭhas (A.D. 1720-1820); Notices	
(1820-1895) 352-384

MARĀTHA PERIOD (A.D. 1760-1819).

History; Śivājī's first inroad (A.D. 1664); Śivājī's second attack (A.D. 1670); Saler taken (A.D. 1672); The Nerbada crossed (A.D. 1675); Raids by Dābhāde (A.D. 1699-1713); Dābhāde (A.D. 1716); Dābhāde Saṇḍapati; the Peshwa's negotiations (A.D. 1717); Dāmājī Gaikwār (A.D. 1720); Marāṭha tribute (A.D. 1723); Kāntājī Kadam; Marāṭha dissensions (A.D. 1725); The Peshwa (A.D. 1726); Cession of tribute (A.D. 1728); Coalition against the Peshwa (A.D. 1730); Defeat of the allies (A.D. 1731); Assassination of Pilājī Gaikwār (A.D. 1732); Baroda secured by the Gaikwār (A.D. 1734); The Marāṭha Deputy Governor (A.D. 1736); Ahmedābād riots (A.D. 1738-1741); Siege of Broach (A.D. 1741); Rangoji prisoner at Borsad (A.D. 1742); Quarrels regarding the Viceroyalty between Dāmājī and Rāghoji Bhonsalé (A.D. 1743-44); Rangoji

confined in Borsad (A.D. 1745); the Gáikwár in Surat (A.D. 1747) ... 385-395

Haribá attacked by Rangoji; Death of Umábái (A.D. 1748); Dámáji deputy in Gujarát; Dámáji against Peshwa; Dámáji Gáikwár arrested (A.D. 1751); The Peshwa and Surat; Release of Dámáji (A.D. 1752); Capture of Ahmedábád (A.D. 1753); Raghnáshráv at Cambay; The Peshwa's deputy at Ahmedábád; Ahmedábád captured by the Nawáb of Cambay; Dámáji and Khandaráv Gáikwár at Ahmedábád; Surrender of the Nawáb; Sarájráv in Ahmedábád; Peshwa's agent Sadáshiv at Surat; The Maráthas demand of tribute from the Nawáb of Cambay; The Nawáb at Poona; Lunávada plundered by Khandaráv; Expedition against Bálásinor; The estates of Jawán Marí Khán retaken by Dámáji; The Peshwa and the English (A.D. 1761); One of the Jádhav family Senápati; Ghoráde family again Senápati; Intrigues of Rághoba (A.D. 1768); Death of Dámáji Gáikwár (A.D. 1768); Disputed succession; Rághobá Peshwa (A.D. 1774); Rághoba in Gujarát (A.D. 1775); Rághobá defeated; His arrival at Surat; Treaty of Surat (A.D. 1775); Colonel Keating in Gujarát; Rághoba accompanied by Colonel Keating; Rághoba in Cambay (A.D. 1775); Govindráv Gáikwár's army; Advance of the combined forces; Defeat of Fatesingh (A.D. 1775); Retreat of the ministerial general; Colonel Keating at Dabhoi (A.D. 1775); Rághoba and the Gáikwárs; Withdrawal of the British contingent; Negotiations at Poona; Rághoba at Surat (A.D. 1776); Negotiations at Poona (A.D. 1777); Fresh alliance with Rághoba (A.D. 1778) ... 396-407

The convention of Bhadgaon (A.D. 1779); Negotiation with the Gáikwár; Escape of Rághoba from Sindia (A.D. 1779); League against the English (A.D. 1780); Treaty with Fatesingh Gáikwár; Ahmedábád taken by General Godilard (A.D. 1780); Operations against Sindia and Holkar; Treaty of Sálbái (A.D. 1782); Death of Fatesingh (A.D. 1789); Govindráv detained at Poona (A.D. 1793); Office of Regent at Baroda taken by Govindráv; Aba Shelukar Deputy Governor of Gujarát (A.D. 1796); Disputes between Aba and Govindráv Gáikwár; Gujarát farmed to the Gáikwár (A.D. 1799); Anandráv Gáikwár (A.D. 1800); British aid to Govindráv's party; The British and the Gáikwár (A.D. 1800); The Gáikwár's minister Rávji; Treaty of Bassaín (21st December 1802); Arabs disbanded; Malhórráv in revolt (A.D. 1803); Contingent strengthened (A.D. 1803); Death of Rávji (A.D. 1803); War with Sindia; The revenue collecting force; Renewal of (Gujarát) farm (A.D. 1804); The British and the Gáikwár (A.D. 1805); Káthiávada tribute; State of Káthiávada (A.D. 1807); The revenue raid system ... 407-418

The Maráthas in Sorath; Securities; Bháts and Chárans (A.D. 1807); British intervention; Financial and political settlements (A.D. 1807); Peshwa's share in Káthiávada; Later arrangements; The Mahi Kántha; Supplementary treaty (A.D. 1808); Okhámandal (A.D. 1809); Disturbances in Káthiávada (A.D. 1811); The Gáikwár's payment of the pecuniary loan to the British Government (A.D. 1812); Discussions with

Poona government about the old claims on the Gaikwār's estate (A.D. 1813-14); Peshwa intrigues in Baroda (A.D. 1814); Okhāmandal ceded to the Gaikwār; British aid at Junāgadh; Treaty of Poona (A.D. 1817); Treaty with the Gaikwār (A.D. 1817-18); Close of Marāṭha supremacy (A.D. 1819); General Review 418-432
---	--------	-------------

GUJARAT DISTURBANCES (A.D. 1857-1859).

The Red Salt Scare (A.D. 1857); The passing of the Pariah dog; Gold hoarding; Seditious native press; Maulvi Sarāj-ud-din; Apparent weakness of British rule; Administrative defects; The Courts disliked; The Inām Commission; The army disloyal; Baiza Bāi of Gwālior; Parsi riot in Broach (June 1857); Mutiny at Mhow (July 1857); Mutiny at Ahmedābād (July 1857); Mr. Ashburner's force; General Roberts; Rising at Amjara and in the Panch Mahāls (July 1857); Mutinies at Abu and Erinpur (A.D. 1857); Disturbance at Ahmedābād (14th September 1857); Rādhanpur disloyal; Arab outbreak at Sunth; Disturbance in Lunāvāda; Conspiracy at Dīsa; Conspiracy at Baroda; Want of combination; Marāṭha conspiracy; Gathering at Partābpur and at Lodra; Partial disarming; Nāikda revolt (October 1858); Tātia Topi (A.D. 1858); Tātia Topi's defeat at Chhota Udepur (December 1858); Nāikda disturbance (A.D. 1858); Wāgher outbreak (A.D. 1859); Expedition against Bet (A.D. 1859); Bet Fort taken; Dwārka fort taken; Rising in Nagar Pārkar 433-449
---	--------	-------------

APPENDICES.

Bhīnmāl or Shrimāl—Description.	People.	Objects of	
Interest, History, Inscriptions 449-488
Java and Cambodia 489-504
Arab References 505-531
Greek References 532-547
Index 549-594

EARLY HISTORY OF GUJARAT.

CHAPTER I.

BOUNDARIES AND NAME.

Chapter I. BOUNDARIES.

THE portion of the Bombay Presidency known as Gujarát fills the north-east corner of the coast of Western India.

On the west is the Arabian Sea; on the north-west is the Gulf of Cutch. To the north lie the Little Rán and the Mervád desert; to the north-east Abu and other outliers of the Arávali range. The east is guarded and limited by rough forest land rugged in the north with side spurs of the Vindhya, more open towards the central natural highway from Baroda to Ratlám, and southwards again rising and roughening into the northern offshoots from the main range of the Sápudás. The southern limit is uncertain. History somewhat doubtfully places it at the Tápti. Language carries Gujarát about a hundred miles further to Balsár and Párlí where wild forest-covered hills from the north end of the Sáhyádri range stretch west almost to the sea.

The province includes two parts, Mainland Gujarát or Gurjjara-ráshtra and Peninsular Gujarát the Sauráshtra of ancient, the Káthiá-váda of modern history. To a total area of about 72,000 square miles Mainland Gujarát with a length from north to south of about 280 miles and a breadth from east to west varying from fifty to 150 miles contributes 45,000 square miles; and Peninsular Gujarát with a greatest length from north to south of 155 miles and from east to west of 200 miles contributes about 27,000 square miles. To a population of about 9,250,000 Mainland Gujarát contributes 6,900,000 and the Peninsula about 2,350,000.

The richness of Mainland Gujarát the gift of the Sábarmati Mahi Narbada and Tápti and the goodliness of much of Sauráshtra the Goodly Land have from before the beginning of history continued to draw strangers to Gujarát both as conquerors and as refugees.

By sea probably came some of the half-mythic Yádavas (B.C. 1500 - 500); contingents of Yavanas (B.C. 300 - A.D. 100) including Greeks Baktrians Parthians and Skythians; the pursued Pársis and the pursuing Arabs (A.D. 600 - 800); hordes of Sanganian pirates (A.D. 900 - 1200); Pársi and Naváyat Musalmán refugees from Khulagu Khán's devastation of Persia (A.D. 1250 - 1300); Portuguese and rival Turks (A.D. 1500 - 1600); Arab and Persian Gulf pirates (A.D. 1600 - 1700); African Arab Persian and Makran soldiers of fortune (A.D. 1500 - 1800); Armenian Dutch and French traders (A.D. 1600 - 1750); and the British (A.D. 1750 - 1812). By land from the north

Chapter I.

THE NAME.

have come the Skythians and Huns (B.C. 200 - A.D. 500), the Gurjjaras (A.D. 400 - 800), the early Jádéjás and Káthís (A.D. 750 - 900), wave on wave of Afghan Turk Moghal and other northern Musalmáns (A.D. 1000 - 1500), and the later Jádéjás and Káthís (A.D. 1200 - 1500) : From the north-east the prehistoric Aryans till almost modern times (A.D. 1100 - 1200) continued to send settlements of Northern Bráhmans ; and since the thirteenth century have come Turk Afghan and Moghal Musalmáns : From the east have come the Mauryans (B.C. 300), the half-Skythian Kshatrapas (B.C. 100 - A.D. 300), the Guptas (A.D. 380), the Gurjjars (A.D. 400 - 600), the Moghals (A.D. 1530), and the Maráthás (A.D. 1750) : And from the south the Śátakarnis (A.D. 100), the Chálukyas and Ráshtrakútas (A.D. 650 - 950), occasional Musalmán raiders (A.D. 1400 - 1600), the Portuguese (A.D. 1500), the Maráthás (A.D. 1600 - 1760), and the British (A.D. 1780 - 1820).

Gujars.

The name Gujarát is from the Prákrit Gujjara-ratta, the Sanskrit of which is Gurjjara-ráshtra that is the country of the Gurjjaras or Gurjjaras. In Sanskrit books and inscriptions the name of the province is written Gurjjara-magadala and Gúrjjara-des'a the land of the Gurjjaras or Gúrjjaras. The Gurjjaras are a foreign tribe who passing into India from the north-west gradually spread as far south as Khándesh and Bombay Gujarát. The present Gujars of the Panjab and North-West Provinces preserve more of their foreign traits than the Gujar settlers farther to the south and east. Though better-looking, the Panjab Gujars in language dress and calling so closely resemble their associates the Játs or Jats as to suggest that the two tribes entered India about the same time. Their present distribution shows that the Gujars spread further east and south than the Játs. The earliest Gujar settlements seem to have been in the Panjab and North-West Provinces from the Indus to Mathurá where they still differ greatly in dress and language from most other inhabitants. From Mathurá the Gujars seem to have passed to East Rajputána and from there by way of Kotah and Mandasor to Málwa, where, though their original character is considerably altered, the Gujars of Málwa still remember that their ancestors came from the Doab between the Ganges and the Jamna. In Málwa they spread as far east as Bhilsa and Saharanpur. From Málwa they passed south to Khándesh and west probably by the Rattam-Dohad route to the province of Gujarát.

Like the modern Ahirs of Káthiávāda the Gujars seem to have been a tribe of cattle-rearers husbandmen and soldiers who accompanied some conqueror and subsequently were pushed or spread forwards as occasion arose or necessity compelled. In the absence of better authority the order and locality of their settlements suggest that their introduction into India took place during the rule of the Skythian or Kushán emperor Kanerkes or Kanishka (A.D. 78 - 106) in whose time they seem to have settled as far east as Mathurá to which the territory of Kanishka is known to have extended. Subsequently along with the Guptas, who rose to power about two hundred years later (A.D. 300), the Gujars settled in East Rajputána, Málwa, and Gujarát, provinces all of which were apparently

subjugated by the Guptas. It seems probable that in reward for their share in the Gupta conquests the leading Gujars were allotted fiefs and territories which in the declining power of their Gupta overlords they afterwards (A.D. 450-550) turned into independent kingdoms.

The earliest definite reference to a kingdom of North Indian Gujars is about A.D. 890 when the Kashmir king Alakhāna sent an expedition against the Gurjjara king Alakhāna and defeated him. As the price of peace Alakhāna offered the country called Takkades'a. This Takkades'a¹ appears to be the same as the Tschikā of Hiuen Tsiang² (A.D. 630-640) who puts it between the Biyās on the east and the Indus on the west thus including nearly the whole Panjab. The tract surrendered by Alakhāna was probably the small territory to the east of the Chināb as the main possessions of Alakhāna must have lain further west between the Chināb and the Jehlam, where lie the towns of Gujarāt and the country still called Gujar-des'a the land of the Gujars.³

As early as the sixth and seventh centuries records prove the existence of two independent Gurjjara kingdoms in Bombay Gujarāt one in the north the other in the south of the province. The Northern kingdom is mentioned by Hiuen Tsiang in the seventh century under the name Kin-che-lo. He writes: 'Going north from the country of Valabhī 1800 li (300 miles) we come to the kingdom of Kin-che-lo. This country is about 5000 li in circuit, the capital, which is called Pi-lo-mo-lo, is 30 li or so round. The produce of the soil and the manners of the people resemble those of Saurāshtra. The king is of the Kshatriya caste. He is just twenty years old.'⁴ Hiuen Tsiang's Kin-che-lo is apparently Gurjjara, the capital of which Pi-lo-mo-lo is probably Bhilmāl or Bhinnmāl, better known as S'rimāl.⁵ Though Hiuen Tsiang calls the king a Kshatriya he was probably a Gujar who like the later Southern Gujars claimed to be of the Kshatriya race.

Chapter I. THE NAME.

Northern
Gurjjara
Kingdom.
Hiuen Tsiang's
Kin-che-lo,
A.D. 639.

¹ Raja Tarangini (Calc. Edition), V. 150, 155; Cunningham's Archaeological Survey, II. 8. An earlier but vaguer reference occurs about the end of the sixth century in Bana's Sribhāshacharita, p. 274, quoted in Ep. Ind. I. 67ff, where Prabhakaravar-dhana of Thānasa the father of the great Sri Harsha is said to have waged war with several races of whom the Gurjars are one.

² Beal's Buddhist Records of the Western World, I. 165 note 1.

³ Cunningham's Archaeological Survey, II. 71.

⁴ Beal's Buddhist Records, II. 270.

⁵ This identification was first made by the late Col. J. W. Watson, I.S.C. Ind. Ant. VI. 63. Bhilmāl or Bhinnmāl also called S'rimāl, is an old town about thirty miles north-east of Abu, north latitude 25° 4' east longitude 71° 14'. General Cunningham (Ancient Geography of India, 313) and Professor Beal (Buddhist Records, II. 270) identify Pi-lo-mo-lo with Bālmor or Bālamora (north latitude 71° 10' east longitude 26° 0') in the Jodhpur State of West Rājputāna. This identification is unsatisfactory. Bālmor is a small town on the slope of a hill in an arid tract with no vestige of antiquity. Hiuen Tsiang notes that the produce of the soil and the manners of the people of Pi-lo-mo-lo resemble those of Saurāshtra. This description is un suited to so arid a tract as surrounds Bālmor; it would apply well to the fertile neighbourhood of Bhilmāl or Bhinnmāl. Since it is closely associated with Jaur that is Gurjjara the Al Baidāman of the Arabs (A.D. 750, Elliot's History, I. 442) may be Bhilmāl. A Jain writer (Ind. Ant. XIX. 223) mentions Bhilmāl as the seat of King Bhinnasena and as connected with the origin of the Gadhia coinage. The late Bhilmāl in a M.S. of A.D. 996 (Ditto, page 35) suggests it was then a seat of the Gurjars. The prince of S'rimāl is mentioned (Raj. Mala, I. 55) as a feudatory under the Gurjars.

Chapter I.

THE NAME,
Southern
Gurjjara
Kingdom,
A.D. 589-735.

The Southern Gurjjara kingdom in Gujarāt, whose capital was at Nāndipuri, perhaps the modern Nāndod the capital of the Rājpipla State, flourished from A.D. 589 to A.D. 735.¹ The earlier inscriptions describe the Southern Gurjjaras as of the Gurjjara Vans'a. Later they ceased to call themselves Gurjjaras and traced their genealogy to the Purānic king Karna.

From the fourth to the eighth century the extensive tract of Central Gujarāt between the North and South Gurjjara kingdoms was ruled by the Valabhis. The following reasons seem to show that the Valabhi dynasty were originally Gujars. Though it is usual for inscriptions to give this information none of the many Valabhi copper-plates makes any reference to the Valabhi lineage. Nor does any inscription state to what family Senāpati Bhatārka the founder of the dynasty belonged. Hsien Tsiang describes the Valabhi king as a Kshatriya and as marrying with the kings of Mālwa and Kanauj. The Valabhi king described by Hsien Tsiang is a late member of the dynasty who ruled when the kingdom had been greatly extended and when the old obscure tribal descent may have been forgotten and a Kshatriya lineage invented instead. Intermarriage with Mālwa and Kanauj can be easily explained. Rājputs have never been slow to connect themselves by marriage with powerful rulers.

The establishment of these three Gujar kingdoms implies that the Gurjjara tribe from Northern and Central India settled in large numbers in Gujarāt. Several Gujar castes survive in Gujarāt. Among them are Gujar Vānis or traders, Gujar Sātārs or carpenters, Gujar Sonis or goldsmiths, Gujar Kumbhārs or potters, and Gujar Salāts or masons. All of these are Gujars who taking to different callings have formed separate castes. The main Gujar underlayer are the Lewās and Kadwas the two leading divisions of the important class of Gujarāt Kāvhis. The word Kāvhi is from the Sanskrit Kuṭumbin, that is one possessing a family or a house. From ancient times the title Kuṭumbin has been prefixed to the names of cultivators.² This practice still obtains in parts of the North-West Provinces where the peasant proprietors are addressed as Grihasthas or householders. As cattle-breeding not cultivation was the original as it still is the characteristic calling of many North Indian Gujars, those of the tribe who settled to cultivation came to be specially known as Kuṭumbin or householders. Similarly Deccan surnames show that many tribes of wandering cattle-owners settled as householders and are now known as Kunbis.³ During the last

as accompanying Māla Rājā Solankhi (A.D. 942-997) in an expedition against Sorath. Al Biruni (A.D. 1030, Sachau's Edn., I. 153, 267) refers to Bhīllamāla between Multān and Anhilavāda. As late as A.D. 1611 Nicholas Uffet, an English traveller from Agra to Ahmadābād (Kerr's Voyages, VIII. 301) notices "Bechnahl as having an ancient wall 24 kos (36 miles) round with many fine tanks going to ruin." The important sub-divisions of upper class Gujarāt Hindus who take their name from it show S'rināl to have been a great centre of population.

¹ Indian Antiquary, XIII. 70-81. Bühler (Ind. Ant. VII 62) identifies Nāndipuri with a suburb of Broach.

² Bombay Gazetteer, Nāsik, page 604. Bombay Arch. Survey Sep. Number X, 22.

³ Among Deccan Kunbi surnames are Jādhav, Chādhav, Chūdhav, Nīkumbha, Parmār, Solār, Solkē. Cf. Bombay Gazetteer, XXIV, 65 note 2, 414.

twenty years the settlement as Kunbis in Khândesh of tribes of wandering Wanjâra herdsmen and grain-carriers is an example of the change through which the Gujarât Kanbis and the Deccan Kunbis passed in early historic times.

Besides resembling them in appearance and in their skill both as husbandmen and as cattle-breeders the division of Gujarât Kanbis into Lewa and Kadwa seems to correspond with the division of Mâlwa Gujars into Dâha and Karad, with the Lewa origin of the East Khândesh Gujars, and with the Lawî tribe of Panjâb Gujars. The fact that the head-quarters of the Lewa Kanbis of Gujarât is in the central section of the province known as the Charotar and formerly under Valabhi supports the view that the founder of Valabhi power was the chief leader of the Gujar tribe. That nearly a fourth of the whole Hîndu population of Gujarât are Lewa and Kadwa Kanbis and that during the sixth seventh and eighth centuries three Gujar chiefs divided among them the sway of the entire province explain how the province of Gujarât came to take its name from the tribe of Gujars.¹

Chapter I.
THE NAME.

Gujars.

¹ Through the identification of the Valabhis as Gurjjaras may not be certain, in inscriptions noted below both the Chavâdâs and the Salankas are called Gurjjara kings. The Gurjjara origin of either or of both these dynasties may be questioned. The name Gurjjara kings may imply no more than that they ruled the Gurjjara country. At the same time it was under the Chavâdâs that Gujarât got its name. Though to Al Biruni (A.D. 1030) Gujarât still meant part of Râjputâna, between A.D. 750 and 950 the name Gurjjaras' land passed as far south as the territory connected with Anhilvâda and Vadnagara that is probably as far as the Mahî. As a Râstrakuta copperplate of A.D. 888 (S. 810) (Ind. Ant. XIII. 69) brings the Kankau as far north as Varâh on the Tapi the extension of the name Gujarât to Lâta south of the Mahî seems to have taken place under Musalmân rule. This southern application is still somewhat incomplete. Even now the people of Surat both Hîndus and Musalmâns when they visit Pâttan (Anhilvâda) and Ahmadâbad speak of going to Gujarât, and the Ahmadâbad section of the Nâgar Brâhmins still call their Surat caste-brethren by the name of Konkayâs that is of the Konkay.

CHAPTER II.

ANCIENT DIVISIONS.

Chapter II.

ANCIENT
DIVISIONS.
Anartta.

FROM ancient times the present province of Gujarāt consisted of three divisions Anartta, Surāshtra, and Lāta. Anartta seems to have been Northern Gujarāt, as its capital was Anandapura the modern Vadhnagara or Chief City, which is also called Anarttapura.¹ Both these names were in use even in the times of the Valabhi kings (A.D. 500-770).² According to the popular story, in each of the four cycles or *yugas* Anandapura or Vadhnagara had a different name, Chamatkārapura in the first or Satya-yuga, Anarttapura in the second or Tretā-yuga, Anandapura in the third or Dvāpara-yuga, and Vriddha-nagara or Vadhnagar in the fourth or Kālī-yuga. The first name is fabulous. The city does not seem to have ever been known by so strange a title. Of the two Anarttapura and Anandapura the former is the older name, while the latter may be its proper name or perhaps an adaptation of the older name to give the meaning City of Joy. The fourth Vriddha-nagara meaning the old city is a Sanskritized form of the still current Vadhnagar, the Old or Great City. In the Gīrnār inscription of Khatrapa Rudradāman (A.D. 150) the mention of Anartta and Surāshtra as separate provinces subject to the Pahlava viceroy of Junāgadh agrees with the view that Anartta was part of Gujarāt close to Kāthiāvāda. In some Purānas Anartta appears as the name of the whole province including Surāshtra, with its capital at the well known shrine of Dwārikā. In other passages Dwārikā and Prabhās are both mentioned as in Surāshtra which would seem to show that Surāshtra was then part of Anartta as Kāthiāvāda is now part of Gujarāt.

Surāshtra.

Surāshtra the land of the Sus, afterwards Sanskritized into Saurāshtra the Goodly Land, preserves its name in Sorath the southern part of Kāthiāvāda. The name appears as Surāshtra in the Mahābhārata and Pāpini's *Anupātha*, in Rudradāman's (A.D. 150) and Skandagupta's (A.D. 456) Gīrnār inscriptions, and in several Valabhi copper-plates. Its Prākṛit form appears as Surathā in the Nāsik inscription of Gotamiputra (A.D. 150) and in later Prākṛit as Suraththā in the Tirthakalpa of Jinaprabhāsuri of the thirteenth or fourteenth century.³ Its earliest foreign mention is perhaps Strabo's (B.C. 50 - A.D. 20) *Saraostus* and Pliny's (A.D. 70) *Oratura*.⁴ Ptolemy

¹ See Nagarakhanda (Junagadh Edition), 17, 32, 35, 185, 280, 292, 542.

² The Alma grants (Indian Antiquary, VII. 73, 77) dated Valabhi 330 and 337 (A.D. 649-656) are both to the same donee who in the A.D. 649 grant is described as originally of Anarttapura and in the A.D. 656 grant as originally of Anandapura.

³ Gīrnār-Kalpa, *Atthi Surāshtra evaṁ Cīlata nīma jamaṁ suṁam*. In the Surāshtra district is a lovely mountain named Ujjinta (Gīrnār).

⁴ Hamilton and Falconer's Strabo, II. 252-253; Pliny's Natural H^{ist}.

Chapter II.

ANCIENT
DIVISIONS.

Lāṭa.

the great Egyptian geographer (A.D. 150) and the Greek author of the *Periplus* (A.D. 240) both call it *Surastrene*.¹ The Chinese pilgrim Hsuen Tsiang (A.D. 600-640) mentions Valabhi then large and famous and *Surāṣṭra* as separate kingdoms.²

Lāṭa is South Gujarāt from the Mahi to the Tāpti. The name Lāṭa does not appear to be Sanskrit. It has not been found in the Mahābhārata or other old Sanskrit works, or in the cave or other inscriptions before the third century A.D., probably because the Purāṇas include in Aparānta the whole western seaboard south of the Narbada as far as Goa. Still the name Lāṭa is old. Ptolemy (A.D. 150) uses the form *Larike*³ apparently from the Sanskrit *Lātaka*. Vātsyāyana in his *Kāma-Sūtra* of the third century A.D. calls it *Lāṭa*; describes it as situated to the west of Mālwa; and gives an account of several of the customs of its people.⁴ In Sanskrit writings and inscriptions later than the third century the name is frequently found. In the sixth century the great astronomer Varāhamihira mentions the country of Lāṭa, and the name also appears as Lāṭa in an Ajanta and in a Mandasor inscription of the fifth century.⁵ It is common in the later inscriptions (A.D. 700-1200) of the Chālukya Gurjara and Rāshtrakūṭa kings⁶ as well as in the writings of Arab travellers and historians between the eighth and twelfth centuries.⁷

The name Lāṭa appears to be derived from some local tribe, perhaps the Lattas, who, as *r* and *l* are commonly used for each other, may possibly be the well known Rāshtrakūṭas since their great king Amoghavaraha (A.D. 851-879) calls the name of the dynasty Ratta. Lattalura the original city of the Rattas of Saundatti and Belgaum may have been in Lāṭa and may have given its name to the country and to the dynasty.⁸ In this connection it is interesting to note that the country between Brouch and Dhār in Mālwa in which are the towns of Bāgh and Tānda is still called Rāṭha.

¹ Bertius' Ptolemy, VII. 1; McCrindle's *Periplus*, 113. The *Periplus* details regarding Lule-Skythis, Surastrene, and Ujjain are in agreement with the late date (A.D. 247) which Reinand (*Indian Antiquary* of Dec. 1879 pp. 330-332) and Burnell (8. Ind. Pal. 47 note 3) assign to its author.

² Hsuen Tsiang's Valabhi kingdom was probably the same as the modern Gohil-vāda, which Jinaprabhāsuri in his *Satranjaya-kalpa* calls the Valluka-Vana.

³ Bertius' Ptolemy, VII. 1.

⁴ Vātsyāyana *Sūtra*, Chap. II.

⁵ Arch. Soc. of Western India, IV. 137. The Mandasor inscription (A.D. 437-38) mentions silk weavers from Lāṭavāhaya. Fleet's *Corpus Ins. Ind.* III. 80. The writer (Ditto, 84) describes Lāṭa as green-hilled, pleasing with choice flower-hardened trees, with temples *vādras* and assembly halls of the gods.

⁶ Ind. Ant. XIII. 157, 158, 163, 180, 183, 196, 199, 204.

⁷ Elliot's History, I. 373.

⁸ Compare Lassen in *Ind. Ant.* XIV. 225.

CHAPTER III.

LEGENDS.

Chapter III.

LEGENDS.

Anarta the First
Purāṇic King of
Gujarāt.

THE oldest Purāṇic legend regarding Gujarāt appears to be that of the holy king Anarta son of Saryāti and grandson of Manu. Anarta had a son named Revata, who from his capital at Kusasthali or Dwārikā governed the country called Anarta. Revata had a hundred sons of whom the eldest was named Raivata or Kakudmi. Raivata had a daughter named Revati who was married to Baladeva of Kusasthali or Dwārikā, the elder brother of Krishna. Regarding Revati's marriage with Baladeva the Purāṇic legends tell that Raivata went with his daughter to Brahmā in Brahma-loka to take his advice to whom he should give the girl in marriage. When Raivata arrived Brahmā was listening to music. As soon as the music was over Raivata asked Brahmā to find the girl a proper bridegroom. Brahmā told Raivata that during the time he had been waiting his kingdom had passed away, and that he had better marry his daughter to Baladeva, born of Vishnu, who was now ruler of Dwārikā.¹ This story suggests that Raivata son of Anarta lost his kingdom and fled perhaps by sea. That after some time during which the Yādavas established themselves in the country, Raivata, called a son of Revata but probably a descendant as his proper name is Kakudmi, returned to his old territory and gave his daughter in marriage to one of the reigning Yādava dynasty, the Yādavas taking the girl as representing the dynasty that had preceded them. The story about Brahmā and the passing of ages seems invented to explain the long period that elapsed between the flight and the return.

The Yādavas
in Dwārikā.

The next Purāṇic legends relate to the establishment of the Yādava kingdom at Dwārikā. The founder and namegiver of the Yādava dynasty was Yadu of whose family the Purāṇas give very detailed information. The family seems to have split into several branches each taking its name from some prominent member, the chief of them being Vrishni, Kukkura, Bhoja, Sātvata, Anbhaka, Madhu, Surasena, and Daśārha. Sātvata was thirty-seventh from Yadu and in his branch were born Devaki and Vasudeva, the parents of the great Yādava hero and god Krishna. It was in Krishna's time that the Yādavas had to leave their capital Mathurā and come to Dwārikā. This was the result of a joint invasion of Mathurā on one side by a

¹ The Vishnu Purāṇa (Anu. iv. Chap. I. Verse 19 to Chap. II. Verse 21) gives the longest account of the legend. The Bhāgavata Purāṇa (Skanda ix. Chap. iii. Verse 16-36) gives almost the same account. The Matsya Purāṇa (Chap. xii. Verse 22-24) dismisses the story in two verses. See also Harivamśa, X.

Chapter III.

LEGENDS.

The Yādavas.

legendary Deccan hero Kālayavana and on the other by Jarāsandha the powerful king of Magadha or Behar, who, to avenge the death of his brother-in-law¹ Kansa killed by Krishna in fulfilment of a prophecy, is said to have invaded the Yādava territory eighteen times.

According to the story Kālayavana followed the fugitive Krishna and his companions as far as Surāshtra where in a mountain cave he was burnt by fire from the eye of the sleeping sage Muchakunda whom he had roused believing him to be his enemy Krishna. According to the Hari-vansa the fugitive Yādavas quitting Mathurā went to the Sindhu country and there established the city of Dwārikā on a convenient site on the sea shore making it their residence.² Local tradition says that the Yādavas conquered this part of the country by defeating the demons who held it.

The leading Yādava chief in Dwārikā was Ugrasena, and Ugrasena's three chief supporters were the families of Yadu, Bhoja, and Andhaka. As the entire peninsula of Kāthiāvāda was subject to them the Yādavas used often to make pleasure excursions and pilgrimages to Prabhās and Gīrnār. Krishna and Baladeva though not yet rulers held high positions and took part in almost all important matters. They were in specially close alliance with their paternal aunt's sons the Pāndava brothers, kings of Hastināpura or Delhi. Of the two sets of cousins Krishna and Arjuna were on terms of the closest intimacy. Of one of Arjuna's visits to Kāthiāvāda the Mahābhārata gives the following details: 'Arjuna after having visited other holy places arrived in Aparānta (the western seaboard) whence he went to Prabhās. Hearing of his arrival Krishna marched to Prabhās and gave Arjuna a hearty welcome. From Prabhās they came together to the Raivataka hill which Krishna had decorated and where he entertained his guest with music and dancing. From Gīrnār they went to Dwārikā driving in a golden car. The city was adorned in honour of Arjuna: the streets were thronged with multitudes; and the members of the Vrishni, Bhoja, and Andhaka families met to honour Krishna's guest.'³

Some time after, against his elder brother Baladeva's desire, Krishna helped Arjuna to carry off Krishna's sister Subhadra, with whom Arjuna had fallen in love at a fair in Gīrnār of which the Mahābhārata gives the following description: 'A gathering of the Yādavas chiefly the Vrishnis and Andhakas took place near Raivataka. The hill and the country round were rich with fine rows of fruit trees and large mansions. There was much dancing singing and music. The princes of the Vrishni family were in handsome carriages glistening with gold. Hundreds and thousands of the people of Junāgaḍh with their families attended on foot and in vehicles of various kinds. Baladeva with his wife Revati moved about attended by many Gandharvas. Ugrasena was there with his thousand queens and musicians. Sāmba and Pradyumna attended

¹ Compare Mahābh. II. 13,594ff. Jarāsandha's sisters Asti and Prāpti were married to Kansa.

² Hari-vansa, XXXV. - CXII. ³ Mahābhārata Ādi-parva, chap. 215-221.

Chapter III.

LEGENDS.

The Yādavas.

in holiday attire and looked like gods. Many Yādavas and others were also present with their wives and musicians.¹

Some time after this gathering Subhadrā came to Gīrnār to worship and Arjuna carried her off. Eventually Vasudeva and Baladeva consented and the runaways were married with due ceremony. The large fair still held in Māgh (February - March) in the west Gīrnār valley near the modern temple of Bhavanāth is perhaps a relic of this great Yādava fair.

The Yādava occupation of Dwārikā was not free from trouble. When Krishna was at Hastināpura on the occasion of the Rājāsūya sacrifice performed by Yudhishtira, Śālva king of Mṛttikāvatī in the country of Saubha led an army against Dwārikā. He slew many of the Dwārikā garrison, plundered the city and withdrew unmolested. On his return Krishna learning of Śālva's invasion led an army against Śālva. The chiefs met near the sea shore and in a pitched battle Śālva was defeated and killed.² Family feuds brought Yādava supremacy in Dwārikā to a disastrous end. The final family struggle is said to have happened in the thirty-sixth year after the war of the Mahābhārata, somewhere on the south coast of Kāthiāvāda near Prabhas or Somnāth Pātan the great place of Brāhmanical pilgrimage. On the occasion of an eclipse, in obedience to a proclamation issued by Krishna, the Yādavas and their families went from Dwārikā to Prabhas in state well furnished with dainties, animal food, and strong drink. One day on the sea shore the leading Yādava chiefs heated with wine began to dispute. They passed from words to blows. Krishna armed with an iron rod³ struck every one he met, not even sparing his own sons. Many of the chiefs were killed. Baladeva fled to die in the forests and Krishna was slain by a hunter who mistook him for a deer. When he saw trouble was brewing Krishna had sent for Arjuna. Arjuna arrived to find Dwārikā desolate. Soon after Arjuna's arrival Vasudeva died and Arjuna performed the funeral ceremonies of Vasudeva Baladeva and Krishna whose bodies he succeeded in recovering. When the funeral rites were completed Arjuna started for Indraprastha in Upper India with the few that were left of the Yādava families,

¹ Mahābhārata Vansparva, Chap. xiv. - xxii. Skanda x. Mṛttikāvatī the capital of Śālva cannot be identified. The name of the country sounds like Svabhra in Rudradāman's Gīrnār inscription, which is apparently part of Charotar or South Ahmadabad. A trace of the old word perhaps remains in the river Sābhramati the modern Sabarmati. The fact that Śālva passed from Mṛttikāvatī along the sea shore would seem to show that part of the seaboard south of the Mahi was included in Śālva's territory. Dr. Bühler (Ind. Ant. VII. 263) described Pandit Bhagvanīlāl's reading of Svabhra as a bold conjecture. A further examination of the original convinced the Pandit that Svabhra was the right reading.

² The following is the legend of Krishna's iron ball. Certain Yādava youths hoping to raise a laugh at the expense of Vīśvāmitra and other sages who had come to Dwārikā presented to them Samba Krishna's son dressed as a woman big with child. The lady asked the sages to foretell to what the woman would give birth. The sages replied: 'The woman will give birth to an iron rod which will destroy the Yādava race.' Obedient to the sage's prophecy Samba produced an iron rod. To avoid the ill effects of the prophecy king Ugrasena had the rod ground to powder and cast the powder into the sea. The powder grew into the grass called *crake* *Typha elephantina*. It was this grass which Krishna plucked in his rage and which in his hands turned into an iron ball. This *crake* grass grows freely near the mouth of the Hiranya river of Prabhas.

chiefly women. On the way in his passage through the Panchanada¹ or Panjab a body of Ābhīras attacked Arjuna with sticks and took several of Kṛishṇa's wives and the widows of the Andhaka Yādava chiefs. After Arjuna left it the deserted Dwārikā was swallowed by the sea.²

Chapter III.

LEGENDS.
The Yādavas.

¹ This suggests that as in early times the Great Ran was hard to cross the way from Kāthiavāda to Indraprastha or Delhi was by Kachch and Sindh and from Sindh by Multān and the Lower Panjab. According to the Bhāgavata Purāṇa Kṛishṇa took the same route when he first came from Indraprastha to Dwārikā. On the other hand these details may support the view that the head-quarters of the historic Kṛishṇa were in the Panjab.

² So far as is known neither Gujārat nor Kāthiavāda contains any record older than the Gīrnār rock inscription of about B.C. 240: The Great Kshatrapa Rudra Dāman's (A.D. 139) inscription on the same rock has a reference to the Maurya Raja Chandragupta about A.D. 300. No local sign of Kṛishṇa or of his Yādava remains.

In the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, XX, XXI. and XXII, Mr. Hewitt has recently attempted to trace the history of Western India back to B.C. 3000 perhaps to as early as B.C. 6000. The evidence which makes so far-reaching a post probable is the discovery of Indian indigo and muslin in Egyptian tombs of about B.C. 1700 (J. R. A. S. XX, 206); and the proof that a trade in teak and in Sindh or Indian muslins existed between Western India and the Euphrates mouth as far back as B.C. 3000 or even B.C. 4000 (J. R. A. S. XX, 326, 337 and XXI, 204). According to Mr. Hewitt the evidence of the Hindu calendar carries the historical past of India into still remoter ages. The moon mansions and certain other details of the Hindu calendar seem to point to the Euphrates valley as the home of Hindu lunar astronomy. As in the Euphrates valley inscriptions of the Semitic king Sargon of Shippara prove that in B.C. 3750 moon-worship was already antiquated (J. R. A. S. XXI, 325), and as the procession of the equinoxes points to about B.C. 4700 as the date of the introduction of the sun zodiac (Sayce's Hibbert Lectures, 398) the system of lunar mansions and months, if it came from the Euphrates valley, must have reached India before B.C. 4700. The trade records of the black-headed perhaps Dravidian-speaking Sumris of the Euphrates mouth prove so close relations with the peninsula of Sinai and Egypt as to make a similar connection with Western India probable as far back as B.C. 6000. (Compare Sayce's Hibbert Lectures, 39: J. R. A. S. XXI, 326.) Of the races of whose presence in Gujārat and the neighbourhood Mr. Hewitt finds traces the earliest is the same black-headed moon-worshipping Sumri (Ditto). Next from Sogiana in south-east Persia, the possessors of a lunar-solar calendar and therefore not later than B.C. 4700 (J. R. A. S. XXI, 325, 337, 339), the trading Sui or Suii, in Hindu books known as Savarna, entered India by way of Baluchistan and settled at Pātala in South Sindh. (J. R. A. S. XXI, 209.) With or soon after the Sui came from the north the cattle-holding sun-worshipping Sakas (J. R. A. S. XXII, 332). The Sui and Sakas passed south and together settled in Saurashtra and West Gujārat. At a date which partly from evidence connected with the early Vedic hymns (J. R. A. S. XXII, 466) partly from the early Babylonian use of the Sanskrit Sindh for India (J. R. A. S. XXI, 309), Mr. Hewitt holds cannot be later than B.C. 3000 northern A'ryas entered Gujārat and mixing with the Sui and Sakas as ascetic traders and soldiers carried the use of Sanskrit southwards. (J. R. A. S. XX, 343.) Of other races who held sway in Gujārat the earliest, perhaps about B.C. 2000 since their power was shattered by Parashurama long before Mahābhārata times (J. R. A. S. XXI, 209-265), were the snake-worshipping perhaps Scythian (Ditto, 265) Hailhyas now represented by the Gonds and the Hailhyas' vassals the Vaidarbhas (Ditto, 269) a connection which is supported by trustworthy Central Indian Uron or Gond tradition that they once held Gujārat (Elliott's Races, N.W.P., I, 154). Next to the Hailhyas and like them earlier than the Mahābhārata (say B.C. 1600-2000) Mr. Hewitt would place the widespread un-Aryan Bhārata or Bhārgava (J. R. A. S. XXI, 279-282, 286) the conquerors of the Hailhyas (Ditto, 288). In early Mahābhārata times (say between B.C. 1000 and 500, Ditto 197 and 209) the Bhārata were overcome by the very-mixed race of the Bhojas and of Kṛishṇa's followers the Vrishatis (Ditto, 270). Perhaps about the same time the chariot-driving Gandharvas of Cutch (Ditto, 273) joined the Sui and Sakas, together passed east to Kosala beyond Benares, and were there established in strength at the time of Gantama Baddha (B.C. 530) (Ditto). To the later Mahābhārata times, perhaps about B.C. 400 (Ditto, 197-271), Mr. Hewitt would assign the entrance into Gujārat of the Ābhīras or Āhīras whom he identifies with the northern or

Chapter III.

LEGENDS.

The Yálavaa.

Skythian Abas. Mr. Hewitt finds the following places in Gujarrát associated with these early races. Pátala in South Sindh he (*J. R. A. S.* XXI, 200) considers the head-quarters of the Sns and Sakas. Another Fu capital Prágyotisha which is generally allotted to Beugal he would (XXI, 206) identify with Broach. With the Valharbhas the vassals of the Hailayas he associates Surparika, that is Sopara near Bassora, which he identifies (Ditto, 206) with the modern Surat on the Tapti. He connects (Ditto, 260) the Baroda river Viscámtra and Vaidurga the hill ravaged with the same tribe. He finds a trace of the Bharata in Baroda and in Bharati an old name of the river Mahi (Ditto, 286) and of the same race under their name Bhárgav in Broach (Ditto, 289). The traditional connection of the Bhajas with Dwárka is well established. Finally Kárpáka a Mahabharata name for the shore of the Gulf of Cambay (Ditto, 209) may be connected with Karrán on the Nerbada about twenty miles above Broach, one of the holiest Shavv places in India. Though objection may be taken to certain of Mr. Hewitt's identifications of Gujarrát places, and also to the extreme antiquity he would assign to the trade between India and the west and to the introduction of the system of lunar mansions, his comparison of sacred Hindu books with the calendar and ritual of early Babylonia is of much interest.

CHAPTER IV.

MAURYAN AND GREEK RULE

(B.C. 319-100.)

AFTER the destruction of the Yādavas a long blank occurs in the traditional history of Gujarāt. It is probable that from its seaboard position, for trade and other purposes, many foreigners settled in Kāthiāvāda and South Gujarāt; and that it is because of the foreign element that the Hindu Dharmasāstras consider Gujarāt a Mlechchha country and forbid visits to it except on pilgrimage.¹ The fact also that Aśoka (a.c. 230) the great Mauryan king and propagator of Buddhism chose, among the Buddhist Theras sent to various parts of his kingdom, a Yavana Thera named Dhamma-rakkhita as evangelist for the western seaboard,² possibly indicates a preponderating foreign element in these parts. It is further possible that these foreign settlers may have been rulers. In spite of these possibilities we have no traditions between the fall of the Yādavas and the rise of the Mauryas in B.C. 319.

Gujarāt history dates from the rule of the Mauryan dynasty, the only early Indian dynasty the record of whose rule has been preserved in the writings of the Brāhmanas, the Buddhists, and the Jains. This fulness of reference to the Mauryas admits of easy explanation. The Mauryas were a very powerful dynasty whose territory extended over the greater part of India. Again under Mauryan rule Buddhism was so actively propagated that the rulers made it their state religion, waging bloody wars, even revolutionizing many parts of the empire to secure its spread. Further the Mauryas were beneficent rulers and had also honourable alliances with foreign, especially with Greek and Egyptian, kings. These causes combined to make the Mauryas a most powerful and well remembered dynasty.

Inscriptions give reason to believe that the supremacy of Chandragupta, the founder of the Mauryan dynasty (B.C. 319), extended over Gujarāt. According to Rudradāman's inscription (A.D. 150) on the great edict rock at Girnār in Kāthiāvāda, a lake called Sudarāma³ near the edict rock was originally made by Pushyagupta of the Vaiśya caste, who is described as a brother-in-law of the Mauryan king Chandragupta.⁴ The language of this inscription leaves no doubt that Chandragupta's sway extended over

Chapter IV.

THE MAURYAS.
B.C. 319-107.

¹ Mahabharata Anuśāsanaparvan 2158-9 mentions Lātas among Kahatriya tribes who have become outcastes from seeing no Brāhmanas. Again, Chap. VII, 72 ff, couples (J. B. As. Soc. VI, (1) 367) thieves Bāhikas and robber Surāshtras. Compare Vishnu Purāna, II, 37, where the Yavanas are placed to the west of Bharatavarsha and also J. R. A. S. (N. S.) IV, 465; and Brockhaus' Prabodha Chandrodaya, 87. The *śloka* referred to in the text runs: 'He who goes to Auga, Yanga, Kalinga, Surāshtra, or Magadha unless it be for a pilgrimage deserves to go through a fresh purification.'

² Turnour's Mahāwanso, 71.

³ Bombay Branch Royal Asiatic Society Journal, 1891, page 47.

⁴ It is interesting to note that Chandragupta married a Vaiśya lady. Similarly while at Sānchi on his way to Ujjain Aśoka married Devī, the daughter of a Setthi. Turnour's Mahāwanso, 76; Cunningham's Bhamas Topos, 95.

Chapter IV.
THE MAURYAS.
 B.C. 319-197.

Girnár as Pushyagupta is simply called a Vaiśya and a brother-in-law of king Chandragupta and has no royal attribute, particulars which tend to show that he was a local governor subordinate to king Chandragupta. The same inscription¹ states that in the time of Aśoka (B.C. 250) his officer Yavanarāja Tusháspa adorned the same Sudarśana lake with conduits. This would seem to prove the continuance of Mauryan rule in Girnár for three generations from Chandragupta to Aśoka. Tusháspa is called Yavanarāja. The use of the term *rāja* would seem to show that, unlike Chandragupta's Vaiśya governor Pushyagupta, Tusháspa was a dignitary of high rank and noble family. That he is called Yavanarāja does not prove Tusháspa was a Greek, though for Greeks alone Yavana is the proper term. The name Tusháspa rather suggests a Persian origin from its close likeness in formation to Kersháspa, a name still current among Bombay Pársis. Evidence from other sources proves that Aśoka held complete sway over Málwa, Gujarát, and the Konkán coast. All the rock edicts of Aśoka hitherto traced have been found on the confines of his great empire. On the north-west at Kapurdigiri and at Shahazgarhi in the Baktro-Páli character; in the north-north-west at Kálsi, in the east at Dhauli and Jaoguda; in the west at Girnár and Sopára, and in the south in Maisur all in Maurya characters. The Girnár and Sopára edicts leave no doubt that the Gujarát, Káthiáwáda, and North Konkán seaboard was in Aśoka's possession. The fact that an inland ruler holds the coast implies his supremacy over the intervening country. Further it is known that Aśoka was viceroy of Málwa in the time of his father and that after his father's death he was sovereign of Málwa. The easy route from Mandasor (better known as Daxapur) to Dohad has always secured a close connection between Málwa and Gujarát. South Gujarát lies at the mercy of any invader entering by Dohad and the conquest of Káthiáwáda on one side and of Upper Gujarát on the other might follow in detail. As we know that Káthiáwáda and South Gujarát as far as Sopára were held by Aśoka it is not improbable that Upper Gujarát also owned his sway. The Maurya capital of Gujarát seems to have been Girinagara or Junágadh in Central Káthiáwáda, whose strong hill fort dominating the rich province of Sorath and whose lofty hills a centre of worship and a defence and retreat from invaders, combined to secure for Junágadh its continuance as capital under the Kshátrapas (A.D. 100-380) and their successors the Guptas (A.D. 380-480). The southern capital of the Mauryas seems to have been Sopára near Bassein in a rich country with a good and safe harbour for small vessels, probably in those times the chief centre of the Konkán and South Gujarát trade.

Buddhist and Jain records agree that Aśoka was succeeded, not by his son Kunála who was blind, but by his grandsons Daśaratha and Samprati. The Barábar hill near Gayá has caves made by Aśoka and bearing his inscriptions; and close to Barábar is the

¹ Probably from some mistake of the graver's the text of the inscription अशोकस्य ते यवनराजेने yields no meaning. Some word for governor or officer is apparently meant.

Nāgārjuna hill with caves made by Daśaratha also bearing his inscriptions. In one of these inscriptions the remark occurs that one of the Barābar caves was made by Daśaratha 'installed immediately after.' As the caves in the neighbouring hill must have been well known to have been made by Aśoka this 'after' may mean after Aśoka, or the 'after' may refer solely to the sequence between Daśaratha's installation and his excavation of the cave. In any case it is probable that Daśaratha was Aśoka's successor. Jaina records pass over Daśaratha and say that Aśoka was succeeded by his grandson Samprati the son of Kunāla. In the matter of the propagation of the Jain faith, Jain records speak as highly of Samprati as Buddhist records speak of Aśoka.¹ Almost all old Jain temples or monuments, whose builders are unknown, are ascribed to Samprati who is said to have built thousands of temples as Aśoka is said to have raised thousands of *stupas*. In his Pātaliputra-kalpa Jinaprabhasuri the well known Jaina Āchārya and writer gives a number of legendary and other stories of Pātaliputra. Comparing Samprati with Aśoka in respect of the propagation of the faith in non-Aryan countries the Āchārya writes: 'In Pātaliputra flourished the great king Samprati son of Kunāla lord of Bharata with its three continents, the great Arhanta who established *vihāras* for Śramanas even in non-Aryan countries.'² It would appear from this that after Aśoka the Mauryan empire may have been divided into two, Daśaratha ruling Eastern India, and Samprati, whom Jaina records specially mention as king of Ujjain, ruling Western India, where the Jain sect is specially strong. Though we have no specific information on the point, it is probable, especially as he held Mālwa, that during the reign of Samprati Gujarāt remained under Mauryan sway. With Samprati Mauryan rule in Gujarāt seems to end. In later times (A.D. 500) traces of Mauryan chiefs appear in Mālwa and in the North Konkan. The available details will be given in another chapter.

After Samprati, whose reign ended about B.C. 197, a blank of seventeen years occurs in Gujarāt history. The next available information shows traces of Baktrian-Greek sway over parts of Gujarāt. In his description of Surastrene or Surashtra the author of the *Periplus* (A.D. 240) says: 'In this part there are preserved even to this day memorials of the expedition of Alexander, old temples, foundations of camps, and large wells.'³ As Alexander did not

Chapter IV.

THE MAURYS,
B.C. 319-197.

¹ Hemachandra's *Parisīṣṭa Parva*. Merutunga's *Vichitrakrona*.

² The text is 'Kundalaśāstrīkhandabharatādhipah Paramārthānā Astropādīśāhamp Pravaritāitairamga-sāhārah Samprati Mahārāja Sahābhārat' meaning 'He was the great king Samprati son of Kunāla, sovereign of India of three continents, the great saint who had started monasteries for Jain priests even in non-Aryan countries.'

³ McCrindle's *Periplus*, 115. The author of the *Periplus* calls the capital of Surastrene Minagara. Pandit Bhagvanlal believed Minagara to be a miswriting of Gīrinagara the form used for Gīrnār both in Rudradāman's (A.D. 150) rock inscription at Gīrnār (Fleet's *Corpus Ins. Ind.* III. 57) and by Varāha-Mihira (A.D. 570) (*Bṛhat-Saṃhitā*, XIV. 11). The mention of a Minagara in Ptolemy's *Inland* from Sorath and Monoglossum or Mangrol suggests that either Gīrnār or Junāgadh was also known as Minagara either after the Min or after Men that is Menander. At the same time it is possible that Ptolemy's Agrinagara though much out of place may be Gīrinagara and that Ptolemy's Minagara in the direction of Ujjain may be Mandasor.

Chapter IV.

THE GUJARAT.
B.C. 180-100.

come so far south as Káthiáváda and as after Alexander's departure the Mauryas held Káthiáváda till about B.C. 197, it may be suggested that the temples, camps and wells referred to by the author of the *Periplus* were not memorials of the expedition of Alexander but remains of later Baktrian-Greek supremacy.

Demetrius, whom Justin calls the king of the Indians, is believed to have reigned from B.C. 190 to B.C. 165.¹ On the authority of Apollonius of Artamita Strabo (B.C. 50 - A.D. 20) names two Baktrian-Greek rulers who seem to have advanced far into inland India. He says: 'The Greeks who occasioned the revolt of Baktria (from Syria B.C. 256) were so powerful by the fertility and advantages of the country that they became masters of Armina and India Their chiefs, particularly Menander, conquered more nations than Alexander. Those conquests were achieved partly by Menander and partly by Demetrius son of Euthydemus king of the Baktrians. They got possession not only of Pattalene but of the kingdoms of Sakaostus and Sigerdia, which constitute the remainder of the coast.'² Pattalene is generally believed to be the old city of Patal in Sindh (the modern Haidarábád), while the subsequent mention of Sakaostus and Sigerdia as kingdoms which constitute the remainder of the coast, leaves almost no doubt that Sakaostus is Suráshtra and Sigerdia is Sagaradrípa or Cutch. The joint mention of Menander (B.C. 126) and Demetrius (B.C. 190) may mean that Demetrius advanced into inland India to a certain point and that Menander passed further and took Sindh, Cutch, and Káthiáváda. The discovery in Cutch and Káthiáváda of coins of Baktrian kings supports the statements of Justin and Strabo. Dr. Bhagvanlal's collecting of coins in Káthiáváda and Gujarát during nearly twenty-five years brought to light among Baktrian-Greek coins an obolus of Eucratides (B.C. 180-155), a few drachmæ of Menander (B.C. 126-110), many drachmæ and copper coins of Apollodotus (B.C. 110-100), but none of Demetrius. Eucratides was a contemporary of Demetrius. Still, as Eucratides became king of Baktria after Demetrius, his conquests, according to Strabo of a thousand cities to the east of the Indus, must be later than those of Demetrius.

As his coins are found in Káthiáváda Eucratides may either have advanced into Káthiáváda or the province may have come under his sway as lord of the neighbouring country of Sindh. Whether or not Eucratides conquered the province, he is the earliest Baktrian-Greek king whose coins have been found in Káthiáváda and Gujarát. The fact that the coins of Eucratides have been found in different parts of Káthiáváda and at different times seems to show that they were the currency of the province and were not merely imported either for trade or for ornament. It is to be noticed that these coins are all of the smallest value of the numerous coins issued by Eucratides. This may be explained by the fact that these small

¹ Justin's date is probably about A.D. 250. His work is a summary of the History of Trogus Pompeius about A.D. 1. Watson's Justin, 277; Wilson's Ariana Antiqua, 231.

² Hamilton and Falconer's Strabo, II. 252-253.

coins were introduced by Eucratides into Kāthiāvāda to be in keeping with the existing local coinage. The local silver coins in use before the time of Eucratides are very small, weighing five to seven grains, and bear the Buddhist symbols of the Svastika, the Trident, and the Wheel. Another variety has been found weighing about four grains with a misshapen elephant on the obverse and something like a circle on the reverse.¹ It was probably to replace this poor currency that Eucratides introduced his smallest obolus of less weight but better workmanship.

The end of the reign of Eucratides is not fixed with certainty: it is believed to be about B.C. 155.² For the two Bactrian-Greek kings Menander and Apollodotus who ruled in Kāthiāvāda after Eucratides, better sources of information are available. As already noticed Strabo (A.D. 20) mentions that Menander's conquests (B.C. 120) included Cutch and Surāshtra.³ And the author of the *Periplus* (A.D. 240) writes: 'Up to the present day old drachmæ bearing the Greek inscriptions of Apollodotus and Menander are current in Barūgaza (Broach).'⁴ Menander's silver drachmæ have been found in Kāthiāvāda and Southern Gujarāt.⁵ Though their number is small Menander's coins are comparatively less scarce than those of the earliest Kshatrapās Nahapāna and Chastana (A.D. 100-140). The distribution of Menander's coins suggests he was the first Bactrian-Greek king who resided in these parts and that the monuments of Alexander's times, camps temples and wells, mentioned by the author of the *Periplus*⁶ were camps of Menander in Surāshtra. Wilson and Rochette have supposed Apollodotus to be the son and successor of Menander,⁷ while General Cunningham believes Apollodotus to be the predecessor of Menander.⁸ Inferences from the coins of these two kings found in Gujarāt and Kāthiāvāda support the view that Apollodotus was the successor of Menander. The coins of Apollodotus are found in much larger numbers than those of Menander and the workmanship of Apollodotus' coins appears to be of a gradually declining style. In the later coins the legend is at times undecipherable. It appears from this that for some time after Apollodotus until Nahapāna's (A.D. 100) coins came into use, the chief local currency was debased coins struck after the type of the coins of Apollodotus. Their use as the type of coinage generally happens to the coins of the last king of a dynasty. The statement by the author of the *Periplus* that in his time (A.D. 240) the old drachmæ of Apollodotus and Menander were

Chapter IV.

THE GREEKS.
B.C. 180-100.

¹ These small local coins which were found in Hālar Gondal were presented to the Bombay Asiatic Society by the Political Agent of Kāthiāwar and are in the Society's cabinet. Dr. Bhagantāl found the two elephant coins in Junāgaḍh.

² Wilson's *Ariana Antiqua*, 266. Gardner's *British Museum Catalogue*, 26, brings Eucratides to after B.C. 102.

³ See above page 15.

⁴ McCrindle's *Periplus*, 121.

⁵ The Bombay Asiatic Society possesses some specimens of these coins of bad workmanship found near Broach with the legend incorrect, probably struck by some local governor of Menander. Two were also found in Junāgaḍh.

⁶ McCrindle's *Periplus*, 115.

⁷ *Numismatic Chronicle* (New Series), X, 80; Wilson's *Ariana Antiqua*, 288.

⁸ *Numismatic Chronicle* (New Series), X, 80.

Chapter IV.
THE GREEKS.
B.C. 150-100.

current in Barugaza, seems to show that these drachmæ continued to circulate in Gujarât along with the coins of the Western Kshatrapas. The mention of Apollodotus before Menander by the author of the *Periplus* may either be accidental, or it may be due to the fact that when the author wrote fewer coins of Menander than of Apollodotus were in circulation.

The silver coins both of Menander and Apollodotus found in Gujarât and Kâthiâvâda are of only one variety, round drachmæ. The reason that of their numerous large coins, tetradrachmæ didrachmæ and others, drachmæ alone have been found in Gujarât is probably the reason suggested for the introduction of the obolus of Eucratides, namely that the existing local currency was so poor that coins of small value could alone circulate. Still the fact that drachmæ came into use implies some improvement in the currency, chiefly in size. The drachmæ of both the kings are alike. The obverse of Menander's coins has in the middle a helmeted bust of the king and round it the Greek legend ΒΑΣΙΛΕΥΣ ΣΩΤΗΡΟΣ ΜΕΝΑΝΔΡΟΥ Of the king the Saviour Menander. On the reverse is the figure of Athene Promachos surrounded by the Baktro-Pâli legend Mahârâjasa Trâdâtasa Menandrasa that is Of the Great king the Saviour Menander, and a monogram.¹ The drachmæ of Apollodotus have on the obverse a bust with bare filleted head surrounded by the legend ΒΑΣΙΛΕΥΣ ΣΩΤΗΡΟΣ ΑΠΟΛΛΟΔΟΤΟΥ Of the king the Saviour Apollodotus. Except in the legend the reverse with two varieties of monogram² is the same as the reverse of the drachmæ of Menander. The legend in Baktro-Pâli character is Mahârâjasa Râjâtîrâjasa Apaladatsa that is Of the Great king the over-king of kings Apaladatsa. During his twenty-five years of coin-collecting Dr. Bhagvânlâl failed to secure a single copper coin of Menander either in Gujarât or in Kâthiâvâda. Of the copper coins of Apollodotus a deposit was found in Junâgadh, many of them well preserved.³ These coins are of two varieties, one square the other round and large. Of the square coin the obverse has a standing Apollo with an arrow in the right hand and on the top and the two sides the Greek legend ΒΑΣΙΛΕΥΣ ΣΩΤΗΡΟΣ ΚΑΙ ΠΑΤΗΡΟΛΟΒΟΣ ΑΠΟΛΛΟΔΟΤΟΥ that is Of the King Saviour and Fatherlover Apollodotus. On the reverse is the tripod of Apollo with a monogram⁴ and the letter *δρ* in Baktro-Pâli on the left and the legend in Baktro-Pâli characters Mahârâjasa Trâdâtasa Apaladatsa. The round coin has also, on the obverse, a standing Apollo with an arrow in the right hand; behind is the same monogram as in the square coin and all round runs the Greek legend ΒΑΣΙΛΕΥΣ ΣΩΤΗΡΟΣ ΑΠΟΛΛΟΔΟΤΟΥ. On the reverse is the tripod of Apollo with on its right and left the letters *di* and *u* in Baktro-Pâli and all round the Baktro-Pâli legend Mahârâjasa Trâdâtasa Apaladatsa.

¹ Wilson's *Ariana Antiqua*, Plate XXII. Number 41. Gardner's *British Museum Catalogue*, Plate XI. Number 8.

² Wilson's *Ariana Antiqua*, Plate XXII. Number 66, shows one variety of this monogram.

³ These coins are said to have been found in 1882 by a cultivator in an earthen pot. Two of them were taken for Pandit Bhagvânlâl and one for Mr. Vajeshankar Gaurishankar Naib Divân of Bhavnagar. The rest disappeared.

⁴ *Ariana Antiqua*, Plate XXII. Number 47.

The reason why so few copper coins of Apollodotus have been found in Gujarāt perhaps is that these copper coins were current only in the time of Apollodotus and did not, like his silver drachmæ, continue as the currency of the country with the same or an imitated die. The date of the reign of Apollodotus is not fixed. General Cunningham believes it to be B.C. 165-150,¹ Wilson and Gardner take it to be B.C. 110-100.² Though no Indian materials enable us to arrive at any final conclusion regarding this date the fact that Apollodotus' coins continued to be issued long after his time shows that Apollodotus was the last Baktrian-Greek ruler of Gujarāt and Kāthiāvāda. After Apollodotus we find no trace of Baktrian-Greek rule, and no other certain information until the establishment of the Kshatrapas about A.D. 100. The only fact that breaks this blank in Gujarāt history is the discovery of copper coins of a king whose name is not known, but who calls himself *Basilens Basilēon Soter Megas* that is King of Kings the Great Saviour. These coins are found in Kāthiāvāda and Cutch as well as in Rājputāna the North-West Provinces and the Kābul valley, a distribution which points to a widespread Indian rule. The suggestion may be offered that this king is one of the leaders of the Yaudheyas whose constitution is said to have been tribal, that is the tribe was ruled by a number of small chiefs who would not be likely to give their names on their coins.³

Chapter IV.

THE GREEKS,
B.C. 180-100.

¹ Numismatic Chronicle (New Series), X. 86.

² *Ariana Antiqua*, 258; Gardner and Poole's Catalogue of Indian Coins, xxiii.

³ Wilson (*Ariana Antiqua*, 333-334) identifies the coins marked *Basilens Basilēon Soter Megas* with a king or dynasty of Indian extraction who reigned between Amis and Kadphises (A.C. 50-25), chiefly in the Panjāb. Gardner (*British Museum Catalogue*, 47) says: The Numismatic king is probably contemporary with Abdagases (A.D. 30-50): he may have been a member of the Kadphises dynasty. Cunningham (*Ancient Geography*, 245) places the coins of the tribal Yaudheyas in the first century A.D. The remark of Prinsep (*Jour. Bengal Soc.*, VI. 2, 973) that in the Behat group of Buddhist coins some with Baktro-Pāli legends have the name Yaudheya in the margin seems to support the suggestion in the text. But the marked difference between the Stag coins of the Yaudheyas (Thomas' Prinsep, I. Plate V.) and the Numismatic king's coins (Gardner, Plate XIV. 1-6) tells strongly against the proposed identification. Of the Yaudheyas details are given below.

CHAPTER V.

THE KSHATRAPAS

(B.C. 70 - A.D. 398.)

Chapter V.

THE KSHATRAPAS,
B.C. 70 - A.D. 398.Two
Dynasties.

WITH the Kshatrapas (B.C. 70) begins a period of clearer light, and, at the same time, of increased importance, since, for more than three centuries, the Kshatrapas held sway over the greater part of Western India. Till recently this dynasty was known to orientalists as the *Sih* dynasty a mistaken reading of the terminal of their names which in some rulers is *Simpia* Lion and in others, as in *Rudra Sena* (A.D. 203-220) son of *Rudra Simha*, *Sena* Army.¹

The sway of the rulers who affix the title *Kshatrapa* to their names extended over two large parts of India, one in the north including the territory from the *Kābal* valley to the confluence of the *Ganges* and the *Jamná*; the other in the west stretching from *Ajmir* in the north to the *North Konkan* in the south and from *Mālwa* in the east to the *Arabian*

¹ *Journal Bengal Asiatic Society* (1835), 654; (1837), 351; (1839), 340; Thomas' *Prinsep's Indian Antiquities*, I. 425-430, II. 84-93; Thomas in *Journal Royal Asiatic Society* (Old Series), XII. 1-72; Wilson's *Ariana Antiqua*, 405-413; *Journal B. B. R. A. S.* VI. 317, VII. 302; Burgess' *Archæological Report of Kathiāwar and Kachh*, 15-72; *Journal B. B. R. A. S.* XII. (Proceedings), XXIII.; *Indian Antiquary*, VI. 43, X. 221-227.

The dynasty of the *Kshatrapas* or *Mahākshatrapas* of *Saurashtra* was known to *Prinsep* (*J. B. R. A. S.* III. VII.-I. (1837), 351) to *Thomas* (*J. B. R. A. S.* P. S. XII. 1-78), and to *Newton* (*J. B. R. A. S.* IX. 1-19) as the *Sih* or *Sih* kings. More recently, from the fact that the names of some of them end in *Sena* or *Army*, the *Kshatrapas* have been called the *Sena* kings. The origin of the title *Sih* is the ending *sih*, that is *sipha* Lion, which belongs to the names of several of the kings. *Sih* has been read either *sih* or *sen* because of the practice of omitting from the die vowels which would fall on or above the top line of the legend and also of omitting the short vowel *i* with the following *anusvara*. *Sih* is therefore a true reading of the writing on certain of the coins. That the form *Sih* on these coins is not the correct form has been ascertained from stone inscriptions in which freedom from crowding makes possible the complete cutting of the above-line marks. In stone inscriptions the ending is *sipha* Lion. See *Fleet's Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum*, III. 93 note 1. Mr. *Fleet* (*Intro*) seems to suggest that with the proof of the incorrectness of the reading *Sih* the evidence that the *Kshatrapas* were of Indo-Skythian origin vanishes. This does not seem to follow. In addition to the *Parthian* title *Kshatrapa*, their northern coinage, and the use of the *Saka* (A.D. 78) era, now accepted as the accession of the great *Kushan* *Kanishka*, the evidence in the text shows that the line of *Kathiāwāda* *Kshatrapas* starts from the foreigner *Chashama* (A.D. 130) whose predecessor *Nahapana* (A.D. 120) and his *Saka* son-in-law *Ushavadata* are noted in *Nasik* inscriptions (*Nasik Gazetteer*, 538 and 621) as leaders of *Sakas*, *Pallavas*, and *Yavanas*. Further as the limits of *Ptolemy's* (A.D. 150) Indo-Skythia (*McCrindle*, 136) agree very closely with the limits of the dominions of the then ruling *Mahākshatrapas* *Badrudaman* (A.D. 150) it follows that *Ptolemy* or his informant believed *Badrudaman* to be an Indo-Skythian. There therefore seems no reasonable doubt that the *Kshatrapas* were foreigners. According to *Cunningham* (*Nam. Chron.* VIII. 221) they were *Sakas* who entered *Gujarat* from *Sindh*. The fact that the *Kushan* era (A.D. 78) was not adopted by the first two of the Western *Kshatrapas*, *Chashama* and *Jayadaman*, supports the view that they belonged to a wave of northerners earlier than the *Kushan* wave.

Sea in the west. The former may be called the Northern the latter the Western Kshatrapas.

Besides as Kshatrapa, in the Prākṛit legends of coins and in inscriptions the title of these dynasties appears under three forms Chhatrapa,¹ Chhatrava,² and Khatapa.³ All these forms have the same meaning namely Lord or Protector of the warrior-race, the Sanskrit Kshatrapa.⁴ It is to be noted that the title Kshatrapa appears nowhere as a title of any king or royal officer within the whole range of Sanskrit literature, or indeed on any inscription, coin, or other record of any Indian dynasty except the Northern and the Western Kshatrapas. According to Prinsep Kshatrapa is a Sanskritized form of Satrapa, a term familiar to the Grecian history of ancient Persia and used for the prefect of a province under the Persian system of government. As Prinsep further observes Satrapa had probably the same meaning in Ariana that Kshatrapa had in Sanskrit, the ruler feeder or patron of the *kshatra* or warrior class, the chief of a warlike tribe or clan.⁵ Prinsep further notes the Persian kings were often in need of such chiefs and as they entrusted the chiefs with the government of parts of their dominions the word came to mean a governor. So during the anarchy which prevailed on the Skythian overthrow of Greek rule in Baktria⁶ (a.c. 180) several chiefs of Malaya, Pallava, Abhira, Meda, and other predatory tribes came from Baktria to Upper India, and each established for himself a principality or kingdom. Subsequently these chiefs appear to have assumed independent sovereignty. Still though they often call themselves *rijās* or kings with the title Kshatrapa or Mahākshatrapa, if any Baktrian king advanced towards their territories, they were probably ready to acknowledge him as Overlord. Another reason for believing these Kshatrapa chiefs to have been foreigners is that, while the names of the founders of Kshatrapa sovereignty are foreign, their inscriptions and coins show that soon after the establishment of their rule they became converts to one or other form of the Hindu religion and assumed Indian names.⁷

Chapter V.

THE KSHATRAPAS,
B.C. 70 - A.D. 395.
The Name.

¹ The Taxila plate in Journal R. A. S. (New Series), IV. 487; the Baktra-Pālī on Nahapāna's coins also gives the form Chhatrapa.

² Chhatrava appears in an unpublished Kshatrapa inscription from Mathura formerly (1889) in Pandit Bhagvānāl's possession.

³ Khatapa appears in the inscription of Nahapāna's minister at Junnar (Bombay Gazetteer, XVIII Pt. III. 167) and in some coins of the Northern Kshatrapa kings Paganashā, Rājavānā, and Sudāma found near Mathura. Prinsep's Indian Antiquities, II. Pl. XLIV. Figs. 12, 20, 21.

⁴ Kshatrapatīti Kshatrapah.

⁵ Thomas' Prinsep, II. 63 and 64.

⁶ Malaya or Malava, Pallava, Abhira, Mera or Meda, and Mithra or Meir appear to be the leading warlike tribes who came to India under these chiefs. These tribes formed the Kshatras whose lords or Kshatrapas these chiefs were.

⁷ The explanation of the word Kshatrapa started by Prinsep and accepted by Pandit Bhagvānāl is of doubtful accuracy. The title is well known in Greek literature in the form *satrapes*, and in the form Kshatrapavan occurs twice (p. 320) in connection with the governors of Baktria and Arachosia in the great Babylonian inscription of Darius (Rawlinson's Herodotus, I. 329; Spiegel's Altpersische Keilinschriften, 24-26). The meaning of Kshatrapavan in old Persian is not "protector of the Kshatra race" but "protector of the kingdom," for the word *kshatras* occurs in the inscriptions of the Achaemenids with the meaning of "kingship" or "kingdom" (Spiegel, Altpersische Keilinschriften, 215). As is well known Satrap was the official title of the ruler of a Persian province. Thus the name continued in use with the same meaning under the Greek kings of Baktria

Chapter V.

NORTHERN
KSHATRAPAS,
B.C. 70 - A.D. 78.

According to inscriptions and coins Northern Kshatrapa rule begins with king Maues about B.C. 70 and ends with the accession of the Kushán king Kanishka about A.D. 78. Maues probably belonged to the Saka tribe of Skythians. If the Maues of the coins may be identified with the Moga of the Taxila plate the date of king Patika in the Taxila plate shows that for about seventy-five years after the death of Maues the date of his accession continued to be the initial year of the dynasty. From their connexion with the Sakas, arriving in India during the reign of the Saka Maues and for nearly three quarters of a century accepting the Saka overlordship, the Kshatrapas, though as noted above their followers were chiefly Malayas, Pallavas, Abhiras, and Medas, appear to have themselves come to be called Sakas and the mention of Saka kings in Purānik and other records seems to refer to them. After lasting for about 150 years the rule of the Northern Kshatrapas seems to have merged in the empire of the great Kushán Kanishka (A.D. 78).

Though recently found inscriptions and coins show that the Kshatrapas ruled over important parts of India including even a share of the western seaboard, nothing is known regarding them from either Indian or foreign literary sources. What little information can be gleaned is from their own inscriptions and coins. Of the Northern Kshatrapas this information is imperfect and disconnected. It shows that they had probably three or four ruling branches, one in the Kabul valley, a second at Taxila near Attock on the North-West Panjab frontier, a third at Behāt near Saharnpur or Delhi, and a fourth at Mathura. The last two were perhaps subdivisions of one kingdom; but probably those at Kabul and at Taxila were distinct dynasties. An inscription found

(B.C. 250-100) is known from Strabo, who says (X.1.11) "the Greeks who held Baktria divided it into satrapies (*satrapias*) of which Aspasians and Tontira were taken from Eukratides (B.C. 180) by the Parthians." It is to be presumed that the Baktria-Greeks introduced the same arrangement into the provinces which they conquered in India. The earliest occurrence of the title in its Indian form is on the coins of a Rajabala or Rajjabala (Gardner, R. M. Cat. 67), who in his Greek legend makes use of the title "King of kings," and in his Indian legend calls himself "The unconquered Chhatrasja." His adoption for the reverse of his coins of the Athens-Promachos type of Menander and Apollodotus Philagator connects Rajabala in time with those kings (B.C. 126-100) and we know from an inscription (Cunningham Arch. Rep. XX. 48) that he reigned at Mathura. He was probably a provincial governor who became independent about B.C. 100 when the Greek kingdom broke up. The above facts go to show that Kshatrapa was originally a Persian title which was adopted by the Greeks and continued in use among their successors; that it originally denoted a provincial governor; but that, when the Greek kingdom broke up and their provincial chiefs became independent, it continued in use as a royal title. That after the Christian era, even in Parthia, the title Satrapes does not necessarily imply subjection to a sovereign is proved by the use of the phrase *satrapes vae satrapes* Satrap of Satrap, with the sense of King of Kings in Gotarzes' Behistan inscription of A.D. 60. See Rawlinson's Sixth Monarchy, 86 n. 2 and 260 n. 1. — (A. M. T. J.)

The Pandit's identification of the Malayas or Malayas with a northern or Skythian tribe is in agreement with Alberuni (A.D. 1015), who, on the authority of the Bāṭi Purāṇa (Sachau's Text, chap. 29 page 159-155) groups as northern tribes the Pallavas, Sakas, Malayas, and Gurjars. In spite of this authority it seems better to identify the Mallas, Malayas, or Malayas with Alexander the Great's (B.C. 325) Mallai of Multan (compare McCrindle's Alexander's Invasion of India, Note F). At the same time (Rockhill's Life of Baddha, 122, 133, 137) the importance of the Mallas in Vaisali (between Patna and Tirhut) during the lifetime of Sakya Muni (B.C. 580) favours the view that several distinct tribes have borne the same or nearly the same name.

in Mathurā shows a connection either by marriage or by neighbourhood between the Belhāt and Mathurā branches. This is a Baktro-Pāli inscription recording the gift of a stūpa by Nandasirikā daughter of Kshatrapa Rājavula and mother of Kharaosti Yuvārāja. Kharaosti is the dynastic name of the prince, his personal name appears later in the inscription as Talama (Ptolemy?). From his dynastic name, whose crude form Kharaosta or Klaraottha may be the origin of the Prakrit Chaharatta and the Sanskritised Kshaharāta, this Talama appears to be a descendant of the Kshatrapa Kharaosti whose coins found at Taxila call him Artaputa that is the son of Arta apparently the Parthian Ortes.

The same Baktro-Pāli Mathurā inscription also mentions with special respect a Kshatrapa named Patika,¹ who, with the title of Kusulaka or Kozolon, ruled the Kābil valley with his capital first at Nagaraka and later at Taxila.

The same inscription further mentions that the stūpa was given while the Kshatrapa Sudāsa son of the Mahākshatrapa Rājavula was ruling at Mathurā. The inference from the difference in the titles of the father and the son seems to be that Sudāsa was ruling in Mathurā as governor under his father who perhaps ruled in the neighbourhood of Delhi where many of his coins have been found. While the coins of Sudāsa have the legend in Nāgarī only, Rājavula's coins are of two varieties, one with the legend in Baktro-Pāli and the other with the legend in Nāgarī, a fact tending to show that the father's territories stretched to the far north.

Though Kharaosti is mentioned as a Yuvārāja or prince heir-apparent in the time of his maternal uncle Sudāsa, the inscription shows he had four children. It is curious that while the inscription mentions Nandasirikā as the mother of Kharaosti Yuvārāja, nothing is said about her husband. Perhaps he was dead or something had happened to make Nandasirikā live at her father's home.

Another inscription of Sudāsa found by General Cunningham at Mathurā is in old Nāgarī character. Except that they have the distinctive and long continued Kshatrapa peculiarity of joining *ya* with other letters the characters of this inscription are of the same period as those of the inscriptions of the great Indo-Skythian or Kushān king Kanishka. This would seem to show that the conquest of Mathurā by Kanishka took place soon after the time of Kshatrapa Sudāsa. It therefore appears probable that Nahapāna, the first Kshatrapa ruler of Gujārāt and Kāthiāvāda, the letters of whose inscriptions are of exactly the same Kshatrapa type as those of Sudāsa, was a scion of the Kharaosti family, who, in this overthrow of kingdoms, went westwards conquering either on his own account or as a general sent by Kanishka. Nahapāna's advance seems to have lain through East Rajputāna by Mandasor²

Chapter V.

NORTHERN
KSHATRAPAS,
B.C. 70 - A.D. 75.

WESTERN
KSHATRAPAS,
A.D. 70 - 395.

¹ Patika was apparently the son of the Lāko Kusulako of the Taxila plate. Dowson in *Jour. R. A. S. New Series*. IV. 497 mistranslates the inscription and fails to make out the name Patika.

² Compare Specht, *Jour. Asiatique*, 1883, t. II. 325. According to Chinese writers about A.D. 20 Yen-kao-tchin-tai or Kaulphises II, conquered India (Thibetland) and there established generals who governed in the name of the Yuezhi.

³ Pandit Bhagvanlal found two of his copper coins at Mandasor in 1894.

Chapter V.

WESTERN
KSHATRAPA,
A.D. 70-308.

in West Málwa along the easy route to Dohad as far as South Gujarát. From South Gujarát his power spread in two directions, by sea to Káthiáváda and from near Balsár by the Dáng passes to Násik and the Deccan, over almost the whole of which, judging from coins and inscriptions, he supplanted as overlord the great Andhra kings of the Deccan. No evidence is available to show either that East Málwa with its capital at Ujjain or that North Gujarát formed part of his dominions. All the information we have regarding Nahapána is from his own silver coins and from the inscriptions of his son-in-law Ushavadáta at Násik and Kárlé and of his minister Ayama (Sk. Kṛyamaṇ) at Junnar. Nahapána's coins are comparatively rare. The only published specimen is one obtained by Mr. Justice Newton.¹ Four others were also obtained by Dr. Bhagvānlál from Káthiáváda and Násik.

Kshatrapa I.
Nahapána,
A.D. 78-120.

The coins of Nahapána are the earliest specimens of Kshatrapa coins. Though the type seems to have been adopted from the Baktrian-Greek, the design is original and is not an imitation of any previous coinage. The type seems adopted in idea from the drachma of Apollodotus (B.C. 110-100). On the obverse is a bust with a Greek legend round it and on the reverse a thunderbolt and an arrow probably as on the reverse of the coins of Apollodotus² representing the distinctive weapons of Athene Promachos and of Apollo. In addition to the Báktrio-Páli legend on the Apollodotus drachma, the reverse of Nahapána's coin has the same legend in Nágari, since Nágari was the character of the country for which the coin was struck. The dress of the bust is in the style of the over-dress of Nahapána's time. The bust, facing the right, wears a flat grooved cap and has the hair combed in ringlets falling half down the ear. The neck shows the collar of the coat. The workmanship of the coins is good. The die seems to have been renewed from time to time as the face altered with age. Of Dr. Bhagvānlál's four coins one belongs to Nahapána's youth, another to his old age, and the remaining two to his intervening years. In all four specimens the Greek legend is imperfect and unreadable. The letters of the Greek legend are of the later period that is like the letters on the coins of the great Skythian king Kaulphises I. (B.C. 26). One of the coins shows in the legend the six letters ΛΛΟΝΟ-Σ. These may be the remains of the name Apollodotus (B.C. 110-100). Still it is beyond doubt that the letters are later Greek than those on the coins of Apollodotus. Until the legend is found clear on some fresher specimen, it is not possible to say anything further. In three of the coins the Báktrio-Páli legend on the reverse runs:

राजो च्छहारातस नहपावस.

Rājō Chhaharātasa Nahapānasa.

Of king Chhaharāta Nahapāna.

The fourth has simply

राजो च्छहारातस

Rājō Chhaharātasa.

Of king Chhaharāta.

¹ This is a bad specimen with the legend dim and worn.

² Some coins of Apollodotus have on the reverse Apollo with his arrow; others have Athene Promachos with the thunderbolt.

The old Nágari legend is the same in all :

राजो क्षह्वरान्न नक्षपान्न

Rājō Kshaharānna Nakhapānna.

Of king Kshaharāta Nahapāna.

The Chhaharāta of the former and the Kshaharāta of the latter are the same, the difference in the initial letter being merely dialectical. As mentioned above Kshaharāta is the family name of Nahapāna's dynasty. It is worthy of note that though Nahapāna is not styled Kshatrapa in any of his coins the inscriptions of Ushavadāta at Nāsik repeatedly style him the Kshaharāta Kshatrapa Nahapāna.¹

Ushavadāta was the son-in-law of Nahapāna being married to his daughter Dakhamitā or Dakshamitrā. Ushavadāta bears no royal title. He simply calls himself son of Dintka and son-in-law of Nahapāna, which shows that he owed his power and rank to his father-in-law, a position regarded as derogatory in India, where no scion of any royal dynasty would accept or take pride in greatness or influence obtained from a father-in-law.² Nāsik Inscription XIV. shows that Ushavadāta was a Śaka. His name, as was first suggested by Dr. Bhau Dāji, is Prākṛit for Rishabhādatta. From the many charitable and publicly useful works mentioned in various Nāsik and Kārlī inscriptions, as made by him in places which apparently formed part of Nahapāna's dominions, Ushavadāta appears to have been a high officer under Nahapāna. As Nahapāna seems to have had no son Ushavadāta's position as son-in-law would be one of special power and influence. Ushavadāta's charitable acts and works of public utility are detailed in Nāsik Inscriptions X, XII. and XIV. The charitable acts are the gift of three hundred thousand cows; of gold and of river-side steps at the Bārūdā or Banās river near Abu in North Gujārāt; of sixteen villages to gods and Brāhmins; the feeding of hundreds of thousands of Brāhmins every year; the giving in marriage of eight wives to Brāhmins at Prabhās in South Kathiāvād; the bestowing of thirty-two thousand coconut trees in Nanamgola or Nārgol village on the Thāna seaboard on the Charaka priesthods of Pinditakāvada, Govardhana near Nāsik, Suvānamukha, and Rāmārtirtha in Sorpāra or Sopāra on the Thāna coast; the giving of three hundred thousand cows and a village at Pushkara or Pokhar near Ajmir in East Rajputāna; making gifts to Brāhmins at Chochina or Chichan near Kelva-Māhim on the Thāna coast; and the gift of trees and 70,000 *kāraśāpanas* or 2000 *suras* to gods and Brāhmins at Dāhānu in Thāna. The public works executed by Ushavadāta include rest-houses and alms-houses at Bharu Kachha or Broach, at Daśapura or Mandasor in North Mālwa, and gardens and wells at Govardhana and Sopāra; free ferries across the Ibā or Ambikā, the Pārāda or Pār, the Damanā or Damanganga, the Tāpi or Tāpti, the Karabēnā or Kāveri, and the Dāhānukā or Dāhānu river. Waiting-places and steps were also built on both banks of each of these rivers. These charitable and public works of Ushavadāta savour much of the Brāhmanic religion. The only

Chapter V.

WESTERN
KSHATRAPAS,
A.D. 70-305.

Ushavadāta,
A.D. 100-150.

¹ Bom. Gaz. XVI. 571ff.

² A well known Sanskrit saying is शत्रुहृन्मतेष्वनामः : A man known through his father-in-law is the vilest of the vile.

Chapter V.

WESTERN
KUTABPAVAS,
A.D. 70-308.

Ushavadāta,
A.D. 100-120.

Buddhist charities are the gift of a cave at Nāsik; of 3000 *śrīrāshtrapanas* and eight thousand coconut trees for feeding and clothing monks living in the cave; and of a village near Kārla in Poona for the support of the monks of the main Kārla cave. Ushavadāta himself thus seems to have been a follower of the Brāhmanical faith. The Buddhist charities were probably made to meet the wishes of his wife whose father's religion the Buddhist wheel and the Bodhi tree on his copper coins prove to have been Buddhism. The large territory over which these charitable and public works of Ushavadāta spread gives an idea of the extent of Nahapāna's rule. The gift of a village as far north as Pokhara near Ajmir would have been proof of dominion in those parts were it not for the fact that in the same inscription Ushavadāta mentions his success in assisting some local Kehatriyas. It is doubtful if the northern limits of Nahapāna's dominions extended as far as Pokhar. The village may have been given during a brief conquest, since according to Hindu ideas no village given to Brāhmins can be resumed. The eastern boundary would seem to have been part of Mālwa and the plain lands of Khāndesh Nāsik and Poona; the southern boundary was somewhere about Bombay; and the western Kāthiāvāda and the Arabian sea.

Nahapāna's
Era.

Nahapāna's exact date is hard to fix. Ushavadāta's Nāsik cave inscriptions X. and XII. give the years 41 and 42; and an inscription of Nahapāna's minister Ayāna at Junnar gives the year 46. The era is not mentioned. They are simply dated *vase* Sk. *varsha* that is in the year. Ushavadāta's Nāsik Inscription XII. records in the year 42 the gift of charities and the construction of public works which must have taken years to complete. If at that time Ushavadāta's age was 40 to 45, Nahapāna who, as Inscription X. shows, was living at that time, must have been some twenty years older than his son-in-law or say about 65. The Junnar inscription of his minister Ayāna which bears date 46 proves that Nahapāna lived several years after the making of Ushavadāta's cave. The bust on one of his coins also shows that Nahapāna attained a ripe old age.

Nahapāna cannot have lived long after the year 46. His death may be fixed about the year 50 of the era to which the three years 41, 42, and 46 belong. He was probably about 75 years old when he died. Deducting 50 from 75 we get about 25 as Nahapāna's age at the beginning of the era to which the years 41, 42, and 46 belong, a suitable age for an able prince with good resources and good advisers to have established a kingdom. It is therefore probable that the era marks Nahapāna's conquest of Gujarāt. As said above, Nahapāna was probably considered to belong to the Śaka tribe, and his son-in-law clearly calls himself a Śaka. It may therefore be supposed that the era started by Nahapāna on his conquest of Gujarāt was at first simply called Varsha; that it afterwards came to be called Sakavarsha or Sakasamvatsara; and that finally, after various changes, to suit false current ideas, about the eleventh or twelfth century the people of the Deccan styled it Śālivāhana Śaka mixing it with current traditions regarding the great Śātavāhana or Śālivāhana king of Paithan. If, as mentioned above, Nahapāna's conquest of Gujarāt and the establishment of his era be taken to come close after the conquest of Mathurā by

Kanishka, the Gujārat conquest and the era must come very shortly after the beginning of Kanishka's reign, since Kanishka conquered Mathurā early in his reign. As his Mathurā inscriptions¹ give 5 as Kanishka's earliest date, he must have conquered Mathurā in the year 3 or 4 of his reign. Nahapāna's expedition to and conquest of Gujārat was probably contemporary with or very closely subsequent to Kanishka's conquest of Mathurā. So two important eras seem to begin about four years apart, the one with Kanishka's reign in Upper India, the other with Nahapāna's reign in Western India. The difference being so small and both being eras of foreign conquerors, a Kushān and a Śaka respectively, the two eras seem to have been subsequently confounded. Thus, according to Dr. Burnell, the Javanese Śaka era is A.D. 74, that is Kanishka's era was introduced into Java, probably because Java has from early times been connected with the eastern parts of India where Kanishka's era was current. On the other hand the astrological works called *Karāṇa* use the era beginning with A.D. 78 which we have taken to be the Western era started by Nahapāna. The use of the Śaka era in Kurāṇa works dates from the time of the great Indian astronomer Varāha Mihira (A.D. 587). As Varāha Mihira lived and wrote his great work in Avāntī or Mālwa he naturally made use of the Śaka era of Nahapāna, which was current in Mālwa. Subsequent astronomers adopted the era used by the master Varāha Mihira. Under their influence Nahapāna's A.D. 78 era passed into use over the whole of Northern and Central India eclipsing Kanishka's A.D. 74 era. On these grounds it may be accepted that the dates in the Nāsik inscriptions of Ushavadāta and in Ayāma's inscription at Junnar are in the era founded by Nahapāna on his conquest of Gujārat and the West Decan. This era was adopted by the Western Kshatrapa successors of Nahapāna and continued on their coins for nearly three centuries.²

¹ Cunningham's Arch. Ser. III. Plate 13. Inscriptions 2 and 3.

² The author's only reason for supposing that two eras began between A.D. 70 and 80 seems to be the fact that the Javanese Śaka era begins A.D. 74, while the Indian Śaka era begins A.D. 78. It appears, however, from Lassen's Ind. Alt. II. 1040 note 1, that the Javanese Śaka era begins either in A.D. 74 or in A.D. 78. The author's own authority, Dr. Burnell (S. Ind. Pal. 72) while saying that the Javanese Śaka era dates from A.D. 74, gives A.D. 80 as the epoch of the Śaka era of the neighbouring island of Bali, thus supporting Baffle's explanation (Java, II. 68) that the difference is due to the introduction into Java of the Muhammadan mode of reckoning during the past 500 years. The Javanese epoch of A.D. 74 cannot therefore be treated as an authority for assuming a genuine Indian era with this initial date. The era of Kanishka was used continuously down to its year 281 (Fergusson Hist. of Ind. Architecture, 749) and after that date we have numerous instances of the use of the Śakanipakāla or Sakakāla down to the familiar Śaka of the present day. It seems much more likely that the parent of the modern Śaka era was that of Kanishka, which remained in use for nearly three centuries, than that of Nahapāna, who so far as we know left no son, and whose era (if he founded one) probably expired when the Kshaharāta power was destroyed by the Andhrabhrityas in the first half of the second century A.D. We must therefore assume A.D. 78 to be the epoch of Kanishka's era. There remains the question whether Nahapāna dates by Kanishka's era, or uses his own regnal years. There is nothing improbable in the latter supposition, and we are not forced to suppose that Nahapāna was a feudatory of the Kushān kings. It has been shown above that the use of the title Kshatrapa does not necessarily imply a relation of inferiority. On the other hand (pace Oldenburg in Ind. Ant. X. 213) the later Kshatrapas certainly seem to have used Kanishka's era; and Nahapāna and the Kushān dynasty seem to have been of the same race; for Herma, who was certainly a Kushān, apparently calls himself Śaka on his coins (Gardner B. M. Cat. xlvii.) and it is highly probable that Nahapāna, like his son-in-law Ushavadāta, was a Śaka. Further, the fact that Nahapāna does not call himself Mahārāja but Rāja goes to show that he was not a paramount sovereign. —(A. M. T. J.)

Chapter V.

WESTERN
KSHATRAPAS,
A.D. 70-100.
Nahapāna's
Era.

Chapter V.

WESTERN
K. RATHAPPA,
A.D. 70-398,
The Málava Era,
I.C. 39.

The question arises why should not the dates on the Western Kshatrapa coins belong to the era which under the incorrect title of the Vikrama era is now current in Gujarát and Málwa. Several recently found Málwa inscriptions almost prove that what is called the Vikrama era beginning with A.D. 56 was not started by any Vikrama, but marks the institution of the tribal constitution of the Málavas.¹ Later the era came to be called either the era of the Málava lords² or Málava Kála that is the era of the Málavas. About the ninth century just as the Saka era became connected with the Śalivāhana of Paithan, this old Málava era became connected with the name of Vikramaditya, the great legendary king of Ujain.

It might be supposed that the Málavas who gave its name to the Málava era were the kings of the country now called Málwa. But it is to be noted that no reference to the present Málwa under the name of Málavadeśa occurs in any Sanskrit work or record earlier than the second century after Christ. The original Sanskrit name of the country was Avanti. It came to be called Málava from the time the Málava tribe conquered it and settled in it, just as Káthiaváda and Merváda came to be called after their Káthi and Mera or Meda conquerors. The Málavas, also called Málavyas,³ seem like the Medas to be a foreign tribe, which, passing through Upper India conquered and settled in Central India during the first century before Christ. The mention in the Mudrárákshasa⁴ of a Málava king among five Upper Indian kings shows that in the time of the Mauryas (B.C. 300) a Málava kingdom existed in Upper India which after the decline of Maurya supremacy spread to Central India. By Nahapána's time the Málavas seem to have moved eastwards towards Jaipur, as Ushavádáta defeated them in the neighbourhood of the Pushkar lake: but the fact that the country round Ujain was still known to Rudradáman as Avanti, shows that the Málavas had not yet (A.D. 150) entered the district now known as Málava. This settlement and the change of name from Avanti to Málava probably took place in the weakness of the Kshatrapas towards the end of the third century A.D. When they established their sway in Central India these Málavas or Málavyas like the ancient Yaudihryas (B.C. 100) and the Káthiis till recent times (A.D. 1818) seem to have had a democratic constitution.⁵ Their political system seems to have proved unsuited to the conditions of a settled community. To put an end to dissensions the Málava tribe appears to have framed what the Mandasor inscription terms a *sthiti* or constitution in honour of which they began a new era.⁶ It may be asked, Why may not Nahapána have been the head of the Málavas who under the new constitution became the first Málava sovereign and his reign-dates be those of

¹ Jour. B. R. E. A. 8. XVI. 378; Ind. Ant. XV. 198, 201, XIII. 126; Arch. Sur. X. 23.

² Cunningham's Arch. Sur. XIII. 162. Cf. Kielhorn in Ind. Ant. XIX. 208.

³ Cunningham's Arch. Sur. X. 23-24. Numerous Western India inscriptions prove that *ya* and *va* are often interchanged in Prakrit.

⁴ Vide Telang's Mudrárákshasa, 294. Mr. Telang gives several readings the best of which mean either the king of the Málava country or the king of the Málava tribe.

⁵ Macnair (1818) notices the democratic constitution of the Káthiis. Trans. Bom. Lit. Soc. I. 274.

⁶ Compare Fleet's Corpus Ins. Ind. III. 87, 152, 158 from the (supremacy of) the tribal constitution of the Málavas. Prof. Kielhorn has however shown that the words of the inscription do not necessarily mean this. Ind. Ant. XIX. 56.

the new Málava era? Against this we know from a Násik inscription of Ushavadāta¹ that Nahapána was not a Málava himself but an opponent of the Málavas as he sent Ushavadāta to help a tribe of Kshatriyas called Uttamabhadras whom the Málavas had attacked. Further a chronological examination of the early ruling dynasties of Gujārāt does not favour the identification of the Kshatrapa era with the Málava era. The available information regarding the three dynasties the Kshatrapas the Guptas and the Vababhis, is universally admitted to prove that they followed one another in chronological succession. The latest known Kshatrapa date is 310. Even after this we find the name of a later Kshatrapa king whose date is unknown but may be estimated at about 320. If we take this Kshatrapa 320 to be in the Vikrama Samvat, its equivalent is A.D. 204. In consequence of several new discoveries the epoch of the Gupta era has been finally settled to be A.D. 319. It is further settled that the first Gupta conqueror of Málwa and Gujārāt was Chandragupta II,² the date of his conquest of Málwa being Gupta 80 (A.D. 399). Counting the Kshatrapa dates in the Samvat era this gives a blank of $(399 - 204 =)$ 195 years between the latest Kshatrapa date and the date of Chandragupta's conquest of Gujārāt to fill which we have absolutely no historical information. On the other hand in support of the view that the Kshatrapa era is the S'aka era the Káthiaváda coins of the Gupta king Kumáragupta son of Chandragupta dated 100 Gupta closely resemble the coins of the latest Kshatrapa kings, the workmanship proving that the two styles of coin are close in point of time. Thus taking the Kshatrapa era to be the S'aka era the latest Kshatrapa date is $320 + 78 =$ A.D. 398, which is just the date (A.D. 399) of Chandragupta's conquest of Málwa and Gujārāt. For these reasons, and in the absence of reasons to the contrary, it seems proper to take the dates in Ushavadāta's and Ayáma's inscriptions as in the era which began with Nahapána's conquest of Gujārāt, namely the S'aka era whose initial date is A.D. 78.

After Nahapána's the earliest coins found in Gujārāt are those of Chashtana. Chashtana's coins are an adaptation of Nahapána's coins. At the same time Chashtana's bust differs from the bust in Nahapána's coins. He wears a mustache, the cap is not grooved but plain, and the hair which reaches the neck is longer than Nahapána's hair. In one of Chashtana's coins found by Mr. Justice Newton, the hair seems dressed in ringlets as in the coins of the Parthian king Phraates II. (B.C. 130-125).³ On the reverse instead of the thunderbolt and arrow as in Nahapána's coins, Chashtana's coins have symbols of the sun and moon in style much like the sun and moon symbols on the Parthian coins of Phraates II., the moon being a crescent and the sun represented by eleven rays shooting from a central beam. To the two on the reverse a third symbol seems to have been added consisting of two arches resting on a straight line, with a third arch over and between

Chapter V.

WESTERN
KSHATRAPAS,
A.D. 70-398.
The Málava Era,
B.C. 56.

Kshatrapa II.
Chashtana,
A.D. 130.

¹ Inscription 10 lines 3-4. Bom. Gaz. XVI. 572.

² Details are given below under the Guptas.

³ Burgess' Archaeological Report of Káthiáwar and Catch, 55; Numismata Orientalia, I. Pl. II. Fig. 8.

Chanter V.

WESTERN
KUNATHAPAK,
A.D. 70-203.

Chastana's
Sloka,
A.D. 130.

the two arches, and over the third arch an inverted semicircle. Below these symbols stretches a waving or serpentine line.¹

The same symbol appears on the obverse of several very old medium-sized square copper coins found in Upper India. These coins Dr. Bhagvānlāl took to be coins of Asoka. They have no legend on either side, and have a standing elephant on the obverse and a rampant lion on the reverse. As these are the symbols of Asoka, the elephant being found in his rock inscriptions and the lion in his pillar inscriptions, Dr. Bhagvānlāl held them to be coins of Asoka. The arch symbol appears in these coins over the elephant on the obverse and near the lion on the reverse but in neither case with the underlying zigzag line.² So also a contemporary coin bearing in the Asoka character the clear legend वेदस्वके Vatasvaka shows the same symbol, with in addition a robed male figure of good design standing near the symbol saluting it with folded hands. The position of the figure (*Āriana Antiqua*, Plate XV. Fig. 30) proves that the symbol was an object of worship. In Chastana's coins we find this symbol between the sun and the moon, a position which suggests that the symbol represents the mythical mountain Meru, the three semicircular superimposed arches representing the peaks of the mountain and the crescent a *Siddha-rūpi* or *Siddhas'* seat, which Jaina works describe as crescent-shaped and situated over Meru. The collective idea of this symbol in the middle and the sun and moon on either side recalls the following sloka:

यावद्दीचीतरङ्गान्वहति सुरनदी जाम्बवी पूर्णतोया ।

यावच्चाकाशमग्निं तपति दिनकरो मास्करो लोकपालः

यावद्भवेन्दुनीलस्कटिकमणिशिला वर्तते मेघशृङ्गे ।

तावत्त्वं पुत्रपौत्रैः स्वजनपरिवृतो जीव शम्भोः प्रसादात् ॥

Mayest thou by the favour of Sambhu live surrounded by sons grandsons and relations so long as the heavenly Ganges full of water flows with its waves, so long as the brilliant sun the protector of the universe shines in the sky, and so long as the slab of diamond moonstone lapis lazuli and sapphire remains on the top of Meru.

Dr. Bird's Kanheri copperplate has a verse with a similar meaning regarding the continuance of the glory of the relic shrine of our Pushya, so long as Meru remains and rivers and the sea flow.³ The meaning of showing Meru and the sun and moon is thus clear. The underlying serpentine line apparently stands for the Jahnvi river or it may perhaps be a representation of the sea.⁴ The object of repre-

¹ The meaning of this symbol has not yet been made out. It is very old. We first find it on the punched coins of Malwa and Gujrat (regarded as the oldest coinage in India) without the serpentine line below, which seems to show that this line does not form part of the original symbol and has a distinct meaning.

² Compare Wilson's *Āriana Antiqua*, Plate XV. Fig. 26-27.

³ Cave Temple Inscriptions, Bombay Archaeological Survey, Extra Number (1881), 58.

⁴ *Āriana Antiqua*, Plate XV. Fig. 22. Some imaginary animals are shown under the serpentine line.

sending these symbols on coins may be that the coins may last as long as the sun, the moon, mount Meru, and the Ganges or ocean. Against this view it may be urged that the coins of the Buddhist kings of Kuninda (A.D. 100), largely found near Sahāranpur in the North-West Provinces, show the arch symbol with the Buddhist trident over it, the Bodhi tree with the railing by its side, and the serpentine line under both the tree and the symbol, the apparent meaning being that the symbol is a Buddhist shrine with the Bodhi tree and the river Niranjana of Buddha Gaya near it. The same symbol appears as a Buddhist shrine in Andhra coins¹ which make it larger with four rows of arches, a tree by its side, and instead of the zigzag base line, a railing. This seems a different representation perhaps of the shrine of Mahabodhi at Buddha Gaya. These details seem to show that popular notions regarding the meaning of this symbol varied at different times.²

Such of the coins of Chashtana as have on the reverse only the sun and the moon bear on the obverse in Bāktro-Pāli characters a legend of which the four letters रजो जिमो Raño jimo can alone be made out. An illegible Greek legend continues the Bāktro-Pāli legend. The legend on the reverse is in old Nāgarī character :

राज्ञो क्षत्रपस यमोत्तिकपुत्र [सच्च] टनस.³

Rājño Kshatrapasa Yamotikaputra(s) Chashtanasa.
Of the king Kshatrapa Chashtana son of Yamotika.

The variety of Chashtana's coins which has the arch symbol on the reverse, bears on the obverse only the Greek legend almost illegible and on the reverse the Bāktro-Pāli legend चटनस Chatanasa meaning. Of Chashtana and in continuation the Nāgarī legend :

राज्ञोमहाक्षत्रपस यमोत्तिकपुत्रस चटनस

Rājño Mahākshatrapasa Yamotikaputrasa Chashtanasa.
Of the king the great Kshatrapa Chashtana son of Yamotika.

The name Zamotika is certainly not Indian but foreign apparently a corruption of some such form as Psamotika or Xamotika. Further the fact that Zamotika is not called Kshatrapa or by any other title, would seem to show that he was an untitled man whose son somehow came to authority and obtained victory over those parts where (as his earlier coins with the sun and the moon show) he was at first called a Kshatrapa and afterwards (as his later coins with the third symbol show) a Mahakshatrapa or great Kshatrapa. We know nothing of any connection between Nabapāna and Chashtana. Still it is clear that Chashtana obtained a great part of the territory over which

Chapter V.

WESTERN
KSHATRAPAS,
A.D. 70 - 328.
Chashtana's
Coins,
A.D. 130.

Chashtana's
Father.

¹ Journ. B. R. A. Soc. XIII. 263.

² The variations noted in the text seem examples of the law that the later religion made its own new meaning into early lock signs.

³ This letter रज in both is curiously formed and never used in Sanskrit. Not it is clear and can be read without any doubt as रज. Pandit Bhagvanlal thought that it was probably meant to stand as a new coined letter to represent the Greek Z which has nothing corresponding to it in Sanskrit. The same curiously formed letter appears in the third syllable in the coin of the fourth Kshatrapa king Dānujadasri.

Chapter V.

WESTERN
KSHATRAPAS,
A.D. 70-300.

Chashtana,
A.D. 130.

Nahapána previously held sway. Though Chashtana's coins and even the coins of his son and grandson bear no date, we have reason to believe they used a nameless era, of which the year 72 is given in the Junágad inscription of Chashtana's grandson Rudradáman.¹ Though we have no means of ascertaining how many years Rudradáman had reigned before this 72 it seems probable that the beginning of the reign was at least several years earlier. Taking the previous period at seven years Rudráman's succession may be tentatively fixed at 65. Allowing twenty-five years for his father Jayadáman and his grandfather Chashtana (as they were father and son and the son it is supposed reigned for some years with his father²) Chashtana's conquest of Gujarát comes to about the year 40 which makes Chashtana contemporary with the latter part of Nahapána's life. Now the Tiastanes whom Ptolemy mentions as having Ozene for his capital³ is on all hands admitted to be Chashtana and from what Ptolemy says it appears certain that his capital was Ujjain. Two of Chashtana's coins occur as far north as Ajmir. As the Chashtana coins in Dr. Gerson DaCunha's collection were found in Káthiaváda he must have ruled a large stretch of country. The fact that in his earlier coins Chashtana is simply called a Kshatrpa and in his latter coins a Mahákshatrpa leads to the inference that his power was originally small. Chashtana was probably not subordinate to Nahapána but a contemporary of Nahapána originally when a simple Kshatrpa governing perhaps North Gujarát and Málwa. Nor was Chashtana a member of Nahapána's family as he is nowhere called Kshaharáta which is the name of Nahapána's family. During the lifetime of Nahapána Chashtana's power would seem to have been established first over Ajmir and Mewád. Perhaps Chashtana may have been the chief of the Uttamabhadra Kshatriyas, whom, in the year 42, Ushavadáta went to assist when they were besieged by the Malavas or Málavas⁴; and it is possible that the Málavas being thus driven away Chashtana may have consolidated his power, taken possession of Málwa, and established his capital at Ujjain.

Deccan Recovered
by the Andhra,
A.D. 135.

On Nahapána's death his territory, which in the absence of a son had probably passed to his son-in-law Ushavadáta, seems to have been wrested from him by his Andhra neighbours, as one of the attributes of Gautamiputra Satakarni is exterminator of the dynasty of Khakharáta (or Kshaharáta). That North Konkan, South Gujarát, and Káthiaváda were taken and incorporated with Andhra territory appears from Gautamiputra's Násik inscription (No. 26) where Suráshtra and Aparánta are mentioned as parts of his dominions. These Andhra

¹ The text of the inscription is रुद्रदाम्नो वर्षे that is in the year of Rudradáman. That this phrase means 'in the reign of' is shown by the Gunda inscription of Rudradáman's son Rudrasimha, which has रुद्रसिंहस्य वर्षे व्युत्तरशते that is in the hundred and third year of Rudrasimha. Clearly a regnal year cannot be meant as no reign could last over 103 years. So with the year 72 in Rudradáman's inscription. The same style of writing appears in the inscriptions at Mathura of Revabhakka and Vasudeva which say 'year — of Revabhakka' and 'year — of Vasudeva', though it is known that the era is of Kanishka. In all these cases what is meant is 'the dynastic or era year — in the reign of —'.

² See below page 34.

³ McCrindle's Ptolemy, 153.

⁴ See above page 29.

conquests seem to have been shortlived. Chashtana appears to have eventually taken Kāthiāvāda and as much of South Gujaraṭ as belonged to Nahapāna probably as far south as the Nerbada. Mevād, Malwa, North and South Gujaraṭ and Kāthiāvāda would then be subject to him and justify the title Mahākshatrpa on his later coins.

The bulk of Chashtana's army seems to have consisted of the Mevas or Medas from whose early conquests and settlements in Central Rajputāna the province seems to have received its present name Mevāda. If this supposition be correct an inference may be drawn regarding the origin of Chashtana. The Mathurā inscription of Nandasirika, daughter of Kshatrpa Rājavula and mother of Kharaostī Yuvārāja, mentions with respect a Mahākshatrpa Kuzulko Patika who is called in the inscription Mevaki that is of the Meva tribe. The inscription shows a relation between the Kharaostis (to which tribe we have taken Kshaharāta Nahapāna to belong) and Meraki Patika perhaps in the nature of subordinate and overlord. It proves at least that the Kharaostis held Patika in great honour and respect.

The Taxila plate shows that Patika was governor of Taxila during his father's lifetime. After his father's death when he became Mahākshatrpa, Patika's capital was Nagaraka in the Jallālābād or Kābul valley. The conquest of those parts by the great Kushān or Indo-Skythian king Kanishka (A.D. 78) seems to have driven Patika's immediate successors southwards to Sindh where they may have established a kingdom. The Skythian kingdom mentioned by the author of the *Periplus* as stretching in his time as far south as the mouths of the Indus may be a relic of this kingdom. Some time after their establishment in Sindh Patika's successors may have sent Chashtana, either a younger member of the reigning house or a military officer, with an army of Mevas through Umarkot and the Great Ran to Central Rajputāna, an expedition which ended in the settlement of the Mevas and the change of the country's name to Mevāda. Probably it was on account of their previous ancestral connection that Nahapāna sent Ushavādāta to help Chashtana in Mevāda when besieged by his Mālaya neighbours. That Ushavādāta went to bathe and make gifts¹ at Pushkara proves that the scene of the Uttamabhadras' siege by the Mālayas was in Mevāda not far from Pushkara.

Chashtana is followed by an unbroken chain of successors all of the dynasty of which Chashtana was the founder. As the coins of Chashtana's successors bear dates and as each coin gives the name of the king and of his father they supply a complete chronological list of the Kshatrpa dynasty.

Of Chashtana's son and successor Jayadāman the coins are rare. Of three specimens found in Kāthiāvāda two are of silver and one of copper. Both the silver coins were found in Junāgadh² but they are doubtful specimens as the legend is not complete. Like Chashtana's

Chapter V.

WESTERN
KSHATRAPAS,
A.D. 70-395.

The Mevas or
Medas.

Kshatrpa III.
Jayadāman,
A.D. 140-143.

¹ See above page 25.

² Of these coins Dr. Bhagvānīd kept one in his own collection. He sent the other to General Cunningham. The Poudit found the copper coin in Anand in 1863 and gave it to Dr. Bhan Diji.

Chapter V.

WESTERN
KSHATRAPAS,
A.D. 70-395.

Kshatrapa III.
Jayadāman,
A.D. 140-143.

coins they have a bust on the obverse and round the bust an incomplete and undecipherable Greek legend. The reverse has the sun and the moon and between them the arched symbol with the zigzag under-line. All round the symbols on the margin within a dotted line is the legend in Baktro-Pali and Devanāgarī. Only three letters रजो छ न of the Baktro-Pali legend can be made out. Of the Nāgarī legend seven letters राज्ञो क्षत्रपस ज Rājno Kshatrapasa Ja can be made out. The remaining four letters Dr. Bhagvānlāl read यदामस Yadāmasa.¹ The copper coin which is very small and square has on the obverse in a circle a standing humped bull looking to the right and fronting an erect trident with an axe. In style the bull is much like the bull on the square hemidrachma of Apollodotus (A.C. 110-100). Round the bull within a dotted circle is the legend in Greek. It is unfortunate the legend is incomplete as the remaining letters which are in the Skythian-Greek style are clearer than the letters on any Kshatrapa coin hitherto found. The letters that are preserved are ΣΤΡΧΥ. The reverse has the usual moon and sun and between them the arched symbol without the zigzag under-line. All round within a dotted circle is the Nāgarī legend:

राज्ञो क्षत्र पस] जयदामस.

Rājno Kshatra(pasa) Jayadāmasa.

Of the king Kshatrapa Jayadāman.

Though the name is not given in any of these coins, the fact that Chashtana was Jayadāman's father has been determined from the genealogy in the Gunda inscription of Rudrasimha I. the seventh Kshatrapa,² in the Jasdhari inscription of Rudrasena I. the eighth Kshatrapa,³ and in the Junāgadh cave inscription⁴ of Rudradāman's son Rudrasimha. All these inscriptions and the coins of his son Rudradāman call Jayadāman Kshatrapa not Mahākshatrapa. This would seem to show either that he was a Kshatrapa or governor of Kāthiāvāda under his father or that his father's territory and his rank as Mahākshatrapa suffered some reduction.⁵ The extreme rarity of his coins suggests that Jayadāman's reign was very short. It is worthy of note that while Zamotika and Chashtana are foreign names, the names of Jayadāman and all his successors with one exception⁶ are purely Indian.

Jayadāman was succeeded by his son Rudradāman who was probably the greatest of the Western Kshatrapas. His beautiful silver coins, in style much like those of Chashtana, are frequently found in Kāthiāvāda. On the obverse is his bust in the same style of dress as Chashtana's and

Kshatrapa IV.
Rudradāman,
A.D. 143-159.

¹ Except that the न is much clearer the Nāgarī legend in the silver coin obtained for General Cunningham is equally bad, and the Baktro-Pali legend is wanting.

² Ind. Ant. X. 157.

³ Journal R. B. R. A. Soc. VIII. 224-5 and Ind. Ant. XII. 325.

⁴ Dr. Burgess' Archaeological Report of Kathiawar and Cutch, 140.

⁵ The explanation of the reduction of Jayadāman's rank is probably to be found in the Nāsk Inscription (No. 28) of Gautamiputra Śātakarṇi who claims to have conquered Surishtra, Kukura (in Rajputāna), Anupa, Vihāhla (Berar), Akara, and Avantī (Ujjain). (A. M. T. J.)

⁶ See below page 39.

round the bust is the Greek legend incomplete and undecipherable. The reverse has the usual sun and moon and the arched symbol with the zigzag under-line. The old Nāgarī legend fills the whole outer circle. None of Rudradāman's coins shows a trace of the Bāktra-Pālī legend. The Nāgarī legend reads:

राज्ञो क्षत्रपस जयदामपुत्रस राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस रुद्रदामस.

Bājno Kshatrapasa Jayadāmaputrasa
Bājno Mahākshatrapasa Rudradāmanasa.

Of the king the great Kshatrapa Rudradāman son of the
king the Kshatrapa Jayadāman.

None of Rudradāman's copper coins have been found. Except Jayadāman none of the Kshatrapas seem to have stamped their names on any but silver coins.¹

An inscription on the Girnār rock gives us more information regarding Rudradāman than is available for any of the other Kshatrapas. The inscription records the construction of a new dam on the Sudarsana lake close to the inscription rock in place of a dam built in the time of the Maurya king Chandragupta (B.C. 300) and added to in the time of his grandson the great Āśoka (B.C. 240) which had suddenly burst in a storm. The new dam is recorded to have been made under the orders of Suvishākha son of Kulāipa a Pahlava by tribe, who was 'appointed by the king to protect the whole of Anarta and Surāshtra.' Pahlava seems to be the name of the ancient Persians and Parthians² and the name Suvishākha as Dr. Bhau Dāji suggests may be a Sanskritised form of Svāvaxa.³ One of the Kārlē inscriptions gives a similar name Sovasaka apparently a corrupt Indian form of the original Persian from which the Sanskritised Suvishākha must have been formed. Sovasaka it will be noted is mentioned in the Kārlē inscription as an inhabitant of Abulāmī, apparently the old trade mart of Obollah at the head of the Persian Gulf. This trade connection between the Persian Gulf and the Western Indian seaboard must have led to the settlement from very early times of the Pahlavas who gradually became converted to Buddhism, and, like the Parsis their modern enterprising representatives, seem to have advanced in trade and political influence. Subsequently the Pahlavas attained such influence that about the fifth century a dynasty of Pallava kings reigned in the Dekhan, Hindu in religion and name, even tracing their origin to the great ancient sage Bhāradvāja.⁴

The statement in Rudradāman's Sudarsana lake inscription, that Anarta and Surāshtra were under his Pahlava governor, seems to show

Chapter V.

WESTERN
KSHATRAPAS,
A.D. 70-300.

Kshatrapa IV.
Rudradāman,
A.D. 143-150.

Sudarsana Lake,
A.D. 150.

¹ Several small silver metal coins weighing from 5 to 10 grains with on the obverse an elephant in some and a bull in others and on the reverse the usual arched Kshatrapa symbol have been found in Malwa and Kāthiāvāḍa. The symbols show them to be of the lowest Kshatrapa currency. Several of them bear dates from which it is possible as in the case of Rudrasimha's and Rudrasena's coins to infer to what Kshatrapa they belonged. Lead coins have also been found at Anreli in Kāthiāvāḍa. They are square and have a bull on the obverse and on the reverse the usual arched Kshatrapa symbol with under-math it the date 184.

² Compare however Weber, *Hist. of Indian Lit.* 187-8.

³ *Jour. B. B. R. A. S.* VII. 114.

⁴ *Ind. Ant.* II. 186; V. 50, 154 &c.

Chapter V.

Wooten's
Kshatrapas,
A.D. 70-328.

Kshatrapa IV.
Rudradāman,
A.D. 143-158.

that Rudradāman's capital was not in Gujārāt or Kāthiāvāda. Probably like his grandfather Chashtana Rudradāman held his capital at Ujjain. The poetic eulogies of Rudradāman appear to contain a certain share of fact. One of the epithets 'he who himself has earned the title Mahāksatrapa' indicates that Rudradāman had regained the title of Mahāksatrapa which belonged to his grandfather Chashtana but not to his father Jayadāman. Another portion of the inscription claims for him the overlordship of Akarāvanti,¹ Anūpa,² Anarta,³ Surāshtra, Śvāhira,⁴ Maru,⁵ Kachchha,⁶ Sindhu-Sauvira,⁷ Kukura,⁸ Aparānta,⁹ and Nishāda;¹⁰ that is roughly the country from Bhilsa in the east to Sindh in the west and from about Abu in the north to the North Konkan in the south including the peninsula of Cutch and Kāthiāvāda. The inscription also mentions two wars waged by Rudradāman, one with the Yaudheyas the other with Śrutakurni lord of Dakṣhiṇāpātha. Of the Yaudheyas the inscription says that they had become arrogant and untractable in consequence of their having proclaimed their assumption of the title of Heroes among all Kshatriyas. Rudradāman is described as having exterminated them. These Yaudheyas were known as a warlike race from the earliest times and are mentioned as warriors by Pāṇini.¹¹

The Yaudheyas.

Like the Mālavas these Yaudheyas appear to have had a democratic constitution. Several round copper coins of the Yaudheyas of about the third century A.D. have been found in various parts of the North-West Provinces from Mathurā to Sahāranpur. These coins

¹ Akarāvanti that is Akara and Avanti are two names which are always found together. Cf. Gotamiputra's Nālik inscription (No. 261). Avanti is well known as being the name of the part of Mālava which contains Ujjain. Akara is probably the modern province of Bhilsa whose capital was Vidha the modern deserted city of Bomnagar. Instead of Akarāvanti Bhīṣṭasphita mentions Akaravastantaka of which the third name Vena Pandit Bhagvānlal took to be the country about the Sagarā hills containing the old town of Eran, near which still flows a river called Vena. The adjectives east and west are used respectively as referring to Akara which is East Mālava and Avanti which is West Mālava. Compare Indian Antiquary, VII. 259; Bombay Gazetteer, XVI. 681.

² Anūpa is a common noun literally meaning well-watered. The absence of the term *akṣiṭ* or 'country' which is in general superadded to it shows that Anūpa is here used as a proper noun, meaning the Anūpa country. Dr. Bhagvānlal was unable to identify Anūpa. He took it to be the name of some well-watered tract near Gujārāt.

³ See above page 10 note 1. The greater part of North Gujārāt was probably included in Śvāhira.

⁴ Maru is the well known name of Mārwar.

⁵ Kachchha is the flourishing state still known by the name of Cutch.

⁶ Sindhu Sauvira like Akarāvanti are two names usually found together. Sindhu is the modern Sind and Sauvira may have been part of Upper Sind, the capital of which is mentioned as Dattasmita. Alberuni (I. 300) defines Sauvira as including Multan and Jahāwār.

⁷ Nothing is known about Kukura and it cannot be identified. It was probably part of East Rajputana.

⁸ Aparānta meaning the Western Ind is the western seaboard from the Mahi in the north to Goa in the south. Ind. Ant. VII. 259. The portion of Aparānta actually subject to Rudradāman must have been the country between the Mahi and the Damsaganga as at this time the North Konkan was subject to the Amlikas.

⁹ Nishāda cannot be identified. As the term Nishāda is generally used to mean Bhilsa and other wild tribes, its mention with Aparānta suggests the wild country that includes Banāsa, Dharanpur, and north-east Thāna.

¹¹ Grammar, V. lik 117.

which are adapted from the type of Kanishka's coins¹ have on the obverse a standing robed male figure extending the protecting right hand of mercy. On the reverse is the figure of a standing Kārtika-vāmi and round the figure the legend in Gupta characters of about the third century :

यौधेय गणस्य

Yāudheya Gaṇasya.

Of the Yaudheya tribe.²

That the Gīrnār inscription describes Rudradāman as the exterminator of 'the Yaudheyas' and not of any king of the Yaudheyas confirms the view that their constitution was tribal or democratic.³

The style of the Yaudheya coins being an adaptation of the Kanishka type and their being found from Mathura to Sahāranpur where Kanishka ruled is a proof that the Yaudheyas wrested from the successors of Kanishka the greater part of the North-West Provinces. This is not to be understood to be the Yaudheyas' first conquest in India. They are known to be a very old tribe who after a temporary suppression by Kanishka must have again risen to power with the decline of Kushān rule under Kanishka's successors Huviṣka (A.D. 100-123) or Vasudeva (A.D. 123-150?) the latter of whom was a contemporary of Rudradāman.⁴ It is probably to this increase of Yaudheya power that Rudradāman's inscription refers as making them arrogant and intractable. Their forcible extermination is not to be understood literally but in the Indian hyperbolic fashion.

The remark regarding the conquest of Śātakarni lord of Dakṣhiṇapatha is as follows: 'He who has obtained glory because he did not destroy Śātakarni, the lord of the Dekhan, on account of there being no distance in relationship, though he twice really conquered him.'⁵ As Śātakarni is a dynastic name applied to several of the Āndhra kings, the question arises Which of the Śātakarnis did Rudradāman twice defeat? Of the two Western India kings mentioned by Ptolemy one Tīastanes with his capital at Ozene or Ujjain⁶ has been identified with Chasthana; the other Siri Ptolemios or Polemaios, with his royal seat at Baithana or Paithan,⁷ has been identified with the Pulumāyi Vāsishṭhiputra of the Nāsik cave inscriptions. These statements of

¹ Compare Gardner and Poole's Catalogue, Pl. XXVI. Fig. 2 &c.

² Another variety of their brass coins was found at Behat near Sahāranpur. Compare Thomas' Prinsep's Indian Antiquities, I. Pl. IV. Figs. 11a, 12a and Pl. XIX. Figs. 5, 6, 9. General Cunningham, in his recent work on The Coins of Ancient India, 76ff., describes three chief types, the Behat coins being the earliest and belonging to the first century A.D., the second type which is that described above is assigned to about A.D. 300, and the third type, with a six-headed figure on the obverse, is placed a little later. General Cunningham's identification of the Yaudheyas with the Jubbā Rajputs of the lower Sutlej, seems certain, Rudradāman would then have "wrested" them when he acquired the province of Saurāstra.

³ Mr. Fleet notices a later inscription of a *Mahārāja Mahāśāhastri* "who has been set over" the "Yaudheya gana or tribe" in the fort of Byān in Bharatpur. Ind. Ant. XIV. 8, Corp. Insc. Ind. III. 251ff. The Yaudheyas are also named among the tribes which submitted to Samudragupta. See Corp. Insc. Ind. III. 8.

⁴ Huviṣka's latest inscription bears date 45 that is A.D. 123 (Cunningham's Arch. Sur. III. Pl. XV. Number 8).

⁵ Ind. Ant. VII. 202.

⁶ McCrindle's Ptolemy, 152.

⁷ McCrindle's Ptolemy, 175.

Chapter V.

WESTERN
KSHATRAPAS,
A.D. 70-395.

The Yaudheyas.

Chapter V.

WESTERN
KSHATRAPAS,
A.D. 70-308.

Kshatrapa IV.
Rudradāman,
A.D. 143-158.

Ptolemy seem to imply that Chashtana and Pulumāyi were contemporary kings reigning at Ujjain and Paithan. The evidence of their coins also shows that if not contemporaries Chashtana and Pulumāyi were not separated by any long interval. We know from the Nāsik inscriptions and the Purāṇas that Pulumāyi was the successor of Gautamiputra Śātakarni and as Gautamiputra Śātakarni is mentioned as the exterminator of the Kshaharāta race (and the period of this extermination has already been shown to be almost immediately after Nahapāna's death), there is no objection to the view that Chashtana, who was the next Kshatrapa after Nahapāna, and Pulumāyi, who was the successor of Gautamiputra, were contemporaries. We have no positive evidence to determine who was the immediate successor of Pulumāyi, but the only king whose inscriptions are found in any number after Pulumāyi is Gautamiputra Yajña Sri Śātakarni. His Kanheri inscription recording gifts made in his reign and his coin found among the relics of the Sopāra stūpa built also in his reign prove that he held the North Konkan. The Sopāra coin gives the name of the father of Yajñaśrī. Unfortunately the coin is much worn. Still the remains of the letters constituting the name are sufficient to show they must be read चतुरपन Chaturapana.¹ A king named Chaturapana is mentioned in one of the Nānāghāt inscriptions where like Pulumāyi he is called Vāsishthiputra and where the year 13 of his reign is referred to.² The letters of this inscription are almost coeval with those in Pulumāyi's inscriptions. The facts that he was called Vāsishthiputra and that he reigned at least thirteen years make it probable that Chaturapana was the brother and successor of Pulumāyi. Yajñaśrī would thus be the nephew and second in succession to Pulumāyi and the contemporary of Rudradāman the grandson of Chashtana, whom we have taken to be a contemporary of Pulumāyi. A further proof of this is afforded by Yajñaśrī's silver coin found in the Sopāra stūpa. All other Andhra coins hitherto found are adapted from contemporary coins of Ujjain and the Central Provinces, the latter probably of the Sungas. But Gautamiputra Yajñaśrī Śātakarni's Sopāra coin is the first silver coin struck on the type of Kshatrapa coins; it is in fact a clear adaptation of the type of the coins of Rudradāman himself which proves that the two kings were contemporaries and rivals. An idea of the 'not distant relationship' between Rudradāman and Yajñaśrī Śātakarni mentioned in Rudradāman's Gīrnār inscription, may be formed from a Kanheri inscription recording a gift by a minister named Satoraka which mentions that the queen of Vāsishthiputra Śātakarni was born in the Kārdamākya dynasty and was connected apparently on the maternal side with a Mahākshatrapa whose name is lost. If the proper name of the lost Vāsishthiputra be Chaturapana, his son Yajñaśrī Śātakarni would, through his mother being a Mahākshatrapa's granddaughter, be a relative of Rudradāman.

Rudradāman's other epithets seem to belong to the usual stock of

¹ Jour. B. R. A. Soc. XV. 308.

² Jour. B. R. A. Soc. XV. 313, 314. See also Ind. Ant. XII. 272, where Bühler suggests that the queen was a daughter of Rudradāman, and traces the syllables Rudradā in the Kanheri inscription.

Indian court epithets. He is said 'to have gained great fame by studying to the end, by remembering, understanding and applying the great sciences such as grammar, polity, music, and logic.' Another epithet describes him as having 'obtained numerous garlands at the Svayamvaras of kings' daughters,' apparently meaning that he was chosen as husband by princesses at several *svayamvaras* or choice-marriages a practice which seems to have been still in vogue in Rudradāman's time. As a test of the civilized character of his rule it may be noted that he is described as 'he who took, and kept to the end of his life, the vow to stop killing men except in battle.' Another epithet tells us that the embankment was built and the lake reconstructed by 'expending a great amount of money from his own treasury, without oppressing the people of the town and of the province by (exacting) taxes, forced labour, acts of affection (benevolences) and the like.'

As the Kshatrapa year 80 (A.D. 138) has been taken to be the date of close of Chashtāna's reign, and as five years may be allowed for the short reign¹ of Jayadāman, the beginning of the reign of Rudradāman may be supposed to have been about the year 65 (A.D. 143). This Gīrnār inscription gives 72 as the year in which Rudradāman was then reigning and it is fair to suppose that he reigned probably up to 80. The conclusion is that Rudradāman ruled from A.D. 143 to 158.²

Rudradāman was succeeded by his son Dāmāzada or Dāmājādāśrī regarding whom all the information available is obtained from six coins obtained by Dr. Bhagvānlāl.³ The workmanship of all six coins is good, after the type of Rudradāman's coins. On the obverse is a bust in the same style as Rudradāman's and round the bust is an illegible Greek legend. Like Rudradāman's coins these have no dates, a proof of their antiquity, as all later Kshatrapa coins have dates in Nāgarī numerals. The reverse has the usual sun and moon and between them the arched symbol with the zigzag under-line. Around them in three specimens is the following legend in old Nāgarī:

राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस रुद्रदामपुत्रस⁴ राज्ञः क्षत्रपस दामाजसदस

Rājño Mahākshatrapasa Rudradāmaputrassa Rājñah
Kshatrapasa Dāmajasyasadas.

Of the king the Kshatrapa Dāmāzada⁵ son of the king the
Kshatrapa Rudradāman.

Chapter V.

WESTERN
KSHATRAPAS,
A.D. 70-399.
Kshatrapa IV.
Rudradāman,
A.D. 143-158.

Kshatrapa V.
Dāmāzada or
Dāmājādāśrī,
A.D. 158-168.

¹ See above page 34.

² It seems doubtful whether the Pandit's estimate of fifteen years might not with advantage be increased. As his father's reign was so short Rudradāman probably succeeded when still young. The abundance of his coins points to a long reign and the scarcity of the coins both of his son Dāmāzada and of his grandson Jivadāman imply that neither of his successors reigned more than a few years. Jivadāman's earliest date is A.D. 178 (S. 100). If five years are allowed to Jivadāman's father the end of Rudradāman's reign would be A.D. 173 (S. 95) that is a reign of thirty years, no excessive term for a king who began to rule at a comparatively early age.—(A. M. T. J.)

³ Two specimens of his coins were obtained by Mr. Vajrahankar Gavrilhankar Nājib Diwān of Bhāvnagar, from Kāthiāwāḍa, one of which he presented to the Pandit and lent the other for the purpose of description. The legend in both was legible but doubtful. A recent find in Kāthiāwāḍa supplied four new specimens, two of them very good.

⁴ Apparently a mistake for रुद्रदाम्न, पुत्रस.

⁵ As in the case of Zainotika the father of Chashtāna, the variation दस for ज proves that at first दस and afterwards ज was used to represent the Greek Z.

Chapter V.

WESTERN
KSHATRAPA,
A.D. 70-398.
Kshatrpa V.
Dāmāzāda or
Dāmājadaśrī,
A.D. 158-168.

The legend on the other three is :

राज्ञे महाक्षत्रपस रुद्रदान्नः पुत्रस राज्ञः क्षत्रपस दामाजदश्रियः

Rājño Mahākṣatrapasa Rudradānnajyutrasa Rājñā
Kṣatrapasa Dāmājadaśrīyah.

Of the king the Kshatrpa Dāmājadaśrī son of the king
the great Kshatrpa Rudradāna.

Dāmāzāda and Dāmājadaśrī seem to be two forms of the same name, Dāmāzāda with ञ for Z being the name first struck, and Dāmājadaśrī, with the ordinary न for Z, and with Sri added to adorn the name and make it more euphonic, being the later form. It will be noted that, except by his son Jivadāman, Dāmāzāda or Dāmājadaśrī is not called a Mahākshatrpa but simply a Kshatrpa. His coins are very rare. The six mentioned are the only specimens known and are all from one find. He may therefore be supposed to have reigned as heir-apparent during the life-time of Rudradāman, or it is possible that he may have suffered loss of territory and power. His reign seems to have been short and may have terminated about 90 that is A.D. 168 or a little later.

Kshatrpa VI.
Jivadāman,
A.D. 178.

Dāmāzāda or Dāmājadaśrī was succeeded by his son Jivadāman. All available information regarding Jivadāman is from four rare coins obtained by Pandit Bhāgvānāl, which for purposes of description, he has named A, B, C, and D.¹ Coin A bears date 100 in Nāgarī numerals, the earliest date found on Kshatrpa coins. On the obverse is a bust in the usual Kshatrpa style with a plump young face of good workmanship. Round the bust is first the date 100 in Nāgarī numerals and after the date the Greek legend in letters which though clear cannot be made out. In these and in all later Kshatrpa coins merely the form of the Greek legend remains; the letters are imitations of Greek by men who could not read the original. On the reverse is the usual arched symbol between the sun and the moon, the sun being twelve-rayed as in the older Kshatrpa coins. Within the dotted circle in the margin is the following legend in old Nāgarī :

राज्ञे महाक्षत्रपस दामश्रियः पुत्रस राज्ञे महाक्षत्रपस जीवदान्नः]

Rājño Mahākṣatrapasa Dāmashrīyahputrasa Rājñō
Mahākṣatrapasa Jivadānnah.

Of the king the great Kshatrpa Jivadāman son of the king
the great Kshatrpa Dāmāśrī.

Coin B has the bust on the obverse with a face apparently older than the face in A. Unfortunately the die has slipped and the date has not been struck. Most of the Greek legend is very clear but as in coin A the result is meaningless. The letters are ΚΙΥΙΟΥΖΚΝΣΥΛ perhaps meant for Kuzulka. On the reverse are the usual three symbols, except

¹ The oldest of the four was found by the Pandit for Dr. Bhan Diji in Anrell. A fair copy of it is given in a plate which accompanied Mr. Justice Newton's paper in Jour. B. B. K. A. S. IX, page III, Plate I, Fig. 6. Mr. Newton read the father's name in the legend Dāmāśrī, the die having missed the letters न and ड though space is left for them. This is coin A of the description. Of the remaining three, B was lent to the Pandit from his collection by Mr. Vajeshankar Gavriashankar. C and D were in the Pandit's collection.

that the sun has seven instead of twelve rays. The legend is :

राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस दामजडस पुत्रस राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस जीवदामस

Rājño Mahākshatrapasa Dāmajaḍasaputrassa Rājño
Mahākshatrapasa Jivadāmasa.

Of the king the great Kshatrapa Jivadāman son of the
king the great Kshatrapa Dāmajaḍa.

Coin C though struck from a different die is closely like B both on the obverse and the reverse. Neither the Greek legend nor the date is clear, though enough remains of the lower parts of the numerals to suggest the date 118. Coin D is in obverse closely like C. The date 118 is clear. On the reverse the legend and the symbols have been twice struck. The same legend occurs twice, the second striking having obliterated the last letters of the legend which contained the name of the king whose coin it is :

राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस दामजडस पुत्रस

Rājño Mahākshatrapasa Dāmajaḍasaputrassa.

Of the son of the king the great Kshatrapa Dāmajaḍa.

In these four specimens Dāmasāri or Dāmajaḍa is styled Mahākshatrapa, while in his own coins he is simply called Kshatrapa. The explanation perhaps is that the known coins of Dāmasāri or Dāmajaḍa belong to the early part of his reign when he was subordinate to his father, and that he afterwards gained the title of Mahākshatrapa. Some such explanation is necessary as the distinction between the titles Kshatrapa and Mahākshatrapa is always carefully preserved in the earlier Kshatrapa coins. Except towards the close of the dynasty no ruler called Kshatrapa on his own coins is ever styled Mahākshatrapa on the coins of his son unless the father gained the more important title during his lifetime.

The dates and the difference in the style of die used in coining A and in coining B, C, and D are worth noting as the earliest coin has the date 100 and C and D the third and fourth coins have 118. If Jivadāman's reign lasted eighteen years his coins would be common instead of very rare. But we find between 102 and 118 numerous coins of Rudrasimha son of Rudradāman and paternal uncle of Jivadāman. These facts and the difference between the style of A and the style of B, C, and D which are apparently imitated from the coins of Rudrasimha and have a face much older than the face in A, tend to show that soon after his accession Jivadāman was deposed by his uncle Rudrasimha, on whose death or defeat in 118, Jivadāman again rose to power.

Rudrasimha the seventh Kshatrapa was the brother of Dāmajaḍasāri. Large numbers of his coins have been found. Of thirty obtained by Dr. Bhagvānlāl twenty have the following clearly cut dates : 103, 106, 108, 109, 110, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, and 118. As the earliest year is 103 and the latest 118 it is probable that Rudrasimha deposed his nephew Jivadāman shortly after Jivadāman's accession. Rudrasimha appears to have ruled fifteen years when power again passed to his nephew Jivadāman.

Chapter V.

WESTERN
KSHATRAPAS,
A.D. 70-393.

Kshatrapa VI.
Jivadāman,
A.D. 178.

Kshatrapa VII.
Rudrasimha I.
A.D. 181-196.

Chapter V.

WESTERN
KSHATRAPAS.
Kshatrapa VII.
Rudrasimha I.
A.D. 181-196.

The coins of Rudrasimha are of a beautiful type of good workmanship and with clear legends. The legend in old Nāgarī character reads :

राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस रुद्रदामपुत्रस राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस रुद्रसिंहस

Rājño Mahākṣhatrapasa Rudradāmaputrasa Rājño
Mahākṣhatrapasa Rudrasimhasa.

Of the king the great Kshatrapa Rudrasimha son of the
king the great Kshatrapa Rudradāma.

Rudrasimha had also a copper coinage of which specimens are recorded from Mālwa but not from Kāthiāvāḍa. Pamlit Bhagvānlāl had one specimen from Ujjain which has a bull on the obverse with the Greek legend round it and the date 117. The reverse seems to have held the entire legend of which only five letters रुद्रसिंहस (Rudrasimhasa) remain. This coin has been spoilt in cleaning.

To Rudrasimha's reign belongs the Gunda inscription carved on a stone found at the bottom of an unused well in the village of Gunda in Halār in North Kāthiāvāḍa.¹ It is in six well preserved lines of old Nāgarī letters of the Kshatrapa type. The writing records the digging and building of a well for public use on the borders of a village named Rasoputra by the commander-in-chief Rudrabhūti an Alhūra son of Senapati Bāpaka. The date is given both in words and in numerals as 103, 'in the year' of the king the Kshatrapa Svāmi Rudrasimha, apparently meaning in the year 103 during the reign of Rudrasimha. The genealogy given in the inscription is : 1 Chashtana ; 2 Jayadāman ; 3 Rudradāman ; 4 Rudrasimha, the order of succession being clearly defined by the text, which says that the fourth was the great grandson of the first, the grandson of the second, and the son of the third. It will be noted that Dāmajadaśrī and Jivadāman the fifth and sixth Kshatrapas have been passed over in this genealogy probably because the inscription did not intend to give a complete genealogy but only to show the descent of Rudrasimha in the direct line.

Kshatrapa VIII.
Rudrasena,
A.D. 203-220.

The eighth Kshatrapa was Rudrasena, son of Rudrasimha, as is clearly mentioned in the legends on his coins. His coins like his father's are found in large numbers. Of forty in Dr. Bhagvānlāl's collection twenty-seven bear the following eleven² dates, 125, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 138, 140, 142. The coins are of the usual Kshatrapa type closely like Rudrasimha's coins. The Nāgarī legend reads :

राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस रुद्रसिंहस पुत्रस राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस रुद्रसेनस

Rājño Mahākṣhatrapasa Rudrasimhasa putrasa Rājño
Mahākṣhatrapasa Rudrasenasa.

Of the king the great Kshatrapa Rudrasena son of the king
the great Kshatrapa Rudrasimha.

Two copper coins square and smaller than the copper coins of

¹ This inscription which has now been placed for safe custody in the temple of Dwarkānath in Jāmnagar, has been published by Dr. Bühler in Ind. Ant. X. 157-168, from a transcript by Acharya Vallabji Haridatta. Dr. Bhagvānlāl held that the date is 103 *tryottaravate* not 103 *dyottaravate* as read by Dr. Bühler ; that the name of the father of the donor is Bāpaka and not Bāhaka ; and that the name of the *mahakshatra* or constellation is Rohini not Śravana.

² Several coins have the same date.

Rudrasimha have been found in Ujjain¹ though none are recorded from Kāthiāvāḍa. On their obverse these copper coins have a facing bull and on the back the usual symbols and below them the year 140, but no legend. Their date and their Kshatrapa style show that they are coins of Rudrasena.

Besides coins two inscriptions one at Muliyāsar the other at Jaslan give information regarding Rudrasena. The Muliyāsar inscription, now in the library at Dwārka ten miles south-west of Muliyāsar, records the erection of an upright slab by the sons of one Vānījaka. This inscription bears date 122, the fifth of the dark half of Vaiśākha in the year 122 during the reign of Rudrasimha.² The Jaslan inscription, on a stone about five miles from Jasdan, belongs to the reign of this Kshatrapa. It is in six lines of old Kshatrapa Nāgarī characters shallow and dim with occasional engraver's mistakes, but on the whole well-preserved. The writing records the building of a pond by several brothers (names not given) of the Mānasasa gotra sons of Pranāthaka and grandsons of Kharā. The date is the 5th of the dark half of Bhādrapada 'in the year' 126.³ The genealogy is in the following order :

Mahākshatrapa Chaastana.
Kshatrapa Jayadāman.
Mahākshatrapa Rudradāman.
Mahākshatrapa Rudrasimha.
Mahākshatrapa Rudrasena.

Each of them is called Svāmī Lord and Bhādrāmukha Lucky-faced.⁴ As Rudrasena's reign began at least as early as 122, the second reign of Jayadāman is narrowed to four years or even less. As the latest date is 142 Rudrasena's reign must have lasted about twenty years.

After Rudrasena the next evidence on record is a coin of his son Prithivisena found near Amreli. Its workmanship is the same as that of Rudrasena's coins. It is dated 144 that is two years later than the last date on Rudrasena's coins. The legend runs :

राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस रुद्रसेनस पुत्रस राज्ञः क्षत्रपस प्रथिवीसेनस

Rājño Mahākshatrapasa Rudrasenasa putrasa Rājñah.
Kshatrapasa Prithivisennasa.

Of the king the Kshatrapa Prithivisena son of the king
the great Kshatrapa Rudrasena.

As this is the only known specimen of Prithivisena's coinage; as the earliest coin of Prithivisena's uncle the tenth Kshatrapa Saṅghadāman is dated 144; and also as Prithivisena is called only Kshatrapa he seems to have reigned for a short time perhaps as Kshatrapa of Surishtra or Kāthiāvāḍa and to have been ousted by his uncle Saṅghadāman.

Rudrasena was succeeded by his brother the Mahākshatrapa Saṅghadāman. His coins are very rare. Only two specimens have been

Chapter V.

WESTERN
KSHATRAPAS,
A.D. 70-395.

Kshatrapa VIII.
Rudrasena,
A.D. 203-220.

Kshatrapa IX.
Prithivisena,
A.D. 222.

Kshatrapa X.
Saṅghadāman,
A.D. 222-225.

¹ One is in the collection of the B. B. R. A. Society, the other belonged to the Pandit.

² An unpublished inscription found in 1865 by Mr. Bhagvādaji Sampatram.

³ The top of the third numeral is broken. It may be 7 but is more likely to be 6.

⁴ The Jaslan inscription has been published by Dr. Bhanu Dāji, J. B. E. A. S. VIII, 234ff, and by Dr. Hearnle, Ind. Ant. XII, 32ff.

Chapter V.

WESTERN
KĀSHĀTRĀPA,
A.D. 70-398,
Kāshātra X.
Saṅghadhāman,
A.D. 222-226.

obtained, of which one was in the Pandit's collection the other in the collection of Mr. Vajeshankar Gavrishankar.¹ They are dated 145 and 144. The legend in both reads :

राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस रुद्रसिंहस पुत्रस राजो महाक्षत्रपस संघदाज्ञ [:]

Rājño Mahākṣatrapasa Rudrasimhaṣa putrasa Rājño
Mahākṣatrapasa Saṅghadhāma.

Of the king the great Kāshātra Saṅghadhāman son of the king
the great Kāshātra Rudrasimha.

These two coins seem to belong to the beginning of Saṅghadhāman's reign. As the earliest coins of his successor Dāmasena are dated 148 Saṅghadhāman's reign seems not to have lasted over four years.²

¹ Five have recently been identified in the collection of Dr. Geran deCañha.

² His name, the fact that he regained the title Mahākṣatrapa, and his date about A.D. 225 suggest that Saṅghadhāman (A.D. 222-226) may be the Sandanes whom the Periplus (McCrindle, 128) describes as taking the regular mart Kalyān near Bombay from Sorasenae, that is the Dakṣha Sātakarnis, and, to prevent it again becoming a place of trade, forbidding all Greek ships to visit Kalyān, and sending under a guard to Broach any Greek ships that even by accident entered its port. The following reasons seem conclusive against identifying Saṅghadhāman with Sandanes: (1) The abbreviation from Saṅghadhāman to Sandanes seems excessive in the case of the name of a well known ruler who lived within thirty years of the probable time (A.D. 247) when the writer of the Periplus visited Gujārat and the Konkan; (2) The date of Saṅghadhāman (A.D. 222-226) is twenty to thirty years too early for the probable collection of the Periplus details; (3) Apart from the date of the Periplus the apparent distinction in the writer's mind between Sandanes' capture of Kalyān and his own time implies a longer lapse than suits a reign of only four years.

In favour of the Sandanes of the Periplus being a dynastic not a personal name is its close correspondence both in form and in geographical position with Ptolemy's (A.D. 150) Sandanis, who gave their name, Ariake Sandinon or the 'Sandine' Aria, to the North Konkan, and, according to McCrindle (Ptolemy, 39) in the time of Ptolemy ruled the prosperous trading communities that occupied the sea coast to about Pemalla or Chaul. The details in the present text show that some few years before Ptolemy wrote the conquests of Rudrasimha had brought the North Konkan under the Gujārat Kāshātrapas. Similarly shortly before the probable date of the Periplus (A.D. 247) the fact that Saṅghadhāman and his successors Dāmasena (A.D. 226-240) and Vijayasena (A.D. 238-249) all used the title Mahākṣatrapa makes their possession of the North Konkan probable. The available details of the Kāthiavāda Kāshātrapas therefore confirm the view that the Sandans of Ptolemy and the Sandanes of the Periplus are the Gujārat Kāshātrapas. The question remains how did the Greeks come to know the Kāshātrapas by the name of Sandan or Sandan. The answer seems to be the word Sandan or Sandan is the Sanskrit *Saddhāna* which according to Lassen (McCrindle's Ptolemy, 40) and Williams' Sanskrit Dictionary may mean agent or representative and may therefore be an accurate rendering of Kāshātrapa in the sense of Viceroy. Wilford (As. Res. IX, 76, 193) notices that Sanskrit writers give the early English in India the title *Sandhan* Engrez. This Wilford would translate Lord but it seems rather meant for a rendering of the word Factor. Prof. Bhattacharya (Bom. Gaz. XIII, 418 note 1) notices a tribe mentioned by the geographer Varahamihira (A.D. 590) as *Sāntikas* and associated with the *Apurandakas* or people of the west coast. He shows how according to the rules of letter changes the Sanskrit *Sāntika* would in Prakrit be *Sāndina*. In his opinion it was this form *Sāndina* which was familiar to Greek merchants and sailors. Prof. Bhattacharya holds that when (A.D. 100-110) the Kāshātrapa Nalupāna displaced the Sātavāhans or Andhrabhrityas the *Sāntikas* or *Sandino* became independent in the North Konkan and took Kalyān. To make their independence secure against the Kāshātrapas they forbade intercourse between their own territory and the Dakṣha and sent foreign ships to Barygma. Against this explanation it is to be urged: (1) That Nāik and Junnar inscriptions show Nalupāna supreme in the North Konkan at least up to A.D. 120; (2) That according to the Periplus the action taken by the Sandanes or Sandans was not against the Kāshātrapas but against the Sātakarnis; (3) That the action was not taken in the time of Nalupāna but at a later time, later not only than the first Gautamiputra the conqueror of Nalupāna or his son-in-law Ushavahata (A.D. 108), but later than the second Gautamiputra, who was defeated by the Kāthiavāda Kāshātrapa Rudrasimha some time before A.D. 150; (4) That if the *Sāntikas* were solely a North

Saughadāman was succeeded by his brother Dāmasena, whose coins are fairly common, of good workmanship, and clear lettering. Of twenty-three specimens eleven have the following dates: 148, 150, 153, 155, 156, 157, 158. The legend runs:

राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस रुद्रसिंहस पुत्रस राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस दामसेनस

Rājño Mahākshatrapasa Rudrasīṅhasa putrasa Rājño
Mahākshatrapasa Dāmasenasa.

Of the king the great Kshatrapa Dāmasena son of the king
the great Kshatrapa Rudrasīṅha.

Dāmasena seems to have reigned ten years (148-158) as coins of his son Viradāman are found dated 158.

Dāmājadāśrī the twelfth Kshatrapa is styled son of Rudrasena probably the eighth Kshatrapa. Dāmājadāśrī's coins are rare.¹ The legend runs:

राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस रुद्रसेनपुत्रस राज्ञःक्षत्रपस दामाजदश्रियः

Rājño Mahākshatrapasa Rudrasenaputrasa Rājñah Kshatrapasa
Dāmājadāśriyah.

Of the king the Kshatrapa Dāmājadāśrī son of the king
the great Kshatrapa Rudrasena.

Five specimens, the only specimens on record, are dated 154.² As 154 falls in the reign of Dāmasena it seems probable that Dāmājadāśrī was either a minor or a viceroy or perhaps a ruler claiming independence, as about this time the authority of the main dynasty seems to have been much disputed.

Konkan tribe they would neither wish nor be able to send foreign ships to Broach. The action described in the *Periplus* of refusing to let Greek ships enter Kalyān and of sending all such ships to Broach was the action of a Gujarāt conqueror of Kalyān determined to make foreign trade centre in his own chief emporium Broach. The only possible lord of Gujarāt either in the second or third century who can have adopted such a policy was the Kshatrapa of Ujjain in Māwa and of Minnagara or Junnagarh in Kāthiāvādh, the same ruler, who to encourage foreign vessels to visit Broach had (McCrindle's *Periplus*, 118, 119) stationed native fishermen with well-manned long boats off the south Kāthiāvādh coast to meet ships and pilot them through the tidal and other dangers up the Narbada to Broach. It follows that the Sandanes of the *Periplus* and Ptolemy's North Konkan Sādāna are the Gujarāt Mahākshatrapas. The correctness of this identification of Sādān with the Sanskrit Sādhan and the explanation of Sādhan as a translation of Kshatrapa or representative receive confirmation from the fact that the account of Kālikāchārya in the Bhārataswara Ypiti (J. B. B. R. A. S. IX, 141-142), late in date (A.D. 1000-1100) but with notable details of the Saka or Sahl invaders, calls the Saka king Sādhanas-Sipha. If on this evidence it may be held that the Kshatrapas were known as Sādhanas, it seems to follow that Sāntika the form used by Vardhamāhira (A.D. 503-557) is a conscious and intentional Sanskritizing of Sādhan whose correct form and origin had passed out of knowledge, a result which would suggest conscious or artificial Sanskritizing as the explanation of the forms of many Pūrdānic tribal and place names. A further important result of this inquiry is to show that the received date of A.D. 70 for the *Periplus* cannot stand. Now that the Kanishka era A.D. 78 is admitted to be the era used by the Kshatrapas both in the Dakhan and in Gujarāt it follows that a writer who knows the elder and the younger Sātakarnis cannot be earlier than A.D. 100 and from the manner in which he refers to them must almost certainly be considerably later. This conclusion supports the date A.D. 247 which on other weighty grounds the French scholar Reinoud (Ind. Ant. Dec. 1879, pp. 330, 335) has assigned to the *Periplus*.

¹ The Pandit's coin was obtained by him in 1863 from Anrelli in Kāthiāvādh. A copy of it is given by Mr. Justice Newton who calls Saughadāman son of Rudrasīṅha (Jour. B. B. R. A. S. IX, Pl. I, Fig. 7). The other specimen is better preserved.

² One of these coins was lent to the Pandit by Mr. Vajeshankar Gavishankar.

Chapter V.

WESTERN
KSHATRAPAS,
A.D. 70-398.

Kshatrapa XI.
Dāmasena,
A.D. 226-236.

Kshatrapa XII.
Dāmājadāśrī II.
A.D. 236.

Chapter V.

WESTERN
KSHATRAPAS,
A.D. 70-398.

After Dāmasena we find coins of three of his sons Viradāman Yaśadāman and Vijayasena. Viradāman's coins are dated 158 and 163, Yaśadāman's 160 and 161, and Vijayasena's earliest 160. Of the three brothers Viradāman who is styled simply Kshatrapa probably held only a part of his father's dominions. The second brother Yaśadāman, who at first was a simple Kshatrapa, in 161 claims to be Mahākshatrapa. The third brother Vijayasena, who as early as 160, is styled Mahādēvtrapa, probably defeated Yaśadāman and secured the supreme rule.

Viradāman's coins are fairly common. Of twenty-six in Pandit Bhagvānlāl's collection, nineteen were found with a large number of his brother Vijayasena's coins. The legend reads :

राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस दामसेनस पुत्रस राज्ञो क्षत्रपस वीरदाम्नः

Rājño Mahākshatrapasa Dāmasenasa putrasa Rājño
Kshatrapasa Viradāmanah.

Of the king the Kshatrapa Viradāman son of the king
the great Kshatrapa Dāmasena.

Of the twenty-six ten are clearly dated, six with 158 and four with 160.

Yaśadāman's coins are rare. Pandit Bhagvānlāl's collection contained seven.¹ The bust on the obverse is a good imitation of the bust on his father's coins. Still it is of inferior workmanship, and starts the practice which later Kshatrapas continued of copying their predecessor's image. On only two of the seven specimens are the dates clear, 160 and 161. The legend on the coin dated 160 is :

राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस दामसेनस पुत्रस राज्ञो क्षत्रपस यशदाम्नः

Rājño Mahākshatrapasa Dāmasenasa putrasa Rājño
Kshatrapasa Yaśadāmanah.

Of the king the great Kshatrapa Yaśadāman son of the
king the great Kshatrapa Dāmasena.

On the coin dated 161 the legend runs :

राज्ञो महक्षत्रपस दामसेनस पुत्रस राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस यशदाम्नः

Rājño Mahākshatrapasa Dāmasenasa putrasa Rājño
Mahākshatrapasa Yaśadāmanah.

Of the king the great Kshatrapa Yaśadāman son of the
king the great Kshatrapa Dāmasena.

Vijayasena's coins are common. As many as 167 were in the Pandit's collection. Almost all are of good workmanship, well preserved, and clearly lettered. On fifty-four of them the following dates can be clearly read, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 170, and 171. This would give Vijayasena a reign of at least eleven years from 160 to 171 (A.D. 238-249). The legend reads :

राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस दामसेनपुत्रस राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस विजयसेनस

Rājño Mahākshatrapasa Dāmasenaputrasa Rājño
Mahākshatrapasa Vijayasenasa.

Of the king the great Kshatrapa Vijayasena son of the
king the great Kshatrapa Dāmasena.

¹ One specimen in the collection of Mr. Vajrahankar bears date 158.

Kshatrapa XIII.
Viradāman,
A.D. 236-238.

Kshatrapa XIV.
Yaśadāman,
A.D. 239.

Kshatrapa XV.
Vijayasena,
A.D. 238-249.

In two good specimens of Vijayasena's coins with traces of the date 166 he is styled Kshatrpa. This the Pandit could not explain.¹

Vijayasena was succeeded by his brother Dāmōjadasri III. called Mahākshatrpa on his coins. His coins which are comparatively uncommon are inferior in workmanship to the coins of Vijayasena. Of seven in the Pandit's collection three are dated 174, 175, and 176.

After Dāmōjadasri come coins of Rudrasena II. son of Viradāman, the earliest of them bearing date 178. As the latest coins of Vijayasena are dated 171, 173 may be taken as the year of Dāmōjadasri's succession. The end of his reign falls between 176 and 178, its probable length is about five years. The legend on his coins reads :

राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस दामसेनपुत्रस राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस दामाजडश्रियः

Rājño Mahākshatrapasa Dāmasenaputrassa Rājño Mahākshatrapasa
Dāmōjadashriyah.

Of the king the great Kshatrpa Dāmōjadasri son of the king
the great Kshatrpa Dāmasena.

Dāmōjadasri III. was succeeded by Rudrasena II. son of Dāmōjadasri's brother Viradāman the thirteenth Kshatrpa. Rudrasena II.'s coins like Vijayasena's are found in great abundance. They are of inferior workmanship and inferior silver. Of eighty-four in Dr. Bhagavānlāl's collection eleven bore the following clear dates : 178, 180, 183, 185, 186, 188, and 190. The earliest of 178 probably belongs to the beginning of Rudrasena's reign as the date 176 occurs on the latest coins of his predecessor. The earliest coins of his son and successor Viśvasimha are dated 198. As Viśvasimha's coins are of bad workmanship with doubtful legend and date we may take the end of Rudrasena II.'s reign to be somewhere between 190 and 198 or about 194. This date would give Rudrasena a reign of about sixteen years, a length of rule supported by the large number of his coins. The legend reads :

राज्ञो क्षत्रपस वीरदामपुत्रस राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस रुद्रसेनस

Rājño Kshatrapasa Vīradāmaputrassa Rājño Mahākshatrapasa Rudrasenasa.

Of the king the great Kshatrpa Rudrasena son of the
king the Kshatrpa Vīradāma.

Rudrasena was succeeded by his son Viśvasimha. In style and abundance Viśvasimha's coins are on a par with his father's. They are carelessly struck with a bad die and in most the legend is faulty often omitting the date. Of fifty-six in the Pandit's collection only four bear legible dates, one with 198, two with 200, and one with 201. The date 201 must be of the end of Viśvasimha's reign as a coin of his brother Bhartṛhādāman is dated 200. It may therefore be held that Viśvasimha reigned for the six years ending 200 (A.D. 272-278). The legend reads :

राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस रुद्रसेनपुत्रस राज्ञः क्षत्रपस विश्वसिंहस.

Rājño Mahākshatrapasa Rudrasenaputrassa Rājñah
Kshatrapasa Viśvasimhasa.

Of the king the Kshatrpa Viśvasimha son of the king
the great Kshatrpa Rudrasena.

Chapter V.

WESTERN
KSHATRAPAS,
A.D. 70-395.

Kshatrpa XVI.
Dāmōjadasri,
A.D. 250-255.

Kshatrpa XVII.
Rudrasena II.,
A.D. 256-272.

Kshatrpa XVIII.
Viśvasimha,
A.D. 272-278.

¹ One of them was lent by Mr. Vajeshankar Govrishankar.

Chapter V.

WESTERN
KSHATRAPAS,
A.D. 70-398.

It is not known whether Viśvasimha's loss of title was due to his being subordinate to some overlord, or whether during his reign the Kshatrapas suffered defeat and loss of territory. The probable explanation seems to be that he began his reign in a subordinate position and afterwards rose to supreme rule.

Kshatrapa XIX.
Bhartridāman,
A.D. 278-294.

Viśvasimha was succeeded by his brother Bhartridāman.¹ His coins which are found in large numbers are in style and workmanship inferior even to Viśvasimha's coins. Of forty-five in the Pandit's collection seven bear the dates 202, 207, 210, 211, and 214. As the earliest coin of his successor is dated 218, Bhartridāman's reign seems to have lasted about fourteen years from 202 to 216 (A.D. 278-294). Most of the coin legends style Bhartridāman Mahākshatrapa though in a few he is simply styled Kshatrapa. This would seem to show that like his brother Viśvasimha he began as a Kshatrapa and afterwards gained the rank and power of Mahākshatrapa.

In Bhartridāman's earlier coins the legend reads:

राज्ञे महाक्षत्रपस रुद्रसेनपुत्रस राज्ञः क्षत्रपस भर्तृदाम्नः

Rājño Mahākshatrapasa Rudrasenaputrasa Rājñah
Kshatrapasa Bhartridāmanah.

Of the king the Kshatrapa Bhartridāman son of the king
the great Kshatrapa Rudrasena.

In the later coins the legend is the same except that महाक्षत्रपस the great Kshatrapa takes the place of क्षत्रपस the Kshatrapa.

Kshatrapa XX.
Viśvasena,
A.D. 294-300.

Bhartridāman was succeeded by his son Viśvasena the twentieth Kshatrapa. His coins are fairly common, and of bad workmanship, the legend imperfect and carelessly struck, the obverse rarely dated. Of twenty-five in Dr. Bhagvānlāl's collection, only three bear doubtful dates one 218 and two 222. The legend reads:

राज्ञे महाक्षत्रपस भर्तृदामपुत्रस राज्ञः क्षत्रपस विश्वसेनस,

Rājño Mahākshatrapasa Bhartridāmaputrasa Rājñah
Kshatrapasa Viśvasenasa.

Of the king the Kshatrapa Viśvasena son of the king the
Mahākshatrapa Bhartridāman.

It would seem from the lower title of Kshatrapa which we find given to Viśvasena and to most of the later Kshatrapas that from about 220 (A.D. 298) the Kshatrapa dominion lost its importance.

A hoard of coins found in 1861 near Karād on the Krishna, thirty-one miles south of Sātara, suggests² that the Kshatrapas retained the North Konkan and held a considerable share of the West Dakkan down to the time of Viśvasena (A.D. 300). The hoard includes coins of the six following rulers: Vijayasena (A.D. 238-249), his brother Dāmājadaśtri III, (A.D. 251-255), Rudrasena II. (A.D. 256-272) son of Virādāman, Viśvasimha (A.D. 272-278) son of Rudrasena, Bhartridāman (A.D. 278-294) son of Rudrasena II., and Viśvasena (A.D. 296-300) son of Bhartridāman. It may be argued that this Karād hoard is of no historical value being the chance importation of some Gujarāt pilgrim to the Krishna. The following considerations favour the

¹ This name has generally been read Atiridāman.

² Jour. B. R. R. A. S. VII. 15.

view that the contents of the hoard furnish evidence of the local rule of the kings whose coins have been found at Karād. The date (A.D. 238-249) of Vijayasena, the earliest king of the hoard, agrees well with the spread of Gujarāt power in the Dakhan as it follows the overthrow both of the west (A.D. 180-200) and of the east (A.D. 220) Śātakarnis, while it precedes the establishment of any later west Dakhan dynasty: (2) All the kings whose coins occur in the hoard were Mahākshatrapas and from the details in the Periplus (A.D. 247), the earliest, Vijayasena, must have been a ruler of special wealth and power: (3) That the coins cease with Viśvasena (A.D. 296-300) is in accord with the fact that Viśvasena was the last of the direct line of Chashtana, and that with or before the close of Viśvasena's reign the power of the Gujarāt Kshatrapas declined. The presumption that Kshatrapa power was at its height during the reigns of the kings whose coins have been found at Karād is strengthened by the discovery at Amravati in the Berars of a hoard of coins of the Mahākshatrapa Rudrasena (H. ?) (A.D. 256-272) son of the Mahākshatrapa Dāmdijaherī.¹

Whether the end of Chashtana's direct line was due to their conquest by some other dynasty or to the failure of heirs is doubtful. Whatever may have been the cause, after an interval of about seven years (A.D. 300-303) an entirely new king appears, Rudrasimha son of Jivadāman. As Rudrasimha's father Jivadāman is simply called Svāmi he may have been some high officer under the Kshatrapa dynasty. That Rudrasimha is called a Kshatrapa may show that part of the Kshatrapa dominion which had been lost during the reign of Viśvasena was given to some distant member or seion of the Kshatrapa dynasty of the name of Rudrasimha. The occurrence of political changes is further shown by the fact that the coins of Rudrasimha are of a better type than those of the preceding Kshatrapas. Rudrasimha's coins are fairly common. Of twelve in Dr. Bhagvānlāl's collection five are clearly dated, three 230, one 231, and one 240. This leaves a blank of seven years between the last date of Viśvasena and the earliest date of Rudrasimha. The legend reads:

स्वामिजीवदामपुत्रस राज्ञः क्षत्रपस रुद्रसिंहस

Svāmi Jivadāma putrasa Rājñah Kshatrapasa Rudrasimhasa.

Of the king the Kshatrapa Rudrasimha son of Svāmi Jivadāman.

Rudrasimha was succeeded by his son Yaśadāman whose coins are rather rare. Of three in Dr. Bhagvānlāl's collection two are dated 239, apparently the first year of Yaśadāman's reign as his father's latest coins are dated 240. Like his father Yaśadāman is simply called Kshatrapa. The legend reads:

राज्ञः क्षत्रपस रुद्रसिंहपुत्रस राज्ञः क्षत्रपस यशदाम्नः

Rājñah Kshatrapasa Rudrasimhaputrasa Rājñah
Kshatrapasa Yaśadāmanah.

Of the king the Kshatrapa Yaśadāman son of the
king the Kshatrapa Rudrasimha.

Chapter V.

WESTERN
KSHATRAPAS,
A.D. 70-528.
Kshatraps XX.
Viśvasena.
A.D. 294-300.

Kshatraps XXI.
Rudrasimha,
A.D. 308-311.

Kshatraps XXII.
Yaśadāman,
A.D. 320.

¹ See below Chapter VI. page 57.

Chapter V.

WESTERN
KSHATRAPAS,
A.D. 70-325.

Kshatrapa
XXIII.
Damasiri,
A.D. 320.

The coins found next after Yaśadāman's are those of Dāmasiri who was probably the brother of Yaśadāman as he is mentioned as the son of Rudrasimha. The date though not very clear is apparently 242. Only one coin of Dāmasiri's is recorded. In the style of face and in the form of letters it differs from the coins of Yaśadāman, with which except for the date and the identity of the father's name any close connection would seem doubtful. The legend on the coin of Dāmasiri reads:

राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस रुद्रसिंहस पुत्रस राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस दामसिरिस.

Rājño Mahākṣatrapasa Rudrasimhasaputrasa Rājño
Mahākṣatrapasa Dāmasirisa.

Of the king the great Kshatrapa Dāmasiri son of the king the
great Kshatrapa Rudrasimha.

It will be noted that in this coin both Rudrasimha and Dāmasiri are called great Kshatrapas, while in his own coin and in the coins of his son Yaśadāman, Rudrasimha is simply styled Kshatrapa. It is possible that Dāmasiri may have been more powerful than Yaśadāman and consequently taken to himself the title of Mahākshatrapa. The application of the more important title to a father who in life had not enjoyed the title is not an uncommon practice among the later Kshatrapas. The rarity of Dāmasiri's coins shows that his reign was short.

After Dāmasiri comes a blank of about thirty years. The next coin is dated 270. The fact that, contrary to what might have been expected, the coins of the later Kshatrapas are less common than those of the earlier Kshatrapas, seems to point to some great political change during the twenty-seven years ending 270 (A.D. 321-348).

Kshatrapa
XXIV.
Rudrasena,
A.D. 348-370.

The coin dated 270 belongs to Svāmi Rudrasena son of Svāmi Rudradāman both of whom the legend styles Mahākshatrapas. The type of the coin dated 270 is clearly adapted from the type of the coins of Yaśadāman. Only two of Rudrasena's coins dated 270 are recorded. But later coins of the same Kshatrapa of a different style are found in large numbers. Of fifty-four in the Pandit's collection, twelve have the following dates 286, 290, 292, 293, 294, 296, and 298. The difference in the style of the two sets of coins and the blank between 270 and 288 leave no doubt that during those years some political change took place. Probably Rudrasena was for a time overthrown but again came to power in 288 and maintained his position till 298. Besides calling both himself and his father Mahākshatrapas Rudrasena adds to both the attribute Svāmi. As no coin of Rudrasena's father is recorded it seems probable the father was not an independent ruler and that the legend on Rudrasena's coins is a further instance of a son ennobling his father. The legend is the same both in the earlier coins of 270 and in the later coins ranging from 288 to 298. It reads:

राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस स्वामिरुद्रदामपुत्रस राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस स्वामिरुद्रसेनस.

Rājño Mahākṣatrapasa Svāmi Rudradāmaputrasa Rājño
Mahākṣatrapasa Svāmi Rudrasenasa.

Of the king the great Kshatrapa Svāmi Rudrasena son of the king
the great Kshatrapa Svāmi Rudradāman.

After Rudrasena come coins of Kshatrapa Rudrasena son of Satyasena. These coins are fairly common. Of five in the Pandit's collection through faulty minting none are dated. General Cunningham mentions coins of Kshatrapa Rudrasena dated 300, 304, and 310.¹ This would seem to show that he was the successor of Rudrasena son of Rudradaman and that his reign extended to over 310. The legend on these coins runs:

राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस स्वामिसत्यसेनपुत्रस राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस स्वामिरुद्रसेनस.

Rājño Mahākshatrapasa Svāmi Satyasenaputrasa Rājño
Mahākshatrapasa Svāmi Rudrasenasa.

Of the king the great Kshatrapa Svāmi Rudrasena son
of the king the great Kshatrapa Svāmi Satyasena.

Of Rudrasena's father Satyasena no coin is recorded and as this Rudrasena immediately succeeds Rudrasena IV. son of Rudradaman, there is little doubt that Satyasena was not an actual ruler with the great title Mahākshatrapa, but that this was an honorific title given to the father when his son attained to sovereignty. General Cunningham records that a coin of this Rudrasena IV. was found along with a coin of Chandragupta II. in a *stūpa* at Sultānganj on the Ganges about fifteen miles south-east of Mongir.²

With Rudrasena IV. the evidence from coins comes almost to a close. Only one coin in Dr. Bhagvānlāl's collection is clearly later than Rudrasena IV. In the form of the bust and the style of the legend on the reverse this specimen closely resembles the coins of Rudrasena IV. Unfortunately owing to imperfect stamping it bears no date. The legend reads:

राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस स्वामि रुद्रसेनस राज्ञो महाक्षत्रपस स्वसीवस्य स्वामिसिंहसेनस,

Rājño Mahākshatrapasa Svāmi Rudrasenasa Rājño Mahākshatrapasa
svasīyasya Svāmi Simhasenasa.

Of the king the great Kshatrapa Svāmi Simhasena, sister's son of
the king the great Kshatrapa Svāmi Rudrasena.

This legend would seem to show that Rudrasena IV. left no issue and was succeeded by his nephew Simhasena. The extreme rarity of Simhasena's coins proves that his reign was very short.

The bust and the characters in one other coin show it to be of later date than Simhasena. Unfortunately the legend is not clear. Something like the letters राज्ञो क्षत्रपस Rājño Kshatrapasa may be traced in one place and something like पुत्रस स्कन्द Putrasa Skanda in another place. Dr. Bhagvānlāl took this to be a Gujarāt Kshatrapa of unknown lineage from whom the Kshatrapa dominion passed to the Guptas.

Along with the coins of the regular Kshatrapas coins of a Kshatrapa of unknown lineage named Išvaradatta have been found in Kāthiāwāḍa. In general style, in the bust and the corrupt Greek legend on the obverse, and in the form of the old Nāgarī legend

Chapter V.

WESTERN
KSHATRAPAS,
A.D. 70-305.

Kshatrapa XXV.
Rudrasena,
A.D. 308-368.

Kshatrapa
XXVI.
Simhasena.

Kshatrapa
XXVII.
Skanda.

Išvaradatta,
A.D. 230-250.

¹ Cunningham's Arch. Surv. X. 137; XV. 29-30.

² This coin of Rudrasena may have been taken so far from Gujarāt by the Gujarāt monk in whose honour the *stūpa* was built.

Chapter V.

WHATEVER
KSHATRAPAS,
A.D. 70-205.
Kshatrapa
XXVIII.
Īśvaradatta,
A.D. 233-250.

on the reverse, Īśvaradatta's coins closely resemble those of the fifteenth Kshatrapa Vijayasena (A.D. 238-249). At the same time the text of the Nāgarī legend differs from that on the reverse of the Kshatrapa coins by omitting the name of the ruler's father and by showing in words Īśvaradatta's date in the year of his own reign. The legend is:

राज्ञौ महाक्षत्रपस ईश्वरदत्तस वर्षे प्रथमे,

Rājāo Mahākshatrapasa Īśvaradattasa varṣhe prathamae.
In the first year of the king the great Kshatrapa Īśvaradatta.

Most of the recorded coins of Īśvaradatta have this legend. In one specimen the legend is

वर्षे द्वितीये.

Varṣhe dvitīye.
In the second year.

It is clear from this that Īśvaradatta's reign did not last long. His peculiar name and his separate date leave little doubt that he belonged to some distinct family of Kshatrapas. The general style of his coins shows that he cannot have been a late Kshatrapa while the fact that he is called Mahākshatrapa seems to show he was an independent ruler. No good evidence is available for fixing his date. As already mentioned the workmanship of his coins brings him near to Vijayasena (A.D. 238-249). In Nāsik Cave X, the letters of Inscription XV, closely correspond with the letters of the legends on Kshatrapa coins, and probably belong to almost the same date as the inscription of Rudrādaman on the Gīrnār rock that is to about A.D. 150. The absence of any record of the Andhras except the name of the king Madharīputa Sirisena or Sakasena (A.D. 180), makes it probable that after Yajñaśrī Gautamīputra (A.D. 150) Andhra power waned along the Konkan and South Gujarāt seaboard. According to the Purāṇas the Abhīras succeeded to the dominion of the Andhras. It is therefore possible that the Abhīra king Īśvarasena of Nāsik Inscription XV, was one of the Abhīra conquerors of the Andhras who took from them the West Dakhan. A migration of Abhīras from Ptolemy's Abiria in Upper Sindh through Sindh by sea to the Konkan and thence to Nāsik is within the range of possibility. About fifty years later king Īśvaradatta¹ who was perhaps of the same family as the Abhīra king of the Nāsik inscription seems to have conquered the kingdom of Kshatrapa Vijayasena, adding Gujarāt, Kāthiāwāḍa, and part of the Dakhan to his other territory. In honour of this great conquest he may have taken the title Mahākshatrapa and struck coins in the Gujarāt Kshatrapa style but in an era reckoned from the date of his own conquest. Īśvaradatta's success was shortlived. Only two years later (that is about A.D. 252) the Mahākshatrapa Dāmājadāsī won back the lost Kshatrapa territory. The fact that Īśvaradatta's recorded coins belong to only two years and that the break between the regular

¹ Īśvaradatta's name ends in *datta* as does also that of Śivadatta the father of king Īśvarasena of the Nāsik inscription.

Kshatrapas Vijayasena and Dāmasājadaśri did not last more than two or three years gives support to this explanation.¹

The following table gives the genealogy of the Western Kshatrapas :

Chapter V.

WESTERN
KSHATRAPAS,
A.D. 70-308.

¹ Dr. Bhagvānīlāl's suggestion that Vijayasena (A.D. 238-249) was defeated by the Abhir or Alār king Śivaradatta who entered Gujrat from the North Konkan seems open to question. First as regards the suggestion that Vijayasena was the Kshatrapa whose power Śivaradatta overthrew it is to be noticed that though the two coinless years (A.D. 249-251) between the last coin of Vijayasena and the earliest coin of Dāmasājadaśri agree with the recorded length of Śivaradatta's supremacy the absence of coins is not in itself proof of a reverse or loss of Kshatrapa power between the reigns of Vijayasena and Dāmasājadaśri. It is true the Pandit considers that Śivaradatta's coins closely resemble those of Vijayasena. At the same time he also (Nāsik Stat. Acct. 624) thought them very similar to Virādaman's (A.D. 236-238) coins. Virādaman's date so immediately precedes Vijayasena's that in many respects their coins must be closely alike. It is to be noted that A.D. 230-235 the time of rival Kshatrapas among whom Virādaman was one (especially the time between A.D. 236 and 238 during which none of the rivals assumed the title Mahākshatrapa) was suitable to (perhaps was the result of) a successful invasion by Śivaradatta, and that this same invasion may have been the cause of the transfer of the capital, noted in the Periplus (A.D. 247) as having taken place some years before, from Ozene or Ujjain to Minagara or Junagadh (McCrindle, 114, 122). On the other hand the fact that Vijayasena regained the title of Mahākshatrapa and handed it to his successor Dāmasājadaśri III. would seem to show that no reverse or humiliation occurred during the coinless years (A.D. 249-251) between their reigns, a supposition which is supported by the flourishing state of the kingdom at the time of the Periplus (A.D. 247) and also by the evidence that both the above Kshatrapas ruled near Karād in Sātara. At the same time if the difference between Virādaman's and Vijayasena's coins is sufficient to make it unlikely that Śivaradatta's can be copies of Virādaman's it seems possible that the year of Śivaradatta's overlordship may be the year A.D. 244 (K. 166) in which Vijayasena's coins bear the title Kshatrapa, and that the assumption of this lower title in the middle of a reign, which with this exception throughout claims the title Mahākshatrapa, may be due to the temporary necessity of acknowledging the supremacy of Śivaradatta. With reference to the Pandit's suggestion that Śivaradatta was an Abhira the fact noted above of a trace of Kshatrapa rule at Karād thirty-one miles south of Sātara together with the fact that they held Ajaypata or the Konkan makes it probable that they reached Karād by Chiplūn and the Kumbharli pass. That the Kshatrapas entered the Dakhan by so southerly a route instead of by some one of the more central Thāna passes, seems to imply the presence of some hostile power in Nāsik and Khāndesh. This after the close of the second century A.D. could hardly have been the Andhras or Sātākarnis. It may therefore be presumed to have been the Anulira's successors the Abhiras. As regards the third suggestion that Kshatrapa Gujrat was overrun from the North Konkan it is to be noted that the evidence of connection between Śivarasena of the Nāsik inscription (Cave X. No. 13) and Śivaradatta of the coins is limited to a probable nearness in time and a somewhat slight similarity in name. On the other hand no inscription or other record points to Abhira ascendancy in the North Konkan or South Gujrat. The presence of an Abhira power in the North Konkan seems inconsistent with Kshatrapa rule at Kalyān and Karād in the second half of the third century. The position allotted to Aberia in the Periplus (McCrindle, 113) inland from Surastrene, apparently in the neighbourhood of Thar and Pārkar, the finding of Śivaradatta's coins in Kāthiavāḍa (Nāsik Gazetteer, XIII. 624); and (perhaps between A.D. 230 and 240) the transfer westwards of the headquarters of the Kshatrapa kingdom seem all to point to the east rather than to the south, as the side from which Śivaradatta invaded Gujrat. At the same time the reference during the reign of Rudrasimha I. (A.D. 351) to the Abhira Rudrabhūti who like his father was Senāpati or Commander-in-Chief suggests that Śivaradatta may have been not a foreigner but a revolted general. This supposition, his assumption of the title Mahākshatrapa, and the finding of his coins only in Kāthiavāḍa to a certain extent confirm.

THE WESTERN KSHATRAPAS.

Chapter V.

The Kshatrapa
Family Tree.

CHAPTER VI.

THE TRAIKŪṬAKAS

(A.D. 250-450.)

THE materials regarding the Traikūṭakas, though meagre, serve to show that they were a powerful dynasty who rose to consequence about the time of the middle Kshatrapas (A.D. 250). All the recorded information is in two copperplates, one the Kanheri copperplate found by Dr. Bird in 1839,¹ the other a copperplate found at Pārdi near Balsār in 1885.² Both plates are dated, the Kanheri plate 'in the year two hundred and forty-five of the increasing rule of the Traikūṭakas'; the Pārdi plate in Samvat 207 clearly figured. The Kanheri plate contains nothing of historical importance; the Pārdi plate gives the name of the donor as Dahrasena or Dharasena 'the illustrious great king of the Traikūṭakas.' Though it does not give any royal name the Kanheri plate expressly mentions the date as the year 245 of the increasing rule of the Traikūṭakas. The Pārdi plate gives the name of the king as 'of the Traikūṭakas' but merely mentions the date as Sam. 207. This date though not stated to be in the era of the Traikūṭakas must be taken to be dated in the same era as the Kanheri plate seeing that the style of the letters of both plates is very similar.

The initial date must therefore have been started by the founder of the dynasty and the Kanheri plate proves the dynasty must have lasted at least 245 years. The Pārdi plate is one of the earliest copper-plate grants in India. Neither the genealogy nor even the usual three generations including the father and grandfather are given, nor like later plates does it contain a wealth of stributes. The king is called 'the great king of the Traikūṭakas,' the performer of the *asvamedha* or horse-sacrifice, a distinction bespeaking a powerful sovereign. It may therefore be supposed that Dahrasena held South Gujarāt to the Narbāda together with part of the North Konkan and of the Ghāt and Dakhan plateau.

What then was the initial date of the Traikūṭakas? Ten Gujarāt copper-plates of the Gurjjaras and Chalukyas are dated in an unknown era with Sam. followed by the date figures as in the Pārdi plate and as in Gupta inscriptions. The earliest is the fragment from Sāṅkheḍā in the Baroda State dated Sam. 346, which would fall in the reign of Dadda I. of Broach.³ Next come the two Kaira grants of the Gurjara king Dadda Pravarāntarāga dated Sam. 380 and Sam. 385⁴; and the Sāṅkheḍā grant of Ranagraha dated Sam. 391⁵; then the Kaira grant of the Chalukya king Vijayarāja or Vijayavarman dated Samvatsara 391⁶; then the Bagumrā grant of the Sendraka chief Nikumbhalla-

Chapter VI.

TRAIKUTAKAS,
A.D. 250-450.

Two Plates.

Initial Date.

¹ Cave Temple Inscriptions, Bom. Arch. Sur. Sep. Number XI. page 57B.

² J. R. B. R. A. S. XVI. 546. ³ Epigraphia Indica, II. 19. ⁴ Ind. Ant. XIII. 81E.

⁵ Ep. Ind. II. 20.

⁶ Ind. Ant. VII. 248E. Dr. Bhandarkar (Early Hist. of the Deccan, 42 note 7) has given reasons for believing this grant to be a forgery.

Chapter VI.

TRAIKUTARAN,
A.D. 250-460.

Initial Date.

śakti¹; two grants from Navsāri and Surat of the Chalukya king Śīlāditya Sryāśraya dated 421 and 443²; two the Navsari and Kāvi grants of the Gurjjara king Jayabhata dated respectively Sam. 456 and Sam. 486³; and a grant of Pulakesi dated Samvat 490.⁴

Of these the grant dated 421 speaks of Śīlāditya Sryāśraya as Yuvarāja or heir-apparent and as the son of Jayasinhavarman. The plate further shows that Jayasinhavarman was brother of Vikramāditya and son of Pulakesi Vallabha 'the conqueror of the northern king Harshavardhana.' The name Jayasinhavarman does not occur in any copperplate of the main line of the Western Chalukyas of the Dakhan. That he is called Mahārāja or great king and that his son Śīlāditya is called Yuvarāja or heir-apparent suggest that Jayasinhavarman was the founder of the Gujarāt branch of the Western Chalukyas and that his great Dakhan brother Vikramāditya was his overlord, a relation which would explain the mention of Vikramāditya in the genealogy of the copper-plate. Vikramāditya's reign ended in A.D. 680 (Saka 602).⁵ Supposing our grant to be dated in this last year of Vikramāditya, Samvat 421 should correspond to Saka 602, which gives Saka 181 or A.D. 259 as the initial date of the era in which the plate is dated. Probably the plate was dated earlier in the reign of Vikramāditya giving A.D. 250. In any case the era used cannot be the Gupta era whose initial year is now finally settled to be A.D. 319.

The second grant of the same Śīlāditya is dated Samvat 443. In it, both in an eulogistic verse at the beginning and in the text of the genealogy, Vinayāditya Satyāśraya Vallabha is mentioned as the paramount sovereign which proves that by Samvat 443 Vikramāditya had been succeeded by Vinayāditya. The reign of Vinayāditya has been fixed as lasting from Saka 602 to Saka 618 that is from A.D. 680 to A.D. 696-97.⁶ Taking Saka 615 or A.D. 693 to correspond with Samvat 443, the initial year of the era is A.D. 250.

The grant of Pulakesivallabha Janāśraya dated Samvat 490, mentions Mangalarasārīya as the donor's elder brother and as the son of Jayasinhavarman. And a Bālār grant whose donor is mentioned as Mangalarāja son of Jayasinhavarman, apparently the same as the Mangalarasārīya of the plate just mentioned, is dated Saka 653.⁷ Placing the elder brother about ten years before the younger we get Samvat 480 as the date of Mangalarāja, which, corresponding with Saka 653 or A.D. 730-31, gives A.D. 730 minus 480 that is A.D. 250-51 as the initial year of the era in which Pulakesi's grant is dated. In the Navsāri plates, which record a gift by the Gurjjara king Jayabhata in Samvat 456, Dadda II. the donor of the Kaira grants which bear date 380 and 385, is mentioned in the genealogical part at the beginning as 'protecting the lord of Valabhi who had been defeated by the great lord the illustrious Harshadeva.' Now the great Harshadeva or Harsha Vardhana of Kanauj whose court was visited by the Chinese pilgrim Hsien

¹ Ind. Ant. XVIII. 205ff.

² J. B. R. A. S. XVI. 1ff. ; Trans. Vienna Or. Congress, 210ff.

³ Ind. Ant. XIII. 70ff. and V. 169ff.

⁴ Fleet's Kāmarasa Dynasties, 37.

⁵ Trans. Vienna Or. Congress, 210ff.

⁶ Fleet's Kāmarasa Dynasties, 27.

⁷ Ind. Ant. XIV. 75 and Jour. B. B. R. A. S. XVI. 1ff.

Tsiang between A.D. 629 and 645, reigned according to Reinaud from A.D. 607 to about A.D. 648. Taking A.D. 250 as the initial year of the era of the Kaira plates, Dadda II.'s dates 380 and 385, corresponding to A.D. 630 and 635, fall in the reign of Harshavardhana.

These considerations seem to show that the initial date of the Traikūṭaka era was at or about A.D. 250 which at once suggests its identity with the Chedi or Kalachuri era.¹ The next question is, Who were these Traikūṭakas. The meaning of the title seems to be kings of Triakūṭa. Several references seem to point to the existence of a city named Triakūṭa on the western seaboard. In describing Raghu's triumphant progress the Rāmāyana and the Raghuvaṃśa mention him as having established the city of Triakūṭa in Aparānta on the western seaboard.² Triakūṭakam or Triakūṭam, a Sanskrit name for sea salt seems a reminiscence of the time when Triakūṭa was the emporium from which Konkan salt was distributed over the Dakhan. The scanty information regarding the territory ruled by the Traikūṭakas is in agreement with the suggestion that Junnar in North Poona was the probable site of their capital and that in the three ranges that encircle Junnar we have the origin of the term Triakūṭa or Three-Peaked.

Of the race or tribe of the Traikūṭakas nothing is known. The conjecture may be offered that they are a branch of the Abhīra kings of the Purāṇas, one of whom is mentioned in Inscription XV, of Nāsik Cave X, which from the style of the letters belongs to about A.D. 150 to 200. The easy connection between Nāsik and Balsār by way of Peth (Peint) and the nearness in time between the Nāsik inscription and the initial date of the Traikūṭakas support this conjecture. The further suggestion may be offered that the founder of the line of Traikūṭakas was the Iśvaradatta, who, as noted in the Kshatrapa chapter, held the overlordship of Kāthiāwāḍa as Mahākshatrapa, perhaps during the two years A.D. 248 and 249, a result in close agreement with the conclusions drawn from the examination of the above quoted Traikūṭaka and Chalukya copperplates. As noted in the Kshatrapa chapter after two years' supremacy Iśvaradatta seems to have been defeated and regular Kshatrapa rule restored about A.D. 252 (K. 174) by Dāmījaśrī son of Vijayasena. The unbroken use of the title Mahākshatrapa, the moderate and uniform lengths of the reigns, and the apparently unquestioned successions suggest, what the discovery of Kshatrapa coins at Karād near Sātara in the Dakhan and at Amrāvati in the Berārs seems to imply, that during the second half of the third century Kshatrapa rule was widespread and firmly established.³ The conjecture may be offered that Rudrasena (A.D. 256-272) whose coins have been found in Amrāvati in the Berārs spread his power at the expense of the Traikūṭakas driving them towards the Central Provinces where they established themselves at Tripura and Kālanjara.⁴ Further that under Brāhman

Chapter VI.

TRAIKŪṬAKAS,
A.D. 250-450.

Initial Date.

Their Race
or Tribe.

¹ Mr. Fleet (Corp. Ins. Ind. III. 9) and Sir A. Cunningham (Arch. Sur. IX. 77) agree in fixing A.D. 250 as the initial date of the Chedi era. Prof. Kisthore has worked out the available dates and finds that the first year of the era corresponds to A.D. 249-50, Ind. Ant. XVII. 215.

² Valmiki's Rāmāyana, Benpot Krishnaji's Edition: Raghuvaṃśa, IV. 59.

³ For details see above page 48.

⁴ Tripura four miles west of Jalalpur; Kālanjara 140 miles north of Jabalpur.

Chapter VI.

TRAIKŪTAKAS,
A.D. 250-450.

that two different eras, whose initial points were only a few years apart, were in use in the same district. Now that the Saka and the Vikrama eras are known to have had different names at different times, the change in the name of the era offers no special difficulty. This identification would carry back Kalachuri rule in South Gujarāt to at least A.D. 450-6, the date of the Pārdi grant: and it is worth noting that Varāhanadhira (Br. Sanjā. XIV. 20) places the Halhaya or Kalachuri in the west along with the Aparantakas or Kōṭkanas.

Though the name Traikūṭaka means of Trīkūṭa, the authorities quoted by Dr. Bhagvān-Ṣi do not establish the existence of a city called Trīkūṭa. They only vouch for a mountain of that name somewhere in the Western Ghāts, and there is no evidence of any special connection with Junnar. Further, the word Trīkūṭakam seems to mean rock-salt, not sea-salt, so that there is here no special connection with the Western coast. Wherever Trīkūṭa may have been, there seems no need to reject the tradition that connects the rise of the Kalachuris with their capture of Kālanjara (Cunningham's Arch. Surv. IX. 778), as it is more likely that they advanced from the East down the Nerbuddā than that their original seats were on the West Coast, as the Western Indian inscriptions of the third and fourth centuries contain no reference either to Traikūṭakas or to Junnar or other western city as Trīkūṭa.

With reference to the third suggestion that the Traikūṭakas twice overthrew the Kshatrapas, under Iśvaradatta in A.D. 248 and under Rudragata in A.D. 310-320, it is to be noted that there is no evidence to show that Iśvaradatta was either an Abhira or a Traikūṭaka and that the identification of his date with A.D. 248-250 seems less probable than with either A.D. 244 or A.D. 230. (Compare above Footnote page 53). Even if Iśvaradatta's supremacy coincided with A.D. 250 the initial date of the Traikūṭaka era, it seems improbable that a king who reigned only two years and left no successor should have had any connection with the establishment of an era which is not found in use till two centuries later. As regards Rudragata it may be admitted that he belonged to the race or family who weakened Kshatrapa power early in the fourth century A.D. At the same time there seems no reason to suppose that Rudragata was a Traikūṭaka or a Kalachuri except the fact that his name, like that of Saḍharagata, is a compound of the word *gata* and a name of Siva; while the irregular posthumous use of the title Mahākshatrapa among the latest (23rd to 25th) Kshatrapas favours the view that they remained independent till their overthrow by the Guptas about A.D. 410. The conclusion seems to be that the Traikūṭaka and the Kalachuri eras are the same namely A.D. 248-9: that this era was introduced into Gujarāt by the Traikūṭakas who were connected with the Halhaya; and that the introduction of the era into Gujarāt did not take place before the middle of the fifth century A.D. — (A. M. T. J.)


CHAPTER VII.

THE GUPTAS

(G. 90-149; A.D. 410-470.)

Chapter VII.
THE GUPTAS,
A.D. 410-470.

AFTER the Kshatrapas (A.D. 120-410) the powerful dynasty of the Guptas established themselves in Gujarât. So far as the dynasty is connected with Gujarât the Gupta tree is :



According to the Purānas¹ the original seat of the Guptas was between the Ganges and the Jamna. Their first capital is not determined. English writers usually style them the Guptas of Kanauj. And though this title is simply due to the chance that Gupta coins were first found at Kanauj, further discoveries show that the chief remains of Gupta records and coins are in the territory to the east and south-east of Kanauj. Of the race of the Guptas nothing is known. According to the ordinances of the Smritis or Sacred Books,² the terminal *gupta* belongs only to Vaiśyas a class including shepherds

¹ Vāyu Purāṇa, Wilson's Works, IX. 210n.

² Vishnu Purāṇa, III. Chapter 10 Verse 9: Burnell's Manus, 20. Mr. Fleet (Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 11 note 1) quotes an instance of a Brāhman named Brahmagupta.

cultivators and traders. Of the first three kings, Gupta Ghatotkacha and Chandragupta I., beyond the fact that Chandragupta I. bore the title of *Mahārājādhirāja*, neither descriptive titles nor details are recorded. As the fourth king Samudragupta performed the long-neglected horse-sacrifice he must have been Brāhmanical in religion. And as inscriptions style Samudragupta's three successors, Chandragupta II. Kumāragupta and Skandagupta, Parama Bhāgavata, they must have been Smārta Vaishnavas, that is devotees of Vishnu and observers of Vedic ceremonies.

The founder of the dynasty is styled Gupta. In inscriptions this name always appears as Śrī-gupta which is taken to mean protected by Śrī or Lakṣmī. Against this explanation it is to be noted that in their inscriptions all Gupta's successors have a Śrī before their names. The question therefore arises; If Śrī forms part of the name why should the name Śrīgupta have had no second Śrī prefixed in the usual way. Further in the inscriptions the lineage appears as Gupta-vamśa that is the lineage of the Guptas never Śrīguptavamśa¹; and whenever dates in the era of this dynasty are given they are conjoined with the name Gupta never with Śrīgupta.² It may therefore be taken that Gupta not Śrīgupta is the correct form of the founder's name.³

Gupta the founder seems never to have risen to be more than a petty chief. No known inscription gives him the title *Mahārājādhirāja* Supreme Ruler of Great Kings, which all Gupta rulers after the founder's grandson Chandragupta assume. Again that no coins of the founder and many coins of his successors have been discovered makes it probable that Gupta was not a ruler of enough importance to have a currency of his own. According to the inscriptions Gupta was succeeded by his son Ghatotkacha a petty chief like his father with the title of *Mahārāja* and without coins.

Chandragupta I. (A.D. 319-369 [?]), the son and successor of Ghatotkacha, is styled *Mahārājādhirāja* either because he himself became powerful, or more probably, because he was the father of his very powerful successor Samudragupta. Though he may not have gained the dignity of "supreme ruler of great kings" by his own successes Chandragupta I. rose to a higher position than his predecessors. He was connected by marriage with the Licchhavi dynasty of Tirhut an alliance which must have been considered of importance since his son Samudragupta puts the name of his mother Kumāradevi on his coins, and always styles himself daughter's son of Licchhavi.⁴

Chapter VII.

THE GUPTAS.
A. D. 419-479.

The Founder
Gupta,
A.D. 319-322 (?).

Ghatotkacha,
A.D. 322-349 (?).

Chandragupta I.
A. D. 349-369 (?).

¹ Fleet's Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 53 line 7.

² Compare Skandagupta's Junagadh Inscription line 15, Ind. Ant. XIV.; Cunningham's Arch. Sur. X. 113; Fleet's Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 59.

³ Compare Mr. Fleet's note in Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 8.

⁴ Fleet's Corp. Ins. Ind. III. 135. Mr. Fleet believes that the Licchhavi family concerned was that of Nepal, and that they were the real founders of the era used by the Guptas. Dr. Bühler (Vienna Or. Journal, V. Pt. 3) holds that Chandragupta married into the Licchhavi family of Pataliputra and became king of that country in right of his wife. The coins which bear the name of Kumāradevi are by Mr. Smith (J. B. A. S. (N. S.) XXI. 63) and others assigned to Chandragupta I., reading the reverse legend *Licchhavi* in place of Dr. Bunsen's *Licchhavi*. On the Kacha coins see below page 62 note 2.

The Licchhavis claim to be sprung from the solar dynasty. Manu (Barnell's Manu,

Chapter VII.

THE GUPTAS,
A.D. 410-470.
Samudragupta,
A.D. 370-395.

His Coins.

Samudragupta was the first of his family to strike coins. His numerous gold coins are, with a certain additional Indian element, adopted from those of his Indo-Skythian predecessors. The details of the royal figure on the obverse are Indian in the neck ornaments, large earrings, and headdress; they are Indo-Skythian in the tailed coat, long boots, and straddle. The goddess on the reverse of some coins with a fillet and cornucopia is an adaptation of an Indo-Skythian figure, while the lotus-holding Ganges on an alligator and the standing Glory holding a fly-flapper on the reverse of other coins are purely Indian.¹

A noteworthy feature of Samudragupta's coins is that one or other of almost all his epithets appears on each of his coins with a figure of the king illustrating the epithet. Coins with the epithet *Sarva-rājachakrati* Destroyer-of-all-kings have on the obverse a standing king stretching out a banner topped by the wheel or disc of universal supremacy.²

Coins with the epithet *Apratirāta* Peerless have on the obverse a standing king whose left hand rests on a bow and whose right hand holds a loose-lying unaimed arrow and in front an Eagle or Garuda standard symbolizing the unrivalled supremacy of the king, his arrow no longer wanted, his standard waving unchallenged. On the obverse is the legend:

308) describes them as descended from a degraded Kshatriya. Beal (R. A. S. N. S. XIV. 20) would identify them with an early wave of the Yuechi or Kushana; Smith (J. R. A. S. XX. 55 n. 2) and Howitt (J. R. A. S. XX. 255-260) take them to be a Kolarian or local tribe. The fame of the Liechehavi of Vatsal or Pramsu between Patna and Tirhut goes back to the time of Gautama Buddha (n.c. 480) in whose funeral rites the Liechehavi and their neighbours and associates the Mallas took a prominent share (Rockhill's Life of Buddha, 62-63, 143, 203. Compare Legge's Fa Hien, 71-75; Beal's Buddhist Records, II. 67, 70, 73, 77 and 81 note). According to Buddhist writings the first king of Tibet (A.D. 50) who was elected by the chiefs of the South Tibetan tribes was a Liechehavi the son of Prasamatjit of Kokala (Rockhill's Life of Buddha, 208). Between the seventh and ninth centuries (A.D. 636-804) a family of Liechehavi was ruling in Nepal (Fleet's Corp. Ins. Ind. III. 134). The earliest historical member of the Nepāl family is Jayadewa I. whose date is supposed to be about A.D. 330 to 355. Mr. Fleet (Ditto, 135) suggests that Jayadewa's reign began earlier and may be the epoch from which the Gupta era of A.D. 318-319 is taken. He holds (Ditto, 136) that in all probability the so-called Gupta era is a Liechehavi era.

¹ The figure of the Ganges standing on an alligator with a stalked lotus in her left hand on the reverse of the gold coins of Samudragupta the fourth king of the dynasty may be taken to be the Sri or Luck of the Guptas. Compare Smith's Gupta Coinage, J. Bong. A. S. LIII. Plate I. Fig. 10. J. R. A. S. (N. S.) XXI. Pl. I. 2.

² The presence of the two letters ॠ ॡ that is *ka cha* on the obverse under the arm of the royal figure, has led the late Mr. Thomas, General Cunningham, and Mr. Smith to suppose that the coins belonged to Ghaṭotkacha, the last two letters of the name being the same. This identification seems improbable. Ghaṭotkacha was never powerful enough to have a currency of his own. *Sarvarājachakrati* the attribute on the reverse is one of Samudragupta's epithets, while the figure of the king on the obverse grasping the standard with the disc, illustrating the attribute of universal sovereignty, can refer to none other than Samudragupta the first very powerful king of the dynasty. Perhaps the Kacha or Kaśha on these coins is a pet or child name of Samudragupta. Mr. Rapson (Numismatic Chron. 3rd Ser. XL 487) has recently suggested that the Kacha coins belong to an elder brother and predecessor of Samudragupta. But it seems unlikely that a ruler who could justly claim the title Destroyer-of-all-kings should be passed over in silence in the genealogy. Further, as is remarked above, the title *Sarvarājachakrati* belongs in the inscriptions to Samudragupta alone; and the fact that in his lifetime Samudragupta's father chose him as successor is against his exclusion from the throne even for a time.

³ Smith's Gupta Coinage in J. R. A. S. (N. S.) XXI. Pl. I. 10.

अप्रतिरथराजन्यकीर्ति (र) मम विजयते.

Apratiratharājanyakīrti(r)mama vijāyate.¹

Triumphant is the glory of me the unrivalled sovereign.

Coins with the attribute *Kṛitānta paraśu* the Death-like-battle-axe have on the obverse a royal figure grasping a battle-axe.² In front of the royal figure a boy, perhaps Samudragupta's son Chandragupta, holds a standard. Coins with the attribute *Aśvamedhaparākramah* Able-to-hold-a-horse-sacrifice have on the obverse a horse standing near a sacrificial post *yūpa* and on the reverse a female figure with a fly-flap.³ The legend on the obverse is imperfect and hard to read. The late Mr. Thomas restores it:

नवजमघः राजधिराज पृथिवीं जियत्य.

Navajamaḥḥarājādhirāja prithivīm jiyatyā.

Horse sacrifice, after conquering the earth, the great king (performs).

Coins with the legend *Licchhavarayaḥ*, a coin abbreviation for *Licchhavidauhitra* Daughter's son of Licchhavi (?), have on the obverse a standing king grasping a javelin.⁴ Under the javelin hand are the letters *Chandraguptaḥ*. Facing the king a female figure with trace of the letters *Kumāradevi* seems to speak to him. These figures of his mother and father are given to explain the attribute *Licchhavaraya* or scion of Licchhavi. This coin has been supposed to belong to Chandragupta I. but the attribute *Licchhavarayaḥ* can apply only to Samudragupta.

A fuller source of information regarding Samudragupta remains in his inscription on the Allahābād Pillar.⁵ Nearly eight verses of the first part are lost. The first three verses probably described his learning as what remains of the third verse mentions his poetic accomplishments, and line 27 says he was skilled in poetry and music, a trait further illustrated by what are known as his Lyrist coins where he is shown playing a lute.⁶ The fourth verse says that during his lifetime his father chose Samudragupta to rule the earth from among others of equal birth. His father is mentioned as pleased with him and this is followed by the description of a victory during which several opponents are said to have submitted. The seventh verse records the sudden destruction of the army of Achyuta Nāgasena and the punishment inflicted on a descendant of the Kota family.

His Allahābād
Inscription.

Lines 19 and 20 record the conquest, or submission, of the following South Indian monarchs, Mahendra of Kosala, Vyāghrarāja of Mahā Kāntāra,⁷ Mundarāja of Kaurāttā,⁸ Svāmīdatta of Paishāpura Mahendra-Giri and Auṭṭura⁹, Damana of Airāṇḍapallaka, Vishnu of Kāñchī, Nilarāja Śāpāvamukta,¹⁰ Hastivarman of Veṅgī, Ugrasena of Pālaka,¹¹

¹ Compare Wilson's *Arians Antiqua*, Pl. XVIII. Fig. 8, which has the same legend with me for *mama*. ² Smith J. R. A. S. (N. S.) XXI. Pl. I. 11, 12.

³ Smith J. R. A. S. (N. S.) XXI. Pl. I. 4.

⁴ Smith J. R. A. S. (N. S.) XXI. Pl. I. Mr. Smith reads *Licchhavarayaḥ* (the Licchhavis) and assigns this type to Chandragupta I.

⁵ *Corpus Ins. Ind.* III. 1.

⁶ Smith J. R. A. S. (N. S.) XXI. Pl. I. 3, 6.

⁷ Apparently South Kosala, the country about Raipur and Chhattisgarh.

⁸ Fleet reads Mantarāja of Kerala.

⁹ Fleet divides the words differently and translates "Mahendra of Paishāpura, Svāmīdatta of Kūṭura on the hill."

¹⁰ Fleet reads "Nilarāja of Avamukta."

¹¹ Fleet reads Palakka or Piliakka.

Chapter VII.

THE GUPTAS,
A.D. 410-470,
Samudragupta,
A.D. 370-395.

Kubera of Daivarāshtra, and Dhananjaya of Kausthalapura. Line 21 gives a further list of nine kings of Aryāvarta exterminated by Samudragupta :

Rudradeva.	Chandravarman.	Achyuta.
Matila.	Gagapatināga.	Nandin.
Nāgadatta.	Nāgauma.	Balavarman.

As no reference is made to the territories of these kings they may be supposed to be well known neighbouring rulers. General Cunningham's coins and others obtained at Mathurā show that the fifth ruler Gagapatināga was one of the Nāga kings of Gwālior and Narwār.¹ The inscription next mentions that Samudragupta took into his employ the chiefs of the forest countries. Then in lines 22 and 23 follows a list of countries whose kings gave him tribute, who obeyed his orders, and who came to pay homage. The list includes the names of many frontier countries and the territories of powerful contemporary kings. The frontier kingdoms are :²

Samatata.	Dāvaka.	Kāmarūpa.	Nepālā.	Karttika.
-----------	---------	-----------	---------	-----------

The Indian kingdoms are :³

Mālava.	Mādraka.	Sanskānika.
Arjunāyana.	Ābhira.	Kāka.
Yauddehya.	Prārajuna.	Kharaparika.

Mention is next made of kings who submitted, gave their daughters in marriage, paid tribute, and requested the issue of the Garuda or Eagle charter to secure them in the enjoyment of their territory.⁴ The tribal names of these kings are :⁵

Devaputra.	Saka.
Shāhi.	Muruṇḍa.
Shāhānushāhi.	Saṃphalaka.
Island Kings.	

¹ Arch. Surv. II. 310; J. B. A. S. 1895, 115-121.

² Samatata is the Ganges delta; Dāvaka may, as Mr. Fleet suggests, be Dacca; for Karttika Mr. Fleet reads Karttipura, otherwise Uttarak might be intended.

³ For the Mālavas see above page 24. The Arjunāyanas can hardly be the Kalachuris as Mr. Fleet (C. I. I. III. 10) has suggested, as Varaha Mihira (B. S. XIV. 25) places the Arjunāyanas in the north near Trigarta, and General Cunningham's coin (Coins of Ancient India, 90) points to the same region. The Yauddehyas lived on the lower Sutlej; see above page 36. The Mādrakas lived north-east of the Yauddehyas between the Chenab and the Sutlej (Cunningham Anc. Geog. 185). The Ābhira must be those on the south-east border of Sindh. The Prārajunas do not appear to be identifiable. A Sanskānika Mahārāja is mentioned (C. I. I. III. 3) as dedicating an offering at Udayagiri near Bhilsa, but we have no clue to the situation of his government. The name of his grandfather, Chūlagalaga, has a Turki look. Kāka may be Kakāpur near Bithūr (Cunningham Anc. Geog. 356). Kharaparika has not been identified.—(A. M. T. J.)

⁴ Mr. Fleet translates "(giving) Garuda-tokens, (surrendering) the enjoyment of their own territories."

⁵ The first three names Devaputra, Shāhi, and Shāhānushāhi, belong to the Kushān dynasty of Kanishka (A.D. 75). Shāhānushāhi is the oldest, as it appears on the coins from Kanishka downwards in the form Shāhānino Shāho (Stein in Babylonian and Oriental Record, I. 163). It represents the old Persian title Shāhānshāh or king of kings. Shāhi, answering to the simple Shāh, appears to be first used alone by Vasudeva (A.D. 128-176). The title of Devaputra occurs first in the inscriptions of Kanishka. In the present inscription all three titles seem to denote divisions of the Kushān empire in

The inscribed pillar is said to have been set up by the great Captain or Dandanāyaka named Tihabhāṭṭanāyaka.

This important inscription shows that Samudragupta's dominions included Mathurā, Oudh, Gorakhpur, Allahābād, Benares, Behār, Tirhut, Bengal, and part of East Rājputāna. The list of Dakṣin and South Indian kingdoms does not necessarily imply that they formed part of Samudragupta's territory. Samudragupta may have made a victorious campaign to the far south and had the countries recorded in the order of his line of march. The order suggests that he went from Behār, by way of Gaysā, to Kosala the country about the modern Raipur in the Central Provinces, and from Kosala, by Ganjam and other places in the Northern Circars, as far as Kāñchī or Conjeveram forty-six miles south-west of Madras. Mālwa is shown in the second list as a powerful allied kingdom. It does not appear to have formed part of Samudragupta's territory nor, unless the Sakas are the Kshatrapas, does any mention of Gujārāt occur even as an allied state.

Samudragupta was succeeded by his son Chandragupta II. whose mother was the queen Dattādevī. He was the greatest and most powerful king of the Gupta dynasty and added largely to the territory left by Samudragupta. His second name Vikramāditya or the Sun of Prowess appears on his coins. Like his father Chandragupta II. struck gold coins of various types. He was the first Gupta ruler who spread his power over Mālwa and Gujārāt which he apparently took from the Kshatrapas as he was the first Gupta to strike silver coins and as his silver coins of both varieties the eastern and the western are modifications of the Kshatrapa type. The expedition which conquered Mālwa seems to have passed from Allahābād by Bundelkhand to Bhilsā and thence to Mālwa. An undated inscription in the Udayagiri caves at Vidiśā (the modern Besnagar) near Bhilsā records the making of a cave of Mahādeva by one Śāla of the Kautsa gotra and the family name of Virasena, a poet and native of Pāṭaliputra who held the hereditary office of minister of peace and war *sandhinigrahika*, and who is recorded to have arrived with the king who was intent upon conquering the whole earth.¹ A neighbouring cave bears an inscription of a feudatory of Chandragupta who was chief of Sanakānka.² The chief's name is lost, but the names of his father Vishnudāsa and of his grandfather Chhagalaga remain. The date is the eleventh of the bright half of

Chapter VII.

THE GUPTAS.
A.D. 410-470.

Samudragupta,
A.D. 370-395.

Chandragupta II.
A.D. 395-415.

India. The title of Shāhi was continued by the Turks (A.D. 600?-900) and Brahmins (A.D. 900-1000) of Kabul (Alberuni, II. 10) and by the Shahis (Elliot, I. 138) of Aler in Sindh (A.D. 400?-631). Unless it refers to the last remnants of the Gujārāt Mahakshatrapas the word Saka seems to be used in a vague sense in reference to the non-Indian tribes of the North-West frontier. The Marudās may be identified with the Marudās of the Native dictionaries, and hence with the people of Laspaka or Langhā twenty miles north-west of Jalālābād. It is notable that in the fifth century A.D. Jayanātha, Mahārāja of Cchekakalpa (not identified) married a Marudadevi (Corp. Ins. Ind. III. 128, 131, 136).

The mention of the King of Sinhala and the Island Kings rounds off the geographical picture. Possibly after the Chinese fashion presents from these countries may have been magnified into tribute. Or Sinhala may here stand, not for Ceylon, but for one of the many Singhapurās known to Indian geography. Sinh in Kāthilavāḍa, an old capital, may possibly be the place referred to. The Island Kings would then be the chiefs of Cutch and Kāthilavāḍa.—(A. M. T. J.)

¹ Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 6.

² Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 3.

Chapter VII.

THE GUPTAS,
A.D. 410-470.Chandragupta II.
A.D. 396-415.

Ashādha Samvatsara 82 (A.D. 401). From this Chandragupta's conquest of Vidishā may be dated about Samvatsara 80 (A.D. 399) or a little earlier.

A third inscription is on the railing of the great Sāśeli stūpa.¹ It is dated the 4th day of Bhādrapada Samvat 93 (A.D. 412) and records the gift of 25 *dīnāras* and something called *Isvaravāsaka* (perhaps a village or a field) to the monks of the great monastery of Kākanādabojasri for the daily maintenance of five *bhikkhus* and the burning of a lamp in the *ratnagriha* or shrine of the Buddhist *triratna*, for the merit of the supreme king of great kings Chandragupta who bears the popular name of *Devarāja* or god-like.² The donor a feudatory of Chandragupta named *Amrakārdava* is described as having the object of his life gratified by the favour of the feet of the supreme ruler of great kings the illustrious Chandragupta, and as showing to the world the hearty loyalty of a good feudatory. *Amrakārdava* seems to have been a chief of consequence as he is described as winning the flag of glory in numerous battles. The name of his kingdom is also recorded. Though it cannot now be made out the mention of his kingdom makes it probable that he was a stranger come to pay homage to Chandragupta. The reference to Chandragupta seems to imply he was the ruler of the land while the two other inscriptions show that his rule lasted from about 80 (A.D. 399) to at least 93 (A.D. 412). During these years Chandragupta seems to have spread his sway to Ujjain the capital of west Mālwa, of which he is traditionally called the ruler. From Ujjain by way of Bāgh and Tānda in the province of Rāth he seems to have entered South Gujarāt and to have passed from the Broach coast to Kāthiāvāda. He seems to have wrested Kāthiāvāda from its Kshatrapa rulers as he is the first Gupta who struck silver coins and as his silver coins are of the then current Kshatrapa type. On the obverse is the royal bust with features copied from the Kshatrapa face and on the reverse is the figure of a peacock, probably chosen as the bearer of Kārtikasvāmī the god of war. Round the peacock is a Sanskrit legend. This legend is of two varieties. In Central Indian coins it runs:

श्री गुप्तकुलस्य महाराजाधिराज श्री चंद्रगुप्तविक्रमादिकस्य

Sri Guptakulasya Mahārājādhirāja Sri Chandraguptavikramādikasya,
(Coin) of the king of kings the illustrious Chandragupta Vikramāditya,
of the family of the illustrious Gupta.³

In the very rare Kāthiāvāda coins, though they are similar to the above in style, the legend runs:

परमभागवत महाराजाधिराज श्री चन्द्रगुप्त विक्रमादित्य

Paramabhāgavata Mahārājādhirāja Sri Chandragupta Vikramāditya.

The great devotee of Vishnu the supreme ruler of great kings,
the illustrious Chandragupta Vikramāditya.⁴

Several gold coins of Chandragupta show a young male figure behind the king with his right hand laid on the king's shoulder. This youthful figure is apparently Chandragupta's son Kumāragupta who may have acted as Yuvarāja during the conquest of Mālwa.

¹ Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 5.

² Mr. Fleet (Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 33) prefers to take *Devarāja* to be the name of Chandragupta's minister.

³ J. R. A. S. (N. S.) XXI. 120.

⁴ J. R. A. S. (N. S.) XXI. 121.

The rareness of Chandragupta's and the commonness of Kumāragupta's coins in Kāthiāvāda, together with the date 90 (A.D. 409) on some of Kumāragupta's coins make it probable that on their conquest his father appointed Kumāragupta viceroy of Gujārāt and Kāthiāvāda.

As the first Gupta was a chief of no great power or influence it is probable that though it is calculated from him the Gupta era was established not by him but by his grandson the great Chandragupta II.¹ This view is confirmed by the absence of dates on all existing coins of Chandragupta's father Samudragupta. It further seems probable that like the Mālavas in A.C. 57 and the Khatrapas in A.D. 78 the occasion on which Chandragupta established the Gupta era was his conquest of Mālwa. The Gupta era did not remain long in use. After the fall of Gupta power (A.D. 470) the old Mālwa era of A.C. 57 was revived. The conjecture may be offered that, in spite of the passing away of Gupta power, under his title of Vikramāditya, the fame of the great Gupta conqueror Chandragupta II. lived on in Mālwa and that, drawing to itself tales of earlier local champions, the name Vikramāditya came to be considered the name of the founder of the Mālwa era.²

Working back from Gupta Samvat 80 (A.D. 400) the date of Chandragupta's conquest of Mālwa we may allot 1 to 12 (A.D. 319-332) to the founder Gupta: 12 to 29 (A.D. 332-349) to Gupta's son Ghatotkacha: 29 to 49 (A.D. 349-369) to Ghatotkacha's son Chandragupta I.: and 50 to 75 (A.D. 370-395) to Chandragupta's powerful son Samudragupta who probably had a long reign. As the latest known date of Chandragupta II. is 93 (A.D. 413) and as a Bilsal inscription³ of his successor Kumāragupta is dated 96 (A.D. 416) the reign of Chandragupta II. may be calculated to have lasted during the twenty years ending 95 (A.D. 415).

¹ Mr. Fleet (Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Introd. 130ff) argues that the era was borrowed from Nepal after Chandragupta I. married his Lichchavi queen. Dr. Bühler thinks there is no evidence of this, and that the era was started by the Guptas themselves (Vienna Or. II. V. Pl. 2).

² The further suggestion may be offered that if as seems probable Dr. Bhargava is correct in considering Chandragupta II. to be the founder of the Gupta era this high honour was due not to his conquest of Mālwa but to some success against the Indo-Skythians or Sakas of the Panjab. The little more than nominal suzerainty claimed over the Desputras, Shāhis, and Shāhanshāhs in Chandragupta's father's inscription shows that what he came to the throne Chandragupta found the Saka power practically unbroken. The absence of reference to conquests is no more complete in the case of the Panjab than it is in the case of Gujārāt or of Kāthiāvāda which Chandragupta is known to have added to his dominions. In Kāthiāvāda, though not in Gujārāt, the evidence from coins is stronger than in the Panjab. Still the discovery of Chandragupta's coins (J. B. A. S. XXI. 5 note 1) raises the presumption of conquests as far north and west as Pañipat and as Tashkent (in the heart of the Panjab). Chandragupta's name Deraps may, as Pandit Bhargava suggests, be taken from the Saka title Derapsira. Further, the use of the name Vikramāditya and of the honorific Sri is in striking agreement with Beron's statement (Sachau, II. 6) that the conqueror of the Sakas was named Vikramāditya and that to the conqueror's name was added the title Sri. Mr. Fleet (Corp. Ins. Ind. III. 37 note 2) holds it not improbable that either Chandragupta I. or II. defeated the Indo-Skythians. The fact that Chandragupta I. was not a ruler of sufficient importance to issue coins and that even after his son Samudragupta's victories the Sakas remained practically independent makes it almost certain that if any subjection of the Sakas to the Guptas took place it happened during the reign of Chandragupta II.

³ Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 104.

Chapter VII.

THE GUPTAS,
A.D. 410-479.

Kumāragupta,
A.D. 416-453.

Chandragupta II. was succeeded by his son Kumāragupta whose mother was the queen Dhruva-Devī. On Kumāragupta's coins three titles occur: Mahendra, Mahendra-Vikrama, and Mahendrāditya. As already noticed the circulation of Kumāragupta's coins in Kāthiāwāda during his father's reign makes it probable that on their conquest his father appointed him viceroy of Kāthiāwāda and Gujarāt. Kumāragupta appears to have succeeded his father about 96 (A.D. 416). An inscription at Mankuwar near Pravāga shows he was ruling as late as 129 (A.D. 449) and a coin of his dated 130 (A.D. 450) adds at least one year to his reign. On the other hand the inscription on the Gīrnār rock shows that in 137 (A.D. 457) his son Skandagupta was king. It follows that Kumāragupta's reign ended between 130 and 137 (A.D. 450-457) or about 133 (A.D. 453).

None of Kumāragupta's four inscriptions gives any historical or other details regarding him.¹ But the number and the wide distribution of his coins make it probable that during his long reign he maintained his father's dominions intact.

Large numbers of Kumāragupta's coins of gold silver and copper have been found. The gold which are of various types are inferior in workmanship to his father's coins. The silver and copper coins are of two varieties, eastern and western. Both varieties have on the obverse the royal bust in the Kshatrapa style of dress. In the western pieces the bust is a copy of the moustached Kshatrapa face with a corrupted version of the corrupt Greek legend used by the Kshatrapas. The only difference between the obverses of the Western Gupta and the Kshatrapa coins is that the date is in the Gupta instead of in the Kshatrapa era. On the reverse is an ill formed peacock facing front as in Chandragupta II.'s coins. The legend runs:

परम भागवत महाराजाधिराज श्री कुमारगुप्त महेन्द्रादित्य.

Paramabhāgavata Maharājādhirāja Śrī Kumāragupta Mahendrāditya.

The great Vaishnava the supreme ruler of great kings,
the illustrious Kumāragupta Mahendrāditya.²

In Kumāragupta's eastern silver and copper coins the bust on the obverse has no moustache nor is there any trace of the corrupt Greek legend. The date is in front of the face in perpendicular numerals one below the other instead of behind the head as in the Kshatrapa and Western Kumāragupta coins. On the reverse is a well-carved peacock facing front with tail feathers at full stretch. Round the peacock runs the clear cut legend:

विजितावनिरवनिपति कुमारगुप्तो देवं जयति.

Vijitāvaniravanipati Kumāragupto devaṃ jayati.

This legend is hard to translate. It seems to mean:

Kumāragupta, lord of the earth, who had conquered the kings of the earth, conquers the Deva.

¹ Cery. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 8, 9, 10 and 11.

² J. K. A. S. (N. S.) XXI. 123.

Probably the Deva whose name suggested the antithesis between the kings of the earth and the gods was one of the Devaputra family of Indo-Skythian rulers.¹

Kumārāgupta was succeeded by his son Skandagupta. An inscription of his on a pillar at Bhitari near Saidpur in Ghazipur bearing no date shows that on his father's death Skandagupta had a hard struggle to establish his power.² The text runs: "By whom when he rose to fix fast again the shaken fortune of his house, three months³ were spent on the earth as on a bed," an apparent reference to flight and wanderings. A doubtful passage in the same inscription seems to show that he was opposed by a powerful king named Pushyamitra on whose back he is said to have set his left foot.⁴ The inscription makes a further reference to the troubles of the family stating that on re-establishing the shaken fortune of his house Skandagupta felt satisfied and went to see his weeping afflicted mother. Among the enemies with whom Skandagupta had to contend the inscription mentions a close conflict with the Hūnas—that is the Ephthalites, Thetals, or White Huns.⁵ Verse 3 of Skandagupta's Gīrār inscription confirms the reference to struggles stating that on the death of his father by his own might he humbled his enemies to the earth and established himself. As the Gīrār inscription is dated 136 (A.D. 456) and as Kumārāgupta's reign ended about 134, these troubles and difficulties did not last for more than two years. The Gīrār inscription further states that on establishing his power he conquered the earth, destroyed the arrogance of his enemies, and appointed governors in all provinces. For Sarishitra he selected a governor named Parpadatta and to Parpadatta's son Chakrapālita he gave a share of the management placing him in charge of Junāgadh city. During the governorship of Parpadatta the Sadarsīna lake close to Junāgadh, which had been strongly rebuilt in the time of the Kshatrapa Rudradāman (A.D. 150), again gave way during the dark sixth of Bhādrapada of the year 136 (A.D. 456). The streams Palāsīnī Sikatā and Vilāsīnī⁶ burst through the dam and flowed unchecked. Repairs were begun on the first of bright *Grishma* 137 (A.D. 457) and finished in two months. The new dam is said to have been 100 cubits

Chapter VII.

THE GUPTAS.
A.D. 410-470.Skandagupta,
A.D. 454-470.

¹ J. B. A. S. (N. S.) XXI. 126. That Kumārāgupta's two successors, Skandagupta and Bhadrabāhu, use the same phrase *desam jagati* makes the explanation in the text doubtful. As Mr. Smith (ibid.) suggests *desam* is probably a mistake for *desa*, meaning His Majesty. The legend would then run: Kumārāgupta—son of the earth . . . is triumphant. Dr. Bhargava would have preferred *desa* (see page 70 note 2) but could not neglect the *anuvāda*.—(A. M. T. J.) ² Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 13.

³ Mr. Fleet (Corp. Ins. Ind. III. 55, 56) reads "*atita trayamā*" and translates "a (whole) night was spent." Dr. Bhargava reads "*atita trayamā*."

⁴ Mr. Fleet finds that Pushyamitra is the name of a tribe not of a King. No. VI. of Dr. Bühler's Jain inscriptions from Mathura (Ep. Ind. I. 378f) mentions a Pushyamitriya-kula of the Varānagana, which is also referred to in Bhadrabāhu's Kalpa-sūtra (Jacobi's Edition, 80), but is there referred to the Churaga-gana, no doubt a misreading for the Varāga of the inscription. Dr. Bühler points out that Varāga is the old name of Bahadshahr in the North-West Provinces, so that it is there that we must look for the power that first weakened the Guptas.—(A. M. T. J.)

⁵ See V. de St. Martin's Essay, Les Huns Blancs; Specht in Journal Asiatique Oct.-Dec. 1883 and below page 74.

⁶ In Rudradāman's inscription the Palāsīnī is mentioned, and also the Savarnā-shakti "and the other rivers." In Skandagupta's inscription Mr. Fleet translates *Shakti* as an adjective agreeing with Palāsīnī.

Chapter VII.

THE GUPTAS,
A.D. 410-470,
Skandagupta,
A.D. 454-470.

long by 68 cubits broad and 7 men or about 38 feet high. The probable site of the lake is in the west valley of the Girnār hill near what is called Bhavanātha's pass.¹ The inscription also records the making of a temple of Vishnu in the neighbourhood by Chakrapālita, which was probably on the site of the modern Dāmodar's Mandir in the Bhavanātha pass, whose image is of granite and is probably as old as the Guptas. A new temple was built in the fifteenth century during the rule of Mandlika the last Chulāsami ruler of Junāgadh. At the time of the Musalmān conquest (A.D. 1484) as violence was feared the images were removed and buried. Mandlika's temple was repaired by Amarji Divān of Junāgadh (1759-1784). It was proposed to make and consecrate new images. But certain old images of Vishnu were found in digging foundations for the enclosure wall and were consecrated. Two of these images were taken by Girnāra Brāhmins and consecrated in the names of Baladevji and Revati in a neighbouring temple specially built for them. Of the original temple the only trace is a pilaster built into the wall to the right as one enters. The style and carving are of the Gupta period.

As almost all the Gupta coins found in Cutch are Skandagupta's and very few are Kumāragupta's, Skandagupta seems to have added Cutch to the provinces of Gujarāt and Kāthiāvāda inherited from his father. In Kāthiāvāda Skandagupta's coins are rare, apparently because of the abundant currency left by his father which was so popular in Kāthiāvāda that fresh Kumāragupta coins of a degraded type were issued as late as Valabhi times.

Like his father, Skandagupta issued a gold coinage in his eastern dominions but no trace of a gold currency appears in the west. Like Kumāragupta's his silver coins were of two varieties, eastern and western. The eastern coins have on the obverse a bust as in Kumāragupta's coins and the date near the face. On the reverse is a peacock similar to Kumāragupta's and round the peacock the legend:

विजितावनिराजपति जयति देवं स्कन्दगुप्तो यः

Vijitāvanirājanipati jayati devaṃ Skandagupto'yaṃ.

This king Skandagupta who having conquered the earth conquers the Deva.²

Skandagupta's western coins are of three varieties, one the same as the western coins of Kumāragupta, a second with a bull instead of a peacock on the reverse, and a third with on the reverse an altar with one upright and two side jets of water. Coins of the first two varieties are found both in Gujarāt and in Kāthiāvāda. The third water-jet variety is peculiar to Cutch and is an entirely new feature in the western Gupta coinage. On the reverse of all is the legend:

परमभागवत महाराजाधिराज स्कन्दगुप्त क्रमादित्य

Paramabhāgavata Mahārājādhirāja Skandagupta Kramāditya.

The great Valabhara the supreme ruler of great kings,
Skandagupta the Sun of Prowess.³

¹ Remains of the dam were discovered in 1890 by Khān Bahādūr Arslan Jamsetji Special Dvān of Junāgadh. The site is somewhat nearer Junāgadh than Dr. Bhargavāla supposed. Details are given in Jour. B. R. A. S. XVIII. Number 48 page 47.

² The reading *deva* is to be preferred, but the *vasandira* is clear both on these coins and on the coins of his father. For these coins see J. R. A. S. (N. S.) XXI. Pl. IV. 4.

³ J. R. A. S. (N. S.) XXI. Pl. IV. 67.

The beginning of Skandagupta's reign has been placed about Gupta 153 or A.D. 453: his latest known date on a coin in General Cunningham's collection is Gupta 149 or A.D. 469.¹

With Skandagupta the regular Gupta succession ceases.² The next Gupta is Budhagupta who has a pillar inscription³ in a temple at Eray in the Sangor district dated 165 (A.D. 485) and silver coins dated Samvat 174 and 180 odd (A.D. 494-500 odd). Of Budhagupta's relation or connection with Skandagupta nothing is known. That he belonged to the Gupta dynasty appears from his name as well as from his silver coins which are dated in the Gupta era and are the same in style as the eastern coins of Skandagupta. On the obverse is the usual bust as in Skandagupta's coins with the date (174, 180 odd) near the face. On the reverse is the usual peacock and the legend is the same as Skandagupta's:

देवं जयति विजितावनिस्वनिपति श्री बुधगुप्तो

Devāṁ jayati vijitāvanisvanipati Śrī Budhagupta.

The king the illustrious Budhagupta who has conquered the earth conquers the Deva.⁴

Since the coins are dated Samvat 174 and 180 odd (A.D. 494 and 500 odd) and the inscription's date is 165 (A.D. 485) the inscription may be taken to belong to the early part of Budhagupta's reign the beginning of which may be allotted to about 160-162 (A.D. 480-482). As this is more than ten years later than the latest known date of Skandagupta (G. 149 A.D. 469) either a Gupta of whom no trace remains must have intervened or the twelve blank years must have been a time of political change and disturbance. The absence of any trace of a gold currency suggests that Budhagupta had less power than his predecessors. The correctness of this argument is placed beyond doubt by the pillar inscription opposite the shrine in the Eray temple where instead of his predecessor's title of monarch of the whole earth Budhagupta is styled protector of the land between the Jamna (Kālindī) and the Narbādā implying the loss of the whole territory to the east of the Jamna.⁵ In the west the failure of Gupta power seems still more complete. Neither in Gujerāt nor in Kāthiāwāda has an inscription or even a coin been found with a reference to Budhagupta or to any other Gupta ruler later than Skandagupta (G. 149 A.D. 469). The pillar inscription noted above which is of the year 165 (A.D. 485) and under the rule of Budhagupta states that the pillar was a gift to the temple by Dhanya Vishnu and his brother Mātri Vishnu who at the time of the gift seem to have been local Brāhman governors. A second inscription on the lower part of the neck of a huge Boar or Varāha image in a corner shrine of the same temple records that the image was completed on the tenth day of Phālguna in the first year of the reign of

Chapter VII.

THE GUPTAS,
A.D. 410-470.
Budhagupta,
A.D. 485.

¹ The known dates of Skandagupta are 136 and 137 on his Girmār inscription, 141 in his pillar inscription at Kahanu in Garakhpur, and 146 in his Indor-Khera copperplate. The coin dates given by General Cunningham are 144, 145, and 149.

² But see below page 73.

³ Dr. Bhagvānāl examined and copied the original of this inscription. It has since been published as Number 19 in Mr. Fleet's *Corp. Ins. Ind. III.*

⁴ J. R. A. S. (N. S.) XXI, 134.

⁵ It is now known that the main Gupta line continued to rule in Magadha. See page 73 below.

Chapter VII.

THE GUPTAS,
A.D. 410-470.
Bhadrabāga,
A.D. 433.

Toramāga the supreme ruler of great kings and was the gift of the same Dhanya Vishnu whose brother Mātri Vishnu is described as gone to heaven.¹ Since Mātri was alive in the Budhagupta and was dead in the Toramāga inscription it follows that Toramāga was later than Budhagupta. His name and his new era show that Toramāga was not a Gupta. A further proof that Toramāga wrested the kingdom from Budhagupta is that except the change of era and that the bust turns to the left instead of to the right, Toramāga's silver coins are directly adapted from Gupta coins of the eastern type.

Certain coin dates seem at variance with the view that Toramāga flourished after Budhagupta. On several coins the date 52 is clear. As Toramāga's coins are copies of the coins of Kumāragupta and Skandagupta and as most of these coins have a numeral for one hundred the suggestion may be offered that a one dropped out in striking Toramāga's die and that this date should read 152 not 52. Accepting this view Toramāga's date would be 152 (A.D. 472) that is immediately after the death of Skandagupta.

The Gwālior inscription² mentions prince Mihirakula as the son of Toramāga and a second inscription from a well in Mandasor³ dated Mālava Samvat 589 (A.D. 533) mentions a king named Yaśodharman who was ruler of Mālava when the well was built and who in a second Mandasor inscription⁴ is mentioned as having conquered Mihirakula. This would separate Mihirakula from his father Toramāga (A.D. 471) by more than sixty years. In explanation of this gap it may be suggested that the [1]52 (A.D. 472) coins were struck early in Toramāga's reign in honour of his conquest of the eastern Gupta territory. A reign of twenty years would bring Toramāga to 177 (A.D. 497). The Gwālior inscription of Mihirakula is in the fifteenth year of his reign that is on the basis of a succession date of 177 (A.D. 497) in Gupta 192 (A.D. 512). An interval of five years would bring Yaśodharman's conquest of Mihirakula to 197 (A.D. 517). This would place the making of the well in the twenty-first year of Mihirakula's reign.

After Budhagupta neither inscription nor coin shows any trace of Gupta supremacy in Mālava. An Eran inscription⁵ found in 1869 on a *linga*-shaped stone, with the representation of a woman performing *auti*, records the death in battle of a king Goparājā who is mentioned as the daughter's son of Samabharājā and appears to have been the son of king Madhava. Much of the inscription is lost. What remains records the passing to heaven of the deceased king in the very destructive fight with the great warrior (*guraxin*) Bhānugupta brave as Pārtha. The inscription is dated the seventh of dark Bhādrapada Gupta 191 in words as well as in numerals that is in A.D. 511. This Bhānugupta would be the successor of Budhagupta ruling over a petty Mālava principality which lasted till nearly the time of the great Harshavardhana the beginning of the seventh century (A.D. 607-650), as a Devagupta of Mālava is one of Rājyavardhana's rivals in the Śrīharshacharita. While Gupta power failed in Mālava

¹ Published by Mr. Fleet Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 36.

² Fleet Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 37.

³ Fleet Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 33.

⁴ Fleet Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 35.

⁵ Fleet Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 20.

Bhadrabāga,
A.D. 511.

and disappeared from Western India a fresh branch of the Guptas rose in Magadha or Behār and under Naragupta Bālāditya, perhaps the founder of the eastern branch of the later Gupta dynasty, attained the dignity of a gold coinage.¹

[Though the history of their last years is known only in fragments, chiefly from inscriptions and coins, little doubt remains regarding the power which first seriously weakened the early Guptas. The Bhitari stone pillar of Skandagupta² speaks of his restoring the fortunes of his family and conquering the Pushyamitras and also of his joining in close conflict with the Hūnas.³ Unfortunately the Bhitari inscription is not dated. The Junāgaḍh inscription, which bears three dates covering the period between A.D. 455 and 458,⁴ mentions pride-broken enemies in the country of the Mlechchhas admitting Skandagupta's victory. That the Mlechchhas of this passage refers to the Huns is made probable by the fact that it does not appear that the Pushyamitras were Mlechchhas while they and the Huns are the only enemies whom Skandagupta boasts either of defeating or of meeting in close conflict. It may therefore be assumed that the Huns became known to Skandagupta before A.D. 455. As according to the Chinese historians⁵ the White Huns did not cross the Oxus into Baktria before A.D. 452, the founding of the Hun capital of Badeghis⁶ may be fixed between A.D. 452 and 455. As the above quoted inscriptions indicate that the Huns were repulsed in their first attempt to take part in Indian politics the disturbances during the last years of Kumāragupta's reign were probably due to some tribe other than the Huns. This tribe seems to have been the Pushyamitras whose head-quarters would seem to have been in Northern India. Some other enemy must have arisen in Malwa

Chapter VII.

THE GUPTAS,
A.D. 410-470.

The
Pushyamitras,
A.D. 455.

¹ On Naragupta see below page 77, and for his coins J. R. A. S. (N. S.) XXI. note PL. III. 11.

² Fleet's Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 15 lines 10 and 15.

³ The Pushyamitras seem to have been a long established tribe like the Yaudheyas (above page 37). During the reign of Kanishka (A.D. 78-93) Pushyamitras were settled in the neighbourhood of Balandahar and at that time had already given their name to a Jain sect.

The sense of the inscription is somewhat doubtful. Mr. Fleet (Corp. Ins. Ind. III. page 62) translates: Whose fame, moreover, even (his) enemies in the countries of the Mlechchhas . . . having their pride broken down to the very root announce with the words 'Verily the victory has been achieved by him.' Prof. Peterson understands the meaning to be that Skandagupta's Indian enemies were forced to retire beyond the borders of India among friendly Mlechchhas and in a foreign land admit that the renewal of their conflict with Skandagupta was beyond hope. The retreat of Skandagupta's Indian enemies to the Mlechchhas suggests the Mlechchhas are the Hūnas that is the White Huns who were already in power on the Indian border, whom the enemies had previously in vain brought as allies into India to help them against Skandagupta. This gives exactness to the expression used in Skandagupta's Bhitari inscription (Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Number 13 page 36) that he joined in close conflict with the Hūnas . . . among enemies, as if in this conflict the Hūnas were the allies of enemies rather than the enemies themselves. For the introduction into India of foreign allies, compare in A.D. 327 (McCrindle's Alexander in India, 412) the king of Taxila, 34 miles north-west of Rawalpindi, sending an embassy to Baktria to secure Alexander as an ally against Porus of the Gujjarat country. And (Ditto 409) a few years later (A.D. 310) the North Indian Malayaketa allying himself with Yavana in his attack on Pataliputra or Patna.

⁴ Fleet's Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 14 line 4.

⁵ T'oungtzen quoted by Specht in Journal Asiatique for Oct. - Dec. 1883.

⁶ Badeghis is the modern Badkhyr the upper plateau between the Merv and the Herat rivers. The probable site of the capital of the White Huns is a little north of Herat. See Marco Polo's Itineraries No. I, Yule's Marco Polo, I. xxxii.

Chapter VII.

THE GUPTAS,
A.D. 410-470.The
Pushyamitras,
A.D. 455.

since the terms of Parnadatia's appointment to Surāshtra in A.D. 455-6 suggest that country had been lost to the Gupta empire and re-conquered by Skandagupta which would naturally be the case if a rival state had arisen in Málwa and been overthrown by that king. So far as is known the Huns made no successful attack on the Gupta empire during the lifetime of Skandagupta whose latest date is A.D. 468-9. It is not certain who succeeded Skandagupta. His brother Para(or Sihra-)gupta ruled in or near Magadha. But it is not certain whether he was the successor or the rival of Skandagupta.¹ That Skandagupta's inscriptions are found in the Patna district in the east² and in Káthiáváda in the west³ suggests that during his life the empire was not divided nor does any one of his inscriptions hint at a partition. The probability is that Skandagupta was succeeded by his brother Paragupta, who again was followed by his son Narasimhagupta and his grandson Kumāragupta II.⁴

Among the northerners who with or shortly after the Pushyamitras shared in the overthrow of Gupta power two names, a father and a son, Toramāna and Mihirakula are prominent. It is not certain that these kings were Hūnas by race. Their tribe were almost certainly his rivals' allies whom Skandagupta's Bhāṭari and Janāgaḍh inscriptions style the one Hūnas the other Mlechchhas.⁵ On one of Toramāna's coins Mr. Fleet reads⁶ the date 52 which he interprets as a regnal date. This though not impossible is somewhat unlikely. The date of Mihirakula's succession to his father is fixed somewhere about A.D. 515.⁷ In the neighbourhood of Gwālior he reigned at least fifteen years.⁸ The story of Mihirakula's interview with Balāditya's mother and his long subsequent history⁹ indicate that when he came to the throne he was a young man probably not more than 25. If his father reigned fifty-two years he must have been at least 70 when he died and not less than 45 when Mihirakula was born. As Mihirakula is known to have had at least one younger brother,¹⁰ it seems probable that Toramāna came to the throne a good deal later than A.D. 460 the date suggested by Mr. Fleet.¹¹ The date 52 on Toramāna's coins must therefore refer to some event other than his own accession. The suggestion may be offered that that event was the establishment of the White Huns in Baktria and the founding of their capital Badeghis,¹² which, as fixed above between A.D. 452 and 455, gives the very suitable date of A.D. 504 to 507 for the 52 of Toramāna's coin. If this suggestion is correct a further identification follows. The Chinese ambassador Sungyun (A.D. 520)¹³

¹ See the Ghazipur Seal. Smith & Hearn, J. A. S. Ben. LVIII. 84ff. and Fleet Ind. Ant. XIX. 224ff. ² Bihar Ins. Fleet's Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 12.

³ Janagaḍh Inscrip. Fleet's Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 14.

⁴ See note I above.

⁵ See above notes 1 and 2.

⁶ Ind. Ant. XVIII. 225.

⁷ Fleet's Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Introdn. 12.

⁸ Fleet's Corp. Ins. Ind. Ins. 37. line 4.

⁹ Beal's Buddhist Records, I. 169-172 and Rajataranginī, I. 289-325 quoted by Fleet in Ind. Ant. XV. 247-249.

¹⁰ Beal's Hsuen Tsang, I. 169-171. As Mr. Fleet suggests the younger brother is possibly the Chandra referred to in Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 38 line 6 and Introdn. 12 and 140 note 1. ¹¹ Ind. Ant. XIII. 290 and Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Introdn. 12.

¹² Specht in Journal Asiatique for Oct.-Dec. 1888. Histoire des Wei.

¹³ Beal's Buddhist Records, I. c. cii.

describes an interview with the king of Gandhāra whose family Sungyun notices was established in power by the Ye-tha, that is the Ephthalites or White Huns, two generations before his time.¹ Mihirakula is known to have ruled in Gandhāra² and Sungyun's description of the king's pride and activity agrees well with other records of Mihirakula's character. It seems therefore reasonable to suppose that the warlike sovereign who treated Sungyun and the name of his Imperial mistress with such scant courtesy was no other than the meteor Mihirakula. If Sungyun is correct in stating that Mihirakula was the third of his line the dynasty must have been established about A.D. 400. Beal is in doubt whether the name *Lae-lih* given by Sungyun³ is the family name or the name of the founder. As a recently deciphered inscription shows Toramāna's family name to have been *Jañvīla*⁴ it seems to follow that *Lae-lih*, or whatever is the correct transliteration of the Chinese characters, is the name of the father of Toramāna. Sungyun's reference to the establishment of this dynasty suggests they were not White Huns but leaders of some subject tribe.⁵ That this tribe was settled in Baktria perhaps as far south as Kabul before the arrival of the White Huns seems probable. The Hindu or Persian influence notable in the tribal name *Maitraka* and in the personal name *Mihirakula* seems unsuited to Huns newly come from the northern frontiers of China and proud of their recent successes.⁶ Chinese records show⁷ that the tribe who preceded the White Huns in Baktria and north-east Persia, and who about A.D. 350-400 destroyed the power of Kitolo the last of the Kushāns, were the Yuan-Yuan or Jouen-Jouen whom Sir H. Howorth identifies with the Avars.⁸ To this tribe it seems on the whole probable that

Chapter VII.

THE GUPTAS,
A.D. 410-470.
White Huns,
A.D. 450-520.

¹ Beal's Buddhist Records, I. xcix. - c.

² Beal's Buddhist Records, I. 171. Hsien Tsang's statement (Ditto) that Mihirakula conquered Gandhāra after his capture by Balhilita may refer to a reconquest from his brother, perhaps the Chandra referred to in note 10 on page 74.

³ Beal's Buddhist Records (I. c.) suggests that *Lae-lih* is the founder's name; in his note 50 he seems to regard *Lae-lih* as the family name.

⁴ Bühler. Ep. Ind. I. 238. Dr. Bühler hesitates to identify the Toramāna of this inscription with Mihirakula's father.

⁵ Beal's Buddhist Records, I. xcix. - c. This is the kingdom which the Ye-tha destroyed and afterwards set up *Lae-lih* to be king over the country.

⁶ *Maitraka* is a Sanskritized form of *Mithra* and this again is perhaps an adaptation of the widespread and well-known Western Indian tribal name *Mer* or *Med*. Compare Fleet's Corp. Ins. Ind. III. 320-327. It is to be remembered that the name of the emperor then (A.D. 450-500) ruling the White Huns was *Khusnawula*, a Persian name, the Happy Cherisher. . . . The emperor's Persian name, *Mihirakula*'s reported (Darmasteter JI. Asiatique, X. 50 n. 3) introduction of Mehl into Kashmir, and the inaptness of *Mihirakula* as a personal name give weight to Mr. Fleet's suggestion (Ind. Ant. XV. 245-252) that *Mihirakula* is pure Persian. The true form may then be *Mihiragula*, that is *Son Rose*, a name which the personal beauty of the prince may have gained him. 'I have heard of my son's wisdom and beauty and wish come to see his face' said the false-reading mother of king Balhilita (Beal's Buddhist Records, I. 160) when the captive *Mihirakula* was led before her his young head for very shame shrouded in his cloak.

⁷ Specht in Jour. Asiatique 1883 II. 335 and 345.

⁸ J. R. A. S. XXI. 721. According to other accounts (Ency. Brit. IX. Ed. Art. Turk. page 658) a portion of the Jouen-Jouen remained in Eastern Asia, where, till A.D. 552, they were the masters of the Tukki or Turks, who then overthrew their masters and about ten years later (A.D. 560) crushed the power of the White Huns.

Chapter VII.

THE GUPTAS,
A.D. 400-470.White Huns,
A.D. 450-520.

Lae-lih the father of Toramāna belonged.¹ At the same time, though perhaps not themselves White Huns, the details regarding Toramāna and Mihirakula so nearly cover the fifty years (A.D. 470-520) of Hūna ascendancy in North India that, as was in keeping with their position in charge of his Indian outpost, the White Hun emperor Khushnāwaz, while himself engaged in Central Asia and in Persia (A.D. 460-500),² seems to have entrusted the conquest of India to Toramāna and his son Mihirakula. Of the progress of the mixed Ynan-Yuan and White Hun invaders in India few details are available. Their ascendancy in the north seems to have been too complete to allow of opposition, and Hūnas were probably closely associated with the Maitraka or Malwa conquest of Kathiāwāda (A.D. 480-520). The southern fringe of the White Hun dominions, the present Sangor district of the Central Provinces, seems to have been the chief theatre of war, a debateable ground between the Guptas, Toramāna, and the Malwa chiefs. To the east of Sangor the Guptas succeeded in maintaining their power until at least A.D. 528-9.³ To the west of Sangor the Guptas held Erap in A.D. 484-5.⁴ About twenty years later (A.D. 505)⁵ Erap was in the hands of Toramāna, and in A.D. 510-11 Bhānugupta⁶ fought and apparently won a battle at Erap.

Mihirakula,
A.D. 512.

Mihirakula's accession to the throne may perhaps be fixed at A.D. 512. An inscription of Yaśodharman, the date of which cannot be many years on either side of A.D. 532-3, claims to have enforced the submission of the famous Mihirakula whose power had established itself on the tiaras of kings and who had hitherto bowed his neck to no one but Śiva.⁷ In spite of this defeat Mihirakula held Gwalior and the inaccessible fortress of the Himālayas.⁸ These dates give about A.D. 520 as the time of Mihirakula's greatest power, a result which suggests that the Gollas, whom, about A.D. 520, the Greek merchant Cosmas Indicopleustes heard of in the ports of Western India as the supreme ruler of Northern India was Kulla or Mihirakula.⁹

Yaśodharman
of Malwa,
A.D. 533-4.

Regarding the history of the third destroyers of Gupta power in Malwa, inscriptions show that in A.D. 437-8, under Kumāragupta, Bandhuvvarman son of Vishnuvarman ruled as a local king.¹⁰

¹ The name Josen-Josen seems to agree with Toramāna's surname Jadvia and with the Javia whom Cosmas Indicopleustes (A.D. 520-535) places to the north-east of Persia. Prichard's Indian Travels, 220.

² Rawlinson's Seventh Monarchy, 311-349.

³ Fleet's Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 25 line 1.

⁴ Fleet's Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 19 line 2.

⁵ Fleet's Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 36.

⁶ Fleet's Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 20.

⁷ Fleet's Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 32.

⁸ Fleet's Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 21.

⁹ Fleet's Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 21.

¹⁰ Prichard's Indian Travels, 222. Compare Yule's Cathay, I. chix.; Mignier's Petr. Gr. 88 page 450. For the use of Kala for Mihirakula, the second half for the whole, compare Fleet's Corp. Ins. Ind. III. 8 note. As regards the change from Kula to Gollas it is to be noted that certain of Mihirakula's own coins (Ind. Ant. XV 249) have the form Gula not Kula, and that this agrees with the suggestion (page 78 note 6) that the true form of the name is the Persian Mihiragula Rose of the Sun. Of this Gollas, who, like Mihirakula, was the type of conqueror round whom legends gather, Cosmas says (Prichard, 222): Besides a great force of cavalry Gollas could bring into the field 2000 elephants. So large were his armies that once when besieging an inland town defended by a water-foss his men horses and elephants drank the water and marched in dry-shod.

¹¹ Fleet's Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 18.

Possibly Bandhavarman afterwards threw off his allegiance to the Guptas and thereby caused the temporary loss of Surāshtra towards the end of Kumāragupta's reign. Nothing further is recorded of the rulers of Mālwa until the reign of Yaśodharman in A.D. 533-4.¹ It has been supposed that one of Yaśodharman's inscriptions mentioned a king Vishnavardhana but there can be little doubt that both names refer to the same person.² The name of Yaśodharman's tribe is unknown and his crest the *aulikara* has not been satisfactorily explained.³ Mandasor⁴ in Western Mālwa, where all his inscriptions have been found, must have been a centre of Yaśodharman's power. Yaśodharman boasts⁵ of conquering from the Brahmaputra to mount Mahendra and from the Himālayas to the Western Ocean. In the sixth century only one dynasty could claim such widespread power. That dynasty is the famous family of Ujjain to which belonged the well known Vikramāditya of the Nine Gems. It may be conjectured not only that Yaśodharman belonged to this family but that Yaśodharman was the great Vikramāditya himself.⁶

The difficult question remains by whom was the power of Mihirakula overthrown. Yaśodharman claims to have subdued Mihirakula, who, he distinctly says, had never before been defeated.⁷ On the other hand, Hsien Tsiang ascribes Mihirakula's overthrow to a Balāditya of Magadha.⁸ Coins prove that Balāditya⁹ was one of the titles of Narasimhagupta grandson of Kumāragupta I. (A.D. 417-453) who probably ruled Magadha as his son's seal was found in the Ghazipur district.¹⁰ If Hsien Tsiang's story is accepted a slight chronological difficulty arises in the way of this identification. It is clear that Mihirakula's first defeat was at the hands of Yaśodharman about A.D. 530. His defeat and capture by Balāditya must have been later. As Skandagupta's reign ended about A.D. 470 a blank of sixty years has to be filled by the two reigns of his brother and his nephew.¹¹ This, though not impossible, suggests caution in identifying Balāditya. According to Hsien Tsiang Balāditya was a feudatory of Mihirakula who rebelled against him when he began to persecute the Buddhists. Hsien Tsiang notices that, at the intercession of his own mother, Balāditya spared Mihirakula's life and allowed him to retire to Kashmir. He further notices that Mihirakula and his brother were rivals and his statement suggests that from Kashmir Mihirakula defeated his brother and recovered Gandhāra. The ascendancy of the White Huns cannot have lasted long after Mihirakula. About A.D. 560 the power of the White Huns was crushed between the combined attacks of the Persians and Turks.¹²—(A. M. T. J.)]

Chapter VII.

THE GUPTAS,
A.D. 460-470.Yaśodharman
of Mālwa,
A.D. 533-4.¹ Fleet's Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 33-35.² Fleet's Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 35 line 5. ³ Fleet's Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 151 note 4.⁴ N. Lat. 24° 3'; E. Long. 75° 8'.⁵ Fleet's Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 33 line 5.⁶ This has already been suggested by Genl. Cunningham, Num. Chron. (3rd Ser.), VIII. 41. Dr. Hornle (J. B. A. S. LVIII. 1007) has identified Yaśodharman with Vikramāditya's son Śhāditya Pratāpita.⁷ Fleet's Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Ins. 33 line 6.⁸ Beal's Buddhist Records, I. 169.⁹ Hornle in J. B. A. S. LVIII. 97.¹⁰ See Smith and Hornle J. B. A. S. LVIII. 84; and Fleet Ins. Ant. XIX. 224.¹¹ Hornle makes light of this difficulty; J. B. A. S. LVIII. 97.¹² Rawlinson's Seventh Monarchy, 430, 422.

CHAPTER VIII.

THE VALABHIS

(A.D. 509-766.)

Chapter VIII.

THE VALABHIS,
A.D. 509-766.Vajeh Town,
1893.

THE Valabhi dynasty, which succeeded the Guptas in Gujara't and Kāthiāvāda, take their name from their capital in the east of Kāthiāvāda about twenty miles west of Bhāvnagar and about twenty-five miles north of the holy Jain hill of Satruñjaya. The modern name of Valabhi is Vajeh. It is impossible to say whether the modern Vajeh is a corruption of Valabhi the Prakrit form of the Sanskrit Valabhi or whether Valabhi is Sanskritised from a local original Vajeh. The form Valabhi occurs in the writings of Jinaprabhasuri a learned Jain of the thirteenth century who describes Satruñjaya as in the Valāhaka province. A town in the chiefship of Vajeh now occupies the site of old Valabhi,¹ whose ruins lie buried below thick layers of black earth and silt under the modern town and its neighbourhood. The only remains of old buildings are the large foundation bricks of which, except a few new houses, the whole of Vajeh is built. The absence of stone supports the theory that the buildings of old Valabhi were of brick and wood. In 1872 when the site was examined the only stone remains were a few scattered Lingas and a well-polished life-size granite Nandi or bull lying near a modern Mahādeva temple. Diggers for old bricks have found copper pots and copperplates and small Buddhist relic shrines with earthen pots and clay seals of the seventh century.

The ruins of Valabhi show few signs of representing a large or important city. The want of sweet water apparently unfits the site for the capital of so large a kingdom as Valabhi. Its choice as capital was probably due to its being a harbour on the Bhāvnagar creek. Since

¹ Mr. Vajeshankar Garvadhankar, Nāib Dīvān of Bhāvnagar, has made a collection of articles found in Valabhi. The collection includes clay seals of four varieties and of about the seventh century with the Buddhist formula *Ye Dharma kevaṃ Probhavā*; a small earthen tope with the same formula imprinted on its base with a seal; beads and ring stones many of several varieties of *akik* or carnelian and *sphatik* or coral some finished others half finished showing that as in modern Cambay the polishing of carnelians was a leading industry in early Valabhi. One circular figure of the size of a half rupee carved in black stone has engraved upon it the letters *ma ro* in characters of about the second century.* A royal seal found by Colonel Watson in Vajeh bears on it an imperfect inscription of four lines in characters as old as Dīvarasana I. (A.D. 620). This seal contains the names of three generations of kings, two of which the grandfather and grandson read *Ahīrasman* and *Pushyapa* all three being called *Mahārāja* or great king. The dynastic name is lost. The names on these moveable objects need not belong to Valabhi history. Still that seals of the second and fifth centuries have been discovered in Valabhi shows the place was in existence before the founding of the historical Valabhi kingdom. A further proof of the age of the city is the mention of it in the Kāthāsari-sūtras a comparatively modern work but of very old materials. To this evidence of age, with much hesitation, may be added Balī Ptolemy's name for Gopmāth point which suggests that as early as the second century Vajeh or Balah (compare Alberuni's era of Balah) was known by its present name. Badly minted coins of the Gupta ruler Kumāragupta (A.D. 417-433) are so common as to suggest that they were the currency of Valabhi.

* The *ma* and *ro* are of the old style and the side and upper strokes, that is the *śīla* and *maṭra* of *ro* are horizontal.

the days of Valabhi's prime the silt which thickly covers the ruins has also filled and choked the channel which once united it with the Bhāvnagar creek when the small Ghelo was probably a fair sized river.

In spite of the disappearance of every sign of greatness Hiuen Tsiang's (A.D. 640) details show how rich and populous Valabhi was in the early part of the seventh century. The country was about 1000 miles (8000 *li*) and the capital about five miles (30 *li*) in circumference. The soil the climate and the manners of the people were like those of Malava. The population was dense; the religious establishments rich. Over a hundred merchants owned a hundred *lākhs*. The rare and valuable products of distant regions were stored in great quantities. In the country were several hundred monasteries or *saṅgharāmas* with about 6000 monks. Most of them studied the Little Vehicle according to the Saṃmatiya school. There were several hundred temples of Devas and sectaries of many sorts. When Tathāgata or Gautama Buddha (B.C. 560-480) lived he often travelled through this country. King As'oka (B.C. 240) had raised monuments or *stupas* in all places where Buddha had rested. Among these were spots where the three past Buddhas sat or walked or preached. At the time of Hiuen Tsiang's account (A.D. 640) the king was of the Kalmūriya caste, as all Indian rulers were. He was the nephew of S'īlāditya of Malava and the son-in-law of the son of S'īlāditya the reigning king of Kanyakubja. His name was Dhruvapati (Tu-lu-h'o-po-tu). He was of a lively and hasty disposition, shallow in wisdom and statecraft. He had only recently attached himself sincerely to the faith in the three precious ones. He yearly summoned a great assembly and during seven days gave away valuable gems and choice meats. On the monks he bestowed in charity the three garments and medicaments, or their equivalents in value, and precious articles made of the seven rare and costly gems. These he gave in charity and redeemed at twice their price. He esteemed the virtuous, honoured the good, and revered the wise. Learned priests from distant regions were specially honoured. Not far from the city was a great monastery built by the Arhat Achāra (P'O-che-lo), where, during their travels, the Bodhisattvas Guṇamatī and Sthiramati (Kien-hwni) settled and composed renowned treatises.¹

The only historical materials regarding the Valabhi dynasty are their copperplates of which a large number have been found. That such powerful rulers as the Valabhis should leave no records on stones and no remains of religious or other buildings is probably because, with one possible exception at Gopnāth,² up to the ninth century all temples and religious buildings in Kāthiāwāḍa and Gujārāt were of brick and wood.³

Chapter VIII.

THE VALABHIS,
A.D. 509-766.

Valabhi in
A.D. 630.

Valabhi
Copperplates.

¹ As suggested by Dr. Bühler (Ind. Ant. VI. 10), this is probably the Vihāra called Śrī Rāmapāṇḍitarāma which is described as having been constructed by Achārya Bhadanta Sthiramati who is mentioned as the grantee in a copperplate of Dharmasaṃ II. bearing date Gupta 262 (A.D. 588). The Sthiramati mentioned with titles of religious veneration in the copperplate is probably the same as that referred to by Hiuen Tsiang. (Ditto).

² Burgess' Kathiāwār and Kutch, 187.

³ Stories on record about two temples one at Sātrajaya the other at Sonanāth support this view. As regards the Sātrajaya temple the tradition is that while the minister of Kumārapāla (A.D. 1143-1174) of Anahilavāḍa was on a visit to Sātrajaya to worship and meditate in the temple of Adināth, the wick of the lamp in the shrine was removed

Chapter VIII.

THE VALABHIS,
A.D. 509-766.Valabhi
Copperplates.

The Valabhi copperplates chiefly record grants to Brahmanical temples and Buddhist monasteries and sometimes to individuals. All are in one style two plates inscribed breadthwise on the inner side, the earliest plates being the smallest. The plates are held together by two rings passed through two holes in their horizontal upper margin. One of the rings bears on one side a seal with, as a badge of the religion of the dynasty, a well-proportioned seated Nandi or bull. Under the bull is the word Bhatārka the name of the founder of the dynasty. Except such differences as may be traced to the lapse of time, the characters are the same in all, and at the same time differ from the character then in use in the Valabhi territory which must have been that from which Devanāgarī is derived. The Valabhi plate character is adopted from that previously in use in South Gujarāt plates which was taken from the South Indian character. The use of this character suggests that either Bhatārka or the clerks and writers of the plates came from South Gujarāt.¹ The language of all the grants is Sanskrit prose. Each records the year of the grant, the name of the king making the grant, the name of the grantee, the name of the village or field granted, the name of the writer of the charter either the minister of peace and war *sandhivigrahādhiprīta* or the military head *balādhiprīta*, and sometimes the name of the *dātaka* or gift-causer generally some officer of influence or a prince and in one case a princess. The grants begin by recording they were made either 'from Valabhi' the capital, or 'from the royal camp' '*Vijaya-skandhāvāra*.' Then follows the genealogy of the dynasty from Bhatārka the founder to the grantor king. Each king has in every grant a series of attributes which appear to have been fixed for him once for all. Except in rare instances the grants contain nothing historical. They are filled with verbose description and figures of speech in high flown Sanskrit. As enjoined in law-books or *dharmaśāstras* after the genealogy of the grantor comes the name of the composer usually the minister of peace and war and after him the boundaries of the land granted. The plates conclude with the date of the grant, expressed in numerals following the letter *saṃ* or the letters *saṃra* for *saṃvatsara* that is year. After the numerals are given the lunar month and day and the day of the week, with, at the extreme end, the sign manual *svaślo mama* followed by the name of the king in the genitive case that is Own band of me so and so. The name of the era in which the date is reckoned is nowhere given.

Period
Covered.

So far as is known the dates extend for 240 years from 207 to 447. That the earliest known date is so late as 207 makes it pro-

by mice and set on fire and almost destroyed the temple which was wholly of wood. The minister seeing the danger of wooden buildings determined to erect a stone edifice (*Kumārāpala Charita*). The story about Somanātha is given in an inscription of the time of Kumārāpala in the temple of Bhadrakālī which shows that before the stone temple was built by Bhīma-deva I. (A.D. 1023-1072) the structure was of wood which was traditionally believed to be as old as the time of Kṛishṇa. Compare the Bhadrakālī inscription at Somanātha.

¹ The correctness of this inference seems open to question. The descent of the Valabhi plate character seems traceable from its natural local source the Śāṇḍagupta (A.D. 450) and the Rodradāman (A.D. 150) Girnār inscriptions.—(A. M. T. J.)

hable that the Valabhis adopted an era already in use in Kāthiāváda. No other era seems to have been in use in Valabhi. Three inscriptions have their years dated expressly in the Valabhi Samvat. The earliest of these in Bhadrakālī's temple in Somnāth Pātan is of the time of Kumārāpāla (A.D. 1143-1174) the Solanki ruler of Anahilavāda. It bears date Valabhi Samvat 850. The second and third are in the temple of Harsata Devi at Verāval. The second which was first mentioned by Colonel Tod, is dated Hijra 662, Vikrama Samvat 1320, Valabhi Samvat 945, and Simha Samvat 151. The third inscription, in the same temple on the face of the pedestal of an image of Krishna represented as upholding the Govardhana hill, bears date Valabhi S. 927. These facts prove that an era known as the Valabhi era, which the inscriptions show began in A.D. 319, was in use for about a hundred years in the twelfth and thirteenth centuries. This may be accepted as the era of the Valabhi plates which extended over two centuries. Further the great authority (A.D. 1039) Alberuni gives Saka 241 that is A.D. 319 as the starting point both of the 'era of Balah' and of what he calls the Gupta-kāla or the Gupta era. Beruni's accuracy is established by a comparison of the Mandasor inscription and the Nepal inscription of Amśuvarman which together prove the Gupta era started from A.D. 319. Though its use by the powerful Valabhi dynasty caused the era to be generally known by their name in Gujarāt in certain localities the Gupta era continued in use under its original name as in the Morbi copperplate of Jāikadeva which bears date 588 "of the era of the Guptas."¹

The Valabhi grants supply information regarding the leading office bearers and the revenue police and village administrators whose names generally occur in the following order :

Chapter VIII.

THE VALABHIS,
A.D. 500-700.Period
Covered.Valabhi
Administration,
A.D. 500-700.

- (1) *Ayuktaka*, } meaning appointed, apparently any superior
(2) *Viniyuktaka* } official.

(3) *Draṅgika*, apparently an officer in charge of a town, as *draṅga* means a town.

(4) *Mahattara* or Senior has the derivative meaning of high in rank. *Mhātāra* the Marāṭhi for an old man is the same word. In the Valabhi plates *mahattara* seems to be generally used to mean the accredited headman of a village, recognised as headman both by the people of the village and by the Government.

(5) *Chāṭabhaṭa* that is *bhaṭa* or sepoys for *chīṭa* or rogues, police mounted and on foot, represent the modern police *jamādāra* *haddāra* and constables. The Kumārāpāla Charita mentions that Chāṭabhaṭas were sent by Siddharāja to apprehend the fugitive Kumārāpāla. One plate records the grant of a village 'unenterable by *chāṭabhaṭas*.'²

(6) *Dhruva* fixed or permanent is the hereditary officer in charge of the records and accounts of a village, the Talāti and Kolkarni

¹ The era has been exhaustively discussed by Mr. Fleet in Corp. Ins. Ind. III. Introduction.

² Nepal Inscriptions. The phrase *achāṭa-bhaṭa* is not uncommon. Mr. Fleet (Corp. Ins. Ind. III. page 28 note 2) explains *achāṭa-bhaṭa-praveśa* as "not to be entered either by regular (*bhaṭa*) or by irregular (*achāṭa*) troops."

Chapter VIII.

THE VALABHI,
A.D. 600-700.Valabhi
Administration,
A.D. 600-700.

of modern times. One of the chief duties of the Dhruva was to see that revenue farmers did not take more than the royal share.¹ The name is still in use in Cutch where village accountants are called *Dhru* and *Dhruva*. *Dhru* is also a common surname among Nāgar Brāhmins and Modh and other Vānias in Cutch Gujarāt and Kāthiāvāḍa.

(7) *Adhikaravika* means the chief judicial magistrate or judge of a place.

(8) *Dandapāsika* literally 'holding the fetters or noose of punishment,' is used both of the head police officer and of the hangman or executioner.

(9) *Chaureddharavika* the thief-catcher. Of the two Indian ways of catching thieves, one of setting a thief to catch a thief the other the *Pagi* or tracking system, the second answers well in sandy Gujarāt and Kāthiāvāḍa where the Tracker or *Pagi* is one of the *Bārābaluts* or regular village servants.

(10) *Rājasthānīya*, the foreign secretary, the officer who had to do with other states and kingdoms *rājasthānas*. Some authorities take *rājasthānīya* to mean viceroy.

(11) *Amātya* minister and sometimes councillor is generally coupled with *kumāra* or prince.

(12) *Anutpanndānasamudgrāhaka* the arrears-gatherer.

(13) *Saulka* the superintendent of tolls or customs.

(14) *Bhogika* or *Bhogoddharavika* the collector of the *Bhoga* that is the state share of the land produce taken in kind, as a rule one-sixth. The term *bhoga* is still in use in Kāthiāvāḍa for the share, usually one-sixth, which landholders receive from their cultivating tenants.

(15) *Vartmapāla* the roadwatch were often mounted and stationed in *thānis* or small roadside sheds.²

(16) *Pratiniraka* patrols night-guardia or watchmen of fields and villages.³

(17) *Vishayapati* division-lord probably corresponded to the present *subāh*.

(18) *Rāshtrapati* the head of a district.

(19) *Grāmakūpa* the village headman.

Territorial
Divisions.

The plates show traces of four territorial divisions: (1) *Vishaya* the largest corresponding to the modern administrative Division: (2) *Āhāra* or *Āharavāṇī* that is collectorate (from *āhāra* a collection) corresponding to the modern district or *zillah*: (3) *Pathaka*, of the road, a sub-division, the place named and its surroundings: (4) *Sikali* a petty division the place without surroundings.⁴

Land
Assessment.

The district of Kaira and the province of Kāthiāvāḍa to which the Valabhi grants chiefly refer appear to have had separate systems

¹ Bühler in Ind. Ant. V. 205.² Ind. Ant. VII. 68.³ Ind. Ant. VII. 68.

⁴ Of the different territorial divisions the following examples occur: Of *Vishaya* or main division *Syabhatgapuravishaya* and *Sāryapuravishaya*; of *Āhāra* or collectorate *Khetaka-āhāra* the Kaira district and *Hastavapra-āhāra* or *Hastavapraharat*; the *Hāthab* district near Bhavnagar; of *Pathaka* or sub-division *Nager-panthaka*, *Perbandar-panthaka*, *Porde* still talk of *Naxāri panthaka*; of *Sikali* or petty division *Vajasthali*, *Lopāmpurakasthali*, and others.

of land assessment Kaira by yield Kāthiāvāda by area. Under the Kāthiāvāda system the measurement was by *pādāvarta* literally the space between one foot and the other that is the modern *kadam* or pace. The pace used in measuring land seems to have differed from the ordinary pace as most of the Kāthiāvāda grants mention the *bhāpādāvarta* or land pace. The Kaira system of assessment was by yield the unit being the *pīṭaka* or basketful, the grants describing fields as capable of growing so many baskets of rice or barley (or as requiring so many baskets of seed). As the grants always specify the Kaira basket a similar system with a different sized basket seems to have been in use in other parts of the country. Another detail which the plates preserve is that each field had its name called after a guardian or from some tree or plant. Among field names are Kotlaka, Atinaga-kedāra, Khanda-kedāra, Gargara-kshetra, Bhīma-kshetra, Khagali-kedāra, Sami-kedāra.

The state religion of the Valabhi kings was Śaivism. Every Valabhi copperplate hitherto found bears on its seal the figure of a bull with under it the name of Bhatārka the founder of the dynasty who was a Śaiva. Except Dhruvasena I. (A.D. 526) who is called *Paramabhāgavata* or the great Vaiṣṇava and his brother and successor Dharapatta who is styled *Paramādityabhakta* or the great devotee of the sun, and Guhasena, who in his grant of Sam. 248 calls himself *Paramopāsaka* or the great devotee of Buddha, all the Valabhi kings are called *Parama-māheśvara* the great Śaiva.

The grants to Buddhist *vihāras* or monasteries of which there are several seem special gifts to institutions founded by female relatives of the granting kings. Most of the grants are to Brahmins who though performing Vaidik ceremonies probably as at present honoured Śaivism. This Śaivism seems to have been of the old Pāśupata school of Nakulīśa or Lakulīśa as the chief shrine of Lakulīśa was at Kīrāvāna the modern Kārvān in the Gāikwār's territory fifteen miles south of Baroda and eight miles north-east of Miyāgām railway station a most holy place till the time of the Vāghela king Arjunadeva in the thirteenth century.¹ The special

Chapter VIII.

THE VALABHIS,
A.D. 500-766.Land
Assessment.

Religion.

¹ Kārvān seems to have suffered great desecration at the hands of the Muslims. All round the village chiefly under figal trees, images and pieces of sculpture and large *līngas* are scattered. To the north and east of the village on the banks of a large built pond called Kāthkunda are numerous sculptures and *līngas*. Partly embedded in the ground a pillar in style of about the eleventh century has a writing over it of latter times. The inscription contains the name of the place Sanskritised as Kīrāvachhana, and mentions an ascetic named Virabhadraśāhi who remained here for twelve years. Near the pillar, at the steps leading to the water, is a carved doorway of about the tenth or eleventh century with some well-proportioned figures. The left doorway has at the top a figure of Śiva, below the Śiva a figure of Śārya, below the Śārya a male and female, and under them attendants or *puṣpas* of Śiva. The right doorway has at the top a figure of Viṣṇu seated on Garuda, below the seated Viṣṇu a standing Viṣṇu with four hands, and below that two sitting male and female figures, the male with hands folded in worship the female holding a purse. These figures probably represent a married pair who paid for this gateway. Further below are figures of *puṣpas* of Śiva. In 1884 in repairing the south bank of the pond a number of carved stones were brought from the north of the town. About half a mile north-west of the town on the bank of a dry brook, is a temple of Chamunda Devi of about the tenth century. It contains a mutilated life-size image of Chamunda. Facing the temple lie mutilated figures of the seven Matrikās and of Bhairava, probably the remains of a separate altar.

Chapter VIII.

THE VALABHIS,
A.D. 509-766.

Religion.

holiness attached to the Nārkaḍā in Śaivism and to its pebbles as *līngas* is probably due to the neighbourhood of this shrine of Kāvān. The followers of the Nakulīśa-Pāśupata school were strict devotees of Śaivism, Nakulīśa the founder being regarded as an incarnation of Śiva. The date of the foundation of this school is not yet determined. It appears to have been between the second and the fifth century A.D. Nakulīśa had four disciples Kuṣīta, Gārgya, Kārusha, and Maitreya founders of four branches which spread through the length and breadth of India. Though no special representatives of this school remain, in spite of their nominal allegiance to Śaṅkarāchārya the Daśanāmīs or Atītis are in fact Nakulīśas in their discipline doctrines and habits—applying ashes over the whole body, planting a *līga* over the grave of a buried Atīt, and possessing proprietary rights over Śaiva temples. The Pāśupatas were ever ready to fight for their school and often helped and served in the armies of kings who became their disciples. Till a century ago these unpaid followers recruited the armies of India with celibates firm and strong in fighting. It was apparently to gain these recruits that so many of the old rulers of India became followers of the Pāśupata school. To secure their services the rulers had to pay them special respect. The leaders of these fighting monks were regarded as pontiffs like the Bappa-pāda or Pontiff of the later Valabhis and other kings. Thus among the later Valabhis Ś'īlāditya IV. is called *Bārapādānuḍhyāta* and all subsequent Ś'īlādityas *Bappapādānuḍhyāta* both titles meaning Worshipping at the feet of Bava or Bappa.

This Bāva is the popular Prakrit form of the older Prakrit or *deśī* Bappa meaning Father or worshipful. Bappa is the original of the Hindustānī and Gujarātī Bāva father or elder; it is also a special term for a head Gosāvi or Atīt or indeed for any recluse. The epithet *Bappa-pādānuḍhyāta*, Bowing at the feet of Bappa, occurs in the attributes of several Nepal kings, and in the case of king Vasantasena appears the full phrase:

Parama-dhīrato-bappa-bhāṭṭeraka-mahārāja-Ś'īl-pādānuḍhyāta.

Falling at the illustrious feet of the great Mahārāja Lord Bappa.

These Nepal kings were Śaivas as they are called *parama-dhīrera* in the text of the inscription and like the Valabhis seals their seals bear a bull. It follows that the term *Bappa* was applied both by the Valabhis and the Nepal kings to some one, who can hardly be the same individual, unless he was their

facing the temple with the *mātṛi-maṇḍala* or Mother-Meeting upon it. The village has a large modern temple of Śiva called Nakleśvara, on the site of some old temple and mostly built of old carved temple stones. In the temple close by are a number of old images of the sun and the bear incarnation of Viṣṇu all of about the tenth or eleventh century. The name Nakleśvara would seem to have been derived from Nakulīśa the founder of the Pāśupata sect and the temple may originally have had an image of Nakulīśa himself or a *līga* representing Nakulīśa. Close to the west of the village near a small dry reservoir called the *Kuṇḍa* of Rājaraṣṭra is a well-preserved black stone seated figure of Gaṇpa one of the most respected of Śiva's attendants, without whose worship all worship of Śiva is imperfect, and to whom all that remains after making oblations to Śiva is offered. A number of other sculptures lie on the bank of the pond. About a mile to the south of Kāvān is a village called Lūṅṭhāl the place of *līngas*.

common overlord, which the distance between the two countries and still more the fact that his titles are the same as the titles of the Valabhi kings make almost impossible. In these circumstances the most probable explanation of the Bappa or Bāva of these inscriptions is that it was applied to Shaivite pontiffs or ecclesiastical dignitaries. The attribute *Parama-daitata* The Great Divine prefixed to Bappa in the inscription of Vasantasena confirms this view. That such royal titles as *Mahārāja*, *Paramabhattāraka*, and *Paramēśvara* are ascribed to Bappa is in agreement with the present use of *Mahārāja* for all priestly Brāhmins and recluses and of *Bhattāraka* for Digambara Jain priests. Though specially associated with Śaivas the title *bappa* is applied also to Vaiṣṇava dignitaries. That the term *bappa* was in similar use among the Buddhists appears from the title of a Valabhi *cibāra* Bappapādiyavihāra The monastery of the worshipful Bappa that is Of the great teacher Sthiramati by whom it was built.¹

The tribe or race of Bhatārka the founder of the Valabhi dynasty is doubtful. None of the numerous Valabhi copperplates mentions the race of the founder. The Chalukya and Rāshtrakūṭa copperplates are silent regarding the Valabhi dynasty. And it is worthy of note that the Gohlots and Gohils, who are descended from the Valabhis, take their name not from their race but from king Guha or Guhasena (A.D. 359-567) the fourth ruler and apparently the first great sovereign among the Valabhis. These considerations make it probable that Bhatārka belonged to some low or stranger tribe. Though the evidence falls short of proof the probability seems strong that Bhatārka belonged to the Gurjara tribe, and that it was the supremacy of him and his descendants which gave rise to the name Gurjara-rātra the country of the Gurjaras, a name used at first by outsiders and afterwards adopted by the people of Gujarāt. Except Bhatārka and his powerful dynasty no kings occur of sufficient importance to have given their name to the great province of Gujarāt. Against their Gurjara origin it may be urged that the Chinese traveller Hsien Tsiang (A.D. 640) calls the king of Valabhi a Kshatriya. Still Hsien Tsiang's remark was made more than a century after the establishment of the dynasty when their rise to power and influence had made it possible for them to ennoble themselves by calling themselves Kshatriyas and tracing their lineage to Puranic heroes. That such ennobling was not only possible but common is beyond question. Many so-called Rājput families in Gujarāt and Kāthiavāḍa can be traced to low or stranger tribes. The early kings of Nāndipurī or Nāndod (A.D. 450) call themselves Gurjara and the later members of the same dynasty trace their lineage to the Mahābhārata hero Karna. Again two of the Nandod Gurjaras Dadda II. and Jayabhata II. helped the Valabhis under circumstances which suggest that the bond of sympathy

Chapter VIII.

THE VALABHIS.
A.D. 309-760.

Religion.

Origin of
the Valabhis.

¹ Compare Real Buddhist Records, II. 268 note 76 and Ind. Ant. VI. 9. The meaning and reference of the title *Bappa* have been much discussed. The question is treated at length by Mr. Fleet (Corp. Ins. Ind. III. 186 note 1) with the result that the title is applied not to a religious teacher but to the father and predecessor of the king who makes the grant. According to Mr. Fleet *bappa* would be used in reference to a father, *śāra* in reference to an uncle.

Chapter VIII.

THE VALABHIS,
A.D. 509-766.Origin of
the Valabhis.

may have been their common origin. The present chiefs of Nandod derive their lineage from Karna and call themselves Gohils of the same stock as the Bhāvnagar Gohils who admittedly belong to the Valabhi stock. This supports the theory that the Gurjjaras and the Valabhis had a common origin, and that the Gurjjaras were a branch of and tributary to the Valabhis. This would explain how the Valabhis came to make grants in Broach at the time when the Gurjjaras ruled there. It would further explain that the Gurjjaras were called *śāmantas* or feudatories because they were under the overlordship of the Valabhis.¹

History.

The preceding chapter shows that except Chandragupta (A.D. 410) Kumāragupta (A.D. 416) and Skandagupta (A.D. 456) none of the Guptas have left any trace of supremacy in Gujarāt and Kāthiāvāḍa. Of what happened in Gujarāt during the forty years after Gupta 150 (A.D. 469), when the reign of Skandagupta came to an end nothing is known or is likely to be discovered from Indian sources. The blank of forty years to the founder Bhaṭārka (A.D. 509) or more correctly of sixty years to Dhruvasena (A.D. 526) the first Valabhi king probably corresponds with the ascendancy of some foreign dynasty or tribe. All trace of this tribe has according to custom been blotted out of the Sanskrit and other Hindu records. At the same time it is remarkable that the fifty years ending about A.D. 525 correspond closely with the ascendancy in north and north-west India of the great tribe of Ephthalites or White Huns. As has been shown in the Gupta Chapter, by A.D. 470 or 480, the White Huns seem to have been powerful if not supreme in Upper India. In the beginning of the sixth century, perhaps about A.D. 520, Cosmas Indicopleustes describes the north of India and the west coast as far south as Kalliana that is Kalyān near Bombay as under the Huns whose king was Gollas.² Not many years later (A.D. 530) the Hun power in Central India suffered defeat and about the same time a new dynasty arose in south-east Kāthiāvāḍa.

First Valabhi
Grant,
A.D. 526.

The first trace of the new power, the earliest Valabhi grant, is that of Dhruvasena in the Valabhi or Gupta year 207 (A.D. 526). In this grant Dhruvasena is described as the third son of the Senāpati or general Bhaṭārka. Of Senāpati Bhaṭārka neither copperplate nor inscription has been found. Certain coins which General Cunningham Arch. Surv. Rept. IX, Pl. V. has ascribed to Bhaṭārka have on the obverse a bust, as on the western coins of

¹ Whether the Valabhis were or were not Gurjjaras the following facts favour the view that they entered Gujarat from Malwa. It has been shown (Fleet Ind. Ant. XX, 376) that while the Guptas used the so-called Northern year beginning with Chaitra, the Valabhi year began with Kārtika (see Ind. Ant. XX, 376). And further Kielhorn in his examination of questions connected with the Vikrama era (Ind. Ant. XIX. and XX.) has given reasons for believing that the original Vikrama year began with Kārtika and took its rise in Malwa. It seems therefore that when they settled in Gujarat, while they adopted the Gupta era the Valabhis still adhered to the old arrangement of the year to which they had been accustomed in their home in Malwa. The arrangement of the year entered into every detail of their lives, and was therefore much more difficult to change than the starting point of their era, which was important only for official acts. — (A. M. T. J.)

² Montfaucon's Edition in Prêtre's Indian Travels, 222-223. It seems doubtful if Cosmas meant that Gollas' overlordship spread as far south as Kalyān. Compare Milne's Patrologie Cursee, lxxxviii. 466; Yule's Cathay, I. clxx.

Kumāragupta, and on the reverse the Śaiva trident, and round the trident the somewhat doubtful legend in Gupta characters :

Rajño Mahakshatri Paramadityabhakta Śrī Sarva-bhāṭṭāraka.

Of the king the great Kshatri, great devotee of the sun, the illustrious Sarva-bhāṭṭāraka.

This Sarva seems to have been a Rāshtrakūṭa or Gurjara king. His coins were continued so long in use and were so often copied that in the end upright strokes took the place of letters. That these coins did not belong to the founder of the Valabhi dynasty appears not only from the difference of name between Bhāṭṭāraka and Bhātārka but because the coiner was a king and the founder of the Valabhis a general.

Of the kingdom which Senāpati Bhātārka overthrew the following details are given in one of his epithets in Valabhi copperplates: 'Who obtained glory by dealing hundreds of blows on the large and very mighty armies of the Maitrakas, who by force had subdued their enemies.' As regards these Maitrakas it is to be noted that the name Maitraka means Solar. The sound of the compound epithet *Maitraka-amitra* that is Maitraka-enemy used in the inscription makes it probable that the usual form Mihira or solar was rejected in favour of Maitraka which also means solar to secure the necessary assonance with *amitra* or enemy. The form Mihira solar seems a Hinduizing or meaning-making of the northern tribal name Meḍh or Mehr, the Mehirs being a tribe which at one time seem to have held sway over the whole of Kāthiāvāda and which are still found in strength near the Barda hills in the south-west of Kāthiāvāda.¹ The Jethvā chiefs of Porbandar who were formerly powerful rulers are almost certainly of the Mehr tribe. They are still called Mehr kings and the Mehirs of Kāthiāvāda regard them as their leaders and at the call of their Head are ready to fight for him. The chief of Mehr traditions describes the fights of their founder Makaradhvajā with one Mayūradhvajā. This tradition seems to embody the memory of an historical struggle. The *makara* or fish is the tribal badge of the Mehirs and is marked on a Morbi copperplate dated A.D. 904 (G. 585) and on the forged Dhināki grant of the Mehr king Jāikādeva. On the other hand Mayūradhvajā or peacock-bannered would be the name of the Guptas beginning with Chandragupta who ruled in Gujaraṭ (A.D. 396-416) and whose coins have a peacock on the reverse. The tradition would thus be a recollection of the struggle between the Mehirs and Guptas in which about A.D. 470 the Guptas were defeated. The Mehirs seem to have been a northern tribe, who, the evidence of place names seems to show, passed south through Western Rājputana, Jaslo, Ajo, Bad, and Koml leaders of this tribe giving their names to the settlements of Jesalmir, Ajmir, Badmer, and Komalmir. The resemblance of name and the nearness of dates suggest a connection between the Mehirs and the great Panjab conqueror of the Guptas Mihirakula (A.D. 512-540?). If not them-

Chapter VIII.

THE VALABHIS,
A.D. 300-700.

Senāpati Bhātārka,
A.D. 500-550?

The Maitrakas,
A.D. 470-500.

¹ The Mehirs seem to have remained in power also in north-east Kāthiāvāda till the thirteenth century. Mokheraji Gohil the famous chief of Pirana was the son of a daughter of Dhan Mehr or Mair of Dhanduka, Rās Mālā I. 36c.

Chapter VIII.

THE VALABHIS,
A.D. 509-789.
THE MAITRAKAS,
A.D. 470-509.

selves Hūnas the Mehars may have joined the conquering armies of the Hūnas and passing south with the Hūnas may have won a settlement in Kāthiāvāda as the Kāthis and Jhādejās settled about 300 years later. After Senāpati Bhatārka's conquests in the south of the Peninsula the Mehars seem to have retired to the north of Kāthiāvāda.

The above account of the founder of the Valabhis accepts the received opinion that he was the Senāpati or General of the Guptas. The two chief points in support of this view are that the Valabhis adopted both the Gupta era and the Gupta currency. Still it is to be noted that this adoption of a previous era and currency by no means implies any connection with the former rulers.¹ Both the Gurjjaras (A.D. 580) and the Chālukyas (A.D. 642) adopted the existing era of the Traikūtakas (A.D. 248-9) while as regards currency the practice of continuing the existing type is by no means uncommon.² In those circumstances, and seeing that certain of the earlier Valabhi inscriptions refer to an overlord who can hardly have been a Gupta, the identification of the king to whom the original Senāpati owed allegiance must be admitted to be doubtful.

All known copperplates down to those of Dharasena (A.D. 579 the great grandson of Bhatārka) give a complete genealogy from Bhatārka to Dharasena. Later copperplates omit all mention of any descendants but those in the main line.

Senāpati's
sons.

Senāpati Bhatārka had four sons, (1) Dharasena (2) Droṇasīmha (3) Dhruvasena and (4) Dharapatta. Of Dharasena the first son no record has been traced. His name first appears in the copperplates of his brother Dhruvasena where like his father he is called Senāpati. Similarly of the second son Droṇasīmha no record exists except in the copperplates of his brother Dhruvasena. In these copperplates unlike his father and elder brother Dhruvasena is called *Mahārāja* and is mentioned as 'invested with royal authority in person by the great lord, the lord of the wide extent of the whole world.' This great lord or *paramasvami* could not have been his father Bhatārka. Probably he was the king to whom Bhatārka owed allegiance. It is not clear where Droṇasīmha was installed king probably it was in Kāthiāvāda from the south-east of which his father and elder brother had driven back the Mehars or Maitrakas.³

¹ All the silver and copper coins found in Valabhi and in the neighbouring town of Silhor are poor imitations of Kumāragopta's (A.D. 417-453) and of Śaundagopta's (A.D. 464-470) coins, smaller lighter and of bad almost rude workmanship. The only traces of an independent currency are two copper coins of Dharasena, apparently Dharasena IV., the most powerful of the dynasty who was called *Chakrasartin* or Emperor. The question of the Gupta-Valabhi coins is discussed in Jour. Royal As. Socy. for Jan. 1893 pages 135-143. Dr. Bühler (page 138) holds the view put forward in this note of Dr. Bhargvadāsa namely that the coins are Valabhi copies of Gupta currency. Mr. Smith (Ibid., 142-143) thinks they should be considered the coins of the kings whose names they bear.

² The three types of coins still current at Ujjain, Dhillas, and Guddior in the territories of His Highness Sindhia are imitations of the previous local Mahumadan coinage.

³ As the date of Droṇasīmha's investiture is about A.D. 520; it is necessary to consider what kings at this period claimed the title of supreme lord and could boast of ruling the whole earth. The rulers of this period whom we know of are Mihirakula,

The third son Dhruvasena is the first of several Valabhis of that name. Three copperplates of his remain: The Kukad grant dated Gupta 207 (A.D. 526),¹ an unpublished grant found in Junágadh dated Gupta 210 (A.D. 529), and the Vajeh grant dated Gupta 216 (A.D. 535).² One of Dhruvasena's attributes *Parama-bhaṭṭāraka-pādānudyāta*, Bowing at the feet of the great lord, apparently applies to the same paramount sovereign who installed his brother Droṇasiṃha. The paramount lord can hardly be Dhruvasena's father as his father is either called *Bhaṭārka* without the *parama* or more commonly *Senāpati* that is general. Dhruvasena's other political attributes are *Mahārāja* Great King or *Mahāsāmānta* Great Chief, the usual titles of a petty feudatory king. In the A.D. 535 plates he has the further attributes of *Mahāpratihāra* the great doorkeeper or chamberlain, *Mahādandanāyaka*³ the great magistrate, and *Mahākirtukritika* (?) or great general, titles which seem to show he still served some overlord. It is not clear whether Dhruvasena succeeded his brother Droṇasiṃha or was a separate contemporary ruler. The absence of 'falling at the feet of' or other successional phrase and the use of the epithet 'serving at the feet of' the great lord seem to show that his power was distinct from his brothers. In any case Dhruvasena is the first of the family who has a clear connection with Valabhi from which the grants of A.D. 526 and 529 are dated.

In these grants Dhruvasena's father Bhaṭārka and his elder brothers are described as 'great Māheśvaras' that is followers of Śiva, while Dhruvasena himself is called *Paramabhāgavata* the great Vaiṣṇava. It is worthy of note, as stated in the A.D. 535 grant, that his niece Duṣḍā (or Lakā?) was a Buddhist and had dedicated a Buddhist monastery at Valabhi. The latest known date of Dhruvasena is A.D. 535 (G. 216). Whether Dharapatta or Dharmapatta's son Guhasena succeeded is doubtful. That Dharapatta is styled *Mahārāja* and that a twenty-four years' gap occurs between the latest grant of Dhruvasena and A.D. 559 the earliest grant of

Chapter VIII.

THE VALABHIS.

A.D. 509-766.

Dhruvasena I.

A.D. 526-535.

Yasodharman Vishnunaradhana, the descendants of Iśānagupta's son Paragupta, and the Gupta chiefs of Eastern Māla. Neither Toramāra nor Mihirakula appears to have borne the paramount title of Paramēśvara though the former is called *Mahārājādhirāja* in the Eray inscription and *Avanipati* or Lord of the Earth (= simply king) on his coins: in the Gwalior inscription Mihirakula is simply called Lord of the Earth. He was a powerful prince but he could hardly claim to be ruler of "the whole circumference of the earth." He therefore cannot be the installer of Droṇasiṃha. Taking next the Guptas of Magadha we find on the Bhitari seal the title of *Mahārājādhirāja* given to each of them, but there is considerable reason to believe that their power had long since shrunk to Magadha and Eastern Māla, and if Hinen Talang's Balāditya is Narendragupta, he must have been about A.D. 520 a feudatory of Mihirakula, and could not be spoken of as supreme lord, nor as ruler of the whole earth. The Guptas of Māla have even less claim to these titles, as Bhadrugupta was a mere *Mahārāja*, and all that is known of him is that he won a battle at Eray in Eastern Māla in A.D. 510-11. Last of all comes Vishnunaradhana or Yasodharman of Mandasor. In one of the Mandasor inscriptions he has the title of *Rājādhirāja* and *Paramēśvara* (A.D. 532-33); in another he boasts of having "led his conquests from the Lashitya (Brahmaputra) to the western ocean and from the Himālaya to mount Mahendra. It seems obvious that Yasodharman is the Paramēśvari of the Valabhi plate, and that the reference to the western ocean relates to Bhaṭārka's successes against the Maitrakas.—[A.M.T.J.]

¹ Ind. Ant. V. 204.² Ind. Ant. IV. 104.³ In a commentary on the Kālpasūtra *Dandanāyaka* is described as meaning *Tiṅga-pāṭa* that is head of a district.

477 (A.D. 420). This *Mahātmya* is comparatively modern and is not worthy of much trust. Vikrama Samvat 477 would be A.D. 420 when no Valabhi kingdom was established and no Śīlāditya can have flourished. If the date 477 has been rightly preserved, and it be taken in the Śaka era it would correspond with Gupta 237 or A.D. 556, that is thirty to forty years before Śīlāditya's reign. Although no reliance can be placed on the date still his second name Dharmāditya gives support to his identification with the Śīlāditya of the *Mahātmya*.

His grants like many of his predecessors style Śīlāditya a great devotee of Śiva. Still that two of his three known grants were made to Buddhist monks shows that he tolerated and respected Buddhism. The writer of one of the grants is mentioned as the minister of peace and war Chandrabhaṭṭi; the Dātaka or causer of the gift in two of the Buddhist grants is Bhaṭṭa Adityayaśas apparently some military officer. The third grant, to a temple of Śiva, has for its Dātaka the illustrious Kharagraha apparently the brother and successor of the king.

Śīlāditya's reign probably began about A.D. 594 (G. 275). His latest grant is dated A.D. 609 (G. 290).¹

Śīlāditya was succeeded by his brother Kharagraha, of whom no record has been traced. Kharagraha seems to have been invested with sovereignty by his brother Śīlāditya who probably retired from the world. Kharagraha is mentioned as a great devotee of Śiva.

Kharagraha was succeeded by his son Dharasena III. of whom no record remains.

Dharasena III. was succeeded by his younger brother Dhruvasena II. also called Bālāditya or the rising sun. A grant of his is dated A.D. 629 (G. 310).² As observed before, Dhruvasena is probably a Sanskritised form of the popular but meaningless Dhruvapattā which is probably the original of Hiuen Tsiang's 蘇盧訶波吐, as A.D. 629 the date of his grant is about eleven years before the time when (640) Hiuen Tsiang is calculated to have been in Mālwa if not actually at Valabhi. If one of Dhruvasena's poetic attributes is not mere hyperbole, he made conquests and spread the power of Valabhi. On the other hand the Navsāri grant of Jayabhata III. (A.D. 706-734) the Gurjara king of Broach states that Dudda II. of Broach (A.D. 629-656) protected the king of Valabhi who had been defeated by the great Śrī Harshadeva (A.D. 607-648) of Kanauj.

Dhruvasena II. was succeeded by his son Dharasena IV. perhaps the most powerful and independent of the Valabhis. A copper-plate dated A.D. 649 (G. 330) styles him *Parama-bhaṭṭāraka, Mahārājādhirāja, Paramaśvara, Chakravartin* Great Lord, King of Kings, Great Ruler, Universal Sovereign. Dharasena IV.'s successors continue the title of *Mahārājādhirāja* or great ruler, but none is called *Chakravartin* or universal sovereign a title which implies numerous conquests and widespread power.

Chapter VIII.]

THE VALABHIS.
A.D. 500-760.
Śīlāditya I.
A.D. 590-609.

Kharagraha,
A.D. 610-615.

Dharasena III.
A.D. 615-620.

Dhruvasena II.
(Bālāditya)
A.D. 629-640.

Dharasena IV.
A.D. 649-649.

¹ Ind. Ant. XI. 302.² Ind. Ant. VI. 13.

Chapter VIII.

THE VALABHI.
A.D. 509-760.
Dharasena IV.
A.D. 640-649.

Two of Dharasena IV.'s grants remain, one dated A.D. 645 (G. 326) the other A.D. 649 (G. 330). A grant of his father Dhruvasena dated A.D. 634 (G. 315) and an unpublished copperplate in the possession of the chief of Morbi belonging to his successor Dhruvasena III. dated A.D. 651 (G. 332) prove that Dharasena's reign did not last more than seventeen years. The well known Sanskrit poem Bhāṭṭikāvya seems to have been composed in the reign of this king as at the end of his work the author says it was written at Valabhi protected (governed) by the king the illustrious Dharasena.¹ The author's application to Dharasena of the title *Narendra* Lord of Men is a further proof of his great power.

Dhruvasena III.
A.D. 650-650.

Dharasena IV. was not succeeded by his son but by Dhruvasena the son of Derabhata the son of Dharasena IV.'s paternal grand-uncle. Derabhata appears not to have been ruler of Valabhi itself but of some district in the south of the Valabhi territory. His epithets describe him as like the royal sage Agastya spreading to the south, and as the lord of the earth which has for its two breasts the Sahya and Vindhya hills. This description may apply to part of the province south of Kaira where the Sahyādri and Vindhya mountains may be said to unite. In the absence of a male heir in the direct line, Derabhata's son Dhruvasena appears to have succeeded to the throne of Valabhi. The only known copperplate of Dhruvasena III.'s, dated A.D. 651 (G. 332), records the grant of the village of Peḍhapadra in Vanthali, the modern Vanthali in the Navānagar State of North Kāthiāwāḍa. A copperplate of his elder brother and successor Kharagraha dated A.D. 656 (G. 337) shows that Dhruvasena's reign cannot have lasted over six years.

Kharagraha,
A.D. 656-665.

The less than usually complimentary and respectful reference to Dhruvasena III. in the attributes of Kharagraha suggests that Kharagraha took the kingdom by force from his younger brother as the rightful successor of his father. At all events the succession of Kharagraha to Dhruvasena was not in the usual peaceful manner. Kharagraha's grant dated A.D. 656 (G. 337) is written by the Divīrapati or Chief Secretary and minister of peace and war Anabhilla son of Skandabhata.² The Dātaka or causer of the gift was the Pramātri or survey officer Śrīnd.

Śīlāditya III.
A.D. 666-675.

Kharagraha was succeeded by Śīlāditya III. son of Kharagraha's elder brother Śīlāditya II. Śīlāditya II. seems not to have ruled at Valabhi but like Derabhata to have been governor of Southern Valabhi, as he is mentioned out of the order of succession and with the title Lord of the Earth containing the Vindhya mountain. Three grants of Śīlāditya III. remain, two dated A.D. 666 (G. 348)³ and the third dated A.D. 671 (G. 352).⁴ He is called *Parama-bhaṭṭāraka* Great Lord, *Mahārājādhirāja* Chief King among Great Kings, and *Paramēśvara* Great Ruler. These titles continue to be applied to all

¹ *Kṛpamīdan rakṣitam mayi Valabhyām, Śrī Dharasena-narendra pālityam.*

² Ind. Ant. VII. 76.

³ Journ. Beng. A. S. IV. and an unpublished grant in the museum of the B. E. R. A. Soc.

⁴ Ind. Ant. XI. 305.

subsequent Valabhi kings. Even the name Śilāditya is repeated though each king must have had some personal name.

Śilāditya III. was succeeded by his son Śilāditya IV. of whom one grant dated A.D. 691 (G. 372) remains.¹ The officer who prepared the grant is mentioned as the general Divirapati Sri Haragaya the son of Bappa Bhogika. The Dātaka or gift-causer is the prince Kharagraha, which may perhaps be the personal name of the next king Śilāditya V.

Of Śilāditya V. the son and successor of Śilāditya IV. two grants dated A.D. 722 (G. 403) both from Gondal remain. Both record grants to the same person. The writer of both was general Gillaka son of Buddhahatta, and the gift-causer of both prince Śilāditya.


Of Śilāditya VI. the son and successor of the last, one grant dated A.D. 760 (G. 441) remains. The grantee is an Atharvavedi Brahman. The writer is Sasyagupta son of Emapatha and the gift-causer is Gāṇjaśṭi Sri Jajjar (or Jajir).

Of Śilāditya VII. the son and successor of the last, who is also called Dhrūbhata (Sk. Dhruvabhata), one grant dated A.D. 766 (G. 447) remains.

The following is the genealogy of the Valabhi Dynasty:

VALABHI FAMILY TREE,

A.D. 509-766.



Chapter VIII.

THE VALABHIS,
A.D. 509-766.Śilāditya IV.
A.D. 691.Śilāditya V.
A.D. 722.Śilāditya VI.
A.D. 760.Śilāditya VII.
A.D. 766.Valabhi
Family Tree.¹ Ind. Ant. V. 208.

Chapter VIII.

THE VALABHI,
A.D. 600-700.The Fall of
Valabhi,
A.D. 750-770.

Of the overthrow of Valabhi many explanations have been offered.¹ The only explanation in agreement with the copperplate evidence that a Śāśādiya was ruling at Valabhi as late as A.D. 768 (Val. Sam. 447)² is the Hindu account preserved by Alberuni (A.D. 1030)³ that soon after the Sindh capital Mansūra was founded, say A.D. 750-770, Ranka a disaffected subject of the era-making Valabhi, with presents of money persuaded the Arab lord of Mansūra to send a naval expedition against the king of Valabhi. In a night attack king Valabha was killed and his people and town were destroyed. Alberuni adds: Men say that still in our time such traces are left in

¹ Since his authorities mention the destroyers of Valabhi under the vague term *malchhikas* or barbarians and since the era in which they date the overthrow may be either the Vikrama B.C. 57, the Saka A.D. 78, or the Valabhi A.D. 319, Tod is forced to offer many suggestions. His proposed dates are A.D. 244 Vik. Sam. 304 (Western India, 269), A.D. 424 Val. Sam. 105 (Ditto, 51 and 214), A.D. 524 Val. Sam. 204 (Annals of Rajaethan, I. 83 and 217-220), and A.D. 619 Val. Sam. 300 (Western India, 352). Tod identifies the barbarian destroyers of Valabhi either with the descendants of the second century Parthians, or with the White Huns/Götes or Kaishis, or with a mixture of those who in the beginning of the sixth century supplanted the Parthians (An. of Raj. I. 83 and 217-220; Western India, 314, 352). Elliot (History, I. 408) accepting Tod's date A.D. 524 refers the overthrow to Skythian barbarians from Bactria. Elphinstone, also accepting A.D. 524 as an approximate date, suggested (History, 2nd Edition, 212) as the destroyer the Sassanian Nanshirvan or Chosroes the Great (A.D. 531-579) citing in support of a Sassanian invasion Malcolm's Persia, I. 141 and Pottenger's Travels, 584. Forbes (Ras Mālā, I. 22) notes that the Jain accounts give the date of the overthrow Vik. Sam. 375 that is A.D. 319 apparently in confusion with the epoch of the Gupta era which the Valabhi kings adopted.* Forbes says (Ditto, 24): If the destroyers had not been called *malchhikas* I might have supposed them to be the Dakhan Chālukyas. Genl. Cunningham (Anc. Geog. 318) holds that the date of the destruction was A.D. 658 and the destroyer the Rashtrakūṭa Kāja Govind who restored the ancient family of Śāśādiya. Thomas (Prinsep's Useful Tables, 158) fixes the destruction of Valabhi at A.D. 745 (S. 802). In the Kathiawar Gazetteer Col. Watson in one passage (page 671) says the destroyers may have been the early Muhammadans who retired as quickly as they came. In another passage (page 274), accepting Mr. Burgess' (Arch. Sur. Rep. IV. 76) Gupta era of A.D. 195 and an overthrow date of A.D. 542, and citing a Wadhwan couplet telling how Elhad Valabhi withstood the Iranians, Col. Watson suggests the destroyers may have been Iranians. If the Persia came in A.D. 642 they must have come not as raiders but as refugees. If they would they would not have destroyed Valabhi. If the Persia destroyed Valabhi where next did they flee to.

* Similarly S. 205 the date given by some of Col. Tod's authorities (An. of Raj. I. 83 and 217-220) represents A.D. 524 the practical establishment of the Valabhi dynasty. The mistake of ascribing an era to the overthrow not to the founding of a state centre (compare Sachau's Alberuni, II. 7) to the same birth of the Vikrama era B.C. 57 and of the Śāśādiya era A.D. 78. In both these cases the error was intentional. It was devised with the aim of hiding the supremacy of foreigners in early Hindu history. So also, according to Alberuni's information (Sachau, II. 7) the Guptaśāli A.D. 319 marks the passing not the beginning of the wicked and powerful Guptas. This device is not confined to India. His Italian informant told Hurdstone (R.C. 180) Ravennas's Herodotus, I. 497 that B.C. 510 was the founding of the Median monarchy. The date really marked the overthrow of the Medes by the Persian Burgens.

² Tod (An. of Raj. I. 231) notices what is perhaps a reminiscence of this date (A.D. 768). It is the story that Rappa, who according to Mewāḍī tradition is the founder of Gehlot power at Chitor, abandoned his country for Iran in A.D. 764 (S. 829). It seems probable that this Jappa or Salla is not the founder of Gehlot power at Chitor, but, according to the Valabhi use of Rappa, is the founder's father and that this retreat to Iran refers to his being carried captive to Mansura on the fall either of Valabhi or of Gandhar.

³ Rehnard's Fragments, 143 note 1; Mémoires Sur l'Inde, 195; Sachau's Alberuni, I. 193. The treachery of the magician Ranka is the same cause as that assigned by Forbes (Ras Mālā, I. 12-15) from Jain sources. The local legend (Ditto, 18) points the inevitable Tower of Siloam moral, a moral which (compare Ras Mālā, I. 13) is probably at the root of the antique tale of Lot and the Cities of the Plain, that men whose city was so completely destroyed must have been sinners beyond others. Dr. Nicholson (J. R. A. S. Ser. 1. Vol. XIII, page 153) in 1851 thought the site of Valabhi bore many traces of destruction by water.

that country as are found in places wasted by an unexpected attack.¹ For this expedition against Valabhi Alberuni gives no date. But as Mansūra was not founded till A.D. 750² and as the latest Valabhi copperplate is A.D. 766 the expedition must have taken place between A.D. 760 and 770. In support of the Hindu tradition of an expedition from Mansūra against Valabhi between A.D. 760 and 770 it is to be noted that the Arab historians of Sindh record that in A.D. 758 (H. 140) the Khalif Mansūr sent Amrū bin Jamāl with a fleet of barke to the coast of Barada.³ Twenty years later A.D. 776 (H. 160) a second expedition succeeded in taking the town, but, as sickness broke out, they had to return. The question remains should the word, which in these extracts Elliot reads Barada, be read Balaba. The lax rules of Arab cursive writing would cause little difficulty in adopting the reading Balaba.⁴ Further it is hard to believe that Valabhi, though to some extent sheltered by its distance from the coast and probably a place of less importance than its chroniclers describe, should be unknown to the Arab rulers of the seventh and eighth centuries and after its fall be known to Alberuni in the eleventh century. At the same time, as during the eighth century there was, or at least as there may have been,⁵ a town Barada on the south-west coast of Kāthiāvāda the iden-

Chapter VIII.

THE VALABHIS,
A.D. 500-766.The Fall of
Valabhi,
A.D. 760-770.

¹ Lassen (Ind. Alt. III. 536) puts aside Alberuni's Arab expedition from Mansūra as without historical support and inadmissible. Lassen held that Valabhi flourished long after its alleged destruction from Mansūra. Lassen's statement (see Ind. Alt. III. 533) is based on the mistaken idea that as the Valabhis were the Balhars the Balhars' capital Mankir must be Valabhi. So far as is known, except Alberuni himself (see below) none of the Arab geographers of the ninth tenth or eleventh centuries mentions Valabhi. It is true that according to Lassen (Ind. Alt. 536) Masudi A.D. 915, Istakhri A.D. 951, and Ibn Haukal A.D. 970 all attest the existence of Valabhi up to their own time. This remark is due either to the mistake regarding Malkhet or to the identification of Balai or Balā in Sindh (Elliot's History, I. 27-34) with Valabhi. The only known Musalman reference to Valabhi later than A.D. 750 is Alberuni's statement (Sachau, II. 7) that the Valabhi of the era is 30 gozamas or 200 miles south of Anahilavāda. That after its overthrow Valabhi remained, as it still continues, a local town has been shown in the text. Such an after-life is in no way inconsistent with its destruction as a leading capital in A.D. 767.

² According to Alberuni (Sachau, I. 21) Al Mansūra, which was close to Brahmanabad about 47 miles north-east of Haidarabad (Elliot's Musalman Historians, I. 272-274) was built by the great Muhammad Kāsim about A.D. 713. Apparently Alberuni wrote Muhammad Kāsim by mistake for his grandson Amrū Muhammad (Elliot, I. 372 note 1 and 442-3), who built the city a little before A.D. 760. Rehnard (Fragments, 219) makes Amrū the son of Muhammad Kāsim. Masudi (A.D. 915) gives the same date (A.D. 760), but (Elliot, I. 24) makes the builder the Umayyad governor Mansūr bin Jamhur. Idrisi (A.D. 1137, Elliot, I. 78) says Mansūra was built and named in honour of the Khalif Abu Jāfir al-Mansur. If so its building would be later than A.D. 754. On such a point Idrisi's authority carries little weight.

³ That the word read Barada by Elliot is in the lax pointless *Alifkari* writing is shown by the different proposed readings (Elliot, I. 444 note 1) Naram, Barand, and Barid. So far as the original goes Balaba is probably as likely a rendering as Barada. Rehnard (Fragments, 212) says he cannot restore the name.

⁴ Though, except as applied to the Porbandar range of hills, the name Barada is almost unknown, and though Ghumāl not Barada was the early (eighth-twelfth century) capital of Porbandar some place named Barada seems to have existed on the Porbandar coast. As early as the second century A.D., Ptolemy (McCrindle, 37) has a town Baradaxma on the coast west of the village Koma (probably the root or *tem*) of Saurashtra; and St. Martin (Geographie Grecque et Latine de l'Inde, 203) identifies Pliny's (A.D. 77) Varotatax with the Odombura or people of Kachh with the Varadas according to Hemachandra (A.D. 1150) a class of foreigners or *malchakhtas*. A somewhat tempting identification of Barada is with Barad's Barai (Sachau, I. 268) or Barasim (Rehnard's Fragments, 121) 84 miles (14 parangs) west of Somnātha. But an examination of Barani's text shows that Barai is not the name of a place but of a product of Kachh the *betra* or *benar* stone.

Chapter VIII.

THE VALABHIS.

A.D. 500-768.

The Fall of
Valabhi.

A.D. 750-770.

tification of the raids against Barada with the traditional expedition against Balaha though perhaps probable cannot be considered certain. Further the statement of the Sindhi historians¹ that at the same time the Sindhi Arabs also made a naval expedition against Kandahar seems in agreement with the traditional account in Tōl that after the destruction of Valabhi the rulers retired to a fort near Cambay from which after a few years they were driven.² If this fort is the Kandahar of the Sindhi writers and Gandhār on the Broach coast about twenty miles south of Cambay, identifications which are in agreement with other passages, the Arab and Rajput accounts would fairly agree.³

The discovery of its lost site; the natural but mistaken identification of its rulers with the famous eighth and ninth century (A.D. 800-972) Balharas of Malkhet in the East Dakhan;⁴ the tracing of the Valabhi of the Rāna of Udepur in Mewāḍ the head of the Śaivite or Cōḷas the most exalted of Hindu families⁵; and in later years the wealth of Valabhi copperplates have combined to make the Valabhis one of the best known of Gujarāt dynasties. Except the complete genealogy, covering the 250 years from the beginning of the sixth to the middle of the eighth century, little is known of Valabhi or its rulers. The

¹ Elliot, I. 445.

² Compare Tōl (Annals, I. 83 and 217). Gajal or Gajni another capital whence the last prince Siladitya was expelled by Parthian invaders in the sixth century.

³ Compare Reinaud (Fragments, 313 note 4) who identifies it with the Aln-i-Akhar Kandahār that is Gandhār in Broach. The identification is doubtful. Tōl (Annals, I. 217) names the fort Gajni or Gajul and there was a fort Gajni close to Cambay. Elliot (I. 445) would identify the Arab Kandahar with Khundadar in north-west Kathiawar.

Even after A.D. 770 Valabhi seems to have been attacked by the Arabs. Dr. Bhagvānlāl notices that two Jain dates for the destruction of the city 826 and 856 are in the Vira era and that this means not the Mahāvira era of B.C. 526 but the Vikram era of B.C. 57. The corresponding dates are therefore A.D. 769 and 829. Evidence in support of the A.D. 769 and 770 defeat is given in the text. On behalf of Dr. Bhagvānlāl's second date A.D. 829 it is remarkable that in or about A.D. 830 (Elliot, I. 447) Māna the Arab governor of Sindhi captured Bāla the ruler of As Shargi. As there seems no reason to identify this As Shargi with the Sindhi lake of As Shargi mentioned in a raid in A.D. 730 (Elliot, I. 441; J. B. A. S. (1890) page 76) the phrase would mean Bāla king of the east. The Arab record of the defeat of Bāla would thus be in close agreement with the Jain date for the latest foreign attack on Valabhi.

⁴ The identification of the Balharas of the Arab writers with the Chalukyas (A.D. 500-753) and Rashtrakūtas (A.D. 753-972) of Malkhet in the East Dakhan has been accepted. The vagueness of the early (A.D. 850-900) Arab geographers still more the inaccuracy of Idrial (A.D. 1137) in placing the Balharas capital in Gujarāt (Elliot, I. 87) suggested a connection between Balhara and Valabhi. The suitability of this identification was increased by the use among Rajput writers of the title Balaharai for the Valabhi chief (Tōl An. of Raj. I. 83) and the absence among either the Chalukyas (A.D. 500-753) or the Rashtrakūtas (A.D. 753-972) of Malkhet of any title resembling Balhara. Prof. Bhandarkar's (Deccan History, 56-57) discovery that several of the early Chalukyas and Rashtrakūtas had the personal name Vallabha believed settled the question and established the accuracy of all Masudi's (A.D. 913) statements (Elliot, I. 15-21) regarding the Balhara who ruled the Kambur, that is Kanurakara or Kanridak (Sachar's Berar, I. 202; II. 318) and had their Kanarasa (Kiriya) capital at Mankir (Malkhet) 640 miles from the coast.

⁵ After their withdrawal from Valabhi to Mewāḍ the Yālas took the name of Gohlot (see below page 95); then of Alariya from a temporary capital near Udepur (Tōl's An. of Raj. I. 215), next of Sesodia in the west of Mewāḍ (Tōl's An. of Raj. I. 216; Western India, 57). Since 1568 the Rāna's head-quarters have been at Udepur. Raj. Gaz. III. 18. After the establishment of their power in Chitor (A.D. 760), a branch of the Gohlot or Gohil family withdrew to Kheir in south-west Marwar. These driven south by the Rathods in the end of the twelfth century are the Gohils of Pirem, Bharnagar, and Rājpipla in Kathiawar and Gujarāt. Tōl's Annals of Raj. I. 114, 228.

origin of the city and of its rulers, the extent of their sway, and the cause and date of their overthrow are all uncertain. The unfitness of the site, the want of reservoirs or other stone remains, the uncertainty when its rulers gained an independent position, the fact that only one of them claimed the title *Chakravarti* or All Ruler are hardly consistent with any far-reaching authority. Add to this the continuance of Maitraka or Mer power in North Kāthiāvāda, the separateness though perhaps dependence of Saurāshtra even in the time of Valabhi's greatest power,¹ the rare mention of Valabhi in contemporary Gujārat grants,² and the absence of trustworthy reference in the accounts of the Arab raids of the seventh or eighth centuries tend to raise a doubt whether, except perhaps during the ten years ending 650, Valabhi was ever of more than local importance.

In connection with the pride of the Sesodias or Gohils of Mewād in their Valabhi origin³ the question who were the Valabhis has a special interest. The text shows that Pandit Bhagvānāl was of opinion the Valabhis were Gurjjaras. The text also notes that the Pandit believed they reached south-east Kāthiāvāda by sea from near Broach and that if they did not come to Broach from Mālwa at least the early rulers obtained (A.D. 520 and 526) investiture from the Mālwa kings. Apart from the doubtful evidence of an early second to fifth century Bala or Valabhi three considerations weigh against the theory that the Valabhis entered Gujārat from Mālwa in the sixth century. First their acceptance of the Gupta era and of the Gupta currency raises the presumption that the Valabhis were in Kāthiāvāda during Gupta ascendancy (A.D. 440-480); Second that the Sesodias trace their pedigree through Valabhi to an earlier settlement at Dhānk in south-west Kāthiāvāda and that the Vālas of Dhānk still hold the place of heads of the Vālas of Kāthiāvāda; And Third that both Sesodias and Vālas trace their origin to Kanaksen a second century North Indian immigrant into Kāthiāvāda combine to raise the presumption that the Vālas were in Kāthiāvāda before the historical founding of Valabhi in A.D. 526⁴ and that the city took its name from its founders the Vālas or Bālās.

Whether or not the ancestors of the Gohils and Vālas were settled in Kāthiāvāda before the establishment of Valabhi about A.D. 526

Chapter VIII.

THE VALABHIS,
A.D. 500-760.The Importance
of Valabhi.
A.D. 750-770.Valabhi and
the Gohils.

¹ The somewhat doubtful Jalkādera plates (above page 87 and Kāthiāvāda Gazetteer, 275) seem to show the continuance of Maitraka power in North Kāthiāvāda. This is supported by the expedition of the Arab chief of Sindh in Kachch (A.D. 840) against the Mehs of Hind which ended in the capture of Mālā in North Kāthiāvāda. Elliot, I. 450. Hines Talang (A.D. 630) (Best's Buddhist Records, II. 69) describes Saurāshtra as a separate state but at the same time notes its dependence on Valabhi. Its rulers seem to have been Mehrs. In A.D. 713 (Elliot, I. 123) Muhammad Kasim made peace with the men of Surasht, Mehs, seafarers, and pirates.

² The only contemporary rulers in whose grants a reference to Valabhi has been traced are the Gurjjaras of Broach (A.D. 590-605) one of whom, Dadha II. (A.D. 633), is said (Ind. Ant. XIII. 79) to have gained renown by protecting the lord of Valabhi who had been defeated by the illustrious Sri Harshadeva (A.D. 608-649), and another Jayalaha in A.D. 706 (Ind. Ant. V. 115) claims to have quelled with the sword the impetuosity of the lord of Valabhi.

³ Tod An. of Raj., I. 217: Western India, 369.

⁴ Tod An. of Raj. I. 112 and Western India, 148; Rās Mālā, I. 21. It is not clear whether these passages prove that the Sesodias or only the Vālas claim an early settlement at Dhānk. In any case (see below page 101) both clans trace their origin to Kanaksen.

Chapter VIII.

THE VALABHIS,
A.D. 500-700.Valabhi and
the Gohilas.

several considerations bear out the correctness of the Rājput traditions and the Jain records: that the Gohila or Sesodias of Mewād came from Bēla or Valabhi in Kāthiavāḍa. Such a withdrawal from the coast, the result of the terror of Arab raids, is in agreement with the fact that from about the middle of the eighth century the rulers of Gujaraṭ established an inland capital at Anahilavāḍa (A.D. 746).¹ It is further in agreement with the establishment by the Gohil refugees of a town Balli in Mewād; with the continuance as late as A.D. 908 (S. 1024) by the Sesodia chief of the Valabhi title Śilāditya or Sail²; and with the peculiar Valabhi blend of Sun and Siva worship still to be found in Udepur.³ The question remains how far can the half-poetic accounts of the Sesodias be reconciled with a date for the fall of Valabhi so late as A.D. 766. The mythical wanderings, the caveborn Guha, and his rule at Idar can be easily spared. The name Gohil which the Sesodias trace to the caveborn Guha may as the Bhāvnagar Gohils hold have its origin in Guhasena (A.D. 559-567) perhaps the first Valabhi chief of more than local distinction.⁴ Tod⁵ fixes the first historical date in the Sesodia family history at A.D. 720 or 728 the ousting of the Mori or Maurya of Chitor by Bappa or Sail. An inscription near Chitor shows the Mori in power in Chitor as late as A.D. 714 (S. 770).⁶ By counting back nine generations from Śakti Kumāra the tenth from Bappa whose date is A.D. 1038 Tod fixes A.D. 720-728 as the date when the Gohils succeeded the Moris. But

¹ Tod's Western India, 51.² Tod's An. of Raj. I. 230.

³ The cherished title of the later Valabhis, Śilāditya Sun of Virtue, confirms the special sun worship at Valabhi, which the mention of Dharapatta (A.D. 534) as a devotee of the supreme sun supports, and which the legends of Valabhi's sun-horse and sun-fountain keep fresh (Ras Mālā, I. 14-18). So the great one-stone lingas, the most notable traces of Valabhi city (J. R. A. S. Ser. I. Vol. XIII. 149 and XVII. 271), bear out the Valabhi copperplate claim that its rulers were great worshippers of Siva. Similarly the Rāna of Udepur, while enjoying the title of Sun of the Himālus, prospering under the sun banner, and specially worshipping the sun (Tod's Annals, I. 563) is at the same time the Minister of Siva the One Ling *Śilāguṇāditya* (Ditto 222; Raj. Gaz. III. 237). The blend is natural. The fierce noon-tide sun is Mahakālā the Destroyer. Like Siva the Sun is lord of the Moon. And marshalled by Somanātha the great Soul Home the souls of the dead pass heavenwards along the rays of the setting sun. [Compare Sachau's *Alberuni*, II. 168.] It is the common sun element in Śaivism and in Vaiṣṇavism that gives their holiness to the sunset shrines of Somanātha and Dwarka. For (Ditto, 169) the setting sun is the door whence men march forth into the world of existence Westwards, heavenwards.

* This explanation is hardly satisfactory. The name Gohil seems to be Gohila-putra from Gohila-putra an ancient Brāhman gotra, one of the not uncommon ones of Rājputs with a Brāhman gotra. The Rājput use of a Brāhman gotra is generally considered a technical affiliation, a mark of respect for some Brāhman teacher. It seems doubtful whether the practice is not a reminiscence of an ancestral Brāhman strain. This view finds confirmation in the Alṭur inscription (Tod's Annals, I. 809) which states that Guhadit the founder of the Gohil tribe was of Brāhman race *Vipra-kula*. Compare the legend (Ras Mālā, I. 13) that makes the first Śilāditya of Valabhi (A.D. 500-600) the son of a Brāhman woman. Compare (Elliot, I. 411) the Brāhman Chāh (A.D. 630-670) marrying the widow of the Shāhi king of Ahr in Sindh who is written of as a Rājput though like the later (A.D. 850-1060) Shāhiya of Kabul (Alberuni, Sachau II. 13) the dynasty may possibly have been Brāhmana. The following passage from Hodgkin's *Essays* (J. A. Soc. Bl. II. 218) throws light on the subject. Among the Khās or Rājputs of Nepal the sons of Brāhman by Khās women take their fathers' gotras. Compare Robertson's *Panjab Census* 1981 page 236.

* In support of a Brāhman origin is Prinsep's conjecture (J. A. S. B. LXXIV, Feb. 1925) page 60 that Devāl the name of the first recorded king may be Drīja or Telvāra. Just Devāl for Devāditya, like Śail for Śilāditya, seems simpler and the one with which the writer speaks of Chāh as the Brāhman almost implies that his predecessors were not Brāhman. According to Elliot (II. 446) the Pils of Kabul were Rājputs, perhaps Ilutias.

⁵ Tod's Annals, I. 229-231.⁶ Annals, I. 229.

the sufficient average allowance of twenty years for each reign would bring Bappa to A.D. 770 or 780 a date in agreement with a fall of Valabhi between A.D. 760 and 770, as well as with the statement of Abul Fazl, who, writing in A.D. 1590, says the Rāna's family had been in Mewād for about 800 years.¹

The Arab accounts of the surprise-attack and of the failure of the invaders to make a settlement agree with the local and Rājputāna traditions that a branch of the Valabhi family continued to rule at Vāḷeh until its conquest by Mūla Rāja Solankhi in A.D. 950.² Though their bards favour the explanation of Vāla from the Gujarātī *vala* return or the Persian *vālah*³ noble the family claim to be of the old Valabhi stock. They still have the tradition they were driven out by the Musalmāns, they still keep up the family name of Selait or Śilāditya.⁴

The local tradition regarding the settlement of the Vālas in the Balakshetra south of Valabhi is that it took place after the capture of Valabhi by Mūla Rāja Solankhi (A.D. 950).⁵ If, as may perhaps be accepted, the present Vālas represent the rulers of Valabhi it seems to follow the Vālas were the overlords of Balakshetra at least from the time of the historical prosperity of Valabhi (A.D. 526-680). The traditions of the Bābriās who held the east of Sorath show that when they arrived (A.D. 1200-1250) the Vāla Rājputs were in possession and suggest that the lands of the Vālas originally stretched as far west as Diu.⁶ That the Vālas held central Kathiāvāḍa is shown by their possession of the old capital Vanthali nine miles south-west of Junāgaḍh and by (about A.D. 850) their transfer of that town to the Chuḍāsamās.⁷ Dhānk, about twenty-five miles north-west of Junāgaḍh, was apparently held by the Vālas under the Jetwas when (A.D. 500-1200?) Ghumli or Bhumli was the capital of south-west Kathiāvāḍa. According to Jetwa accounts the Vālas were newcomers whom the Jetwas allowed to settle at Dhānk.⁸ But as the Jetwas are not among the earliest settlers in Kathiāvāḍa it seems more probable that, like the Chuḍāsamās at Vanthali, the Jetwas found the Vālas in possession. The close connection of the Vālas with the earlier waves of Kāthīs is admitted.⁹ Considering that the present

Chapter VIII.

THE VALABHIS,
A.D. 509-760.

The Vālas of
Kathiāvāḍa.

¹ Gladwin's *Alin-i-Akbari*, II. 81; Tod's *Annals*, I. 235 and note*. Tod's dates are confused. The Alpur inscription (Ditto, page 230) gives Sakti Kumāra's date A.D. 968 (S. 1034) while the authorities which Tod accepts (Ditto, 231) give A.D. 1063 (S. 1128). That the Moris were not driven out of Chittor as early as A.D. 728 is proved by the Navsari inscription which mentions the Arabs defeating the Mauryas as late as A.D. 733-9 (Sarn., 490). See above page 56.

² Tod *Western India* 268 says Siddhi Rāja (A.D. 1094-1143): Mūla Rāja (A.D. 942-997) seems correct. See *Ras Māla*, I. 65.

³ Kathiāwar Gazetteer, 672.

⁴ The chronicles of Bhadrol, fifty-one miles south-west of Bhāvnagar, have (Kāth. Gaz. 380) a Selait Vāla as late as A.D. 1554.

⁵ Kathiāwar Gazetteer, 672. Another account places the movement south after the arrival of the Gohils A.D. 1250. According to local traditions the Vālas did not pass to Bhadrol near Mahuva till A.D. 1554 (Kāth. Gaz. 380) and from Bhadrol (Kāth. Gaz. 600) retired to Diolara.

⁶ Kāth. Gaz. 111 and 132. According to the *Alin-i-Akbari* (Gladwin, II. 63) the inhabitants of the ports of Mahua and Taldja were of the Vāla tribe.

⁷ Kāth. Gaz. 630.

⁸ Kāth. Gaz. 614.

⁹ The Vāla connection with the Kāthīs complicates their history. Col. Watson (Kāth. Gaz. 130) seems to favour the view that the Vālas were the earliest wave of Kāthīs who came into Kathiāvāḍa from Māhva apparently with the Guptas (A.D. 450) (Ditto, 671). Col. Watson seems to have been led to this conclusion in consequence of the existence

Chapter VIII.

THE VALABHIS,
A.D. 500 - 700.The Vālas and
Kāthīs.

(1881) total of Kāthiāvāda Vāla Rājputs is about 900 against about 9000 Vāla Kāthīs, the Vālas,¹ since their loss of power, seem either to have passed into unnoticeable subdivisions of other Rājput tribes or to have fallen to the position of Kāthīs.

If from the first and not solely since the fall of Valabhi the Vālas have been associated with the Kāthīs it seems best to suppose they held to the Kāthīs a position like that of the Jetwas to their followers the Mers. According to Tod² both Vālas and Kāthīs claim the title *Tata Multāns Rai* Lords of Tata and Multān. The accounts of the different sackings of Valabhi are too confused and the traces of an earlier settlement too scanty and doubtful to justify any attempt to carry back Valabhi and the Vālas beyond the Maitraka overthrow of Gupta power in Kāthiāvāda (A.D. 470-480). The boast that Bhatārka, the reputed founder of the house of Valabhi (A.D. 509), had obtained glory by dealing hundreds of blows on the large and very mighty armies of the Maitrakas who by force had subdued their enemies, together with the fact that the Valabhis dīl and the Maitrakas did not adopt the Gupta era and currency seem to show the Vālas were settled in Kāthiāvāda at an earlier date than the Mers and Jetwas. That is, if the identification is correct, the Vālas and Kāthīs were in Kāthiāvāda before the first wave of the White Huns approached. It has been noticed above under Skandagupta that the enemies, or some of the enemies, with whom, in the early years of his reign A.D. 452-454, Skandagupta had so fierce a struggle were still in A.D. 456 a source of anxiety and required the control of a specially able viceroy at Junāgadh. Since no trace of the Kāthīs appears in Kāthiāvāda legends or traditions before the fifth century the suggestion may be offered that under Vāla or Bāla leadership the Kāthīs were among the enemies who on the death of Kumāragupta (A.D. 454) seized the Gupta possessions in Kāthiāvāda. Both Vālas and Kāthīs would then be northerners driven south from Multān and South

of the petty state of Kāthi in west Khāndesh. But the people of the Kāthi state in west Khāndesh are Bhils or Kolis. Neither the people nor the position of the country seems to show connection with the Kāthīs of Kāthiāvāda. Col. Watson (Kath. Gaz. 130) inclines to hold that the Vālas are an example of the rising of a lower class to be Rājputs. That both Vālas and Kāthīs are northerners admitted into Hinduan may be accepted. Still it seems probable that on arrival in Kāthiāvāda the Vālas were the leaders of the Kāthīs and that it is mainly since the fall of Valabhi that a large branch of the Vālas have sunk to be Kāthīs. The Kāthi traditions admit the superiority of the Vālas. According to Tod (Western India, 270; Annals, I, 112-113) the Kāthīs claim to be a branch or descendants of the Vālas. In Kāthiāvāda the Vālas, the highest division of Kāthīs (Ras Mālā, I, 206; Kath. Gaz. 122, 123, 131, 136), admit that their founder was a Vāla Rājput who lost caste by marrying a Kāthi woman. Another tradition (Ras Mālā, I, 206; Kath. Gaz. 122 note 1) records that the Kāthīs flying from Sindh took refuge with the Vālas and became their followers. Col. Watson (Kath. Gaz. 130) considers the practice in Porbandar and Nawrangpur of styling any lady of the Dhank Vāla family who marries into their house Kāthiānsai the Kāthi lady proves that the Vālas are Kāthīs. But as this name must be used with respect it may be a trace that the Vālas claim to be lords of the Kāthīs as the Jetwas claim to be lords of the Mers. That the position of the Vālas and Kāthīs as Rājputs is doubtful in Kāthiāvāda and is secured (Tod's Annals, I, 111) in Rājputana is strange. The explanation may perhaps be that abstinence from Muhammadans is the practical test of honour among Rājputana Hindus, and that in the troubled times between the thirteenth and the seventeenth centuries, like the Jhāns, the Vālas and Kāthīs may have refused Moghal alliances, and so won the approval of the Rānas of Mewār.

¹ Kath. Gaz. 110-129.² Western India, 207; Annals, I, 112-113.

Smith by the movements of tribes displaced by the advance of the Ephthalites or White Huns (A.D. 440-450) upon the earlier North Indian and border settlements of the Yuan-Yuan or Avars.¹

The Sesodia or Gohil tradition is that the founder of the Válas was Kanaksen, who, in the second century after Christ, from North India established his power at Virát or Dholka in North Gujarát and at Dhank in Káthiaváda.² This tradition, which according to Tod³ is supported by at least ten genealogical lists derived from distinct sources, seems a reminiscence of some connection between the early Válas and the Kshatrapas of Junágadh with the family of the great Kushán emperor Kanishka (A.D. 78-98). Whether this high ancestry belongs of right to the Válas and Gohils or whether it has been won for them by their bards nothing in the records of Káthiaváda is likely to be able to prove. Besides by the Válas Kanaksen is claimed as an ancestor by the Chávas of Okhamandal as the founder of Kanakapur and as reigning in Krishna's throne in Dwárká.⁴ In support of the form Kanaka for Kanishka is the doubtful Kanaka-Sakas or Kanishka-Sakas of Varáhamihira (A.D. 580).⁵ The form Kanik is also used by Alberuni⁶ for the famous Vihára or monastery at Pesháwar of whose founder Kanak Alberuni retails many widespread legends. Tod⁷ says: 'If the traditional date (A.D. 144) of Kanaksen's arrival in Káthiaváda had been only a little earlier it would have fitted well with Wilson's Kanishka of the Raja Tarangini.' Information brought to light since Tod's time shows that hardly any date could fit better than A.D. 144 for some member of the Kushán family, possibly a grandson of the great Kanishka, to make a settlement in Gujarát and Káthiaváda. The date agrees closely with the revolt against Vasudeva (A.D. 123-150), the second in succession from Kanishka, raised by the Panjab Yaudheyas, whom the great Gujarát Kshatrapa Rudradáman (A.D. 145-155), the introducer of Kanishka's (A.D. 78) era into Gujarát, humbled. The tradition calls Kanaksen Kosalaputra and brings him from Lohkot in North India.⁸ Kosala has been explained as Oudi and Lohkot as Lahore, but as Kanak came from the north not from the north-east an original Kushána-putra or Son of the Kushán may be the true form. Similarly Lohkot cannot be Lahore. It may be Alberuni's Lauhavar or Lahur in the Káshmir uplands one of the main centres of Kushán power.⁹

Chapter VIII.

THE VALABHIS,
A.D. 500-765.Descent from
Kanaksen,
A.D. 150.

¹ It is worthy of note that Balas and Káthils are returned from neighbouring Panjab districts. Balas from Delhi Ismail Khán (Panjab Census Report 1891 Part III. 316), Káthiá Rajputs from Montgomery (Iditto 318), and Káthiá Jats from Jhang and Dera Ismail Khán (Iditto 143). Compare Hutton's (1863) Panjab Census, I. 259, where the Káthils are identified with the Kathiols who fought Alexander the Great (B.C. 325) and also with the Káthils of Káthiaváda. According to this report (page 240) the Válas are said to have come from Malwa and are returned in East Panjab.

² Tod's Annals, I. 83 and 215; Elliot, II. 410; Jour. B. Br. A. S. XXIII.

³ Annals, I. 215.

⁴ Kath. Gaz. 559.

⁵ Brihat-Samhitá, XIV. 21. The usual explanation (compare Fleet Ind. Ant. XXII. 180) Gold-Sakas seems meaningless.

⁶ Sachau, II. 11. Among the legends are the much-applied tales of the foot-stamped cloth and the self-sacrificing minister.

⁷ Western India, 213.

⁸ Tod's Annals, I. 83, 215; Western India, 279-355.

⁹ Sachau, I. 208, II. 241. For the alleged descent of the Sesodias and Válas from Ráma of the Sun race the explanation may be offered that the greatness of Kanishka, whose power was spread from the Ganges to the Oxus, in accordance with the Hindu

Chapter VIII.

THE VALABHIS,
A.D. 509-706.Mewad and
the Persians.

One further point requires notice, the traditional connection between Valabhi and the Rānās of Mewad with the Sassanian kings of Persia (A.D. 250-650). In support of the tradition Abul Fazl (A.D. 1590) says the Rānās of Mewad consider themselves descendants of the Sassanian Naushirvān (A.D. 531-579) and Tod quotes fuller details from the Persian history Maasir-al-Umra.¹ No evidence seems to support a direct connection with Naushirvān.² At the same time marriage between the Valabhi chief and Maba Banu the fugitive daughter of Yazdigerd the last Sassanian (A.D. 651) is not impossible.³ And the remaining suggestion that the link may be Naushirvān's son Naushizād who fled from his father in A.D. 570 receives support in the statement of Procopius⁴ that Naushizād found shelter at Belapatan in Khuzistān perhaps Balapatan in Gurjaristān. As these suggestions are unsupported by direct evidence, it seems best to look for the source of the legend in the fire symbols in use on Kāthiāvāda and Mewad coins. These fire symbols, though in the main Indo-Skythian, betray from about the sixth century a more direct Sassanian influence. The use of similar coins coupled with their common sun worship seems sufficient to explain how the Agnikulas and other Kāthiāvāda and Mewad Rājputs came to believe in some family connection between their chiefs and the fireworshipping kings of Persia.⁵

Vālas.

Can the Vāla traditions of previous northern settlements be supported either by early Hindu inscriptions or from living traces in the present population of Northern India? The convenient and elaborate tribe and surname lists in the Census Report of the Panjāb, and vaguer information from Rājputāna, show traces of Bālas and Vālas among the Musalmān as well as among the Hindu population of Northern India.⁶ Among the tribes mentioned in Varāha-Mihira's sixth century (A.D. 550)⁷ lists the Vāhlikas appear along with the dwellers on Sindhu's banks. An inscription of a king Chandra, probably Chandragupta and if so about A.D. 350-400,⁸ boasts of crossing the seven mouths of the Indus to attack the Vāhlikas. These references suggest that the Bālas or Vālas are the Vāhlikas and that the Bāhlikas of the Hari-vamśa (A.D. 350-500?) are not as Langlois supposed people then ruling

doctrine (compare Beal's Buddhist Records, I. 99 & 152; Ris Māli, I. 320; Fryer's New Account, 190) that a conqueror's success is the fruit of transcendent merit in a former birth, led to Kanishka being considered an incarnation of Bāma. A connection between Kanishka and the race of the Sun would be made easy by the intentional confusing of the names Kshatrapa and Kshatriya and by the fact that during part at least of his life fire and the sun were Kanishka's favourite deities.

¹ Gladwin's Ain-i-Akhbari, II. 81; Tod's Annals, I. 235.

² The invasion of Sindhu formerly (Reinoud's Fragments, 29) supposed to be by Naushirvan in person according to fuller accounts seems to have been a raid by the ruler of Sistan (Elliot, I. 407). Still Reinoud (Mémoire Sur l'Inde, 127) holds that in sign of vassalage the Sindhu king added a Persian type to his coins.

³ Compare Tod's Annals, I. 255-258 and Rawlinson's Seventh Monarchy, 376.

⁴ Rawlinson's Seventh Monarchy, 452 note 3.

⁵ Compare Tod's Annals, I. 63; Thomas' Prinsep, I. 413; Cunningham's Arch. Survey, VI. 201. According to their own accounts (Ris Māli, I. 296) the Rāṭhis burned sun-worship from the Vāls of Dhank by whom the famous temple of the sun at Than in Kāthiāvāda was built.

⁶ Vālas Musalmān Jāti in Lahor and Gurdaspur; Yāls in Gujarāt and Gujranwālī; Vāls in Morarfarangar and Dhers Lemal Khun. Also Vālahs Hindūs in Kāngra. Panjāb Census of 1891, III. 162.

⁷ Brihat Saṃhitā, V. 80.

⁸ Corp. Ins. Ind. III. 140-141.

in Balkh but people then established in India.¹ Does it follow that the Valhikas of the inscriptions and the Bāhikas of the Harivamśa are the Panjāb tribe referred to in the Mahābhārata as the Bāhikas or Bāhikas, a people held to scorn as keeping no Brāhman rites, their Brāhman degraded, their women abandoned?² Of the two Mahābhārata forms Bāhika and Bāhika recent scholars have preferred Bāhika with the sense of people of Balkh or Baktria.³ The name Bāhika might belong to more than one of the Central Asian invaders of Northern India during the centuries before and after Christ, whose manner of life might be expected to strike an Āryāvarta Brāhman with horror. The date of the settlement of these northern tribes (B.C. 180 - A.D. 300) does not conflict with the comparatively modern date (A.D. 150-250) now generally received for the final revision of the Mahābhārata.⁴ This explanation does not remove the difficulty caused by references to Bāhikas and Bāhikas in Pāṇini and other writers earlier than the first of the after-Alexander Skythian invasions. At the same time as shown in the footnote there seems reason to hold that the change from the Bākhtri of Darins (B.C. 510) and Alexander the Great (B.C. 330) to the modern Balkh did not take place before the first century after Christ. If this view is correct it follows that

Chapter VIII.

THE VALABHIS,
A.D. 500-700.
Valab.

¹ The references are: Langlois' Harivamśa, I. 383-420, II. 178. That in A.D. 247 Balkh or Baktria was free from Indian overlordship (McCrindle's Periplus, 121), and that no more distant tribe than the Gandhāras finds a place in the Harivamśa lists combine to make it almost certain that, at the time the Harivamśa was written, whatever their origin may have been, the Bāhikas were settled, not in Baktria but in India.

² The passage from the Karna Parva or Eighth Book of the Mahābhārata is quoted in Muir's Sanskrit Texts, II. 482, and in greater fullness in St. Martin's Geog. Grecque et Latine de l'Inde, 402-410. The Bāhikas or Bāhikas are classed with the Madras, Gandhāras, Arattas, and other Panjāb tribes. In their Brāhman families it is said the eldest son alone is a Brāhman. The younger brothers are without restraint Kshatriyas, Vaidyas, Śūdras, even Barbers. A Brāhman may sink to be a Barber and a barber may rise to be a Brāhman. The Bāhikas eat flesh even the flesh of the cow and drink liquor. Their women know no restraint. They dance in public places encircled save with garlands. In the Harivamśa (Langlois, I. 403 and II. 178, 388, 420) the Bāhikas occur in lists of kings and peoples.

³ Kern in Muir's Sanskrit Texts, II. 446. St. Martin (Geog. Grecque et Latine de l'Inde, 149) takes Bāhika to be a contraction of Bāhika. Reasons are given below for considering the Mahābhārata form Bāhika a confusion with the earlier tribes of that name rather than a contraction of Bāhika or Bāhika. The form Bāhika was also favoured by the writer in the Mahābhārata because it fitted with his punning derivation from their two dead ancestors Vahi and Bihā. St. Martin, 408.

⁴ St. Martin Geog. Grecque et Latine de l'Inde, 403, puts the probable date at A.C. 380 or about fifty years before Alexander. St. Martin held that the passage belonged to the final revision of the poem. Since St. Martin's time the tendency has been to lower the date of the final revision by at least 500 years. The fact noted by St. Martin (Ibid., page 404) that Jartika which the Mahābhārata writer gives as another name for Bāhika is a Sanskritised form of Jāt further supports the later date. It is now generally accepted that the Jāt are one of the leading tribes who about the beginning of the Christian era passed from Central Asia into India.

⁵ The name Valabhi, as we learn from the Jain historians, is a Sanskritised form of Valabhi, which can be easily traced back to one of the many forms (Bāhika, Bāhika, Bāhika, Bāhika, Bāhika, Vāhika, Vāhika, Vāhika, Vāhika, Vāhika) of a tribal name which is of common occurrence in the Epics. This name is, no doubt rightly, traced back to the city of Balkh, and originally denoted merely the people of Baktria. There is, however, evidence that the name also denoted a tribe doubtless of Baktrian origin, but settled in India: the Emperor Chandra speaks of defeating the Valhikas after crossing the seven mouths of the Indus; Varāha-Mihira speaks of the Valhikas along with the people who dwell on Sindhu's banks (Bh. Sm. V. 80); and, most decisive of all, the Kāśika Vṛtti on Pīṭh. VIII, iv, 9 (A.D. 650) gives Bāhika as the name of the people of the Saurāstra country, which, as Albernus tells us, corresponded to the

Chapter VIII.

THE VALABHES,
A.D. 509-700,
Valas.

if the form *Bahluka* occurs in Pāṇini or other earlier writers it is a mistaken form due to some copyist's confusion with the later name *Bahluka*. As used by Pāṇini the name *Bahluka* applied to certain Panjāb tribes seems a general term meaning Outsider a view which is supported by Brian Hodgson's identification of the *Mahābhārata* *Bāhikas* with the *Bahings* one of the outcaste or broken tribes of Nepal.¹ The use of *Bahluka* in the *Mahābhārata* would then be due either to the wish to identify new tribes with old or to the temptation to use a word which had a suitable meaning in Sanskrit. If then there is fair ground for holding that the correct form of the name in the *Mahābhārata* is *Bāhika* and that *Bāhika* means men of *Balkh* the question remains which of the different waves of Central Asian invaders in the centuries before and after Christ are most likely to have adopted or to have received the title of *Baktrians*. Between the second century before and the third century after Christ two sets of northerners might justly have claimed or have received the title of *Baktrians*. Those northerners are the *Baktrian* Greeks about B.C. 180 and the *Yuechi* between A.D. 20 and A.D. 300. *Yavana* is so favourite a name among Indian writers that it may be accepted that whatever other northern tribes the name *Yavana* includes no name but *Yavana* passed into use for the *Baktrian* Greeks. Their long peaceful and civilised rule (B.C. 180 - A.D. 300?) from their capital at *Balkh* entitles the *Yuechi* to the name *Baktrians* or *Bāhikas*. That the *Yuechi* were known in India as *Baktrians* is proved by the writer of the *Periplus* (A.D. 247), who, when *Baktria* was still under *Yuechi* rule, speaks of the *Baktrianoi* as a most warlike race governed by their own sovereign.² It is known that in certain cases the *Yuechi* tribal names were of local origin. *Kushān* the name of the leading tribe is according to some authorities a place-name.³

modern *Mallān*, the very country to which the traditions of the modern *Valas* point.

If the usual derivation of the name *Bāhika* be accepted,* it is possible to go a step further and fix a probable limit before which the tribe did not enter India. The name of *Balkh* in the sixth century B.C. was, as we learn from Darius' inscriptions, *Bakhetri*, and the Greeks also knew it as *Baktra*: the Avesta form is *Bakthi*, which according to the laws of sound-change established by Prof. Darmstadter for the Avestan language as represented by the modern *Pashto*, would become *Bahl* (see *Chants Populaires des Afghans*, *Introd.* page xxvii). This reduction of the hard aspirate to spirants seems to have taken place about the first century A.D.: parallel cases are the change from *Parthava* to *Pallava*, and *Mithra* to *Mihra*. It would seem therefore that the *Bāhikas* did not enter India before the first century A.D.: and if we may identify their subinder *Chandra* with *Gandragupta I.*, we should have the fourth century A.D. as a lower limit for dating their invasion.

Unfortunately, however, these limits cannot at present be regarded as more than plausible: for the name *Bāhika* or *Valhika* appears to occur in works that can hardly be as modern as the first century A.D. The *Atharvaveda-pariśiṣṭas* might be put aside, as they show strong traces of Greek influence and are therefore of late date: and the supposed occurrences in Pāṇini belong to the commentators and to the *Ganapāṭha* only and are of more or less uncertain age. But the name occurs, in the form *Bāhika*, in one hymn of the *Atharvaveda* itself (Book V. 22) which there is no reason to suppose is of late date.

The lower limit is also uncertain as the identification of *Chandra* of the inscription with the *Gupta* King is purely conjectural.—(A. M. T. J.)

* There is a very close parallel in the modern Panjāb, where (see Census Reports of 1881) the national name *Rahut* has become a tribal name in the same way as *Bāhika*.

¹ Hodgson's *Essays on Indian Subjects*, I. 405 Note.

² *McCrindle's Periplus*, 121. Compare Rawlinson's *Seventh Monarchy*, 79. The absence of Indian references to the *Yuechi* supports the view that in India the *Yuechi* were known by some other name.

³ According to Reinaud (*Mémoire Sur l'Inde*, 82 note 3) probably the modern

And it is established that the names of more than one of the tribes who about B.C. 50 joined under the head of the Kusháns were taken from the lands where they had settled. It is therefore in agreement both with the movements and with the practice of the Yuechi, that, on reaching India, a portion of them should be known as Báhlikas or Báhikas. Though the evidence falls short of proof there seems fair reason to suggest that the present Rajput and Káthi Válas or Bálas of Gujarát and Rajputána, through a Sanskritised Valhika, may be traced to some section of the Yuechi, who, as they passed south from Baktria, between the first century before and the fourth century after Christ, assumed or received the title of men of Balkh.

One collateral point seems to deserve notice. St. Martin¹ says: 'The Greek historians do not show the least trace of the name Báhlika.' Accepting Báhlika, with the general sense of Outsider, as the form used by Indian writers before the Christian era and remembering² Pápiní's description of the Málavas and Kshudrakas as two Báhlika tribes of the North-West the fact that Pápiní lived very shortly before or after the time of Alexander and was specially acquainted with the Panjáb leaves little doubt that when (A.D. 326) Alexander conquered their country the Malloi and Oxydrakai, that is the Málavas and Kshudrakas, were known as Báhikas. Seeing that Alexander's writers were specially interested in and acquainted with the Malloi and Oxydrakai it is strange if St. Martin is correct in stating that Greek writings show no trace of the name Báhlika. In explanation of this difficulty the following suggestion may be offered.³ As the Greeks sounded their *kā* (x) as a spirant, the Indian Báhlika would strike them as almost the exact equivalent of their own word *Bacchikos*. More than one of Alexander's writers has curious references to a Bacchic element in the Panjáb tribes. Arrian⁴ notices that, as Alexander's fleet passed down the Jhelum, the people lined the banks chanting songs taught them by Dionysus and the Bacchantes. According to Quintus Curtius⁵ the name of Father Bacchus was famous among the people to the south of the Malloi. These references are vague. But Strabo is definite.⁶ The Malloi and Oxydrakai are reported to be the descendants of Bacchus. This passage is the more important since Strabo's use of the writings of Aristobulus Alexander's historian and of Onesikritos Alexander's pilot and Bráhman-interviewer gives his details a special value.⁷ It may be said Strabo explains why the Malloi and Oxydrakai were called Bacchic and Strabo's explanation is not in agreement with the proposed Báhlika origin. The answer is that Strabo's explanation can be proved to be in part, if not altogether, fictitious. Strabo⁸ gives two reasons why the Oxydrakai

Chapter VIII.

THE VALABHIS.
A.D. 300-700.

Válas.

Kochanya or Kashania sixty or seventy miles west of Samarband. This is Hsien Tsang's (A.D. 630) K'ab-shwangi-ni-kia or Kushanika. See Beal's *Buddhist Records*, I. 34.

¹ *Étude sur la Géographie Grecque et Latine de l'Inde*, 147.

² McCrindle's *Alexander in India*, 350.

³ The suggestion is made by Mr. A. M. T. Jackson.

⁴ McCrindle's *Alexander*, 156.

⁵ McCrindle's *Alexander*, 352.

⁶ Compare Strabo, XV. 1. 8. The Oxydrakai are the descendants of Dionysus. Again, XV. 1. 24: The Malloi and the Oxydrakai who as we have already said are said to be related to Dionysus.

⁷ See McCrindle's *Alexander*, 157, 369, 378, 393. Compare St. Martin *Geog. Grecque et Latine de l'Inde*, 102.

⁸ Strabo, XV. 1. 8 and 24; Hamilton's Translation, III. 76, 85.

Chapter VIII.

THE VALABHIS,
A.D. 509-766.

Valas.

were called Bacclic. First because the vine grew among them and second because their kings marched forth *Bakkhikós* that is after the Bacclic manner. It is difficult to prove that in the time of Alexander the vine did not grow in the Panjáb. Still the fact that the vines of Nysa near Jalálábád and of the hill Meros are mentioned by several writers and that no vines are referred to in the Greek accounts of the Panjáb suggests that the vine theory is an after-thought.¹ Strabo's second explanation, the Bacclic pomp of their kings, can be more completely disproved. The evidence that neither the Malloi nor the Oxydrakai had a king is abundant.² That the Greeks knew the Malloi and Oxydrakai were called Bakkhikoi and that they did not know why they had received that name favours the view that the explanation lies in the Indian name Báhika. One point remains. Does any trace of the original Báhikas or Outsiders survive? In Cutch Káthiáváda and North Gujarát are two tribes of half settled cattle-breeders and shepherds whose names Rahbáris as if Rahábuher and Bharváds as if Baherváda seem like Báhika to mean Outsider. Though in other respects both classes appear to have adopted ordinary Hindu practices the conduct of the Bharvád women of Káthiáváda during their special marriage seasons bears a curiously close resemblance to certain of the details in the Mahábhárata account of the Báhika women. Colonel Barton writes:³ 'The great marriage festival of the Káthiáváda Bharváds which is held once in ten or twelve years is called the Milkdrinking, *Dadhino*, from the lavish use of milk or clarified butter. Under the exciting influence of the butter the women become frantic singing obscene songs breaking down hedges and spoiling the surrounding crops.' Though the Bharváds are so long settled in Káthiáváda as to be considered aboriginals their own tradition preserves the memory of a former settlement in Márwár.⁴ This tradition is supported by the fact that the shrine of the family goddess of the Cutch Rahbáris is in Jodhpur,⁵ and by the claim of the Cutch Bharváds that their home is in the North-West Provinces.⁶

¹ References to the vines of Nysa and Meros occur in Strabo, Pliny, Quintus Curtius, Philostratus, and Justin: McCrindle's *Alexander in India*, 193 note 1, 321, and 339. Strabo (Hamilton's Translation, 111, 86) refers to a vine in the country of Muskanus or Upper Sindh. At the same time (Ditto, 108) Strabo accepts Megasthenes' statement that in India the wild vine grows only in the hills.

The Kathaloi Malloi and Oxydrakai are (Arrian in McCrindle's *Alexander*, 115, 137, 140, 149) called independent in the sense of kingless: they (Ditto, 154) sent leading men not ambassadors: (compare also Diodorus Siculus and Plutarch, Ditto 287, 311): the Malloi had to choose a leader (Q. Curtius, Ditto 236).

² Káthiawár Gazetteer, 133.

⁴ Káthiawár Gazetteer, 137.

⁵ Cutch Gazetteer, 80.

⁶ Cutch Gazetteer, 81.

CHAPTER IX.

THE CHÁLUKYAS

(A.D. 634-740.)

THE Chálukyas conquered their Gujarát provinces from the south after subduing the Konkán Mauryas of Purí either Rájápurí that is Janjira or Elephanta in Bombay harbour. The fifth century Váda inscription of king Suketuvarmman proves that this Maurya dynasty¹ ruled in the Konkán for at least a century before they came into collision with the Chálukyas under Kirtivarmman.² They were finally defeated and their capital Purí taken by Chayadanda an officer of Pulakesi II. (A.D. 610-640).³ The Chálukyas then pressed northwards, and an inscription at Aihole in South Bijápur records that as early as A.D. 634 the kings of Lata, Málaya, and Gurjjara submitted to the prowess of Pulakesi II. (A.D. 610-640).

The regular establishment of Chálukya power in South Gujarát seems to have been the work of Dharmaraya Jayasimhavarmman son of Pulakesi II. and younger brother of Vikramáditya Satvishma (A.D. 670-680). A grant of Jayasimhavarmman's son Śiláditya found in Navsári describes Jayasimhavarmman as receiving the kingdom from his brother Vikramáditya. As Jayasimhavarmman is called *Paramabhāṭāraka* Great Lord, he probably was practically independent. He had five sons and enjoyed a long life, ruling apparently from Navsári. Of the five Gujarát Chálukya copperplates noted below, three are in an era marked Sam. which is clearly different from the Saka era (A.D. 78) used in the grants of the main Chálukyas. From the nature of the case the new era of the Gujarát Chálukyas may be accepted as of Gujarát origin. Grants remain of Jayasimhavarmman's sons dated S. 421, 443, and 490.⁴ This checked by Vikramáditya's known date (A.D. 670-680) gives an initial between A.D. 249 and 259. Of the two Gujarát eras, the Gupta-Valabhi (A.D. 319) and the Trakútaka (A.D. 248-9), the Gupta-Valabhi is clearly unsuitable. On the other hand the result is so closely in accord with A.D. 248-9, the Trakútaka epoch, as to place the correctness of the identification almost beyond question.

Jayasimhavarmman must have established his power in South Gujarát before A.D. 669-70 (T. 421), as in that year his son Sryáraya made a grant as heir apparent. Another plate of Sryáraya found in Surat shows that in A.D. 691-2 (T. 443) Jayasimhavarmman was still ruling with Sryáraya as heir apparent. In view of these facts the establishment of Jayasimhavarmman's power in Gujarát must be taken at about A.D. 666. The copperplates of his sons and grandson do not say whom Jayasimhavarmman overthrew. Probably the defeated rulers were Gurjjaras, as about this time a Gurjjara dynasty held the Broach district with its capital at Nándipurí the modern Nándod in the Rájpipla State about thirty-five miles east of Broach. So far

Chapter IX.

THE CHÁLUKYAS,
A.D. 634-740.

Jayasimhavarmman,
A.D. 669-698.

¹ *Bom. Gaz.* XIV. 372.

² *Ind. Ant.* VIII. 243.

³ *Ind. Ant.* VIII. 244.

⁴ *J. B. B. R. A. S.* XVI. 15. : *Proceedings VIIth Oriental Congress*, 2105.

Chapter IX.

THE CHÁLUKYAS,
A.D. 634-740.

Jayasimhavarman,
A.D. 603-693.

as is known the earliest of the Nándod Gurjjaras was Dadda who is estimated to have flourished about A.D. 580 (T. 331).¹ The latest is Jayabhata whose Navsári copperplate bears date A.D. 734-5 (T. 486)² so that the Gurjjara and Chálukya kingdoms flourished almost at the same time. It is possible that the power of the earlier Gurjjara kings spread as far south as Balsár and even up to Konkan limits. It was apparently from them that, during the reign of his brother Vikraméditya, Jayasimhavarman took South Gujarát, driving the Gurjjaras north of the Tapti and eventually confining them to the Broach district, the Gurjjaras either acknowledging Chálukya sovereignty or withstanding the Chálukyas and retaining their small territory in the Broach district by the help of the Valabhis with whom they were in alliance.³ In either case the Chálukya power seems to have hemmed in the Broach Gurjjaras, as Jayasimhavarman had a son Buddhavarman ruling in Kaira. A copperplate of Buddhavarman's son Vijayarāja found in Kaira is granted from Vijayapura identified with Bijapur near Párantij, but probably some place further south, as the grant is made to Bráhmans of Jambusar. Five copperplates remain of this branch of the Chálukyas, the Navsári grant of S'ryásraya Śilāditya Yuvarāja dated A.D. 669-70 (T. 421); the Surat grant of the same Śilāditya dated A.D. 691-2 (T. 443); the Balsár grant of Vinayāditya Mangalarāja dated A.D. 731 (S'aka 653); the Navsári grant of Pulakesi Janāsraya dated A.D. 738-9 (T. 490); the Kaira grant of Vijayarāja dated Samvatsara 394; and the undated Nirpan grant of Nágavarddhana Tribhuvanāsraya.

S'ryásraya
Śilāditya
(Heir Apparent),
A.D. 669-691.

The first four grants mention Jayasimhavarman as the younger brother of Vikraméditya Sātyāsraya the son of Pulakesi Sātyāsraya the conqueror of Harshavarddhana the lord of the North. Jayasimhavarman's eldest son was S'ryásraya Śilāditya who made his Navsári grant in A.D. 669-70 (T. 421); the village granted being said to be in the Navasáriká Vishaya. S'ryásraya's other plate dated A.D. 691-2 (T. 443) grants a field in the village of Osumbhala in the Kármānava Ahāra that is the district of Kāmlej on the Tapti fifteen miles north-east of Surat. In both grants Śilāditya is called Yuvarāja, which shows that his father ruled with him from A.D. 669 to A.D. 691. Both copperplates show that these kings treated as their overlords the main dynasty of the southern Chálukyas as respectful mention is made in the first plate of Vikraméditya Sātyāsraya and in the second of his son Vinayāditya Sātyāsraya. Apparently S'ryásraya died before his father as the two late grants of Balsár and Khedá give him no place in the list of rulers.

Mangalarāja,
A.D. 695-721.

Jayasimhavarman was succeeded by his second son Mangalarāja. A plate of his found at Balsár dated A.D. 731 (Saka 653) records a grant made from Mangalapuri, probably the same as Purí the doubtful Konkan capital of the Śiláharas.⁴ As his elder brother was heir-apparent in A.D. 691-2 (T. 443), Mangalarāja must have succeeded some years later, say about A.D. 698-9 (T. 450). From this it may be inferred that the copperplate of A.D. 731 was issued towards the end of his reign.

¹ See Chap. X. below.

² Ind. Ant. XIII. 73.
⁴ B. B. R. A. 8, XVI. 5.

³ Ind. Ant. XIII. 70.

Mangalārāja was succeeded by his younger brother Pulakesi Jandēraya. This is the time of Khalif Hashām (A. 105-125, A.D. 724-743) whose Sindh governor Junaid is recorded to have sent expeditions against Marmād, Mandal, Dalmaḥ (Kāmleḥ?), Bārus, Uzain, Mālība, Baharimad (Mevad?), Al Baidāimān (Bhīmāl?), and Juzr. Though several of these names seem to have been misread and perhaps misspelt on account of the confusion in the original Arabic, still Marmād, Mandal, Bārus, Uzain, Mālība, and Juzr can easily be identified with Mārvād, Mandal near Viramgām, Bharuch, Ujjain, Mālwa, and Gurjjara. The defeat of one of these raids is described at length in Pulakesi's grant of A.D. 738-9 (T. 420) which states that the Arab army had afflicted the kingdoms of Sindhu, Kacchella, Saurāshtra, Chāvotaka, Maurya, and Gurjjara that is Sindhu, Kacch, the Chāvādās, the Mauryas of Chitor,¹ and the Gurjjaras of Bhīmāl.²

Chapter IX.

THE CHALUKYAS,
A.D. 624-740.Pulakesi
Jandēraya,
A.D. 735.

¹ For the Mūris or Mauryas, described as a branch of Prāmāns, who held Chitor during the eighth century compare Tod. Jr. R. A. S. 211; Wilson's Works, XII. 132.
² The text of the copperplate runs:

- शरशसीरमुद्रोद्धारिणि तरलतरतरस्वारिदा
[24] रितोदितसैन्धवकच्छेत्तसौराष्ट्र चावोटक मौयैर्गुर्जरादिरा [ये] निःशेष-
दक्षिणात्यक्षितिपतिजि
[25] गौषया दक्षिणापथप्रवेश.....प्रथममेव नवसारिकाविषयप्र-
साधनायागते त्वरित

PLATE II.

- [1] तुरगखरमुखरुखरोःखातधरिणिधूलिधूसरितदिगन्तरे कुन्तप्रान्तानितान्तावि-
मर्शमानरभसाभिधाविनो
[2] दूढस्थलेदरविवरविनिर्गतांत्रप्रधुतररुधिरचारांजितकवचभोषणवपुषि स्वा-
मिमहा
[3] सम्मानदानग्रहण कवीकृतस्वशिरोभिरभिमुखमापतितैप्रदंसदशनाग्रदष्टोष्ट-
पुटकैरने
[4] कसमराजिरविवरवरिकरिकटितदहयविघटनविशालितधनरुधिरपटलपाट-
लितपटुकपाणपट्टैरपि महा
[5] योवैरलब्धपरभागीः विपक्षक्षपणाक्षेपक्षिप्रक्षिप्रतीक्षणसुरप्रप्रहारविलूनवैरि-
शिर कर्मलगलनलैरा
[6] हवरसरभसरोमांचकंपुकाच्छादिततनूभिरनेकैरपि नरेन्द्रब्रह्मदत्तदारकैरजित-
पुर्वैः व्यपगतमस्माक
[7] घणमनेन स्वामिनः स्वशिरःप्रदानेनाद्यतावदेकजन्मीयामित्येवमिषोपजातप-
रितोपानन्तरप्रहतपटुप
[8] दहरबप्रवृत्तकवन्धवद्वारासमण्डलीके समराशिरासे विजितेताजिकानिके शो-
व्यानुरागिणा श्रीवदजमनरे
[9] त्रेण प्रस्तादीकृतापरनामचतुष्टयस्तद्यथा दक्षिणापथसाधारणचलुकिकुलालं-
कारपृथ्वीवदत्रमानिवत्तकनिव
[10] र्त्तयित्रवनिजनाश्रयश्रीपुलकेशिराजस्सर्वांनेवात्मीयान्

Chapter IX.

THE CHÁLUKYAS,
A.D. 634-740.

Palakēsi was at this time ruling at Navsāri. It is uncertain how much longer this Chálukya kingdom of Navsāri continued. It was probably overthrown about A.D. 750 by the Gujarāt branch of the Rāshtrakūṭas who were in possession in A.D. 757-8.¹

Buddhavarman,
A.D. 713 (?)


The Kaira grant dated 394 gives in hereditary succession the names Jayasimha, Buddhavarman, and Vijayarāja.² The grant is made from Vijayapura, which, as the late Colonel West suggested, may be Bijāpur near Parāntij though this is far to the north of the otherwise known Chálukya limits. The village granted is Pariyaya in the Rāsākula division. If taken as Traikūṭaka the date 394 corresponds to A.D. 642-3. This is out of the question, since Vijayarāja's grand-uncle Vikramāditya flourished between A.D. 670 and 680. Professor Bhandarkar considers the plate a forgery, but there seems no sufficient reason for doubting its genuineness. No fault can be found with the character. It is written in the usual style of Western Chálukya grants, and contains the names of a number of Brāhman grantees with minute details of the fields granted a feature most unusual in a forged grant. In the Gupta era, which equally with the Traikūṭaka era may be denoted by the word *Sap*, and which is more likely to be in use in North Gujarāt the 394 would represent the fairly probable A.D. 713. Jayasimha may have conquered part of North Gujarāt and sent his son Buddhavarman to rule over it.

Nāgavarddhana.

Jayasimha appears to have had a third son Nāgavarddhana ruling in West Nāsik which was connected with South Gujarāt through Balsār, Pārdi, and Penth. The Nirpan grant of Nāgavarddhana is undated,³ and, though it gives a wrong genealogy, its seal, the form of composition, the *viruda* or title of the king, and the alphabet all so closely agree with the style of the Gujarāt Chálukya plates that it cannot be considered a forgery.

Not long after A.D. 740 the Chálukyas seem to have been supplanted in South Gujarāt by the Rāshtrakūṭas.

CHÁLUKYA FAMILY TREE.

Chálukya
Tree.¹ Journal B. B. R. A. S. XVI. 105.² Ind. Ant. VII. 241.³ Ind. Ant. IX. 122.

Vijayarāja's grant of the year 304 (A.D. 642-3) is the earliest trace of Chalukya rule in Gujarat. Dr. Bhandarkar, who believed in its genuineness, supposes it to be dated in the Gupta era (i. e. 304 = A.D. 714) and infers from it the existence of Chalukya rule far to the north of Broach. But the most cursory comparison of it with the Klerja grants of Dadda II. (see Ind. Ant. XIII. 91ff.) which are dated (admittedly in the [so-called] Traikōtaka era) 380 and 385 respectively, shows that a large number of Dadda's granters reappear in the Chalukya grant. The date of the Chalukya plate must therefore be interpreted as a Traikōtaka or Chedi date.

This being so, it is clearly impossible to suppose that Vijayarāja's grandfather Jayasimha is that younger son of Pulakesi II. (A.D. 610-640) who founded the Gujarat branch family. It has been usually supposed that the Jayasimha of our grant was a younger brother of Pulakesi II.; but this also is chronologically impossible for Jayasimha can hardly have been more than ten years of age in A.D. 597-98, when his elder brother was set aside as too young to rule. His son Buddhavarman could hardly have been born before A.D. 610, so that Buddhavarman's son Vijayarāja must have made his grant at the age of twelve at latest. The true solution of the question seems to be that given by Dr. Bhandarkar in his *Early History of the Deccan* (page 42 note 7), namely that the grant is a forgery. To the reasons advanced by him may be added the fact pointed out by Mr. Fleet (Ind. Ant. VII. 231) that the grant is a palimpsest, the engraver having originally commenced it "Śrī Viṣṇuśahepa Na." It can hardly be doubted that Na is the first syllable of Nandipurī the palace of the Gujaraṭ kings. Many of the granters were Brāhmanas of Jambūvat and subjects of Dadda II. of Broach, whose grants to them are extant. It seems obvious that Vijayarāja's grant was forged in the interest of these persons by some one who had Gujaraṭ grants before him as models, but knew very little of the forms used in the chancery of the Chalukyas.

Setting aside this grant, the first genuine trace of Chalukya rule in Gujarat is to be found in the grant of the Soudraka chief Nīkumbhallaśakti, which bears date Saṃ. 406 (A.D. 654-5) and relates to the gift to a Brāhman of the village of Balla (Wauras) in the Treyayya (Ten) district. Dr. Bühler has shown (Ind. Ant. XVIII. page 285ff.) that the Soudrakas were a Kānarese family, and that Nīkumbhallaśakti must have come to Gujarat as a Chalukya feudatory, though he names no overlord. He was doubtless subordinate to the Chalukya governor of Nāṭik.

The next grant that requires notice is that of Nāgavarādhana, who describes himself distinctly as the son of Pulakesi's brother Jayasimha, though Dr. Bhandarkar believed this Jayasimha to be Pulakesi's son. Mr. Fleet points out other difficulties connected with this grant, but on the whole decides in favour of its genuineness (see Ind. Ant. IX. 123). The description of Pulakesi II. in this grant refers to his victory over Harshavarādhana, but also describes him as having conquered the three Hingulmas of Chera, Chola, and Pāṇḍya by means of his horse of the Chitrakūṭha breed, and as meditating on the feet of Śrī Nāgavarādhana. Now all of these epithets, except the reference to Harshavarādhana, belong properly, not to Pulakesi II. but to his son Vikramāditya I. The conquest of the confederacy of Cholas, Cheras (or Keralas), and Pāṇḍyas is ascribed to Vikramāditya in the inscriptions of his son Vinayāditya (Fleet in Ind. Ant. X. 134); the Chitrakūṭha horse is named in Vikramāditya's own grants (Ind. Ant. VI. 75 &c.) while his meditation upon the feet of Nāgavarādhana recurs in the T. 421 grant of Śrīśāstrya Śūddhitya (R. D. R. A. S. XVI. 1ff.). This confusion of epithets between Pulakesi II. and Vikramāditya makes it difficult to doubt that Nāgavarādhana's grant was composed either during or after Vikramāditya's reign, and under the influence of that king's grants. It may be argued that even in that case the grant may be genuine, its inaccuracies being due merely to carelessness. This supposition the following considerations seem to negative. Pulakesi II. was alive at the time of Hsien Tsang's visit (A.D. 640), but is not likely to have reigned very much longer. And, as Vikramāditya's reign is supposed to have begun about A.D. 660-70, a gap remains of nearly thirty years. That part of this period was occupied by the war with the three kings

Chapter IX.

THE CHALUKYAS,
A.D. 634-740.

A.D. 610-640.

Chapter IX.

THE CHALUKYAS,
A.D. 634-743.

of the south we know from Vikramāditya's own grants: but the grant of Sryāṣṭaya Śilāditya referred to above seems to show that Vikramāditya was the successor, not of his father, but of Nāgavarādhana upon whose feet he is described as meditating. It follows that Nāgavarādhana succeeded Pulakesi and preceded Vikramāditya on the imperial throne of the Chalukyas whereas his grant could not have been composed until the reign of Vikramāditya.

Although the grant is not genuine, we have no reason to doubt that it gives a correct genealogy, and that Nāgavarādhana was the son of Pulakesi's brother Jayasinha and therefore the first cousin of Vikramāditya. The grant is in the regular Chalukya style, and the writer, living near the Northern Chalukya capital, Nāsik, had better models than the composer of Vijayarāja's grant. Both grants may have been composed about the time when the Chalukya power succumbed to the attacks of the Rashtrakūṭas (A.D. 743).—(A. M. T. J.)

CHAPTER X.

THE GURJJARAS

(A.D. 580-808)

DURING Valabhi and Chálukya ascendancy a small Gurjjara kingdom flourished in and about Broach. As has been noticed in the Valabhi chapter the Gurjjaras were a foreign tribe who came to Gujarát from Northern India. All the available information regarding the Broach Gurjjaras comes from nine copperplates,¹ three of them forged, all obtained from South Gujarát. These plates limit the regular Gurjjara territory to the Broach district between the Mahi and the Narbadi, though at times their power extended north to Khedá and south to the Tápti. Like the grants of the contemporary Gujarát Chálukyas all the genuine copperplates are dated in the Traikátaka era which begins in A.D. 249-50.² The Gurjjara capital seems to have been Nándipurí or Nándor,³ the modern Nándol the capital of Rájpipla in Rewa Kántha about thirty-four miles east of Broach. Two of their grants issue *Nándipurítak*⁴ that is 'from Nándipurí' like the *Valabhítak* or 'from Valabhi' of the Valabhi copperplates, a phrase which in both cases seems to show the place named was the capital since in other Gurjjara grants the word *śāśaka* or camp occurs.⁵

Though the Gurjjaras held a considerable territory in South Gujarát their plates seem to show they were not independent rulers. The general titles are either *Samadhigata-punchamahāśabda* 'He who has attained the five great titles,' or *Sámantha* Feudatory. In one instance Jayabhata III. who was probably a powerful ruler is called *Sámantādhipati*⁶ Lord of Feudatories. It is hard to say to what suzerain these Broach Gurjjaras acknowledged fealty. Latterly they seem to have accepted the Chálukyas on the south as their overlords. But during the greater part of their existence they may have been feudatories of the Valabhi dynasty, who, as

Chapter X.
THE GURJJARAS,
A.D. 580-808.

Copperplates

¹ Ind. Ant. V. 100ff.; Ind. Ant. VII. 61ff.; Jour. R. A. S. (N. S.), I. 274ff.; Ind. Ant. XIII. 51-91; Jour. R. R. R. A. Soc. X. 10ff.; Ind. Ant. XIII. 115-119. Ind. Ant. XVII. and Ep. Ind. II. 10ff. ² See above, page 107.

³ That Nándor or Nándol was an old and important city is proved by the fact that Bráhmans and Vánias called Nándorás that is of Nándor are found throughout Gujarát, Mángrol and Chored on the South Káthiáwáda coast have settlements of Velári betel-vine cultivators who call themselves Nándora Vánias and apparently brought the betel-vine from Nándol. Dr. Bühler, however, identifies the Nándipurí of the grants with an old fort of the same name about two miles north of the east gate of Broach. See Ind. Ant. VII. 62. ⁴ Ind. Ant. XIII. 81, 83. ⁵ Ind. Ant. XIII. 70.

⁶ The fact that the Umetsá and Ilāo plates give their grantor Dadda II. the title of *Maharajadhiraja Supreme Lord of Great Kings*, is one of the grounds for believing them forgeries.

Chapter X.

THE GUJJARAS,
A.D. 580-808.
Copperplates.

mentioned above were probably Gurjjaras who passed from Málwa to South Gujarát and thence by sea to Valabhi leaving a branch in South Gujarát.

The facts that in A.D. 649 (Valabhi 330) a Valabhi king had a 'camp of victory' at Broach where Ragagraha's plate¹ shows the Gurjjaras were then ruling and that the Gurjjara king Dadda II. gave shelter to a Valabhi king establish a close connection between Valabhi and the Nándod Gurjjaras.

Their copperplates and seals closely resemble the plates and seals of the Gujarát Chálukyas. The characters of all but the forged grants are like those of Gujarát Chálukya grants and belong to the Gujarát variety of the Southern India style. At the same time it is to be noted that the royal signature at the end of the plates is of the northern type, proving that the Gurjjaras were originally northerners. The language of most of the grants is Sanskrit prose as in Valabhi plates in a style curiously like the style of the contemporary author Bāṇa in his great works the *Kādambarī* and *Harshacharita*. From this it may be inferred that Bāṇa's style was not peculiar to himself but was the style in general use in India at that time.

Gurjjara
Tree.

The following is the Gurjjara family tree :

```

Dadda I. A.D. 580.
|
Jayabhata I. A.D. 605.
|
Dadda II. A.D. 633.
|
Jayabhata II. A.D. 655.
|
Dadda III. A.D. 680.
|
Jayabhata III. A.D. 706-734.

```

A recently published grant² made by Nirihullaka, the chieftain of a jungle tribe in the lower valley of the Narmadā, shows that towards the end of the sixth century A.D. that region was occupied by wild tribes who acknowledged the supremacy of the Chedi or Kalachuri kings: a fact which accounts for the use of the Chedi or Traikūtaka era in South Gujarát. Nirihullaka names with respect a king Saṅkaragana, whom Dr. Bühler would identify with Saṅkaragana the father of the Kalachuri Buddhavarman who was defeated by Mangalīśa the Chālukya about A.D. 600.³ Saṅkaragana himself must have flourished about A.D. 580, and the Gurjjara conquest must be subsequent to this date. Another new grant,⁴ which is only a fragment and contains no king's name, but which on the ground of date (Sam. 346 = A.D. 594-5) and style may be safely attributed to the Gurjjara dynasty, shows that the Gurjjaras were established in the country within a few years of Saṅkaragana's probable date.

A still nearer approximation to the date of the Gurjjara conquest is suggested by the change in the titles of Dharmasena I. of Valabhi, who

¹ Ep. Ind. II. 20.

² Ep. Ind. II. 21.

³ Ind. Ant. VII. 162.

⁴ Ep. Ind. II. 19.

in his grants of Samvat 252¹ (A.D. 571) calls himself Mahārāja, while in his grants of 269 and 270² (A.D. 588 and 589), he adds the title of Mahāsāmānta, which points to subjection by some foreign power between A.D. 571 and A.D. 588. It seems highly probable that this power was that of the Gurjjaras of Bhīnmāl; and that their successes therefore took place between A.D. 580 and 588 or about A.D. 585.

The above mentioned anonymous grant of the year 346 (A.D. 594-95) is ascribed with great probability to Dadda I. who is known from the two Khedā grants of his grandson Dadda II. (c. 620-650 A.D.)³ to have "uprooted the Nāga" who must be the same as the jungle tribes ruled by Nirihullaka and are now represented by the Nāikās of the Panch Maltila and the Talabdas or Locals of Broach. The northern limit of Dadda's kingdom seems to have been the Vindhya, as the grant of 380 (A.D. 628-29) says that the lands lying around the feet of the Vindhya were for his pleasure. At the same time it appears that part at least of Northern Gujarāt was ruled by the Mahāsāmānta Dharmasena of Valabhi, who in Val. 270 (A.D. 589-90) granted a village in the *śhāra* of Khetaka (Khedā).⁴ Dadda is always spoken of as the *Sāmānta*, which shows that while he lived his territory remained a part of the Gurjjara kingdom of Bhīnmāl. Subsequently North Gujarāt fell into the hands of the Mālava kings, to whom it belonged in Huen Tsang's time (c. 640 A.D.).⁵ Dadda I. is mentioned in the two Khedā grants of his grandson as a worshipper of the sun: the fragmentary grant of 346 (A.D. 594-95) which is attributed to him gives no historical details.

Dadda I. was succeeded by his son Jayabhata I. who is mentioned in the Khedā grants as a victorious and virtuous ruler, and appears from his title of Vitarāga the Passionless to have been a religious prince.

Jayabhata I. was succeeded by his son Dadda II. who bore the title of Prācīntarāga the Passion-calmed. Dadda was the donor of the two Khedā grants of 380 (A.D. 628-29) and 385 (A.D. 633-34), and a part of a grant made by his brother Ranagrāha in the year 391 (A.D. 639-40) has lately been published.⁶ Three forged grants purporting to have been issued by him are dated respectively Saka 400 (A.D. 478), Saka 415 (A.D. 493), and Saka 417 (A.D. 495).⁷ Both of the Khedā grants relate to the gift of the village of Sirishapadraka (Sisodra) in the Akṛūreśvara (Anklesvar) vishaya to certain Brāhmins of Jambusar and Broach. In Ranagrāha's grant the name of the village is lost.

Dadda II.'s own grants describe him as having attained the five great titles, and praise him in general terms: and both he and his brother Ranagrāha sign their grants as devout worshippers of the sun. Dadda II. heads the genealogy in the later grant of 456 (A.D. 704-5),⁸ which states that he protected "the lord of Valabhi who had been defeated by the great lord the illustrious Harshadeva." The event referred to must have been some expedition of the great Harsavardhana of Kanauj

Chapter X.
THE GURJJARAS,
A.D. 550-606.

Dadda I.
c. 585-605 A.D.

Jayabhata I.
Vitarāga,
c. 605-620 A.D.

Dadda II.
Prācīntarāga,
c. 620-650 A.D.

¹ Ind. Ant. VII. 68, VIII. 302, XIII. 169, and XV. 187.

² Ind. Ant. VI. 9, VII. 70.

³ Ind. Ant. XIII. 81-88.

⁴ Ind. Ant. VII. 70.

⁵ Beal's Buddhist Records, II. 266, 268.

⁶ Ind. Ant. XIII. 81-88, Ep. Ind. II. 12.

⁷ On these forged grants see below page 117.

⁸ Ind. Ant. XIII. 70.

Chapter X.

THE GURJJARAS,
A.D. 580-809.Dadda II.
Pravartarāja,
c. 620-650 A.D.

(A.D. 607-648), perhaps the campaign in which Harsha was defeated on the Nerbaddā by Pulakesi II. (which took place before A.D. 634). The protection given to the Valabhi king is perhaps referred to in the Khedā grants in the mention of "strangers and suppliants and people in distress." If this is the case the defeat of Valabhi took place before A.D. 628-29, the date of the earlier of the Khedā grants. On the other hand, the phrase quoted is by no means decisive, and the fact that in Hiuen Tsiang's time Dhruvasena of Valabhi was son-in-law of Harsha's son, makes it unlikely that Harsha should have been at war with him. It follows that the expedition referred to may have taken place in the reign of Dharaśena IV. who may have been the son of Dhruvasena by another wife than Harsha's granddaughter.

To Dadda II.'s reign belongs Hiuen Tsiang's notice of the kingdom of Broach (c. 640 A.D.).¹ He says "all their profit is from the sea" and describes the country as salt and barren, which is still true of large tracts in the west and twelve hundred years ago was probably the condition of a much larger area than at present. Hiuen Tsiang does not say that Broach was subject to any other kingdom, but it is clear from the fact that Dadda bore the five great titles that he was a mere feudatory. At this period the valuable port of Broach, from which all their profit was made, was a prize fought for by all the neighbouring powers. With the surrounding country of Lāta, Broach submitted to Pulakesi II. (A.D. 610-640):² it may afterwards have fallen to the Mālava kings, to whom in Hiuen Tsiang's time (A.D. 640) both Khedā (K'ie-ch'a) and Anandapura (Vadnagar) belonged; later it was subject to Valabhi, as Dharaśena IV. made a grant at Broach in V.S. 330 (A.D. 649-50).³

Knowledge of the later Gurjjaras is derived exclusively from two grants of Jayabhata III. dated respectively 450 (A.D. 704-5) and 486 (A.D. 734-5).⁴ The later of these two grants is imperfect, only the last plate having been preserved. The earlier grant of 456 (A.D. 704-5) shows that during the half century following the reign of Dadda II. the dynasty had ceased to call themselves Gurjjaras, and had adopted a Purānic pedigree traced from king Kārṇa, a hero of the Bhārata war. It also shows that from Dadda III. onward the family were Śaivas instead of sun-worshippers.

Jayabhata II.
c. 650-675 A.D.

The successor of Dadda II. was his son Jayabhata II. who is described as a warlike prince, but of whom no historical details are recorded.

Dadda III.
Bāhusahāya,
c. 675-700.

Jayabhata's son, Dadda III. Bāhusahāya, is described as waging wars with the great kings of the east and of the west (probably Mālava and Valabhi). He was the first Śaiva of the family, studied Manu's works, and strictly enforced "the duties of the *carṇas* or castes and of the *śāramas* or Brāhman stages." It was probably to him that the Gurjjaras owed their Purānic pedigree and their recognition as true Kshatriyas. Like his predecessors Dadda III.

¹ Beal's Buddhist Records, II. 269.² Ind. Ant. VIII. 237.³ Ind. Ant. XV. 333.⁴ Ind. Ant. V. 109, XIII. 70.

was not an independent ruler. He could claim only the five great titles, though no hint is given who was his suzerain. His immediate superior may have been Jayasinha the Chālukya, who received the province of Lāta from his brother Vikramāditya (c. 667-680 A.D.)¹

The son and successor of Dadda III. was Jayabhata III, whose two grants of 436 (A.D. 704-5) and 486 (A.D. 734-5)² must belong respectively to the beginning and the end of his reign. He attained the five great titles, and was therefore a feudatory, probably of the Chālukyas: but his title of Mahāsāmantādhipati implies that he was a chief of importance. He is praised in vague terms, but the only historical event mentioned in his grants is a defeat of a lord of Valabhi, noted in the grant of 486 (A.D. 734-5). The Valabhi king referred to must be either Śīlāditya IV. (A.D. 691) or Śīlāditya V. (A.D. 722). During the reign of Jayabhata III. took place the great Arab invasion which was repulsed by Pulakesi Janāraya at Navsāri.³ Like the kingdoms named in the grant of Pulakesi, Broach must have suffered from this raid. It is not specially mentioned probably because it formed part of Pulakesi's territory.

After A.D. 734-5 no further mention occurs of the Gurjjaras of Broach. Whether the dynasty was destroyed by the Arabs or by the Gujarāt Rāshtrakūṭas (A.D. 750) is not known. Later references to Gurjjaras in Rāshtrakūṭa times refer to the Gurjjaras of Bhīnmal not to the Gurjjaras of Broach, who, about the time of Dadda III. (c. 675-700 A.D.), ceased to call themselves Gurjjaras.

A few words must be said regarding the three grants from Ilāo, Umetā, and Bagumrā (Ind. Ant. XIII. 116, VII. 61, and XVII. 183) as their genuineness has been assumed by Dr. Bühler in his recent paper on the Mahābhārata, in spite of Mr. Fleet's proof (Ind. Ant. XVIII. 19) that their dates do not work out correctly.

Dr. Bhagvānīlā's (Ind. Ant. XIII. 70) chief grounds for holding that the Umetā and Ilāo grants (the Bagumrā grant was unknown to him) were forgeries were:

(1) Their close resemblance in palaeography to one another and to the forged grant of Dharaśena II. of Valabhi dated S'aka 400;

(2) That though they purport to belong to the fifth century they bear the same writer's name as the Kheḍā grants of the seventh century.

Further Mr. Fleet (Ind. Ant. XIII. 116) pointed out:

(3) That the description of Dadda I. in the Ilāo and Umetā grants agrees almost literally with that of Dadda II. in the Kheḍā grants, and that where it differs the Kheḍā grants have the better readings.

To these arguments Dr. Bühler has replied (Ind. Ant. XVII. 183):

(1) That though there is a resemblance between these grants and that of Dharaśena II., still it does not prove more than that the forger of Dharaśena's grant had one of the other grants before him;

(2) That, as the father's name of the writer is not given in the Kheḍā grants, it cannot be assumed that he was the same person as the writer of the Ilāo and Umetā grants; and

¹ B. B. R. A. S. JI. XVI. 12f.

² Ind. Ant. V. 100, XIII. 70. The earlier grant was made from Kāyāvalāra (Kārwān); the later one is mutilated.

³ Before A.D. 735-9. See Chap. IX. above.

Chapter X.

THE GURJJARAS,
A.D. 380-803.

Jayabhata III.
c. 704-734 A.D.

Chapter X.

THE GUJJARAS,
A.D. 580-808.

(3) That genuine grants sometimes show that a description written for one king is afterwards applied to another, and that good or bad readings are no test of the age of a grant.

It may be admitted that Dr. Bühler has made it probable that the suspected grants and the grant of Dharmasena were not all written by the same hand, and also that the coincidence in the writer's name is not of much importance in itself. But the palaeographical resemblance between Dharmasena's grant on the one hand and the doubtful Gujjara grants on the other is so close that they must have been written at about the same time. As to the third point, the verbal agreement between the doubtful grants on the one hand and the Kheḍa grants on the other implies the existence of a continuous tradition in the record office of the dynasty from the end of the fifth till near the middle of the seventh century. But the Saṅkheḍa grant of Niribullaka (Ep. Ind. II. 21) shows that towards the end of the sixth century the lower Narbada valley was occupied by jungle tribes who acknowledged the supremacy of the Kalachuris. Is it reasonable to suppose that after the first Gujjara line was thus displaced, the restorers of the dynasty should have had any memory of the forms in which the first line drew up their grants? At any rate, if they had, they would also have retained their original seal, which, as the analogy of the Valabhi plates teaches us, would bear the founder's name. But we find that the seal of the Kheḍa plates bears the name "Simanta Dadda," who can be no other than the "Simanta Dadda" who ruled from c. 585-605 A.D. It follows that the Gujjaras of the seventh century themselves traced back their history in Broach no further than A.D. 585. Again, it has been pointed out in the text that a passage in the description of Dadda II. (A.D. 620-650) in the Kheḍa grants seems to refer to his protection of the Valabhi king, so that the description must have been written for Aśva and not for the fifth century Dadda as Dr. Bühler's theory requires.

These points coupled with Mr. Fleet's proof (Ind. Ant. XVII. 91) that the Saka dates do not work out correctly, may perhaps be enough to show that none of these three grants can be relied upon as genuine.—(A. M. T. J.)

CHAPTER XI.

THE RĀSHTRAKŪṬAS

(A.D. 743-974.)

THE Rāshtrakūṭa connection with Gujarāt lasted from Śaka 665 to 894 (A.D. 743-974) that is for 231 years. The connection includes three periods: A first of sixty-five years from Śaka 665 to 730 (A.D. 743-808) when the Gujarāt ruler was dependent on the main Dakhan Rāshtrakūṭas; a second of eighty years between Śaka 730 and 810 (A.D. 808-888) when the Gujarāt family was on the whole independent; and a third of eighty-six years Śaka 810 to 896 (A.D. 888-974) when the Dakhan Rāshtrakūṭas again exercised direct sway over Gujarāt.

Information regarding the origin of the Rāshtrakūṭas is imperfect. That the Gujarāt Rāshtrakūṭas came from the Dakhan in Śaka 665 (A.D. 743) is known. It is not known who the Dakhan Rāshtrakūṭas originally were or where or when they rose to prominence. Rāthod the dynastic name of certain Kanauj and Mārwar Rajputs represents a later form of the word Rāshtrakūṭa. Again certain of the later inscriptions call the Rāshtrakūṭas Rattas a word which, so far as form goes, is hardly a correct Prakrit contraction of Rāshtrakūṭa. The Sanskritisation of tribal names is not exact. If the name Ratta was strange it might be pronounced Ratta, Ratna, or Raddi. This last form almost coincides with the modern Kānarese caste name Reddi, which, so far as information goes, would place the Rāshtrakūṭas among the tribes of pre-Sanskrit southern origin.

If Ratta is the name of the dynasty *kūṭa* or *kūḍa* may be an attribute meaning prominent. The combination Rāshtrakūṭa would then mean the chiefs or leaders as opposed to the rank and file of the Rattas. The bardic accounts of the origin of the Rāthods of Kanauj and Marwar vary greatly. According to a Jain account the Rāthods, whose name is fancifully derived from the *rahi* or spine of Indra, are connected with the Yavans through an ancestor Yavanaśva prince of Pārlipur. The Rāthod genealogies trace their origin to Kuśa son of Rāma of the Solar Race. The bards of the

Chapter XI.
THE
RĀSHTRAKŪṬAS,
A.D. 743-974.

Their Origin.

Their Name.

Chapter XI.

THE
RĀSHTRAKŪṬAS,
A.D. 743-974.

Their Name.

Solar Race hold them to be descendants of Hiranya Kaśipu by a demon or *daitya* mother. Like the other great Rājput families the Rāthodās' accounts contain no date earlier than the fifth century A.D. when (A.D. 470, S. 526) Nāin Pāl is said to have conquered Kānauj slaying its monarch Ajipāl.¹ The Dakhan Rāshtrakūṭas (whose earliest known date is also about A.D. 450) call themselves of the Lunar Race and of the Yadu dynasty. Such contradictions leave only one of two origins to the tribe. They were either foreigners or southerners Brāhmanised and included under the all-embracing term Rājput.

Early Dynasty,
A.D. 450-500.

Of the rise of the Rāshtrakūṭas no trace remains. The earliest known Rāshtrakūṭa copperplate is of a king Abhimanyu. This plate is not dated. Still its letters, its style of writing, and its lion seal, older than the Garuḍa mark which the Rāshtrakūṭas assumed along with the claim of Yādava descent, leave no doubt that this is the earliest of known Rāshtrakūṭa plates. Its probable date is about A.D. 450. The plate traces the descent of Abhimanyu through two generations from Mānāuka. The details are:

Mānāuka,
|
Devarāja.
|
Bhaviśya.
|
Abhimanyu.

The grant is dated from Mānapura, perhaps Mānāuka's city, probably an older form of Mānyakheta the modern Mālkhed the capital of the later Rāshtrakūṭas about sixty miles south-east of Sholāpur. These details give fair ground for holding the Mānāukas to be a family of Rāshtrakūṭa rulers earlier than that which appears in the usual genealogy of the later Rāshtrakūṭa dynasty (A.D. 500-972).

The
Main Dynasty,
A.D. 630-972.

The earliest information regarding the later Rāshtrakūṭas is from a comparatively modern, and therefore not quite trustworthy, Chālukya copperplate of the eleventh century found by Mr. Wathen. This plate states that Jayasimha I. the earliest Chālukya defeated the Rāshtrakūṭa Indra son of Krishna the lord of 800 elephants. The date of this battle would be about A.D. 500. If historic the reference implies that the Rāshtrakūṭas were then a well established dynasty. In most of their own plates the genealogy of the Rāshtrakūṭas begins with Govinda about A.D. 680. But that Govinda was not the founder of the family is shown by Dantidurga's Elura Daśavatāra inscription (about A.D. 750) which gives two earlier names Dantivarman and Indra. The founding of Rāshtrakūṭa power is therefore of doubtful date. Of the date of its overthrow there is no question. The overthrow came from the hand of the Western Chālukya Tailappa in Śaka 894 (A.D. 972) during the reign of the last Rāshtrakūṭa Kakka III. or Kakkala.


¹ Tod's *Annals of Rājsthān*, I. 88; II. 2.

Chapter XI.

THE
BANTHAKŪTA,
A.D. 743-974.
Banthakūta
Family Tree,
A.D. 630-972.



Copperplates



Chapter XI.

THE
RĀSHTRAKŪṬAS,
A.D. 743-974.

Kakka II.
A.D. 747.

The plate notices that Kakka the grantor was the son of Govinda by his wife the daughter of the illustrious Nāgavarman. Kakka is further described by the laudatory title '*Samadhiḡatapanch-mahāśabdhā*' Holder of the five great names. At the same time he is also called *Paramabhettāraka-Mahārāja* Great Lord Great King, attributes which seem to imply a claim to independent power. The grant is dated the bright seventh of Kṣvaynja, Śaka 669 (A.D. 747). The date is almost contemporary with the year of Dantidurga in the Sāmangad plate (A.D. 753). As Dantidurga was a very powerful monarch we may identify the first Kakka of this plate with Kakka I. the grandfather of Dantidurga and thus trace from Dhruva Kakka's son a branch of fundatory Rāshtrakūṭas ruling in Mālwa or Gujarāt, whose leaders were Dhruva, his son Govinda, and Govinda's son Kakka II. Further Dantidurga's grant shows that he conquered Central Gujarāt between the Mahī and the Narbada¹ while his Elura Daśavatāra inscription (A.D. 750) shows that he held Lāṭa and Mālava². Dantidurga's conquest of Central Gujarāt seems to have been signalised by grants of land made by his mother in every village of the Mātri division which is apparently the Mātar tāluka of the Kaira district.³ It is possible that Dantidurga gave conquered Gujarāt to his paternal cousin's son and contemporary Kakka, the grantor of the Antroli plate (A.D. 747), as the representative of a family ruling somewhere under the overlordship of the main Dakhan Rāshtrakūṭas. Karka's Baroda grant⁴ (A.D. 812) supports this theory. Dantidurga died childless and was succeeded by his uncle Kṛishṇa. Of this Kṛishṇa the Baroda grant says that he assumed the government for the good of the family after having rooted out a member of the family who had taken to mischief-making. It seems probable that Kakka II. the grantor of the Antroli plate is the mischief-maker and that his mischief was, on the death of Dantidurga, the attempt to secure the succession to himself. Kṛishṇa frustrated Kakka's attempt and rooted him out so effectively that no trace of Kakka's family again appears.

Kṛishṇa and
Govinda II.
A.D. 765-795.

From this it follows that, so far as is known, the Rāshtrakūṭa conquest of Gujarāt begins with Dantidurga's conquest of Lāṭa, that is South Gujarāt between the Mahī and the Narbada, from the Gurjjara king Jayabhata whose latest known date is A.D. 736 or seventeen years before the known date of Dantidurga. The Gurjjaras probably retired to the Rājpipla hills and further east on the confines of Mālwa where they may have held a lingering sway.⁵ No Gujarāt event of importance is recorded during the reign of Kṛishṇa (A.D. 765) or of his son Govinda II. (A.D. 780) who about

¹ Ind. Ant. XI. 112.

² Bombay Arch. Sur. Separate Number, 10, 94.

³ This verse which immediately follows the mention of Govinda's conquests on the banks of the Mahī and the Narbada punningly explains the name of the Mātar tāluka as meaning the Mother's tāluka.

⁴ Ind. Ant. XII. 156.

⁵ The Khāndesh Rere and Dore Gujars of Choplā and Raver in the east, and also over most of the west, may be a remnant of these Gujars of Branch who at this time (A.D. 740), and perhaps again about sixty years later, may have been forced up the Narbada and Tapi into South Mālwa and West Khāndesh. This is doubtful as their migration is said to have taken place in the eleventh century and may have been due to pressure from the north the effect of Mahmūd Ghaznavi's invasions (A.D. 1000-1025).

A.D. 795 was superseded by his powerful younger brother Dhruva.¹

Dhruva was a mighty monarch whose conquests spread from South India as far north as Allahābād. During Dhruva's lifetime his son Govinda probably ruled at Mayūrakhandi or Morkhanda in the Nāsik district and held the Ghāt country and the Gujarāt coast from Balsār northwards. Though according to a Kapadvanj grant Govinda had several brothers the Rādhanpur (A.D. 808) and Van-Dindori (A.D. 808) grants of his son Govinda III. state that his father, seeing Govinda's supernatural Kṛishṇa-like powers, offered him the sovereignty of the whole world. Govinda declined, saying, The Kanthikā or coast tract already given to me is enough. Seeing that Mayūrakhandi or Morkhanda in Nāsik was Govinda's capital, this Kanthikā appears to be the coast from Balsār northwards.

According to Gujarāt Govinda's (A.D. 827-833) Kāvī grant (A.D. 827), finding his power threatened by Stambha and other kings, Dhruva made the great Govinda independent during his own lifetime. This suggests that while Dhruva continued to hold the main Rashtrakūṭa sovereignty in the Dakhan, he probably invested Govinda with the sovereignty of Gujarāt. This fact the Kāvī grant (A.D. 827) being a Gujarāt grant would rightly mention while it would not find a place in the Rādhanpur (A.D. 808) and Van-Dindori (A.D. 808) grants of the main Rashtrakūṭas. Of the kings who opposed Govinda the chief was Stambha who may have some connection with Cambay, as, during the time of the Anahilavāda kings, Cambay came to be called Stambha-tīrtha instead of by its old name of Gambhātā. According to the grants the allied chiefs were no match for Govinda. The Gurjjara fled through fear, not returning even in dreams, and the Māhava king submitted. Who the Gurjjara was it is hard to say. He may have belonged to some Gurjjara dynasty that rose to importance after Dantidurga's conquest or the name may mean a ruler of the Gurjjara country. In either case some North Gujarāt ruler is meant whose conquest opened the route from Broach to Mālwa. From Mālwa Govinda marched to the Vindhya where the king apparently of East Mālwa named Māra Sarva submitted to Govinda paying tribute. From the Vindhya Govinda returned to Gujarāt passing the rains at S'ribhavana,² apparently Sarbhon in the Amod tāluka of Broach, a favourite locality which he had ruled during his father's lifetime. After the rains Govinda went south as far as the Tungabhadra. On starting for the south Govinda handed Gujarāt to his brother Indra with whom begins the Gujarāt branch of the Rashtrakūṭas. Several plates distinctly mention that Indra was given the kingdom of the lord of Lāṭa by (his brother) Govinda. Other Gujarāt grants, apparently with intent to show that Indra won Gujarāt and did not receive it in gift, after mentioning Sārva Amoghavaraha as the successor of Govinda (A.D. 818), state that the king (apparently of Gujarāt) was Sārva's uncle Indra.

Chapter XI.

THE
RASHTRAKŪṬAS,
A.D. 743-974.

Dhruva I.
A.D. 795.

Govinda III.
A.D. 800-808.

¹ Ind. Ant. VI. 65; Jour. R. A. Soc. V. 350.

² Ind. Ant. VI. 65.

Karta 1.
D. 812-821.

Indra was succeeded by his son Karka I. who is also called *Svayambhavarsha* and *Pāṭalamalla*. Karka reversed his father's policy and loyally accepted the overlordship of the main *Rāshtrakūṭas*. Three grants of Karka's remain, the Baroda grant dated S'aka 734 (A.D. 812), and two unpublished grants from Navsāri and Surat dated respectively S'aka 738 (A.D. 816) and S'aka 743 (A.D. 821). Among Doctor Bhagvānāl's collection of inscriptions bequeathed to the British Museum the Baroda grant says that Karka's *vramī* or lord, apparently Govinda III, made use of Karka's arm to protect the king of Mālava against invasion by the king of Gurjjara who had become puffed up by conquering the lords of Gauda and Vanga that is modern Bengal. This powerful Gurjjara king who conquered countries so distant as Bengal has not been identified. He must have been ruling north of the Mahī and threatened an invasion of Mālwa by way of Dohad. He may have been either a Valabhi king or one of the Bhiṣmāl Gurjjaras, who, during the decline of the Valabhis, and with the help of their allies the Chavādās of Anahilavāḍa whose leader at this time was Yog Rāja (A.D. 806-841), may have extended their dominion as far south as the Mahī. As the Baroda plate (A.D. 812) makes no mention of Amoghavarsha-S'arvva while the Navsāri plate (A.D. 816) mentions him as the next king after Govinda III, it follows that Govinda III. died and Amoghavarsha succeeded between A.D. 812 and 816 (S'. 734 and 738). This supports Mr. Fleet's conclusion, on the authority of Amoghavarsha's Sirur inscription, that he came to the throne in S'aka 736 (A.D. 814). At first Amoghavarsha was unable to make head against the opposition of some of his relations and feudatories, supported, as noted above, by Karka's father Indra. He seems to have owed his

² Ind. Ant. XII, 160; unpublished Baroda grant. Srivallabha appears to mean Amoghavastha who is also called Lakshminivallabha in an inscription at Sirur in Dhārwar (Ind. Ant. XII, 215).

subsequent success to his cousin Karka whom an unpublished Surat grant and two later grants (S. 757 and S. 789, A.D. 835 and 867), describe as establishing Amoghavarsha in his own place after conquering by the strength of his arm arrogant tributary Rāshtrakūtas who becoming firmly allied to each other had occupied provinces according to their own will.

Karka's Baroda plates (S. 734, A.D. 812) record the grant of Baroda itself called Vaḍapadraka in the text. Baroda is easily identified by the mention of the surrounding villages of Jambuvā-vikā the modern Jambuvāda on the east, of Ankottaka the modern Akotā on the west, and of Vagghāchchha perhaps the modern Vaghodia on the north. The writer of the grant is mentioned as the great minister of peace and war Nemaḍitya son of Durgabhṭa, and the Dūtaka or grantor is said to be Rājaputra that is prince Dantivarman apparently a son of Karka. The grantee is a Brāhman originally of Valabhi.

Karka's Navsāri grant (S. 738, A.D. 816) is made from Khedā and records the gift of the village of Samīpadraka in the country lying between the Mahī and the Narbādā. The grantee is a South Indian Brāhman from Bādāmi in Bijāpur, a man of learning popularly known as Paṇḍita Vallābhārāja because he was proficient in the fourteen Vidyās. The Dūtaka of this grant is a South Indian *bhaṭṭa* or military officer named the illustrious Droṇamma.

Karka's Surat grant (S. 743, A.D. 821) is made from the royal camp on the bank of the Vankikā apparently the Vāṅkī creek near Balsār. It records the grant of a field in Ambāpātaka village near Nāgasārika (Navsāri) to a Jain temple at Nāgarikā (Navsāri). The writer of the grant is the minister of war and peace Nārāyana son of Durgabhṭa. As this is the first grant by a Gujarāt Rāshtrakūta of lands south of the Tāpti it may be inferred that in return for his support Amoghavarsha added to Karka's territory the portion of the North Konkan which now forms Gujarāt south of the Tāpti.

According to Karka's Baroda plate (S. 734, A.D. 812) Karka had a son named Dantivarman who is mentioned as the princely Dūtaka of the plate. The fact of being a Dūtaka implies that Dantivarman was then of age. That Dantivarman was a son of Karka is supported by Akālavarsha's Bagumrā plate (S. 810, A.D. 888), where, though the plate is badly composed and the grammar is faulty, certain useful details are given regarding Dantivarman who is clearly mentioned as the son of Karka. Karka had another son named Dhruva, who, according to three copperplates, succeeded to the throne. But as Dantivarman's son's grant is dated Śaka 810 or seventy-six years later than the Baroda plate some error seems to have crept into the genealogy of the plate. Neither Dantivarman nor Dhruva seems to have succeeded their father as according to Govinda's Kāvī grant (A.D. 827) their uncle Govinda succeeded his brother Karka. The explanation may be that Dantivarman died during his father's lifetime, and that some years later, after a great yearning for a son,¹ probably in Karka's old age, a second

Chapter XI.

THE
RASHTRAKÚTAS,
A.D. 743-974.

Karka I.
A.D. 812-821.

Dantivarman,
His Apparent.

¹ Several copperplates give Karka the epithet *Pitṛtyāntarjaya* Son-yearning.

Chapter XI.

THE

RĀSHTRAKŪṬAS,
A.D. 742-974.Govinda,
A.D. 827-835.

son Dhruva was born, during whose minority, after Karka's death, Govinda appears to have temporarily occupied the throne.

This Govinda, the brother and successor of Karka, was also called Prabhūtavarsha. One plate of Govinda's Kāvī grant is dated Śaka 749 (A.D. 827). It gives no details regarding Govinda. The grant is made from Broach and records the gift of a village¹ to a temple of the Sun called Jayāditya in Kotipur near Kāpikā that is Kāvī thirty miles north of Broach. The writer of the grant is Yogeśvara son of Avalokita and the Dātaka or grantor was one Bhaṭṭa Kumuda. As it contains no reference to Govinda's succession the plate favours the view that Govinda remained in power only during the minority of his nephew Dhruva.

Dhruva I.
A.D. 835-867.

This Dhruva, who is also called Nirupama and Dhāravarsha, is mentioned as ruler in a Baroda grant dated Śaka 757 (A.D. 835).² He therefore probably came to the throne either on attaining his majority in the lifetime of his uncle and predecessor Govinda or after Govinda's death. Dhruva's Baroda grant (S. 757, A.D. 835) is made from a place called Sarvvaṃgaṇā near Khedā and records the gift of a village to a Brāhman named Yoga³ of Badarasiḍhi apparently Borsad. The writer of the grant is mentioned as the minister of peace and war, Nārāyaṇa son of Durgabhaṭṭa, and the Dātaka or grantor is the illustrious Dovarāja. Dhruva seems to have abandoned his father's position of loyal feudatory to the main Rāshtrakūṭas. According to a copperplate dated Śaka 853 (A.D. 910) Vallabha that is Amoghavarsha, also called the illustrious great Skanda, sent an army and besieged and burned the Kanthikā that is the coast tract between Bombay and Cambay. In the course of this campaign, according to Dhruva II.'s Bagumrā grant (S. 789, A.D. 867),⁴ Dhruva died on the field of battle covered with wounds while routing the army of Vallabha or Amoghavarsha. This statement is supported by a Kanheri cave inscription which shows that Amoghavarsha was still alive in Śaka 799 (A.D. 877).

Akālavarsha,
A.D. 867.

Dhruva was succeeded by his son Akālavarsha also called Subhatuṅga. A verse in Dhruva II.'s Bagumrā grant (S. 789, A.D. 867) says that Akālavarsha established himself in the territory of his father, which, after Dhruva's death in battle, had been overrun by the army of Vallabha and had been distracted by evil-minded followers and dependants.⁵

Dhruva II.
A.D. 867.

Akālavarsha was succeeded by his son Dhruva II. also called Dhāravarsha and Nirupama. Of Dhruva II. two copperplates remain the published Bagumrā grant dated Śaka 789⁶ (A.D. 867) and an

¹ All village and boundary details have been identified by Dr. Bolder. Ind. Ant. V. 148.

² Ind. Ant. XIV. 199.

³ This dance is said to have been given the name of Jyotishika by the illustrious Govindarāja apparently the uncle and predecessor of the granting king.

⁴ Ind. Ant. XII. 179.

⁵ Ind. Ant. XII. 184. The verse may be translated 'By whom before long was occupied the province handed down from his father which had been overrun by the forces of Vallabha and distracted by numbers of evil-minded followers.'

⁶ Ind. Ant. XII. 179.

unpublished Baroda grant dated Śaka 793 (A.D. 871).¹ Both plates record that Dhruva crushed certain intrigues among his relatives or *bandhucarya*, and established himself firmly on the throne. Regarding the troubles at the beginning of his reign the Bagumrā plate states that on one side Vallabha the head of the Dakhan Rāshtrakūtas was still against him; on another side Dhruva had to face an army of Gurjjaras instigated by a member of his own family²; thirdly he was opposed by certain of his relatives or *bandhuvāh*; and lastly he had to contend against the intrigues of a younger brother or *anuja*. It further appears from Dhruva II's Bagumrā plate that he checked an inroad by a Mihira king with a powerful army. This Mihira king was probably a chief of the Kāthiavāda Mehra who on the downfall of the Valabhis spread their power across Gujārāt. In all these troubles the Bagumrā grant notes that Dhruva was aided by a younger brother named Govindarāja. This Govindarāja is mentioned as appointed by Dhruva the Dūtaka of the grant.

Dhruva II's Bagumrā (A.D. 867) grant was made at Bhriḡu-Kachchha or Broach after bathing in the Narbada. It records the gift to a Brāhman of the village of Pārāhanaka, probably the village of Palsāna³ twelve miles south-east of Bagumrā in the Balesar subdivision of the Gāikwār's territory of Surat and Navsāri. Dhruva's Baroda grant (A.D. 871) was also made at Broach. It is a grant to the god Kapāles'vara Mahādeva of the villages Konvalli and Nakkabhajja both mentioned as close to the south bank of the Mahī. The facts that the Bagumrā grant (A.D. 867) transfers a village so far south as Balesar near Navsāri and that four years later the Baroda grant (A.D. 871) mentions that Dhruva's territory lay between Broach and the Mahī seem to prove that between A.D. 867 and 871 the portion of Dhruva's kingdom south of Broach passed back into the hands of the main Rāshtrakūtas.

The next and last known Gujārāt Rāshtrakūta king is Akālavarsha-Krishṇa son of Dantivarman. A grant of this king has been found in Bagumrā dated Śaka 810 (A.D. 888).⁴ The composition of the grant is so bad and the genealogical verses after Karka are so confused that it seems unsafe to accept any of

Chapter XI.

THE
RĀSHTRAKŪTAS,
A.D. 742-976.

Dhruva II.
A.D. 867.

Akālavarsha-
Krishṇa,
A.D. 888.

¹ This plate was in Dr. Bhagvanlāl's possession. It is among the plates bequeathed to the British Museum. Dr. Bhandarkar (B. R. H. A. S. II. XVIII. 255) mentions another unpublished grant of S. 789 (A.D. 867) made by Dhruva's brother Dantivarman.

² These may be either the Gurjjaras between Mālwa and Gujārāt, or the Bhīmāl Gurjjaras north of the Mahī. It is also possible that they may be Chāvāḍas as in this passage the term Gurjjaras does not refer to the tribe but to the country. [There seems little reason to doubt the reference is to the Gurjjaras of Bhīmāl or Śrīmāl, probably acting through their underlords the Chāvāḍas of Anahilavāda whose king in A.D. 865 was the warlike Kāḥem Rāja (A.D. 841-866). Census and other recent information establish almost with certainty that the Chāvāḍas or Chāvotakas are of the Gurjjar race.]

³ The identification is not satisfactory. Except the Brāhman settlement of Mottaka, apparently the well known Motala Brāhman settlement of Motā, which is mentioned as situated on the west though it is on the north-east, none of the boundary villages can be identified in the neighbourhood of Palsāna. In spite of this the name Palsāna and its close vicinity to Bagumrā where the grant was found make this identification probable.

⁴ Ind. Ant. XIII. 65.

Chapter XL

THE
RĀSHTRAKŪṬAS,
A.D. 743-974.
Akālavarsha-
Krishna,
A.D. 888.

Main Line
Restored,
A.D. 888-974.

Krishna
Akālavarsha,
A.D. 888-974.

its details except its date which is clearly Śaka 810 (A.D. 888). It seems also improbable that the son of Dantivarman who flourished in Śaka 784 (A.D. 812) could be reigning in Śaka 810 (A.D. 888) seventy-six years later. Still the sixty-three years' reign of the contemporary Mānyakheta Rāshtrakūṭa Amoghavarsha (S. 736-799, A.D. 814-877) shows that this is not impossible.

The grant which is made from Anklesvar near Broach records the gift to two Brāhmins of the village of Kavithasādhi the modern Kosād four miles north-east of Surat, described as situated in the Variāvi (the modern Variāv two miles north of Surat) sub-division of 116 villages in the province of Konkan. The grant is said to have been written by the peace and war minister the illustrious Jajjaka son of Kalaka, the Dūtaka being the head officer (*mahallama-sarvādhipikāri*) the Brāhman Ollaiyaka.¹ This grant seems to imply the recovery by the local dynasty of some portion of the disputed area to the south of the Tāpti. This recovery must have been a passing success. After Śaka 810 (A.D. 888) nothing is known of the Gujarāt Rāshtrakūṭas. And the re-establishment of the power of the Rāshtrakūṭas of Mānyakheta of the main line in south Gujarāt in Śaka 836 (A.D. 914) is proved by two copperplates found in Navsāri which record the grant of villages near Navsāri, in what the text calls the Lāṭa country, by king Indra Nityamvarsha son of Jagattuṅga and grandson of Krishna Akālavarsha.²

That Amoghavarsha's long reign lasted till Śaka 799 (A.D. 877) is clear from the Kanheri cave inscription already referred to. His reign can hardly have lasted much longer; about Śaka 800 (A.D. 878) may be taken to be its end.

Amoghavarsha was succeeded by his son Krishna also called Akālavarsha, both his names being the same as those of the Gujarāt Rāshtrakūṭa king of the same time (A.D. 888).³ It has been noted above that, in consequence of the attempt of Karka's son Dhruva I. (A.D. 835-867) to establish his independence, Amoghavarsha's relations with the Gujarāt Rāshtrakūṭas became extremely hostile and probably continued hostile till his death (A.D. 877). That Amoghavarsha's son Krishna kept up the hostilities is shown by Indra's two Navsāri plates of Śaka 836 (A.D. 914) which mention his grandfather Krishna fighting with the roaring Gurjjara.⁴ Regarding this fight the late Rāshtrakūṭa Kardā plate (S. 891, A.D. 973) further says that Krishna's enemies frightened by his exploits abandoned Khetaka, that is Khedā, with its Maṇḍala and its forepart that is the surrounding country. Probably this roaring Gurjjara or king of Gujarāt, was a northern ally called in by some Rāshtrakūṭa of the

¹ Ind. Ant. XIII. 65-69.

² These were among Dr. Bhandarkar's copperplates, and seem to be the same as the two grants published by Dr. Bhandarkar in E. B. R. A. S. II. XVIII. 253.

³ See above page 127.

⁴ The text is : उद्यद्भीर्भारतलज्जटिलेव्याकुलमदग्धनुः । कुद्वेनोपरि वैरिवीर्यशिर-
सामेव विमुखाः सराः । भारसुरिणी सेन्द्रचापवलये यस्तेषु मन्द्याग्ने गज्ज्वर-
सेनरव्यतिकरं श्रीगोचनः संसति.

Gujarāt branch, perhaps by Krishna's namesake the donor of the A.D. 888 Bagumrā grant. The Dakhan Krishna seems to have triumphed over his Gujarāt namesake as henceforward South Gujarāt or Lāṭa was permanently included in the territory of the Dakhan Rāshtrakūṭas.¹

At this time (A.D. 910) a grant from Kapadvanj dated S. 832 (A.D. 910) and published in Ep. Ind. I. 52ff. states that a *mahā-simanta* or noble of Krishna Akālavarsha's named Prachanda, with his *daṇḍanāyaka* Chandragupta, was in charge of a sub-division of 750 villages in the Khedā district at Harshapura apparently Harsol near Parantij. The grant gives the name of Prachanda's family as Brāhma-vaka (?) and states that the family gained its fortune or Lakshmi by the prowess of the feet of Akālavarsha, showing that the members of the family drew their authority from Akālavarsha. The grant mentions four of Prachanda's ancestors, all of whom have non-Gujarāt Kānarese-looking names. Though not independent rulers Prachanda's ancestors seem to have been high Rāshtrakūṭa officers. The first is called Suddha-kkumbadi, the second his son Degadi, the third Degadi's son Rājahama, the fourth Rājahama's son Dhavalappa the father of Prachanda and Akkuka. The plate describes Rājahama as bringing back to his house its flying fortune as if he had regained lost authority. The plate describes Dhavalappa as killing the enemy in a moment and then giving to his lord the Maṇḍala or kingdom which the combined enemy, desirous of glory, had taken. This apparently refers to Akālavarsha's enemies abandoning Khetaka with its Maṇḍala as mentioned in the late Rāshtrakūṭa Karda plate (A.D. 973). Dhavalappa is probably Akālavarsha's general who fought and defeated the roaring Gurjjara, a success which may have led to Dhavalappa being placed in military charge of Gujarāt.² The Kapadvanj (A.D. 910) grant describes Dhavalappa's son Prachanda with the feudatory title 'Who has obtained the five great words.' Dr. Bhagvanlāl believed Prachanda to be a mere epithet of Akkuka, and took Chandragupta to be another name of the same person, but the published text gives the facts as above stated. The grantee is a Brāhman and the grant is of the village of Vyāghraśa, perhaps Vāgrā in Broach.³ The plate describes Akkuka as gaining glory fighting in the battle field. A rather unintelligible verse follows implying that at this time the Sella-Vidyādhara, apparently the North Konkan Silāhāras (who traced their lineage from the Vidyādhara) also helped Akālavarsha against his enemies,⁴ probably by driving them from South Gujarāt. The Silāhāra king at this time would be Jhanjha (A.D. 916).

¹ It will be noted that in Saka 830 (A.D. 914) Krishna's grandson Indra re-grants 400 resumed villages many of which were perhaps resumed at this time by Krishna.

² It follows that none of Dhavalappa's three ancestors had any connection with Gujarāt.

³ Dr. Hultsch (Ep. Ind. I. 52) identifies Vyāghraśa with Vaghās, north-east of Kapadvanj. Dr. Bhagvanlāl's account of the grant was based on an impression sent to him by the Māmlatdar of Kapadvanj.

⁴ The text is: सेह विषादरेणापि सेह (हेलो) जालित तपानि पाणिना निहत्वा सन्त्रमरो (रे) ययाताकुलमर्कतः. Dr. Hultsch takes the Sella-Vidyādhara here named to be another brother of Prachanda and Akkuka. The verse is corrupt.

Chapter XI.

THE
RĀSHTRAKŪṬAS,
A.D. 743-974.

Krishna
Akālavarsha,
A.D. 888-914.

Chapter XI.

THE
RĀSHTRAKŪṬAS,
A.D. 743-974.

Indra
Nityamvarsha,
A.D. 914.

Krishna or Akālavarsha had a son named Jagattuṅga who does not appear to have come to the throne. Other plates show that he went to Chedi the modern Bundelkhand and remained there during his father's lifetime. By Lakshmi the daughter of the king of Chedi, Jagattuṅga had a son named Indra also called Nityamvarsha Rattakandarpa. In both of Indra's Navsāri copperplates (A.D. 914) Indra is mentioned as *Pāḍmābhayāta*, Falling at the feet of, that is successor of, not his father but his grandfather Akālavarsha.¹ One historical attribute of Indra in both the plates is that "he uprooted in a moment the Mehr,"² apparently referring to some contemporary Mehr king of North Kāthiāvāda. Both the Navsāri plates of Saka 836 (A.D. 914) note that the grants were made under peculiar conditions. The plates say that the donor Indra Nityamvarsha, with his capital at Mānyakheta, had come to a place named Kurundaka for the *puṭṭabandha* or investiture festival. It is curious that though Mānyakheta is mentioned as the capital the king is described as having come to Kurundaka for the investiture. Kurundaka was apparently not a large town as the plates mention that it was given in grant.³ At his investiture Indra made great gifts. He weighed himself against gold or silver, and before leaving the scales he gave away Kurundaka and other places, twenty and a half lākhs of dramma coins, and 400 villages previously granted but taken back by intervening kings. These details have an air of exaggeration. At the same time gifts of coins by *lākhs* are not improbable by so mighty a king as Indra and as to the villages the bulk of them had already been alienated. The fact of lavish grants is supported by the finding of these two plates of the same date recording grants of two different villages made on the same occasion, the language being the same, and also by a verse in the late Rāshtrakūṭa Kardā plate (S. 894, A.D. 972) where Indra is described as making numerous grants on copperplates and building many temples of Śiva.⁴ The date of Indra's grants (S. 836, A.D. 914) is the date of his investiture and accession. This is probable as the latest known date of his grandfather Krishna is Saka 833⁵ (A.D. 911) and we know that Indra's father Jagattuṅga did not reign.⁶ Umvarā and Tenna, the villages granted in the two investiture plates, are described as situated near Kammanijja the modern Kāmlej in the Lāṭa province. They are probably the modern villages of Umra near Sāyan four miles west of Kāmlej, and of Tenna immediately to the west of Bārdoli, which last is mentioned under the form Vāradapallikā as the eastern boundary village. Dhruva II's Bagumra plate (S. 789, A.D. 867) mentions Tenna as granted

¹ The Kharegān grant makes this clear by passing over Indra's father Jagattuṅga in the genealogy and entering Indra as the grandson and successor of Akālavarsha. Jour. B. R. R. A. Soc. I, 217.

² The text has *Helonmūlitasarund* to chime with the poetical allusion and figure about Indra. By Meer no doubt Meer or Mehr is meant.

³ Kurundaka may be the village of Korund in the Thāna silla seven miles north-east of Balwād. It was a village given away in grant and cannot therefore be any large town. [Kurundvid at the holy meeting of the Krishna and Pañcaganga in the Southern Maratha Country close to Naroba's Vādi seems a more likely place for an investiture.]

⁴ J. R. A. S. III, 94.

⁵ Ind. Ant. XI, 109.

⁶ See above.

by Dhruva I. to a Brāhman named Dhoddi the father of the Nennapa who is the grantee of Dhruva II's A.D. 867 Bagumrā grant, whose son Siddhabhatta is the grantee of Indra's A.D. 914 grant.¹ The re-granting of so many villages points to the re-establishment of the main Rāshtrakūṭa power and the disappearance of the Gujarāt branch of the Rāshtrakūṭas.²

Though no materials remain for fixing how long after A.D. 914 Gujarāt belonged to the Mānyakheta Rāshtrakūṭas, they probably continued to hold it till their destruction in Śaka 894 (A.D. 972) by the Western Chālukya king Tailappa. This is the more likely as inscriptions show that till then the neighbours of Gujarāt, the North Konkan Śilāhāras, acknowledged Rāshtrakūṭa supremacy.

It is therefore probable that Gujarāt passed to the conquering Tailappa as part of the Rāshtrakūṭa kingdom. Further, as noted below in Part II. Chapter II., it seems reasonable to suppose that about Śaka 900 (A.D. 978) Tailappa entrusted Gujarāt to his general Bārappa or Dvārappa, who fought with the Solanki Mālarāja of Anahilavāḍa (A.D. 961-997).

[The text does not carry the question of the origin of the Rāshtrakūṭas beyond the point that, about the middle of the fifth century A.D., two tribes bearing the closely associated names Rāthodj and Raṭṭa, the leaders of both of which are known in Sanskrit as Rāshtrakūṭas, appeared the first in Upper India the second in the Bombay Karnāṭak, and that the traditions of both tribes seem to show they were either southerners or foreigners Brahmanised and included under the all-embracing term Rajput. The Sanskrit form Rāshtrakūṭa may mean either leaders of the Rāshtra tribe or heads of the territorial division named *rāshtra*. The closely related forms Rāshtrapati and Grāmakūṭa occur (above page 82) in Valabhi inscriptions. And Mr. Fleet (*Kinnesee Dynasties*, 32) notices that Rāshtrakūṭa is used in the inscriptions of many dynasties as a title equivalent to Rāshtrapati. Such a title might readily become a family name like that of the Sibi Jāta of the Panjab or the Marathi surnames Patel, Nadkarni, and Desai. It may be noted that one of the Mārwār traditions (*Rajputāna Gazetteer*, III, 246) connects the word Rāthodj with Rāshtra country making the original form Rāshtravara or World-blessing and referring to an early tribal guardian Rāshtrasyena or the World-Falcon. It is therefore possible that the origin of both forms of the name, of Rāthodj as well as of Rāshtrakūṭa, is the title ruler of a district. At the same time in the case of the southern Rāshtrakūṭas the balance of evidence is in support of a tribal origin of the name. The Raṭṭas of Saundatti in Belgaum, apparently with justice, claim descent from the former Rāshtrakūṭa rulers (Belgaum Gazetteer, 355). Further that the Rāshtrakūṭas considered themselves to belong to the Raṭṭa tribe is shown by Indra Nityamvarsha (A.D. 914)

Chapter XI.

THE
RĀSHTRAKŪṬAS.
A.D. 743-974.

Indra
Nityamvarsha,
A.D. 914.

¹ Though the name of the *gatra* Lakshmanant and Lakshmanant differs slightly in the two grants, the identity of the name Nennapa the son of Dhoddi and the father of Siddhabhatta the A.D. 914 grantee, suggests that the original grant of the village of Tenna by Dhruva I. (A.D. 793) had been cancelled in the interval and in A.D. 914 was renewed by king Indra Nityamvarsha. (Dr. Bhandarkar reads the name in Indra's Naveśi grant (A.D. 914) as Veonapa.)

² That in A.D. 915 the Dakṣiṇ Rāshtrakūṭas held Gujarāt as far north as Cambay is supported by the Arab traveller Al Masūdī who (*Prairies d'Or*, I. 253-254) speaks of Cambay, when he visited it, as a flourishing town ruled by Banā the deputy of the Bahārī lord of Mankit. The country along the gulf of Cambay was a succession of gardens villages fields and woods with date-palm and other groves alive with peacocks and parrots.

Chapter XI.

THE
RĀSHTRAKŪṬAS.
A.D. 743-974.

calling himself Rāṣṭrakūṭa the Love of the Rāṣṭra. The result is thus in agreement with the view accepted in the text that Rāshtrakūṭa means leaders of the Rāṣṭra tribe, the form Rāshṭra being perhaps chosen because the leaders held the position of Rāshtrakūṭas or District Headmen. According to Dr. Bhandarkar (Deccan History, 9) the tribal name Rāṣṭra or Rāshṭra enters into the still more famous Dakhan tribal name Mahārāṣṭra or Mahrāṣṭra. So far as present information goes both the Rāṣṭras and the Great Rāṣṭras are to be traced to the Rāshṭikas mentioned in number five of Asoka's (A.C. 245) Girdār edicts among the Aparantas or westerners along with the Pateṇikas or people of Pāṭhan about forty miles north-east of Ahmadnagar (Kolhapur Gazetteer, 82). Whether the Rāshṭika of the edicts is like Pateṇika a purely local name and if so why a portion of the north Dakhan should be specially known as the country or Rāshṭra may points that must remain open.¹

The explanation that Kūṭa the second half of Rāshtrakūṭa, means chief, has been accepted in the text. This is probably correct. At the same time the rival theory deserves notice that the name Rāshtrakūṭa is formed from two tribal names Kūṭa representing the early widespread tribe allied to the Gonds known as Kōṭas and Kōṭs in the Central Provinces North Konkan and Delhi (Thana Gazetteer, XII, Part II, 414). In support of this view it may be noticed that Abhimanu's fifth century Rāshtrakūṭa inscription (J. B. B. A. Az. XVI, 92) refers to the Kōṭas though as enemies not allies of the Rāshtrakūṭas. At the same time certain details in Abhimanu's grant favour an early Rāshtrakūṭa settlement in the Central Provinces, the probable head-quarters of the Kōṭas. The grant is dated from Mānapura and is made to Dakṣiṇa Siva of Pūṭhapaṅgarāṇa which may be the Great Siva shrine in the Mahādev hills in Hoshangābad, as this shrine is under the management of a petty chief of a place called Pagra, and as Mānpur in the Vindhya hills is not far off. Against the tribal origin of the word Kūṭa is to be set the fact that the northern Rāṣṭras are also called Rāshtrakūṭas though any connection between them and the Kōṭa tribe seems unlikely.

The question remains were the southern Rāṣṭras or Rāshtrakūṭas connected with the northern Rāshṭras or Rāshtrakūṭas. If so what was the nature of the connection and to what date does it belong. The fact that, while the later southern Rāshtrakūṭas

¹ It seems doubtful whether the Kāṁroze Rāṣṭras the Belgūda Rāṣṭras and the Tehagi Rāṣṭras could have been Rāshṭikas or heads in the north Dakhan. The widespread Rāshṭras trace their origin (Baltour's Encyclopaedia of India, III, 299) to Rājaramdri about thirty miles from the mouth of the Godavari. A tradition of a northern origin remains among some of the Rāṣṭras. The Timorely Rāṣṭra (Madras J. Lit. and Science, 1867-68, page 138 note 94) call themselves Aṭṭi Rāṣṭra and assert that Aṭṭi is the native country of their tribe. The late Sir George Campbell (J. B. B. A. Soc. XXXV, Part II, 120) has recorded the notable fact that the Aṭṭi handsome Rāṣṭras of the north of the Kāṁroze country are like the Jāṭas. With this personal resemblance may be compared the Rāṣṭras' curious form of polyandry (Baltour's Encyclopaedia, III, 299) in accordance with which the wife of the child-married bears children to the adult males of the family, a practice which received theories from Mr. Kitchener in Indian Ant. VII, 89 and Dr. Muir in Indica VI, 232 would associate with the northern or Skythian conquerors of Upper India during the early centuries of the Christian era. In support of a northern Rāṣṭra element later than Asoka's Rāshṭras the following points may be noted. That the Khaharāṇa or Khaharāṇa tribe to which the great northern conqueror Nāṣapāra (A.D. 100) belonged should disappear from the Dakhan seems unlikely. Karāṭaka the Mahāśāharaṇa name (A. B. S. X, 47, quoted in Wilson's Works VI, 176 for Karāṭ on the Krishna suggests that Nāṣapāra's conquest included Kāṭara and that the name of the holy place on the Krishna was altered to give it a resemblance to the name of the conqueror's tribe. That, perhaps after their overthrow by Guṭtamiputra-Vāḥarai (A.D. 100), the Khaharāṇas may have established a local centre at Kurundad at the mouth of the Krishna and the Paṇḍhāṇḍ may be the explanation why in A.D. 314, centuries after Mānyakhata or Mākhata had become their capital, the Rāshtrakūṭa Indras should proceed for investiture to Kurundad, which, though this is doubtful, may be Kurundad. The parallel case of the Khaharāṇas associates the Pāṭhas, who passed across the southern Dakhan and by intermarriage gave to the Pāṭhas assumed the characteristics of a northern tribe, give a probability to the existence of a northern Khaharāṇa or Rāṣṭra element in the southern Rāshtrakūṭas and Rāṣṭras which the facts at present available would not otherwise justify.

call themselves Yādavas of the Lunar race, the northerners claim descent either from Kufa the son of Rāma or from Hiranyakaśipu would seem to prove no connection did not Abhinanyu's fifth century grant show that in his time the southern Rāshtrakūṭas had not begun to claim Yādava descent. That the Marwār Rathodja trace their name to the *rāṭh* or spine of Indra (Tod's Annals, II, 2), and in a closely similar fashion the Rāth or Rāṭh Jāta of the Sutlej (Hibbert's 1881 Census, page 230) explain their name as strongbanded, and the Rāṭas of Bijāpur (Bijāpur Stat. Account, 145) trace their name to the Kānarese *rappa* right arm, may imply no closer connection than the common attempt to find a meaning for the name Rāṭa in a suitable word of similar sound. A legend preserved in the Rajputana Gazetteer (III, 346), but not noted by Tod, tells how Sevji, after (A.D. 1133) the Muslims drove his father Jaichand out of Kannauj (Tod's Annals, I, 88) took Khergar from the Gekhis and went to the Karnāṭak where the Rathodja had ruled before they came to Kannauj. From the Karnāṭak Sevji brought the image of the Rathodja Rāshtrayena, which is now in the temple of Nāgāna in Morāḍ. The account quoted in the text from Tod (Annals, I, 43) that the Rathodja who rose to power in Marwār in the thirteenth century belonged to a royal family who had held Kannauj since the fifth century has not stood the test of recent inquiry. It is now known that about A.D. 470 Kannauj was in the hands of the Guptas. That about A.D. 600, according to the contemporary Śrīharsacharita it was ruled by the Maukhari Grahavarman who was put to death by a Mālava chief and was succeeded by Harsha. About A.D. 750, according to the Rājatarāngini, Kannauj was held by Yaśovarman, and, in the next century, as inscriptions prove by the family of Bhoja. It was not till about A.D. 1030 that Kannauj was occupied by the Gāhāvalas or Gāhāvala family from whom the Rathodja of Marwār claim descent.¹ If the legendary connection of the Marwār Rathodja with Kannauj must be dismissed can the Marwār Rathodja be a branch of the southern Rāshtrakūṭas who like the Marāṭhis some 500 years later spread conquering northwards? Such a northern settlement of the southern Rāshtrakūṭas might be a consequence of the victories of the great Rāshtrakūṭa Dhruva who according to received opinions about A.D. 780 conquered as far north as Allahābad. It is beyond question that southerners or Karnāṭas were settled in North India between the seventh and the eleventh centuries. Still the latest information makes it improbable that Dhruva's conquests extended further north than Gujārāt. Nor has any special connection been traced between the southern Rāshtrakūṭas and the middle-age settlements of southerners or Karnāṭas in North India.² Must therefore the North Indian tribe of Rathodja be admitted to have its origin

¹ The eleventh century Kannauj Ghahavilas are now represented by the Bundelas who about A.D. 1200 overthrew the Chandelas in Bundelkhand. These Gāhāvalas or Bundelas trace their origin to Devas or Kāl and may, as Harle suggests, have been related to the Pālas of that city who several times intermarried with the Dakṣiṇ Rāshtrakūṭas. The Gāhāvalas seem to have nothing to do with the Gāhāvala of Gāhāval (Gāhāval) in the Himālayas.—(A. M. T. I.)

² The Vatsaraja defeated by Dhruva who has hitherto been identified with the Yasa King of Kosambi is more likely to prove to be a Rāshtrakūṭa of the Gurjara of Bhinmal or Somnāt in north Gujārāt. Among references to southern settlements in North India between A.D. 600 and 1000 may be noted the tradition (Wilson's Indian Cost, II, 144) of a Desasthi strain in the Kashmiri Brahmins and in the eleventh century also in Kashmir (Rajatarāngini, VI, 237) the presence of a Vatsaraja and in the eleventh century also in the early Rastarajana of Palhan near Almadnagar. Other instances which might seem more directly connected with the southern Rāshtrakūṭas (A.D. 800-870) are the six Kāśāṭaka rulers of Nepal beginning with A.D. 830 (Ind. Ant. VII, 81) and the natives of Kāśāṭaka's in Mahmūd Ghaznavi's army (A.D. 1000-1010) who (Chach's Annals, I, 173-174; II, 167) used the Kāśāṭaka alphabet. The presence of Kāśāṭaka rulers in Nepal in the ninth and tenth centuries remains a puzzle. But the use of the term Kāśāṭaka for Chalukya of Kalyāṇ in A.D. 1000 (Ep. Ind. I, 210) suggests that the Nepal chieftains were Chalukyas rather than Rāshtrakūṭas; while Mahmūd Ghaznavi's Kāśāṭaka may naturally be traced to the masonry remains of Dhruva's army of Kalyāṇ Chalukyas whose general Dhruva was slain (Bhav. Mat. I, 81) and his followers dispersed in north Gujārāt by Māla Raja Mahād at the close of the tenth century. The only recorded connection of the southern Rāshtrakūṭas with Northern India during the middle ages (A.D. 780-1180) are their intermarriages

Chapter XI.

THE
RĀSTRAKŪṬAS,
A.D. 743-974.

as late as the twelfth century, and further is the North Indian name Rāthor] not tribal but derived from the title head of a district. Several considerations make both of these solutions unlikely if not impossible. First there is the remarkably widespread existence of the name Rāthor, Ratha, or Ratti, and endless variations of these names, in almost all parts of the Panjāb, among all castes from the Brāhman to the Baluch, among all religious Musalman, Sikh, Jain, and Brāhmanic.¹ No doubt the practice of a waning tribe adopting the name of a waning tribe has always been common. No doubt also the fame of the name during the last 600 years must have tempted other classes to style themselves Rāthor]. Still it is to be noted: first that (Ibbetson, page 240) the Rāthors of the Panjāb though widespread are not numerous; and second that the list of sub-caste names has this merit that with a few exceptions the holders of the sub name are not known by it but by some general or craft name. The evidence of these sub-caste or tribal names seems therefore to support the view that some very large section of the Panjāb population represent an important tribe or nation of whom the least mixed remnant are perhaps the Rāthi or lower class Rājputs of Kangra and Chamla (Ibbetson, pages 239 and 251) and from some connection with whom the Mārwar Rāthors of the thirteenth century may have taken their name. Among other traces of northern Rāstras in the middle ages may be mentioned the twelfth and thirteenth century Rāstrakūṭas of Balaua in the North-West Provinces (Kielhorn in *Epigraphia Indica*, I. 61 and 63) and (A.D. 1150) in the Kumārapāla-Charitra (Tod's *Western India*, 182) the mention of Rāstrā-dela near the Sawalak hills. Among earlier and more doubtful references are the Aratriel whom probably correctly (since at that time A.D. 247 one main Roman trade route to Central Asia passed up the Indus) the author of the *Periplus* (McCrindle, 120) places between Abhira or lower Sindh and Arachala or south-east Afghanistan that is in north Sindh or south Panjāb. Another earlier and still more doubtful reference is Pliny's (A.D. 77) *Oratorum* (*Hist. Nat.* VI, 23) whom Vivien de St. Martin (*Geog. Grecque et Latine de l'Inde*, 293) identifies with the Rāthor]. The fact that while claiming descent from Rama the Mārwar Rāthor] (Tod's *Annals*, II, 2 and 5) preserved the legend that their founder was Yaramadwa from the northern city of Parahipar supports the view that the tribe to which they belonged was of non-Indian or Central Asian origin, and that this is the tribe of whom traces remain in the Rāthi Rājputs of the Kangra hill country and less purely in the widely spread Rāta, Ratias, and Rattis of the Panjāb plains. The examples among Panjāb caste names Kora for Arora (Ibbetson's 1851 Census, page 297). Heri for Ahir (*Ibid.*, 230-273), and Heri for Aheri (*Ibid.*, 310) suggest that the Panjāb Rāthors or Rattas may be the ancient Arattas whom the Mahābhārata (Chap. VII Verse 44, J. Bl. Soc. VI. Pt. I. 337 and Vivien de St. Martin *Geog. Grecque et Latine de l'Inde*, 149) ranks with Prasthalas, Madras, and Gandhāras, Panjāb and frontier tribes, whose identification with the Bāhikas (Karnaparvan, 2063ff.) raises the probability of a common Central Asian origin. Remembering that the evidence (Kshatrapa Chapter, pages 22 and 33) favours the view that the Kshatrapa family who ruled the Panjāb between B.C. 70 and A.D. 78 were of the same tribe as Nahāpana, and also that Shāhi is so favourite a prefix in Samudra Gupta's (A.D. 380) list of Kushān tribes, the suggestion may be offered that Kshaharāta is the earlier form of Shāharatta and is the tribe of foreigners afterwards known in the Panjāb as Arattas and of which traces survive in the present widespread tribal names Rata, Ratta, Ratia, and Rathor.]

with the Patas of Benares (A.D. 850-1000) mentioned above (Page 132 Note I), and, between A.D. 800 and 860, with the Kalachuris of Tripura near Jabalpur (Cunningham's *Arch. Survey Report* for 1861, IX. 60).

¹ The details compiled from the excellent index and tables in the Panjāb Census yield the following leading groups: 37 *Technomata*-named Rathor, Rattor, and other close variants; 33 Ratt and Ratta and 2 Ratias; 30 Ratas, Ratta, or other close variants. Compare Rāthi the name of the people of Mount Abu (Rajputana Gazetteer, III, 156) and the Ratt tract in the north-west of Ahar (*Ibid.*, 197).

CHAPTER XII.

THE MIHIRAS OR MERS.

A.D. 470-900.

THAT the Guptas held sway in Kāthiāvāda till the time of Skandagupta (A.D. 454-470) is proved by the fact that his Sorath Viceroy is mentioned in Skandagupta's inscription on the Girnār rock. After Skandagupta under the next known Gupta king Budhagupta (Gupta 165-180, A.D. 484-499) no trace remains of Gupta sovereignty in Sorath. It is known that Budhagupta was a weak king and that the Gupta kingdom had already entered on its decline and lost its outlying provinces. Who held Surāshtra and Gujarāt during the period of Gupta decline until the arrival and settlement of Bhatkārka in A.D. 514 (Gupta 195) is not determined. Still there is reason to believe that during or shortly after the time of Budhagupta some other race or dynasty overthrew the Gupta Viceroy of these provinces and took them from the Guptas. These powerful conquerors seem to be the tribe of Maitrakas mentioned in Valabhi copperplates as people who had settled in Kāthiāvāda and established a *maṇḍala* or kingdom. Though these Maitrakas are mentioned in no other records from Surāshtra there seems reason to identify the Maitrakas with the Mihiras the well-known tribe of Mhers or Mers. In Sanskrit both *mitra* and *mihira* are names of the sun, and it would be quite in agreement with the practise of Sanskrit writers to use derivatives of the one for those of the other. These Mhers or Mers are still found in Kāthiāvāda settled round the Barda hills while the Porbandar chiefs who are known as Jethvās are recognized as the head of the tribe. The name Jethvā is not a tribal but a family name, being taken from the proper or personal name of the ancestor of the modern chiefs. As the Porbandar chiefs are called the kings of the Mhers they probably belong to the same tribe, though, being chiefs, they try, like other ruling families, to rank higher than their tribe tracing their origin from Hanumān. Though the Jethvās appear to have been long ashamed to acknowledge themselves to belong to the Mher tribe the founders of minor Mher kingdoms called themselves Mher kings. The Porbandar chiefs have a tradition tracing their dynasty to Makaradhvaja son of Hanumān, and there are some Purāṇik legends attached to the tradition. The historical kernel of the tradition appears to be that the Mhers or Jethvās had a *makara* or fish as their flag or symbol. One of the mythical stories of Makaradhvaja is that he fought with Mayūradhvaja. Whatever coating of fable may have overlaid the story, it contains a grain of history. Mayūradhvaja stands for the Guptas whose chief symbol was a peacock *mayūra*, and with them Makaradhvaja that is the people with the fish-symbol that is

Chapter XII.

THE MERS,
A.D. 470-900.

Chapter XII.

THE MHERS,
A.D. 470-1000.

the Mhers had a fight. This fight is probably the historical contest in which the Mhers fought with and overthrew the Gupta Viceroy of Kāthiāvāḍa.

The Kāthiāvāḍa Mhers are a peculiar tribe whose language dress and appearance mark them as foreign settlers from Upper India. Like the Mālavas, Jāts, Gurjaras, and Pahlavas, the Mhers seem to have passed through the Panjāb Sindh and North Gujarāt into Kāthiāvāḍa leaving settlements at Ajmīr, Bādmer, Jessalmīr, Kokalmīr, and Mhervāḍa. How and when the Mhers made these settlements and entered Kāthiāvāḍa is not known. It may be surmised that they came with Toramāṇa (A.D. 470-512) who overthrew the Guptas, and advanced far to the south and west in the train of some general of Toramāṇa's who may perhaps have entered Surāshtra. This is probable as the date of Toramāṇa who overthrew Budhagupta is almost the same as that of the Maitrakas mentioned as the opponents and enemies of Bhatārka. In the time of Bhatārka (A.D. 500-520 ?) the Mhers were firmly established in the peninsula, otherwise they would not be mentioned in the Valabhi grants as enemies of Bhatārka, a tribe or *maṇḍala* wielding incomparable power. As stated above in Chapter VIII. some time after the Mher settlement and consolidation of power, Bhatārka seems to have come as general of the fallen Guptas through Mālwa and Broach by sea to East Kāthiāvāḍa. He established himself at Valabhi and then gradually dislodged the Mhers from Sorath until they retired slightly to the north settling eventually at Morbi, which the Jethvās still recognize as the earliest seat of their ancestors. At Morbi they appear to have ruled contemporarily with the Valabhis. In support of this it is to be noted that no known Valabhi plate records any grant of lands or villages in Hālār, Machbukāntha, or Okhāmāṇḍal in North Kāthiāvāḍa. As the north-most place mentioned in Valabhi plates is Venuthali known as Wania's Vanthali in Hālār it may be inferred that not the Valabhis but the Mhers ruled the north coast of Kāthiāvāḍa, probably as feudatories or subordinates of the Valabhis. On the overthrow of Valabhi about A.D. 770 the Mhers appear to have seized the kingdom and ruled the whole of Kāthiāvāḍa dividing it into separate chiefships grouped under the two main divisions of Bardāi and Gohelvāḍia. About A.D. 860 the Mhers made incursions into Central Gujarāt. A copperplate dated Saka 789 (A.D. 847) of the Gujarāt Rāshtrakūṭa king Dhruva describes him as attacked by a powerful Mihira king whom he defeated.¹ At the height of their power the Mhers seem to have established their capital at the fort of Bhumli or Ghumli in the Bardā hills in the centre of Kāthiāvāḍa. The traditions about Ghumli rest mainly on modern Jethvā legends of no historical interest. The only known epigraphical record is a copperplate of a king named Jāchikadeva found in the Morbi district.² Unfortunately only the second plate remains. Still the fish mark on the plate, the locality where it was found, and its date

¹ Ind. Ant. XII, 179.² Ind. Ant. II, 237.

leaves little doubt that the plate belongs to the Makaradhvaja or Jethva kings. The date of the grant is 585 Gupta era the 5th Pūṣṭyā Sudi that is A.D. 904, about 130 years after the destruction of Valabhi, a date with which the form of the letters agrees.

A similar copperplate in which the king's name appears in the slightly different form Jāikadeva has been found at Dhinli in the same neighbourhood as the first and like it bearing the fish mark.¹ This copperplate describes the king as ruling at Bhūmlikā or Bhūmli in Sorath and gives him the high titles of Parama-bhattāraka-Mahārājādhirāja-Parameśvara, that is Great Lord Great King of Kings Great King, titles which imply wide extent and independence of rule. The grant purports to be made on the occasion of a solar eclipse on Sunday Vikrama Samvat 794 Jyeshtha constellation, the no-moon of the second half of Kārttika. This would be A.D. 738 or 166 years before the Jāchika of the Morbi plate. Against this it is to be noted that the letters of this plate, instead of appearing as old as eighth century letters, look later than the letters of the tenth century Morbi plate. As neither the day of the week, the constellation, nor the eclipse work out correctly Dr. Bhagvānlāl believed the plate to be a forgery of the eleventh century, executed by some one who had seen a fish-marked copperplate of Jāchika dated in the Saka era. It should however be noted that the names of ministers and officers which the plate contains give it an air of genuineness. Whether the plate is or is not genuine, it is probably true that Jāikadev was a great independent sovereign ruling at Bhūmli. Though the names of the other kings of the dynasty, the duration of the Bhūmli kingdom, and the details of its history are unknown it may be noted that the dynasty is still represented by the Porbandar chiefs. Though at present Bhūmli is deserted several ruined temples of about the eleventh century stand on its site. It is true no old inscriptions have been found; it is not less true that no careful search has been made about Bhūmli.

Early in the tenth century a wave of invasion from Sindh seems to have spread over Kacch and Kāthiāvāda. Among the invading tribes were the Jādejās of Kacch and the Chūḍāsamās of Sorath, who like the Bhattia of Jesalmir call themselves of the Yaduvansha stock. Doctor Bhagvānlāl held that the Chūḍāsamās were originally of the Abhīra tribe, as their traditions attest connexion with the Abhīras and as the description of Graharipa one of their kings by Hemachandra in his Dryāśraya points to his being of some local tribe and not of any ancient Rājput lineage. Further in their bardic traditions as well as in popular stories the Chūḍāsamās are still commonly called Ahira-rānās. The position of Aberia in Ptolemy (A.D. 150) seems to show that in the second century the Ahirs were settled between Sindh and the Panjāb. Similarly it may be suggested that Jādejā is a corruption of Jaudhejā which

Chapter XII.

THE MERS,
A.D. 170-900.

¹ Ind. Ant. XII. 151.

Chapter XII.

THE HEMAS,
A.D. 470-900.

in turn comes from Yaudheyas (the change of *y* to *j* being very common) who in Kshatrapa Inscriptions appear as close neighbours of the Ahirs. After the fall of the Valabhis (A.D. 775) the Yaudheyas seem to have established themselves in Kacch and the Ahirs settled and made conquests in Kāthiāváda. On the decline of local rule brought about by these incursions and by the establishment of an Ahir or Chūḍāsamaṁ kingdom at Junāgaḍh, the Jethvās seem to have abandoned Bhūmli which is close to Junāgaḍh and gone to Scrinagar or Kāptelua near Porbandar which is considered to have been the seat of Jethvā power before Porbandar.

A copperplate found at Haddālā on the road from Dholka to Dhandhuka dated A.D. 917 (Śaka 839) shows that there reigned at Vadhvān a king named Dharagīvarāha of the Chāpa dynasty,¹ who granted a village to one Mahesvarāchārya, an apostle of the Amardāka Śākhā of Saivism. Dharagīvarāha and his ancestors are described as feudatory kings, ruling by the grace of the feet of the great king of kings the great lord the illustrious Mahīpāla-deva. This Mahīpāla would seem to be some great king of Kāthiāváda reigning in A.D. 917 over the greater part of the province. Dr. Bhagvānlāl had two coins of this king of about that time, one a copper coin the other a silver coin. The coins were found near Junāgaḍh. The copper coin, about ten grains in weight, has one side obliterated but the other side shows clearly the words Rānā Śrī Mahīpāla Deva. The silver coin, about fourteen grains in weight, has on the obverse a well-executed elephant and on the reverse the legend Rānā Śrī Mahīpāla Deva. From the locality where the name Mahīpāla appears both in coins and inscriptions, and from the fact that the more reliable Chūḍāsamaṁ lists contain similar names, it may be assumed as probable that Mahīpāla was a powerful Chūḍāsamaṁ ruler of Kāthiāváda in the early part of the tenth century.

After the fall of Valabhi no other reliable record remains of any dynasty ruling over the greater part of Gujārāt. The most trustworthy and historical information is in connection with the Chāvādās of Anahilapura. Even for the Chāvādās nothing is available but scant references recorded by Jain authors in their histories of the Solankis and Vaghelās.

[The modern traditions of the Chūḍāsamaṁ clan trace their origin to the Yādava race and more immediately to the Sarama tribe of Nagar Thatha in Sindh.² The name of the family is said to have been derived from Chūḍāchandra the first ruler of Vantali

¹ The inscription calls Chāpa the founder of the dynasty. The name is obl. A king Vyāghrarāja of the Chāpa-Yama is mentioned by the astronomer Brahmagupta as reigning in Śaka 460 (A.D. 625) when he wrote his book called *Brahma-Sphuṭa Siddhānta*. The entry runs "In the reign of Śrī Vyāghramukha of the Śrī Chāpa dynasty, five hundred and fifty years after the Śaka king having elapsed." *Journ. B. R. I. A. Soc.* VIII. 27. For Dharagīvara's grant see *Ind. Ant.* XII. 190ff.

² Elliot's History, I. 266.

(Kāthiāwār Gazetteer, 489). Traces of a different tradition are to be found in the *Tuhfat-ul-Kirām* (Elliot, I. 337) which gives a list of Chūdāsammā's ancestors from Nuh (Noah), including not only Krishna the Yādava but also Rāma of the solar line. In this pedigree the Musalmān element is later than the others: but the attempt to combine the solar and lunar lines is a sure sign that the Samma clan was not of Hindu origin, and that it came under Hindu influence fairly late though before Sindh became a Musalmān province. This being admitted it follows that the Sammas were one of the numerous tribes that entered India during the existence of the Turkish empire in Transoxiana (A.D. 560 - c. 750). In this connection it is noteworthy that some of the Jāms bore such Turkish names as Tamāchi, Tughlik, and Sanjār.

The migration of the Sammas to Kacch is ascribed by the *Tarikh-i-Tahiri* (A.D. 1621) to the tyranny of the Sūmra chiefs. The Sammas found Kacch in the possession of the Chāwara, who treated them kindly, and whom they requited by seizing the fort of Gūntrī by a stratagem similar to that which brought about the fall of Gūrnār.

The date of the Chūdāsammā settlement at Vanthall is usually fixed on traditional evidence, at about A.D. 875, but there is reason to think that this date is rather too early. In the first place it is worthy of notice that Chūdāchandra, the traditional eponym of the family, is in the *Tuhfat-ul-Kirām* made a son of Jādām (Yādava) and only a great-grandson of Krishna himself, a fact which suggests that, if not entirely mythical, he was at all events a very distant ancestor of Mūlārāja's opponent Grahāri, and was not an actual ruler of Vanthall. As regards Grahāri's father Viśnavarāha and his grandfather Mūlārāja, there is no reason to doubt that they were real persons, although it is very questionable whether the Chūdāsammās were settled in Kāthiāvāḍa in their time. In the first place, the Morbi grant of Jāikadava shows that the Jethvās had not been driven southwards before A.D. 907. Secondly Dharanivarāha's Vadhrān grant proves that the Chāpa family of Bhūmāl were still supreme in Kāthiāvāḍa in A.D. 914: whereas the *Tarikh-i-Tahiri*'s account of the Chūdāsammā conquest of Kacch implies that the Chāwara, who must be identified with the Chāpas of Bhūmāl, were losing their power when the Chūdāsammās captured Gūntrī, an event which must have preceded the settlement at Vanthall in Kāthiāvāḍa. Beyond the fact that Mūlārāja Solānki transferred the capital to Anahilavāḍa in A.D. 942, we know nothing of the events which led to the break-up of the Bhūmāl empire. But it is reasonable to suppose that between A.D. 920 and 940 the Chāpas gradually lost ground and the Chūdāsammās were able first to conquer Sindh and then to settle in Kāthiāvāḍa. — A. M. T. J.]

[Kāthiāvāḍa contains three peculiar and associated classes of Hindus, the Mers, the Jethvās, and the Jhālās. The Mers and the Jethvās stand to each other in the relation of vassal and lord. The Jhālās are connected with the Jethvās by origin history and alliance. The bond

Chapter XII.

THE MERS,
A.D. 470-940.
The Chūdāsammās,
A.D. 900-940.

Chapter XII.

The Mers,
A.D. 470-1000.
The Jethvās.

of union between the three classes is not only that they seem to be of foreign that is of non-Hindu origin, but whether or not they belong to the same swarm of northern invaders, that they all apparently entered Kāthiāvāda either by land or sea through Sindh and Kacch. So far as record or tradition remains the Mers and Jethvās reached Kāthiāvāda in the latter half of the fifth century after Christ, and the Jhālās, and perhaps a second detachment of Mers and Jethvās, some three hundred years later.¹ The three tribes differ widely in numbers and in distribution. The ruling Jethvās are a small group found solely in south-west Kāthiāvāda.² The Jhālās, who are also known as Makvānas, are a much larger clan. They not only fill north-east Kāthiāvāda, but from Kāthiāvāda, about A.D. 1500, spread to Rajputāna and have there established a second Jhālāvāda,³ where, in reward for their devotion to the Sesodia Rāja of Mewād in his struggles with the Emperor Akbar (A.D. 1580-1600), the chief was given a daughter of the Udepur family and raised to a high position among Rājputs.⁴ The Mers are a numerous and widespread race. They seem to be the sixth to tenth century Modhs, Meds, Mandas, or Minas of Baluchistan, South-Sindh, Kacch, and Kāthiāvāda.⁵ Further they seem to be the Mers of Merāda or Medapatia in Rajputāna⁶ and of Mairvāda in Mālava,⁷ and also to be the Musalman Meos and Minas of Northern India.⁸ In Gujarāt

¹ According to the Kāthiawār Gazetteer pages 110 and 278, the first wave reached about A.D. 650 and the second about 250 years later. Dr. Bhagvānlal's identification of the Mers with the Maitrakas would take back their arrival in Kāthiāvāda from about A.D. 650 to about A.D. 450. The Mers were again formidable in Gujarāt in the late ninth and early tenth centuries. In A.D. 867 (see above Pages 127 and 130) the Rashtrakūṭa Dhruva II. checked an invasion of a Mithra king with a powerful army. Again in A.D. 914 the Rashtrakūṭa Indra in a moment overtook the Meir (Ditto).

² The Ain-i-Akbari (Gladwin, II. 69) notices that the sixth division of Saursashtra, which was almost impervious by reason of mountainous rivers and woods, was (A.D. 1580) inhabited by the tribe Chetore, that is Jetya.

³ Of the Jhālās or Chālās the Ain-i-Akbari (Gladwin, II. 64) has: Chālāwārāh (in north-east Kāthiāvāda) formerly independent and inhabited by the tribe of Chālās.

⁴ Tod's Annals of Rajasthān, II. 113.

⁵ Elliot and Dowson, I. 114 and 510-521. It is noted in the text that to the Arab invaders of the eighth and ninth centuries the Medhs of Hind were the chief people of Kāthiāvāda, both in Sorāth in the south and in Mālva in the north. They were as famous by sea as by land. According to Belādūri (A.D. 950) (Reinaud's Mémoires Sur l'Inde, 254-255) the Meyds of Saursashtra and Kacch were sailors who lived on the sea and sent fleets to a distance. The Khundadla (A.D. 912) and Idriai (A.D. 1150), probably from the excellent Al-Jayhāni (Reinaud's Abulfeda, lxiii, and Elliot, I. 79), have the form Mand. Elliot, I. 14. The form Mand survives in a musical mode popular in Rajputāna, which is also called Rājwāri. The Mand is like the Central Asian Mustamad (K. S. Fazliah Lutfallah.)

⁶ Indian Antiquary, VI, 191.

⁷ Rajputāna Gazetteer, I. 11.

⁸ Rajputāna Gazetteer, I. 65; North-West Province Gazetteer, III. 263; Robertson's Panjāb Census page 281. Some of these identifications are doubtful. Dr. Bhagvānlal in the text (71 Note 6 and 33) distinguishes between the Mevas or Medas whom he identifies as northern immigrants of about the first century A.C. and the Mers. This view is in agreement with the remark in the Rajputāna Gazetteer, I. 63, that the Mers have been suspected to be a relic of the Indo-Skythian Meds. Again Tod (Annals of Rajasthān, I. 9) derives Merāda from mūdāyā (Sk.) middle, and the Mer of Merwāda from mūrā a hill. In support of Tod's view it is to be noted that the forts Balmer Jaisalmer Kotalmer and Ajmer, which Pandit Bhagvānlal would derive from the personal names of Mer leaders, are all either hill forts or rocks (Annals, I. 11, and Note f). It is, on the other hand, to be noted that no hill forts out of this particular tract of country are called Mers, and that the similar names Kōli and Mālva, which with equal probability as Medh might be derived from Kōli and Mālā hill, seem to be tribal not geographical names.

their strength is much greater than the 30,000 or 40,000 returned as Mers. One branch of the tribe is hidden under the name Koli; another has disappeared below the covering of Isalm.¹

Formerly except the vague contention that the Meihās, Jhetvās, and Jhala-Makvāns were northerners of somewhat recent arrival little evidence was available either to fix the date of their appearance in Kāthiāvāḍa or to determine to which of the many swarms of non-Hindu Northerners they belonged.² This point Dr. Bhagvānlāl's remarks in the text go far to clear. The chief step is the identification of the Mers with the Maitrakas, the ruling power in Kāthiāvāḍa between the decline of the Guptas about A.D. 470 and the establishment of Valabhi rule about sixty years later. And further that they fought at the same time against the same Hindu rulers and that both are described as foreigners and northerners favours the identification of the

Chapter XII.

The Mers,
A.D. 470-500.

The Mers.

¹ The tales cited in the *Rās Mālā* (I. 103) prove that most of the Kols between Gujarat and Kāthiāvāḍa are Mairs. That till the middle of the fourth century the south-east of Kāthiāvāḍa was held by Medhas (Kath. Gazetteer, 672) supports the view that the Kols, whom about A.D. 1180 (Tod's Western India, I. 263) the Gōlīs drove out of the island of Pīrām, were Medhas, and this is in agreement with Lalral (Ann. I. 30 Elliot, I. 82) who calls both Pīrām and the Medhas by the name Mand. Similarly some of the Kol clans of Kacch (Gazetteer, 70) seem to be descended from the Medhas. And according to Mr. Dalpatram Khakhar three subdivisions of Brahmins-Bahatrias, of which the best known are the Navāvā Mers and the Dīpālī Mers, maintain the surname Mair or Mer. (Cutch Gazetteer, 32 note 2.) Mer or Mair is a common surname among Sindhi Baluchis. Many of the best Musahabī captains and pilots from Kāthiāvāḍa, Kacch, and the Makrān coast still have Mer as a surname. Mer is also a favourite name among both Khojās and Memāns, the two special classes of Kāthiāvāḍa converts to Islam. The Khojās explain the name as meaning Meher All the friend of All; the Memāns also explain Mer as Meher or friend. But as among Memāns Mer is a common name for women as well as for men the word can hardly mean friend. The phrase Meher or Lady Mer applied to Memān mothers seems to have its origin in the Rajput practice of calling the wife by the name of her caste or tribe as Kāthiānīkī, Merānīkī. In this case both of the Khojās and the Memāns the name Mer seems to be the old tribal name continued because it yielded itself to the name of Isalm. Meher, Mīr, and Mahar are also used as titles of respect. The Khāt Kuli of Girār, apparently a mixture of the Maitrakas of the text and of a local hill tribe, still (Kāthiāvāḍa Gazetteer, 142) honour their leaders with the name Mer explaining the title by the Gujarati use the main bead in a rosary. Similarly in Mālvā a Gurjara title is Mīr (Rājputāna Gazetteer, I. 90) and in the Panjāb Mahar (Gazetteer of Panjāb, Gujrat, 30-51). And in Kacch the headman among the Bharvāḍa, who according to some accounts are Gurjara, is called Mīr (Cutch Gazetteer, 81). Similarly among the Rāhārīs of Kacch the name of the holy she-camel is Māta Meri. (Ditto, 50.) All these terms of respect are probably connected with Mīrās, Son.

² Compare Tod (Western India, 420): Though enrolled among the thirty-six royal races we may assert the Jethvās have become Hindus only from locality and circumstance. Of the Jhālās Tod says (Rajasthan, I. 113): As the Jhālās are neither Sōlar Lunnar or Agnikūḷa they must be strangers. Again (Western India, 414): The Jhālā Makvāns are a branch of Hōmas. Of the name Makvāns (Kāthiāvāḍa Gazetteer, 111; Rās Mālā, I. 297) two explanations may be offered, either that the word comes from Māk the dōry tracts in Central Kacch (Cutch Gazetteer, 75 note 2) where (Kāthiāvāḍa Gazetteer, 430) the Jhālās stopped when the Mers and Jethvās passed south; or that Makvāns represents Mauna a Persian name for the Hōmas (Wilson's Works IV. 207). Tod's and Wilford's (Asiatic Researches, IX. 287) suggestion that Makvāns is Mahāhmas is perhaps not phonetically possible. At the same time that the Makvāns are a comparatively recent tribe of northerners is supported by the ascendancy in the fourteenth century in the Himālayas of Makvāns (Hodgson's Essays, I. 397; Government of India Selections XLVII. 54 and 119) who used the Indo-Skṛith title Sak (Ditto). With the Nepal Makvāns may be compared the Makpons or army-men the caste of the chief of Bulistan or Little Tibet. Vigor's Kashmir, II. 238, 432.

Chapter XII.

THE MEVS,
A.D. 470-500.
White Hūgas.

power of the Maitrakas with the North Indian empire of the Epthalites, Yothas, or White Hūgas.¹

Though the sameness in name between the Mihirs and Mihirakula (A.D. 508-530), the great Indian champion of the White Hūgas, may not imply sameness of tribe it points to a common sun-worship.²

That the Multān sun-worship was introduced under Sassanian influence is supported by the fact (Wilson's *Ariana Antiqua*, 357) that the figure of the sun on the fifth century Hindu sun coins is in the dress of a Persian king; that the priests who performed the Multān sun-worship were called Magas; and by the details of the dress and ritual in the account of the introduction of sun-worship given in the Bhavishya Purāna.³ That the Meyds or Mandes had some share in its introduction is supported by the fact that the Purāna names the third or Sudra class of the sun-worshippers Mandagas.⁴ That the Meyds were associated with the Magas is shown by the mention of the Magas as Mihiragas.⁵ The third class whom the Bhavishya Purāna associates with the introduction of sun-worship are the Mānas who

¹ The evidence in support of the statement that the Maitrakas and Hūgas fought at the same time against the same Hindu rulers is given in the text. One of the most important passages is in the grant of Dhruvasena III. (Epig. Ind. I. 82 (A.D. 653-4)) the reference to Bhastaka the founder of Valabhi (A.D. 509-520) meeting in battle the matchless armies of the Maitrakas.

² Mr. Fleet (Epigraphia Indica, III. 327 and note 12) would identify Mihirakula's tribe with the Maitrakas. More recent evidence shows that his and his father Toromata's tribe was the Jāuvins. That the White Hūgas or other associated tribes were sun-worshippers appears from a reference in one of Mihirakula's inscriptions (Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum, III. 161) to the building of a specially fine temple of the sun; and from the fact that in Kadmir Mihirakula founded a city Mihirapura and a temple to Mihirashwar. (Darmonier in Journal Asiatique, X. 70; Fleet in Indian Antiquary, XV. 242-252.) Mihirakula's (A.D. 508-530) sun-worship may have been the continuance of the Kushan (A.D. 50-150) worship of Mithra or Helios (Wilson's *Ariana Antiqua*, 357). At the same time the fact that Mihirakula uses the more modern form Mihir makes it probable (Compare Rawlinson's *Seventh Monarchy*, 284) that Mihirakula's sun-worship was more directly the result of the spread of sun-worship in Central Asia under the fiercely propagandist Sassanians Varmah V. or Behram Gur (A.D. 420-440), and his successors Indigard II. (A.D. 440-467), and Perozes (A.D. 457-483). The extent to which Zoroastrian influence pervaded the White Hūgas is shown by the Persian names not only of Mihirakula but of Kushnawaz (A.D. 470-490) the great emperor of the White Hūgas the overthrower of Perozes. That this Indian sun-worship, which, at latest, from the seventh to the tenth century made Multān so famous was not of local origin is shown by the absence of reference to sun-worship in Multān in the accounts of Alexander the Great. Its foreign origin is further shown by the fact that in the time of Bernot (A.D. 1020) Eschen's Edition, I. 119) the priests were called Maghas and the image of the sun was clad in a northern dress falling to the ankles. It is remarkable as illustrating the Hindu readiness to adopt priests of conquering tribes into the ranks of Brahmins that the numerous Magha survives (Cutch Gazetteer, 82 note 2) among Shrināthi Brahmins. These Maghas are said to have married Bhoja or Rajput girls and to have become the Brahmins Bhojaka of Dwarka. Even the Mandes who had Saka wives, whose descendants were named Mandagas, obtained a share in the temple ceremonies. Reinoud's *Mémoires Sur l'Inde*, 393.

³ Wilson's *Vishnu Purāna* Preface xxxix. in Reinoud's *Mémoires Sur l'Inde*, 393. Details are given in Wilson's Works, X. 381-385.

⁴ Reinoud's *Mémoires Sur l'Inde*, 399; Wilson's Works, X. 382.

⁵ The name Mihiraga is explained in the Bhavishya Purāna as derived from their ancestress a daughter of the sage Rign or Rignabha of the race named Mihira (Reinoud's *Mémoires Sur l'Inde*, 393; Wilson's Works, X. 382). The name Mihiraga suggests that the spread of sun-worship in the Panjab and Sindh, of which the sun-worship in Multān Sindh Kathiawad and Mewad, and the fire-worshipping Rajput and Sindh coins of the fifth and sixth centuries are evidence, was helped by the spread of Sassanian influence.

are given a place between the Magas and the Madas. The association of the Mānas with the Mihiras or Maitrakas suggests that Māna is Mauna a Purāṇik name for the White Hūgas.¹ That the Multān sun idol of the sixth and seventh centuries was a Hūga idol and Multān the capital of a Hūga dynasty seems in agreement with the paramount position of the Rās of Alor or Hori in the sixth century. Though their defeat by Yasodharman of Mālwā about A.D. 540 at the battle of Karur, sixty miles east of Multān, may have ended Hūga supremacy in north and north-west India it does not follow that authority at once forsook the Hūgas. Their widespread and unchallenged dominion in North India, the absence of record of any reverse later than the Karur defeat, the hopelessness of any attempt to pass out of India in the face of the combined Turk and Sassanian forces make it probable that the Hūgas and their associated tribes, adopting Hinduism and abandoning their claim to supremacy, settled in west and north-west India. This view finds support in the leading place which the Hūgas and Hāra-Hūgas, the Maitrakas or Mers, and the Gurjjaras hold in the centuries that follow the overthrow of the White Hūga empire. According to one rendering of Cosmas² (A.D. 525) the chief of Orrhotha or Sorath in common with several other coast rulers owed allegiance to Gollas, apparently, as is suggested at page 75 of the text, to Galla or Mihingalla the Indian Emperor of the White Hūgas. These details support the view that the Maitrakas, Mihiras, or Mers who in Cosmas' time were in power in Kāthiāvāda, and to whose ascendancy during the seventh and eighth centuries both the Chinese pilgrim Hsuen Tsiang (A.D. 612-640) and the Arab historians of Sindh bear witness, were a portion of the great White Hūga invasion (A.D. 480-530).³ In the many recorded swarmings south from

Chapter XII.

THE MERS,
A.D. 450-900.
White Hūgas.

into Baluchistan, Kaesh-Gandari and other parts of western Sindh, through Sakastene the modern western Seistan near the lake Helmand. This Sakastene or land of the Sakas received its name from the settlement in it of one of the earlier waves of the Yuchi in the second or first century before Christ. The name explains the statement in the Bhavishya Purāṇa that sun-worship was introduced by Magas into Multān from Sakastripa the land of the Sakas. In this connection it is interesting to note that Darmesteter (Zend Avesta, xxiv.) holds that the Zend Avesta was probably completed during the reign of Shāhpār II. (A.D. 309-379); that (lxxxix.) Zend was a language of eastern Persia an earlier form of Pahlavi; and that (lxxix.) western Seistan and the Helmand river was the holy land of the Avesta the birth-place of Zoroaster and the scene of King Vishtasp's triumphs. A memory of the spread of this western or Sassanian influence remains in the reference in the Majma'at-T-Tawarikh in Elliot, I. 107-109, to the five temples established in Kandahār (Gandari) and Buddha (Mawana) by Mahra a general of Bahman that is of Varahran V. (A.D. 420-440). It seems probable that Mahra is Meir the family name or the title (Rawlinson's Sassanian Monarchy, 224 notes 4 and 312) of the great Mihiran family of Persian nobles. The general in question may be the Meir-Names the minister of Varahran's son and successor Isdigerd II. (A.D. 440-457), who enforced Zoroastrianism in Armenia (Rawlinson, *Ibid.* 305-308). Meir's success may be the origin of the Indian stories of Varahran's visit to Mālwā. It may further be the explanation of the traces of fire temples and towers of alliance noted by Pottinger (1810) in Baluchistan (Travels, 126-127) about sixty miles west of Khelat.

¹ Wilson's Works, IX. 207.

² Compare Priault's Embassies, 222.

³ The White Hūgas overran Bakhtia and the country of the Yuchi between A.D. 450 and 460. About a hundred years later they were crushed between the advancing Turks and the Sassanian Chosroes I. or Naushirvan (A.D. 537-600). Rawlinson's Sassanian Monarchy, 420; Specht in *Journal Asiatique* (1883) Tom II. 349-350. The Hūga supremacy in North India did not last beyond A.D. 530 or 540. The overthrow of their

Chapter XII.

THE MEDS,
A.D. 470-900.
White Hūgas.

Central Asia into Persia and India no feature is commoner than the leading of the conquered by certain families of the conquering tribe. Chinese authorities place it beyond doubt that when, towards the middle of the fifth century A.D., the White Hūgas crossed the Oxus they found in power a cognate tribe of northerners whose date of settlement on the Indian frontier was less than a century old. This preceding swarm was the Yuán-Yuán, Var-Var, or Avör, who, about the close of the fourth century (A.D. 380), had driven from Balkh southwards into the Kabul valley Kitolo the last ruler of the long established Ynetchi (A.D. 50-A.D. 380).¹ It is known that in retreating before the Yuán-Yuán a division of the Baktrian Ynetchi, under the leadership of Kitolo's son, under the name of the Kidāras or Little Ynetchi, established their power in Gandhāra and Peshāwar.² This Kidāra invasion must have driven a certain share of the people of the Kabul valley to the east of the Indus. The invasion of the White Hūgas a century later, who were welcomed as allies by some of the Panjāb chiefs,³ would cause fresh movements among the frontier tribes. The welcome given to the Hūgas, and the show and dash which marked their century of ascendancy in India and Persia, make it probable that as leaders they conducted south as far as Kāthiavāḍa and Malava large bodies of the earlier northern settlers. To which of the waves of earlier northerners the Medhs belonged is doubtful.⁴ The view held by Pandit Bhagvānlāl that one branch of the Medhs entered India in the first century before Christ among the tribes of which the great Ynetchi were the chief is on the whole in agreement with General Cunningham's argument that Medus Hydaspes, Virgil's phrase for the Jhelum, proves that the Medhs were then (B.C. 40) already settled on its banks.⁵

supremacy perhaps dates from A.D. 540 the battle of Karur about sixty miles east of Malwa, their conqueror being Yasodharmān of Malwa the second of the three great Vikramādityas of Malwa. Of the Hūgas' position among Hindu castes Colonel Tod says: The Hūgas are one of the Skyths who have got a place among the thirty-six races of India. They probably came along with the Kāthi, Bala, and Malvāns of Saurashtra. Tod's *Annals of Rajasthan*, I. 110.

¹ Spachet in *Journal Asiatique* (1883), II. 348.

² Spachet in *Journal Asiatique* (1883), II. 349.

³ Compare above Chapter VII, page 73 note 3.

⁴ Dr. Bhagvānlāl (Text, 23) traces one set of Medhs to the Mevas the tribe of Yasmodita the father of the Kāthiśra Chasitāḍa (A.D. 130). He holds these Mevas entered India (21) with the Malayas, Palhavas, and Abhīras about A.D. 150 (?) At the same time he seems to have considered them early Mevas different from the fifth and sixth century Mihīras and from the seventh and eighth century Malha.

⁵ Arch. Report for 1893-64, II. 42. In support of this Cunningham cites Ptolemy's (A.D. 160) Euthymedia that is Sagala, sixty miles north-west of Lahore, and the Malha of Ptolemy's *Tabula* (A.D. 400). This Euthymedia is a corruption of the original Euthymedia the name given to Sagala by Demetrius (B.C. 190) the great Greco-Baktrian in honour of his father Euthymenes (Compare Text page 16 and McCrindle's Ptolemy, 124). Of the cause of this change of name, which may be only a clerical error, two different explanations have been offered. Tod (*An. of Rajst.* I. 233) would make the new form Yuthi-media the Middle Yuthi. Cunningham (Arch. Surv. Rep. II. 53) would attribute it to the southward migration towards Sindh about A.D. 50 of the Kushān-pressed horde which under Moas or Megha came from Little Tibet and entered the Panjāb either by way of Kashmir or down the Swat valley. According to General Cunningham (Dicto, 53) the followers of this Moas were Mandrueni called after the Mandru river south of the Oxus. The two forms Medh and Mand are due to the cerebral which explains the Minnagras of Ptolemy and the Periplus; Masudi's (A.D. 916) Mind and Ibn Khurad-

Dr. Bhagvānlāl's view that the Jethvās are Medhs ennobled by long overlordship is somewhat doubtfully shared by Colonel Watson¹ and is not inconsistent with Tod's opinions.² Still though the Hindu ruler-worship, which, as in the case of the Marāṭha Sīvājī, explains the raising to the twice-born of leaders of successful early and foreign tribes makes it possible that the Jethvās were originally Mers, it seems on the whole probable that the Jethvās' claim to an origin distinct from the Mers is well founded. The evidence recorded by Colonel Tod and the name Jethva led the late Dr. John Wilson to trace the Jethvās to the Jāts or Jits.³ According to the bards the name of the Kāthiāvāḍa tribe Jethva is derived from Jetha No. 85 or No. 95 of the Porbandar list, who was probably so called because he was born under the Jyeshṭha constellation.⁴ The common practice of explaining a tribal name by inventing some name-giving chief deprives this derivation of most of its probability.⁵ In the present case it may further be noticed that the name Jethi is borne by two of the chiefs earlier than the Jetha referred to.⁶ In the absence of any satisfactory explanation the name Jethva suggests an origin in Yetha the shortened Chinese form of Yo-ta-i-li-to or Ephthalite the name of the ruling class of the White Hūgas.⁷ It is true that so good an authority as Specht⁸ holds that the shortened form Yetha is peculiar to the Chinese and was never in use. But the form Tetel or Haital, adopted by

Chapter XII.

THE MERS.
A.D. 470-900.
White Hūgas.

bha's (died A.D. 812) and Idris's (perhaps from Aldjyāhād) Mami (Elliot, I. 14 and 79, Reinand's *Abulfeda*, ixill.); the present associated Mers and Mins in Rajputāna (Ditto, 53); and perhaps the Musalmān Mers and Mins of the Panjab (Ibbotson's *Census*, 281).

¹ The Jethvās are closely allied to the Medhs (Kāth. Gaz. 138); they entered Kāthiāvāḍa along with the Medhs (Ditto, 278).

² The passages are somewhat contradictory. Tod (Western India, 413) says: Jethvās marry with Kāthiās, Ahirs, and Mers. In the Kāthiāvār Gazetteer (page 110) Colonel Barton seems to admit the Jethvās' claim to be of distinct origin from the Mers. In another passage he says (page 188): The Mers claim to be Jethvās: this the Jethvās deny. So also Colonel Watson in one passage (page 621) seems to favour a distinct origin while in another (page 379) he says: It seems probable the Jethvās are merely the ruling family Rajpūts of the Mers and that they are all of one tribe. Two points seem clear. The Jethvās are admitted to rank among Kāthiāvāḍa Rajpūts and they formerly married with the Mers. The further question whether the Jethvās were originally of a distinct and higher tribe remains undetermined.

³ Bombay Administration Report for 1873. Colonel Tod made the same suggestion: Western India, 255. Compare Pottinger's (*Travels in Baluchistan*, 81) identification of the Jeths of Kacch-Gandevi north of Khelat with Jāts or Jits.

⁴ Tod's Western India, 413.

⁵ Compare Bühler in *Epigraphia Indica*, I. 294. Like the Chalukyas and other tribes the Jethvās trace the name Jethva to a name-giving chief. Of the Jethvās Tod says (*Annals of Rajasthan*, I. 114): The Jethvās have all the appearance of Skythian descent. As they make no pretension to belong to any of the old Indian races they may be a branch of Skythians. In his Western India (page 413), though confused by his identification of Sakha-dwās with Sakora instead of with Be-Dwāra (compare Kāth. Gaz. 619), Tod still holds to a northern origin of the Jethvās.

⁶ Nos. 6 and 89 of Colonel Watson's List, Kāthiāvār Gazetteer, 621. The Pandit's evidence in the text ascribes to the somewhat doubtful Jālkadava a date of A.D. 758 (Vikram 794); to Jachikadava a date of about A.D. 904 (Gupta 685); and to the Gāndi ruins a probable eleventh century. Tod (Western India, 417) traces the Jethvās further back putting the founding of Ghidull or Hidull at about A.D. 692 (S. 749) the date of a settlement between the Thars of Dehli and the Jethvās (Ditto, 411). Col. Watson (Kāth. Gaz. 278) gives either A.D. 650 or A.D. 900.

⁷ The form Yetha is used by the Chinese pilgrim Sung-yun A.D. 519. Beal's *Buddhist Records*, I. 20.

⁸ *Journal Asiatique* (1883), II. 819.

Chapter XII.

THE MERS,
A.D. 470-500.
White Hūpas,

Armenian Musalmān and Byzantine historians,¹ makes probable an Indian Yethāl or Jethāl if not a Yetha or Jetha. Nor does there seem any reason why Yetha the Chinese form of the word should not be more likely to be adopted in India than the western and otherwise less correct form Tetal or Haithal. In any case the irregular change from a correct Yethāl to an incorrect Yetha cannot be considered of much importance, if, as seems likely, the change was made in order to give the word an Indian meaning.² The *r* in Jethva would come to be added when the origin from a chief named Jetha was accepted.

Jhāla.

Another name for the White Hūpas, or for a section of the White Hūpa swarm, is preserved by Cosmas³ in the form Juvia. This form, if it is not a misreading for Ounia or Hūpa, suggests Jāuvla the recently identified name of the tribe ennobled in India by the great Toramāpa (A.D. 450-500) and his son Mihirākula (A.D. 500-540), and of which a trace seems to remain in the Jāwla and Jhāwla divisions of Panjāb Gujjars.⁴ This Jāuvla, under such a fire baptism as would admit the holders of the name among Hindus, might be turned into Jvāla flaming and Jvāla be shortened to Jhāla. That Jhāla was formerly punningly connected with flame is shown by a line from the bard Chand, 'The lord of the Ranas the powerful Jhāla like a flaming fire.'⁵ That the Kāthiāvāda bards were either puzzled by the name Jhāla or were unwilling to admit its foreign origin is shown by the story preserved in the Rās Malā,⁶ that the tribe got the name because the children of Hirpal Makvāna, about to be crushed by an elephant, were snatched away *jhāla* by their witch-mother. It has been noticed in the text that the break in Gujarāt History between A.D. 480 and 520, agreeing with the term of Hūpa supremacy in North India, seems to imply a similar supremacy in Gujarāt. The facts that up to the twelfth century Hūpas held a leading place in Gujarāt chronicles,⁷ and that while in Rajputāna and other parts of Northern India the traces of Huns are fairly widespread in Gujarāt they have almost if not altogether disappeared, support the view that the Hūpa strain in Kāthiāvāda is hid under the names Mera, Jethva, and Jhāla.⁸

¹ Journal Asiatique (1883), II. 314.

² Compare for the chief's name Jetha, Colonel Watson Kath. Gaz. 622 in the Jyeshthha Nakshatra.

³ Priank's Embassies, 220; Migne's Patrologia Graeca Vol. 88 page 98.

⁴ Census of 1891, III. 116. A reference to the Jhauvlas is given above page 75 note 4. General Cunningham (Ninth Oriental Congress, I. 228-244) traces the tribe of Jhauvlas ruling in Sindh, Zabulistan or Ghazni, and Makran from the sixth to the eighth and ninth centuries.

⁵ Tod's Western India, 194 Note J. Tod adds: Chand abounds in such jeu-de-mot on the names of tribes.

⁶ Rās Malā, I. 302; Kāthiāwār Gazetteer, III. ⁷ Tod's Annals of Rajasthān, I. 111.

⁸ Among references to Hūpas may be noted: In the Vāyu Purāna (Sachau's Alberuni, I. 300) in the west between Karnaprāvāna and Darva; in the Vishnu Purāna Bānasa between the Sahndhavas and the Sālvās (Wilson's Works, VII. 133 and 134 Note †); in the eighth century Ungutal lord of the Hūpas who helped Chitor (Tod's Annals, II. 457); in the Khichi bard Moggi, traditions of many powerful Hūna kings in India (Tod's Annals, I. 111 Note †) among them the Hūpa chief of Baroli (Datta, II. 705); and Rāja Hūna of the Pramāra race who was lord of the Pathār or plateau of Central India (Datta, II. 457).

Chapter XII

THE MERS,
A.D. 400-500.

In the Middle Ages the Hūnas were considered Kshatriyas and Kshatriyas married Hūna wives (Wilson's Works, VII. 134 Note f). Of existing traces in the Panjāb may be noted Hon and Hona, Bājputls and Gujjars, Hona Jats, Hon Labāna, Hon Lohars, Hon Malls, Hon Mochls, Hūna Barbers, and Haun Rahāris (Panjāb Census. 1891. III. pages 116, 139, 227, 233, 246, 266, 276, 303, 315). The only traces Colonel Tod succeeded in finding in Gujarat were a few Hūna huts at a village opposite Umetha on the gulf of Cambay, a second small colony near Somanātha, and a few houses at Trisauli five miles from Baroda. (Western India, 247, 323.) Since 1835 these traces have disappeared.



PART II.

THE KINGDOM OF ANAHILAVĀDA.

A.D. 720-1300.

CHAPTER I.

THE CHĀVADĀS

(A.D. 720-956.)

THE history embodied in the preceding chapters is more or less fragmentary, pieced together from coins, stone and copperplate inscriptions, local traditions, and other similar sources. A history based on such materials alone must of necessity be imperfect, leaving blanks which it may be hoped fresh details will gradually fill.

The rise of the Anahilavāda kingdom (A.D. 720) marks a new period of Gujarāt history regarding which materials are available from formal historical writings.¹ Though this section of Gujarāt history begins with the establishment of Anahilavāda by the Chāvadās (A.D. 720-956) the details for the earlier portions are very imperfect being written during the time of the Chālukya or Solāhki (A.D. 957-1242) successors of the Chāvadās. The chief sources of information regarding the earlier period of Chāvadā rule are the opening chapters of the *Prabandhachintāmaṇi*, *Vichārāreṇi*, *Sukṛitasankīrtana*, and *Ratnamālā*.²

Before the establishment of Anahilavāda a small Chāvadā chiefship centred at Pañchāsar, now a fair-sized village in Vadhar between Gujarāt and Kacch.³ The existence of a Chāvadā chiefship at Pañchāsar is proved by the Navsārī grant dated Samvat 490 (A.D. 788-89) of the Gujarāt Chālukya king Pulikeśī Janderaṇa. This grant in recording the triumphant progress of an army of Tājikas or Arabs

Chapter I.

THE CHĀVADĀS,
A.D. 720-956.

Pañchāsar,
A.D. 788.

¹ The following manuscript histories have been used in preparing Part II. Hemachandra's *Dvayatrakāvyā*, Merutunga's *Prabandhachintāmaṇi*, Merutunga's *Vichārāreṇi*, Juyaprabhadra's *Tirbhakalpa*, Jeyamandanaupādhyāya's *Kamārupāla-prabandha*, Kṛṣṇa-giśi's *Kamārupāla-charita*, Kṛṣṇabhatta's *Ratnamālā*, Somdevara's *Kirtikūmaṇḍi*, Arishta's *Sukṛitasankīrtana*, Rājasekhara's *Chaturvratiprabandha*, Vastupala-charita, and published and unpublished inscriptions from Gujarāt and Kathiavāda.

² The *Prabandhachintāmaṇi* is a short historical compilation; the *Vichārāreṇi*, though a mere list of kings, is more reliable; the *Ratnamālā* is a poetic history with good descriptions and many fables taken from the *Prabandhachintāmaṇi*, the *Sukṛitasankīrtana* is a short work largely borrowed from the *Vichārāreṇi*.

³ This is apparently Viddhi Ahara or the Viddhi Collectorate, probably called after some village or town of that name.

Chapter I.

THE CHÁVADÁS,
A.D. 720-966.
Pañchásar,
A.D. 788.

from Sindh to Navsári and mentioning the kingdoms "afflicted" by the Arabs, names the Chávotakas next after the kings of Kacch and Sauráshtra. These Chávotakas can be no other than the Chávadás of Pañchásar on the borders of Kacch. The Chávadás of Pañchásar do not appear to have been important rulers. At the most they seem to have held Vadhiár and part of the north coast of Káthiáwáda. Whatever be the origin of the name Chávadá, which was afterwards Sanskritised into the high-sounding Chápotkata or Strongbow, it does not seem to be the name of any great dynasty. The name very closely resembles the Gujaráti Chor (Prakrit Chaurá or Chorá) meaning thieves or robbers; and Jávadá, which is a further corruption of Chávadá, is the word now in use in those parts for a thief or robber. Except the mention of the Chávotakas in the Navsári copperplate we do not find the Chávadás noticed in any known cotemporary Gujarát copperplates. For this reason it seems fair to regard them as unimportant rulers over a territory extending from Pañchásar to Aqahilaváda.

Jayasékharā,
A.D. 696.

The author of the Ratnamálá (c. 1230 A.D.) says that in A.D. 696 (S. 752) Jayasékharā the Chávadá king of Pañchásar was attacked by the Chaulukya king Bhuváda of Kalyánakataka in Kanyákubja or Kanoj and slain by Bhuváda in battle. Before his death Jayasékharā, finding his affairs hopeless, sent his pregnant wife Rupasundari to the forest in charge of her brother Sumpála, one of his chief warriors. After Jayasékharā's death Rupasundari gave birth to a son named Vanarāja who became the illustrious founder of Aqahilaváda. It is hard to say how much truth underlies this tradition. In the seventh century not Chaulukya but Pála kings flourished in Kanoj. No place of importance called Kalyánakataka is recorded in the Kanoj territory. And though there was a southern Chálukya kingdom with its capital at Kalyán, its establishment at Kalyán was about the middle of the eleventh not in the seventh century. Further the known Dakhan Chálukya lists contain no king named Bhuváda, unless he be the great Chálukya king Vijayáditya (A.D. 696-733) also called Bhuvanásraya, who warred in the north and was there imprisoned but made his escape. The inference is that the author of the Ratnamálá, knowing the Solankis originally belonged to a city called Kalyán, and knowing that a Chálukya king named Bhuváda had defeated the Chávadás may have called Bhuváda king of Kalyánakataka and identified Kalyánakataka with a country so well known to Puránik fame as Kanyákubja. This view is supported by the absence in the Prabhándha-chintámáni and other old records of any mention of an invasion from Kanoj. It is possible that in A.D. 696 some king Bhuváda of the Gujarát Chálukyās, of whom at this time branches were ruling as far north as Kaira,¹ invaded the Chávadás under Jayasékharā. Since traces of a Chávotaka kingdom remain, at least as late as A.D. 720, it seems probable that the destruction of Pañchásar was caused not by Bhuváda in A.D. 696, but in the Arab raid mentioned above whose date falls about A.D. 720.² About A.D. 720 may therefore be taken as the date

¹ See above page 108.

² See above page 109.

of the birth of Vanarāja. Merutunga the author of the Prabandha-chintāmaṇi tells how Rupaśundarī was living in the forest swinging her son in a hammock, when a Jain priest named Śīlaguṇasūri noticing as he passed royal marks on the boy bought him from his mother. The story adds that a nun named Viramati brought up the boy whom the *sādhu* called Vanarāja or the forest king. When eight years old, the priest employed Vanarāja to protect his place of worship from rats. The boy's skill in shooting rats convinced the priest he was not fit to be a *sādhu* but was worthy of a kingdom. He therefore returned the boy to his mother. These details seem invented by the Jains in their own honour. No mention of any such story occurs in the Ratnamālā.¹

In the forests where Vanarāja passed his youth lived his maternal uncle Surapāla, one of Jayasēkhara's generals, who, after his sovereign's defeat and death, had become an outlaw. Vanarāja grew up under Surapāla's charge. The Prabandhachintāmaṇi records the following story of the origin of Vanarāja's wealth. A Kanyākubja king married Mahā-pakā the daughter of a Gujarāt king. To receive the proceeds of the marriage cess which the Gujarāt king had levied from his subjects, a deputation or *pañchākūla* came from Kanyākubja to Gujarāt. The deputation made Vanarāja their leader or *śeṭṭhabhṛt* to realize the proceeds of the cess. In six months Vanarāja collected 24 *lākhs* of *Pāruttha dramma*s² and 4000 horse, which the deputation took and started for Kanyākubja. Vanarāja waylaid and killed them, secured the money and horses, and remained in hiding for a year. With the wealth thus acquired Vanarāja enrolled an army and established his power assuming the title of king. He fixed the site of a capital which afterwards rose to be the great city of Anahilapura. The story of the choice of the site is the usual story of a hunted hare turning on the hounds showing the place to be the special nurse of strength and courage. Vanarāja is said to have asked a Bhārvaḍ or Shepherd named Anahila son of Sākhadā to show him the best site. Anahila agreed on condition that the city should be called by his name. Anahila accordingly showed Vanarāja the place where a hare had attacked and chased a dog. Though much in this tradition is fabulous the city may have been called after some local chief since it was popularly known as Anahilavāda (Sk. Anahilavāta) that is the place of Anahila. In the Prabandhachintāmaṇi Merutunga gives A.D. 746 (S. 802) as the date of the installation of Vanarāja, while in his Viehārasreni the same author gives A.D. 765 (S. 821 Vaisakha Śukla 2) as the date of the foundation of the city. The discrepancy may be explained by taking A.D. 746 (S. 802) to refer to the date of Vanarāja's getting money enough to fix the site of his capital, and A.D. 765 (S. 821) to refer to the date of his installation in the completed Anahilavāda. Local tradition connects the date A.D. 746 (S. 802) with an image of Gaṇpati which is said to be as old as the establishment of the city and

Chapter I.

THE CHAVADAS.
A.D. 720-956.

Vanarāja,
A.D. 720-750 (?).

Founding of
Anahilavāda,
A.D. 746-765.

¹ In the Satyaparakalpa of his Tirthākalpa, Jinaprabhāsūri tells an almost identical story of another king.

² This name often recurs in Jain works. These would seem to be Kalatrūpa coins as Gadhada coins are simply called *drummas*.

Chapter I.

THE CHAVADĀS,
A.D. 720-950.Founding of
Anahilavāda,
A.D. 746-766.

to bear the date 802. But as the letters of the inscription on the image can be made out by ordinary readers they cannot have been inscribed at nearly so early a date as 802. A.D. 785 (S. 821), the year given in the Vichāśreṇi, seems the more probable date for the installation as the Prabandhashintāmaṇi says that Vanarāja got himself installed at Anahilapura when he was about fifty. This accords with the date fixed on other grounds. Placing Vanarāja's birth at about A.D. 720 would make him 44 in A.D. 765 (S. 821) the date at which according to the Vichāśreṇi he was formally installed as sovereign of Anahilavāda. Merutunga in both his works gives the length of Vanarāja's life at 109 and of his reign at sixty years. The figure 60 seems to mark the length of his life and not of his reign. So long a reign as sixty years is barely possible for a sovereign who succeeded late in life, and the 109 years of his life can hardly be correct. Taking Vanarāja's age at 45 when he was installed in A.D. 785 (S. 821) and allowing fifteen years more to complete the sixty years A.D. 780 (S. 836) would be the closing year of his reign.

Vanarāja's
Installation.

The Prabandhashintāmaṇi narrates how generously Vanarāja rewarded those who had helped him in his adversity. His installation was performed by a woman named Śrī Devī of Kākara village whom in fulfilment of an early promise Vanarāja had taken to be his sister.¹ The story regarding the promise is that once when Vanarāja had gone with his uncle on a thieving expedition to Kākara village and had broken into the house of a merchant he by mistake dipped his hand into a pot of curds. As to touch curds is the same as to dine at a house as a guest, Vanarāja left the house without taking anything from it.² Hearing what had happened the merchant's sister invited Vanarāja as a brother to dinner and gave him clothes. In return Vanarāja promised if he ever regained his father's kingdom he should receive his installation as king at her hands.³ Vanarāja chose as minister a Bania named Jām̐ba. The story is that while Vanarāja was looting with two others he came across a merchant Jām̐ba who had five arrows. Seeing only three enemies, Jām̐ba broke and threw away two of the arrows, shouting 'One for each of you.' Vanarāja admiring his coolness persuaded Jām̐ba to join his band and found him so useful that he promised to make him minister. From the absence of any reference to him in these and similar tales it is probable that his uncle Surapāla died before the installing of Vanarāja. Vanarāja is said to have built at Anahilavāda a Jain temple of Pañchāsarā Pārasnāth so called because the image was brought from the old settlement of Pañchāsar. Mention of this temple continues during the Solanki and Vāghelā times.

His Image.

Vanarāja is said to have placed a bowing image of himself facing the image of Pārasnāth. The figure of Vanarāja is still shown at Sidhpur

¹ The text is 'Pañchāsaravanshadasyah.'² Probably Kākrej famous for its bullocks.³ Stories of thieves refraining from plundering houses when they have accidentally laid their hands on salt or millet are common.⁴ The making of the installation mark on the forehead is the privilege of the king's sister who gives a blessing and receives a present of villages.

and a woodcut of it is given by the late Mr. Forbes in his *Rās Mālā*. It is clearly the figure of a king with the umbrella of state and a nimbus round the head and in the ears the long ornaments called *kundalas* noticed by Arab travellers as characteristic of the Bahara or Rāshtrakūṭa kings who were cotemporary with Vanarāja.¹ The king wears a long beard, a short waistcloth or *dhoti*, a waistband or *vanamaband*, and a shoulder garment or *uparua* whose ends hang down the back. Besides the earrings he is adorned with bracelets armlets and anklets and a large ornament hangs across the chest from the left shoulder to the right hip. The right hand is held near the chest in the act of granting protection: and the left hand holds something which cannot be made out. By his side is the umbrella-bearer and five other attendants. The statue closely resembles the lifesize figure of a king of the Solanki period lying in the yard of a temple at Māliā about twenty-four miles north of Somanātha Patan. At Somanātha Patan are similar but less rich cotemporary figures of local officers of the Solankis. Another similar figure of which only the torso remains is the statue of Anrāja the father of Vastupāla in a niche in Vastupāla's temple at Girmār. The details of this figure belong to the Solanki period.

The lists of Vanarāja's successors vary so greatly in the names, in the order of succession, and in the lengths of reigns, that little trust can be placed in them. The first three agree in giving a duration of 196 years to the Chavādā dynasty after the accession of Vanarāja. The accession of the Solanki founder Mularāja is given in the Vichārā'repi at Samvat 1017 and in the Prabandhachintāmaṇi at Samvat 998 corresponding with the original difference of nineteen years (S. 802 and 821) in the founding of the city. This shows that though the total duration of the dynasty was traditionally known to be 196 years the order of succession was not known and guesses were made as to the duration of the different reigns. Certain dates fixed by inscriptions or otherwise known to some compilers and not known to others caused many discrepancies in the various accounts.

According to the calculations given above Vanarāja's reign lasted to about A.D. 780. Authorities agree that Vanarāja was succeeded by his son Yogarāja. The length of Yogarāja's reign is given as thirty-five years by the Prabandhachintāmaṇi and the Ratnamālā and as twenty-nine by the Vichārā'repi. That is according to the Prabandhachintāmaṇi and Ratnamālā his reign closes in A.D. 841 (S. 897) and according to the Vichārā'repi in A.D. 836 (S. 891). On the whole the Prabandhachintāmaṇi date A.D. 841 (S. 897) seems the more probable. The author of the Vichārā'repi may have mistaken the 7 of the manuscripts for a 1, the two figures in the manuscripts of that date being closely alike. If A.D. 780 is taken as the close of Vanarāja's reign and A.D. 800 as the beginning of Yogarāja's reign an interval of twenty-six years is left. This blank, which perhaps accounts for the improbably long reign and life assigned to Vanarāja, may have been filled by the forgotten reign of a childless elder brother of Yogarāja.

Chapter I.

THE
CHAVADAS,
A.D. 720 - 956.
Image of
Vanarāja

Vanarāja's
Successors,
A.D. 780 - 961

Yogarāja,
A.D. 800 - 841.

¹ Elliot and Dawson, I. 11.

Chapter I.

THE CHAVADAS,
A.D. 720-906.

Yogarāja,
A.D. 806-814.

Of Yogarāja the Prabandhachintāmaṇi tells the following tale. Kshemarāja one of Yogarāja's three sons reported that several ships were storm-stayed at Prabhāsa or Somanātha. The ships had 10,000 horses, many elephants, and millions of money and treasure. Kshemarāja prayed that he might seize the treasure. Yogarāja forbade him. In spite of their father's orders the sons seized the treasure and brought it to the king. Yogarāja said nothing. And when the people asked him why he was silent he answered: To say I approve would be a sin; to say I do not approve would annoy you. Hitherto on account of an ancestor's misdeeds we have been laughed at as a nation of thieves. Our name was improving and we were rising to the rank of true kings. This act of my sons has renewed the old stain. Yogarāja would not be comforted and mounted the funeral pyre.

Kshemarāja,
A.D. 841-880.

According to the Prabandhachintāmaṇi in A.D. 841 (S. 898) Yogarāja was succeeded by his son Kshemarāja. The Vichāras'reṇi says that Yogarāja was succeeded by Ratnāditya who reigned three years, and he by Vairisimha who reigned eleven years. Then came Kshemarāja who is mentioned as the son of Yogarāja and as coming to the throne in A.D. 849 (S. 905). The relationship of Yogarāja to Ratnāditya and Vairisimha is not given. Probably both were sons of Yogarāja as the Prabandhachintāmaṇi mentions that Yogarāja had three sons. The duration of Kshemarāja's reign is given as thirty-nine years. It is probable that the reigns of the three brothers lasted altogether for thirty-nine years, fourteen years for the two elder brothers and twenty-five years for Kshemarāja the period mentioned by the Prabandhachintāmaṇi. Accepting this chronology A.D. 880 (S. 936) will be the date of the close of Kshemarāja's reign.

Chāmunda,
A.D. 880-908.

According to the Vichāras'reṇi and the Sukritasankirtana Kshemarāja was succeeded by his son Chāmunda. Instead of Chāmunda the Prabandhachintāmaṇi mentions Bhūyada perhaps another name of Chāmunda, as in the Prabandhachintāmaṇi the name Chāmunda does not occur. The Prabandhachintāmaṇi notes that Bhūyada reigned twenty-nine years and built in Anahilavāda Patan the temple of Bhūyadeshvar. The Vichāras'reṇi gives twenty-seven years as the length of Chāmunda's reign an insignificant difference of two years. This gives A.D. 908 (S. 964) as the close of Chāmunda's reign according to the Vichāras'reṇi.

Ghaghada,
A.D. 908-937.

After Bhūyada the Prabandhachintāmaṇi places Vairisimha and Ratnāditya assigning twenty-five and fifteen years as the reigns of each. The Vichāras'reṇi mentions as the successor of Chāmunda his son Ghaghada who is called Rāhada in the Sukritasankirtana. Instead of Ghaghada the Prabandhachintāmaṇi gives Sāmantasimha or Lion Chieftain perhaps a title of Ghaghada's. The Vichāras'reṇi gives Ghaghada a reign of twenty-seven years and mentions as his successor an unnamed son who reigned nineteen years. The Sukritasankirtana gives the name of this son as Bhūlhata. According to these calculations the close of Ghaghada's reign would be A.D. 936 (Samvat 985 + 27 = 992). Adding nineteen years for Bhūlhata's reign brings the date of the end of the dynasty to A.D. 956 (Samvat

993 + 19 = 1012) that is five years earlier than S. 1017 the date given by the Vicharas'reni. Until some evidence to the contrary is shown Merutunga's date A.D. 961 (S. 821 + 196 = 1017) may be taken as correct.

Chapter I.
THE CHÁVADÁS,
A.D. 720-996.

According to the above the Cháavadá genealogy stands as follows:

Vanarāja, born A.D. 720; succeeded A.D. 765; died A.D. 780.

Interval of twenty-six years.

Yogarāja, A.D. 806-841.

Ratnāditya,
A.D. 842.

Vairisimha,
A.D. 845.

Kahemarāja,
A.D. 856.

Chāmunda or Bhadya (?),
A.D. 881.

Ghāgharāja or Rāharāja,
A.D. 908.

Name Unknown,
A.D. 937-961.

[The period of Cháavadá rule at Anahilaváda is likely to remain obscure until the discovery of cotemporary inscriptions throws more light upon it than can be gathered from the confused and contradictory legends collected by the Solanki historians, none of whom are older than the twelfth century. For the present a few points only can be regarded as established:

- (i) The Cháavadás, Chávoṭakas, or Chápoṭkatas, are connected with the Chápas of Bhímá and of Vādhvān and are therefore of Gurjara race. (Compare Ind. Ant. XVII. 192.)
- (ii) They probably were never more than feudatories of the Bhímá kings.
- (iii) Though the legend places the fall of Pañchāsar in A.D. 696 and the foundation of Anahilaváda in A.D. 746, the grant of Pulakesi Janáśraya shows that a Cháavadá (Chávoṭaka) kingdom existed in A.D. 728.

As regards the chronology of the dynasty, the explanation of the long life of 110 years ascribed to Vanarāja may be that a grandson of the same name succeeded the founder of the family. The name of Chāmunda has, as Dr. Bühler long ago pointed out, crept in through some error from the Solanki list. But when the same author in two different works gives such contradictory lists and dates as Merutunga does in his Prabandhachintāmaṇi and his Vicharas'reni, it is clearly useless to attempt to extract a consistent story from the chroniclers. — A. M. T. J.]

CHAPTER II.

THE CHAULUKYAS OR SOLANKIS

(A.D. 961-1242.)

Chapter II.

THE
CHAULUKYAS,
A.D. 961-1242.
Authorities.

THE next rulers are the Chaulukyas or Solankis (A.D. 964-1242) whose conversion to Jainism has secured them careful record by Jain chroniclers. The earliest writer on the Solankis, the learned Jain priest Hemachandra (A.D. 1089-1173), in his work called the *Dvyāstraya*, has given a fairly full and correct account of the dynasty up to Siddharāja (A.D. 1143). The work is said to have been begun by Hemachandra about A.D. 1160, and to have been finished and revised by another Jain monk named Abhayatilakagani in A.D. 1255.¹ The last chapter which is in Prakrit deals solely with king Kumārāpala. This work is a grammar rather than a chronicle, still, though it has little reference to dates, it is a good collection of tales and descriptions. For chronology the best guide is the *Vichārāśreṇī* which its author has taken pains to make the chief authority in dates. The *Vichārāśreṇī* was written by Merutunga about A.D. 1314, some time after he wrote the *Prabandhachintamani*.

The Name
Chaulukya.

According to the *Vichārāśreṇī* after the Chāvādās, in A.D. 961 (*Vaishakh Suddha 1017*), began the reign of Mūlarāja the son of a daughter of the last Chāvādā ruler. The name Chaulukya is a Sanskritised form, through an earlier form Chālukya, of the old names Chalkya, Chalikya, Chirikya, Chālukya of the great Dakhan dynasty (A.D. 552-973), made to harmonise with the Purāṇic-looking story that the founder of the dynasty sprang from the palm or *chuluka* of Braluna. The form Chaulukya seems to have been confined to authors and writers. It was used by the great Dakhan poet Bilhana (c. 1050 A.D.) and by the Anahilavāda chroniclers. In Gujarāt the popular form of the word seems to have been Solaki or Solāki (a dialectic variant of Chalukya), a name till lately used by Gujarāt lords. The sameness of name seems to show the Dakhan and Gujarāt dynasties to be branches of one stock. No materials are available to trace the original seat of the family or to show when and whence they came to Gujarāt. The balance of probability is, as Dr. Bühler holds, that Mūlarāja's ancestors came from the north.²

Mūlarāja,
A.D. 961-996.

The *Sukritasankirtana* says that the last Chāvādā king Bhūbbha was succeeded by his sister's son Mūlarāja. Of the family or country of Mūlarāja's father no details are given. The *Prabandhachintamani* calls Mūlarāja the sister's son of Sāmantasimha and gives the following details. In A.D. 930 of the family of Bhuiyada (who destroyed Jayasekhara) were three brothers Rāji, Bija, and Daṇḍaka, who stopped at Anahilavāda on their way back from a pilgrimage to Somanātha in the guise of Kāpṭhika or Kāpdi beggars. The three brothers attended a cavalry

¹ Ind. Ant. IV, 71-72 and VI, 180.

² Ind. Ant. VI, 180ff. The suggestion may be offered that the Kanyākubja which is mentioned as the seat of Mūlarāja's ancestors, is Kanyakulja, an old name of Junagadh. Compare Burgess' Kathiawar and Kutch, 156.

Chapter II.

THE
CHAULUKYAS,
A.D. 961-1242.
Malarāja,
A.D. 961-996.

parade held by king Sámantasimha. An objection taken by Ráji to some of the cavalry movements pleased Sámantasimha, who, taking him to be the scion of some noble family, gave him his sister Líládeví in marriage. Líládeví died pregnant and the child, which was taken alive from its dead mother's womb was called Múlarāja, because the operation was performed when the Múla constellation was in power. Múlarāja grew into an able and popular prince and helped to extend the kingdom of his maternal uncle. In a fit of intoxication Sámantasimha ordered Múlarāja to be placed on the throne. He afterwards cancelled the grant. But Múlarāja contended that a king once installed could not be degraded. He collected troops defeated and slew his uncle and succeeded to the throne in A.D. 942 (S. 998). The main facts of this tale, that Múlarāja's father was one Ráji of the Chálukya family, that his mother was a Cháavadá princess, and that he came to the Cháavadá throne by killing his maternal uncle, appear to be true. That Múlarāja's father's name was Ráji is proved by Dr. Bühler's copperplate of Múlarāja.¹ Merutunga's details that Ráji came in disguise to Anahilaváda, took the fancy of Sámantasimha, and received his sister in marriage seem fictions in the style common in the heroic praises of Rájput princes. Dr. Bühler's copperplate further disproves the story as it calls Múlarāja the son of the illustrious Ráji, the great king of kings *Maharajādhirāja*, a title which would not be given to a wandering prince. Ráji appears to have been of almost equal rank with the Cháavadás. The Ratnamálá calls Ráji fifth in descent from Bhuváda, his four predecessors being Karnáditya, Chándráditya, Somáditya, and Bhuvanáditya. But the Ratnamálá list is on the face of it wrong, as it gives five instead of seven or eight kings to fill the space of over 200 years between Jayasékharā and Múlarāja.

Most Jain chroniclers begin the history of Anahilaváda with Múlarāja who with the Jains is the glory of the dynasty. After taking the small Cháavadá kingdom Múlarāja spread his power in all directions, overrunning Káthiaváda and Kacch on the west, and fighting Bérappa of Látā or South Gujarāt on the south, and Vighraharāja king of Ajmir on the north. The Ajmir kings were called Sapádalaksha. Why they were so called is not known. This much is certain that Sapádalaksha is the Sanskrit form of the modern Sewálík. It would seem that the Choháns, whom the Gujarāt Jain chroniclers call Sapádalakshíya, must have come to Gujarāt from the Sewálík hills. After leaving the Sewálík hills the capital was at Ajmir, which is usually said to have been first fortified by the Chohán king Ajayapála (A.D. 1174-1177).² This story seems invented by the Choháns. The name Ajmir appears to be derived from the Mehra who were in power in these parts between the fifth and the eighth centuries. The Hammíramahákavya begins the Chohán genealogy with Váśudeva (A.D. 780) and states that Váśudeva's fourth successor Ajayapála established the hill fort of Ajmir. About this time (A.D. 840) the Choháns seem to have made settlements in the Ajmir country and to have harassed Gujarāt. Vighraharāja the tenth in suc-

¹ Ind. Ant. VI. 191E.² Kirtane's Hammíramahákavya, I.

Chapter II.

THE
CHALUKYAS,
A.D. 961-1242.
Mularāja,
A.D. 961-996.

cession from Vāsudeva is described as killing Mularāja and weakening the Gurjjara country.¹ The author of the *Prabandhachintāmaṇi* gives the following details. The Sapādalakṣha or Ajmir king entered Gujaraṭ to attack Mularāja and at the same time from the south Mularāja's territory was invaded by Bārappa a general of king Tailapa of Telingāna.² Unable to face both enemies Mularāja at his minister's advice retired to Kanthādurga apparently Kanthkot in Cutch.³ He remained there till the Navarātra or Nine-Night festival at the close of the rains when he expected the Sapādalakṣha king would have to return to Ajmir to worship the goddess Śākambhārī when Bārappa would be left alone. At the close of the rains the Sapādalakṣha king fixed his camp near a place called Śākambhārī and bringing the goddess Śākambhārī there held the Nine-Night festival. This device disappointed Mularāja. He sent for his *śamantas* or nobles and gave them presents. He told them his plans and called on them to support him in attacking the Sapādalakṣha king. Mularāja then mounted a female elephant with no attendant but the driver and in the evening came suddenly to the Ajmir camp. He dismounted and holding a drawn sword in his hand said to the doorkeeper 'What is your king doing. Go and tell your lord that Mularāja waits at his door.' While the attendant was on his way to give the message, Mularāja pushed him on one side and himself went into the presence. The doorkeeper called 'Here comes Mularāja.' Before he could be stopped Mularāja forced his way in and took his seat on the throne. The Ajmir king in consternation asked 'Are you Mularāja?' Mularāja answered 'I would regard him as a brave king who would meet me face to face in battle. While I was thinking no such brave enemy exists, you have arrived. I ask no better fortune than to fight with you. But as soon as you are come, like a bee falling in at dinner time, Bārappa the general of king Tailapa of Telingāna has arrived to attack me. While I am punishing him you should keep quiet and not give me a side blow.' The Ajmir king said, 'Though you are a king, you have come here alone like a foot soldier, not caring for your safety. I will be your ally for life.' Mularāja replied 'Say not so.' He refused the Rāja's invitation to dine, and leaving sword in hand mounted his elephant and with his nobles attacked the camp of Bārappa. Bārappa was killed and eighteen of his elephants and 10,000 of his horses fell into Mularāja's hands. While returning with the spoil Mularāja received news that the Sapādalakṣha king had fled.

¹ The Chohāns of Ajmir were also known as the rulers of Śākambhārī, the Śāmbhar lake in Rajputāna on the borders of Jaipur and Jodhpur. The corrected edition of the Harsha inscription published by Prof. Kielhorn in *Epigraphia Indica* II. 116ff. shows that their first historical king was Guvaka, who reigned some time in the first half of the ninth century (c. 850 A.D.) The Chohāns are still very numerous in the neighbourhood of the Sewalik hills, especially in the districts of Ambāli and Karnāl. Compare Ibbetson's *Panjab Census for 1881*.

² It appears from the grant of Śaka 972 published by Mr. Dhruva in *Ind. Ant.* XII. 196 and from the Śrat grant of Kirttirāja dated Śaka 940, that this Bārappa was the founder of a dynasty who ruled Lāṭa or South Gujaraṭ as under-kings of the Dākṣha Chalukyas until at least A.D. 1060. Bārappa was, as his name shows, a Southerner from the Kānarese country, but his descendants spell the family name Chalukya in the same way as the dynasty of Anahilavāja.

³ Dr. Bühler (*Ind. Ant.* XII. 125) sees a reference to this retirement in Mularāja's grant of Śapvat 1043.

This story of the author of the *Prabandhachintāmaṇi* differs from that given by the author of the *Hamīrakāvya* who describes Mūlarāja as defeated and slain. The truth seems to be that the Ajmir king defeated Mūlarāja and on Mūlarāja's submission did not press his advantage. In these circumstances Mūlarāja's victory over Bārappa seems improbable. The *Dvyāśraya* devotes seventy-five verses (27-101) of its sixth chapter to the contest between Bārappa and Mūlarāja. The details may be thus summarised. Once when Mūlarāja received presents from various Indian kings Dvārappa¹ king of Lātadesa sent an ill-omened elephant. The marks being examined by royal officers and by prince Chāmunda, they decided the elephant would bring destruction on the king who kept him. The elephant was sent back in disgrace and Mūlarāja and his son started with an army to attack Lātadesa and avenge the insult. In his march Mūlarāja first came to the Svahhravati or Sābarmatī which formed the boundary of his kingdom, frightening the people. From the Sābarmatī he advanced to the ancient Puri² where also the people became confused. The Lāta king prepared for fight, and was slain by Chāmunda in single combat. Mūlarāja advanced to Broach where Bārappa who was assisted by the island kings opposed him. Chāmunda overcame them and slew Bārappa. After this success Mūlarāja and Chāmunda returned to Anahilapura.³

The *Dvyāśraya* styles Bārappa king of Lātadesa; the *Prabandhachintāmaṇi* calls him a general of Tailapa king of Telingāna; the *Sukritasankīrtana* a general of the Kanyakubja king; and the *Kīrtikaumudī*⁴ a general of the Lord of Lāta.

Other evidence proves that at the time of Mūlarāja a Chaulukya king named Bārappa did reign in Lātadesa. The Surat grant of Kīrtirāja grandson of Bārappa is dated A.D. 1018 (Saka 940). This, taking twenty years to a king, brings Bārappa's date to A.D. 978 (Saka 900), a year which falls in the reign of Mūlarāja (A.D. 961-996; S. 1027-1053). The statement in the *Prabandhachintāmaṇi* that Bārappa was a general of Tailapa seems correct. The southern form of the name Bārappa supports the statement. And as Tailapa overthrew the Rāshtrakūṭas in A.D. 972 (Saka 894) he might well place a general in military charge of Lāta, and allow him practical independence. This would explain why the *Dvyāśraya* calls Bārappa king of Lātadesa and why the *Kīrtikaumudī* calls him general of the Lord of Lāta.

One of Mūlarāja's earliest wars was with Graharipu the Abhīra or Chudāsama ruler of Sorath.⁵ According to Mūlarāja's bards, the cause

Chapter II.

THE
CHAULUKYAS,
A.D. 961-1242,
Mūlarāja,
A.D. 961-996.

¹ Apparently a Sanskrit form of Bārappa. ² Broach according to the commentator.

³ The *Sukritasankīrtana* mentions this defeat of Bārappa who is said to be a general of the Kanyakubja or Kanauj king. The *Prabandhachintāmaṇi* (Mūlarāja-prabandha) also mentions the invasion and slaughter of Bārappa; but there is no reference to it in the grant of Bārappa's descendant Trilochanapāla (Ind. Ant. XII. 190ff.)

⁴ Canto II. Verse 3.

⁵ As Mr. Forbes rightly observed Graharipu the Planet-seizer is a made-up title based on the resemblance of the planet-seizer's name Rāhu to Rā the title of the Chudāsamas of Junāgadh. The personal name of the chief is not given and the list of the Junāgadh Chudāsamas is too incomplete to allow of identification.

Chapter II.

THE
CHAULUKYAS,
A.D. 961-1242.
Mularāja,
A.D. 961-996.

of war was Graharipu's oppression of pilgrims to Prabhāsa. Graharipu's capital was Vānamethali, the modern Vanthali nine miles west of Junāgadh, and the fort of Durgajalli which Graharipu is said to have established must be Junāgadh itself which was not then a capital. Graharipu is described as a cow-eating Mlecchha and a grievous tyrant. He is said to have had much influence over Lākṣhā son of king Phula of Kacch and to have been helped by Turks and other Mlecchhas. When Mularāja reached the Jambumālī river, he was met by Graharipu and his army. With Graharipu was Lākṣhā of Kacch, the king of Sindh probably a Sumrā, Mewās Bhīlas, and the sons of Graharipu's wife Nīlī who had been summoned from near the Bhadar river by a message in the Yavana language.¹ With Mularāja were the kings of Śīlāprastha,² of Mārwar, of Kāśī, of Arbuda or Abu, and of Śrīmāla or Bhīnmāl. Mularāja had also his own younger brother Gangāmāh, his friend king Revatīmītra, and Bhīle. It is specially mentioned that in this expedition Mularāja received no help from the sons of his paternal uncles Bīja and Dandaka. The fight ended in Graharipu being made prisoner by Mularāja, and in Lākṣhā being slain with a spear. After the victory Mularāja went to Prabhāsa, worshipped the *linga*, and returned to Anahilavāḍa with his army and 108 elephants.

According to the author of the *Prabandhaśhīntāmāni* Lākṣhā met his death in a different contest with Mularāja. Lākṣhā who is described as the son of Phuladā and Kāmalatā daughter of Kīrttirāja a Parmār king, is said to have been invincible because he was under the protection of king Yaśovarman of Mālwa. He defeated Mularāja's army eleven times. In a twelfth encounter Mularāja besieged Lākṣhā in Kapilakot, slew him in single combat, and trod on his flowing beard. Enraged at this insult to her dead son Lākṣhā's mother called down on Mularāja's descendants the curse of the spider poison that is of leprosy.³

Mr. Forbes, apparently from hardie sources, states that on his wife's death Rājī the father of Mularāja went to the temple of Vishnu at Dwārakā. On his return he visited the court of Lākṣhā Phulānī and espoused Lākṣhā's sister Rāyājī by whom he had a son named Rākhāśīh. This marriage proved the ruin of Rājī. In a dispute about precedence Lākṣhā slew Rājī and many of his Rājput followers, his wife Rāyājī becoming a Sati. Bīja the uncle of Mularāja urged his nephew to avenge his father's death and Mularāja was further incited against Lākṣhā because Lākṣhā harboured Rākhāśīh the younger son of Rājī at his court as a rival to Mularāja.

According to the *Dvyāśraya*, either from the rising power of his son or from repentance for his own rough acts, after Chātmunda's victory over Bārappa Mularāja installed him as ruler and devoted himself to religion and charity. According to the *Prabandhaśhīntāmāni* Mularāja built in Anahilavāḍa a Jain temple named Mūlavasatikā. But as the Nandi

¹ The mention of her name and of the language in which she wrote suggest something remarkable in the race and position of queen Nīlī.

² Perhaps Sīthā in Jhalārād.

³ The same account appears in the *Kumārāvalācharita*.

symbol on his copperplate shows that Mularāja was a devoted Saivite, it is possible that this temple was built by some Jain guild or community and named after the reigning chief.¹ Mularāja built a Mahādeva temple called Mūlasvāmi in Anahilavāda, and, in honour of Somanātha, he built the temple of Mūlesvara at Maṇḍali-nagara where he went at the bidding of the god.² He also built at Anahilavāda a temple of Mahādeva called Tripurushaprasāda on a site to which the tradition attaches that seeing Mularāja daily visiting the temple of Mīlanāthadeva at Maṇḍali, Somanātha Mahādeva being greatly pleased promised to bring the ocean to Anahilavāda. Somanātha came, and the ocean accompanying the god certain ponds became brackish. In honour of these salt pools Mularāja built the Tripurushaprasāda. Looking for some one to place in charge of this temple, Mularāja heard of an ascetic named Kantiṇḍi at Siddhapura on the banks of the Sarasvatī who used to fast every other day and on the intervening day lived on five morsels of food. Mularāja offered this sage the charge of the temple. The sage declined saying 'Authority is the surest path to hell.' Eventually Vayajalladeva a disciple of the sage undertook the management on certain conditions. Mularāja passed most of his days at the holy shrine of Siddhapura, the modern Sidhpur on the Sarasvatī about fifteen miles north-east of Anahilavāda. At Sidhpur Mularāja made many grants to Brāhmanas. Several branches of Gujarāt Brāhmanas, Audichyas Śrīgauḍas and Kanojias, trace their origin in Gujarāt to an invitation from Mularāja to Siddhapura and the local Purāṇas and Māhātmyas confirm the story. As the term Audichya means Northerner Mularāja may have invited Brāhmanas from some such holy place as Kurukshetra which the Audichyas claim as their home. From Kanyakubja in the Madhyadesa between the Ganges and the Yamunā another equally holy place the Kanojias may have been invited. The Śrī Gauḍas appear to have come from Bengal and Tirthat. Gauḍa and Tirthat Brāhmanas are noted Tāntriks and Mantrasāstiris a branch of learning for which both the people and the rulers of Gujarāt have a great fondness. Grants of villages were made to these Brāhmanas. Sidhpur was given to the Audichyas, Sindhapura or Siher in Kāthiavāda to some other colony, and Stambhatīrtha or Cambay to the Śrī Gauḍas. At Siddhapura Mularāja built the famous temple called the Rudramahālaya or the great shrine of Rudra. According to tradition Mularāja did not complete the Rudramahālaya and Siddharāja finished it. In spite of this tradition it does not appear that Mularāja died leaving the great temple unfinished as a copperplate of A.D. 987 (S. 1043) records that

Chapter II.

THE
CHAULUKYAS,
A.D. 961-1242.
Mularāja,
A.D. 961-998.

¹ Compare the Lakṣmi-Vihāra Jain temple in Jaisalmer built by the Jain Saṅgha and called after the reigning king Lakṣmān.

² Dr. Bühler's copperplate of Mularāja records a grant to this temple, said to be of Mīlanāthadeva in Maṇḍali in the Vasthī sīla, apparently the modern Māndal near Pafichisar in the Vadhār province near Jhūshavāda. The grant is in Samvat 1043 and is dated from Anahilapura though the actual gift was made at Śrīsthala or Sullpur after bathing in the Sarasvatī and worshipping the god of the Rudramahālaya. The grant is of the village of Kamboika, the modern Kamboi near Molhara. Ind. Ant. VI. 192-193. The grant is said to have been written by a Kāyastha named Kācchapa and ends with the words "of the illustrious Mularāja."

Chapter II.

THE
CHAVLUKYAS,
A.D. 961-1012.
Mularāja,
A.D. 961-996.

Mularāja made the grant after worshipping the god of the Rudramahālaya on the occasion of a solar eclipse on the fifteenth of the dark half of Māgha. It would seem therefore that Mularāja built one large Rudramahālaya which Siddharāja may have repaired or enlarged. Mularāja is said while still in health to have mounted the funeral pile, an act which some writers trace to remorse and others to unknown political reasons. The Vichārāśreni gives the length of Mularāja's reign at thirty-five years A.D. 961-996 (S. 1017-1052); the Prabandhachintāmaṇi begins the reign at A.D. 942 (S. 998) and ends it at A.D. 997 (S. 1053) that is a length of fifty-five years.¹ Of the two, thirty-five years seems the more probable, as, if the traditional accounts are correct, Mularāja can scarcely have been a young man when he overthrew his uncle's power.

Chāmunda,
A.D. 997-1010.

Of Mularāja's son and successor Chāmunda no historical information is available. The author of the Prabandhachintāmaṇi assigns him a reign of thirteen years. The author of the Dvyāśraya says that he had three sons Vallabha Rāja, Durlabha Rāja, and Nāga Rāja. According to one account Chāmunda installed Vallabha in A.D. 1010 (S. 1060) and went on pilgrimage to Benares. On his passage through Mālwa Muñja the Mālwa king carried off Chāmunda's umbrella and other marks of royalty.² Chāmunda went on to Benares in the guise of a hermit. On his return he prayed his son to avenge the insult offered by the king of Mālwa. Vallabha started with an army but died of small-pox. The author of the Prabandhachintāmaṇi gives Chāmunda a reign of six months, while the author of the Vichārāśreni entirely drops his name and gives a reign of fourteen years to Vallabha made up of the thirteen years of Chāmunda and the six months of Vallabha. This seems to be a mistake. It would seem more correct, as is done in several copperplate lists, to omit Vallabha, since he must have reigned jointly with his father and his name is not wanted for purposes of succession. The Vichārāśreni and the Prabandhachintāmaṇi agree in ending Vallabha's reign in A.D. 1010 (S. 1066). The author of the Dvyāśraya states that Chāmunda greatly lamenting the death of Vallabha installed Vallabha's younger brother Durlabha, and himself retired to die at S'ukiatīrtha on the Nartadā.

Durlabha,
A.D. 1010-1022.

Durlabha whom the Sukritasankīrtana also calls Jagatjampaka or World Guardian came to the throne in A.D. 1010 (S. 1066). The Prabandhachintāmaṇi gives the length of his reign at eleven years and six months while the Vichārāśreni makes it twelve years closing it in A.D. 1022 (S. 1078). The author of the Dvyāśraya says that along with his brother Nāga Rāja, Durlabha attended the Svayamvara or bridegroom-choosing of Durlabha Devī the sister of Mahendra the

¹ The difference between 1052 and 1053 is probably only a few months.

² The fight with Muñja must have taken place about A.D. 1011 (S. 1067). As Chāmunda started just after installing Vallabha the beginning of the reign must be before A.D. 997 as Tailapa who fought with Muñja died in that year. This is proved by a manuscript dated A.D. 994 (S. 1056) which gives the reigning king as Muñja. That Bhoja Muñja's successor was ruling in A.D. 1014 (S. 1070) makes it probable that Muñja's reign extended to A.D. 1011 (S. 1067).

Rāja of Nādel in Mārwar. The kings of Aṅga, Kāśī, Avanti, Chedi, Kuru, Hūna, Mathurā, Vindhya, and Andhra were also present.¹ The princess chose Durlabha and Mahendra gave his younger sister Lakshmi to Durlabha's brother Nāga Rāja. The princess' choice of Durlabha drew on him the enmity of certain of the other kings all of whom he defeated. The brothers then returned to Anahilavāḍa where Durlabha built a lake called Durlabhāsarovara. The author of the Prabandhachintāmaṇi says that Durlabha gave up the kingdom to his son (?) Bhīma.² He also states that Durlabha went on pilgrimage and was insulted on the way by Muṇja king of Mālwa. This seems the same tale which the Dvyās'raya tells of Chāmunda. Since Muṇja cannot have been a cotemporary of Durlabha the Dvyās'raya's account seems correct.

Durlabha was succeeded by his nephew Bhīma the son of Durlabha's younger brother Nāga Rāja. The author of the Dvyās'raya says that Durlabha wishing to retire from the world offered the kingdom to his nephew Bhīma; that Bhīma declined in favour of his father Nāga Rāja; that Nāga Rāja refused; that Durlabha and Nāga Rāja persuaded Bhīma to take the government; and that after installing Bhīma the two brothers died together. Such a voluntary double death sounds unlikely unless the result was due to the machinations of Bhīma. The Prabandhachintāmaṇi gives Bhīma a reign of fifty-two years from A.D. 1022 to 1074 (S. 1078-1130), while the Vichārās'regi reduces his reign to forty-two years placing its close in A.D. 1064 (S. 1120). Forty-two years would seem to be correct as another copy of the Prabandhachintāmaṇi has 42.

Two copperplates of Bhīma are available one dated A.D. 1030 (S. 1085) eight or nine years after he came to the throne, the other from Kacch in A.D. 1037 (S. 1093).

Bhīma seems to have been more powerful than either of his predecessors. According to the Dvyās'raya his two chief enemies were the kings of Sindh and of Chedi or Bundelkhand. He led a victorious expedition against Hammuka the king of Sindh, who had conquered the king of Sivasāna and another against Karna king of Chedi who paid tribute and submitted. The Prabandhachintāmaṇi has a verse, apparently an old verse interpolated, which says that on the Mālwa king Bhoja's death, while sacking Dhārāpuri, Karna took Bhīma as his coadjutor, and that afterwards Bhīma's general Dāmara took Karna captive and won from him a gold *maṇḍapikā* or canopy and images of Ganeśa and Nilakūṭhes'vara Mahādeva. Bhīma is said to have presented the canopy to Somanātha.

When Bhīma was engaged against the king of Sindh, Kulachandra the general of the Mālwa king Bhoja with all the Mālwa feudatories, invaded Anahilavāḍa, sacked the city, and sowed shell-money at the gate where the time-marking gong was sounded. So great was the

Chapter II.

THE
CHAULUKYAS,
A.D. 961-1212.
Durlabha,
A.D. 1010-1022.

Bhīma I.
A.D. 1022-1064.

¹ This Sagaravara and the list of attendant and rival kings seem imaginary. The Nādel chieftainship was not important enough to draw kings from the countries named.

² The text has son but Bhīma was Durlabha's nephew not his son.

Chapter II.

THE
CHAUUKYAS,
A.D. 901-1242.
Bhima I.
A.D. 1022-1024.

loss that the 'sacking of Kulachandra' has passed into a proverb. Kulachandra also took from Apahlavāja an acknowledgment of victory or *jayapatra*. On his return Bhoja received Kulachandra with honour but blamed him for not sowing salt instead of shell-money.¹ He said the shell-money is an omen that the wealth of Mālwa will flow to Gujarāt. An unpublished inscription of Bhoja's successor Udayāditya in a temple at Udepur near Bhilsā confirms the above stating that Bhīma was conquered by Bhoja's officers.²

The Solanki kings of Apahlapura being Śaivites held the god Somanātha of Prabhāsa in great veneration. The very ancient and holy shrine of Prabhāsa has long been a place of special pilgrimage. As early as the Yādavas of Dwārka,³ pilgrimages to Prabhāsa are recorded but the Mahābhārata makes no mention either of Somanātha or of any other Śaivite shrine. The shrine of Somanātha was probably not established before the time of the Valabhis (A.D. 450-767). As the Valabhi kings were most open-handed in religious gifts, it was probably through their grants that the Somanātha temple rose to importance. The Solankis were not behind the Valabhis in devotion to Somanātha. To save pilgrims from oppression Mālarāja fought Grahariṇi the Abhira king of Sorath.⁴ Mālarāja afterwards went to Prabhāsa and also built temples in Gujarāt in honour of the god Somanātha. As Mālarāja's successors Chāmanḍa and Darlabha continued firm devotees of Somanātha during their reigns (A.D. 997-1022) the wealth of the temple must have greatly increased.

No Gujarāt Hindu writer refers to the destruction of the great temple soon after Bhīma's accession.⁵ But the Muslim historians place beyond doubt that in A.D. 1024 the famous tenth raid of

Mahmūd's
Invasion,
A.D. 1024.

¹ By sowing cowries Kulachandra may have meant to show the cheapness of Apahlavāja. Bhoja's meaning was that as shells are money, to sow shells was to sow Mālwa wealth in Gujarāt. If Kulachandra had sown salt all would have melted, and no trace been left. [This seems a symbolic later-stage explanation. The sense seems to be shell-sowing keeps the Apahlavāja guardians in place since guardians can live in shells: salt-sowing scares the guardian spirits and makes the site of the city a haunt of demons. Bhoja saw that thanks to his general the Luck of Apahlavāja would remain safe in the shells.]

² The Prabandhachintāmaṇi tells other stories of the relations between Bhīma and Bhoja. Once when Gujarāt was suffering from famine Bhīma heard that Bhoja was coming with a force against Gujarāt. Alarmed at the news Bhīma asked Dāman his minister of peace and war to prevent Bhoja coming. Dāman went to Mālwa, amused the king by witty stories, and while a play was being acted in court degrading and jolting other kings, something was said regarding Tallapa of Telingana. On this Dāman reminded the king that the head of his grandfather Mātija was fixed at Tallapa's door. Bhoja grew excited and started with an army against Telingana. Hearing that Bhīma had come against him as far as Bhīmapura (?) Bhoja asked Dāman to prevent Bhīma advancing further. Dāman stopped Bhīma by taking him an elephant as a present from Bhoja. The Prabandhachintāmaṇi gives numerous other stories showing that at times the relations between Bhoja and Bhīma were friendly.

³ See above page 9.

⁴ See above page 160.

⁵ With this silence compare the absence (Reinaud's *Mémoires Sur l'Inde*, 67) of any reference either in Sanskrit or in Buddhist books to the victories, even to the name, of Alexander the Great. Also in modern times the ignoring of British rule in the many inscriptions of Jain repairs of temples on Satranjaya hill who belong to British territory. The only foreign reference is by one merchant of Damian who acknowledges the protection of the Phraugl [415] Puratākā Pāṇasāhi the king of the Firangi of Portugal. Bühler in *Epigraphia Indica*, II. 36.

Mahmūd of Ghazni, ended in the destruction and plunder of Somanātha.¹

Of the destruction of Somanātha the earliest Muslim account, that of Ibn Asīr (A.D. 1160-1229), supplies the following details: In the year A.D. 1024 (H. 414) Mahmūd captured several forts and cities in Hind and he also took the idol called Somanātha. This idol was the greatest of all the idols of Hind. At every eclipse² the Hindus went on pilgrimage to the temple, and there congregated to the number of a hundred thousand persons. According to their doctrine of transmigration the Hindus believe that after separation from the body the souls of men meet at Somanātha; and that the ebb and flow of the tide is the worship paid to the best of its power by the sea to the idol.³ All that is most precious in India was brought to Somanātha. The temple attendants received the most valuable presents, and the temple was endowed with more than 10,000 villages.⁴ In the temple were amassed jewels of the most exquisite quality and of incalculable value. The people of India have a great river called Ganga to which they pay the highest honour and into which they cast the bones of their great men, in the belief that the deceased will thus secure an entrance to heaven. Though between this river and Somanātha is a distance of about 1200 miles (200 *parasangs*) water was daily brought from it to wash the idol.⁵ Every day a thousand Brāhmins performed the worship and introduced visitors.⁶ The shaving of the heads and beards of pilgrims employed three hundred barbers.⁷ Three hundred and fifty persons sang and danced at the gate of the temple,⁸ every one receiving a settled daily allowance. When Mahmūd was gaining victories and demolishing idols in North India, the Hindus said Somanātha is displeased with these idols. If Somanātha had been satisfied with them no one could have destroyed or injured them. When Mahmūd heard this he resolved on making a campaign to destroy Somanātha, believing that when the Hindus saw their prayers and imprecations to be false and futile they would embrace the Faith.

So he prayed to the Almighty for aid, and with 30,000 horse besides volunteers left Ghazni on the 10th Sha'ban (H. 414, A.D. 1024).

Chapter II.

THE
CHAULUKYAS,
A.D. 961-1242.
Somanātha,
A.D. 1024.

¹ Elliot and Dawson, II. 468E. Sir H. M. Elliot gives extracts for this expedition from the *Tārīkh-i-Afīf*, *Tabakāt-i-Akbari*, *Tabakāt-i-Nādiri*, and *Rasūnāt-i-safā*.

² Since the earliest times Hindus have held eclipse days sacred. According to the *Mahabharata* the Yadavae of Dwārka came to Somanātha for an eclipse fair. Great fairs are still held at Somanātha on the Kārtika and Chaitra (December and April) fullmoons.

³ This old Indian idea is expressed in a verse in an inscription in Somanātha Patax itself.

⁴ Ten thousand must be taken vaguely.

⁵ Compare Eschen's *Albumini*, II. 104. Every day they brought Somanātha a jug of Ganges water and a basket of Kashmir flowers. Somanātha they believed cured every inveterate sickness and healed every desperate and incurable disease. The reason why Somanātha became so famous was that it was a harbour for those who went to and fro from Sofala in Zanzibar to China. It is still the practice to carry Ganges water to bathe the distant gods.

⁶ These must be the local Sompura Brāhmins who still number more than five hundred souls in Somanātha Patax.

⁷ Shaving is the first rite performed by pilgrims.

⁸ Dancers are now chiefly found in the temples of Southern India.

Chapter II.

THE
CHAUUKYAS,
A.D. 961-1242.
Somanátha,
A.D. 1024.

He took the road to Multán and reached it in the middle of Ramzán. The road from Multán to India lay through a barren desert without inhabitants or food. Mahmúd collected provisions for the passage and loading 30,000 camels with water and corn started for Apahilaváda. After he had crossed the desert he perceived on one side a fort full of people in which place there were wells.¹ The leaders came to conciliate him, but he invested the place, and God gave him victory over it, for the hearts of the people failed them through fear. He brought the place under the sway of Islám, killed the inhabitants, and broke in pieces their images. His men carrying water with them marched for Apahilaváda, where they arrived at the beginning of Zilkáda.

The Chief of Apahilaváda, called Bhím, fled hastily, and abandoning his city went to a certain fort for safety and to prepare for war. Mahmúd pushed on for Somanátha. On his march he came to several forts in which were many images serving as chamberlains or heralds of Somanátha. These Mahmúd called Shaitán or devils. He killed the people, destroyed the fortifications, broke the idols in pieces, and through a waterless desert marched to Somanátha. In the desert land he met 20,000 fighting men whose chiefs would not submit. He sent troops against them, defeated them, put them to flight, and plundered their possessions. From the desert he marched to Dahalwárah,² two days' journey from Somanátha. The people of Dahalwárah stayed in the city believing that the word of Somanátha would drive back the invaders. Mahmúd took the place, slew the men, plundered their property, and marched to Somanátha.

Reaching Somanátha on a Thursday in the middle of Zilkáda Mahmúd beheld a strong fortress built on the sea-shore, so that its walls were washed by the waves.³ From the walls the people jeered at the Musalmáns. Our deity, they said, will cut off the last man of you and destroy you all. On the morrow which was Friday the assailants advanced to the assault. When the Hindus saw how the Muhammadans fought they abandoned their posts and left the walls. The Musalmáns planted their ladders and scaled the walls. From the top they raised their war-cry, and showed the might of Islám. Still their loss was so heavy that the issue seemed doubtful. A body of Hindus hurried to Somanátha, cast themselves on the ground before him, and besought him to grant them victory. Night came on and the fight was stayed.

Early next morning Mahmúd renewed the battle. His men made greater havoc among the Hindus till they drove them from the town to the house of their idol Somanátha. At the gate of the temple the slaughter was dreadful. Band after band of the defenders entered the temple and standing before Somanátha with their hands clasped round their necks wept and passionately entreated him. Then they issued forth to fight and fought till they were slain. The few left alive took

¹ Mahmúd seems to have crossed the desert from Multán and Baháwalpur to Ráhnir and thence to Ajmir.

² Apparently Delvára near Uná. Mahmúd's route seems to have been from Apahilaváda to Modhera and Mándal, thence by the Little Rán near Pári and Bajána, and thence by Jhalavá (Gohelvá) and Báláráva to Delvára.

³ The waves still beat against the walls of the ruined fort of Somanátha.

to the sea in boats but the Musalmáns overtook them and some were killed and some were drowned.

The temple of Somanátha rested on fifty-six pillars of teakwood covered with lead.¹ The idol was in a dark chamber. The height of the idol was five cubits and its girth three cubits. This was what appeared to the eye; two cubits were hidden in the basement. It had no appearance of being sculptured. Mahmúd seized it, part of it he burnt, and part he carried with him to Ghazni, where he made it a step at the entrance of the Great Mosque.² The dark shrine was lighted by exquisitely jewelled chandeliers. Near the idol was a chain of gold 200 *mans* in weight. To the chain bells were fastened. And when each watch of the night was over the chain was shaken and the ringing of the bells roused a fresh party of Bráhmans to carry on the worship. In the treasury which was near the shrine were many idols of gold and silver. Among the treasures were veils set with jewels, every jewel of immense value. What was found in the temple was worth more than two millions of *dínárs*. Over fifty thousand Hindus were slain.³

After the capture of Somanátha, Mahmúd received intelligence that Bhím the chief of Anahilaváda had gone to the fort of Khandahat,⁴ about 240 miles (40 *parasangs*) from Somanátha between that place and the desert. Mahmúd marched to Khandahat. When he came before it he questioned some men who were hunting as to the tide. He learned that the ford was practicable, but that if the wind blew a little the crossing was dangerous. Mahmúd prayed to the Almighty and entered the water. He and his forces passed safely and drove out the enemy. From Khandahat he returned intending to proceed against Mansúra in central Sindh, whose ruler was an apostate Muhammadan. At the news of Mahmúd's approach the chief fled into the date forests. Mahmúd followed, and surrounding him and his adherents, many of them were slain, many drowned, and few escaped. Mahmúd then went

Chapter II.

THE
CRAULUKYAS,
A.D. 961-1342.
Somanátha,
A.D. 1024.

¹ This shows that the temple was a building of brick and wood. According to Alberuni (Sachau, II. 103) the temple was built about a hundred years before Mahmúd's invasion. An inscription at Patan states that Bhímadéva I (A.D. 1002-1072) rebuilt the Somanátha temple of stone. In Dr. Bhagvatsal's opinion the first dynasty in Gujarat to make stone buildings were the Soládkis. Before them buildings and temples were of wood and brick.

² Of the fate of the great Lídga Alberuni (Sachau, II. 103) writes: Prince Mahmúd ordered the upper part to be broken. The rest with all its coverings and trappings of gold, jewels and embroidered garments he transported to Ghazni. Part of it together with the brass Chakravartí or Vishnu of Thánesar has been thrown into the hippodrome of the town: part lies before the mosque for people to rub their feet on.

³ The next paragraph relating to Mahmúd's return will be found on page 240 of the same volume of Sir H. Elliot's work.

⁴ Khandahat which must have been on the coast has not been identified. The description suggests some coast island in the gulf of Kacch. By the Gírnár route forty *parasangs* that is 240 miles would reach the Kacch coast. Kanthkot is Vágad in east Kacch, sits well in sound and is known to have been a favourite resort of the Soládkis. But the ebb and flow of the tide close to it are difficult to explain. The identification with Kanthkot is favoured by Dr. Bühler. Colonel Watson (Kathiáwar Gazetteer, 80) prefers Gándhí on the Kathiáwar coast a few miles north-east of Miani. M. Reineaud and Dr. Weil suggest Gándhár in Breach on the left bank of the mouth of the Múshhar river. Sir H. Elliot (I. 443 and II. 473) prefers Khandahat at the north-west angle of Káthiavár.

Chapter II.

THE
CHAULUKYAS,
A.D. 961-1242.
Somanātha,
A.D. 1024.

to Bhātīā, and after reducing the inhabitants to obedience, returned to Ghazni where he arrived on the 10th Safar 417 H. (A.D. 1026).

The *Rauzat-i-safā* of Mirkhand supplements these details with the following account of Mahmūd's arrangements for holding Gujarāt: 'It is related that when Sultan Mahmūd had achieved the conquest of Somanātha he wished to fix his residence there for some years because the country was very extensive and possessed many advantages among them several mines which produced pure gold. Indian rubies were brought from Sarandīp, one of the dependencies of the kingdom of Gujarāt. His ministers represented to Mahmūd that to forsake Khurāsān which had been won from his enemies after so many battles and to make Somanātha the seat of government was very improper. At last the king made up his mind to return and ordered some one to be appointed to hold and carry on the administration of the country. The ministers observed that as it was impossible for a stranger to maintain possession he should assign the country to one of the native chiefs. The Sultan accordingly held a council to settle the nomination, in concurrence with such of the inhabitants as were well disposed towards him. Some of them represented to him that amongst the ancient royal families no house was so noble as that of the Dāshihilims of whom only one member survived, and he had assumed the habit of a Brāhman, and was devoted to philosophical pursuits and austerity.'¹

That Mahmūd should have found it necessary to appoint some local chief to keep order in Gujarāt is probable. It is also probable that he would choose some one hostile to the defeated king. It has been suggested above that Bhīma's uncle Durlabha did not retire but was ousted by his nephew and that the story of Vallabha and Durlabha dying together pointed to some usurpation on the part of Bhīma. The phrase the Dāshihilims seems to refer either to Durlabhasena or his son. Whoever was chosen must have lost his power soon after Mahmūd's departure.²

¹ According to Ferishta (Bombay Persian Ed. I. 57, Briggs' Translation, I. 74) Mahmūd stayed and meant to make his capital at Anahilavāja not at Somanātha. That Mahmūd did stay at Anahilavāja the Martyr's Mound and the Ghazni Mosque in Patan are evidence. Still the mound was probably raised and the mosque may at least have been begun in honour of the capture of Anahilavāja on the journey south. Traces of a second mosque which is said to have had a tablet recording Mahmūd at Ghazni as the builder have recently (1878) been found at Manjpur about twenty-five miles south-east of Rādhanpur.

² Briggs' Ferishta, I. 75. This account of the Dāshihilims reads more like a tradition than an historical record. It is to be noted that the authors both of the *Al-i-Akbari* (A.D. 1583) and of the *Mirāt-i-Ahmadi* (A.D. 1763) give Chāmmūja as king at the time of Mahmūd's invasion. Their statements cannot weigh against Ibn Asir's account. Compare Dr. Bühler's remarks in *Ind. Ant.* VI. 184. Of Mahmūd's return to Ghazni (A.D. 1026) the *Tabakāt-i-Akbari* says: 'When Mahmūd resolved to return from Somanātha he learned that Parama Dev, one of the greatest Rājās of Hindūstan, was preparing to intercept him. The Sultan, not deeming it advisable to contend with this chief, went towards Multān through Sindh. In this journey his men suffered much in some places from scarcity of water in others from want of forage. After enduring great difficulties he arrived at Ghazni in A.D. 1029 (H. 417).' This Parama Dev would seem to be the Parmāra king of A'bo who could well block the Ajmir-Gujarāt route. The route taken by Mahmūd must have passed by Mansūra near Brāhmanābād, Bhātīā, and Multān. 11

An inscription at Somanātha shows that soon after Mahmūd was gone Bhīmadeva began to build a temple of stone in place of the former temple of brick and wood.

A few years later Bhīma was on bad terms with Dhandhuka the Paramāra chief of Abu, and sent his general Vimala to subdue him. Dhandhuka submitted and made over to Vimala the beautiful Chitrakūṭa peak of Abu, where, in A.D. 1032 (S. 1088), Vimala built the celebrated Jain temples known as Vimalavasahi still one of the glories of Abu.¹

Bhīma had three wives Udayāmatī who built a step-well at Anahilavāḍa, Bukuladevī, and another. These ladies were the mothers of Karna, Kshēmarāja, and Mūlarāja. Of the three sons Mūlarāja, though his mother's name is unknown, was the eldest and the heir-apparent. Of the kindly Mūlarāja the author of the *Prabandhachintāmaṇi* tells the following tale: In a year of scarcity the Kuṭumbikas or cultivators of Vishopaka and Dandāhi found themselves unable to pay the king his share of the land-produce. Bhīmarāja sent a minister to inquire and the minister brought before the king all the well-to-do people of the defaulting villages. One day prince Mūlarāja saw these men talking to one another in alarm. Taking pity on them he pleased the king by his skilful riding. The king asked him to name a boon and the prince begged that the demand on the villagers might be remitted. The boon was granted, the ryots went home in glee, but within three days Mūlarāja was dead. Next season yielded a bumper harvest, and the people came to present the king with his share for that year as well as with the remitted share for the previous year. Bhīmadev declined to receive the arrears. A jury appointed by the king settled that the royal share of the produce for both years should be placed in the king's hands for the erection of a temple called the new Tripurushaprāsāda for the spiritual welfare of prince Mūlarāja.²

Chapter II.

THE
CHAULUKYAS,
A.D. 961-1242.

Bhīma I.
A.D. 1022-1054.

must have been in the crossing of the great desert that he suffered so severely from scarcity of water and forage. Ferishta (Briggs, I. 76) says that many of Mahmūd's troops died raging mad from the intolerable heat and thirst. The historian Muhammad Uī (A.D. 1200) alleges (Elliot, II. 102) that two Hindus disguised as countrymen offered themselves as guides and led the army three days' march out of the right course, where they were saved only by Mahmūd's miraculous discovery of a pool of sweet water. [This tale of the self-sacrificing Brāhman or priest and the miraculous find of water has gathered round Mahmūd as the latest of myth centres. It is Herodotus' (Book III. 164-168) old Zopyrus tale (Rawlinson's *Seventh Monarchy*, 318); it is revived in honour of the Great Kushān Kanishka, A.D. 78 (Beruni in Elliot, II. 11), of the Sassanian Firz A.D. 457-483 (Rawlinson's *Seventh Monarchy*, 318), and of a certain king of Zabulistan or Ghazni of uncertain date (Elliot II. 170). Similarly the puzzling Dalabillu tale seems to be peculiar neither to Gujarat nor to Mahmūd of Ghazni. It seems a repetition of the tale of Dalabillu the man of the royal race, who, according to the *Panchatantra* or *Fables of Pilpai*, was chosen successor of Porus after Alexander the Great's Viceroy had been driven out. (Compare Richard's *Mémoires Sur l'Inde*, 127-128.) The *Tabakat-i-Nasiri* (A.D. 1227) adds (Elliot, II. 476) that the guide devoted his life for the sake of Somanātha and this account is adopted by Ferishta, Briggs' Translation, I. 76.

¹ Vasahis Prākṛit for Vasati that is residence. The word is used to mean a group of temples.

² Several later mentions of a *Tripurushaprāsāda* show there was only one building of that name. The statement that the great Mūlarāja I. built a *Tripurushaprāsāda* seems a mistake, due to a confusion with prince Mūlarāja.

Chapter II.

THE

CHAULUKYAS,
A.D. 901-1242.Bhīma I.
A.D. 1022-1064.

Bhīma reigned forty-two years. Both the *Prabandhachintāmaṇi* and the *Vichārāśreṇi* mention Karna as his successor. According to the *Dvyāśraya* Bhīma, wishing to retire to a religious life, offered the succession to Kshemarāja. But Kshemarāja also was averse from the labour of ruling and it was settled that Karna should succeed.

Bhīma died soon after and Kshemarāja retired to a holy place on the Sarasvatī named Mundakeśvara not far from Anahilavāda. Karna is said to have granted Dahithali a neighbouring village to Devaprasāda the son of Kshemarāja that he might attend on his father in his religious seclusion. But as the *Kumārāpālacharita* mentions Kshemarāja being settled at Dahithali as a ruler not as an ascetic it seems probable that Dahithali was granted to Kshemarāja for maintenance as villages are still granted to the *bhāyās* or brethren of the ruler.

Karna,
A.D. 1064-1094.

Karna who came to the throne in A.D. 1064 (S. 1120) had a more peaceful reign than his predecessors. He was able to build charitable public works among them a temple called Karna-menū at Anahilavāda. His only war was an expedition against Ashā Bhil, chief of six lakhs¹ of Bhils residing at Ashāpalli the modern village of Asval near Ahmadābād.² Ashā was defeated and slain. In consequence of an omen from a local goddess named Kochharva,³ Karna built her a temple in Asval and also built temples to Jayantī Devī and Karnaśvara Mahādeva. He made a lake called Karnaśgara and founded a city called Karnaśvatī which he made his capital.

Karna had three ministers Munjāla, Sāntu, and Udaya. Udaya was a Śrīmālī Vāṇīa of Mārwar, who had settled in Anahilavāda and who was originally called Udā. Sāntu built a Jain temple called Sāntu-vasahī and Udā built at Karnaśvatī a large temple called Udaya-varāha, containing seventy-two images of Tirthankars, twenty-four past twenty-four present and twenty-four to come. By different wives Udā had five sons, Khada or Asthāda, Chāhāda, Bāhāda, Ambāda, and Sollā, of whom the last three were half brothers of the first two.⁴ Except Sollā, who continued a merchant and became very wealthy, all the sons entered the service of the state and rose to high stations during the reign of Kumārāpāla.

In late life Karna married Miyānalladevī daughter of Jayakesī son of Subhakesī king of the Karnaśtaka. According to the *Dvyāśraya* a wandering painter showed Karna the portrait of a princess whom he described as daughter of Jayakesī the Kadamba king⁵ of

¹ Meaning a large number of Bhils of whom Ashā was the head.

² Forbes' *Ras Mālā* (New Ed.), 79.

³ Probably a Bhil goddess. The name does not sound Sanskrit.

⁴ In one passage the *Prabandhachintāmaṇi* calls these princes half-brothers of Udaya. Further details show that they were half-brothers of one another and sons of Udaya.

⁵ This Jayakesī is Jayakesī I, son of Shashthadeva (Subhakesī) the third of the Goa Kadambas. Jayakesī's recorded date A.D. 1052 (S. 974) fits well with the time of Karna (Fleet's *Kannree Dynasties*, 91). The *Prabandhachintāmaṇi* tells the following story of the death of Jayakesī. Jayakesī had a favourite parrot whom he

Chandrapura¹ in the Dakhan, and who he said had taken a vow to marry Karṇa. In token of her wish to marry Karṇa the painter said the princess had sent Karṇa an elephant. Karṇa went to see the present and found on the elephant a beautiful princess who had come so far in the hope of winning him for a husband. According to the *Pratandhachintamani* Karṇa found the princess ugly and refused to marry her. On this the princess with eight attendants determined to burn themselves on a funeral pyre and Udayāmatī Karṇa's mother also declared that if he did not relent she too would be a sacrifice. Under this compulsion Karṇa married the princess but refused to treat her as a wife. The minister Muñjala, learning from a *kuñchuki* or palace-servant that the king loved a certain courtesan, contrived that Miyānalladevi should take the woman's place, a device still practised by ministers of native states. Karṇa fell into the snare and the queen became pregnant by him, having secured from the hand of her husband his signet ring as a token which could not be disclaimed. Thus in Karṇa's old age Miyānalladevi became the mother of the illustrious Siddharāja Jayasingha, who, according to a local tradition quoted by Mr. Forbes, first saw the light at Pālanpur.² When three years old the precocious Siddharāja climbed and sat upon the throne. This ominous event being brought to the king's notice he consulted his astrologers who advised that from that day Siddharāja should be installed as heir-apparent.

The Gujarāt chronicles do not record how or when Karṇa died. It appears from a manuscript that he was reigning in A. D. 1089 (S. 1145).³ The *Hammiramahākavya* says 'The illustrious Karṇadeva was killed in battle by king Duśśala of Sakambhari,' and the two appear to have been contemporaries.⁴ The author of the *Dvyāstraya* says that Karṇa died fixing his thoughts on Vishnu, recommending to Siddharāja his cousin Devaprasāda son of Kshemarāja. According to the *Pratandhachintamani* Vichitraśrenī and Sukṛita-sankīrtana Karṇa died in A. D. 1094 (S. 1150).

As, at the time of his father's death, Siddharāja was a minor⁵ the reins of government must have passed into the hands of his mother Miyānalladevi. That the succession should have been attended with struggle and intrigue is not strange. According to the *Dvyāstraya* Devaprasāda, the son of Kshemarāja burned himself on the funeral pile shortly after the death of Karṇa, an action which was probably the result of some intrigue regarding the succession. Another intrigue

Chapter II.

THE

CHAULUKYAS.

A. D. 961 - 1242.

Karṇa.

A. D. 1094 - 1094.

Siddharāja
Jayasingha,
A. D. 1094 - 1142.

one day asked to come out of his cage and dine with him. The parrot said: The cat sitting near you will kill me. The king seeing no cat replied: If any cat kills you I too will die. The parrot left his cage, ate with the king, and was killed by the cat. Jayakeri made ready his funeral pyre, and, in spite of his minister's prayers, taking the dead parrot in his hand laid himself on the funeral pyre and was burned.

¹ Chandrapura is probably Chandivār near Gokarn in North Kānara.

² *Ris Mala* (New Edition), 83.

³ Kellhorn's Report on Sanskrit Manuscripts for 1881 page 22.

⁴ Duśśala was sixth in descent from Vighnarāja the enemy of Mālarāja from whom Karṇa was fifth in descent.

⁵ The date of his installation is given by the author of the *Vichitraśrenī* as Vikrama S. 1150.

Chapter II.

THE
CHAUUKYAS,
A.D. 901-1242.
Siddharāja
Jayasingha,
A.D. 1094-1143.

ended in the death of Madanapāla brother of Karna's mother queen Udayamati, at the hands of the minister Sāntu, who along with Muñjala and Udā, helped the queen-mother Miyānalladevi during the regency. Muñjala and Sāntu continued in office under Siddharāja. Another minister built a famous Jain temple named Mahārājahubhava in Sidhpur at the time when Siddharāja built the Rudramālā. An inscription from a temple near Bhadresar in Kacch dated A.D. 1139 (S. 1195 Ashādha Vad 10, Sunday), in recording grants to Audishya Brahmins to carry on the worship in an old temple of Udaesvara and in a new temple of Kumārapālesvara built by Kumārapāla son of the great prince Āsapāla,¹ notes that Dādāka was then minister of Siddharāja. Among his generals the best known was a chief named Jagaddeva (Jag Dev), commonly believed to be a Paramāra, many of whose feats of daring are recorded in bardic and popular romances.² Though Jag Dev is generally called a Paramāra nothing of his family is on record. The author of the *Prabandhachintāmaṇi* describes Jagaddeva as a thrice valiant warrior held in great respect by Siddharāja. After Siddharāja's death Jagaddeva went to serve king Permādi to whose mother's family he was related.³ Permādi gave him a chiefship and sent him to attack Mālava.

When Siddharāja attained manhood his mother prepared to go in great state on pilgrimage to Somanātha. She went with rich offerings as far as Bāhuloda apparently the large modern village of Bholāda on the Gujarāt-Kāthiāvāda frontier about twenty-two miles south-west of Dholkā. At this frontier town the Aṇahilavāda kings levied a tax on all pilgrims to Somanātha. Many of the pilgrims unable to pay the tax had to return home in tears. Miyānalladevi was so saddened by the woes of the pilgrims that she stopped her pilgrimage and returned home. Siddharāja met her on the way and asked her why she had turned back. Miyānalladevi said, I will neither eat nor go to Somanātha until you order the remission of the pilgrim tax. Siddharāja called the Bholāda treasurer and found that the levy yielded 72 lakhs a year.⁴ In spite of the serious sacrifice Siddharāja broke the board authorizing the levy of the tax and pouring water from his hand into his mother's declared that the merit of the remission was hers. The queen went to Somanātha and worshipped the god with gold presenting an elephant and other gifts and handing over her own weight in money.

According to the *Prabandhachintāmaṇi* while Miyānalladevi and Siddharāja were on pilgrimage Yaśovarman king of Mālwa continually harassed the Gurjjara-Maṇḍala. Sāntu who was in charge of the kingdom asked Yaśovarman on what consideration he would retire.

¹ Āsapāla and Kumārapāla appear to be local chiefs.

² Compare Forbes' R4. M&L, I, 118-153.

³ Goa Kādāmba inscriptions say that Jagaddeva was the cousin of the Goa Kādāmba king Vijayārka the nephew of Miyānalladevi and call him by courtesy the younger brother of Vijayārka's son Jayakēśi II. He would seem to have been held in esteem by Vijayārka and his son Jayakēśi, to have then gone for some time to Siddharāja, and after leaving Siddharāja to have transferred his services to Permādi. His being called Paramāra may be due to his connection with Permādi. Fleet's *Kādāmba Dynasties*, 91.

⁴ Seventy-two a favourite number with Indian authors.

Yaśovarman said he would retire if Siddharāja gave up to him the merit of the pilgrimage to Somesvara. Śāntu washed his feet and taking water in his hand surrendered to Yaśovarman the merit of Siddharāja, on which, according to his promise, Yaśovarman retired. On his return Siddharāja asked Śāntu what he meant by transferring his sovereign's merit to a rival. Śāntu said, 'If you think my giving Yaśovarman your merit has any importance I restore it to you.' This curious story seems to be a Jain fiction probably invented with the object of casting ridicule on the Brāhmanical doctrine of merit. Yaśovarman was not a cotemporary of Siddharāja. The Mālwa king referred to is probably Yaśovarman's predecessor Naravarman, of whom an inscription dated A.D. 1134 (S. 1190) is recorded.

Under the name Sadharo Jesingh, Siddharāja's memory is fresh in Gujarāt as its most powerful, most religious, and most charitable ruler. Almost every old work of architectural or antiquarian interest in Gujarāt is ascribed to Siddharāja. In inscriptions he is styled The great king of kings, The great lord, The great Bhāttāraka, The lord of Avantī, The hero of the three worlds, The conqueror of Barbaraka, The universal ruler Siddha, The illustrious Jayasinhadeva. Of these the commonest attributes are Siddhachakravartin the Emperor of Magic and Siddharāja the Lord of Magic, titles which seem to claim for the king divine or supernatural powers.¹ In connection with his assumption of these titles the Kumārapālprabandha, the Dvyāśraya, and the Prabandhachintāmaṇi tell curious tales. According to the Dvyāśraya, the king wandering by night had subdued the Bhūtas, Sākinis, and other spirits. He had also learnt many mantras or charms. From what he saw at night he would call people in the day time and say 'You have such a cause of uneasiness' or 'You have such a comfort.' Seeing that he knew their secrets the people thought that the king knew the hearts of all men and must be the avatar of some god. A second story tells how Siddharāja helped a Nāga prince and princess whom he met by night on the Samavati.² According to a third story told in the Kumārapālprabandha two Yoginis or nymphs came from the Himālayas and asked the king by what mystic powers he justified the use of the title Siddharāja. The king agreed to perform some wonders in open court in the presence of the nymphs. With the help of a former minister, Haripāla, the king had a dagger prepared whose blade was of sugar and its handle of iron set with jewels. When the king appeared in court to perform the promised wonders a deputation of ambassadors from king Permādi of Kalyāṇakataka³ was

Chapter II.

THE
CHAULUKYAS,
A.D. 961-1242.
Siddharāja
Jayasingha,
A.D. 1094-1142.

¹ Prabandhachintāmaṇi and Kumārapālacharita.

² Dr. Kielhorn's Report on Sanskrit Manuscripts for 1881 page 22.

³ The Kumārapālacharita says that the title was assumed on the conquest of Barbaraka. The verse is:

सिद्धो बर्बरकबाल्य सिद्धरानस्ततोभवत्

that is, by him the demon Barbaraka was vanquished, therefore he became Siddharāja The Lord of Magical Power.

⁴ Ind. Ant. IV. 265.

⁵ This Permādi may be the Goa Kādamba chief Permādi Śivachitta (A.D. 1147-1175), who was heir-apparent in the time of Siddharāja, or the Śinda chief Permādi who was a cotemporary of Siddharāja and flourished in A.D. 1144.

Chapter II.

THE

CHAULUKYAS,
A.D. 961-1242.
Siddharāja
Jayasingha,
A.D. 1094-1143.

announced. The deputation entered and presented the prepared dagger as a gift from their lord. The king kept the prepared dagger and in its stead sent all round the court a real dagger which was greatly admired. After the real dagger had been seen and returned the king said: I will use this dagger to show my mystic powers, and in its place taking the false dagger ate its sugar blade. When the blade was eaten the minister stopped the king and said Let the Yoginis eat the handle. The king agreed and as the Yoginis failed to eat the handle which was iron the superiority of the king's magic was proved.

A fourth story in the *Dvyās'raya* tells that when the king was planning an invasion of Mālwa a Yoginī came from Ujjain to Patan and said 'O Rāja, if you desire great fame, come to Ujjain and humbly entreat Kālīka and other Yoginīs and make friends with Yaśovarman the Rāja of Ujjain.' The king contemptuously dismissed her, saying, 'If you do not fly hence like a female crow, I will cut off your nose and ears with this sword.'

So also the king's acts of prowess and courage were believed to be due to magical aid. According to the common belief Siddharāja did his great acts of heroism by the help of a demon named Bābaro, whom he is said to have subdued by riding on a corpse in a burying ground. The story in the *Prabandhachintāmaṇi* is similar to that told of the father of Harshavardhana who subdued a demon with the help of a Yogi. It is notable that the story had passed into its present form within a hundred years of Siddharāja's death. Somesvara in his *Kīrtikāumudī* says, 'This moon of kings fettered the prince of goblins Barbaraka in a burial-place, and became known among the crowd of kings as Siddharāja.' Older records show that the origin of the story, at least of the demon's name, is historical being traceable to one of Siddharāja's copperplate attributes Barbaraka-jishnu that is conqueror of Barbaraka. The *Dvyās'rayakosha* represents this Barbara as a leader of Rākhasas or Mlecchas, who troubled the Brāhmanas at S'rīsthala-Siddhapura. Jayasimha conquered him and spared his life at the instance of his wife Piṅgalikā. Afterwards Barbara gave valuable presents to Jayasimha and 'served him as other Rajputs.' Barbaraka

¹ Ind. Ant. IV. 2. Regarding Barbaraka Doctor Bühler remarks in Ind. Ant. VI. 167: 'The Varyakas are one of the non-Aryan tribes which are settled in great numbers in North Gujarat, Koli, Bhil, or Mer.' Siddharāja's contests with the Barbarakas seem to refer to what Tod (Western India, 173 and 195) describes as the incursions of mountaineers and foresters on the plains of Gujarat during the eleventh and twelfth centuries. To attempt to identify Bhil Barbar or Varyak is hazardous. The name Barbar is of great age and is spread from India to Morocco. Wilson (Works, VII. 176) says: The analogy between Barbaras and barbarians is not in sound only. In all Sanskrit authorities Barbaras are classed with borderers and foreigners and nations not Hindu. According to Sir Henry Rawlinson (Perrier's Caravan Journeys, 223 note) tribes of Barbaras are found all over the east. Of the age of the word Canon Rawlinson (Herodotus, IV. 233) writes: Barbar seems to be the local name for the early race of Accad. In India Ptolemy (A.D. 150; McCrindle's Edn. 146) has a town Barbarei on the Indus and the Periplus (A.D. 247; McCrindle's Ed. 108) has a trade-centre Barbarikon on the middle mouth of the Indus. Among Indian writings, in the Ramayana (Hall in Wilson's Works, VII. 176 Note *) the Barbaras appear between the Tukharas and the Kambojas in the north; in the Mahābhārata (Muir's Sanskrit Texts, I. 481-2) in one list Var-varas are entered between Savaras and Sakas and in another list (Wilson's Works, VII. 176)

seems to be the name of a tribe of non-Aryans whose modern representatives are the Bahariās settled in South Kāthiāvāḍa in the province still known as Bābariāvāḍa.

A Dahad inscription of the time of Siddharāja dated A.D. 1140 (S. 1196) says of his frontier wars: 'He throw into prison the lords of Surāshtra and Mālwa; he destroyed Sindharāja and other kings; he made the kings of the north bear his commands.' The Surāshtra king referred to is probably a ruler of the Ahir or Chudāsāmā tribe

Chapter II.

THE
CHAULUKYAS,
A.D. 961-1242.
Siddharāja
Jayasingha.
A.D. 1094-1142.

Barbaras come between Kiratas and Siddhas. Finally (As. Res. XV. 47 footnote) Barbara is the northmost of the Seven Konkana. The names Barbari in Ptolemy and Barbarikon in the Periplus look like some local place-name, perhaps Bāmbhara, altered to a Greek form. The Hindu tribe names, from the sameness in sound as well as from their position on the north-west border of India, suggest the Mongol tribe Juān-Juān or Var-Var, known to the western nations as Avārs, who drove the Little Ymuchi out of Balkh in the second half of the fourth century, and, for about a hundred years, ruled to the north and perhaps also to the south of the Hindu Kush. (Specht in Journal Asiatique 1888, II. 390-410; Howarth in Jour. R. A. S. XXI. 721-816.) It seems probable that some of these Var-Vars passed south either before or along with the White Huns (A.D. 450-550). Var, under its Mongol plural form Avāri (Howarth, *Ibid.* 722), closely resembles Avartiya one of the two main divisions of the Kāthīs of Kauch (Mn. Krakina's list in J. Bom. Gen. Soc. II. 59-60 for Aug. 1838). That among the forty-seven clans included under the Avartiyas four (Nos. 40, 35, 42, and 43) are Bābariyas, suggests that the Kāthīs received additions from the Var-Vars at different times and places. Dr. Bühler (Ind. Ant. VI. 186) thinks that the Bābari or Barbar or Var-Var who gave trouble to Siddharāja represent some early local non-Aryan tribe. The fact that they are called Rākshasas and Mlecchas and that they stopped the ceremonies at Siddhar north of Anahilavāḍa seems rather to point to a foreign invasion from the north than to a local uprising of hill tribes. Though no Musalmān invasion of Gujarat during the reign of Siddharāja is recorded a Jodpur legend (Forbes' *Ras Mālā*, I. 175) tells how Lānḍa Bijirao the Bhatti prince who married Siddharāja's daughter was killed by his mother-in-law as the bulwark of Anahilavāḍa against the power of the king who grew too strong. This king may be Bahālim the Indian viceroys of the Ghaznavid Bahram Shah (A.D. 1116-1137). Bahālim (Elliot, II. 279; Briggs' *Periplus*, I. 161) collected an army of Arabs, Persians, Afghans, and Khūfja, repaired the fort of Nāgor in the province of Sewālḍik, and committed great devastations in the territories of the independent Indian rulers. He threw off allegiance to Ghazni and advancing to meet Bahram Shah near Multān was defeated and slain. Except that they were northerners and that Bahālim's is the only known invasion from the north during Siddharāja's reign nothing has been found connecting Barbar and Bahālim. At the same time that the Barbar or Var-Var the Gujarat writers may have been non-Hindu mercenaries from the north-west of whom Siddharāja admitted an Hindu subjects is made not unlikely by two points preserved by the Muhammadan historians. The Tārkh-i-Sorāth (Hayley's *Or. Hist.* 25 Note *) tells how in A.D. 1178 from the defeated army of Shāhāb-ud-din Ghori the Turkish, Afghan and Meghal women were distributed the higher class to high caste and the commoner to low caste Hindus. Similarly how the better class of male captives were admitted among Chakāvāl and Wādāl Rajputs and the lower among Khānts, Bāḍas, Bābarias, and Mers. Again about thirty years later (A.D. 1210) when his Turk mercenaries, who were not converted to Islam, revolted against Shāhāb-ud-din Allamāh they seized Delhi and built Hindu temples (Elliot, II. 237-239). These clues seem to make it likely that among Bahālim's mercenaries were some un-Islamised North Indian Var-Vars and that they were admitted into Hinduism by Siddharāja and as the story states served him as other Rajputs. Some of the new-comers as noted above seem to have merged into the Kāthīs. Others founded or joined the Bahariās who give their name to Bābariāvāḍa a small division in the south of Kāthiāvāḍa. Though the tribe is now small the 72 divisions of the Bahariās show that they were once important. One of their leading divisions preserves the early form Var (Kāthiāvār Gazetteer, 132-133) and supports their separate northern origin, which is forgotten in the local stories that they are descended from Jātrās and Ahirs and have a Brāhman element in their ancestry. (Tod's *Western India*, 413; Kāthiāvār Gazetteer, 133-134.) Of the Var-Vars in their old seats a somewhat doubtful trace remains in the Barbari a tribe of Hazdrāns near Herāt (Bellow in *Imp. and As. Quar. Review*, Oct. 1891 page 378) and in the Panjāb (Hobson's *Census*, 538) Bābarias a class of Panjāb Jāins.

Chapter II.

THE

CHALUKYAS,
A.D. 981-1212.Siddharaja
Jayasingha.
A.D. 1094-1143.

whose head-quarters were at Junágadh. According to the Prabandhachintāmañi Siddharāja went in person to subdue Noghān or Navaghañd the Ahir ruler of Surāshtra; he came to Vardhamānapura that is Vadhvan and from Vadhvan attacked and slew Noghān. Jinaprabhasūri the author of the Tirthakalpa says of Gīrnār that Jayasīmla killed the king named Khengār and made one Sajjana his viceroy in Surāshtra. So many traditions remain regarding wars with Khengār that it seems probable that Siddharāja led separate expeditions against more than one king of that name. According to tradition the origin of the war with Khengār was a woman named Rānakadevi whom Khengār had married. Rānakadevi was the daughter of a potter of Majevādi village about nine miles north of Junágadh, so famous for her beauty that Siddharāja determined to marry her. Meanwhile she had accepted an offer from Khengār whose subject she was and had married him. Siddharāja enraged at her marriage advanced against Khengār, took him prisoner, and annexed Sorath. That Khengār's kingdom was annexed and Sajjana, mentioned by Jinaprabhasūri, was appointed Viceroy is proved by a Gīrnār inscription dated A.D. 1120 (S. 1176).

An era called the Simha Samvatsara connected with the name of Jayasīmla and beginning with A.D. 1113-1114 (S. 1169-70), occurs in several inscriptions found about Prabhāsa and South Kāthiavāda. This era was probably started in that year in honour of this conquest of Khengār and Sorath.¹ The earliest known mention of the Simha Samvatsara era occurs in a step-well at Māngrol called the Sodhali Vāv. The inscription is of the time of Kumārapāla and mentions Sahajiga the father of Mūlaka the grantor as a member of the bodyguard of the Chālukyas. The inscription states that Sahajiga had several sons able to protect Saurāshtra, one of whom was Somarāja who built the temple of Sahajigesvara, in the enclosure of the Somanātha temple at Prabhāsa; another was Mūlaka the *nāyaka* of Surāshtra, who is recorded to have made grants for the worship of the god by establishing cesses in Maṅgalapura or Māngrol and other places. The inscription is dated A. D. 1143 (Monday the 13th of the dark half of Āśvin Vīkrama S. 1202 and Simha S. 32). This inscription supports the view that the Simha era was established by Jayasīmla, since if the era belonged to some other local chief, no Chālukya viceroy would adopt it. The Simha era appears to have been kept up in Gujarāt so long as Anahilapura rule lasted. The well known Verāval inscription of the time of Arjunadeva is dated Hijri 632, Vikrama S. 1320, Valabhi S. 945, Simha 3. 151, Sunday the 13th of Āshādha Vadi. This inscription shows that the Simha era was in use for a century and a half during the sovereignty of Anahilavāda in Surāshtra.

Regarding Sajjana Siddharāja's first viceroy in Surāshtra, the Prabandhachintāmañi says that finding him worthy the king appointed Sajjana the *dandādhipati* of Surāshtra. Without consulting his master Sajjana spent three years' revenue in building a stone temple of

¹ Abhayatilaka Gani who revised and completed the *Dryastraya* in Vikrama S. 1312 (A.D. 1256) says, in his twentieth Sarga, that a new era was started by Kumārapāla. This would seem to refer to the Simha era.

Neminātha on Gīrnār instead of a wooden temple which he removed. In the fourth year the king sent four officers to bring Sajjana to Aṇahilavāḍa. The king called on Sajjana to pay the revenues of the past three years. In reply Sajjana asked whether the king would prefer the revenue in cash or the merit which had accrued from spending the revenue in building the temple. Preferring the merit the king sanctioned the spending of the revenues on the Tīrtha and Sajjana was reappointed governor of Sorath.¹ This stone temple of Sajjana would seem to be the present temple of Neminātha, though many alterations have been made in consequence of Muhammadan sacrilege and a modern enclosure has been added. The inscription of Sajjana which is dated A.D. 1120 (S. 1178) is on the inside to the right in passing to the small south gate. It contains little but the mention of the Śādhū who was Sajjana's constant adviser. On his return from a second pilgrimage to Somanātha Siddharāja who was encamped near Rayvataka that is Gīrnār expressed a wish to see Sajjana's temple. But the Brāhman's envious of the Jains persuaded the king that as Gīrnār was shaped like a *līṅg* it would be sacrilege to climb it. Siddharāja respected this objection and worshipped at the foot of the mountain. From Gīrnār he went to Śatruṅjaya. Here too Brāhman's with drawn swords tried to prevent the king ascending the hill. Siddharāja went in disguise at night, worshipped the Jain god Adīśvara with Ganges water, and granted the god twelve neighbouring villages. On the hill he saw so luxuriant a growth of the *śallaki* a plant dear to elephants, that he proposed to make the hill a breeding place for elephants a second Vindhya. He was reminded what damage wild elephants would cause to the holy place and for this reason abandoned his plan.

Siddharāja's second and greater war was with Mālwa. The cotemporary kings of Mālwa were the Paramāra ruler Naravarman who flourished from A.D. 1104 to 1133 (S. 1160-1180) and his son and successor Yaśovarman who ruled up to A.D. 1143 (S. 1199) the year of Siddharāja's death. As the names of both these kings occur in different accounts of this war, and, as the war is said to have lasted twelve years, it seems that fighting began in the time of Naravarman and that Siddharāja's final victory was gained in the time of Yaśovarman in Siddharāja's old age about A.D. 1134 (S. 1190). This view is supported by the local story that his expedition against Yaśovarman was undertaken while Siddharāja was building the Sahasralīṅga lake and other religious works. It is not known how the war arose but the statement of the Prābandhachintāmaṇi that Siddharāja vowed to make a scabard of Yaśovarman's skin seems to show that Siddharāja received grave provocation. Siddharāja is said to have left the building of the Sahasralīṅga lake to the masons and architects and himself to have

Chapter II

THE
CHAULUKYAS.
A.D. 961-1212
Siddharāja
Jayasingha.
A.D. 1084-1143.

¹ The *Kumārāpacharita* states that Sajjana died before the temple was finished, and that the temple was completed by his son Paramārāma. After the temple was finished Siddharāja is said to have come to Somanātha and asked Paramārāma for the revenues of Sorath. But on seeing the temple on Gīrnār he was greatly pleased, and on finding that it was called *Karṇa-vihāra* after his father he sanctioned the entry on the temple.

Chapter II.

THE
CHAULUKYAS,
A.D. 901-1242.
Siddharāja
Jayasīnha,
A.D. 1094-1142.

started for Mālwa. The war dragged on and there seemed little hope of victory when news reached Siddharāja that the three south gates of Dhārā could be forced. With the help of an elephant an entrance was effected. Yaśovarman was captured and bound with six ropes, and, with his captured enemy as his banner of victory, Siddharāja returned to Anahilapura. He remembered his vow, but being prevented from carrying it out, he took a little of Yaśovarman's skin and adding other skin to it made a scabbard. The captured king was thenceforward kept in a cage. It was this complete conquest and annexation of Mālwa that made Siddharāja assume the style of Avantīnātha 'Lord of Avantī,' which is mentioned as his *birāda* or title in most of the Chaulukya copperplates.¹ Mālwa henceforward remained subject to Anahilavāḍa. On the return from Mālwa an army of Bhils who tried to block the way were attacked by the minister Śāntu and put to flight.

Siddharāja's next recorded war is with king Madanavarman the Chandela king of Mahobaka the modern Mahobā in Bundelkhand. Madanavarman, of whom General Cunningham has found numerous inscriptions dating from A.D. 1130 to 1164 (S. 1186-1220),² was one of the most famous kings of the Chandela dynasty. An inscription of one of his successors in Kālanjar fort records that Madanavarman 'in an instant defeated the king of Gurjjara, as Krishna in former times defeated Kamsa,'³ a statement which agrees with the Gujarāt accounts of the war between him and Jayasīnha. In this conflict the Gujarāt accounts do not seem to show that Siddharāja gained any great victory; he seems to have been contented with a money present. The Kīrtikāumudī states that the king of Mahobaka honoured Siddharāja as his guest and paid a fine and tribute by way of hospitality. The account in the Kumārapālacharita suggests that Siddharāja was compelled to come to terms and make peace. According to the Kīrtikāumudī, and this seems likely, Siddharāja went from Dhārā to Kālanjara. The account in the Prabandhachintāmaṇi is very confused. According to the Kumārapālacharita, on Siddharāja's way back from Dhārā at his camp near Patan a bard came to the court and said to the king that his court was as wonderful as the court of Madanavarman. The bard said that Madanavarman was the king of the city of Mahobaka and most clever, wise, liberal, and pleasure-loving. The king sent a courtier to test the truth of the bard's statement. The courtier returned after six months declaring that the bard's account was in no way exaggerated. Hearing this Siddharāja at once started against Mahobaka and encamping within sixteen miles of the city sent his minister to summon Madanavarman to surrender. Madanavarman who was enjoying himself took little notice of the minister. This king, he said, is the same who had to fight twelve years with Dhārā; if, as is probable, since he is a *kabādi* or wild king, he wants money, pay him what he wants. The money

¹ Ind. Ant. VI. 194ff. Dr. Bühler (Ditto) takes Avantīnātha to mean Siddharāja's opponent the king of Mālwa and not Siddharāja himself.

² Archaeological Survey Report, XXI. 56.

³ Jour. R. A. Soc. (1849), 312.

was paid. But Siddharāja was so struck with Madanavarman's indifference that he would not leave until he had seen him. Madanavarman agreed to receive him. Siddharāja went with a large bodyguard to the royal garden which contained a palace and enclosed pleasure-house and was guarded by troops. Only four of Siddharāja's guards were allowed to enter. With these four men Siddharāja went in, was shown the palace garden and pleasure-houses by Madanavarman, was treated with great hospitality, and on his return to Patan was given a guard of 120 men.

The Dryāsraya says that after his conquest of Ujjain Siddharāja seized and imprisoned the king of a neighbouring country named Sim. We have no other information on this point.

The Dohad inscription dated A.D. 1140 mentions the destruction of Sindhuraja that is the king of Sindh and other kings. The Kirtikaumudī also mentions the binding of the lord of Sindhu. Nothing is known regarding the Sindh war. The Kirtikaumudī mentions that after a war with Arporāja king of Sāmbhar Siddharāja gave his daughter to Arporāja. This seems to be a mistake as the war and alliance with Arporāja belong to Kumārapāla's reign.

Siddharāja, who like his ancestors was a Śaiva, showed his zeal for the faith by constructing the two grandest works in Gujarāt the Rudramahālaya at Siddhpur and the Sahasralīnga lake at Patan. The Jain chroniclers always try to show that Siddharāja was favourably inclined to Jainism. But several of his acts go against this claim and some even show a dislike of the Jains. It is true that the Jain sage Hemāchārya lived with the king, but the king honoured him as a scholar rather than as a Jain. On the occasion of the pilgrimage to Somanātha the king offered Hemāchārya a palanquin, and, as he would not accept the offer but kept on walking, the king blamed him calling him a learned fool with no worldly wisdom. Again on one occasion while returning from Mālwa Siddharāja encamped at a place called Śrīnagara, where the people had decorated their temples with banners in honour of the king. Finding a banner floating over a Jain temple the king asked in anger who had placed it there, as he had forbidden the use of banners on Jain shrines and temples in Gujarāt. On being told that it was a very old shrine dating from the time of Bhārata, the king ordered that at the end of a year the banner might be replaced. This shows the reverse of a leaning to Jainism. Similarly, according to the Prabandha-chintāmaṇi, Hemāchārya never dared to speak to the king in favour of Jainism but used to say that all religions were good. This statement is supported by the fact that the opening verses of all works written by Hemāchārya in the time of Siddharāja contain no special praise of Jain deities.

So great is Siddharāja's fame as a builder that almost every old work in Gujarāt is ascribed to him. Tradition gives him the credit of the Dabhoi fort which is of the time of the Vāghelā king Vīradhavalā, A.D. 1220-1260. The Prabandhachintāmaṇi gives this old verse regarding Siddharāja's public works: 'No one makes a great temple (Rudramahālaya), a great pilgrimage (to Somanātha), a great Āsthāna (darbār hall), or a great lake (Sahasralīnga)

Chapter II.

THE
CHAULUKYAS,
A.D. 961-1242.
Siddharāja
Jayasingha,
A.D. 1094-1142.

Chapter II.

THE
CHALUKYAS,
A.D. 561-1212.
Siddharāja
Jayasingha,
A.D. 1004-1113.

such as Siddharāja made.¹ Of these the Rudramahālaya, though very little is left, from its size and the beauty of its carving, must have been a magnificent work the grandest specimen of the architecture of the Solanki period. The remains of the Sahasraliṅga lake at Anahilapura show that it must have been a work of surprising size and richness well deserving its title of *mahāsarāṭh* or great lake. Numerous other public works are ascribed to Siddharāja.²

At this period it seems that the kings of Gujarāt Sāmbhar and other districts, seeing the great reputation which his literary tastes had gained for Bhoja of Dhārā used all to keep Pandits. Certain carvings on the pillars of a mosque at the south-west of the modern town of Dhārā show that the building almost as it stands was the Sanskrit school founded by Bhoja. The carvings in question are beautifully cut Sanskrit grammar tables. Other inscriptions in praise of Naravartman show that Bhoja's successors continued to maintain the institution. In the floor of the mosque are many large shining slabs of black marble, the largest as much as seven feet long, all of them covered with inscriptions so badly mutilated that nothing can be made out of them except that they were Sanskrit and Prakrit verses in honour of some prince. On a rough estimate the slabs contain as many as 4000 verses.³ According to the old saying any one who drank of the Sarasvatī well in Dhārā became a scholar. Sarasvatī's well still exists near the mosque. Its water is good and it is still known as Akkal-kui or the Well of Talent. As in Dhārā so in Ajmir the Arbāi-dinkā Jhopdā mosque is an old Sanskrit school, recent excavations having brought to light slabs with entire dramas carved on them. So also the Gujarāt kings had their Pandits and their halls of learning. Śrīpāla, Siddharāja's poet-laureate, wrote a poetical eulogium or *prāśasti* on the Sahasraliṅga lake. According to the Prābandha-chintāmaṇi Siddharāja gathered numerous Pandits to examine the eulogium. As has already been noticed Siddharāja's constant companion was the great scholar and Jain *śāharya* Hemachandra also called Hemāchārya, who, under the king's patronage, wrote a treatise on grammar called Siddhahema, and also the well-known Dvyāśrayakosha which was intended to teach both grammar and the history of the Solankis. Hemachandra came into even greater

¹ The original verse is महालयो महायात्रा महास्थानं महासरः यत्कृतं सिद्धराजेन कियते तत्र केनचित् ॥

² These, as quoted by Rāo Śāhob Mahipatram Bāgām in his *Sodhara Jomagh*, are, the erection of charitable feeding-houses every *goman* or four miles, of Dahād Fort, of a *lunda* or reservoir at Kapadvanj, of the Mahālaya lake at Dholā, of small temples, of the Rudramahālaya, of the Rani's step-well, of the Sahasraliṅga lake, of reservoirs at Sihar, of the fort of Sault, of the Dāśasahara or ten thousand temples, of the Mūya lake at Virangām, of the *gadhās* or forts of Dadharaper Vadhvān Anantpur and Gūbhārī, of the Sārthār lake, of the *gadhās* of Jhūjhavāda, Virpur, Bhādula, Vasingapura, and Thān, of the palaces of Kandelā and Sili Jaganpura, of the reservoirs of Dadhāri and Kīrti-stambha and of Jāpur-Anantpura. It is doubtful how many of these were actually Siddharāja's works.

³ One of the best preserved slabs was sent by Sir John Malcolm when Resident of Mīlwa to the Museum of the B. B. A. S.; where it still lies. It has verse in twelfth century Prakrit in honour of a king, but nothing historical can be made out of it.

prominence in the time of Kumārapāla, when he wrote several further works and became closely connected with the state religion. Several stories remain of Siddharāja assembling poets, and holding literary and poetic discussions.

Record is preserved of a *sabha* or assembly called by the king to hear discussions between a Śvetāmbara Jaina *ācārya* named Bhattāraka Devasūri and a Digambara Jaina *ācārya* named Kumudachandra who had come from the Karnātak. Devasūri who was living and preaching in the Jain temple of Arishtanemi at Karpāvatī,¹ that is the modern Ahmadābad, was there visited by Kumudachandra. Devasūri treated his visitor with little respect, telling him to go to Patan and he would follow and hold a religious discussion or *vaīda*. Kumudachandra being a Digambara or sky-clad Jaina went naked to Patan and Siddharāja honoured him because he came from his mother's country. Siddharāja asked Hemachandra to hold a discussion with Kumudachandra and Hemachandra recommended that Devasūri should be invited as a worthy disputant. At a discussion held before a meeting called by the king Kumudachandra was vanquished, probably because the first principle of his Digambara faith that no woman can attain *nirvāṇa*, was insulting to the queen-mother, and the second that no clothes-wearing Jain can gain *mukti* or absorption, was an insult to the Jain ministers. The assembly, like Brāhmanical *sabhās* at the present day, appears to have declined into noise and Siddharāja had to interfere and keep order. Devasūri was complimented by the king and taken by one Khada with great honour to his newly built Jain temple.²

In spite of prayers to Somanātha, of incantations, and of gifts to Brāhmanas, Siddharāja Jayasinha had no son. The throne passed into the line of Tribhuvanapāla the great-grandson of Bhīmadeva I. (A.D. 1074-82) who was ruling as a feudatory of Siddharāja at his ancestral appanage of Dahithāl. Tribhuvanapāla's pedigree is Bhīmadeva I.; his son Kāhemarāja by Bakulādevī a concubine; his son Haripāla; his son Tribhuvanapāla. By his queen Kāśmīradevī Tribhuvanapāla had three sons Mahipāla, Kīrttipāla, and Kumārapāla, and two daughters Premaladevī and Devaladevī. Premaladevī was married to one of Siddharāja's nobles a cavalry general named Kānhada or Kṛṣṇadeva; Devaladevī was married to Arjorāja³ or Anarāja

Chapter II.

THE
CHAULUKYAS,
A.D. 961-1042.
Siddharāja
Jayasinha,
A.D. 1034-1143.

Kumārapāla,
A.D. 1143-1174.

¹ See above page 170.

² Devasūri was born in S. 1134 (A.D. 1078), took *dīkṣā* in S. 1152 (A.D. 1096), became a Sūri in S. 1174 (A.D. 1118), and died on a Thursday in the dark half of Śrāvṇa S. 1226 (A.D. 1170). His famous disciple Hemachandra was born on the full-moon of Kārtika S. 1143 (A.D. 1086), became an ascetic in S. 1150 (A.D. 1094), and died in S. 1229 (A.D. 1173).

³ The Prākṛit local name was Āṇo, of which the Sanskritised forms would appear to be Arno, Arnava, A'naka, and A'nalla as given in the Hammāmahākavya. The genealogy of these kings of Sākambhār or Sāmbhar is not settled. The Nadol copper-plate dated Śaṃvrat 1218 gives the name of its royal grantor as Alan and of Alan's father as Māharāja (Tod's Rajasthan, I. 894), the latter apparently a mistake for Anarāja which is the name given in the Dṛyāpura. Alan's date being V. 1218, the date of his father A'na would fit in well with the early part of Kumārapāla's reign. The order of the two names Alhana and Analla in the Hammāmahākavya would seem to be mistaken and ought to be reversed.

Chapter II.

Tua

CHAULUKYAS.
A.D. 961-1242.
Kumārapāla,
A.D. 1143-1174.

king of Śākambhari or Sāmbhar, the Analladeva of the Hamūira-mahākāvya. Kumārapāla himself was married by his father to one Bhupāladevi. According to the Dvyāśraya, Tribhuvanapāla was on good terms with Siddharāja serving him and going with him to war. The Kumārapāla-charita also states that Kumārapāla used to attend the court of Siddharāja. But from the time he came to feel that he would have no son and that the bastard Kumārapāla would succeed him Siddharāja became embittered against Kumārapāla. According to the Jain chronicles Siddharāja was told by the god Somanātha, by the sage Hemachandra, by the goddess Ambikā of Kōḍinār,¹ and by astrologers that he would have no son and that Kumārapāla would be his successor. According to the Kumārapāla-charita so bitter did his hate grow that Siddharāja planned the death of Tribhuvanapāla and his family including Kumārapāla. Tribhuvanapāla was murdered but Kumārapāla escaped. Grieved at this proof of the king's hatred Kumārapāla consulted his brother-in-law Kṛṣṇadeva who advised him to leave his family at Dahithali and go into exile promising to keep him informed of what went on at Anahilapura. Kumārapāla left in the disguise of a *jaṭādhārī* or recluse and escaped the assassins whom the king had ordered to slay him. After some time Kumārapāla returned and in spite of his disguise was recognized by the guards. They informed the king who invited all the ascetics in the city to a dinner. Kumārapāla came but noticing that the king recognized him in spite of his disguise, he fled. The king sent a trusted officer with a small force in pursuit. Kumārapāla persuaded some husbandmen, the chief of whom was Dhīmasimha, to hide him in a heap of thorns. The pursuers failing to find him returned. At night Kumārapāla was let out bleeding from the thorns, and promised the husbandmen that the day would come when their help would be rewarded. He then shaved his topknot or *jaṭā* and while travelling met with a lady named Devāsri of Udambara village who pitying him took him into her chariot and gave him food. Kumārapāla promised to regard her as a sister. He then came to Dahithali where the royal troops had already arrived. Siddharāja sent an army which invested the village leaving Kumārapāla without means of escape. He went to a potter named Sajjana or Alūṅga who hid him in the flues of his brick-kiln throwing hay over him. The troops searched the village, failed to find Kumārapāla, and retired. The potter then helped Kumārapāla from his hiding place and fed him. A former friend named Bosari joined Kumārapāla and they went away together Kumārapāla commending his family to the care of Sajjana. On the first day they had no food. Next day Bosari went to beg and they together ate the food given to Bosari in a monastery or *maṭh* where they slept. In time they came to Cambay where they called upon Hemachārya and asked him their future. Hemachārya knew and recognized Kumārapāla. Kumārapāla asked when fate would bless him. Before Hemachārya

¹ Kōḍinār is a town in Gāḍkwar territory in South Kāthiāvāḍa. This temple of Ambikā is noticed as a place of Jain pilgrimage by the sage Jinaprabhāsari in his Tīrthakalpa and was a well-known Jain shrine during the Anahilavāḍa period.

could reply Udayana, one of the king's ministers, came. Hemacharya said to Udayana, 'This is Kumārapāla who shall shortly be your king.' Hemacharya also gave Kumārapāla a writing stating that he would succeed to the throne. Kumārapāla acknowledged his obligations to Hemacharya and promised to follow his advice. Udayana took him to his house and gave him food and clothes. Siddharāja came to know of this and sent his soldiers who began to search. Kumārapāla returned to Hemacharya who hid him in a cellar covering its door with manuscripts and palm leaves. The soldiers came but failed to search under the manuscripts and returned. Kumārapāla acknowledged his obligations to Hemacharya and said he owed him two great debts one for telling him the day on which he would come to the throne; the other for saving his life. Kumārapāla left Cambay at midnight, the minister Udayana supplying him with provisions. From Cambay he went to Vatapadrapura probably Baroda, where feeling hungry he entered the shop of a Vānia named Katuka and asked for parched gram. The Vānia gave the gram and seeing that Kumārapāla had no money accepted his promise of future payment. From Baroda he came to Bhṛigukachh or Broach where he saw a soothsayer and asked him his future. The soothsayer, seeing the bird *kālī-devī* perched on the temple flagstaff, said 'You will shortly be king.' Kumārapāla shaved his matted hair and went from Broach to Ujjain where he met his family. But as here too the royal troops followed him he fled to Kolhāpura where he came across a Yogi who foretold his succession to a throne and gave him two spells or *mantras*. From Kolhāpura Kumārapāla went to Kāñchī or Conjeveram and from there to the city of Kālabapattana.¹ The king of Kālabapattana Pratāpasimha received him like an elder brother and brought him into his city, built a temple of Sivananda Kumārapālesvara in his honour, and even issued a coin called a Kumārapāla. From Kālabapattana Kumārapāla went to Chitrakūṭa or Chitor and from there to Ujjain whence he took his family to Siddhapura going on alone to Anahilapura to see his brother-in-law Krishnadeva. According to the Vichārāreṇī Siddharāja died soon after in A.D. 1143 on the 3rd of Kārttika Suddha Samvat 1199.

In the dissensions that followed the king's death Kumārapāla's interests were well served by his brother-in-law Krishnadeva. Eventually the names of three candidates, Kumārapāla and two others, were laid before the state nobles sitting in council to determine who should be king. Of the three candidates the two others were found wanting, and Kumārapāla was chosen and installed according to the Vichārāreṇī on the 4th of Mārgaśīrsha Suddha and according to the Kumārapālaprabandha on the 4th of Mārgaśīrsha Vadhya. At the time of his succession, according to the Prabandhiachintāmaṇi and the Kumārapālaprabandha, Kumārapāla was about fifty years of age.

Chapter II.

THE
CHAULUKYAS.
A.D. 961-1242.
Kumārapāla,
A.D. 1143-1174.

¹ The Kumārapālaprabandha has Kālabapattana and Kolambapattana probably Kolam or Quilon.

Chapter II.

THE
CHAUUKYAN,
A.D. 961-1242.
Kumārāpāla,
A.D. 1143-1174.

On his accession Kumārāpāla installed his wife Bhopaladevi his anointed queen or *pattarini*; appointed Udayana who had befriended him at Cambay minister; Bāhaḍa or Vāghhaṭa son of Udayana¹ chief councillor or *mahāmātya*; and Aliṅga second councillor or *mahāpradhāna*. Ahada or Arabhaṭṭa, apparently another son of Udayana, did not acknowledge Kumārāpāla and went over to Arjorāja Anāka or Anō king of Sapādalakṣia or the Sāmbhar territory who is probably the same as the Analladeva of the Hammīramahākāvya.²

The potter Sajjana was rewarded with a grant of seven hundred villages near Chitrakūṭa or Chitoda fort in Rājputāna, and the author of the Prabandhachintāmaṇi notices that in his time the descendants of the potter ashamed of their origin called themselves descendants of Sagarā. Bhīmasimha who hid Kumārāpāla in the thorns was appointed head of the bodyguard; Devaśrī made the sister's mark on the royal forehead at the time of Kumārāpāla's installation and was granted the village of Devayo;³ and Katuka the Vānīś of Baroda, who had given Kumārāpāla parched gram was granted the village of Vaṭapadra or Baroda. Bosarī Kumārāpāla's chief companion was given Lātamaydala, which seems to mean that he was appointed viceroy of Lāṭa or South Gujarāt.

Kanhada or Krishnadeva Kumārāpāla's brother-in-law and adviser overvaluing his great services became arrogant and disobedient insulting the king in open court. As remonstrance was of no avail the king had Krishnadeva waylaid and beaten by a band of athletes and taken almost dying to his wife the king's sister. From this time all the state officers were careful to show ready obedience.

The old ministry saw that under so capable and well served a ruler their power was gone. They accordingly planned to slay the king and place their own nominee on the throne. The king heard of the plot; secured the assassins; and employed them in murdering the conspirators. According to the Prabandhachintāmaṇi, Ahada or Arabhaṭṭa who had gone over to the Sāmbhar king and was in charge of the Sāmbhar infantry, bribed the local nobles as a preliminary to a war which he had planned against Kumārāpāla. He so far succeeded as to bring A'na or Anāka the Sāmbhar king with the whole of his army to the borders of Gujarāt to fight Kumārāpāla.⁴ Kumārāpāla went to meet Anāka. But, in consequence of intrigues, in the battle that followed the Gujarāt army did not obey orders. Kumārāpāla advanced in front on an elephant, and Bāhaḍa trying to climb on Kumārāpāla's elephant was thrown to the ground and slain. Anāka was also pierced with arrows and the Sāmbhar army was defeated and plundered of its horses.⁵

¹ The Kumārāpālaprabanda says that Udayana was appointed minister and Vāghhaṭa general. Soḷḷa the youngest son of Udayana did not take part in politics.

² Kirtane's Hammīramahākāvya, II.

³ Dhavalakka or Dhalki according to the Kumārāpālaprabanda.

⁴ According to the Kumārāpālaśharita Kumārāpāla's sister who was married to A'na having heard her husband speak slightingly of the king of Gujarāt took offence, resented the language, and handled words with her husband who beat her. She came to her brother and incited him to make an expedition against her husband.

The Dvyāśraya, probably by the aid of the author's imagination, gives a fuller account of this war. One fact of importance recorded in the Dvyāśraya is that Anāka though defeated was not slain, and, to bring hostilities to an end, gave his daughter Jalhanā to Kumārapāla in marriage. The Kumārapāla-charita calls the Sāmbar king Arnorāja and says that it was Kumārapāla who invaded the Sāmbar territory. According to this account Kumārapāla went to Chandrāvati near Abu and taking its Paramāra king Vikramasimha with him marched to Sākambhari or Sāmbar and fought Arnorāja who was defeated but not killed. Kumārapāla threatened to cut out Arnorāja's tongue but let him go on condition that his people wore a headress with a tongue on each side. Arnorāja is said to have been confined in a cage for three days and then reinstalled as Kumārapāla's feudatory. Vikramasimha of Chandrāvati, who in the battle had sided with Arnorāja, was punished by being disgraced before the assembled seventy-two feudatories at Anahilavāda and was sent to prison, his throne being given to his nephew Yasodhavalā. After his victory over Arnorāja Kumārapāla fought, defeated, and, according to the Kṛtikaumudī, beheaded Ballāla king of Mālwa who had invaded Gujarāt. The result of this contest seems to have been to reduce Mālwa to its former position of dependence on the Anahilavāda kings. More than one inscription of Kumārapāla's found in the temple of Udayāditya as far north as Udayapura near Bhilāla shows that he conquered the whole of Mālwa, as the inscriptions are recorded by one who calls himself Kumārapāla's general or *daṇḍandāyaka*.

Another of Kumārapāla's recorded victories is over Mallikārjuna said to be king of the Konkan who we know from published lists of the North Konkan Silāhāras flourished about A.D. 1160. The author of the Prabandhachintāmaṇi says this war arose from a bard of king Mallikārjuna speaking of him before king Kumārapāla as *Rājapitāmaha* or grandfather of kings.¹ Kumārapāla annoyed at so arrogant a title looked around. Āmbadā,² one of the sons of Udayana, divining the king's meaning, raised his folded hands to his forehead and expressed his readiness to fight Mallikārjuna. The king sent him with an army which marched to the Konkan without halting. At the crossing of the Kalāvinī³ it was met and defeated by Mallikārjuna. Āmbadā returned in disgrace and shrouding himself his umbrella and his tents in crape retreated to Anahilavāda. The king finding Āmbadā though humiliated ready to make a second venture gave him a larger and better appointed force. With this army Āmbadā again started for the Konkan, crossed the Kalāvinī, attacked Mallikārjuna, and in a hand-to-hand fight

Chapter II.

THE
CHAULUKYAS,
A.D. 961-1262
Kumārapāla,
A.D. 1143-1174.

¹ The Dvyāśraya does not say that Kumārapāla's sister was married to A'na.

² This was a common title of the Silāhāra kings. Compare Bombay Gazetteer, XIII. 437 note 1.

³ Āmbadā is his proper name. It is found Sanskritised into Āmrabhāja and Āmbaka.

⁴ This is the Kāveri river which flows through Chikhlī and Balair. The name in the text is very like Karabēnā the name of the same river in the Nāsik cave inscriptions (Bom. Gaz. XVI. 571) Kalāvinī and Karabēnā being Sanskritised forms of the original Kāveri. Perhaps the Kāveri is the Akabaron of the Ptolemy (A.D. 247).

Chapter II.

THE
CHAUUKYAS,
A.D. 961-1242.
Kumārāpāla,
A.D. 1143-1174.

climbed his elephant and cut off his head. This head cased in gold with other trophies of the war he presented to the king on his triumphant return to Anahilapura. The king was greatly pleased and gave Āmbaḍā the title of *Rājapitāmaha*. Of this Mallikārjuna two stone inscriptions have been found one at Chiplūn dated A.D. 1156 (Saka 1078) the other at Bassain dated A.D. 1160 (Saka 1082). If the story that Mallikārjuna was slain is true the war must have taken place during the two years between A.D. 1160 and 1162 (Saka 1082, 1084) which latter is the earliest known date of Mallikārjuna's successor Aparāditya.

The Kumārāpālacharita also records a war between Kumārāpāla and Samara king of Surāshtra or south Kāthiāvāda, the Gujarāt army being commanded by Kumārāpāla's minister Udayana. The Prābandhachintāmaṇi gives Sausara as the name of the Surāshtra king¹: possibly he was some Gohilvād Mehr chief. Udayana came with the army to Vadhwān, and letting it advance went to Pālitāna. While he was worshipping at Pālitāna, a mouse carried away the burning wick of the lamp. Reflecting on the risk of fire in a wooden temple Udayana determined to rebuild the temple of stone. In the fight with Sausara the Gujarāt army was defeated and Udayana was mortally wounded.² Before Udayana died he told his sons that he had meant to repair the temple of Adīśvara on Sātruṅjaya and the Śakunikā Vihāra at Broach and also to build steps up the west face of Gīrnār. His sons Bāhaḍa and Āmbaḍā promised to repair the two shrines. Subsequently both shrines were restored, Kumārāpāla and Hemāchārya and the council of Anahilapura attending at the installation of Savṛittinātha in the Śakunikā Vihāra. The Gīrnār steps were also cut, according to more than one inscription in A.D. 1166 (S. 1222).³ This war and Udayana's death must have occurred about A.D. 1149 (S. 1205) as the temple of Adinātha was finished in A.D. 1156-57 (S. 1211). Bāhaḍa also established near Sātruṅjaya a town called Bāhaḍapura and adorned it with a temple called Tribhuvanapālavasati.⁴ After the fight with Sausara Kumārāpāla was threatened with another war by Karṇa⁵ king of Dāhala or Chedi. Spies informed the king of the

¹ Sausara or Sāsār seems the original form from which Samara was Sanskritised. Sāsar corresponds with the Mehr name Chāchae.

² The Kumārāpālacharita says that Samara was defeated and his son placed on the throne.

³ The translation of the inscription runs: Steps made by the venerable Āmbaka, Sapra 1222. According to the Kumārāpālāprabandha the steps were built at a cost of a lakh of drammae a dramma being of the value of about 5 annas. According to the Prābandhachintāmaṇi an earthquake occurred when the king was at Gīrnār on his way to Somnātha. The old ascent of Gīrnār was from the north called *Chakravartī* that is the umbrella or overhanging rocks. Hemāchārya and if two persons went up together the *Chakravartī* rocks would fall and crush them. So the king ordered Āmraḍha to build steps on the west or Junāgadh face at a cost of 53 lakhs of drammae.

⁴ The site of Bāhaḍapura seems to be the ruins close to the east of Pālitāna where large quantities of conch shell bangles and pieces of brick and tile have been found.

⁵ This would appear to be the Kalschuri king Gaya Karṇa whose inscription is dated 502 of the Chedi era that is A.D. 1152. As the earliest known inscription of Gaya Karṇa's son Narasimhadeva is dated A.D. 1187 (Chedi 607) the death of Gaya Karṇa falls between A.D. 1152 and 1157 in the reign of Kumārāpāla and the story of his being accidentally strangled may be true.

impending invasion as he was starting on a pilgrimage to Somanātha. Next day he was relieved from anxiety by the news that while sleeping on an elephant at night king Karna's necklace became entangled in the branch of a banyan tree, and the elephant suddenly running away, the king was strangled.

The Prabandhachintāmaṇi records an expedition against Sāmbhar which was entrusted to Chāhāḍa a younger brother of Bāhāḍa. Though Chāhāḍa was known to be extravagant, the king liked him, and after giving him advice placed him in command. On reaching Sāmbhar Chāhāḍa invested the fort of Bābrānagar but did not molest the people as on that day 700 brides had to be married.¹ Next day the fort was entered, the city was plundered, and the supremacy of Kumārāpāla was proclaimed. This Bābrānagar has not been identified. There appears to be some confusion and the place may not be in Sāmbhar but in Bābariāvāda in Kāthiāvāda. Chāhāḍa returned triumphant to Patan. The king expressed himself pleased but blamed Chāhāḍa for his lavish expenditure and conferred on him the title of *Rāja-pharatta* the King-grinder.

Though the Gujarāt chronicles give no further details an inscription in the name of Kumārāpāla in a temple at Udepur near Bhilsa dated A.D. 1166 records that on Monday, *Akshaya tritīyā* the 3rd of Vaiśākh Sud (S. 1222), Thakkara Chāhāḍa granted half the village of Sangavāda in the Raṅgarikā district or *bhukti*. Just below this inscription is a second also bearing the name of Kumārāpāla. The year is lost. But the occasion is said to be an eclipse on Thursday the 15th of Pauṣh Sudi when a gift was made to the god of Ūdayapura by Yaśodhavalā the viceroy of Kumārāpāla.²

¹ So many marriages on one day points to the people being either Kāvya Kumbis or Bhārvaśas among whom the custom of holding all marriages on the same day still prevails.

² The text of the inscription is:

- (1) यौपसुदीगुपी अयेह धीमदन.
- (2) हिलपाटके [समस्त] राजावलीभिराभितपरमहारकमहा-
- (3) [राजभिराजनिर्जित] साकमरीभूपालधीमदवन्तिनाथधोमकु
- (4) [मारपाळ] निगुत्तमहामाल्यधीनतोभव—
- (5) छ श्रीकरणादौ समस्तमुद्राव्यापारान्परिपन्थवर्तयन्वे
- (6) काले [पवर्तमाने महाराजा] भिराजन्तीकुमारपाळदेवेन विज
- (7) धीमदुदयपुरो ... येत्तकान्वये महाराज—
- (8) पुत्र महाराजपुत्रवत्सन्तपाळ एवं अन
- (9) लिखिता यात्रा । अथ सोममहणपर्वणि
- (10) लयवने समाहृततीर्थोदके स्नात्वा जगद्गु
- (11) सुतपुण्यववृद्धये उदयपुरकारि
- (12) कारापित देवधी.....

Chapter II.

THE
CHAULUKYAS,
A.D. 961-1242.
Kumārāpāla,
A.D. 1143-1174.

Chapter II.

THE
CHAUUKYAN,
A.D. 961-1242.
Kumārāpāla,
A.D. 1145-1174.

Similar inscriptions of Kumārāpāla's time and giving his name occur near the ruined town of Kerādu or Kirāṭa-Kūpa near Bālmer in Western Rājputāna. The inscriptions show that Kumārāpāla had another *Amātya* or minister there, and that the kings of the country round Kerādu had been subject to Gujarāt since the time of Siddharāja Jayasimha. Finally the inscription of Kumārāpāla found by Colonel Tod in a temple of Brahma on the pinnacle of Chitōḍa fort¹ shows that his conquests extended as far as Mewāḍa.

According to the Kumārāpālachintāmaṇi Kumārāpāla married one Padmāvati of Padmapura. The chronicler describes the city as to the west of the Indus. Perhaps the lady belonged to Padmapura a large town in Kashmīr. Considering his greatness as a king and conqueror the historical record of Kumārāpāla is meagre and incomplete. Materials may still come to light which will show his power to have been surprisingly widespread.

Mr. Forbes² records the following Brāhmanical tradition of a Mewāḍa queen of Kumārāpāla, which has probably been intentionally omitted by the Jain chroniclers.

Kumārāpāla, says the Brāhman tradition, had wedded a Sisodani Rāni, a daughter of the house of Mewāḍa. At the time that the sword went for her the Sisodani heard that the Rāja had made a vow that his wives should receive initiation into the Jain religion at Hemāchārya's convent before entering the palace. The Rāni refused to start for Patan until she was satisfied she would not be called on to visit the Āchārya's convent. Jayadeva Kumārāpāla's household bard became surety and the queen consented to go to Anahilapura. Several days after her arrival Hemāchārya said to the Rāja, 'The Sisodani Rāni has never come to visit me.' Kumārāpāla told her she must go. The Rāni refused and fell ill, and the bard's wives went to see her. Hearing her story they disguised her as one of themselves and brought her privately home to their house. At night the bard dug a hole in the wall of the city, and taking the Rāni through the hole started with her for Mewāḍa. When Kumārāpāla became aware of the Rāni's flight he set off in pursuit with two thousand horse. He came up with the fugitives about fifteen miles from the fort of Idar. The bard said to the Rāni, 'If you can enter Idar you are safe. I have two hundred horse with me. As long as a man of us remains no one shall lay hands on you.' So saying he turned upon his pursuers. But the Rāni's courage failed and she slew herself in the carriage. As the fight went on and the pursuers forced their way to the carriage, the maids cried 'Why struggle more, the Rāni is dead.' Kumārāpāla and his men returned home.³

The Paramāra chiefs of Chandrávatī near A'bu were also feudatories of Kumārāpāla. It has been noted that to punish him for siding with Arṇorāja of Sāmbar Kumārāpāla placed Vikrama Siṃha the Chandrávatī chief in confinement and set Vikrama's

¹ Annals of Rājasthān, I. 803.

² Ras Mala (New Edition), 134.

³ Ras Mala (New Edition), 154.

nephew Yaśodhavalā on his throne. That Kumārapāla conquered the chiefs of Sāmbhar and Mālwa is beyond question. Among his names is the proud title Avantī-nātha Lord of Mālwa.

The Kumārapālaprabandha gives the following limits of Kumārapāla's sway. The Turushikas or Turks on the north; the heavenly Ganges on the east; the Vindhya mountains on the south; the Sindhu river on the west.¹ Though in tradition Kumārapāla's name does not stand so high as a builder as the name of Siddharāja Jayasīma he carried out several important works. The chief of these was the restoring and rebuilding of the great shrine of Someshvara or Somanātha Patan. According to the Prabandhachintāmaṇi when Kumārapāla asked Devasūri the teacher of Hemachārya how best to keep his name remembered Devasūri replied: Build a new temple of Somanātha fit to last an age or yuga, instead of the wooden one which is ruined by the ocean billows. Kumārapāla approved and appointed a building committee or *pañchakula* headed by a Brāhman named Gaṇḍa Bhāva Brihaspati the state officer at Somanātha. At the instance of Hemachārya the king on hearing the foundations were laid vowed until the temple was finished he would keep apart from women and would take neither flesh nor wine. In proof of his vow he poured a handful of water over Nilakantha Mahādeva, probably his own royal god. After two years the temple was completed and the flag hoisted. Hemachārya advised the king not to break his vow until he had visited the new temple and paid his obeisance to the god. The king agreed and went to Somanātha, Hemachārya preceding him on foot and promising to come to Somanātha after visiting Sutrājaya and Gīrnār. On reaching Somanātha the king was received by Gaṇḍa-Brihaspati his head local officer and by the building committee, and was taken in state through the town. At the steps of the temple the king bowed his head to the ground. Under the directions of Gaṇḍa-Brihaspati he worshipped the god, made gifts of elephants and other costly articles including his own weight in coin, and returned to Apahilapura.

It is interesting to know that the present battered sea-shore temple of Somanātha, whose *garbhāgāra* or shrine has been turned into a mosque and whose spire has been shattered, is the temple of whose building and consecration the above details are preserved. This is shown by the style of the architecture and sculpture which is in complete agreement with the other buildings of the time of Kumārapāla.²

Chapter II.

THE
CHAULUKYAS,
A.D. 961-1242.
Kumārapāla,
A.D. 1143-1174.

¹ The text is: यः कर्बेरामा तुरुकमैन्द्रीमा विदिवापगं

पाप्पामा विन्त्यमा सिन्धुं पश्चिमा यो हाताधपत्

² It is also interesting, if there is a foundation of fact to the tale, that this is the temple visited by the Persian poet Sa'di (A.D. 1200-1290) when he saw the ivory idol of Somanātha whose arms were raised by a hidden priest pulling a cord. According to Sa'di on pretence of conversion he was admitted behind the shrine, discovered the cord-puller, throw him into a well, and fled. Compare Journal Royal Asiatic Society Bengal VII.2 pages 885-886. That Sa'di ever visited Somanātha is doubtful. No ivory human image can ever have been the chief object of worship at Somanātha.

Chapter II.

THE
CHAUUKYAS,
A.D. 961-1242.
Kumārāpāla,
A.D. 1143-1174.

Kumārāpāla's temple seems to have suffered in every subsequent Muhammadan invasion, in Alaf Khan's in A.D. 1300, in Mozaffar's in A.D. 1396, in Mahmūd Begada's about A.D. 1490, and in Muza'ffar II.'s about A.D. 1530. Time after time no sooner had the invader passed than the work of repair began afresh. One of the most notable restorations was by Khengār IV. (A.D. 1279-1333) a Chudāssamā king of Junāgadh who is mentioned in two Gīrnār inscriptions as the repeirer of Somanātha after its desecration by Ala-ud-din Khilji. The latest sacrilege, including the turning of the temple into a mosque, was in the time of the Ahmadābād king Muzaffar Shāh II. (A.D. 1511-1535). Since then no attempt has been made to win back the god into his old home.

In the side wall near the door of the little shrine of Bhadrakālī in Patan a broken stone inscription gives interesting details of the temple of Somanātha. Except that the right hand corners of some of the lines are broken, the inscription is clear and well preserved. It is dated A.D. 1169 (Valabhi 850). It records that the temple of the god Someśa was first of gold built by Soma; next it was of silver built by Rāvana; afterwards of wood built by Krishna; and last of stone built by Bhīmadeva. The next restoration was through Gaṇḍa-Bṛhaspati under Kumārāpāla. Of Gaṇḍa-Bṛhaspati it gives these details. He was a Kanyākubja or Kanoj Brāhmaṇ of the Pāsūpata school, a teacher of the Māwa kings, and a friend of Siddharāja Jayasimha. He repaired several other temples and founded several other religious buildings in Somanātha. He also repaired the temple of Kēdāres'vara in Kumaon on learning that the Khas'a king of that country had allowed it to fall into disrepair. After the time of Kumārāpāla the descendants of Gaṇḍa-Bṛhaspati remained in religious authority in Somanātha.

Kumārāpāla made many Jain benefactions.¹ He repaired the temple of Sāgala-Vasahikā at Stambha-tīrtha or Cambay where Hemachārya received his initiation or *dīkshā*. In honour of the lady who gave him barley flour and curds he built a temple called the Karambaka-Vihāra in Patan. He also built in Patan a temple called the Mouse or Mushaka-Vihāra to free himself from the impurity caused by killing a mouse while digging for treasure. At Dhandhuka Hemachārya's birthplace a temple called the Jholikā-Vihāra or cradle temple was built. Besides these Kumārāpāla is credited with building 1444 temples.

Though Kumārāpāla was not a learned man, his ministers were men of learning, and he continued the practice of keeping at his court scholars especially Sanskrit poets. Two of his leading Pandits were Rāmachandra and Udayachandra both of them Jains. Rāmachandra is often mentioned in Gujarātī literature and appears to have been a great scholar. He was the author of a book called the Hundred Accounts or Prabandhas'ata. After Udayana's death Kumārāpāla's chief minister was Kapardi a man of learning skilled in Sanskrit poetry. And all through his reign his principal adviser

¹ From the Prabandhachintāmaṇi and the Kumārāpālacharita.

was Hemachandra or Hemāchārya probably the most learned man of his time. Though Hemāchārya lived during the reigns both of Siddharāja and of Kumārāpāla, only under Kumārāpāla did he enjoy political power as the king's companion and religious adviser. What record remains of the early Solankis is chiefly due to Hemachandra.

The Jain life of Hemāchārya abounds in wonders. Apart from the magic and mystic elements the chief details are: Chāchiga a Modh Vāna of Dhandhuka¹ in the district of Ardhashtama had by his wife Pāhni² of the Chāmunda gōtra, a boy named Chāngodeva who was born A.D. 1089 (Kartik fullmoon Samvat 1145). A Jain priest named Devachandra A'chārya (A.D. 1078-1170; S. 1134-1226) came from Patan to Dhandhuka and when in Dhandhuka went to pay his obeisance at the Modh Vasahikā. While Devachandra was seated Chāngodeva came playing with other boys and went and sat beside the āchārya. Struck with the boy's audacity and good looks the āchārya went with the council of the village to Chāchiga's house. Chāchiga was absent but his wife being a Jain received the āchārya with respect. When she heard that her son was wanted by the council, without waiting to consult her husband, she handed the boy to the āchārya who carried him off to Karpāvati and kept him there with the sons of the minister Udayana. Chāchiga, disconsolate at the loss of his son, went in quest of him vowing to eat nothing till the boy was found. He came to Karpāvati and in an angry mood called on the āchārya to restore him his son. Udayana was asked to interfere and at last persuaded Chāchiga to let the boy stay with Devachandra.

In A.D. 1097, when Chāngodeva was eight years old Chāchiga celebrated his son's consecration or *dikshā* and gave him the name of Somachandra. As the boy became extremely learned Devachandra changed his name to Hemachandra the Moon of gold. In A.D. 1110 (S. 1166) at the age of 21, his mastery of all the Sāstras and Siddhāntas was rewarded by the dignity of Sāri or sage. Siddharāja was struck with his conversation and honoured him as a man of learning. Hemachandra's knowledge wisdom and tact enabled him to adhere openly to his Jain rules and beliefs though Siddharāja's dislike of Jain practices was so great as at times to amount to insult. After one of their quarrels Hemāchārya kept away from the king for two or three days. Then the king seeing his humility and his devotion to his faith repented and apologised. The two went together to Somanātha Patan and there Hemāchārya paid his obeisance to the *līnga* in a way that did not offend his own faith. During Siddharāja's reign Hemāchārya wrote his well known grammar with aphorisms or *sūtras* and commentary or *vr̥tti* called Siddha-Hemachandra, a title compounded of the king's name and his own. As the Brāhmans found fault with the absence of any detailed references to the king in the work Hemachandra

Chapter II.

THE
CHAULUKYAS,
A.D. 961-1242.
Kumārāpāla,
A.D. 1143-1174.

¹ The head-quarters of the Dhandhuka sub-division sixty miles south-west of Ahmadabad.

² Another reading is Lakhi.

Chapter II.

THE

CHAULUKYAS,
A.D. 901-1242.Kumārāpāla,
A.D. 1143-1174.

added one verse at the end of each chapter in praise of the king. During Siddharāja's reign he also wrote two other works, the *Haimināmamālā* "String of Names composed by Hema(chandra)" or *Abhidhānachintāmaṣi* and the *Anekārthanāmamālā* a Collection of words of more than one meaning. He also began the *Dvyaś'rayakosha*¹ or Double Dictionary being both a grammar and a history. In spite of his value to Kumārāpāla, in the beginning of Kumārāpāla's reign Hemachārya was not honoured as a spiritual guide and had to remain subordinate to Brāhmins. When Kumārāpāla asked him what was the most important religious work he could perform Hemachārya advised the restoring of the temple of Somanātha. Still Hemachārya so far won the king to his own faith that till the completion of the temple he succeeded in persuading the king to take the vow of *ahimsā* or non-killing which though common to both faiths is a specially Jain observance. Seeing this mark of his ascendancy over the king, the king's family priest and other Brāhmins began to envy and thwart Hemachārya. On the completion of the temple, when the king was starting for Somanātha for the installation ceremony, the Brāhmins told him that Hemachārya did not mean to go with him. Hemachārya who had heard of the plot had already accepted the invitation. He said being a recluse he must go on foot, and that he also wanted to visit Girnār, and from Girnār would join the king at Somanātha. His object was to avoid travelling in a palanquin with the king or suffering a repetition of Siddharāja's insult for not accepting a *pālki*. Soon after reaching Somanātha Kumārāpāla asked after Hemachārya. The Brāhmins spread a story that he had been drowned, but Hemachārya was careful to appear in the temple as the king reached it. The king saw him, called him, and took him with him to the temple. Some Brāhmins told the king that the Jain priest would not pay any obeisance to Siva, but Hemachārya saluted the god in the following verse in which was nothing contrary to strict Jainism: 'Salutation to him, whether he be Brahma, Vishnu, Hara, or Jina, from whom have fled desires which produce the sprouts of the seed of worldliness.'² After this joint visit to Somanātha Hemachandra gained still more ascendancy over the king, who appreciated his calmness of mind and his forbearance. The Brāhmins tried to prevent the growth of his influence, but in the end Hemachandra overcame them. He induced the king to place in the sight of his Brāhmanical family priests an image of Śāntinātha Tīrthāṅkara among his family gods. He afterwards persuaded Kumārāpāla publicly to adopt the Jain faith by going to the hermitage of Hemachandra and giving

¹ *Prabandhachintāmaṣi*.² नमो नमो ह्युत्तमना राधायाः क्षममुपायता यस्य ।

ब्रह्मा वा विष्णुर्वा हरो जिनो वा नमस्तस्मै ॥

यश्च तत्र समवे यथा तथा गोप्ति सोऽप्यभिषया यथा तथा ।

चित्तदोषकलुषः स चेद्वचनेनैव भगवन्मोक्षस्तु ते ॥

numerous presents to Jain ascetics. Finally under his influence Kumārapāla put away all Brāhmanical images from his family place of worship. Having gone such lengths Kumārapāla began to punish the Brāhmanas who insulted Hemachandra. A Brāhmana named Vāmaraśi, a Pandit at the royal court, who composed a verse insulting Hemachandra, lost his annuity and was reduced to beggary, but on apologising to Hemachandra the annuity was restored. Another Brāhmanical officer named Bhāva Brihaspati, who was stationed at Somanātha, was re-called for insulting Hemachandra. But he too on apologising to Hemachandra was restored to Somanātha. Under Hemachandra's influence Kumārapāla gave up the use of flesh and wine, ceased to take pleasure in the chase, and by beat of drum forbade throughout his kingdom the taking of animal life. He withdrew their licences from hunters fowlers and fishermen, and forced them to adopt other callings. To what lengths this dread of life-taking was carried appears from an order that only filtered water was to be given to all animals employed in the royal army. Among the stories told of the king's zeal for life-saving is one of a Bania of Sāmbhar who having been caught killing a louse was brought in chains to Anahilavāda, and had his property confiscated and devoted to the building at Anahilavāda of a Louse Temple or Yūkā-Vihāra. According to another story a man of Nador in Mārwar was put to death by Kelhana the chief of Nador to appease Kumārapāla's wrath at hearing that the man's wife had offered flesh to a field-god or *kshetrapāla*. Hemachandra also induced the king to forego the claim of the state to the property of those who died without a son.

During Kumārapāla's reign Hemachandra wrote many well known Sanskrit and Prakrit works on literature and religion. Among these are the Adhyātmopanishad or Yogasāstra a work of 12,000 verses in twelve chapters called Prakāśas, the Trisāsthī-sālakāpurnamahacarita or lives of sixty-three Jain saints of the Utsarpinī and Avasarpinī ages; the Parīśiṣṭaparvan, a work of 3500 verses being the life of Jain Sthāviras who flourished after Mahāvīra; the Prakṛita Śabdānuśāsana or Prakṛit grammar; the Dvyaśraya a Prakrit poem written with the double object of teaching grammar and of giving the history of Kumārapāla; the Chhandanuśāsana a work of about 8000 verses on prosody; the Līngānuśāsana a work on genders; the Deśināmamālā in Prakṛit with a commentary a work on local and provincial words; and the Alākārachūḍāmāni a work on rhetoric. Hemachandra died in A.D. 1172 (S. 1229) at the age of 84. The king greatly mourned his loss and marked his brow with Hemachandra's ashes. Such crowds came to share in the ashes of the pyre that the ground was hollowed into a pit known as the Haima-Khadḍa or Hema's Pit.

Kumārapāla lived to a great age. According to the author of the Prabandhachintāmāni he was fifty when he succeeded to the

Chapter II.

THE

CHAULUKYAS.

A.D. 961-1044.

Kumārapāla.

A.D. 1143-1174.

१ संवत् १२२९ वैशाखशुद्धि ३ सोमे अष्टमि धीमदण्डिबद्धके समस्तराजावलीवि-
सहितमहाराजाधिराजपदमेश्वर भजदत्तालदेवकल्याणविजयराज्ये तत्प्रादयद्योपजीविनि महा-
मात्यश्रीसोमेश्वरे श्रीकरणादी.

Chapter II

THE
CHAULUKYAS,
A.D. 961-1242.
Kumārāpāla,
A.D. 1143-1174.

throne, and after ruling about thirty-one years died in A.D. 1174 (S. 1230). He is said to have died of *lūta* a form of leprosy. Another story given by the Kumārāpālaprabandha is that Kumārāpāla was imprisoned by his nephew and successor Ajayapāla. The Kumārāpālaprabandha gives the exact length of Kumārāpāla's reign at 30 years 8 months and 27 days. If the beginning of Kumārāpāla's reign is placed at the 4th Māgshar Sudi Samvat 1299, the date of the close, taking the year to begin in Kārtika, would be Bhādrapada Suddha Samvat 1229. If with Gujarāt almanacs the year is taken to begin in Āshāḍha, the date of the close of the reign would be Bhādrapada of Samvat 1230. It is doubtful whether either Samvat 1229 or 1230 is the correct year, as an inscription dated Samvat 1229 Vaiśākha Suddha 3rd at Udayapura near Bhilsā describes Ajayapāla Kumārāpāla's successor as reigning at Anahilapura. This would place Kumārāpāla's death before the month of Vaiśākha 1229 that is in A.D. 1173.¹

Ajayapāla,
A.D. 1174-1177.

As Kumārāpāla had no son he was succeeded by Ajayapāla the son of his brother Mahipāla.² According to the Kumārāpālaprabandha Kumārāpāla desired to give the throne to his daughter's son Pratāpamalla, but Ajayapāla raised a revolt and got rid of Kumārāpāla by poison. The Jain chroniclers say nothing of the reign of Ajayapāla because he was not a follower of their religion. The author of the Sukritasaṅkīrtana notices a small silver canopy or pavilion shown in Ajayapāla's court as a feudatory's gift from the king of Sapādalakṣha³ or Sōwālik. The author of the Kīrtikanmudī dismisses Ajayapāla with the mere mention of his name, and does not even state his relationship with Kumārāpāla. According to the Prabandhachīntamānī Ajayapāla destroyed the Jain temples built by his uncle. He showed no favour to Āmbaḍā and Kumārāpāla's other Jain ministers. Ajayapāla seems to have been of a cruel and overbearing temper. He appointed as his minister Kapardi because he was of the Brāhmanical faith.⁴ But considering his manners arrogant he ordered him to be thrown into a caldron of boiling oil. On another occasion he ordered the Jain scholar Rāmachandra to sit on a red-hot sheet of copper. One of his nobles Āmra-bhaṭa or Āmbaḍā refused to submit to

¹ Regarding the remarkable story that not long before their deaths both Hemachārya and Kumārāpāla inclined towards Islam if they did not become converts to Islam (Tod's Western India, 184) no fresh information has been obtained. Another curious saying of Tod's (Ditto, 182) also remains doubtful, Kumārāpāla expelled the tribe of Lār from his kingdom. That this tribe of Lār can have had to do either with Lāta or South Gujarāt or with the caste of Lād Vanis seems unlikely. The alternative is Pāris from Lār on the Persian Gulf whom Tod (Annals of Rajasthan, I, 235) notices as sending an expedition from Laristān to Gujarāt. In this connection it is worthy of note that Lār remained the seat of a Gujjar prince till A.D. 1600 the time of Shah Abas (D'Herbelot Bib. Or. II, 477). A repetition of the Parsi riots (Cambay Gazetteer, VI, 215) may have been the cause of their expulsion from Gujarāt.

² See the Dryādraya. A Patan inscription lying at Varāval also calls Ajayapāla the brother's son of Kumārāpāla.

³ It is stated in a grant of Bhīma II. dated S. 1233, that Ajayadeva, as he is there called, made the Sapādalakṣha or Sāmbhar Mung tributary. Ind. Ant. VI, 129B.

⁴ The Udayapura inscription mentions Somdevrā as the minister of Ajayapāla in August 1229 (A.D. 1173). See above page 193.

the king, saying that he would pay obeisance only to Vitarāja or Tirthankara as god, to Hemachandra as guide, and to Kumārāpāla as king. Ajayapāla ordered the matter to be settled by a fight. Āmbadā brought some of his followers to the drum-house near the gate, and in the fight that followed Āmbadā was killed. In A.D. 1177 (S. 1233), after a short reign of three years, Ajayapāla was slain by a doorkeeper named Vijjaladeva who plunged a dagger into the king's heart.¹

Ajayapāla was succeeded by his son Mālarāja II, also called Bāla Mālarāja as he was only a boy when installed. His mother was Nāikidevi the daughter of Paramardi, apparently the Kādamba king Permādi or Siva Chitta who reigned from A.D. 1147 to 1175 (S. 1203-1231).² The authors of the Kīrtikaumudī³ and the Sukritasankirtana say that even in childhood Mālarāja II dispersed the Turushka or Muhammadan army.⁴ The Prabandha-chintāmaṇi states that the king's mother fought at the Gādarāraghatta and that her victory was due to a sudden fall of rain. Mālarāja II. is said to have died in A.D. 1179 (S. 1235) after a reign of two years.

Mālarāja II. was succeeded by Bhīma II. The relationship of the two is not clearly established. Mr. Forbes makes Bhīma the younger brother of Ajayapāla. But it appears from the Kīrtikaumudī and the Sukritasankirtana that Bhīma was the younger brother of Mālarāja. The Sukritasankirtana after concluding the account of Mālarāja,⁵ calls Bhīma 'aṣya bandhu' 'his brother, and the Kīrtikaumudī, after mentioning the death of Mālarāja, says that Bhīma his younger brother 'anujanmāḍya' became king.⁶

Chapter II.

THE
CHAULUKYAS.
A.D. 961-1242.

Mālarāja II.
A.D. 1177-1179.

¹ The above of Ajayapāla is explained if Tod's statement (Western India, 191) that he became a Musalmān is correct.

² Fleet's Kānarese Dynasties, 93.

³ Chapter II. Verse 57.

⁴ We know much less about this event than its importance deserves, for with the exception of a raid made in A.D. 1197 by one of the Ghori generals this victory secured Gujarat from any serious Muhammadan attack for more than a century. We learn from various grants made by Bhīmadēva II. (Ind. Ant VI. 195, 198, 200, 201) that Mālarāja's regular epithet in the Yasakirāṇī was "He who overcame in battle the ruler of the Garjjanakas, who are hard to defeat"; and Dr. Bühler has pointed out (Ditto, 201) that Garjjanakas is a Sanskritising of the name Ghormar. As a matter of fact, however, the leader of the Musalmān army was Muhammad of Ghori, and the battle took place in A.D. 1173 (H. 574). One of the two Muhammadan writers who mentions the invasion (Muhammad 'Ufi, who wrote at Delhi about A.D. 1211) says that Muhammad was at first defeated, but invaded the country a second time two years later "and punished the people for their previous misconduct." But this is only mentioned incidentally as part of an anecdote of Muhammad's equity, and there is some confusion with Muhammad's victory in the second battle of Narayan (in Jaipur territory) in A.D. 1192, as a better, though slightly later authority, Minhāj-us-Sirāj, speaks of no second expedition to Gujarat led by Muhammad himself. Minhāj-us-Sirāj's account of the defeat is as follows (Elliott, II. 294): He (Muhammad) conducted his army by way of Uch and Multan towards Nahrwāli. The Rāj of Nahrwāli, Bhīmdeo, was a minor, but he had a large army and many elephants. In the day of battle the Muhammadans were defeated and the Sultan was compelled to retreat. This happened in the year 574 H. (1173 A.D.). Further on we read (Elliott, II. 300): "In 593 H. (1197 A.D.) he (Muhammad's general Kutb-ul-din) went towards Nahrwāli, defeated Rāj Bhīmdeo, and took revenge on the part of the Sultan." As no conquest of the country is spoken of, this expedition was evidently a mere raid. The only inaccuracy in the account is the mention of Bhīma instead of Mālarāja as the king who defeated the first invasion.—(A. M. T. J.)

⁵ Sarga II. Verse 47.

⁶ Sarga II. Verse 60.

Chapter II.

THE
CHALUKYAS,
A.D. 961-1242.
Bhīma II.
A.D. 1179-1242.

Mūlarāja we know came to the throne as a child. Of Bhīma also the Kīrtikaumudī says that he came to the throne while still in his childhood, and this agrees with the statements that he was the younger brother of Mūlarāja. Bhīma probably came to the throne in A.D. 1178 (S. 1234). There is no doubt he was reigning in A.D. 1179 (S. 1235), as an inscription in the deserted village of Kerālu near Bālmēr of Apahilavāda dated A.D. 1179 (S. 1235) states that it was written 'in the triumphant reign of the illustrious Bhīmadeva.'¹ A further proof of his reigning in A.D. 1179 (S. 1235) and of his being a minor at that time is given in the following passage from the Tabakāt-i-Nāsiri: In A.D. 1178 (Hijri 574) the Rāi of Nahrwālā Bhīmdeo, was a minor, but he had a large army and many elephants. In the day of battle the Muhammadans were defeated and the Sultān was compelled to retreat.² Merutunga says that Bhīma reigned from A.D. 1179 (S. 1235) for sixty-three years that is up to A.D. 1242 (S. 1298), and this is borne out by a copperplate of Bhīma which bears date A.D. 1240 (S. 1296³ Mārga Vadi 14th Sunday⁴).

Bhīma was nicknamed Bholo the Simpleton. The chroniclers of this period mention only the Vāghelās and almost pass over Bhīma. The author of the Kīrtikaumudī says 'the kingdom of the young ruler was gradually divided among powerful ministers and provincial chiefs'; and according to the Sukṛitasankīrtana 'Bhīma felt great anxiety on account of the chiefs who had forcibly eaten away portions of the kingdom.' It appears that during the minority, when the central authority was weak, the kingdom was divided among nobles and feudatories, and that Bhīma proved too weak a ruler to restore the kingly power. Manuscripts and copperplates show that Bhīmadeva was ruling at Apahilavāda in S. 1247, 1251, 1261, 1263, and 1264,⁵ and copperplates dated S. 1283, 1288, 1295, and 1296 have also been found. Though Bhīma in name enjoyed a long unbroken reign the verses quoted above show that power rested not with the king but with the nobles. It appears from an inscription that in A.D. 1224 (S. 1280) a Chālukya noble named Jayantasimha was supreme at Apahilavāda though he mentions Bhīma and his predecessors with honour and respect.⁶

It was probably by aiding Bhīma against Jayantasimha that the Vāghelās rose to power. According to the chroniclers the Vāghelās succeeded in the natural course of things. According to the Sukṛitasankīrtana Kumārāpāla appeared to his grandson Bhīma and directed him to appoint as his heir-apparent Virādhavala son of Lavanaprasāda and grandson of Arporāja the son of Dhavala king of Bhīmapallī. Next day in court, in the presence of his nobles, when Lavanaprasāda and Virādhavala entered the king said to

¹ The Vichitrāśrī also gives S. 1235 as the beginning of his reign.

² Elliot's History of India, II. 291. This event properly belongs to the reign of Mūlarāja. See above page 195 note 5.

³ Ind. Ant. VI. 207.

⁴ Chapter II. Verse 61.

⁵ Kielhorn's and Peteram's Reports on Sanskrit Manuscripts.

⁶ Ind. Ant. VI. 197.

Lavanaprasāda : Your father Arṇorāja seated me on the throne : you should therefore uphold my power : in return I will name your son Viradhavala my heir-apparent.¹ The author of the Kīrtikāumudī notes that Arṇorāja son of Dhavala, opposing the revolution against Bhīma, cleared the kingdom of enemies, but at the cost of his own life. The author then describes Lavanaprasāda and Viradhavala as kings. But as he gives no account of their rise to supremacy, it seems probable that they usurped the actual power from Bhīma though till A.D. 1242 (S. 1295) Bhīma continued to be nominal sovereign.

Bhīma's queen was Lalādevī the daughter of a Chohān chief named Samarasimha.²

Chapter II.

THE
CHAULUKYAS,
A.D. 961-1242.
Bhīma II.
A.D. 1179-1242.

¹ The text is दन्वास्यै दोष्यते युवराज्यं राज्यं चिरं कुरु.

² The text is चाहु राग that is चाहुनाग रागक. The term Rāgaka would show him to be a Chohān chief.

CHAPTER III.

THE VÁGHELÁS

(A.D. 1219-1304).

Chapter III.

THE VÁGHELÁS,
A.D. 1219-1304.Arnorāja,
A.D. 1170-1200.

WHILE Bhīmadeva II. (A.D. 1178-1241) struggled to maintain his authority in the north, the country between the Śībarmati and the Narbadā in the south as well as the districts of Dholkā and Dhandhukā in the south-west passed to the Vāghelās a branch of the Solāṅkīs sprung from Anāka or Arnorāja, the son of the sister of Kumārāpāla's (A.D. 1143-1173) mother. In return for services to Kumārāpāla,¹ Anāka, with the rank of a noble or Sāmanta, had received the village of Vyāghrapalli or Vāghelā, the Tiger's Lair, about ten miles south-west of Aṇahilavāḍa. It is from this village that the dynasty takes its name of Vāghela.

Lavanaprasāda,
A.D. 1200-1232.

Anāka's son Lavanaprasāda, who is mentioned as a minister of Bhīmadeva II. (A.D. 1179-1242)² held Vāghelā and probably Dhavalagadha or Dholkā about thirty miles to the south-west. The Kīrtikaumudī or Moonlight of Glory, the chief contemporary chronicle,³ describes Lavanaprasāda as a brave warrior, the slayer of the chief of Nadulā the modern Nāndol in Mārwar. "In his well-ordered realm, except himself the robber of the glory of hostile kings, robbers were unknown. The ruler of Mālava invading the kingdom turned back before the strength of Lavanaprasāda. The southern king also when opposed by him gave up the idea of war." The ruler of Mālava or Mālwa referred to was Sohaḍa or Subhatavarman.⁴ The southern king was the Devagiri Yādava Singhaṇa II. (A.D. 1209-1247).⁵

Lavanaprasāda married Madanarājī and by her had a son named Viradhavala. As heir apparent Viradhavala, who was also called Vira Vāghelā or the Vāghelā hero,⁶ rose to such distinction as a warrior that in the end Lavanaprasāda abdicated in his favour. Probably to reconcile the people to his venturing to oppose his sovereign Bhīmadeva, Lavanaprasāda gave out that in a dream the Luck of Aṇahilavāḍa

¹ Anāka survived Kumārāpāla and served also under Bhīmadeva II. Seeing the kingdom of his weak sovereign divided among his ministers and chiefs Anāka strove till his death to re-establish the central authority of the Solāṅkī dynasty. Katharata's Kīrtikaumudī, xiii.

² Rās Mālā (New Edition), 200.

³ Kīrtikaumudī, Bombay Sanskrit Series Number XXV.

⁴ Ind. Ant. VI, 188 footnote. According to Merutunga a contemporary chronicler an epigram of Bhīma's minister turned back Subhatavarman.

⁵ Ind. Ant. VI, 188.

⁶ According to one story Madanarājī left her husband's house taking Viradhavala with her, and went to live with Deva Rāja Pattakila the husband of her deceased sister. On growing up Viradhavala returned to his father's house. Rās Mālā (New Edition), 201.

appeared bewailing her home with unlighted shrines, broken walls, and jackal-haunted streets, and called on him to come to her rescue.¹ Though he may have gone to the length of opposing Bhimadeva by force of arms, Lavaprasāda was careful to rule in his sovereign's name. Even after Lavaprasāda's abdication, though his famous minister Vastupāla considered it advisable, Viradhavala refused to take the supreme title. It was not until the accession of Viradhavala's son Visaladeva that the head of the Vaghelas took any higher title than Rājaka or chieftain. Lavaprasāda's religious adviser or Guru was the poet Someśvara the author of the *Kīrtikaumudī* and of the *Vastupālacharita* or Life of Vastupāla, both being biographical accounts of Vastupāla. The leading supporters both of Lavaprasāda and of Viradhavala were their ministers the two Jain brothers Vastupāla and Tejapāla the famous temple-builders on Abu, Satruñjaya, and Girnār. According to one account Tejapāla remained at court, while Vastupāla went as governor to Stambhatirtha or Cambay where he redressed wrongs and amassed wealth.²

One of the chief times of peril in Lavaprasāda's reign was the joint attack of the Devagiri Yādava Singhas or Singhas from the south and of four Mārwar chiefs from the north. Lavaprasāda and his son Viradhavala in joint command marched south to meet Singhas at Broach. While at Broach the Vaghelas' position was made still more critical by the desertion of the Godhrā or Godhrā chief to Malwa and of the Lāṭa or south Gujarāt chief to Singhas. Still Lavaprasāda pressed on, attacked Singhas, and gave him so crushing a defeat, that, though Lavaprasāda had almost at once to turn north to meet the Mālwa army, Singhas retired without causing further trouble.³ Someśvara gives no reason for Singhas's withdrawal beyond the remark 'Deer do not follow the lion's path even when the lion has left it.' The true reason is supplied by a Manuscript called *Forms of Treaties*.⁴ The details of a treaty between Singhas and Lavaprasāda under date Samvat 1288 (A.D. 1232) included among the *Forms* seem to show that the reason why Singhas did not advance was that Lavaprasāda and his son submitted and concluded an alliance.⁵ In this copy of the treaty Singhasadeva is called the great king of kings or paramount sovereign *Maharājādhirāja*, while Lavaprasāda, Sanskritised into Lavayyasprāsāda is called a Rāna and a tributary chief *Mahāmāndales'vara*. The place where the treaty was concluded

Chapter III.

THE VAGHELAS,
A.D. 1219-1304.
Lavaprasāda,
A.D. 1200-1232.

¹ Dr. Bühler in *Ind. Ant.* VI. 189.

² According to the *Kīrtikaumudī*, Kāthavate's Ed. XIV. note 1, under Vastupāla few people ceased to earn money by base means; the wicked turned pale; the righteous prospered. All honestly and securely plied their calling. Vastupāla put down piracy, and, by building platforms, stopped the mingling of castes in milk shops. He repaired old buildings, planted trees, sank wells, laid out parks, and rebuilt the city. All castes and creeds he treated alike.

³ Kāthavate's *Kīrtikaumudī*, xv.

⁴ The use of the date Monday the fullmoon of Vaisākha, Samvat 1288 (A.D. 1232) in the second part of the *Forms* seems to show that the work was written in A.D. 1232.

⁵ Though the object is to give the form of a treaty of alliance, the author could not have used the names Singhas and Lavaprasāda unless such a treaty had been actually concluded between them. Apparently Singhas's invasion of Gujarāt took place but a short time before the book of treaties was compiled. Bhandarkar's *Search for Sanskrit Manuscripts* (1892-93), 40-41.

Chapter III.

THE VAGHELAS,
A.D. 1219-1304.Lavaṇaprasāda,
A.D. 1200-1233.

is styled "the victorious camp," and the date is Monday the fullmoon of Vaiśākha in the year Samvat 1288 (A.D. 1232). The provisions are that, as before, each of the belligerents should confine himself to his own territory; neither of them should invade the possessions of the other; if a powerful enemy attacked either of them, they should jointly oppose him; if only a hostile general led the attack, troops should be sent against him; and if from the country of either any noble fled into the territory of the other taking with him anything of value he should not be allowed harbourage and all valuables in the refugee's possession should be restored.¹ His good fortune went with Lavaṇaprasāda in his attack on the Mārwar chiefs whom he forced to retire. Meanwhile S'ankha² who is described as the son of the ruler of Sindh but who seems to have held territory in Broach, raised a claim to Cambay and promised Vastupāla Lavaṇaprasāda's governor; that, if Vastupāla declared in his favour³, he would be continued in his government. Vastupāla rejected S'ankha's overtures, met him in battle outside of Cambay, and forced him to retire. In honour of Vastupāla's victory the people of Cambay held a great festival when Vastupāla passed in state through the city to the shrine of the goddess Ekalla Vira outside of the town.⁴

Another of the deeds preserved in the Forms is a royal copperplate grant by Lavaṇaprasāda or Lāvanyaprasāda of a village, not named, for the worship of Somanātha. Lavaṇaprasāda is described as the illustrious Rāpaka,⁵ the great chief, the local lord or *Mandaleśvara*, the son of the illustrious Rāpaka Anāla born in the illustrious pedigree of the Chaulukya dynasty. The grant is noted as executed in the reign of Bhīmadēva II.⁶ while one Bhābhūya was his great minister. Though Bhīmadēva was ruling in A.D. 1232 (Samvat 1288) Lavaṇaprasāda apparently had sufficient influence to make grants of villages and otherwise to act as the real ruler of Gujarāt. It was apparently immediately after this grant (A.D. 1232?) that Lavaṇaprasāda abdicated in favour of Virādhavala.⁷

Virādhavala,
A.D. 1233-1233.

Soon after his accession Virādhavala, accompanied by his minister Tejapāla, started on an expedition against his wife's brothers Sāṅgaṇa and Chamuṇḍa the rulers of Vāmanasthali or Vantthali near Junāgaḍh. As in spite of their sister's advice Sāṅgaṇa and Chamuṇḍa refused to pay tribute the siege was pressed. Early in the fight the cry arose 'Virādhavala is slain.' But on his favourite horse Uparavaṇa, Virādhavala put himself at the head of his troops, slew both the brothers, and gained the

¹ Bhandārkar's Search for Sanskrit Manuscripts (1882-83), 40.

² According to other accounts S'ankha, a Broach chieftain, took up the cause of a certain Sayal or Muslim merchant with whom Vastupāla had quarrelled. In the fight Laxapāla a Gola, one of Vastupāla's chief supporters, was slain and in his honour Vastupāla raised a shrine to the Lord Laxapāla. See Māla (New Edition), 201-202.

³ Kāthavate's Kirtikāmaṇḍī, xv.-xvi.

⁴ Kāthavate's Kirtikāmaṇḍī, xv.-xvi.

⁵ The modern Gujarātī Rāpā.

⁶ Bhīmadēva's name is preceded by the names of his ten Chaulukya predecessors in the usual order. The attributes of each are given as in published Chaulukya copperplates. Ind. Ant. VI, 190-213.

⁷ Bhandārkar's Search for Sanskrit Manuscripts (1882-83), 32.

boarded treasure of Vanthali.¹ In an expedition against the chief of Bhadresvara, probably Bhadresar in Kacch, Viradhavala was less successful and was forced to accept the Kacch chief's terms. The chroniclers ascribe this reverse to three Rájput brothers who came to Viradhavala's court and offered their services for 3,00,000 drammās (about £7500). "For 3,00,000 drammās I can raise a thousand men" said Viradhavala, and the brothers withdrew. They went to the court of the Bhadresar chief, stated their terms, and were engaged. The night before the battle the brothers sent to Viradhavala saying 'Keep ready 3000 men, for through a triple bodyguard we will force our way.' The three brothers kept their word. They forced their way to Viradhavala, dismounted him, carried off his favourite steed Uparayaṭa, but since they had been his guests they spared Viradhavala's life.²

Another of Viradhavala's expeditions was to East Gujarāt. Ghughula, chief of Godraha or Godhrā, plundered the caravans that passed through his territory to the Gujarāt ports. When threatened with punishment by Viradhavala, Ghughula in derision sent his overlord a woman's dress and a box of cosmetics. The minister Tejahpāla, who was ordered to avenge this affront, dispatched some skirmishers ahead to raid the Godhra cattle. Ghughula attacked the raiders and drove them back in such panic that the main body of the army was thrown into disorder. The day was saved by the prowess of Tejahpāla who in single combat unhorsed Ghughula and made him prisoner. Ghughula escaped the disgrace of the woman's dress and the cosmetic box with which he was decorated by hitting his tongue so that he died. The conquest of Ghughula is said to have spread Viradhavala's power to the borders of Mahārāshtra.³ The chroniclers relate another success of Viradhavala's against Muizz-ud-dīn apparently the famous Muhammad Gori Sultan Muizz-ud-dīn Bahramshāh, the Sultan of Delhi (A.D. 1191-1205)⁴ who led an expedition against Gujarāt. The chief of Abu was instructed to let the Musalmān force march south unmolested and when they were through to close the defiles against their return. The Gujarāt army met the Musalmāns and the Abu troops hung on their rear. The Musalmāns fled in confusion and cartloads of heads were brought to Viradhavala in Dholkā. The chroniclers give the credit of this success to Vastupāla. They also credit Vastupāla with a stratagem which induced the Sultan to think well of Viradhavala and prevented him taking steps to wipe out the disgrace of his defeat. Hearing that the Sultan's mother, or, according to another story, the Sultan's religious adviser, was going from Cambay to Makka Vastupāla ordered his men to attack and plunder the vessels in which the pilgrimage was to be made. On the captain's complaint Vastupāla had the pirates arrested and the property restored. So grateful was the owner, whether mother or guide, that Vastupāla was taken to Delhi and arranged a friendly treaty between his master and the Sultan.⁵

Chapter III.

THE VAGHELAS,
A.D. 1219-1304.Viradhavala,
A.D. 1223-1235.¹ Kāthavate's Kirtikāumudī, xxiii.² Kāthavate's Kirtikāumudī, xxiii.³ Kāthavate's Kirtikāumudī, xxiii.-xxiv.⁴ Elliot and Dowson, II. 269.⁵ Kāthavate's Kirtikāumudī, xxiv.-xxv.

Chapter III.

THE VAGHELAS,
A.D. 1219-1304,
Viradhavala,
A.D. 1233-1238.

Their lavish expenditure on objects connected with Jain worship make the brothers Vastupála and Tejapála the chief heroes of the Jain chroniclers. They say when the Musalmán trader Sayad was arrested at Cambay his wealth was confiscated. Viradhavala claimed all but the dust which he left to Vastupála. Much of the dust was gold dust and a fire turned to dust more of the Sayad's gold and silver treasure. In this way the bulk of the Sayad's wealth passed to Vastupála. This wealth Vastupála and his brother Tejapála went to bury in Haddlaka in Káthiávada. In digging they chanced to come across a great and unknown treasure. According to the books the burden of their wealth so preyed on the brothers that they ceased to care for food. Finding the cause of her husband Tejapála's anxiety Anupamá said 'Spend your wealth on a hill top. All can see it; no one can carry it away.' According to the chroniclers it was this advice, approved by their mother and by Vastupála's wife Lalitádevi, that led the brothers to adorn the summits of Abu, Girná, and Satruñjaya with magnificent temples.

The Satruñjaya temple which is dedicated to the twenty-third Tirthaṅkara Neminátha is dated A.D. 1232 (Samvat 1288) and has an inscription by Someśvara, the author of the Kirtikaumudī telling how it was built. The Girná temple, also dedicated to Neminátha, bears date A.D. 1232 (Samvat 1288). The Abu temple, surpassing the others and almost every building in India in the richness and delicacy of its carving, is dedicated to Neminátha and dated A.D. 1231 (Samvat 1287). Such was the liberality of the brothers that to protect them against the cold mountain air each of their masons had a fire near him to warm himself and a hot dinner cooked for him at the close of the day. The finest carvers were paid in silver equal in weight to the dust chiselled out of their carvings.¹

The author Someśvara describes how he twice came to the aid of his friend Vastupála. On one occasion he saved Vastupála from a prosecution for pecculation. The second occasion was more serious. Simha the maternal uncle of king Visaladeva whipped the servant of a Jain monastery. Enraged at this insult to his religion Vastupála hired a Rájput who cut off Simha's offending hand. The crime was proved and Vastupála was sentenced to death. But according to the Jains the persuasions of Someśvara not only made the king set Vastupála free, but led him to upbraid his uncle for beating the servant of a Jain monastery. Soon after his release Vastupála was seized with fever. Feeling the fever to be mortal he started for Satruñjaya but died on the way. His brother Tejapála and his son Jayantapála burned his body on the holy hill, and over his ashes raised a shrine with the name Svargárohanapráśáda The shrine of the ascent into Heaven.²

¹ Káthavate's Kirtikaumudī, ix.; J. E. R. A. S. XVIII. Number XLVIII. 28. The Jain writers delight in describing the magnificence of the pilgrimages which Vastupála conducted to the holy places. The details are 4500 carts, 700 palanquins, 1800 camels, 2900 writers, 12,100 white-robed and 1100 naked or sky-clad Jains, 1450 singers, and 3300 bands. Káthavate's Kirtikaumudī, xvi.

² Káthavate's Kirtikaumudī, xviii. - xix.

In A.D. 1238 six years after his father's withdrawal from power Viradhavala died. One hundred and eighty-two servants passed with their lord through the flames, and such was the devotion that Tejabpāla had to use force to prevent further sacrifices.¹

Of Viradhavala's two sons, Virama Visala and Pratāpamalla, Vastupāla favoured the second and procured his succession according to one account by forcing the old king to drink poison and preventing by arms the return to Anahilavāda of the elder brother Virama who retired for help to Jābālipura (Jabalpur). Besides with his brother's supporters Visala had to contend with Tribhuvanapāla the representative of the Anahilavāda Solankis. Unlike his father and his grandfather Visala refused to acknowledge an overlord. By A.D. 1245 he was established as sovereign in Anahilavāda. A later grant A.D. 1261 (Samvat 1317) from Kadi in North Gujarāt shows that Anahilavāda was his capital and his title *Mahārājādhirāja* King of Kings. According to his copperplates Visaladeva was a great warrior, the crusher of the lord of Mālwa, a hatchet at the root of the turbulence of Mewād, a volcanic fire to dry up Singhana of Devagiri's ocean of men.² Visaladeva is further described as chosen as a husband by the daughter of Karpāta³ and as ruling with success and good fortune in Anahilavāda with the illustrious Nāgada as his minister.⁴ The lauds praise Visaladeva for lessening the miseries of a three years famine,⁵ and state that he built or repaired the fortifications of Visalanagara in East and of Darbhavati or Dābhoi in South Gujarāt.

During Visaladeva's reign Vāghela power was established throughout Gujarāt. On Visaladeva's death in A.D. 1261 the succession passed to Arjunadeva the son of Visaladeva's younger brother Pratāpamalla.⁶ Arjunadeva proved a worthy successor and for thirteen years (A.D. 1262-1274; Samvat 1318-1331) maintained his supremacy. Two stone inscriptions one from Verāval dated A.D. 1264 (Samvat 1320) the other from Kacch dated A.D. 1272 (Samvat 1328) show that his territory included both Kacch and Kāthiavāda, and an inscription of his successor Śaraṅgadeva shows that his power passed as far east as Mount Abu.

The Verāval inscription of A.D. 1264 (Samvat 1320), which is in the temple of the goddess Hareutā,⁷ describes Arjunadeva as the king

Chapter III.

THE VAGHELAS.
A.D. 1219-1369.Visaladeva.
A.D. 1245-1261.Arjunadeva.
A.D. 1262-1274.¹ *Rās Māla*, 302.² *Ind. Ant.* VI. 191. The word for Mewād is Madapdia the Mel or Mher land.³ The Karpāta king would probably be Sorpesvara (A.D. 1259) or his son Narasimha III. (A.D. 1264) of the Hoysala Ballalas of Dvārasamudra. Fleet's *Kanarese Dynasties*, 111, 69.⁴ These details are mentioned in a grant of land in Māndal in Ahmadābād to Brāhmins to fill a drinking fountain, repair temples, and supply offerings. *Ind. Ant.* VI. 210-213.⁵ *Rās Māla* (New Ed.), 312. A Jaina Pāṭṭāvalī or succession list of High-priests notices that the famine lasted for three years from Samvat 1315 (A.D. 1259). The text may be translated as follows: Vikrama Samvat 1315, three years' famine the king (being) Visaladeva. Bhandarkar's *Search for Sanskrit Manuscripts for 1893-94*, 15, 323.⁶ See Ep. *Ind.* I.⁷ The inscription was first noticed by Colonel Tod, *Rajasthan*, I. 705: Western India, 606.

Chapter III.

THE VACHNELIA,
A.D. 1212-1294.Arjunadeva,
A.D. 1262-1274.

of kings, the emperor (*chakravartin*) of the illustrious Chaulukya race, who is a thorn in the heart of the hostile king Nihankamalla, the supreme lord, the supreme ruler, who is adorned by a long line of ancestral kings, who resides in the famous Anahillapataka. The grant allots certain income from houses and shops in Somanatha Patan to a mosque built by Piroz a Muhammadan shipowner of Ormuz which is then mentioned as being under the sway of Amir Rukn-ud-din.¹ The grant also provides for the expenses of certain religious festivals to be celebrated by the Shiite sailors of Somanatha Patan, and lays down that under the management of the Mussalman community of Somanatha any surplus is to be made over to the holy districts of Makka and Madina. The grant is written in bad Sanskrit and contains several Arabic Persian and Gujarati words. Its chief interest is that it is dated in four eras, "in 862 of the Prophet Muhammad who is described as the teacher of the sailors, who live near the holy lord of the Universe that is Somanatha; in 1320 of the great king Vikrama; in 945 of the famous Valabhi; and in 151 of the illustrious Simha." The date is given in these four different eras, because the Muhammadan is the donor's era, the Samvat the era of the country, the Valabhi of the province, and the Simha of the locality.² The Kacch inscription is at the village of Rav about sixty miles east of Bhuj. It is engraved on a memorial slab at the corner of the courtyard wall of an old temple and bears date A.D. 1272 (Samvat 1328). It describes Arjunadeva as the great king of kings, the supreme ruler, the supreme lord. It mentions the illustrious Maladeva as his chief minister and records the building of a step-wall in the village of Rav.³

Sarangadeva,
A.D. 1275-1290.

Arjunadeva was succeeded by his son Sarangadeva. According to the Vichitrasang Sarangadeva ruled for twenty-two years from A.D. 1274 to 1296 (Samvat 1331-1353). Inscriptions of the reign of Sarangadeva have been found in Kacch and at Abu. The Kacch inscription is on a *padma* or memorial slab now at the village of Khokhar near Kauthkot which was brought there from the holy village of Bhadresar about thirty-five miles north-east of Mandvi. It bears date A.D. 1275 (Samvat 1332) and describes Sarangadeva as the great king of kings, the supreme ruler, the supreme lord ruling at Anahillapataka with the illustrious Maladeva as his chief minister.⁴ The Abu inscription dated A.D. 1294 (Samvat 1350) in the temple of Vastupala regulates certain dues payable to the Jain temple and mentions Sarangadeva as sovereign of Anahillapataka and as having for vassal Visaladeva ruler of the old capital of Chaudravati about twelve miles south of Mount Abu.⁵ A third inscription dated A.D.

¹ This is not Sultan Rukn-ud-din of the slave kings, who ruled from A.D. 1234 to A.D. 1265. Elliot and Dowson, II.

² All four dates tally. The middle of A.D. 1264 (Samvat 1320) falls in Hijra 662. As the Valabhi era begins in A.D. 315-316 and the Simha era in A.D. 1112, 916 of Valabhi and 161 of Simha tally with A.D. 1264.

³ Bombay Government Selections (C.I.I. New Series, 71).

⁴ From an unpublished copy in the possession of Rao Sahib Dattatraya Pranjivan Khakhar, late Educational Inspector, Kacch. Only the upper six lines of the inscription are preserved.

⁵ Asiatic Researches, XVI. 311; Rao Nall, 213.

1287 (Samvat 1343), originally from Somanátha, is now at Cintra in Portugal. It records the pilgrimages and religious benefactions of one Tripurántaka, a follower of the Nakulísá Párupata sect, in the reign of Śāraṅgadeva, whose genealogy is given. A manuscript found in Ahmadābād is described as having been finished on Sunday the 3rd of the dark fortnight of Jyeshtha in the Samvat year 1350, in the triumphant reign of Śāraṅgadeva the great king of kings, while his victorious army was encamped near Kāśpalli (Ahmadābād).¹

Śāraṅgadeva's successor Karnaḍeva ruled for eight years A.D. 1296 - 1304 (Samvat 1352 - 1360). Under this weak ruler, who was known as Ghelo or the Insane, Gujarāt passed into Musalmán hands. In A.D. 1297 Alaf Khán the brother of the Emperor Ala-u-din Khilji (A.D. 1296 - 1317) with Nasrat Khán led an expedition against Gujarāt. They laid waste the country and occupied Anahilaváda. Leaving his wives, children, elephants, and baggage Karnaḍeva fled to Ramadeva the Yádava chief of Devagiri.² All his wealth fell to his conquerors. Among the wives of Karnaḍeva who were made captive was a famous beauty named Kauladeví, who was carried to the harem of the Sultán. In the plunder of Cambay Nasrat Khán took a merchant's slave Malik Káfur who shortly after became the Emperor's chief favourite. From Cambay the Muhammadans passed to Káthiaváda and destroyed the temple of Somanátha. In 1304 Alaf Khán's term of office as governor of Gujarāt was renewed. According to the Mirát-i-Ahmadí after the renewal of his appointment, from white marble pillars taken from many Jain temples, Alaf Khán constructed at Anahilaváda the Jáma Masjid or general mosque.

In A.D. 1306 the Cambay slave Káfur who had already risen to be Sultán Ala-u-din's chief favourite was invested with the title of Malik Naib and placed in command of an army sent to subdue the Dakhan. Alaf Khán, the governor of Gujarāt, was ordered to help Malik Káfur in his arrangements. At the same time Kauladeví persuaded the Emperor to issue orders that her daughter Devaladeví should be sent to her to Delhi. Devaladeví was then with her father the unfortunate Karnaḍeva in hiding in Báglán in Násik. Malik Káfur sent a messenger desiring Karnaḍeva to give up his daughter. Karnaḍeva refused and Alaf Khán was ordered to lead his army to the Báglán hills and capture the princess. While for two months he succeeded in keeping the Muhammadan army at bay, Karnaḍeva received and accepted an offer for the hand of Devaladeví from the Devagiri Yádava chief Sankaradeva. On her way to Devagiri near Elum Devaladeví's escort was attacked by a party of Alaf Khán's troops, and the lady seized and sent to Delhi where she was married to prince Khizar Khán.

¹ Professor Bhandarkar's Report for 1883-84, 17-18.

² The local story is that king Karna had two Nágas Brahman ministers Mádhave and Keshava. He slew Keshava and took Mádhave's wife from her husband. In revenge Mádhave went to Delhi and brought the Muhammadans. After the Muhammadan conquest Mádhave presented Ala-u-din with 360 horses. In return Mádhave was appointed civil minister with Alaf Khán as military governor commanding a lákh of horsemen, 1500 elephants, 20,000 foot-soldiers and having with him forty-five officers entitled to use kettle-drums. Rás Mála, 214.

Chapter III.
THE VÁGHELÁS,
A.D. 1219-1304.

Nothing more is known of Karpadeva who appears to have died a fugitive.

Though the main cities and all central Gujarát passed under Muslimán rule a branch of the Vághelás continued to hold much of the country to the west of the Sábarmatí, while other branches maintained their independence in the rugged land beyond Ambá Bhawání between Vírpur on the Mahí and Posiná at the northmost verge of Gujarát.¹

GENEALOGY OF THE VÁGHELÁS.

Dharala,
A.D. 1160
Married Kumārapāla's Aunt.
|
Arjorāja,
A.D. 1170
Founder of Vághela.
|
Lavangprasaða,
A.D. 1200
Chief of Dholkí.
|
Viradhavala,
A.D. 1233-1238
Chief of Dholkí.
|
Vasudevā,
A.D. 1243-1261
King of Anahilaváḍa.
|
Arjunadeva,
A.D. 1262-1274.
|
Sáradgaleva,
A.D. 1274-1293.
|
Karpadeva or Ghelo,
A.D. 1296-1304.

¹ Rás Mals, 222. The Jhalás were firmly fixed in the plains between the Lesser Ran of Kacch and the Gulf of Cambay. The Koli branches of these clans with other tribes of pure or of adulterated aboriginal descent, spread over the Chuvál near Virangám and appeared in many remote and inaccessible tracts of hill or forest. On the east, under the protection of a line of Rájput princes, the banner of the goddess Káli floated from the hill of Pávagad; while in the west the descendants of Khengar held their famous fortress of Junághat from within its walls controlling much of the peninsula over which they had maintained undisputed sway. Chiefs of Junághat origin were scattered over the rest of the peninsula among whom were the Gohils of Gogo and Piran, and of the sea-washed province which from them derived its name of Gohilvát.



PROVINCE
of
GUZIERAT

Stacy M. Wilson



PART II.

MUSALMAN GUJARAT.

A.D. 1297-1760.

THIS history of Musalmán Gujarát is based on translations of the *Mirát-i-Sikandari* (A.D. 1611) and of the *Mirát-i-Ahmedi* (A.D. 1756) by the late Colonel J. W. Watson. Since Colonel Watson's death in 1889 the translations have been revised and the account enriched by additions from the Persian texts of *Farishtah* and of the two *Miráts* by Mr. Fazl Lutfulláh Farídi of Surat. A careful comparison has also been made with other extracts in Elliot's *History of India* and in Bayley's *History of Gujarát*.



MUSALMÁN GUJARÁT.

A.D. 1297-1760.

INTRODUCTION.

MUHAMMADAN rule in Gujarát lasted from the conquest of the province by the Delhi emperor Ala-ud-din Khilji (A.D. 1295-1315), shortly before the close of the thirteenth century A.D., to the final defeat of the Mughal vicaroy Momin Khán by the Maráthas and the loss of the city of Ahmedábád at the end of February 1758.

This whole term of Musalmán ascendancy, stretching over slightly more than four and a half centuries, may conveniently be divided into three parts. The First, the rule of the early sovereigns of Delhi, lasting a few years more than a century, or, more strictly from A.D. 1297 to A.D. 1403; the Second, the rule of the Ahmedábád kings, a term of nearly a century and three-quarters, from A.D. 1403 to A.D. 1573; the Third, the rule of the Mughal Emperors, when, for little less than two hundred years, A.D. 1573-1760, Gujarát was administered by viceroys of the court of Delhi.

In the course of these 450 years the limits of Gujarát varied greatly. In the fourteenth century the territory nominally under the control of the Musalmán governors of Patan (Anahilaváda) extended southwards from Jhálór, about fifty miles north of Mount Abu, to the neighbourhood of Bombay, and in breadth from the line of the Málwa and Khándesh hills to the western shores of peninsular Gujarát.¹ The earlier kings of Ahmedábád (A.D. 1403-1450), content with establishing their power on a firm footing, did not greatly extend the limits of their kingdom. Afterwards, during the latter part of the fifteenth and the beginning of the sixteenth centuries (A.D. 1450-1530), the dominions of the Ahmedábád kings gradually spread till they included large tracts to the east and north-east formerly in the possession of the rulers of Khándesh and Málwa. Still later, during the years of misrule between A.D. 1530 and A.D. 1573, the west of Khándesh and the north of the Konkan ceased to form part of the kingdom of Gujarát. Finally, under the arrangements introduced by the emperor Akbar in A.D. 1583, more lands were restored to Málwa and Khándesh. With the exception of Jhálór and Sirohi on the north, Dungarpur and Bānsváda on the north-east, and Alirájpur on

Introduction.

MUSALMÁN
GUJARÁT.
A.D. 1297-1760.

Territorial Limits.

¹ The first notice of the exercise of sovereignty by the Musalmán rulers of Gujarát over lands further south than the neighbourhood of Surat is in A.D. 1423, when king Ahmed I. (A.D. 1412-1442) contested with the Dakhan sovereign the possession of Udhin (north latitude 19° 40'; east longitude 72° 47'). As no record remains of a Musalmán conquest of the coast as far south as Danda Rajapuri or Janjira, about fifty miles south of Bombay, it seems probable that the North Konkan fell to the Musalmáns in A.D. 1297 as part of the recognised territories of the lords of Anahilapura (Patan). Rās Mals, I. 350. One earlier reference may be noted. In A.D. 1422 among the leading men slain in the battle of Sarangpur, about fifty miles north-east of Ujjain in Central India, was Sávant chief of Danda Rajapuri that is Janjira: Mirat-i-Mandari (Persian Text), 40, and Farishtah (Persian Text), II. 468.

Introduction.

MUSALMÁN
GUJARAT,
A.D. 1297 - 1760.

Sorath.

the east, since handed to Bājputāna and Central India, the limits of Gujarāt remain almost as they were laid down by Akbar.

Though, under the Musalmāns, peninsular Gujarāt did not bear the name of Kāthiāvāda, it was then, as at present, considered part of the province of Gujarāt. During the early years of Musalmān rule, the peninsula, together with a small portion of the adjoining mainland, was known as Sorath, a shortened form of Saurāshtra, the name originally applied by the Hindus to a long stretch of sea-coast between the banks of the Indus and Daman.¹ Towards the close of the sixteenth century the official use of the word Sorath was confined to a portion, though by much the largest part, of the peninsula. At the same time, the name Sorath seems then, and for long after, to have been commonly applied to the whole peninsula. For the author of the *Mirāt-i-Ahmedi*, writing as late as the middle of the eighteenth century (A.D. 1758 : A.H. 1170), speaks of Sorath as divided into five districts or *zillās*, Hālār, Kāthiāvāda, Gohilvāda, Bābriāvāda, and Jetvāda, and notices that though Navānagar was considered a separate district, its tribute was included in the revenue derived from Sorath.² In another passage the same writer thus defines Saurāshtra :

Saurāshtra or Sorath comprehends the Sarkar of Sorath the Sarkar of Islāmnagar or Navānagar and the Sarkar of Kachh or Bhujnagar. It also includes several *zillās* or districts, Nayad which they call Jatvār, Hālār or Navānagar and its vicinity, Kāthiāvāda, Gohilvāda, Bābriāvāda, Chhorvār, Panchāl, Okhāgir in the neighbourhood of Jagat otherwise called Dwārā, Prabhās Khetr or Pātan Sonmāth and its neighbourhood, Nāghir also called Sālgogha, and the Nalkāntha.³

¹ The details of Akbar's settlement in A.D. 1553 show Sorath with sixty-three subdivisions and Navānagar (Islāmnagar) with seventeen. Similarly in the *A'in-i-Akbari* (A.D. 1590) Sorath with its nine divisions includes the whole peninsula except Jhalāvāda in the north, which was then part of Ahmedābād. *Gleanings*, II. 64 and 66-71.

² *Brit. History of Gujarat*, 418.

³ Nayad is the present Nāyadkāntha about ten miles south-west of Rādhanpur containing Jatvār and Vācāli in the west near the Ban and spreading east to Sami and Manjpur thirty to forty miles south-west of Pātan. Hālār is in the north-west of the peninsula; Kāthiāvāda in the centre; Gohilvāda in the south-east; Bābriāvāda south-west of Gohilvāda; Chhorvār north-west of Vācāli; Panchāl in the north-east centre; Okhāgir or Okhāmandal in the extreme west. Nalkāntha is the hollow between Kāthiāvāda and the mainland. Besides these names the author of the *Mirāt-i-Ahmedi* gives one more district in Sorath and others in Gujarat. The name he gives in Sorath is Nāghir or Nāghir which he says is also called Sālgogha. Sālgogha is apparently Sālibet and its neighbourhood, as Kodinār, Mādhdpur, Chingaria, and Pātan in south Kāthiāvāda are still locally known as Nāghir, a tract famous for its fruitfulness. The *Mirāt-i-Ahmedi* contains the following additional local names: For Kadi thirty-five miles north-west of Ahmedābād, Dandāl; for Dholka twenty-five miles south-west of Ahmedābād, Prāth-Nagri; for Canday, Tamhānagri; for Viramgām forty miles north-west of Ahmedābād, Jhalvār; for Mūnjpur twenty-two miles south-east of Rādhanpur and some of the country between it and Pātan, Pāpasa; for the tract ten miles south-east of Rādhanpur to the neighbourhood of Pātan, Kātrax; for the town of Rādhanpur in the Pātanpur Political Superintendency and its neighbourhood, Vācāli; for the town of Pātanpur and its neighbourhood up to Dias and Dantvāda, Dhāntar; for Bālāsiner forty-two miles east of Ahmedābād with a part of Kapadvanj in the Kach district, Mashtwāda; for Baroda, Pārkher; for the subdivision of Jamdān in the Broach district fifteen miles north-west of Broach city, Kāman; for Ahmednagar that is Chota Udepur and the rough lands east of Godhrā, Pāntara.

The present Sorath stretches no further than the limits of Jūnāgadh, Bāntwa, and a few smaller holdings.

The name Kāthiāvāda is of recent origin. It was not until after the establishment of Mussalmān power in Gujarāt that any portion of the peninsula came to bear the name of the tribe of Kāthi. Even as late as the middle of the eighteenth century, the name Kāthiāvāda was applied only to one of the sub-divisions of the peninsula. In the disorders which prevailed during the latter part of the eighteenth century, the Kāthi made themselves conspicuous. As it was from the hardy horsemen of this tribe that the tribute-exacting Marāthi met with the fiercest resistance, they came to speak of the whole peninsula as, the land of the Kāthi. This use was adopted by the early British officers and has since continued.

Under the Ahmedābād kings, as it still is under British rule, Gujarāt was divided politically into two main parts; one, called the *khilāsh* or crown domain administered directly by the central authority; the other, on payment of tribute in service or in money, left under the control of its former rulers. The amount of tribute paid by the different chiefs depended, not on the value of their territory, but on the terms granted to them when they agreed to become feudatories of the kings of Ahmedābād. Under the Gujarāt Sultans this tribute was occasionally collected by military expeditions headed by the king in person and called *mulkītrī* or country-seizing circuits.

The internal management of the feudatory states was unaffected by their payment of tribute. Justice was administered and the revenue collected in the same way as under the Anahilapūr kings. The revenue consisted, as before, of a share of the crops received in kind, supplemented by the levy of special cesses, trade, and transit dues. The chief's share of the crops differed according to the locality; it rarely exceeded one-third of the produce, it rarely fell short of one-sixth. From some parts the chief's share was realised directly from the cultivator by agents called *amīntrīs*; from other parts the collection was through superior landowners.¹

The Ahmedābād kings divided the portion of their territory which was under their direct authority into districts or *sarkārs*. These districts were administered in one of two ways. They were either assigned to nobles in support of a contingent of troops, or they were set apart as crown domains and managed by paid officers. The officers placed in charge of districts set apart as crown domains were called *mukhtā*.² Their chief duties were to preserve the peace and to collect the revenue. For the maintenance of order, a body of soldiers from the army head-quarters at Ahmedābād was detached for service in each of these divisions, and placed under the command of the district governor. At the same time, in addition to the presence of this detachment of regular troops, every district contained certain

Introduction.

MUSALMĀN
GUJARĀT.
A.D. 1297-1709.
KATHIAVĀDA.

UNDER THE
KINGS.
1102-1673.

States.

Districts.

Crown Lands.

¹ Rās Māla, I. 241.

² *Mukhtā* and *khilāsh*, the district administered by a *mukhtā*, come from the Arabic root *khata*, to cut, in allusion to the public revenue or the lands cut and apportioned for the pay of the officers and their establishments.

Introduction.

UNDER THE
KING,
A.D. 1403-1573.

fortified outposts called *thānās*, varying in number according to the character of the country and the temper of the people. These posts were in charge of officers called *thānadārs* subordinate to the district governor. They were garrisoned by bodies of local soldiery, for whose maintenance, in addition to money payments, a small assignment of land was set apart in the neighbourhood of the post. On the arrival of the tribute-collecting army the governors of the districts through which it passed were expected to join the main body with their local contingents. At other times the district governors had little control over the feudatory chiefs in the neighbourhood of their charge.

Fiscal.

For fiscal purposes each district or *sarkār* was distributed among a certain number of sub-divisions or *paraganāhs*, each under a paid official styled *āmīl* or *tahsildār*. These sub-divisional officers realised the state demand, nominally one-half of the produce, by the help of the headmen of the villages under their charge. In the sharehold and simple villages of North Gujarāt these village headmen were styled *patels* or according to Musalmān writers *jukaddāns* and in the simple villages of the south they were known as *desādis*. They arranged for the final distribution of the total demand in joint villages among the shareholders, and in simple villages from the individual cultivators.¹ The sub-divisional officer presented a statement of the accounts of the villages in his sub-division to the district officer, whose record of the revenues of his whole district was in turn forwarded to the head revenue officer at court. As a check on the internal management of his charge, and especially to help him in the work of collecting the revenue, with each district governor was associated an accountant. Further that each of these officers might be the greater check on the other, king Ahmed I. (A.D. 1412-1443) enforced the rule that when the governor was chosen from among the royal slaves the accountant should be a free man, and that when the accountant was a slave the district governor should be chosen from some other class. This practise was maintained till the end of the reign of Muzaffar Shāh (A.D. 1511-1525), when, according to the *Mirāt-i-Ahmedi*, the army became much increased, and the ministers, condensing the details of revenue, farmed it on contract, so that many parts formerly yielding one rupee now produced ten, and many others seven eight or nine, and in no place was there a less increase than from ten to twenty per cent. Many other changes occurred at the same time, and the spirit of innovation creeping into the administration the wholesome system of checking the accounts was given up and mutiny and confusion spread over Gujarāt.²

Assigned Lands.

The second class of directly governed districts were the lands assigned to nobles for the maintenance of contingents of troops. As in other parts of India, it would seem that at first these assignments were for specified sums equal to the pay of the contingent. When such assignments were of long standing, and were large enough to swallow the whole revenue of a district, it was natural to simplify the

¹ Further particulars regarding these village headmen are given below.

² Bird's History of Gujarāt, 192; *Mirāt-i-Bikānārī*, Persian Text, 44.

arrangement by transferring the collection of the revenue and the whole management of the district to the military leader of the contingent. So long as the central power was strong, precautions were doubtless taken to prevent the holder of the grant from unduly rackrenting his district and appropriating to himself more than the pay of the troops, or from exercising any powers not vested in the local governors of districts included within the crown domains. As in other parts of India, these stipulations were probably enforced by the appointment of certain civil officers directly from the government to inspect the whole of the noble's proceedings, as well in managing his troops as in administering his lands.¹ The decline of the king's power freed the nobles from all check or control in the management of their lands. And when, in A.D. 1536, the practice of farming was introduced into the crown domains, it would seem to have been adopted by the military leaders in their lands, and to have been continued till the annexation of Gujarât by the emperor Akbar in A.D. 1573.

It was the policy of Akbar rather to improve the existing system than to introduce a new form of government. After to some extent contracting the limits of Gujarât he constituted it a province or *subah* of the empire, appointing to its government an officer of the highest rank with the title of *subahdâr* or viceroy. As was the case under the Ahmedâbâd kings, the province continued to be divided into territories managed by feudatory chiefs, and districts administered by officers appointed either by the court of Delhi or by the local viceroy. The head-quarters of the army remained at Ahmedâbâd, and detachments were told off and placed under the orders of the officers in charge of the directly administered divisions. These district governors, as before, belonged to two classes, paid officers responsible for the management of the crown domains and military leaders in possession of lands assigned to them in pay of their contingent of troops. The governors of the crown domains, who were now known as *faujdârs* or commanders, had, in addition to the command of the regular troops, the control of the outposts maintained within the limits of their charge. Like their predecessors they accompanied the viceroy in his yearly circuit for the collection of tribute.

As a check on the military governors and to help them in collecting the revenue, the distinct class of account officers formerly established by king Ahmed I. (A.D. 1412-1443) was again introduced. The head of this branch of the administration was an officer, second in rank to the viceroy alone, appointed direct from the court of Delhi with the title of *divân*. Besides acting as collector-general of the revenues of the province, this officer was also the head of its civil administration. His title *divân* is generally translated minister. And though the word minister does not express the functions of the office, which corresponded more nearly with those of a chief secretary, it represents with sufficient accuracy the relation in which the holder of the office of *divân* generally stood to the viceroy.

Introduction.

UNDER THE
KING,
A.D. 1403-1573.

Assigned Lands.

UNDER THE
MUGHALS,
A.D. 1573-1760.
Administration.

Crown Lands.

¹ Elphinstone's History, 76.

Introduction.

UNDER THE
MOGHALS,
A.D. 1573-1700.

Revenue
Officials.

For its revenue administration each district or group of districts had its revenue officials called *amins* who corresponded to the collector of modern times. There were also *amins* in the customs department separate from those whose function was to control and administer the land revenue. Beneath the *amin* came the *āmil*¹ who carried on the actual collection of the land revenue or customs in each district or *parganah*, and below the *āmil* were the *filts*, *muskrifs*, or *karkāns* that is the revenue clerks. The *āmil* corresponded to the modern *māmlatdār*, both terms meaning him who carries on the *amal* or revenue management. In the leading ports the *āmil* of the customs was called *mutasaddi* that is civil officer.

Village
Officers.

The *āmil* or *māmlatdār* dealt directly with the village officials, namely with the *mukaddam* or headman, the *patwārī* or lease manager, the *kāwāngo* or accountant, and the *havāldār* or grain-yard guardian. The *havāldār* superintended the separation of the government share of the produce; apportioned to the classes subject to forced labour their respective turns of duty; and exercised a general police superintendence by means of subordinates called *pasūtās* or *vartamāns*. In ports under the *mutasaddi* was a harbour-master or *shāh-bandar*.

Desāis.

Crown sub-divisions had, in addition, the important class called *desāis*. The *desāi*'s duty appears at first to have been to collect the *salāmi* or tribute due by the smaller chiefs, landholders, and *rāstidārs* or sharers. For this, in Akbar's time, the *desāi* received a remuneration of 2½ per cent on the sum collected. Under the first viceroy Mirza Aziz Kokaltāsh (A.D. 1573-1575) this percentage was reduced to one-half of its former amount, and in later times this one-half was again reduced by one-half. Though the Muhammadan historians give no reason for so sweeping a reduction, the cause seems to have been the inability of the *desāis* to collect the tribute without the aid of a military force. Under the new system the *desāi* seems merely to have kept the accounts of the tribute due, and the records both of the amount which should be paid as tribute and of other customary rights of the crown. In later times the *desāis* were to a great extent superseded by the district accountants or *majmūdārs*, and many *desāis*, especially in south Gujarāt, seem to have sunk to *patels*.

Land Tax.

Up to the viceroyalty of Mirza Isā Tarkhān (A.D. 1642-1644), the land tax appears to have been levied from the cultivator in a fixed sum, but he was also subject to numerous other imposts. Land grants in *wāzifah* carried with them an hereditary title and special exemption from all levies except the land tax. The levy in kind appears to have ceased before the close of Mughāl rule. In place of a levy in kind each village paid a fixed sum or *jama* through the district accountant or *majmūdār* who had taken the place of the *desāi*. As in many cases the *jama* really meant the lump sum at which the crown villages were assessed and farmed to the chiefs and *patels*, on the collapse of the empire many villages thus farmed to chiefs and landlords were

¹ In Mārwār and in the north and north-east this official was styled *talukdār* and in the Dakhan *kamdar*.

retained by them with the connivance of the *mujauddars desais* and others.

The administration of justice seems to have been very complete. In each *kashah* or town *kasis*, endowed with globe lands in addition to a permanent salary, adjudicated disputes among Muhammadans according to the laws of *Islam*. Disputes between Muhammadans and unbelievers, or amongst unbelievers, were decided by the department called the *sadrat*, the local judge being termed a *sadr*. The decisions of the local *kasis* and *sads* were subject to revision by the *kazi* or *sadr* of the *sabat* who resided at Ahmedabad. And as a last resort the Ahmedabad decisions were subject to appeal to the *Kazi-ul-Kuzat* and the *Sadr-uz-Sudr* at the capital.

The revenue appears to have been classed under four main heads: 1. The *Kharanah-i-A'mrah* or imperial treasury which comprehended the land tax received from the crown *parganahs* or districts, the tribute, the five per cent customs dues from infidels, the import dues on stuffs, and the *sayer* or land customs including transit dues, slave market dues, and miscellaneous taxes. 2. The treasury of arrears into which were paid government claims in arrear either from the *amils* or from the farmers of land revenue; *ladris* advances due by the *rajputs*; and tribute levied by the presence of a military force. 3. The treasury of charitable endowments. Into this treasury was paid the 2½ per cent levied on customs dues from Muhammadans.¹ The pay of the religious classes was defrayed from this treasury. 4. The treasury, into which the *jarish* or capitation tax levied from *zimmi*s or infidels who acknowledged Muhammadan rule, was paid. The proceeds were expended in charity and public works. After the death of the emperor Farrukhsiyar (A.D. 1713-1719), this source of revenue was abolished. The arrangements introduced by Akbar in the end of the sixteenth century remained in force till the death of Aurangzib in A.D. 1707. Then trouble and perplexity daily increased, till in A.D. 1724-25, Hamid Khan usurped the government lands, and, seeking to get rid of the servants and assignments, gradually obtained possession of the records of the registry office. The keepers of the records were scattered, and yearly revenue statements ceased to be received from the districts.²

Akbar continued the system of assigning lands to military leaders in payment of their contingents of troops. Immediately after the annexation in A.D. 1573, almost the whole country was divided among the great nobles.³ Except that the revenues of certain tracts were

Introduction.

UNDER THE
MUGHALS,
A.D. 1573-1760.

Justice.

Fiscal.

Assigned Lands.

¹ *Zakat*, literally, purification or cleansing, is the name of a tax levied from Muslims for charitable purposes or religious uses. In the endowments-treasury the customs dues from Muslims at 2½ per cent (the technical 1 in 40) as contrasted with the five per cent levied from infidels (the technical 2 in 40) were entered. Hence in these accounts *sakaf* corresponds with customs dues, and is divisible into two kinds *thakafi sakaf* or land customs and *hari sakaf* or sea customs.

² Bird's History of Gujarat, 23. Though under the Mughal viceroys the state demand was at first realized in grain, at the last the custom was to assess each sub-division, and probably each village, at a fixed sum or *jama*. The total amount for the sub-division was collected by an officer called *amildar*, literally, keeper of collections, the village headmen, *patels* or *astaddams*, being responsible each for his own village.

³ Bird's History of Gujarat, 325.

Introduction.

UNDER THE
MUGHALS,
A.D. 1573-1760.

Assigned Lands.

set aside for the imperial exchequer the directly governed districts passed into the hands of military leaders who employed their own agents to collect the revenue. During the seventeenth century the practice of submitting a yearly record of their revenues, and the power of the viceroy, to bring them to account for misgovernment, exercised a check on the management of the military leaders. And during this time a yearly surplus revenue of £800,000 (Rs. 80,00,000) from the assigned and crown lands was on an average forwarded from Gujarāt to Delhi. In the eighteenth century the decay of the viceroy's authority was accompanied by the gradually increased power of the military leaders in possession of assigned districts, till finally, as in the case of the Nawābs of Broach and Surat, they openly claimed the position of independent rulers.¹

Minor Officers.

Of both leading and minor officials the Mirāt-i-Ahmedi supplies the following additional details. The highest officer who was appointed under the seal of the minister of the empire was the provincial *dirān* or minister. He had charge of the fiscal affairs of the province and of the revenues of the *khāssa* or crown lands, and was in some matters independent of the viceroy. Besides his personal salary he had 150 *sawāra* for two provincial *thānās* Arjanpur and Khambhalia. Under the *dirān* the chief officers were the *pishtār dirān* his first assistant, who was appointed under imperial orders by the patent of the *dirān*, the *darooghah* or head of the office, and the *sharf* or *mushrif* and *tehwildār* of the *daftar khānāhs*, who presided over the accounts with *munshis* and *muharrirs* or secretaries and writers. The *kāzis*, both town and city, with the sanction of the emperor were appointed by the chief law officer of the empire through the chief law officer of the province. They were lodged by the state, paid partly in cash partly in land, and kept up a certain number of troopers. In the *kāzi's* courts *wakils* or pleaders and *muftis* or law officers drew 8 as. to Rs. 1 a day. Newly converted Musalmāns also drew 8 as. a day. The city censor or *mukhtashib* had the supervision of morals and of weights and measures. He was paid in cash and land, and was expected to keep up sixty troopers. The news-writer, who was sometimes also *bakhshi* or military paymaster, had a large staff of news-writers called *wakīlāh-nigār* who worked in the district courts and offices as well as in the city courts. He received his news-reports every evening and embodied them in a letter which was sent to court by camel post. A second staff of news-writers called *sawān-thnigār* reported rumours. A third set were the *harkārdas* on the viceroy's staff. Postal *chankis* or stations extended from Ahmedābād to the Ajmir frontier, each with men and horse ready to carry the imperial post which reached Shah Jehānābād or Delhi in seven days. A line of posts also ran south through Broach to the Dakhan. The *faujdar*s or military police, who were sometimes commanders of a thousand and held estates, controlled both the city and the district police. The *ketwāl* or head of the city night-watch was appointed by the viceroy. He had fifty troopers and a hundred foot. In the treasury department were the *amin* or chief, the *darooghah*, the

¹ Bird's History of Gujarāt, 341.

mushrif, the treasurer, and five messengers. In the medical department were a Yūnāni or Greek school and a Hindu physician, two under-physicians on eight and ten annas a day, and a surgeon. The yearly grant for food and medicine amounted to Rs. 2000.¹

Besides the class of vernacular terms that belong to the administration of the province, certain technical words connected with the tenure of land are of frequent occurrence in this history. For each of these, in addition to the English equivalent which as far as possible has been given in the text, some explanation seems necessary. During the period to which this history refers, the superior holders of the land of the province belonged to two main classes, those whose claims dated from before the Musalmān conquest and those whose interest in the land was based on a Musalmān grant. By the Musalmān historians, landholders of the first class, who were all Hindus, are called *zamīndārs*, while landholders of the second class, Musalmāns as a rule, are spoken of as *jāgīrdārs*. Though the term *zamīndār* was used to include the whole body of superior Hindu landholders, in practice a marked distinction was drawn between the almost independent chief, who still enjoyed his Hindu title of *rāja*, *rāzā*, *rāv*, or *jām*, and the petty claimant to a share in a government village, who in a Hindu state would have been known as a *garasīd*.²

The larger landholders, who had succeeded in avoiding complete subjection, were, as noticed above, liable only for the payment of a certain fixed sum, the collection of which by the central power in later times usually required the presence of a military force. With regard to the settlement of the claims of the smaller landholders of the superior class, whose estates fell within the limits of the directly administered districts, no steps seem to have been taken till the reign of Ahmed Shāh I. (A.D. 1411-1443). About the year A.D. 1420 the peace of his kingdom was so broken by agrarian disturbances, that Ahmed Shāh agreed, on condition of their paying tribute and performing military service, to re-grant to the landholders of the *zamīndār*-class as hereditary possessions a one-fourth share of their former village lands. The portion so set apart was called *vintā* or share, and the remainder, retained as state land, was called *talpat*. This agreement continued till, in the year A.D. 1545, during the reign of Mahmūd Shāh II. (A.D. 1535-1553), an attempt was made to annex these private shares to the crown. This measure caused much discontent and disorder. It was reversed by the emperor Akbar who, as part of the settlement of the province in A.D. 1583, restored their one-fourth share to the landholders, and, except that the Marāṭhās

Introduction.

UNDER THE
MUGHALS.
A.D. 1573-1760.

Land Tenures.

Hereditary
Hindu
Landholders.

¹ Mirāt-i-Āhmadi Persian Text page 115.

² The title *raja* is applicable to the head of a family only. The payment of tribute to the Moghals or Marāṭhās does not affect the right to use this title. *Rāzā* and *vintā* seem to be of the same dignity as *raja*. *Rāzā* is of lower rank. The sons of *raja*, *raizā*, *raizā*, and *raizā* are called *beheras* and their sons *thāheras*. The younger sons of *thāheras* become *thāheras* that is headowners or *garasīds*, that is owners of *garas* or a mouthful. *Jām* is the title of the chiefs of the Jāleja tribe both of the elder branch in Kachh and of the younger branch in Navnagar, or Little Kachh in Kāṭhīāvāḍ. Rās Māl, II. 277.

Introduction.

UNDER THE
MUGHALS,
A.D. 1573-1760.

Levies.

afterwards levied an additional quit-rent from these lands, the arrangements then introduced have since continued in force.¹

During the decay of Musalmán rule in Gujarát in the first half of the eighteenth century, shareholders of the *garásia* class in government villages, who were always ready to increase their power by force, levied many irregular exactions from their more peaceful neighbours, the cultivators or inferior landholders. These levies are known as *col* that is a forced contribution or *pál* that is protection. All have this peculiar characteristic that they were paid by the cultivators of crown lands to petty marauders to purchase immunity from their attacks. They in no case partook of the nature of dues imposed by a settled government on its own subjects. *Tora garás*, more correctly *toda garás*, is another levy which had its origin in eighteenth century disorder. It was usually a readymoney payment taken from villages which, though at the time crown or *kháta*, had formerly belonged to the *garásia* who exacted the levy. Besides a readymoney payment contributions in kind were sometimes exacted.

Service Lands.

The second class of superior landholders were those whose title was based on a Musalmán grant. Such grants were either assignments of large tracts of land to the viceroy, district-governors, and nobles, to support the dignity of their position and maintain a contingent of troops, or they were allotments on a smaller scale granted in reward for some special service. Land granted with these objects was called *jágir*, and the holder of the land *jágirdár*. In theory, on the death of the original grantee, such possessions were strictly resumable; in practice they tended to become hereditary. No regular payments were required from holders of *jágirs*. Only under the name of *pushtaksh* occasional contributions were demanded. These occasional contributions generally consisted of such presents as a horse, an elephant, or some other article of value. They had more of the nature of a freewill offering than of an enforced tribute. Under the Musalmán contributions of this kind were the only payments exacted from proprietors of the *jágirdár* class. But the Maráthas, in addition to contributions, imposed on *jágirdárs* a regular tribute, similar to that paid by the representatives of the original class of superior Hindu landholders.

Under Musalmán rule great part of Gujarát was always in the hands of *jágirdárs*. So powerful were they that on two occasions under the Ahmedábád kings, in A.D. 1554 and A.D. 1572, the leading

¹ Under the Maráthas the title *zamiladár* was bestowed on the farmers of the land revenue, and this practice was adopted by the earlier English writers on Gujarát. In consequence of this change small landholders of the superior class, in directly administered districts, came again to be called by their original Hindu name of *garásia*. Mr. Elphinstone (History, 79 and note 13) includes under the term *zamiladár*: (1) half-subdued chieftains, (2) independent governors of districts, and (3) farmers of revenue. He also notices that until Aurangzeb's time such chiefs as enjoyed some degree of independence were alone called *zamiladars*. But in Colonel Walker's time, A.D. 1766, at least in Gujarát (Bombay Government Selections, XXXIX, 25) the term *zamiladár* included *darás*, *majmúldars* (district accountants), *paidas*, and *talúds* (village clerks).

nobles distributed among themselves the entire area of the kingdom.¹ Again, during the eighteenth century, when Mughal rule was on the decline, the *jagirdars* by degrees won for themselves positions of almost complete independence.²

The changes in the extent of territory and in the form of administration illustrate the effect of the government on the condition of the people during the different periods of Musalman rule. The following summary of the leading characteristics of each of the main divisions of the four-and-a-half centuries of Musalman ascendancy may serve as an introduction to the detailed narrative of events.

On conquering Gujarāt in A.D. 1297 the Musalmāns found the country in disorder. The last kings of Anahilapur or Pātan, suffering under the defects of an incomplete title, held even their crown lands with no firmness of grasp, and had allowed the outlying territory to slip almost entirely from their control. Several of the larger and more distant rulers had resumed their independence. The Bhils and Kolis of the hills, forests, and rough river banks were in revolt. And stranger chiefs, driven south by the Musalman conquests in Upper India, had robbed the central power of much territory.³ The records of the early Musalman governors (A.D. 1297-1391) show suspicion on the side of the Delhi court and disloyalty on the part of more than one viceroy, much confusion throughout the province, and little in the way of government beyond the exercise of military force. At the same time, in spite of wars and rebellions, the country, in parts at least, seems to

Introduction.

CONDITION OF
GUJARAT,
A.D. 1297-1709.

Under the
Early Viceroys,
1297-1405.

¹ Details of A.D. 1571 given in the *Mirāt-i-Ahmadi* show that the chief nobles were bound to furnish cavalry contingents varying from 4000 to 25,000 horse, and held lands estimated to yield yearly revenues of £160,000 to £1,020,000. *Hind's Gujarat*, 109-127.

² According to the European travellers in India, during the seventeenth century, provincial governors, and probably to some extent all large holders of service lands, employed various methods for adding to the profits which the assigned lands were meant to yield them. Of these devices two seem to have been specially common, the practice of supporting a body of horse smaller than the number agreed for, and the practice of purveyance that is of buying supplies without payment. Sir Thomas Roe, from A.D. 1615 to 1618 English ambassador at the court of the emperor Jahāngir, gives the following details of these irregular practices: 'The Patan (that is Patan in Bengal) viceroy's government was estimated at 5000 horse, the yearly pay of each trooper being £20 (Rs. 500), of which he kept only 1500, being allowed the surplus as dead pay. On one occasion this governor wished to present me with 100 leaves of the finest sugar, as white as snow, each leaf weighing fifty pounds. On my declining, he said, 'You refuse these leaves, thinking I am poor; but being made in my government the sugar costs me nothing, as it comes to me gratis.' Sir Thomas Roe in *Kerr's Voyages*, IX. 282-284. The same writer, the best qualified of the English travellers of that time to form a correct opinion, thus describes the administration of the Musalman governors of the seventeenth century: 'They practice every kind of tyranny against the natives under their jurisdiction, oppressing them with continual exactions, and are exceedingly averse from any way being opened by which the king may be informed of their infamous proceedings. They grind the people under their government to extract money from them, often hanging men up by the heels to make them confess that they are rich, or to ransom themselves from faults merely imputed with a view to fleece them.' Sir Thomas Roe in *Kerr's Voyages*, IX. 333.

³ Of these settlements the principal was that of the Rathod chief who in the thirteenth century established himself at Ilār, now one of the states of the Mald Kantha. In the thirteenth century also, Gohils from the north and Sodha Parmārs and Kuthis from Sindh entered Gujarāt. *Ras Māh*, II. 269.

Introduction.

CONDITION OF
GUJARAT,
A.D. 1297-1790.

Under the
Kings,
1403-1573.

have been well cultivated, and trade and manufactures to have been flourishing.¹

The period of the rule of the Ahmedābād kings (A.D. 1403-1573) contains two divisions, one lasting from A.D. 1403 to A.D. 1530, on the whole a time of strong government and of growing power and prosperity; the other the forty-three years from A.D. 1530 to the conquest of the province by the emperor Akbar in A.D. 1573, a time of disorder and misrule. In A.D. 1403 when Gujarāt separated from Dehli the new king held but a narrow strip of plain. On the north were the independent chiefs of Sirohi and Jhālor, from whom he occasionally levied contributions. On the east the Rāja of Idar, another Rājput prince, was in possession of the western skirts of the hills and forests, and the rest of that tract was held by the mountain tribes of Bhils and Kolis. On the west the peninsula was in the hands of nine or ten Hindu tribes, probably tributary, but by no means obedient.² In the midst of so unsettled and warlike a population, all the efforts of Muzaḥfir I., the founder of the dynasty, were spent in establishing his power. It was not until the reign of his successor Ahmed I. (A.D. 1412-1443) that steps were taken to settle the different classes of the people in positions of permanent order. About the year A.D. 1420 two important measures were introduced. Of these one assigned lands for the support of the troops, and the other recognised the rights of the superior class of Hindu landholders to a portion of the village lands they had formerly held. The effect of these changes was to establish order throughout the districts directly under the authority of the crown. And though, in the territories subject to feudatory chiefs, the presence of an armed force was still required to give effect to the king's claims for tribute, his increasing power and wealth made efforts at independence more hopeless, and gradually secured the subjection of the greater number of his vassals. During the latter part of the fifteenth and the first quarter of the sixteenth century the power of the Ahmedābād kings was at its height. At that time their dominions included twenty-five divisions or *sarkārs*. Among nine of these namely Pātan, Ahmedābād, Sūnth, Godhra, Chāmpāner, Baroda, Broach, Nāndod or Rājpipla, and Surat the central plain was distributed. In addition in the north were four divisions, Sirohi, Jhālor, Jodhpur, and Nāgor now in south-west and central Rājputāna; in the north-east two, Dūngarpur and Bānsrāda, now in the extreme

¹ Gujarāt of about the year A.D. 1300 is thus described: 'The air of Gujarāt is healthy, and the earth picturesque; the vineyards bring forth blue grapes twice a year, and the strength of the soil is such that the cotton plants spread their branches like willow and plane trees, and yield produce for several years successively. Besides Cambay, the most celebrated of the cities of Hind in population and wealth, there are 70,000 towns and villages, all populous, and the people abounding in wealth and luxuries.' Elliot's *History of India*, III, 31, 32, and 43. Marco Polo, about A.D. 1292, says: 'In Gujarāt there grows much pepper and ginger and indigo. They have also a great deal of cotton. Their cotton trees are of very great size, growing full six paces high, and attaining to an age of twenty years.' Yule's Edition, II, 328. The cotton referred to was probably the variety known as *dehshada* *Gossypium religiosum* or *peruvianum*, which grows from ten to fifteen feet high, and bears for several years. Royle, 149-150.

² Elphinstone's *History*, 762.

south of Rājputāna; in the east and south-east three, Nandurbār now in Khāndesh, Mulher or Bāglān now in Nāsik, and Rām Nagar or Dharampur now in Surat; in the south four, Dandā-Rājapuri or Janjira, Bombay, Bassein, and Daman now in the Konkan; in the west two, Sorath and Navānagar now in Kāshīāvāda; and Kashi in the north-west. Besides the revenues of these districts, tribute was received from the rulers of Ahmednagar, Burhānpur, Berār, Golkonda, and Bijāpur, and customs dues from twenty-five ports on the western coast of India and from twenty-six foreign marts, some of them in India and others in the Persian Gulf and along the Arabian coast.¹ The total revenue from these three sources is said in prosperous times to have amounted to a yearly sum of £11,460,000 (Rs. 11,46,00,000). Of this total amount the territorial revenue from the twenty-five districts yielded £5,840,000 (Rs. 5,84,00,000), or slightly more than one-half. Of the remaining £5,620,000 (Rs. 5,62,00,000) about one-fifth part was derived from the Dakhan tribute and the rest from customs-dues.²

The buildings at Ahmedābād, and the ruins of Chāmpāner and Mehmedābād, prove how much wealth was at the command of the sovereign and his nobles, while the accounts of travellers seem to show that the private expenditure of the rulers was not greater than the kingdom was well able to bear. The Portuguese traveller Duarte Barbosa, who was in Gujarāt between A.D. 1511 and A.D. 1514, found the capital Chāmpāner a great city, in a very fertile country of abundant provisions, with many cows sheep and goats and plenty of fruit, so that it was full of all things.³ Ahmedābād was still larger, very rich and well

Introduction.

CONDITION OF
GUJARAT,
A.D. 1297-1760.

Under the
Kings,
1403-1573.

¹ Bird's History of Gujarat, 110, 129, and 130.

² The passage from the Mirāt-i-Ahmadi, Bird 109, is: 'A sum of 25 *lakh* of *hāns* and one *kar* of *shrahāns*, that were two parts greater, being altogether nearly equal to 5 *kar* and 62 *lakh* of rupees, was collected from the Dakhan tribute and the customs of the European and Arab ports.' The word *hān*, from an old Karnatak word for gold, is the Musalman name for the coin known among Hindus as *varāha* or the wild-beast coin, and among the Portuguese as the *pagoda* or temple coin. Prinsep Ind. Ant. Thomas' Ed. II. C. T. 18. The old specimens of this coin weigh either 60 grains the *sadda* or half *pagoda*, or 120 grains the *hān* or full *pagoda*. Thomas, Chron. Pat. Rs. II, 224, note. The star *pagoda*, in which English accounts at Madras were formerly kept, weighs 52-55 grains, and was commonly valued at 8s. or Rs. 4 (Prinsep as above). At this rate in the present sum the 25 *lakh* of *hāns* would equal one *kar* (100 *lakh*) of rupees. The *shrahān*, 'two parts greater than the *hān*,' would seem to be a gold coin; perhaps a variety of the Persian *ashraf* (worth about 9s. English. Masudin, N. O. 155). Taking the two parts of a *hān* as *shrahāns* or sixteenths, this would give the *shrahān* a value of Rs. 4, and make a total customs revenue of 425 *lakh* of rupees. This statement of the revenues of the kingdom is, according to the author of the Mirāt-i-Ahmadi, taken from such times as the power of the Gujarati kings continued to increase. The total revenue of the twenty-five districts (£5,840,000) is the amount recovered in the year A.D. 1571. But the receipts under the head of Tributes must have been compiled from accounts of earlier years. For, as will be seen below, the neighboring kings ceased to pay tribute after the end of the reign of Bahadur (A.D. 1546). Similarly the customs revenues entered as received from Daman and other places must have been taken from the accounts of some year previous to A.D. 1560.

³ The remains at Chāmpāner in the British district of the Panch Mahals are well known. Of Mehmedābād, the town of that name in the district of Kaira, eighteen miles south of Ahmedābād, a few ruins only are left. In A.D. 1590 this city is said to have contained many grand edifices surrounded with a wall eleven miles (7 *has*) square with at every ½ mile (1 *fau*) a pleasure house, and an enclosure for deer and other game. (Alm-i-Akhbar: Gladwin, II, 84.) The Mirāt-i-Ahmadi makes no special reference to the sovereign's share of the revenue. The greater part of the £5,620,000 derived from

Introduction.

CONDITION OF
GUJARAT,
A.D. 1207-1760.

Under the
Kings,
1403-1573.

supplied, embellished with good streets and squares, with houses of stone and cement. It was not from the interior districts of the province that the Ahmedābād kings derived the chief part of their wealth, but from those lying along the coast, which were enriched by manufactures and commerce.¹ So it was that along the shores of the gulf of Cambay and southward as far as Bombay the limit of the Gujarāt kingdom, besides many small sea-ports, Barbosa chooses out for special mention twelve 'towns of commerce, very rich and of great trade.' Among these was Diu, off the south coast of Kāthiāwād, yielding so large a revenue to the king as to be 'a marvel and amazement.' And chief of all Cambay, in a goodly, fertile, and pretty country full of abundant provisions; with rich merchants and men of great prosperity; with craftsmen and mechanics of subtle workmanship in cotton, silk, ivory, silver, and precious stones; the people well dressed, leading luxurious lives, much given to pleasure and amusement.²

The thirty-eight years between the defeat of King Bahādūr by the emperor Humāyūn in A.D. 1535 and the annexation of Gujarāt by Akbar in A.D. 1573 was a time of confusion. Ahmad, the superiority of Gujarāt over the neighbouring powers was lost, and the limits of the kingdom shrank; at home, after the attempted confiscation (A.D. 1545) of their shares in village lands the disaffection of the superior landowners became general, and the court, beyond the narrow limits of the crown domains, ceased to exercise substantial control over

tribute and customs would probably go to the king, besides the lands specially set apart as crown domains, which in A.D. 1571 were returned as yielding a yearly revenue of 2500,000 (250,000,000 *rupees*). This would bring the total income of the crown to a little more than 6½ millions sterling.

¹ So Sikandar Lodī emperor of Dehli, A.D. 1488-1517, is reported to have said: 'The magnificence of the kings of Dehli rests on wheat and barley; the magnificence of the kings of Gujarāt rests on coral and pearls.' Bird, 132.

² The twelve Gujarāt ports mentioned by Barbosa are: On the south coast of the peninsula, two: Patanxi (Pātan-Somnāth, now Veraval), very rich and of great trade; Surat-Mangalor (Mangrol), a town of commerce, and Diu. On the shores of the gulf of Cambay four: Gogari (Gogha), a large town; Bariesy (Broach); Gusadāri or Gandār (Gandhār), a very good town; and Cambay. On the western coast five: Ravel (Rāndor), a rich place; Surat, a city of very great trade; Derry (Dandoli), a place of great trade; Bassy (Bassein), a good seaport in which much goods are exchanged; and Tanamayemba (Thana-Mahim), a town of great Moorish mosques, but of little trade. (Stanley's Barbosa, 69-68). The only one of these ports whose identification seems doubtful is Ravel, described by Barbosa (page 67) as a pretty town of the Nicors on a good river, twenty leagues south of Gandhār. This agrees with the position of Ratader on the Rāpti, nearly opposite Surat, which appears in Al-Birūnī (A.D. 1030) as Rāhmar one of the capitals of south Gujarāt and is mentioned under the name Rānir, both in the Ain-i-Akbari (A.D. 1590) and in the Mirāt-i-Ahmadi for the year A.D. 1571, as a place of trade, 'in ancient times a great city.' In his description of the wealth of Cambay, Barbosa is supported by the other European travellers of the fifteenth and early sixteenth centuries. According to Nicolo de Conti (A.D. 1420-1444), the town, including its suburbs, was twelve miles in circuit abounding in spices, indigo, myrobalm, and silk. Athanasios Nikitinis (A.D. 1468-1474) found it a manufacturing place for every sort of goods as long gowns damasks and blankets; and Yartema (A.D. 1503-1508) says it abounds in grain and very good fruits, supplying Africa, Arabia and India with silk and cotton stuffs; it is impossible to describe its excellence. Barbosa's account of Ahmedābād is borne out by the statement in the Ain-i-Akbari (Gladwin, II. 63) that the whole number of the suburbs (*qasbs*) of the city was 360, and in the Mirāt-i-Ahmadi, that it once contained 380 suburbs each of considerable size, containing good buildings and markets filled with everything valuable and rare, so that each was almost a city. Bird, 311.

either its chief nobles or the more turbulent classes. In spite of these forty years of disorder, the province retained so much of its former prosperity, that the boast of the local historians that in A.D. 1573 Gujarāt was in every respect allowed to be the finest country in Hindustān is supported by the details shortly afterwards (A.D. 1590) given by Abul Fazl in the *Ain-i-Akbari*. The high road from Pātan to Baroda was throughout its length of 150 miles (100 *kos*) lined on both sides with mango trees; the fields were bounded with hedges; and such was the abundance of mango and other fruit trees that the whole country seemed a garden. The people were well housed in dwellings with walls of brick and mortar and with tiled roofs; many of them rode in carriages drawn by oxen; the province was famous for its painters, carvers, inlayers, and other craftsmen.¹

Like the period of the rule of the Ahmedābād kings, the period of Mughal rule contains two divisions, a time of good government lasting from A.D. 1573 to A.D. 1700, and a time of disorder from A.D. 1700 to A.D. 1760. Under the arrangements introduced by the emperor Akbar in A.D. 1583, the area of the province was considerably curtailed. Of its twenty-five districts nine were restored to the states from which the vigour of the Ahmedābād kings had wrested them; Jālor and Jodhpur were transferred to Rājputāna; Nāgor to Ajmīr; Mulher and Nandurhār to Khāndesh; Bombay, Bassin, and Daman were allowed to remain under the Portuguese; and Danda-Rājipuri (Dinjira) was made over to the Nizāmshahi (A.D. 1490-1695) rulers of the Dakhan Ahmednagar. Of the remaining sixteen, Sirohi, Dungarpur, and Bānsvāda now in Rājputāna, Kacch, Sūth in Rewa Kānth, and Rāmāgar (Dharampur) in Surat were, on the payment of tribute, allowed to continue in the hands of their Hindu rulers. The ten remaining districts were administered directly by imperial officers. But as the revenues of the district of Surat had been separately assigned to its revenue officer or *mufasssil*, only nine districts with 184 sub-divisions or *parganās* were entered in the collections from the viceroy of Gujarāt. These nine districts were in continental Gujarāt, Pātan with seventeen sub-divisions, Ahmedābād with thirty-three, Godhra with eleven, Chāmpāner with thirteen, Baroda with four, Broach with fourteen, and Rājpipla (Nāndod) with twelve. In the peninsula were Sorath with sixty-two and Navānagar with seventeen sub-divisions. This lessening of area seems to have been accompanied by even more than a corresponding reduction in the state demand. Instead of £5,810,650 (Rs. 5,84,00,500), the revenue recovered in A.D. 1571, two years before the province was annexed, under the arrangement introduced by the emperor Akbar, the total amount, including the receipts from Surat and the tribute of the six feudatory

Introduction.

CONQUEST OF
GUJARAT,
A.D. 1297-1700

Under the
Mughals,
1573-1700.

¹ Gladwin's *Ain-i-Akbari*, II. 62-63. Compare Terry (*Voyage*, 50, 131) in 1615: Gujarāt a very goodly large and exceeding rich province with, besides its most spacious populous and rich capital Ahmedābād, four fair cities Cambay Baroda Broach and Surat with great trade to the Red Sea, Acheh, and other places. At the same time (Ditto, 173-180) though the villages stood very thick, the houses were generally very poor and base, all set close together some with earthen walls and flat roofs, most of them cottages miserably poor little and base set up with sticks rather than timber.

Introduction.

CONDITION OF
GUJARAT,
A.D. 1297-1760.

Under the
Mughals,
1573-1760.

districts, is returned at £1,999,113 (Rs. 1,99,91,130) or little more than one-third part of what was formerly collected.¹

According to the Mirāt-i-Ahmedi this revenue of £1,999,113 (Rs. 1,99,91,130) continued to be realised as late as the reign of Muhammad Shah (A.D. 1719-1748). But within the next twelve years (A.D. 1748-1762) the whole revenue had fallen to £1,235,000 (Rs. 1,23,50,000). Of £1,999,113 (Rs. 1,99,91,130), the total amount levied by Akbar on the annexation of the province, £520,501 (Rs. 52,05,010), or a little more than a quarter, were set apart for imperial use and royal expense; £55,000 (Rs. 5,50,000) were assigned for the support of the viceroys and the personal estates of the nobles, and the remainder was settled for the pay of other officers of rank and court officials. Nearly £30,000 (Rs. 3,00,000) were given away as rewards and pensions to religious orders and establishments.²

¹ The decrease in the Mughal collections from Gujarat compared with the revenues of the Ahmedabad kings may have been due to Akbar's moderation. It may also have been due to a decline in prosperity. Compare Roe's (1617) account of Toda about fifty miles south-east of Ajmir. It was the best and most populous country Roe had seen in India. The district was level with fertile soil abounding in corn, cotton and cattle and the villages were so numerous and near together as hardly to exceed a day's journey from each other. The town was the best built Roe had seen in India filled with two-storied houses good enough for decent shopkeepers. It had been the residence of a Rajput Raja before the conquests of Akbar Shah and stood at the foot of a good and strong rock about which were many excellent works of hewn stone, well cut, with many tanks arched over with well-turned vaults and large and deep descents to them. Near it was a beautiful grove two miles long and a quarter of a mile broad all planted with mangoes, tamarinds and other fruit trees, divided by shady walks and interspersed with little temples and idol altars with many fountains wells and summer houses of carved stone curiously arched so that a poor banished Englishman might have been content to dwell there. This observation may serve universally for the whole country that ruin and devastation operate everywhere. For since the property of all has become vested in the king no person takes care of anything so that in every place the spoil and devastations of war appear and nowhere is anything repaired. Roe in Kerr's Voyages, IX. 320-331.

² Bird's History of Gujarat. Another detailed statement of the revenue of Gujarat given in the Mirāt-i-Ahmedi, apparently for the time when the author wrote (A.D. 1760) gives: Revenue from crown lands £2,107,518; tribute-paying divisions or *sarkars* £12,700; Malī Kantha tribute £178,741; Wātrā Kantha tribute £159,768; and Sefar Kantha tribute £121,151; in all £2,578,878; adding to this £20,000 for Kachh, £40,000 for Dungarpur, and £3000 for Sirohi, gives a grand total of £2,644,878. According to a statement given by Bird in a note at page 108 of his History, the revenue of Gujarat under Jehangir (A.D. 1605-1627) averaged £1,260,000; under Aurangzeb (A.D. 1658-1707) £1,019,622; and under Muhammad Shah (A.D. 1719-1748) £1,218,360. In this passage the revenue under the emperor Akbar (A.D. 1556-1605) is given at £66,846. This total is taken from Gladwin's *Annals of Akbar*. But at vol. II, page 73 of that work there would seem to be some miscalculation; for while the total number of *daṛs* (½ of a rupee) is 43,68,07,301, the conversion into rupees is Rs. 10,96,123 instead of Rs. 1,09,20,057. The corresponding returns given by Mr. Thomas (Sec. of the Mag. Emp. page 52) are under Akbar, A.D. 1591, £1,092,419; under Shah Jahan, A.D. 1648, £1,326,000; and under Aurangzeb, A.D. 1688 £2,173,220, A.D. 1693-1666 £1,399,500, A.D. 1697 £2,320,500, and A.D. 1707 £1,519,623. The varieties in the currency employed in different parts of the accounts cause some confusion in calculating the Gujarat revenue. Under the Ahmedabad kings the accounts were kept in *tanḍas* or ½s. of rupees, while under the Mughals *dimas* (½ of a rupee) took the place of *tanḍas*. The revenues from Surat Baroda Broach and other districts south of the Malī were returned in *changanis*, a coin varying in value from something over ½ds. of a rupee to slightly less than ½; the revenues from Rādhanpur and Morvi were entered in *maḥmūdīs*, a coin nearly identical in value with the *changanis*, while, as noticed above, the tribute and customs dues are returned in a gold currency, the tribute in *āṇas* of about 5s. (Rs. 4) and the customs in *shrahmās* of Rs. (Rs. 44).

Besides lightening the state demand the emperor Akbar introduced three improvements: (1) The survey of the land; (2) The payment of the headmen or *mukaddams* of government villages; and (3) The restoration to small superior landholders of the share they formerly enjoyed in the lands of government villages. The survey which was entrusted to Rājā Todar Mal, the revenue minister of the empire, was completed in A.D. 1575. The operations were confined to a small portion of the whole area of the province. Besides the six tributary districts which were unaffected by the measure, Godhra in the east, the western peninsula, and a large portion of the central strip of directly governed lands were excluded, so that of the 184 sub-divisions only 84 were surveyed. In A.D. 1575, of 7,261,849 acres (12,360,594 *bighās*), the whole area measured, 4,920,818 acres (8,374,498 *bighās*) or about two-thirds were found to be fit for cultivation, and the remainder was waste. In those parts of the directly governed districts where the land was not measured the existing method of determining the government share of the produce either by selecting a portion of the field while the crop was still standing, or by dividing the grain heap at harvest time, was continued. In surveyed districts the amount paid was determined by the area and character of the land under cultivation. Payment was made either in grain or in money, according to the instructions issued to the revenue-collectors, 'that when it would not prove oppressive the value of the grain should be taken in ready money at the market price.' The chief change in the revenue management was that, instead of each year calculating the government share from the character of the crop, an uniform demand was fixed to run for a term of ten years.

Another important effect of this survey was to extend to cultivators in simple villages the proprietary interest in the soil formerly enjoyed only by the shareholders of joint villages. By this change the power of the military nobles to make undue exactions from the cultivators in their assigned lands was to some extent checked. It was, perhaps, also an indirect effect of this more definite settlement of the crown demand that the revenue agents of government and of the holders of assigned lands, finding that the revenues could be realised without their help, refused to allow to the heads of villages certain revenue dues which, in return for their services, they had hitherto enjoyed. Accordingly, in A.D. 1589-90, these heads of villages appealed to government and Akbar decided that in assigned districts as well as in the crown domains from the collections of government lands two-and-a-half per cent should be set apart as a perquisite for men of this class.¹

¹ *Āin-i-Akbarī* (Glasgow), I. 305. The *Āin-i-Akbarī* mentions four ways of calculating the state share in an unsurveyed field: (1) to measure the land with the crops standing and make an estimate; (2) to reap the crops, collect the grain in barns, and divide it according to agreement; (3) to divide the field as soon as the seed is sown; and (4) to gather the grain into heaps on the field and divide it there.

² The men to whom this 2½ per cent was granted are referred to in the *Āin-i-Akbarī* as *desais*. Whatever doubt may attach to the precise meaning of the term *desai* it seems clear that it was as village headmen that the *desais* petitioned for and received this grant. These *desais* were the heads of villages with whom, as noticed above, the government agent for collecting the revenue dealt, and who, agreeing for the

Introduction.

CONTENTS OF
VOLUME I.
A.D. 1557-1575.

Under the
Mughals.
1575-1580.

Introduction.

CONDITION OF
GUJARAT,
A.D. 1207-1700.

Under the
Mughals,
1573-1700.

When the heads of villages laid their own private grievance before government, they also brought to its notice that the Koli and Rājput landowners, whose shares in government villages had been resumed by the crown in A.D. 1545, had since that time continued in a state of discontent and revolt and were then causing the ruin of the subjects and a deficiency in the government collections. An inquiry was instituted, and, to satisfy the claims of landowners of this class, it was agreed that, on furnishing good security for their conduct and receiving the government mark on their contingent of cavalry, they should again be put in possession of a one-fourth share of the land of government villages. While the province was managed agreeably to these regulations, says the author of the *Mirāt-i-Ahmedi*, its prosperity continued to increase.¹

Though these measures did much to check internal disorder, Gujarat, for several years after it came under Mughal control, continued disturbed by insurrections among the nobles, and so imperfectly protected from the attacks of foreign enemies that between the years A.D. 1573 and 1600 each of its three richest cities, Ahmedabad, Cambay and Surat, was in turn taken and plundered.² During the rest

whole village contribution, themselves carried out the details of allotment and collection from the individual cultivators. In the sharehold villages north of the Nerbada, the headman who would be entitled to this 2½ per cent was the representative of the body of village shareholders. South of the Nerbada, in villages originally colonised by officers of the state, the representatives of these officers would enjoy the 2½ per cent. In south Gujarat the *desais* or heads of villages also acted as district hereditary revenue officers; but it was not as district hereditary revenue officers, but as heads of villages, that they received from Akbar this 2½ per cent assignment. In north Gujarat there were *desais* who were only district revenue officers. These men would seem to have received no part of Akbar's grant in 1589-90, for as late as A.D. 1706 the emperor Aurangzib, having occasion to make inquiries into the position of the *desais*, found that hitherto they had been supported by cesses and illegal exactions, and ordered that a stop should be put to all such exactions, and a fixed assignment of 2½ per cent on the revenues of the villages under their charge should be allowed them. It does not appear whether the Surat *desais* succeeded in obtaining Aurangzib's grant of 2½ per cent as district revenue officers in addition to Akbar's (A.D. 1589) assignment of 2½ per cent as heads of villages.

¹ *Ibid.* History of Gujarat, 400.

² Ahmedabad (A.D. 1585) by Muzaffar Shāh the last king of Gujarat; Cambay (A.D. 1573) by Muhammad Hussain Mirza; and Surat (A.D. 1600) by Malik Ambar the famous general of the king of Ahmednagar. In such unsettled times it is not surprising that the European travellers of the late sixteenth and early seventeenth centuries, between Ahmedabad and Cambay found native merchants travelling in large weekly caravans which rested at night within a space barricaded by carts. (Kerr, IX, 127 and 201.) The English merchants, on their way from one factory to another, were accompanied by an escort, and, in spite of their guard, were on more than one occasion attacked by large bands of Rājputs. (Kerr, IX, 127, 187, 201, 203.) As regards the state of the different parts of the province, Nicholas Uffet, who went from Agra to Surat about 1610, describes the north, from Jhalor to Ahmedabad, as throughout the whole way a sandy and woody country, full of thievish beastly men, and savage beasts such as lions and tigers; from Ahmedabad to Cambay the road was through sands and woods much infested by thieves; from Cambay to Beasch it was a woody and dangerous journey; but from Beasch to Surat the country was goodly, fertile, and full of villages, abounding in wild date trees. (Kerr, VIII, 303.) Passing from the mouth of the Tapti to Surat Mr. Copland (24th Dec. 1615) was delighted to see at the same time the goodliest spring and harvest he had ever seen. 'Often of two adjoining fields, one was green as a fine meadow, and the other waving yellow like gold and ready to be cut down, and all along the roads were many goodly villages.' (Kerr, IX, 119.) At that time the state of north-east Gujarat was very different. Terry, 1617 (Voyage, 401), describes the passage of sixteen days from Māndla near Dhār to Ahmedabad as short journeys in

of the seventeenth century, though the country was from time to time disturbed by Koli and Rājput risings, and towards the end of the century suffered much from the raids of the Marāthās, the viceroys were, on the whole, able to maintain their authority, repressing the outbreaks of the disorderly classes, and enforcing the imperial claims for tribute on the more independent feudatory chiefs. Throughout the greater part of the seventeenth century the general state of the province seems to have been prosperous. Its cities were the wonder of European travellers. Surat, which only since the transfer of Gujarāt to the Mughal empire had risen to hold a place among its chief centres of trade, was, in A.D. 1664, when taken by Shivāji, rich enough to supply him with plunder in treasure and precious stones worth a million sterling¹; and at that time Camlay is said to have been beyond comparison greater than Surat, and Ahmedābād much richer and more populous than either.²

From the beginning of the eighteenth century disorder increased. Unable to rely for support on the imperial court, the viceroys failed to maintain order among the leading nobles, or to enforce their tribute from the more powerful feudatories. And while the small Koli and Rājput landholders, freed from the control of a strong central power, were destroying the military posts, taking possession of the state share of village lands, and levying dues from their more peaceful neighbours, the burden of the Marāthā tribute was year by year growing heavier. During the last ten years of Musalman rule so entirely did the viceroy's authority forsake him, that, according to the author of the *Mirāt-i-Ahmedī*, when the great landholders refused to pay their tribute, the viceroy had no power to enforce payment. And so faithless had the great landowners become that the viceroy could not pass the city gate without an escort.³

a wilderness where a way had to be cut and made even and the great spaces required for the Mughal's camp rid and made plain by grubbing up trees and bushes. And between Camlay and Ahmedābād De la Valle, A.D. 1623 (*Travels*, Hakluyt Ed. I. 92), resolved to go with the Kafilā since the insecurity of the ways did not allow him to go alone. Still at that time Gujarāt as a whole (see above page 220 note 3) was an extending rich province, a description which twenty years later (1643) is borne out by Mandelslo (*Travels*, French Edition, 36): No province in India is more fertile; mine yields some fruit or victuals. With the boast of the author of the *Mirāt-i-Ahmedī* (A.D. 1756) that Gujarāt was the richest province in India compare Khān Khān's (A.D. 1719) remark (*Elliot*, VII. 559): This rich province which no other province in India can equal.

¹ Ouseley's *Historical Fragments*, 12.

² The following are some of the notices of Ahmedābād and Camlay by the European travellers of the seventeenth century: Camlay, 1609, trade so great that if he had not seen it he would not have believed it possible (Cassar Frederick); 1623, indifferent large with sufficiently spacious suburbs and a great concourse of vessels (De la Valle, Hakluyt Edition, I. 66-67); 1638, beyond comparison larger than Surat (Mandelslo, 101-108); 1663-1671, twice as big as Surat (Baldass in Churchill), III. 506. Ahmedābād, 1599, a very great city and populous (Cassar Frederick); 1623, completely large with great suburbs, a goodly and great city, with large fair and straight but sadly dusty streets (De la Valle, Hakluyt Edition, I. 95); 1627, large and beautiful with many broad and comely streets, a rich and uniform bazar, and shops redundant with gums perfumes spices silks cottons and calicoes (Herbert's *Travels*, 3rd Edition, 66); 1638, great manufactures, satin and velvet, silk and cotton (Mandelslo, 80); 1695, the greatest city in India, nothing inferior to Venice for rich silks and gold stuffs (Gessell's *Cassini in Churchill*, IV. 166).

³ Bird, 411.

Introduction.

CONDITION OF
GUJARAT.
A.D. 1297-1760.

Under the
Mughals.
1673-1760.

Introduction.

CONSTITUTION OF
GUJARAT,
A.D. 1297-1700,
Self-governed
Zamindars.

The above summary contains frequent references to three classes of *zamindars*: (1) The *zamindars* of the self-governed states; (2) The greater *zamindars* of the crown districts; and (3) The lesser *zamindars* of the crown districts.

In the case of the *zamindars* of self-governed states the principle was military service and no tribute. The author of the *Mirāt-i-Almudi* says that finally the *zamindars* of the self-governed states ceased to do service. In spite of this statement it seems probable that some of this class served almost until the complete collapse of the empire, and that tribute was rarely levied from them by an armed force. In the *Mirāt-i-Almudi* account of the office of *sabāhdār* or *nāzim sālār* the following passage occurs: When occasion arose the *nāzims* used to take with their armies the contingents of the Rānās of Udepar Dāngarpur and Bānsvāda, which were always permanently posted outside their official residences (in Almudāhād). This shows that these great *zamindars* had official residences at the capital, where probably their contingents were posted under *seahils* or agents. It therefore seems probable that their tribute too would be paid through their representatives at the capital and that a military force was seldom sent against them. Accordingly notices of military expeditions in the tributary *sarkārs* are rare though they were of constant occurrence in the crown districts.

Crown
Zamindars.

The position of the *zamindars* of the *khālsa* or crown districts was very different from that of the *zamindars* of self-governed territories. The *khālsa zamindars* had been deprived of the greater portion of their ancestral estates which were administered by the viceregal revenue establishment. In some instances their capitals had been annexed. Even if not annexed the capital was the seat of a *faujdār* who possessed the authority and encroached daily on the rights and privileges of the chieftain. The principal chiefs in this position were those of Rājpipla and Idar in Gujarāt and the Jām of Navānagar in Kāthiavāda. Of the three, Rājpipla had been deprived of his capital Nānded and of all the fertile districts, and was reduced to a barren sovereignty over rocks, hills and Bhils at Rājpipla. Idar had suffered similar treatment and the capital was the seat of a Muhammadan *faujdār*. Navānagar, which had hitherto been a tributary *sarkār*, was during the reign of Aurangzib made a crown district. But after Aurangzib's death the Jām returned to his capital and again resumed his tributary relations.

Smaller
Zamindars.

The lesser holders, including *grāviās wāntidārs* and others, had suffered similar deprivation of lands and were subject to much encroachment from the government officials. Throughout the empire widespread discontent prevailed among subordinate holders of this description as well as among all the *zamindars* of the crown districts, so that the successes of Shīrājī in the Dakhan found ardent sympathisers even in Gujarāt. When the *zamindars* saw that this Hindu rebel was strong enough to pillage Surat they began to hope that a day of deliverance was near. The death of Aurangzib (A.D. 1707) was the signal for these restless spirits to bestir themselves. When the Marāṭhās began regular inroads they were hailed as deliverers from the yoke

of the Mughal. The Rājpipla chief afforded them shelter and a passage through his country. The encouragement to anarchy given by some of the Rājput viceroys who were anxious to emancipate themselves from the central control further enabled many chieftains *girásiás* and others to absorb large portions of the crown domains, and even to recover their ancient capitals. Finally disaffected Muhammadan *faujdárs* succeeded in building up estates out of the possessions of the crown and founding the families which most of the present Muhammadan chieftains of Gujarat represent.

When the imperial power had been usurped by the Maráthha leaders, the chiefs who had just shaken off the more powerful Mughal yoke were by no means disposed tamely to submit to Maráthha domination. Every chief resisted the levy of tribute and Momin Khán reconquered Ahmedábad. In this struggle the Maráthhas laboured under the disadvantage of dissensions between the Peshwa and the Gaikwár. They were also unaware of the actual extent of the old imperial domain and were ignorant of the amount of tribute formerly levied. They found that the *faujdárs*, who, in return for Maráthha aid in enabling them to absorb the crown *parganáhs*, had agreed to pay tribute, now joined the *samindárs* in resisting Maráthha demands, while with few exceptions the *desáís* and *majmúdárs* either openly allied themselves with the *samindárs* or were by force or fraud deprived of their records. So serious were the obstacles to the collection of the Maráthha tribute that, had it not been for the British alliance in A.D. 1802, there seems little doubt that the Gaikwár would have been unable to enforce his demands in his more distant possessions. The British alliance checked the disintegration of the Gaikwár's power, and the permanent settlement of the tribute early in this century enabled that chief to collect a large revenue at a comparatively trifling cost. Not only were rebels like Malhárás and Kánóji suppressed, but powerful servants like Viñhalráv Deváji, who without doubt would have asserted their independence, were confirmed in their allegiance and the rich possessions they had acquired became part of the Gaikwár's dominions.

It must not be supposed that while the larger chiefs were busy absorbing whole *parganáhs* the lesser chiefs were more backward. They too annexed villages and even Mughal posts or *thánáhs*, while *usultidárs* or sharers absorbed the *talpat* or state portion, and, under the name of *tora gards*,¹ daring spirits imposed certain rights over crown villages once their ancient possessions, or, under the name of *pál* or *eól*, enforced from neighbouring villages payments to secure immunity from pillage. Even in the Baroda district of the thirteen Mughal posts only ten now belong to the Gaikwár, two having been conquered by *girásiás* and one having fallen under Broach. In Sauráshtra except Ráupur and Gogha and those in the Amreli district, not a single Mughal post is in the possession either of the British Government or of the

Introduction.

CONDITION OF
GUJARAT,
A.D. 1297-1760.

Maráthha
Ascendancy,
1760-1802.

Gaikwár Saved
by British
Alliance,
1802.

Power of Chiefs.

¹ The usual explanation of *tora gards* is the word *tora* meaning the beam-end above each house door. The sense being that it was a levy exacted from every house in the village. A more likely derivation is *toda* a heap or money-bag with the sense of a ready-money levy. *Toda* differed from *eól* in being exacted from the *gards* or land once the property of the levier's ancestors.

Introduction.

CONQUEST OF
GUJARAT,
A.D. 1297-1802.

Power of
Local Chiefs.

Gāikwār. A reference to the Mughal posts in other parts of Gujarāt shows that the same result followed the collapse of Musalmān power.

Since the introduction of Musalmān rule in A.D. 1297 each successive government has been subverted by the ambition of the nobles and the disaffection of the chiefs. It was thus that the Gujarāt Sultāns rendered themselves independent of Dohli. It was thus that the Sultān's territories became divided among the nobles, whose dissensions reduced the province to Akbar's authority. It was thus that the chiefs and local governors, conniving at Marāthā inroads, subverted Mughal rule. Finally it was thus that the Gāikwār lost his hold of his possessions and was rescued from ruin solely by the power of the British.

CHAPTER I.

EARLY MUSALMAN GOVERNORS.

A.D. 1297-1403.

EXCEPT the great expedition of Mahmūd Ghaznawi against Somnāth in A.D. 1024¹; the defeat of Muhammad Muiz-ud-dīn or Shuhab-ud-dīn Ghori by Bhīm Dev II. of Anahilāvāda about A.D. 1178²; and the avenging sack of Anahilāvāda and defeat of Bhīm by Kutb-ud-dīn Aibak in A.D. 1194, until the reign of Alā-ud-dīn Khiljī in A.D. 1295-1315, Gujarāt remained free from Muhammadan interference.³ In A.D. 1297, Ulugh Khān, general of Alā-ud-dīn and Nasrat Khān Wazīr were sent against Anahilāvāda. They took the city expelling Karan Wāghela, usually called *Ghelo* The Mad, who took refuge at Devgadī with Rāmdēva the Yādav sovereign of the north Dakhan.⁴ They next seized Khambāt (the modern Cambay), and, after appointing a local governor, returned to Delhi. From this time Gujarāt remained under Muhammadan power, and Ulugh Khān, a man of great energy, by repeated expeditions consolidated the conquest and established Muhammadan rule. The Kānadjēva Rāsa says that he plundered Somnāth, and there is no doubt that he conquered Jhalor (the ancient Jhālindar) from the Songarha Chohāns.⁵ After Ulugh Khān had governed Gujarāt for about twenty years, at the instigation of Malik Kāfur, he was recalled and put to death by the emperor Alā-ud-dīn.⁶

Ulugh Khān's departure shook Muhammadan power in Gujarāt, and Kamāl-ud-dīn, whom Muḥarāk Khiljī sent to quell the disturbances, was slain in battle. Sedition spread till Ain-ul-Mulk Multāni arrived

Chapter I.

Early Musalmān Governors.

Alā-ud-dīn
Khiljī
Emperor,
1295-1315.
Ulugh Khān,
1297-1317.

Ain-ul-Mulk
Governor,
1318.

¹ Somnāth (north latitude 19° 55'; east longitude 70° 23'), the temple of Mahādev 'Lord of the Moon,' near the southern extremity of the peninsula of Kāthiāvāda.

² Anahilāvāda (north latitude 23° 48'; east longitude 72° 2'), Nahrwala or Patan, on the south bank of the Saraswati river, sixty-five miles north-east of Ahmedābad, was from A.D. 746 to A.D. 1338 the capital of the Rājput dynasties of Gujrat. As a result of Muhammad Ghori's defeat the *Tārīkh-i-Somnāth* (Barjees, III-113) states that the Turkish Afghān and Mughal prisoners, according to the rule of the Kārdan (XXIV, 25) were distributed, the wicked women to the wicked men and the good women to the good men. Of the male prisoners the better class after having their heads shaved were enrolled among the Chakravaj and Wadhel tribes of Rājputs. The lower class were allotted to the Kolia, Khānta, Babria, and Māra. All were allowed to keep their wedding and funeral ceremonies and to remain aloof from other classes.

³ The *Mirāt-i-Ahmedi* gives an account of an expedition by one Alākhān a noble of Sultan Sanjar's against Anahilāvāda in A.D. 1257. He is said to have built the large stone mosque without the city. Alākhān returned unsuccessful, but not without levying tribute.

⁴ Devgadī near Daulatabad in the Dakhan, about ten miles north-west of Aurangābad (north latitude 19° 57'; east longitude 75° 18'). The *Mirāt-i-Ahmedi* has Devgadī Chaudah, which is in the Central Provinces.

⁵ Jhalor (north latitude 23° 33'; east longitude 72° 40') in the Rājput state of Jodhpur, seventy miles south-west by south from the city of Jodhpur.

⁶ Bayley (Gujarāt, 30 note) shows strong ground for holding that, though Gujarāt was conquered by Ulugh Khān a brother of Alā-ud-dīn, its first governor was not Ulugh Khān but Alp Khān a brother-in-law of Alā-ud-dīn. According to this account Ulugh Khān died in A.D. 1299 and Alp Khān at Malik Kāfur's instigation was killed in A.D. 1313. Zia Barni (*Nilmat*, III, 169) supports this account.

Chapter I.

Early
Musalmán
Governors.

AIK-UL-MULK
Governor,
1518.
Order
Established,
1518.

Muhammad
Tughlak
Emperor,
1325-1351.
Taj-ul-Mulk
Governor,
1320.

The Emperor
Quella on
Insurrection,
1347.

with a powerful army, defeated the rebels and restored order. He was succeeded by Zafar Khán, who after completing the subjection of the country was recalled, and his place supplied by Hisám-ud-din Parmár.¹ This officer, showing treasonable intentions, was imprisoned and succeeded by Malik Wájid-ud-din Kuraishi, who was afterwards ennobled by the title of Taj or Sadr-ul-Mulk. Khusraw Khán Parmár was then appointed governor, but it is not clear whether he ever joined his appointment. The next governor to whom reference is made is Taj-ul-Mulk, who about A.D. 1320, was, for the second time, chosen as governor by Sultán Ghiás-ud-din Tughlak. He was succeeded by Malik Mukbil, who held the titles of Khán Jahán and Náib-i-Mukhtár, and who was appointed by Sultán Muhammad Tughlak, A.D. 1325-1351. Subsequently the same emperor granted the government of Gujarát to Ahmad Ayáz, Malik Mukbil continuing to act as his deputy. Afterwards when Ahmad Ayáz, who received the title of Khwájah Jahán, proceeded as governor to Gujarát, Malik Mukbil acted as his minister. And about A.D. 1338, when Khwájah Jahán was sent against the emperor's nephew Karshásp and the Rája of Kampila² who had sheltered him, Malik Mukbil succeeded to the post of governor. On one occasion between Baroda and Dahholi Malik Mukbil, who was escorting treasure and a caravan of merchants to Delhi, was plundered by some bands of the Amiráni Sadah or Captains of Hundreds freelancers and freebooters, most of them New Musalmáns or Mughal converts, and the rest Turk and Afghán adventurers. This success emboldened these banditti and for several years they caused loss and confusion in Gujarát. At last, about A.D. 1346, being joined by certain Muhammadan nobles and Hindu chieftains, they broke into open rebellion and defeated one Aziz, who was appointed by the emperor to march against them. In the following year, A.D. 1347, Muhammad Tughlak, advancing in person, defeated the rebels, and sacked the towns of Cambay and Surat. During the same campaign he drove the Gohil chief Mokheráji out of his stronghold on Piram Island near Gogha on the Gulf of Cambay, and then, landing his forces, after a stubborn conflict, defeated the Gohils, killing Mokheráji and capturing Gogha. Afterwards Muhammad Tughlak left for Daulatabád in the Dakhan, and in his absence the chiefs and nobles under Malik Tughán, a leader of the Amiráni Sadah, again rebelled, and, obtaining possession of Pátan, imprisoned Muizz-ud-din the viceroy. The insurgents then plundered Cambay, and afterwards laid siege to Broach. Muhammad Tughlak at once marched for Gujarát and relieved Broach, Malik Tughán retreating to Cambay, whither he was followed by Malik Yúauf, whom the emperor sent in pursuit of him. In the battle that ensued near Cambay, Malik Yúauf was defeated and slain, and

¹ According to Zú Barni (Elliot, III. 215) Hisám-ud-din was the mother's brother, according to others he was the brother of Hasan afterwards Khusraw Khán Parmár the favourite of Mubarák Sháh. On coming to Gujarát Hisám-ud-din collected his Parmár kinsred and revolted, but the nobles joining against him seized him and sent him to Delhi. To their disgust Mubarák in his infatuation for Hisám-ud-din's nephew or brother, after slapping Hisám-ud-din on the face set him at liberty.

² In the Kurnatuk, probably on the Tugabhadra near Vijayanagar. Briggs' Muhammadan Power in India, I. 418 and 428. Briggs speaks of two Kampilas one on the Ganges and the other on the Tugabhadra near Bijanagar.

all the prisoners, both of this engagement and those who had been previously captured, were put to death by Malik Tughán. Among the prisoners was Muizz-ud-din, the governor of Gujarát. Muhammad Tughlak now marched to Cambay in person, whence Malik Tughán retreated to Pátan, pursued by the emperor, who was forced by stress of weather to halt at Asáwal.¹ Eventually the emperor came up with Malik Tughán near Kadi and gained a complete victory, Malik Tughán fleeing to Thatha in Sindh. To establish order throughout Gujarát Muhammad Tughlak marched against Girnár,² reduced the fortress,³ and levied tribute from the chief named Khengar. He then went to Kachh, and after subduing that country returned to Sorath. At Gondal he contracted a fever, and before he was entirely recovered, he advanced through Kachh into Sindh with the view of subduing the Sumra chief of Thatha, who had sheltered Malik Tughán. Before reaching Thatha he succumbed to the fever, and died in the spring of A.D. 1351. Shortly before his death he appointed Nizám-ul-Mulk to the government of Gujarát.

In A.D. 1351, Firúz Tughlak succeeded Muhammad Tughlak on the throne of Delhi. Shortly after his accession the emperor marched to Sindh and sent a force against Malik Tughán. About A.D. 1360 he again advanced to Sindh against Jám Báburnia. From Sindh he proceeded to Gujarát, where he stayed for some months. Next year, on leaving for Sindh for the third time, he bestowed the government of Gujarát on Zafar Khán in place of Nizám-ul-Mulk. On Zafar Khán's death, in A.D. 1373 according to Farishtah and A.D. 1371 according to the Mirát-i-Ahmedi; he was succeeded by his son Daryá Khán who appears to have governed by a deputy named Shams-ud-din Anwar Khán. In A.D. 1376, besides presents of elephants horses and other valuables, one Shams-ud-din Dámghání offered a considerable advance on the usual collections from Gujarát. As Daryá Khán would not agree to pay this sum he was displaced and Shams-ud-din Dámghání was appointed governor. Finding himself unable to pay the stipulated amount this officer rebelled and withheld the revenue. Firúz Tughlak sent an army against him, and by the aid of the chieftains and people, whom he had greatly oppressed, Shams-ud-din was slain. The government of the province was then entrusted to Farhat-ul-Mulk Rásti Khán. In about A.D. 1388, a noble named Sikandar Khán was sent to supersede Farhat-ul-Mulk, but was defeated and slain by him. As the emperor Firúz Tughlak died shortly after no notice was taken of Farhat-ul-Mulk's conduct and in the short reign of Firúz's successor Ghiás-ud-din Tughlak, no change was made in the government of Gujarát. During the brief rule of Abu Bakr, Farhat-ul-Mulk continued

Chapter I.

Early
Musalmán
Governors.

Muhammad
Tughlak
Emperor,
1325-1351.

Tár-ut-Mulk
Governor,
1320.

Sabáque
Girnár and
Kachh,
1350.

Firáz Tughlak
Emperor,
1351-1388.

ZAFAR KHÁN
Governor,
1371.

FARHAT-UL-
MULK
Governor,
1376-1397.

¹ Asáwal (north latitude 23° 0'; east longitude 73° 36'), a town of some size, afterwards, A.D. 1413, made the capital of the Musalmán kings of Gujarát and called Ahmedábad.

² Girnár (north latitude 21° 30'; east longitude 70° 42'), in the Sorath sub-division of the peninsula of Káthiávár.

³ Both the Mirát-i-Ahmedi and the Táríkh-i-Firáz Sháhí say that the fortress was taken. The Uparkot or citadel of Junághar, in the plain about two miles west of Mount Girnár, is probably meant.

Chapter I.

Early
Musalmán
Governors.

Muhammad
Tughlak II.
Emperor,
1391-1393.

undisturbed. But in A.D. 1391, on the accession of Násir-ud-din Muhammad Tughlak II., a noble of the name of Zafar Khán was appointed governor of Gujarát, and despatched with an army to recall or, if necessary, expel Farhat-ul-Mulk.

This Zafar Khán was the son of Wajih-ul-Mulk, of the Tánk tribe of Rájputs who claim to be of Suryavansi descent and together with the Gurjjaras appear from very early times to have inhabited the plains of the Punjab. Of Wajih-ul-Mulk's rise to power at the Delhi court the following story is told. Before he sat on the throne of Delhi, Firáz Tughlak, when hunting in the Punjab, lost his way and came to a village near Thánesar, held by chieftains of the Tánk tribe. He was hospitably entertained by two brothers of the chief's family named Sáháran and Sádhu, and became enamoured of their beautiful sister. When his hosts learned who the stranger was, they gave him their sister in marriage and followed his fortunes. Afterwards Firáz persuading them to embrace Islám, conferred on Sáháran the title of Wajih-ul-Mulk, and on Sádhu the title of Shamsahír Khán. Finally, in A.D. 1351, when Firáz Tughlak ascended the throne, he made Shamsahír Khán and Zafar Khán, the son of Wajih-ul-Mulk, his cup-bearers, and raised them to the rank of nobles.

ZAFAR KHÁN
Governor,
1391-1403.

Battle of Jitpur;
Farhat-ul-Mulk
slain,
1391.

In A.D. 1391, on being appointed viceroy, Zafar Khán marched without delay for Gujarát. In passing Nágore¹ he was met by a deputation from Cambay, complaining of the tyranny of Rásti Khán. Consoling them, he proceeded to Pátan, the seat of government, and thence marched against Rásti Khán. The armies met near the village of Khambhoi,² a dependency of Pátan, and Farhat-ul-Mulk Rásti Khán was slain and his army defeated. To commemorate the victory, Zafar Khán founded a village on the battle-field, which he named Jitpur (the city of victory), and then, starting for Cambay, redressed the grievances of the people.

Zafar Khán
Attacks Idar,
1393.

Zafar Khán's first warlike expedition was against the Ráv of Idar,³ who, in A.D. 1393, had refused to pay the customary tribute, and this chief he humbled. The contemporary histories seem to show that the previous governors had recovered tribute from all or most of the chiefs of Gujarát except from the Ráv of Jánágadh⁴ and the Rája of Rájpipla,⁵ who had retained their independence. Zafar Khán now planned an expedition against the celebrated Hindu shrine of Somnáth, but, hearing that Adil Khán of Asir-Burhánpur had invaded Sultánpur and Nandurbar,⁶ he moved his troops in that direction, and Adil Khán retired to Asir.⁷

¹ Nágore (north latitude 27° 10'; east longitude 73° 50'), in the Ráthof state of Jodhpur, eighty miles north-east of Jodhpur city.

² The Tabakát-i-Akbari has Khánpur or Kánpur. The place is Khambhoi about twenty miles west of Pátan.

³ Idar is the principal state of the Malí Kántha. The town of Idar is in north latitude 23° 50' and east longitude 73° 3'.

⁴ Janágadh is in the Sorath sub-division of Káthiáwád. This is Briggs' Rái of Jchrend. Janágadh was formerly called Jirangad, both names meaning ancient fortress.

⁵ Rájpipla is in the Rewa Kántha division of Gujarát.

⁶ Sultánpur and Nandurbar now form part of the British district of Khándaish.

⁷ Asir, now Nizga (north latitude 21° 26'; east longitude 76° 26'), beyond the north-eastern frontier of Khándaish.

In A.D. 1394, he marched against the Rāv of Jānāgaḥ and exacted tribute. Afterwards, proceeding to Somnāth, he destroyed the temple, built an Assembly Mosque, introduced Islām, left Musalmān law officers, and established a *thāna* or post in the city of Pātan Somnāth of Deva Pātan. He now heard that the Hindus of Mānda¹ were oppressing the Muslims, and, accordingly, marching thither, he beleaguered that fortress for a year, but failing to take it contented himself with accepting the excuses of the Rāja. From Mānda he performed a pilgrimage to Ajmīr.² Here he proceeded against the chiefs of Sāmbar and Daulwāna, and then attacking the Rājputs of Delvāda and Jhālāvāda,³ he defeated them, and returned to Pātan in A.D. 1396. About this time his son Tātār Khān, leaving his baggage in the fort of Pānīpat,⁴ made an attempt on Delhi. But Ikbal Khān took the fort of Pānīpat, captured Tātār Khān's baggage, and forced him to withdraw to Gujarāt. In A.D. 1397, with the view of reducing Idar, Zafar Khān besieged the fort, laying waste the neighbouring country. Before he had taken the fort Zafar Khān received news of Timūr's conquests, and concluding a peace with the Idar Rāja, returned to Pātan.⁵ In A.D. 1398, hearing that the Somnāth people claimed independence, Zafar Khān led an army against them, defeated them, and established Islām on a firm footing.

Chapter I.

Early
Musalmān
Governors.

ZAFAR KHAN
Governor,
1391-1403.

Executed Triloka
from Janagaḥ,
1394.

Lays Siege to
Idar Fort,
1397.

Establishes
Islām at
Somnāth,
1396.

¹ Mānda (north latitude 25° 20' ; east longitude 75° 27'), one of the most famous forts in India, the capital of the Pathān dynasty of Malwa, A.D. 1404-1561, stands on the coast of the Vindhya about twenty-five miles south of Dhar. During a considerable part of the fifteenth century Mānda was either directly or indirectly under Gujarāt. An account of Mānda is given in the Appendix.

² Ajmīr (north latitude 26° 29' ; east longitude 74° 43'), the chief town of the district of the same name to which Sāmbar and Daulwāna belong.

³ Delvāda and Jhālāvāda are somewhat difficult. The context suggests either Jhalor in Marwāt or Jhalāvāda in the extreme south-east of Rajputāna south of Kotah. The combination Delvāda and Jhālāvāda seems to favour Kāthiāwāda since there is a Delvāda in the south of the peninsula near Dūn and a Jhālāvāda in the north-east. But the Delvāda of the text can hardly be near Dūn. It apparently is Delvāda near Eklingji about twenty miles north of Udaipur. The account of Ahmed Shah's expedition to the same place in A.D. 1431 (below page 239) confirms this identification.

⁴ Pānīpat (north latitude 29° 23' ; east longitude 77° 2'), seventy-eight miles north of Delhi.

⁵ Farishtah (II, 365) calls the Idar chief Rastal.

CHAPTER II.

AHMEDABAD KINGS.

A.D. 1403-1573.

Chapter II.

Ahmedabad
Kings.

A.D. 1403-1573.

THE rule of the Ahmedabad kings extends over 170 years and includes the names of fifteen sovereigns. The period may conveniently be divided into two parts. The first, lasting for a little more than a century and a quarter, when, under strong rulers, Gujarat rose to consequence among the kingdoms of Western India; the second, from A.D. 1536 to A.D. 1573, an evil time when the sovereigns were minors and the wealth and supremacy of Gujarat were wasted by the rivalry of its nobles.

The date on which Zafar Khán openly threw off his allegiance to Delhi is doubtful. Farishtah says he had the Friday prayer or *Ahutab* repeated in his name after his successful campaign against Jhalaváda and Delvada in A.D. 1396. According to the Mirat-i-Sikandari he maintained a nominal allegiance till A.D. 1403 when he formally invested his son Tatar Khán with the sovereignty of Gujarat, under the title of Násir-ud-dín Muhammad Sháh.

Muhammad I.
1403-1404.

On ascending the throne in A.D. 1403, Muhammad Sháh made Asáwal his capital, and, after humbling the chief of Nándod or Nádot in Rájpipla, marched against Delhi by way of Pátan. On his way to Pátan the king sickened and died. His body was brought back to Pátan, and the expedition against Delhi came to nothing. It seems probable that this is a courtly version of the tale; the fact being that in A.D. 1403 Tatar Khán imprisoned his father at Asáwal, and assumed the title of Muhammad Sháh, and that Tatar Khán's death was caused by poison administered in the interest, if not at the suggestion, of his father Zafar Khán.¹

Zafar Khán
reigns as
Muzaffar.
1407-1419.

After the death of Muhammad Sháh, Zafar Khán asked his own younger brother Shams Khán Dandáni to carry on the government, but he refused. Zafar Khán accordingly sent Shams Khán Dandáni to Nágur in place of Jalál Khán Khokhar, and in A.D. 1407-8, at Bírpur, at the request of the nobles and chief men of the country, himself formally mounted the throne and assumed the title of Muzaffar Sháh. At this time Alp Khán, son of Diláwar Khán of Mála, was rumoured to have poisoned his father and ascended the throne with the title of Sultán Hushang Ghorí. On hearing this Muzaffar Sháh marched against

¹ Compare Farishtah, II. 365-366. After his death Muhammad was known as Khudáigán-i-Shahíd, Our Lord the Martyr, according to the custom of the Sultans of Delhi, all of whom had three names, their family name, their throne name, and their after-death name whose letters contain the date of the monarch's decease. Thus the emperor Akbar's after-death title is 'Arsh Ahádú, The Holder of the Heavenly Throne; the emperor Jahángír's is Janmá Makín, The Dweller in Heaven; the emperor Sháh Jahán's is Kirdáns Makín, He Whose Home is Paradise; and the emperor Aurangzeb's is Kháid Makín, The Occupier of the Eternal Residence. Similarly the after-death title of Muzaffar Sháh, Zafar Khán's father, is Kháidigán-i-Kabir, The Great Lord.

Hushang and besieged him in Dhār.¹ On reducing Dhār Muzaffar handed Hushang to the charge of his brother Shams Khān, on whom he conferred the title of Nasrat Khān. Hushang remained a year in confinement, and Mūsa Khān one of his relations usurped his authority. On hearing this, Hushang begged to be released, and Muzaffar Shāh not only agreed to his prayer, but sent his grandson Ahmed Khān with an army to reinstate him. This expedition was successful; the fortress of Māndu was taken and the usurper Mūsa Khān was put to flight. Ahmed Khān returned to Gujarāt in A.D. 1409-10. Meanwhile Muzaffar advancing towards Duhli to aid Sultān Mahmūd (A.D. 1393-1413), prevented an intended attack on that city by Sultān Ibrahim of Jaunpūr. On his return to Gujarāt Muzaffar led, or more probably despatched, an unsuccessful expedition against Kambhakot.² In the following year (A.D. 1410-11), to quell a rising among the Kolis near Asāval, Muzaffar placed his grandson Ahmed Khān in command of an army. Ahmed Khān camped outside of Pātan. He convened an assembly of learned men and asked them whether a son was not bound to exact retribution from his father's murderer. The assembly stated in writing that a son was bound to exact retribution. Armed with this decision, Ahmed suddenly entered the city, overpowered his grandfather, and forced him to drink poison. The old Khān said: 'Why so hasty, my boy. A little patience and power would have come to you of itself.' He advised Ahmed to kill the evil counsellors of murder and to drink no wine. Remorse so embittered Ahmed's after-life that he was never known to laugh.

On his grandfather's death, Ahmed succeeded with the title of Nāsir-ud-dunya Wad-dīn Abū fateh Ahmed Shāh. Shortly after Ahmed Shāh's accession, his cousin Moid-ud-dīn Firūz Khān, governor of Baroda, allying himself with Hisām or Nizām-ul-Mulk Bhandāri and other nobles, collected an army at Nadiād in Kaira, and, laying claim to the crown, defeated the king's followers. Jivandās, one of the insurgents, proposed to march upon Pātan, but as the others refused a dispute arose in which Jivandās was slain, and the rest sought and obtained Ahmed Shāh's forgiveness. Moid-ud-dīn Firūz Khān went to Cambay and was there joined by Masti Khān, son of Muzaffar Shāh, who was governor of Surat: on the king's advance they fled from Cambay to Broach, to which fort Ahmed Shāh laid siege. As soon as the king arrived, Moid-ud-dīn's army went over to the king, and Masti Khān also submitted. After a few days Ahmed Shāh sent for and forgave Moid-ud-dīn, and returned to Asāval victorious and triumphant.

In the following year (A.D. 1413-14)³ Ahmed Shāh defeated Asa Dhill, chief of Asāval, and, finding the site of that town suitable for his capital, he changed its name to Ahmedābād, and busied himself

Chapter II.

Ahmedābād
Kings.

A.D. 1403-1473.

Muzaffar,
1407-1419.Ahmed I.
1411-1441.Bulids
Ahmedabad,
1413.

¹ Dhār (north latitude 22° 35'; east longitude 73° 20'), the capital of the state of Dhār thirty-three miles west of Mhow in Central India.

² The Tabakāt-i-Akbarī has Kankhot a dependency of Kachh. This is probably correct.

³ The date is doubtful: Farishtah (II, 630) gives A.D. 1413, the Ain-i-Akbarī (Blochman's Edition, I, 607) A.D. 1411.

Chapter II.

Ahmedābād
Kings.

A.D. 1402-1473.

Ahmed I.
1411-1441.
Defeats the
Idar Chief,
1419.Suppresses
a Revolt,
1414.Spread of Islām,
1414.

in enlarging and fortifying the city.¹ During this year Moïd-ud-din Firūz Khān and Masti Khān again revolted, and, joining the Idar Rāja, took shelter in that fortress. A force under Patch Khān was despatched against the rebels, and finally Firūz Khān and the Idar Rāja were forced to flee by way of Kherādu a town in the district of Kadi. Moïd-ud-din now persuaded Rukn Khān governor of Modāsa, fifty miles north of Ahmedābād, to join. They united their forces with those of Badri-ūllā, Masti Khān, and Ranmal Rāja of Idar and encamped at Rangpura an Idar village about five miles from Modāsa and began to strengthen Modāsa and dig a ditch round it. The Sultān camped before the fort and offered favourable terms. The besieged bent on treachery asked the Sultān to send Nizām-ul-Mulk the minister and certain other great nobles. The Sultān agreed, and the besieged imprisoned the envoys. After a three days' siege Modāsa fell. Badri-ūllā and Rukn Khān were slain, and Firūz Khān and the Rāja of Idar fled. The imprisoned nobles were released unharmed. The Rāja seeing that all hope of success was gone, made his peace with the king by surrendering to him the elephants horses and other baggage of Moïd-ud-din Firūz Khān and Masti Khān, who now fled to Nāgor, where they were sheltered by Shams Khān Dandāni. Ahmed Shāh after levying the stipulated tribute departed. Moïd-ud-din Firūz Khān was afterwards slain in the war between Shams Khān and Rāna Mokal of Chitor. In A.D. 1414-15 Ulthmān Ahmed and Sheikh Malik, in command at Pātan, and Sulaimān Afghān called Azam Khān, and Isa Salār rebelled, and wrote secretly to Sultān Hushang of Mālwa, inviting him to invade Gujarāt, and promising to seat him on the throne and expel Ahmed Shāh. They were joined in their rebellion by Jhālā Satarsālji² of Pātāl and other chiefs of Gujarāt. Ahmed Shāh despatched Latif Khān and Nizām-ul-Mulk against Sheikh Malik and his associates, while he sent Imād-ul-Mulk against Sultān Hushang, who retired, and Imād-ul-Mulk, after plundering Mālwa, returned to Gujarāt. Latif Khān, pressing in hot pursuit of Satarsāl and Sheikh Malik, drove them to Sorath. The king returned with joyful heart to Ahmedābād.

Though, with their first possession of the country, A.D. 1297-1318, the Muhammadaus had introduced their faith from Pātan to Broach, the rest of the province long remained unconverted. By degrees, through the efforts of the Ahmedābād kings, the power of Islām became more directly felt in all parts of the province. Many districts, till then all but independent, accepted the Musalmān faith at the hands of Ahmed Shāh, and agreed to the payment of a regular tribute. In A.D. 1414 he led an army against the Rāv of Jūnāgadh and defeated him. The Rāv retired to the hill fortress of Gīrnār. Ahmed Shāh, though unable to capture the hill, gained the fortified citadel of Jūnāgadh. Finding further resistance vain, the chief tendered his submission, and Jūnāgadh was admitted among the tributary states.

¹ Four Ahmeds who had never missed the afternoon prayer helped to build Ahmedābād: Saint Sheikh Ahmed Khattin, Sultān Ahmed, Sheikh Ahmed, and Mulla Ahmed. Compare Bombay Gazetteer, IV, 249 note 5.

² Called in the Tabakāt-i-Akbari the Rāja of Mandal.

This example was followed by the greater number of the Sorath chiefs, who, for the time, resigned their independence. Sayad Abū Khair and Sayad Kāsim were left to collect the tribute, and Ahmed Shāh returned to Ahmedābād. Next year he marched against Sidhpur,¹ and in A.D. 1415 advanced from Sidhpur to Dhār in Mālwa. At this time the most powerful feudatories were the Rāv of Junāgadh, the Rāv of Chāmpāner,² the Rāja of Nāndod, the Rāv of Idar, and the Rāja of Jhalāvāda. Trimbakdās of Chāmpāner, Pūnja of Idar, Siri of Nāndod, and Mandlik of Jhalāvāda, alarmed at the activity of Ahmed Shāh and his zeal for Islām, instigated Sultān Hushang of Mālwa to invade Gujarāt. Ahmed Shāh promptly marched to Modasa,³ forced Sultān Hushang of Mālwa to retire, and broke up the conspiracy, reproving and pardoning the chiefs concerned. About the same time the Sorath chiefs withheld their tribute, but the patience and unwearied activity of the king overcame all opposition. When at Modasa Ahmed heard that, by the treachery of the son of the governor, Nāsir of Asir and Gheirāt or Ghazni Khān of Mālwa had seized the fort of Thālner in Sirpur in Khāndesh, and, with the aid of the chief of Nāndod, were marching against Sultānpur and Nandurbār. Ahmed sent an expedition against Nāsir of Asir under Malik Mahmūd Barki or Turki. When the Malik reached Nāndod he found that Gheirāt Khān had fled to Mālwa and that Nāsir had retired to Thālner. The Malik advanced, besieged and took Thālner, capturing Nāsir whom Ahmed forgave and dignified with the title of Khān.⁴

After quelling these rebellions Ahmed Shāh despatched Nizām-ul-Mulk to punish the Rāja of Mandal near Viramgām, and himself marched to Mālwa against Sultān Hushang, whom he defeated, capturing his treasure and elephants. In A.D. 1418, in accordance with his policy of separately engaging his enemies, Ahmed Shāh marched to chastise Trimbakdās of Chāmpāner, and though unable to take the fortress he laid waste the surrounding country. In A.D. 1419 he ravaged the lands round Sankheda⁵ and built a fort there and a mosque within the fort; he also built a wall round the town of Māngni,⁶ and then marched upon Mānda. On the way ambassadors from Sultān Hushang met him suing for peace, and Ahmed Shāh, returning towards Chāmpāner, again laid waste the surrounding country. During the following year (A.D. 1420) he remained in Ahmedābād bringing his own dominions into thorough subjection by establishing fortified posts and by humbling the chiefs and destroying their strongholds. Among other works he built the forts of Dohad⁷ on the

Chapter II.

Ahmedābād

Kings.

A.D. 1403-1573.

Ahmed I.
1411-1441.Ahmed I.
Quells a Second
Revolt,
1416.Expedition
against Mālwa,
1417.Attacks
Chāmpāner,
1418.

¹ Sidhpur (north latitude 23° 50'; east longitude 72° 20'), on the Saraswati, fifty-eight miles north of Ahmedābād.

² Chāmpāner (north latitude 23° 30'; east longitude 72° 30'), in the British district of the Panch Mahals, from A.D. 1483 to A.D. 1569 the chief city of Gujarāt, now in ruins.

³ Modasa (north latitude 23° 27'; east longitude 73° 21'), fifty miles north-east of Ahmedābād.

⁴ Mirat-i-Sikandar-i-Persian Text, 34, 35; Farishtah, II, 363, 364.

⁵ Sankheda is on the left bank of the Or river about twenty miles south-east of Baroda.

⁶ Māngni Māni or Manki, famous for its witebas, eight miles east of Sankheda.

⁷ Dohad (north latitude 22° 50'; east longitude 74° 15'), seventy-seven miles north-east of Baroda, now the chief town of the sub-division of the same name in the British district of the Panch Mahals. Mr. J. Pallen, I.C.S., LL.D.

Chapter II.

Ahmedabad
Kings.

A.D. 1403-1573.

Ahmed I.
1411-1441.War with Málwa,
1422.

Málwa frontier and of Jitpur in Lónávāja.¹ In A.D. 1421 he repaired the fort in the town of Kahrath, otherwise called Meimún in Lónávāja, which had been built by Ulugh Khán Sanjar in the reign of Sultán Alá-ud-dín (A.D. 1295-1315) and changed the name to Sultánpur. He next advanced against Málwa and took the fort of Mesar. After an unsuccessful siege of Mándu he went to Ujjain.² From Ujjain he returned to Mándu, and failing to capture Mándu, he marched against Sárangpur.³ Sultán Hushang sent ambassadors and concluded a peace. In spite of the agreement, while Ahmed Sháh was returning to Gujarát, Sultán Hushang made a night attack on his army and caused much havoc. Ahmed Sháh, collecting what men he could, waited till dawn and then fell on and defeated the Málwa troops, who were busy plundering. Sultán Hushang took shelter in the fort of Sárangpur to which Ahmed Sháh again laid siege. Failing to take the fort Ahmed retreated towards Gujarát, closely followed by Sultán Hushang, who was eager to wipe out his former defeat. On Hushang's approach, Ahmed Sháh, halting his troops, joined battle and repulsing Hushang returned to Ahmedabad.

Defeats the
Idar Chief,
1425.

In A.D. 1425 Ahmed Sháh led an army against Idar, defeating the force brought to meet him and driving their leader to the hills. Idar was always a troublesome neighbour to the Ahmedabad kings and one difficult to subdue, for when his country was threatened, the chief could retire to his hills, where he could not easily be followed. As a permanent check on his movements, Ahmed Sháh, in A.D. 1427, built the fort of Ahmednagar,⁴ on the banks of the Háthmati, eighteen miles south-west of Idar. In the following year the Idar chief, Ráv Púnja, attacked a foraging party and carried off one of the royal elephants. He was pursued into the hills and brought to bay in a narrow pathway at the edge of a steep ravine. Púnja was driving back his pursuers when the keeper of the Sultán's elephant urged his animal against the Ráv's horse. The horse swerving lost his foothold and rolling down the ravine destroyed himself and his rider.⁵

During the two following years Ahmed Sháh abstained from foreign conquests, devoting himself to improving his dominions and to working out a system of paying his troops. The method he finally adopted was payment half in money and half in land. This arrangement attached the men to the country, and, while keeping them dependent on the state, enabled them to be free from debt. Further to keep his officials in check he arranged that the treasurer should be one of the king's slaves while the actual paymaster was a native of the particular locality. He also appointed *ámils* that is sub-divisional revenue officers. After Ráv Púnja's death Ahmed Sháh marched upon Idar, and did not return until Ráv Púnja's son agreed to pay an annual tribute of £300 (Rs. 3000). In the following year, according to Farishtah (II. 367) in spite of the young chief's promise

¹ Jitpur about twelve miles north-east of Ballápur.² Ujjain (north latitude 23° 10' ; east longitude 73° 47'), at different times the capital of Málwa.³ Sárangpur about fifty miles north-east of Ujjain.⁴ Ahmednagar (north latitude 23° 34' ; east longitude 73° 13') in the native state of Idar.

Nirát-i-Bikandari Persian Text, 43.

to pay tribute, Ahmed Sháh attacked Ídar, took the fort, and built an assembly mosque. Fearing that their turn would come next the chief of Julláwáda and Kánha apparently chief of Dungarpur fled to Nasir Khán of Asir. Nasir Khán gave Kánha a letter to Ahmed Sháh Báhmání, to whose son Alá-ud-dín Nasir's daughter was married, and having detached part of his own troops to help Kánha they plundered and laid waste some villages of Nandurbár and Sultánpur. Sultán Ahmed sent his eldest son Muhammed Khán with Mukarrabul Mulk and others to meet the Dakhánis who were repulsed with considerable loss. On this Sultán Ahmed Báhmání, under Kadr Khán Dakhani, sent his eldest son Alá-ud-dín and his second son Khán Jehán against the Gujarátis. Kadr Khán marched to Daulatsháh and joining Nasir Khán and the Gujarát rebels fought a great battle near the pass of Mánek Páj, six miles south of Nándgaon in Násik. The confederates were defeated with great slaughter. The Dakhani princes fled to Daulatsháh and Kánha and Nasir Khán to Kalanda near Cháliagaum in south Khándeshi.

In the same year (A.D. 1429), on the death of Kutub Khán the Gujarát governor of the island of Máhim, now the north part of the island of Bombay,¹ Ahmed Sháh Báhmání smarting under his defeats, ordered Hasan Izzat, otherwise called Malik-ut-Tujjár, to the Konkan and by the Malik's activity the North Konkan passed to the Dakhánis. On the news of this disaster Ahmed Sháh sent his youngest son Zafar Khán, with an army under Malik Ifrikhár Khán, to retake Máhim. A fleet, collected from Din Gogha and Cambay sailed to the Konkan, attacked Thána² by sea and land, captured it, and regained possession of Máhim. In A.D. 1431 Ahmed Sháh advanced upon Chámpáner, and Ahmed Sháh Báhmání, anxious to retrieve his defeat at Máhim, marched an army into Báglán³ and laid it waste. This news brought Ahmed Sháh back to Nandurbár. Destroying Nándod he passed to Tambol, a fort in Báglán which Ahmed Sháh Báhmání was besieging, defeated the besiegers and relieved the fort. He then went to Thána, repaired the fort, and returned to Gujarát by way of Sultánpur and Nandurbár. In A.D. 1432, after contracting his son Futeh Khán in marriage with the daughter of the Rái of Máhim to the north of Bassaín Ahmed Sháh marched towards Nágor, and exacted tribute and presents from the Rárál of Dúngarpur.⁴ From Dúngarpur he went to Mewár, enforcing his

Chapter II.

Ahmedábád Kings.

A.D. 1403-1473.

Ahmed I.
1411-1441.Receives
Máhim,
1429.and Báglán,
1431.

¹ There are two Máhims on the North Konkan coast, one about twenty-two miles north of Bassaín (north latitude 19° 40'; east longitude 73° 47'), and the other in the northern extremity of the island of Bombay (north latitude 18° 2'; east longitude 72° 54'). The southern Máhim, to which Farihtak (II, 370-371) is careful to apply the term *Jazírah* or island, is the town referred to in the text. The northern Máhim, now known as Kelva Máhim, was, as is noted in the text, the head-quarters of a Hindu chief.

² Thána (north latitude 19° 11'; east longitude 73° 6'), the head-quarters of the British district of that name, about twenty-four miles north-by-east of Bombay, was from the tenth to the sixteenth century A.D. the chief city in the Northern Konkan.

³ Báglán, now called Satiá, is the northern sub-division of the British district of Násik. In A.D. 1590: he chief commanded 8000 cavalry and 5000 infantry. The country was famous for fruit. *Alm-i-Akhárá* (Gladwin), II, 79. The chief, a Ráthod, was converted to Islám by Aurangzeb (A.D. 1636-1707).

⁴ Dúngarpur (north latitude 23° 30'; east longitude 73° 50') is Rajpootana, 100 miles north-west of Mhow.

Chapter II.
Ahmedabad
Kings.
A.D. 1403-1573.

Ahmed I.
1411-1441.

claims on Būndi and Kota, two Hāra Rājput states in south-east Rājputāna. He then entered the Delvāda country, levelling temples and destroying the palace of Rāna Mokalsingh, the chief of Chitor. Thence he invaded Nāgor in the country of the Rāthods, who submitted to him. After this he returned to Gujarāt, and during the next few years was warring principally in Mālwa, where, according to Farishtah, his army suffered greatly from pestilence and famine. Ahmed died in A.D. 1441 in the fifty-third year of his life and the thirty-third of his reign and was buried in the mausoleum in the Mānek Chāuk in Ahmedabad. His after-death title is Khūdaigān-i-Maghfir the Forgiveness-Lord in token that, according to his merciful promise, Allah the pitiful, moved by the prayer of forty believers, had spread his forgiveness over the crime of Ahmed's youth, a crime bewailed by a lifelong remorse.

Sūltān Ahmed's still a name of power among Gujarāt Musalmāns. He is not more honoured for his bravery, skill, and success as a war leader than for his piety and his justice. His piety showed itself in his respect for three great religious teachers Sheikh Rukn-ud-din the representative of Sheikh Mo'in-ud-din the great Khwājah of Ajmir, Sheikh Ahmed Khattu who is buried at Sackhoj five miles west of Ahmedabad, and the Bukhāran Sheikh Burhān-ud-din known as Kutbi Alam the father of the more famous Shah Alam. Of Ahmed's justice two instances are recorded. Sitting in the window of his palace watching the Sāharmati in flood Ahmed saw a large earthen jar float by. The jar was opened and the body of a murdered man was found wrapped in a blanket. The potters were called and one said the jar was his and had been sold to the headman of a neighbouring village. On inquiry the headman was proved to have murdered a grain merchant and was hanged. The second case was the murder of a poor man by Ahmed's son-in-law. The Kāzi found the relations of the deceased willing to accept a blood fine and when the fine was paid released the prince. Ahmed hearing of his son-in-law's release said in the case of the rich fine is no punishment and ordered his son-in-law to be hanged.¹

Muhammad II.
1441-1452.

Ahmed Shah succeeded by his generous pleasure-loving son Muhammad Shah, Ghiās-ud-dunya Wad-din, also styled Zarbākh the Gold Giver. In A.D. 1445 Muhammad marched against Bir Rāi of Idar, but on that chief agreeing to give him his daughter in marriage, he confirmed him in the possession of his state. His next expedition was against Kānha Rāi of Dūngarpur, who took refuge in the hills, but afterwards returned, and paying tribute, was given charge of his country. Muhammad married Bīlū Mughli, daughter of Jām Jūna of Thāthā in Sindh. She bore a son, Fāteh Khān, who was afterwards Sultān Mahmūd Begada. In A.D. 1450, Muhammad marched upon Chāmpāner, and took the lower fortress. Gangādās of Chāmpāner had a strong ally in Sultān Mahmūd Khilji, the ruler of Mālwa, and on his approach Muhammad Shah retired to Godhra,² and Mahmūd

¹ Mirāt-i-Sikandarī Persian Text, 45, 46.

² Godhra (north latitude 22° 45', east longitude 73° 36'), the chief town of the subdivision of that name in the British district of the Panch Mahals. The Mirāt-i-Sikandarī (Persian Text, 49) gives, probably rightly, Kothra a village of Sānli or Savli about twenty miles north of Baroda.

Khilji continued his march upon Gujarāt at the head of 80,000 horse. Muhammad Shāh was preparing to fly to Dīu, when the nobles, disgusted at his cowardice, caused him to be poisoned. Muhammad Shāh's after-death title is Khudāigān-i-Karīm the Gracious Lord.

In A.D. 1451 the nobles placed Muhammad's son Jalāl Khān on the throne with the title of Kutb-ud-dīn. Meanwhile Sultān Mahmūd of Mālwa had laid siege to Sultānpur.¹ Mālik Alā-ud-dīn bin Solhrāb Kutb-ud-dīn's commander surrendered the fort, and was sent with honour to Mālwa and appointed governor of Mānda. Sultān Mahmūd, marching to Sāra-Pālri, summoned Broach, then commanded by Sidi Marjān on behalf of Gujarāt. The Sidi refused, and fearing delay, the Mālwa Sultān after plundering Baroda proceeded to Nadiād, whose Brāhmans astonished him by their bravery in killing a mad elephant. Kutb-ud-dīn Shāh now advancing met Sultān Mahmūd at Kapadvanj,² where, after a doubtful fight of some hours, he defeated Sultān Mahmūd, though during the battle that prince was able to penetrate to Kutb-ud-dīn's camp and carry off his crown and jewelled girdle. The Mirāt-i-Sikandari ascribes Kutb-ud-dīn's victory in great measure to the gallantry of certain inhabitants of Dhulka³ called Darwāziyāhs. Muzaḥfar Khān, who is said to have incited the Mālwa Sultān to invade Gujarāt, was captured and beheaded, and his head was hung up at the gate of Kapadvanj. On his return from Kapadvanj Kutb-ud-dīn built the magnificent Hauzi Kutb or Kānkariya Tank about a mile to the south of Ahmedābād. According to the Mirāt-i-Sikandari (Persian Text, 50-57) this war between Mālwa and Gujarāt was controlled by the spiritual power of certain holy teachers. The war was brought on by the prayers of Sheikh Kamāl Mālwi, whose shrine is in Ahmedābād behind Khudāwand Khān's mosque near Shāh-i-Alam's tomb, who favoured Mālwa. Kutb-ud-dīn's cause was aided by the blessing of Kutbi Alam who sent his son the famous Shāh Alam time after time to persuade Kamāl to be loyal to Gujarāt. At last Kamāl produced a writing sahl to be from heaven giving the victory to Mālwa. The young Shāh Alam tore this charter to shreds, and, as no evil befel him, Kamāl saw that his spiritual power paled before Shāh Alam and fell back dead. Shāh Alam against his will accompanied Kutb-ud-dīn some marches on his advance to Kapadvanj. Before leaving the army Shāh Alam blessed a mean camp elephant and ordered him to destroy the famous Mālwa champion elephant known as the Butcher. He also, against his wish for he knew the future, at the Sultān's request bound his own sword round Kutb-ud-dīn's waist. In the battle the commissariat elephant ripped the Butcher and some years later Kutb-ud-dīn by accident gashed his knee with the saint's sword and died.

Chapter II.

Ahmedābād
Kings.

A.D. 1403-1573.

Kutb-ud-dīn,
1451-1459.War with Mālwa,
1451.Battle of
Kapadvanj,
1451.

¹ Sultānpur (north latitude 21° 45', east longitude 74° 40'), in the north of the Shikhar sub-division of the British district of Khambhat, till A.D. 1804 a place of consequence and the head-quarters of a large district.

² Kapadvanj (north latitude 23° 2', east longitude 73° 2'), the chief town of the sub-division of that name in the British district of Kaira.

³ Dhulka (north latitude 25° 45', east longitude 72° 25'), the chief town of the sub-division of that name in the British district of Ahmedābād.

Chapter II.

Ahmedābād
Kings.

A.D. 1403-1373.

Kutb-ud-din,
1454-1459.War with Nāgor,
1454-1459.War with Chitor,
1455-1459.

In the same year Sultān Mahmūd Khilji attempted to conquer Nāgor then held by Firūz Khān, a cousin of the Ahmedābād Sultān. Kutb-ud-din Shāh despatched an army under the command of Sayyid Atāullāh, and, as it drew near Sāmblhar,¹ the Mālwa Sultān retired and shortly after Firūz Khān died. Kūmbha Rāna of Chitor² now began interfering in the Nāgor succession on behalf of Shams Khān, who had been dispossessed by his brother Mujāhid Khān, and expelled Mujāhid. But as Shams Khān refused to dismantle the fortifications of Nāgor, the Chitor chief collected an army to capture Nāgor, while Shams Khān repaired to Kutb-ud-din Shāh for aid and gave that sovereign his daughter in marriage. Upon this Kutb-ud-din sent Rāi Anupchand Mānek and Malik Gadāi with an army to Nāgor to repulse the Rāna of Chitor. In a battle near Nāgor the Gujarāt troops were defeated, and the Rāna after laying waste the neighbourhood of that city, returned to Chitor. In A.D. 1455-56, to avenge this raid, Kutb-ud-din Shāh marched against Chitor. On his way the Devra Rāja of Sirohi³ attended Kutb-ud-din Shāh's camp, praying him to restore the fortress of Abu,⁴ part of the ancestral domain of Sirohi, which the Rāna of Chitor had wrested from his house. The king ordered one of his generals, Malik Shāsbān, to take possession of Abu and restore it to the Devra chieftain, while he himself continued to advance against Kumbhāmer. Malik Shāsbān was entangled in the defiles near Abu, and defeated with great slaughter, and shortly after Kutb-ud-din Shāh, making a truce with Chitor, retired to his own country. On his return the Mālwa sovereign proposed that they should unite against Chitor, conquer the Rāna's territories, and divide them equally between them. Kutb-ud-din agreed and in A.D. 1456-57 marched against the Rāna by way of Abu, which fortress he captured and handed to the Devra Rāja.⁵ Next, advancing upon Kumbhāmer, he plundered the country round, and then turned towards Chitor. On his way to Chitor, he was met by the Rāna, and a battle was fought, after which the Rāna fell back on his capital, and was there besieged by the Gujarāt army. The siege was not pressed, and, on the Rāna agreeing to pay tribute and not to harass Nāgor, Kutb-ud-din withdrew to Gujarāt, where he gave himself up to licentious excess. Meanwhile, the Rāna by ceding Mandisor⁶ to Mālwa, came to terms with the Sultān of Māndu, and within three months attacked Nāgor. Kutb-ud-din Shāh, though so overcome with drink as to be unable to sit his horse, mustered his troops and started in a palanquin. As soon as the Rāna heard that the Gujarāt army was in motion he retired, and the king returned to Ahmedābād. In A.D. 1458, he again led an army by way of Sirohi

¹ Sāmblhar (north latitude 26° 53'; east longitude 75° 15'), a town in the province of Ajmir, about fifty-one miles north-north-east from the city of Ajmir.

² Chitor (north latitude 24° 52'; east longitude 74° 4'), for several centuries before A.D. 1567 the capital of the principality of Udepur.

³ Sirohi (north latitude 24° 59'; east longitude 73° 56'), the capital of the principality of the same name in the province of Ajmir.

⁴ Abu (north latitude 24° 45'; east longitude 72° 49') in the state of Sirohi.

⁵ The Rāja is called Krishna Kishan or Kāsh Devra. Abu is still held by the Sirohi Devras.

⁶ Mandisor (north latitude 24° 4'; east longitude 75° 0'), the chief town of a large district of the same name in the province of Mālwa.

and Kumbhalmer against Chitor, and laid waste the country. Soon after his return, according to one account by an accidental sword wound, according to another account poisoned by his wife, Kutb-ud-din died in May A.D. 1459 after a reign of seven years and seven days. He was brave with a sternness of nature, which, under the influence of wine, amounted to fierceness. His after-death title is Sultán-i-Ghází the Warrior King.

On the death of Kutb-ud-din Sháh, the nobles raised to the throne his uncle Dáúd, son of Ahmed Sháh. But as Dáúd appointed low-born men to high offices and committed other foolish acts, he was deposed, and in A.D. 1459 his half-brother Fateh Khán the son of Muhammad Sháh, son of Ahmed Sháh by Bibi Mughli a daughter of Jám Juna of Thatta in Sindh, was seated on the throne at the age of little more than thirteen with the title of Mahmúd Sháh.

The close connection of Fateh Khán with the saintly Sháh Alam is a favourite topic with Gujarát historians. According to the *Mirát-i-Sikandari* (Persian Text, 86-70) of his two daughters Jám Juna intended Bibi Mughli the more beautiful for the Saint and Bibi Mirghi the less comely for the Sultán. By bribing the Jám's envoys the king secured the prettier sister. The enraged Saint was consoled by his father who said: My son, to you will come both the cow and the calf. After Muhammad II.'s death, fear of Kutb-ud-din's designs against the young Fateh Khán forced Bibi Mughli to seek safety with her sister, and on her sister's death she married the Saint. Kutb-ud-din made several attempts to seize Fateh Khán. But by the power of the Saint when Kutb-ud-din attempted to seize him, Fateh Khán in body as well as in dress became a girl. According to one account Kutb-ud-din met his death in an attempt to carry off Fateh Khán. As he rode into the Saint's quarter Death in the form of a mad camel met the king. The king struck at the phantom, and his sword cleaving the air gashed his knee. This was the Saint's sword, which against his will, for he knew it would be the death of the king, Kutb-ud-din forced Sháh Alam to bind round him before the battle of Kapadvanj.

The death of his uncle, the late Sultán Dáúd, who had become a religious devotee, relieved Fateh Khán of one source of danger. Shortly after certain of the nobles including Seiful Mulk, Kabir-ud-din Sultáni surnamed Akd-ul-Mulk, Barchán-ul-Mulk and Hisám-ul-Mulk represented to the Sultán that the minister Shaibán Imád-ul-Mulk contemplated treason and wished to set his son on the throne. Having seized and imprisoned the minister in the Bhadra citadel and set five hundred of their trusted retainers as guards over him, the rebels retired to their homes. At nightfall Abdulláh, the chief of the elephant stables, going to the young Sultán represented to him that the nobles who had imprisoned Imád-ul-Mulk were the real traitors and had determined to place Habib Khán, an uncle of the Sultán's, on the throne. The Sultán consulting his mother and some of his faithful friends ordered Abdulláh at daybreak to equip all his elephants in full armour and draw them up in the square before the Bhadra. He then seated himself on the throne and in a voice of feigned anger ordered one of the courtiers to bring out Shaibán Imád-ul-Mulk, that he might wreak his vengeance

Chapter II.

Ahmedábád
Kings.

A.D. 1303-1573.

Mahmúd I.
(Begada).
1459-1513.Defence a
Conspiracy.
1459.

Chapter II.

Ahmedābād
Kings.

A.D. 1403-1573.

Mahmūd I.
(Begada).
1459-1513.Improves the
Soldiery.
1459-1491.Helps the King
of the Dakhan,
1491.

upon him. As these orders were not obeyed the Sultān rose, and walking up the Bhadra called: "Bring out Shaḥdān!" The guards brought forth Imād-ul-Mulk, and the Sultān ordered his fetters to be broken. Some of the nobles' retainers made their submission to the Sultān, others fled and hid themselves. In the morning, hearing what had happened, the refractory nobles marched against the Sultān. Many advised the Sultān to cross the Sābarmati by the postern gate and retire from the city, and, after collecting an army, to march against the nobles. Giving no ear to these counsels the young Sultān ordered Abdullāh to charge the advancing nobles with his six hundred elephants. The charge dispersed the malcontents who fled and either hid themselves in the city or betook themselves to the country. Some were killed, some were trampled by the Sultān's orders under the elephants' feet, and one was pardoned.¹ His religious ardour, his love of justice, his bravery, and his wise measures entitle Mahmūd to the highest place among the Gujarāt kings. One of the measures which the Mirāt-i-Sikandari specially notices is his continuance of land grants to the son of the holder, and in cases where there was no male issue of half the grant to the daughter. His firm policy of never ousting the landholder except for proved oppression or exaction was productive of such prosperity that the revenue increased two three and in some cases tenfold. The roads were safe from freebooters and trade was secure. A rule forbidding soldiers to borrow money at interest is favourably noticed. A special officer was appointed to make advances to needy soldiers with the power to recover from their pay in fixed instalments.² Mahmūd also devoted much attention to the culture of fruit trees.³ In A.D. 1461, or A.D. 1462 according to Farishtah, Nizām Shāh Bahmani (A.D. 1461-1463), king of the Dakhan, whose country had been invaded by Sultān Mahmūd Khilji of Mālwa, applied for help to the Gujarāt king. Mahmūd Shāh at once started to Nizām Shāh's aid, and on his way receiving another equally pressing letter from the Dakhan sovereign, and being joined by the Bahmani general Khwājāh Jodān Gāwān, he

¹ Persian Text, Mirāt-i-Sikandari, 75-76.

² The Portuguese merchant and traveller Barbosa (A.D. 1511-1514) gives the following details of Mahmūd Begada's cavalry: The Moors and Gentiles of this kingdom are bold riders, mounted on horses bred in the country, for it has a wonderful quantity. They ride on small saddles and use whips. Their arms are very thick round shields edged with silk; each man has two swords, a dagger, and a Turkish bow with very good arrows. Some of them carry maces, and many of them coats-of-mail, and others tunics quilted with cotton. The horses have housings and steel headpieces, and so they fight very well and are light in their movements. The Moorish horsemen are white and of many countries, Turks and Mamelukes, military slaves from Georgia Circassia and Mingrelia, Arab Persians Ehorastans Turkomans, men from the great kingdom of Delhi, and others born in the country itself. Their pay is good, and they receive it regularly. They are well dressed with very rich stuffs of gold silk cotton and goat's wool, and all wear caps on their heads, and their clothes long, such as morisco shirts and drawers, and leggings to the knee of good thick leather worked with gold knots and embroidery, and their swords richly ornamented with gold and silver are borne in their girdles or in the hands of their pages. Their women are very white and pretty: also very richly decked out. They live well and spend much money. *Barbosa's Barbosa*, 55-56.

³ Mahmūd's favourite trees were the mango *dhūla* Mangifera indica, rōza Mimusopa hexandra, jāmbūn Eugenia jambolana, gūlar Ficus glomerata, tamarind *dhul* Tamarindus indica, and the shrubby phyllanthus *dhul* Emblica officinalis.

pushed on with all speed by way of Burhānpur.¹ When Sultān Mahmūd Khilji heard of his approach, he retired to his own country by way of Gondwana,² from thirst and from the attacks of the Gonds, losing 5000 to 8000 men. The king of Gujarāt, after receiving the thanks of the Dakhan sovereign, returned to his own dominions. In A.D. 1482 Sultān Mahmūd Khilji made another incursion into the Dakhan at the head of 90,000 horse, plundering and laying waste the country as far as Daulatabād. Again the Dakhan sovereign applied for help to Mahmūd Shāh, and on hearing of Mahmūd's advance the Mālwa Sultān retired a second time to his own dominions. Mahmūd Shāh now wrote to the Mālwa Sultān to desist from harassing the Dakhan, threatening, in case of refusal, to march at once upon Māndu. His next expedition was against the pirate zamīndars of the hill fort of Barār and the bandar of Dān or Dahānu, whose fort he took, and after imposing an annual tribute allowed the chief to continue to hold his hundred villages.³

Mahmūd Shāh next turned his thoughts to the conquest of the mountain citadel of Gīrnār in central Kāthiāvāḍ.⁴ In A.D. 1467 he made an attack on the fort of Jūnāgaḍh, and receiving the submission of Rāv Māndlik, the local ruler, returned to his capital. In the following year, hearing that the Jūnāgaḍh chief continued to visit his idol temple in state with a golden umbrella and other ensigns of royalty, Mahmūd despatched an army to Jūnāgaḍh, and the chief sent the obnoxious umbrella to the king, accompanied by fitting presents. In A.D. 1469 Mahmūd once more sent an army to ravage Sorath, with the intention of finally conquering both Jūnāgaḍh and Gīrnār. While Mahmūd was on the march the Rāv Māndlik suddenly joined him, and asking why the Sultān was so bent on his destruction when he had committed no fault, agreed to do whatever Mahmūd might command. The king replied there is no fault like infidelity, and ordered the Rāv to embrace Islām. The chief, now thoroughly alarmed, fled by night and made his way into Gīrnār. In A.D. 1472-73 after a siege of nearly two years, forced by the failure of his stores, he quitted the fort and handing the keys to the king, repented after him the Muhammadan profession of faith. Though the Rāv's life was spared Sorath from this date became a crown possession, and was governed by an officer appointed by the king and stationed at Jūnāgaḍh. At the close of the war Mahmūd Shāh repaired the fort Jehānpānāh, the present outer or town wall of Jūnāgaḍh, and, charmed with the beauty of the neighbourhood, settled sayads and learned men at Jūnāgaḍh and other towns

Chapter II.

Ahmedabad
Kings.

A.D. 1403-1579.

Mahmūd I.
(Begada).
1459-1513.Expedition
against
Jūnāgaḍh,
1467.Capture of
Gīrnār.
1473.

¹ Burhānpur (north latitude 21° 18' : east longitude 76° 20'), under the Mussalmans the capital of Khāndesh, now within the limits of the Berar.

² Gondwana, a large hilly tract lying between north latitude 19° 20' and 24° 20' and east longitude 77° 23' and 87° 20'.

³ The *Mīrāt-i-Sikandarī* (Persian Text, page 89) gives the hill fort of Barār. The Persian *r* may be a miswritten *g* and the *d* a mistake for *w* that is Bagawar or Bagwarah. The seaport Dān may be Dangri hill six miles from the coast. But Dān for Dānu is a well-known port in north Thina is perhaps more likely. Ferishtah (Beleg, IV. 51) gives Bayur for Baru and Dura for Dān. Compare *Tafakki-i-Akhbari* in Bayley's *Gujarat*, page 178 note 2.

⁴ Gīrnār the diadem of Kāthiāvāḍ. See above page 231 note 2.

Chapter II.

Ahmedábád
Kings.

A.D. 1405-1513.

Mahmūd I.

(Begada).
1459-1513.Disturbances
in Chámpáner,
1472.

in Sorath.* He induced the nobles to build houses, himself raised a palace and made the new city his capital under the name of Mustafábad and enforced his claims as overlord on all the neighbouring chiefs. It is true that in the times of Ahmed Sháh these chieftains, including even the Júnágadh Ráy himself, had paid tribute. But Mahmūd established Ahmedábád rule so firmly that the duty of collecting the tribute was entrusted to an officer permanently settled in the country. The author of the *Mirát-i-Sikandari* dilates on the dense woods round Júnágadh, full of mango, *cáca*, *jímba*, *gular*, *ámli*, and *dondu* trees, and notes that this forest tract was inhabited by a wild race of men called Khánts.²

During Mahmūd Sháh's prolonged absence from his capital, Malik Jamál-ud-dín was appointed governor of Ahmedábád, with the title of Muháfiz Khán that is Caretaker. At this time Jesingh, son of Gangádas the chief of Chámpáner, harassed the country round Parágad. The king appointed Bahá-ul-Mulk, who had the title of Imád-ul-Mulk, to the command of Sankheda; Malik Sárang Kiwám-ul-Mulk to the command of Godhra; and Táj Khán bin Salár to the command of Norkha and Dákhna on the Máhi. In consequence of these precautions Jesingh abstained from rebellion. At this time the Ráy Mandlik received the title of Khán Jahán, and lands were bestowed on him, while the golden idols, which had been taken from the Júnágadh temples, were broken and distributed among the soldiers.

Conquest of
Kachh.

Mahmūd Sháh's next expedition was against the turbulent inhabitants of the confines of Sindh. These were Jádejás, though they are described as Rájputs of the Sumra and Soda tribes.³ They appear to have readily submitted, and to have voluntarily sent men to Júnágadh to be instructed in Islam and to settle in Gujarát. Shortly afterwards they again became troublesome, and the king advancing into Kachh completely defeated them. About this time a learned man, Mulla Mahmūd Samarkandi, on his way from the Dakhan to Central Asia, complained to the king that he had been robbed by the pirates of Jagat or Dwárka.⁴ On hearing of this outrage Mahmūd Sháh marched to Jagat, took the fort, and destroyed the idol temples. The pirates, in the first instance, retired to the island of Shankhodára or Bet, but from this, too, after a stout resistance they were driven with great slaughter. The king built a mosque at Jagat, entrusted the government to Farhat-ul-Mulk, and himself returned to Júnágadh. Before this Dwárka had never been conquered. Khim, the Rája of Dwárka, was sent to Muháfiz Khán, the governor of Ahmedábád, with orders that he was to be hewn in pieces and a piece fastened to every gate of the city. After settling the affairs of Sorath, the king turned

Jagat
Destroyed.

¹ *Mangifera indica*, *Mimusops hexandra*, *Eugenia jambolana*, *Ficus glomerata*, *Tamarindus indica*, and *Mimbalia officinalis*.

² Khánts are still found chiefly in Soráth. See *Bombay Gazetteer*, VIII. 142.

³ The *Tabakát-i-Akbari* says they were Játa. Sir H. Elliot (*History of India*, I. 496) represents the Sumras to be Agnikula Rájputs of the Parmara stock. The Jádejás had been ruling in Kachh since A.D. 1359-1365.

⁴ Dwárka (north latitude 22° 15', east longitude 69°), on the north-western shore of Kathiáwár, famous for its temple of Krishna.

his face towards Ahmedabad. On the way hearing that a fleet of Malikār craft were annoying the Gujarāt ports, he marched to Gogha, equipped a fleet to oppose the pirates, and stopping at Cambay returned to Ahmedabad.

In A.D. 1480, when Mahmūd Shāh was at Jūnāgaḍh, Khudāwand Khān and others, who were weary of the king's constant warfare, incited his eldest son Ahmed to assume royal power. But Imād-ul-Mulk, by refusing to join, upset their plans, and on the king's return the conspiracy was stamped out. In the previous year (A.D. 1479) Mahmūd Shāh sent an army to ravage Chāmpāner, which he was determined to conquer. About this time, hearing that the neighbourhood was infested with robbers, he founded the city of Mehmūdābād on the banks of the Vātrak, about eighteen miles south of Ahmedabad. In A.D. 1482 there was a partial famine in Gujarāt, and the Chāmpāner country being exempt from scarcity the commandant of Morāmli or Rasūlābād, a post in the Gāekwār's Sāonli district on the Chāmpāner frontier, made several forays across the border. In return the chief attacked the commandant and defeated him, killing most of his men and capturing two elephants and several horses. On hearing this Mahmūd Shāh set out for Baroda with a powerful army. When Mahmūd reached Baroda the Rāval of Chāmpāner, becoming alarmed, sent ambassadors and sued for forgiveness. The king rejected his overtures, saying: 'Except the sword and the dagger no message shall pass between me and you.'¹ The Rāval made preparations for a determined resistance, and sent messengers to summon Ghias-ul-dīn Khilji of Mālwa to his aid. To prevent this junction Mahmūd Shāh entrusted the siege to his nobles and marched to Dohad, on which Sultān Ghias-ud-dīn withdrew to Mānda. On his return from Dohad the Sultān began building a Jāma Mosque at Chāmpāner to show that he would not leave the place till he had taken the hill-fort of Pāvagaḍ. After the siege had lasted more than twenty months (April 1483 - December 1484), the Mussalmāns noticed that for an hour or two in the morning most of the Rājputs were off duty bathing and dressing. A morning assault was planned and the first gate carried. Then Malik Ayāz Sultāni finding a practicable breach passed through with some of his men and took the great gate. The Rāval and his Rājputs, throwing their women children and valuables into a huge fire, rushed out in a fierce but unavailing charge.²

The Rāval and his minister Dūngarshi fell wounded into the conqueror's hands, and, on refusing to embrace Islām, were put to death. The Rāval's son, who was entrusted to Saif-ul-Mulk, and instructed by him in the Muhammadan religion, afterwards, in the reign of Muzaḍfar Shāh (A.D. 1523 - 1526), was ennobled by the title of Nizām-ul-Mulk. On the capture of Pāvagaḍ in A.D. 1484, Mahmūd Shāh built a wall round the town of Chāmpāner, and made it his capital under the name of Muhammadābād. Under Mahmūd's orders the neigh-

Chapter II.

Ahmedabad
Kings.

A.D. 1403 - 1572.

Mahmūd

(Begada)

1459 - 1513.

Conspiracy,

1480.

War against

Chāmpāner,

1482 - 1484.

Capture of

Pāvagaḍ,

1484.

¹ The *Tahakāt-i-Akhari* has 'To-morrow the sword of adaman shall answer your message.'

² *Farisṭah*, II. 396 - 397.

Chapter II.

Ahmedābād
Kings.

A.D. 1401-1513.

Mahmūd
(Begada).
1459-1513.

bourhood became stocked with mangoes, pomegranates, figs, grapes, sugarcane, plantains, oranges, custard apples, *khirnis* or *ruens* (Mimusops indica or hexandra), jackfruit, and cocopalms, as well as with roses, chrysanthemums, jasmine, *champals*, and sweet pandanus. A satalal grove near Champāner is said to have tall trees large enough to help the Musalman nobles to build their mansions. At the instance of the Sultān a Khurāsāni beautified one of the gardens with fountains and cascades. A Gujarātī named Hālar learning the principle improved on his master's design in a garden about four miles west of Champāner, which in his honour still bears the name Hālor.¹

In Mahmūd's reign an instance is mentioned of the form of compensation known as *collar*. Some merchants bringing horses and other goods for sale from Irāq and Khurāsān were plundered in Sirohi limits. The king caused them to give in writing the price of their horses and stuffs, and paying them from his own treasury recovered the amount from the Rāja of Sirohi.

In A.D. 1494-95 Mahmūd went against Bahādūr Khān Gīlāni, a vassal of the Bahmanis, who from Goa and Dābhōl² had so harassed the Gujarāt harbours that, from the failure of the supply of betelnut, coriander seed had to be eaten with betel leaves. The Bahmani Sultān, fearing the consequences to himself, marched against Bahādūr Khān, and, capturing him alive, struck off his head, and sent it to the Gujarāt monarch, who returned to his own country. In A.D. 1499-1500, hearing that Nāsir-ul-dīn of Malwa had killed his father Ghīas-ud-dīn and seated himself on the throne, the Sultān prepared to advance against him, but was appeased by Nāsir-ul-dīn's humble attitude. The next seven years passed without any warlike expedition. In A.D. 1507, near Daman on his way to Chaul, Mahmūd heard of the victory gained at Chaul over the Portuguese by the Gujarāt squadron under Malik Ayāz Sultāni, in concert with the Turkish fleet.³ In A.D. 1508 Mahmūd succeeded in placing his nephew Mirin Muhammad Adil Khān Fārūki on the throne of Asir-Burhānpur. From 1508 Mahmūd remained at his capital till his death in December A.D. 1513 at the age of sixty-seven years and three months, after a reign of fifty-four years and one month. Mahmūd was buried at Sarkhej,⁴ and received

The Khāndesh
Succession,
1508.¹ Mirāt-i-Sikandarī, 112-114.² Dābhōl (north latitude 17° 34', east longitude 73° 16'), on the north bank of the river Vāhikāli (called Halewacko and Kalewacko by the early navigators. See Badger's Varthema, page 114 note 1) in the British district of Ratnagiri. About this time, according to Athanasios Nikitin (A.D. 1405-1471), Dābhōl was the great meeting place for all nations living along the coast of India and Ethiopia. In A.D. 1501 it was taken by the Portuguese. Between A.D. 1626 and 1630 an English factory was established here, but by the end of the century trade had left Dābhōl and has never returned.³ Chaul, now Kavāṇḍa (north latitude 18° 33', east longitude 72° 50'), from about A.D. 1500 to 1650 a place of much trade.⁴ Mahmūd Begada greatly impressed travellers, whose strange tales of him made the king well-known in Europe. Varthema (1503-1508) thus describes his manner of living:⁵ The king has constantly 20,000 horsemen. In the morning when he rises there come to his palace 50 elephants, on each of which a man sits astride, and the said elephants do reverence to the king, and, except this, they have nothing else to do. When the

the after-death title of Khaddān-i-Halīm or the Meek Lord. Immediately before his death Sultan Mahmūd was informed that Shāh Ismā'īl Safawī of Persia had sent him a friendly embassy headed by Yādgar Beg Kazil-bāsh. As the Kazil-bāshes were known to be Shiāhs the Sultan, who was a staunch Sunni, prayed that he might not be forced to see a Shiāh's face during his last days. His prayer was heard. He died before the Persian embassy entered the city.¹ During the last days of Sultan Mahmūd, Sayad Muhammad of Jaunpur, who claimed to be the Mahdi or Messiah, came from Jaunpur and lodged in Tajkhān Sālār's mosque near the Jamālpur gate of Ahmedābād. His sermons drew crowds, and were so persuasive that he gained a large body of followers, who believed his eloquence to be due to *hif* or inspiration. Mahmūd's ministers persuaded him not to see the Jaunpur preacher.

Chapter II.

Ahmedābād Kings.

A.D. 1403-1513.

Mahmūd
(Begada).
1459-1513.

king eats, fifty or sixty kinds of instruments, drums trumpets flageolles and fife play, and the elephants again do him reverence. As for the king himself, his mustachios under his nose are so long that he ties them over his head as a woman would tie her tresses, and he has a white beard that reaches to his girdle. As to his food, every day he eats poison (Hudhurs' Prince whose 'daily food was asp and basilisk and toad'), not that he kills his stomach with it, but he eats a certain quantity, so that when he wishes to destroy any great person he makes him come before him stripped and naked, and then eats certain fruits which are called *shafale* (*Jaliphul*, nutmeg), like a muscatel nut. He also eats certain leaves called *tamhall* (*yate* or *betel* leaf like the leaves of a sour orange, and with them he eats lime of oyster shells. When he has chewed this well he spurs it out on the person he wishes to kill, and so in the space of half an hour the victim falls to the ground dead. The Sultan has also three or four thousand women, and every night that he sleeps with one, she is found dead in the morning.' Barboza (A.D. 1511) goes further (Stanley's Travels, 37), saying that so weak was the king with poison that if a fly settled on his hand it swelled and immediately fell dead. This was the result of his early training. For, on Varthema's companion asking how it was that the king could eat poison in this manner, certain merchants, who were older than the Sultan, answered that his father had fed him upon poison from his childhood. (Badger's Varthema, 110.) Of the origin of Mahmūd's surname Begada two explanations are given: (1) 'From his mustachios being large and twisted like a bullock's horn, such a bullock being called Begada; (2) that the word comes from the Gujarati *be*, two, and *gad*, a fort, the people giving him this title in honour of his capture of Jānagadh (A.D. 1472) and Chāmpūr (A.D. 1484).' (Biel's History of Gujarat, 202; Mirāt-i-Ahmedi Persian Text, 74.) Varthema's account of the poison-eating is probably an exaggeration of the Sultan's habit of opium-eating to which from his infancy he was addicted. The Mirāt-i-Sikandari (Persian Text, 751) speaks of the great physical power of Mahmūd and of his wonderful appetite. Mahmūd's daily food weighed forty *seer* the *seer* being 15 *bahālie* a little over half a pound. He used to eat about three pounds (5 *seer*) of parched gram to digest. For breakfast, after his morning prayer, Mahmūd used to consume a cupfull of pure Makkah honey with a second cupfull of clarified butter and fifty small phantasies called *neges-bān*. At night they set by his bed two plates of *sanāgh* or minced mutton sausages. In the morning Mahmūd seeing the empty plates used to give thanks: 'Oh Allah,' he said, 'hast thou not given this unworthy slave rule over Gujarat, who could have filled his stomach.' His rille powers were as unusual as his appetite. The only woman who could bear his embraces unharmed was a powerful Abyssinian girl who was his great favourite. Of the wealth and weapons kept in store the Mirāt-i-Sikandari gives the following details regarding the great expedition against Jānagadh (Persian Text, 94): The Sultan ordered his treasurer to send with the army gold-coins worth five *hvers*, 1700 Egyptian Almond Mouriah and Khurdaul words with gold handles weighing 2½ to 3 pounds (4-5 *seer*), 1700 daggers and poignards with gold handles weighing 1 to 1½ pounds (2-3 *seer*), and 2000 Arab and Turki horses with gold-embroidered housings. All this treasure of coin and weapons the Sultan spent in presents to his army (Dittā, 94-95).

¹ Ferishtah, II. 104. The Mirāt-i-Sikandari (Persian Text, 148, 149) calls the Persian ambassador Ibrahim Khan.

Chapter II.

Ahmedābād

Kings.

A.D. 1403-1673.

Mahmūd
Begada.
1459-1513.

Mahmūd Begada's court was adorned by several pious and high-minded nobles. In life they vied with one another in generous acts; and after death, according to the Persian poet Urfi, they left their traces in the characters and carvings of stone walls and marble piles. First among these nobles the Mirat-i-Sikandari (Persian Text, 132, 142) mentions Dāwar-ul-Mulk, whose god-fearing administration made his estates so prosperous that they were coveted by princes of the blood. As Thānādār of Amron in north Kāthiāvāda, he spread the light of Islām from Morvi to Bhūj, and after his death his fame as a spirit-ruling guardian drew hosts of sick and possessed to his shrine near Morvi. The second was Malik Ayāz, governor of Diu, who built the strong fortress afterwards reconstructed by the Portuguese. He also built a tower on an under-water rock, and from the tower drew a massive iron chain across the mouth of the harbour. A substantial bridge over the creek, that runs through the island of Diu, was afterwards destroyed by the Portuguese. The third was Khudāwand Khān Ālīm, the founder of Ālīmpura a suburb to the south of Ahmedābād, adorned with a mosque of sandstone and marble. He introduced the cultivation of melons figs and sugarcane into Gujarat from Bijāpur. The fourth was Imād-ul-Mulk Asas who founded Isanpur, a suburb between Shih Alam's suburb of Islāmpur and Batwa, and planted along the road groves of *khirnis* and mangoes. The fifth was Tājkhān Sālār, so loved of his peers that after his death none of them would accept his title. The sixth was Malik Sārang Kiwām-ul-Mulk, a Rājput by birth, the founder of the suburb of Sārangpur and its mosque to the east of Ahmedābād. The seventh and eighth were the Khurāsāni brothers Aāzam and Moāzzam, who built a cistern, a mosque, and a tomb between Ahmedābād and Sarkhej.

Besides Khalil Khān, who succeeded him, Mahmūd had three sons: Muhammad Kāla, Apā Khān, and Ahmed Khān. Kāla, son of Rānī Rūp Manjhri died during his father's lifetime as did his mother, who was buried in Mānek Chauk in Ahmedābād in the building known as the Rānī's Hazīra. The second son Apā Khān was caught trespassing in a noble's *harim*, and was ordered by the Sultān to be poisoned. The third son was the Ahmed Khān whom Khudāwand Khān sought to raise to the throne during Sultān Mahmūd's lifetime.

Muhammad was succeeded by Khalil Khān, the son of Rānī Hirabāi the daughter of a Rājput chieftain named Nāgā Rāna who lived on the bank of the Mahī. On ascending the throne, at the age of twenty-seven, Khalil adopted the title of Muzaffar Shāh. For some time before his father's death, Prince Khalil Khān had been living at Baroda and shortly after his accession he visited that neighbourhood, and founded a town which he named Daulatabād. In A.D. 1514 Rāv Bhīm, the son of Rāv Bhān of Idar, defeated Ain-ul-Mulk, governor of Pātan, who was coming to Ahmedābād to pay his respects to the king. This officer had turned aside to punish the Rāv for some disturbance he had created, but failing in his purpose, was himself defeated. On the approach of Muzaffar Shāh, Idar was abandoned by the Rāv, who made his peace with difficulty and only by agreeing to pay a heavy tribute. Meanwhile the king marched to Godhra, and so to Māliwa by way of Dohad, whose fort he caused to be repaired, and soon after went on to Dhār.

Muzaffar II.
1513-1526.Expedition
against Idar,
1514.

After a short stay in Málwa, thinking it mean to take advantage of the distracted condition of Mahmúd of Málwa, who was at war with his nobles, Muzaffar returned to Muhammadábád (Chámpánér). At this time Ráimal, nephew of the late Ráv Bhím of Ídar, expelled the Ráv's son Bhármal by the aid of his father-in-law Rána Sága of Chitor, and succeeded to the chieftainship of Ídar. The king was displeased at the interference of the Rána, and directed Nizám Khán, the governor of Ahmednagar, to expel Ráimal and reinstate Bhármal. Nizám Khán took Ídar and gave it to Bhármal. Ráimal betook himself to the hills where Nizám Khán incautiously pursuing and engaging him lost many men. When the rains were over the Sultán visited Ídar. Shortly after, Nizám Khán, the governor of Ahmednagar, fell sick and was called to court. He left Ídar in charge of Zahir-ul-Mulk at the head of a hundred horse. Ráimal made a sudden raid on Ídar and killed Zahir-ul-Mulk and twenty-seven of his men. On hearing of this reverse Sultán Muzaffar ordered Nizám Khán to destroy Bijápur.¹ In A.D. 1517, the nobles of Málwa besought Muzaffar's interference, alleging that the Hindu minister Medáni Rái was planning to depose the Málwa Sultán, Mahmúd Khilji, and usurp the throne. Muzaffar Sháh promised to come to their help, and shortly after Sultán Mahmúd Khilji, escaping from the surveillance of Medáni Rái, himself sought the aid of the Gujaráti monarch. In A.D. 1518 Muzaffar Sháh marched by Godhra into Málwa, and on his arrival at Dhar, that town was evacuated by Medáni Rái. The Gujaráti king next besieged Mándu and Medáni Rái summoned the Chitor Rána to his aid. When the Rána had reached Sárangpur, Muzaffar Sháh detaching a force caused the Rána to retire, while the Gujaráti soldiers exerted themselves so strenuously that they captured Mándu, recovering the girdle which Kutb-ud-dín had lost at the battle of Kapadvanj. This conquest virtually placed Málwa in Muzaffar's power, but he honourably restored the kingdom to Sultán Mahmúd Khilji, and, withdrawing to Gujaráti, proceeded to Muhammadábád. In A.D. 1519, news was received of the defeat and capture of Sultán Mahmúd Khilji by the Rána of Chitor. Muzaffar Sháh sent a force to protect Mándu. But the Rána, who distinguished himself by releasing the Sultán of Málwa and keeping his son in his stead as a hostage, enjoyed continued good fortune. Some time before these events a *bhát* or *hard* in the presence of Nizám Khán, the governor of Ídar, boasted that the Rána of Chitor would never fail to help Rána Ráimal of Ídar. The angry governor said 'Whose dog is Rána Sága to help Ráimal while we are here.' Nizám Khán called a dog Sága, chained him in the fort, and dared the Rána to carry him away. His successes enabled Sága to answer the challenge. In consequence of dissensions at head-quarters Nizám Khán withdrew to Ahmednagar leaving a small garrison in Ídar. When Rána Sága appeared before Ídar the garrison resisted but were slain to a man. The Rána advanced to Ahmednagar and severely defeated Nizám Khán who withdrew to Ahmedábád, while the Rána plundered Vishálnagar.² In A.D. 1521, Malik Ayáz Sultáni, the governor of

Chapter II.

Ahmedábád
Kings.

A.D. 1403-1572.

Muzaffar II.
1513-1520.Disturbances
in Málwa,
1517.Capture of
Mándu,
1518.War with Chitor,
1519.¹ Farishtah, II. 492.² Mirát-i-Sikandari, 165-167; Farishtah, II. 411.

Chapter II.

Ahmedābād
Kings.

A.D. 1403-1526.

Muzaffar II.
1513-1526.The Bān of
Chitor Subhās,
1521.Dies,
1526.Sikandar.
1526.Mahmūd II.
1526.

Sorath, was sent with a large and carefully equipped force to revenge this incroad. Dissensions between Malik Ayāz and the Gujārat nobles prevented this expedition doing more than burn and despoil both Dungarpur and Bānevāda. Muzaffar Shāh, greatly displeased with the result, was preparing to march against Chitor, when he was dissuaded by a submissive embassy from that chief, who sent his son to Ahmedābād with valuable presents for the king. Shortly afterwards, on the death of Malik Ayāz, Muzaffar Shāh confirmed his elder son Malik Is-hāk in his father's rank and possessions. Malik Is-hāk remained in Sorath which was confirmed as his *jāgir*. In the following year the Sultān went about his dominions strengthening his frontier posts, especially the fort of Modāra, which he rebuilt. About A.D. 1524 prince Bahādūr Khān, ostensibly dissatisfied with the smallness of his estates but really to remove himself from the jealousy of his brother Sikandar who being appointed heir-apparent was seeking his life, left Gujārat and withdrew to Hindustān. King Muzaffar, after formally appointing his son Sikandar Khān his heir, died at Ahmedābād in A.D. 1526, after a reign of fourteen years and nine months. Muzaffar was buried in the shrine of Sheikh Ahmed Khattu at Sarkhej near his father's grave. He was the most learned and one of the most pious of the Ahmedābād Sultāns. So extreme an abstainer was he that not only during his whole life did he eschew intoxicating drugs and liquor but he never again rode a favourite horse because the horse was cured by a draught of wine. He was an accomplished musician, a finished horseman, a practised swordsman, and withal so modest and humble in his dress and temper that observing once to a favourite page how simple and yet graceful his own turban was the boy laughed: 'Ay, if the turbans of Mullāhs and Bohoras are graceful, then is your Majesty's.' The Sultān said 'I should have been proud to have my turban likened to a Mullāh's, why compare it with the head-dress of a schismatic Bohora.' Muzaffar was careful never to pain the feelings of those around him. He suspected Kiwām-ul-Mulk who was in charge of his drinking water but contented himself with breathing over the water one of the verses of the Kur'ān which make poison harmless.¹ During his reign cultivation increased so much in Jhalāvāda that it became necessary to reserve certain waste land for pasture. In 1526 the rains held off so long that famine began to rage. The Sultān exclaimed, 'Oh Allah! If thou scourgest the country for the sins of its king take his life and spare thy creatures.' The prayer was heard and the soul of the guardian Sultān passed in a flood of gracious rain.²

After Sikandar Shāh had been in power a few months he was murdered by Imād-ul-Mulk Khush-Kadam, who seated a younger brother of Sikandar's, named Nāsir Khān, on the throne with the title of Mahmūd II. and governed on his behalf. The only event of Sikandar's reign was the destruction of an army sent against his brother

¹ The verse supposed to possess the highest virtue against poison is the last verse of Chap. xvi. of the Kur'ān. . . . 'Serve the Lord of this House who supplieth them with food against hunger and maketh them free from fear.'

² Mirāt-i-Sikandari (Pers. Manuscript), 174, 175, 194.

Latif Khān who was helped by Rāna Bhīm of Munga.¹ The nobles deserted Imād-ul-Mulk's cause, and prince Bahādur Khān, returning to Gujarāt from Hindustān, was joined by many supporters prominent among whom was Taj Khān, proprietor of Dhandhuka. Bahādur marched at once on Chāmpāner, captured and executed Imād-ul-Mulk and poisoning Nāsir Khān ascended the throne in A.D. 1527 with the title of Bahādur Shāh. His brother Latif Khān, aided by Rāja Bhīm of the Kohistan or hill land of Pāl,² now asserted his claim to the throne. He was defeated, and fell wounded into the hands of the Gujarāt army and died of his wounds and was buried at Halol. Rāja Bhīm was slain. As Bhīm's successor Rāisingh plundered Dahad, a large force was sent against him, commanded by Taj Khān, who laid waste Rāisingh's country and dismantled his forts. Soon after Bahādur Shāh visited Camlay, and found that Malik Is-hāk the governor of Sorath had, in the interests of the Portuguese, attempted to seize Din but had been repulsed by the Gujarāt minister Mahmūd Aka. The Sultān entrusted Din to Kiwām-ul-Mulk and Jūnāgad to Mujāhid Khān Bhikan and returned to Ahmedābād. In 1527 he enforced tribute from Idar and the neighbouring country. During one of his numerous expeditions he went to limit in Nāndod and received the homage of the Rāja. As the Portuguese were endeavouring to establish themselves on the coast of Sorath, and, if possible, to obtain Din, the king was constantly at Camlay Din and Gogha to frustrate their attempts, and he now directed the construction of the fortress of Broach. At this time Muhammad Khān, ruler of Asir and Burhānpur, requested Bahādur's aid on behalf of Imād-ul-Mulk, ruler of Berār. Bahādur Shāh started at once and at Nandurhār was joined by Muhammad Khān Asiri, and thence proceeded to Burhānpur, where he was met by Imād Shāh from Gūvalgad. After certain successes he made peace between Burhān Nizām Shāh and Imād Shāh Gāvālī, and returned to Gujarāt. Jām Firāz the ruler of Tātha in Sindh now sought refuge with Bahādur Shāh from the oppression either of the Ghoris or of the

Chapter II.
Ahmedābād
Kings.
A.D. 1513-1572.
Bahādur,
1527-1536.

Portuguese
Intrigues,
1526.

Khāneshah
Affairs,
1529.

¹ Both the Mirāt-i-Sikandari (287) and Farīshah (II, 410) place Munga in Nandagade-Sultānpur. The further reference to Rāna Bhīm of Pāl seems to apply to the same man as the Rāna Bhīm of Munga. Munga may then be Mohungad that is Chota Udepur.

² Mirāt-i-Sikandari Persian Text, 225-226; Farīshah, II, 425-426. The Gujarāt Musalman historians give a somewhat vague application to the word Pāl which means a bank or deep downwards to the plain. In the Mirāt-i-Ahmed (Pātkānpur Edition, page 163) Pālāsh, whose climate is proverbially bad, includes Godhra. All Mohan and Rajpūts that is the rough eastern fringe of the plain land of Gujarat from the Mahi to the Tapi. As the Rāja of Nāndod or Rajpūts was the leading chief south of Idar Colonel Watson took references to the Rāja of Pāl to apply to the Rāja of Rajpūts. An examination of the passages in which the name Pāl occurs seems to show that the hill country to the east rather than to the south of Pāvagad or Chāmpāner is meant. In A.D. 1527 Latif Khān the rival of Bahādur Shāh after joining the Rāja Bhīm in his Kohistan or highlands of Pāl when wounded is taken into Halol. The same passage contains a reference to the Rāja of Nāndod as some one distinct from the Rāja of Pāl. In A.D. 1531 Rāisingh of Pāl tried to rescue Mahmūd Khān on his way from Mandu in Malwa to Chāmpāner. In A.D. 1551 Nāsir Khān fled to Chāmpāner and died in the Pāl hills. These references seem to agree in allotting Pāl to the hills of Baria and of Mohan or Chota Udepur. This identification is in accord with the local use of Pāl. Mr. Pollen, I.C.S., M.D., Political Agent, Rewa Kānta, writes (18th Jan. 1895): 'Baria Kolia and traders all apply the word Pāl to the Baria Pāl which besides Baria takes in Sanjeli and the Narvaṅgar-Solliat uplands in Godhra.'

Chapter II.

Ahmedabad
Kings.

A.D. 1603-1673.

Bahadur
1527-1536.

Mughals and was hospitably received. In A.D. 1528 Bahadur made an expedition into the Dakhan which ended in a battle at Daulatābād. The issue of this battle seems to have been unfavourable as hardly any reference to the campaign remains. Next year (A.D. 1529) at the request of Jūālār or Khizr Khān, son of Imād Shāh Gāvali, who was sent to Gujarāt to solicit Bahadur's help, he again marched for the Dakhan. As he passed through Māler Bihārjī the Rāja of Bāglān gave him his daughter in marriage and in return received the title of Bahr Khān. From Bāglān Bahr Khān was told off to ravage Chaul which by this time had fallen into the hands of the Portuguese. Bahadur himself advanced to Ahmednagar, took the fort and destroyed many of the buildings. Purandhar also was sacked of its stores of gold.¹ From Ahmednagar Bahadur Shāh passed to Burhānpur, and there his general Kaisar Khān gained a victory over the united forces of Nizām Shāh, Malik Berid, and Ain-ul-Mulk. After having the public sermon read in his name both in Ahmednagar and in Burhānpur Bahadur returned to Gujarāt and for some time refrained from interfering in the affairs of the Dakhan.

Turks at Diu,
1526-1530.

Between A.D. 1526 and 1530 certain Turks under one Mūstafa came to Gujarāt, traders according to one account according to another part of a Turkish fleet expected to act against the Portuguese. Diu was assigned them as a place of residence and the command of the island was granted to Malik Tughān, son of Malik Ayāz, the former governor. In A.D. 1530 the king marched to Nāgor, and gave an audience both to Prathirāj Rāja of Dōngarpur and to the ambassadors from Rāma Ratānsī of Chitor. The Rāma's ambassadors complained of encroachments on Chitor by Mahmūd of Mālwa. Mahmūd promised to appear before Bahadur to explain the alleged encroachments. Bahadur waited. At last as Mahmūd failed to attend Bahadur said he would go and meet Mahmūd. He invested Māndu and received with favour certain deserters from Mahmūd's army. The fortress fell and Sultān Mahmūd and his seven sons were captured. The success of the siege was due to Bahadur's personal prowess. He scaled an almost inaccessible height and sweeping down from it with a handful of men took the fort, a feat which for daring and dash is described as unsurpassed in the history of Musalmān Gujarāt.² After passing the rainy season at Māndu Bahadur Shāh went to Burhānpur to visit his nephew Mirān Muhāmmad Shāh. At Burhānpur Bahadur under the influence of the great priest-statesman Shāh Tāhir, was reconciled with Burhān Nizām and gave him the royal canopy he had taken from Mālwa. Bahadur offered Shāh Tāhir the post of minister. Shāh Tāhir declined saying he must make a pilgrimage to Makka. He retired to Ahmednagar and there converted Burhān Nizām Shāh to the Shāhī faith.³ In the same year, hearing that Mānsingji, Rāja of

¹ Purandhar about twenty miles south by east of Poona, one of the greatest of Dakhan hill forts.

² Mirāt-i-Sikandarī, 238, 239; Farishtah, II, 430. According to the Mirāt-i-Sikandarī (239) the Sultan enquired on which side was the loftiest height. They told him that in the direction of Sonagad-Chitauri the hill was extremely high. These details show that the cliff scaled by Bahadur was in the extreme south-west of Māndu where a high nearly isolated point stretches out from the main plateau. For details see Appendix II, Māndu.

³ Mirāt-i-Sikandarī, 241-242; Farishtah, II, 432.

Capture of Māndu,
1530.

Halvad,¹ had killed the commandant of Dasáda Bahádur despatched Khán Khánú'n against him. Viramgám and Mándal were left from the Jhálá chieftains, and ever after formed part of the crown dominions. When Sultán Mahmúd Khilji and his sons were being conveyed to the fortress of Chámpáner. Raisingh, Rája of Pál, endeavoured to rescue them. The attempt failed, and the prisoners were put to death by their guards. In A.D. 1531, on Bahádur's return from Burhánpur to Dhár, hearing that Silohdi the Rájput chief of Ráisin in east Málwa kept in captivity certain Muhammadan women who had belonged to the *harim* of Sultán Násir-ud-dín of Málwa, Bahádur marched against him and forced him to surrender and embrace Islám. The chief secretly sent to the Rána of Chitor for aid and delayed handing over Ráisin. On learning this Bahádur despatched a force to keep Chitor in check and pressed the siege. At his own request, Silohdi was sent to persuade the garrison to surrender. But their reproaches stung him so sharply, that, joining with them, and after burning their women and children, they sallied forth sword in hand and were all slain. Ráisin fell into Bahádur's hands, and this district together with those of Bhílsa and Chanderi were entrusted to the government of Sultán Alam Lodhi. The king now went to Gondwána to hunt elephants, and, after capturing many, employed his army in reducing Gágraun and other minor fortresses.² In A.D. 1532 he advanced against Chitor, but raised the siege on receiving an enormous ransom. Shortly afterwards his troops took the strong fort of Rantanbhúr.³ About this time on receipt of news that the Portuguese were usurping authority the Sultán repaired to Diu. Before he arrived the Portuguese had taken to flight, leaving behind them an enormous gun which the Sultán ordered to be dragged to Chámpáner.

Before A.D. 1532 was over Bahádur Sháh quarrelled with Humáyún, emperor of Delhi. The original ground of quarrel was that Bahádur Sháh had sheltered Sultán Muhammad Zamán Mirza the grandson of a daughter of the emperor Bábar (A.D. 1482-1530). Humáyún's anger was increased by an insolent answer from the Gujarát king. Without considering that he had provoked a powerful enemy, Bahádur Sháh again laid siege to Chitor, and though he heard that Humáyún had arrived at Gwálier, he would not desist from the siege. In March 1535 Chitor fell into the hands of the Gujarát king but near Mandasúr his army was shortly afterwards routed by Humáyún. According to one account, the failure of the Gujarát army was due to Bahádur and his nobles being spell-bound by looking at a heap of salt and some cloth soaked in indigo which were mysteriously left before Bahádur's tent by an unknown elephant. The usual and probably true explanation is that Rámi Khán the Turk, head of the Gujarát artillery, betrayed Bahádur's interest.⁴ Still though Rámi Khán's treachery may have had a share in Bahádur's defeat it seems probable that in valour, discipline, and tactics the Gujarát army was

Chapter II.

Ahmedábád
Kings.

A.D. 1405-1573.

Bahádur.
1527-1530.Quarrel with
Humáyún,
1532.Fall of Chitor,
1535.¹ Halvad is a former capital of the chief of Dhángadhra in Kathiáwád.² Gágraun in Central India about seventy miles north-east of Ujjain.³ Rantanbhúr about seventy-five miles south by east of Jaipur.⁴ Mirat-i-Bikánderi Persian Text, 298, 293; Ferishtah, II. 429.

Chapter II.

Ahmedabad
Kings.

A.D. 1443-1572

Bahadur,
1527-1586.Mughal Conquest
of Gujarat,
1535.Are Driven Out,
1536.The Portuguese
at Diu,
1536.Death of
Bahadur,
1536.Muhammad II.
(Asir),
1536.

inferior to the Mughals. Bahadur Sháh, unaccustomed to defeat, lost heart and fled to Mándu, which fortress was speedily taken by Humáyún. From Mándu the king fled to Chámpáner, and finally took refuge in Diu. Chámpáner fell to Humáyún, and the whole of Gujarát, except Sorath, came under his rule. At this time Sher Sháh Súr revolted, in Bihár and Jaunpur, and Humáyún returned to Agra to oppose him leaving his brother Hindál Mirza in Ahmedsháh, Kásum Beg in Broach, and Yádgár Náeir Mirza in Pátan. As soon as Humáyún departed, the country rose against the Mughals, and his old nobles requested the king to join them. Bahadur joined them, and, defeating the Mughals at Kanij near Mahmúdsáh, expelled them from Gujarát. During Humáyún's time of success Bahadur Sháh, being forced to court the Portuguese, had granted them leave to erect a factory in Diu. Instead of a factory the Portuguese built a fort. When he recovered his kingdom, Bahadur, repenting of his alliance with the Portuguese, went to Sorath to persuade an army of Portuguese, whom he had asked to come to his assistance, to return to Goa. When the Portuguese arrived at Diu five or six thousand strong the Sultán hoping to get rid of them by stratagem, repaired to Diu and endeavoured to get the viceroy into his power. The viceroy excused himself, and in return invited the king to visit his ship. Bahadur agreed, and on his way back was attacked and slain, in the thirty-first year of his life and the eleventh of his reign. According to the author of the *Mirát-i-Sikandari* the reason of Bahadur's assassination was that a paper from him to the kings of the Dakhan, inviting them to join him in an alliance against the Portuguese, had fallen into the hands of the Portuguese viceroy. Whatever may have been the provocation or the intention, the result seems to show that while both sides had treacherous designs neither party was able to carry out his original plan, and the end was unpremeditated, hurried on by mutual suspicions.¹ Up to the defeat of Sultán Bahadur by Humáyún, the power of Gujarát was at its height. Cadets of noble Rájput houses, Prithiraj, the nephew of Rána Sanga of Chitor, and Narsingh Deva the cousin of the Rája of Gwálior, were proud to enrol themselves as the Sultán's vassals. The Rája of Baglana readily gave Bahadur Sháh his daughter. Jám Firáz of Tatta in Sindh and the sons of Bahúl Lodhí were suppliants at his court. Málwa was a dependency of Gujarát and the Nizám Sháh of Ahmednagar and Nasir Khan of Burhánpur acknowledged him as overlord, while the Fárúkís of Khándesh were dependent on Bahadur's constant help.²

On the death of king Bahadur in A.D. 1536, the nobles of Gujarát invited his sister's son Muhammad Sháh Asiri to succeed him. Muhammad Sháh died shortly after his accession, and the nobles conferred the crown on Mahmúd Khán, son of Latíf Khán, brother of Bahadur Sháh, and he ascended the throne in A.D. 1536, when only eleven years of age. The government of the country was carried on by Darya Khán and Imád-ul-Mulk, who kept the king under

¹ A detailed account of the death of Sultán Bahadur is given in the Appendix.
² *Mirát-i-Sikandari* Persian Text, 233. Compare *Farashah*, II, 427.

etriet surveillance. Darya Khán resolved to overthrow Imád-ul-Mulk and acquire supreme power. With this object he obtained an order from the king, whom, on the pretence of a hunting expedition, he removed from Ahmedábád, directing Imád-ul-Mulk to retire to his estates in Jhaláváda. Six months later, taking the Sultan with him, Darya Khán led an army into Jhaláváda, and defeating Imád-ul-Mulk in a battle at Pátri, fifty two miles west of Ahmedábád, pursued him to Burhánpur, and there defeated Imád-ul-Mulk's ally the ruler of Khândesh and forced Imád-ul-Mulk to fly to Máliwa.¹ After this success Darya Khán became absorbed in pleasure, and resigned the management of the kingdom to Alam Khán Lodhi. The king, dissembling his dissatisfaction at the way he was treated, pretended to take no interest in affairs of state. Alam Khán Lodhi, seeing the carelessness of Darya Khán, began to entertain ambitious designs, and retiring to his estate of Dhandhúka invited the king to join him. Mahmúd Sháh, believing him to be in earnest, contrived to escape from surveillance and joined Alam Khán. On discovering the king's flight, Darya Khán raised to the throne a descendant of Ahmed Sháh by the title of Muzaffar Sháh, and striking coin in his name set out with an army towards Dhandhúka. Alam Khán and the king met him at Dhúr in Dholka, and a battle was fought in which Mahmúd and Alam Khán were defeated. The king fled to Ránpur, and thence to Páliád, while Alam Khán fled to Sádra. Darya Khán occupied Dhandhúka; but his men, dissatisfied at being placed in opposition to the king, rapidly deserted, some joining Alam Khán and some Mahmúd Sháh. Soon after the king joined Alam Khán and marched on Ahmedábád, whither Darya Khán had preceded them. The citizens closed the gates against Darya Khán, but he forced an entry by way of the Burhánpur wicket. Hearing of the king's approach Darya Khán fled to Mubárak Sháh at Burhánpur, leaving his family and treasure in the fortress of Chámpáner.

The king entered Ahmedábád, and soon after captured Chámpáner. Alam Khán now obtained the recall of Imád-ul-Mulk, who received a grant of Broach and the port of Surat. Shortly afterwards Mahmúd Sháh began to show favour to men of low degree, especially to one Charji, a birdcatcher, whom he ennobled by the title of Muháfiz Khán. Charji counselled Mahmúd to put to death Sultan Alá-ud-dín Lodhi and Shujáát Khán, two of the principal nobles; and the king, without consulting his ministers, caused these men to be executed. The nobles joining together besieged Mahmúd Sháh in his palace, and demanded that Muháfiz Khán should be surrendered to them, but the king refused to give him up. The nobles then demanded an audience, and this the king granted, Muháfiz Khán, though warned of his danger, being foolishly present. On entering the royal presence Alam Khán signalled to his followers to slay Muháfiz, and he was killed in spite of the king's remonstrances. Mahmúd then attempted to kill himself, but was prevented and placed under guard, and the chief nobles took it in turn to watch him. Strife soon arose between Alam Khán and Mujáhid

Chapter II.

Ahmedábád Kings.

A.D. 1403-1072.

Mahmúd II.
1530-1554.Escapes from
Control.Chooses King
Favourites.¹ Mirát-i-Sikandari, Persian Text, 392.

Chapter II.

Ahmedabad
Kings.

A.D. 1403-1573.

Mahmud II.
1556-1554.Quarrels among
the Nobles.

Khān and his brother, and the two latter nobles contrived the king's escape and sacked the houses of Alam Khān and his followers. Alam Khān escaped to Pethāpur in the Mahi Kāntha. He then joined Darya Khān, whom he called from the Dakhan, and obtained help in money from Imād-ul-Mulk of Surat and from Alp Khān of Dholka. Imād-ul-Mulk wrote to the Sultān asking forgiveness for the rebels. But before the Sultān, who was mercifully disposed, could grant them pardon, Alam Khān and Darya Khān again committed themselves by acts of open revolt. The Sultān displeased with the part Imād-ul-Mulk had taken in the rising summoned him to Champāner where, with the Sultān's connivance, his camp was given over to pillage. The Sultān disclaimed all knowledge of this attack and at Imād-ul-Mulk's request allowed him to go on pilgrimage to Makkah. In A.D. 1545 as he was preparing to start for Makkah Imād-ul-Mulk was killed. He was succeeded in Surat by Khudāwand Khān Rūmi, who had held Surat under him, and who, in spite of Portuguese opposition and intrigue, had five years before completed the building of Surat Castle.¹ Meanwhile Alam Khān and Darya Khān were driven from Gujarāt and forced to take shelter with the sovereign of Dehli. The king now appointed as his own minister Afzal Khān, the minister of the late Bahādur Shāh, and though Afzal Khān lived in retirement, his counsel was taken on measures of importance. Other great nobles were Sayad Mubārak, Fatch Khān Daloch, and Abdul Karīm Khān, who received the title of Itimād Khān, and was so entirely in the Sultān's confidence that he was admitted to the harem. Mahmūd now consulted Asif Khān as to the propriety of conquering Mālwa. Asif Khān advised him rather to deprive the Rājput chiefs and proprietors of their *wintals* or hereditary lands. The attempt to follow this advice stirred to resistance the chief men of Idar, Sirohi, Dūngarpur, Bānsvāda, Lūnd-vāda, Rājpipla, Dohad, and the banks of the Mahi. The king strengthened his line of outposts, establishing one at Sirohi and another at Idar, besides fresh posts in other places. At the same time he began to persecute the Hindus, allowing them to be killed on the slightest pretence, branding Rājputs and Kolis, forcing them to wear a red rag on the right sleeve, forbidding them to ride in Ahmedabad, and punishing the celebration of Holi and Diwālī.² In A.D. 1554 Burhān, a servant of the king's, conceived the idea of killing him and reigning in his stead. He accordingly gave his master an intoxicating drug, and when he was overcome with sleep stabbed him to the heart. Then summoning the principal nobles in the king's name, he put to death Asaf Khān the prime minister and twelve others, and endeavoured to have himself accepted as Sultān. No one aided him; even his

Disturbances,
1545.Death of
Mahmūd,
1554.

¹ A poet of the time, Mulla Muhammad of Astarābād, enshrined the date H. 947 (A.D. 1540) in the words:

SAYD BUWAD KAN NINAH-O-JANAI FIRANAI IN DINAI.
May this fabric prove like a pillar on the breast
and the life of the Frank.

Paristab, II. 447. The letter values that make 947 are: S=60, d=4, t=3, w=6, d=4, b=2, r=200, z=80, y=10, n=50, k=5, w=5, f=3, a=1, a=50, f=80, r=200, n=50, p=20, y=10, a=1, y=10, n=50, t=2, n=50, a=1, y=10. Total 947.

² Mirat-i-Bikandari, Persian Text, 320-27.

accomplices deserted him. Imād-ul-Mulk Rūmī,¹ Ulugh Khān, and others joined to oppose him, and when marching against them he was cut down by Shirwān Khān. Mahmūd's persecutions had raised such bitter hate among the Hindus, that they regarded Burhān as a saviour, and after Burhān's death are said to have made a stone image of him and worshipped it.² Mahmūd moved his capital from Ahmedābād to Mehmudābād, eighteen miles south of Ahmedābād where he built a palace and enclosed a deer park. At each corner of the park he raised a palace the stone walls and ceilings of which were ornamented with beautiful and precious gold traceries and arabesques.³ His strict regard for public morals led him to forbid Muhammadan women visiting saints' tombs as the practice gave rise to irregularities. He died at the age of twenty-eight after a reign of eighteen years.

On the death of Burhān, the nobles elected as sovereign a descendant of the stock of Ahmed Shāh of the name of Ahmed Khān, and proclaimed him king by the title of Ahmed Shāh II. At the same time they agreed that, as the king was young, Itimād Khān should carry on the government and they further divided the country among themselves, each one undertaking to protect the frontiers and preserve the public peace. Mubārak Shāh of Khāndesh, considering this a good opportunity, preferred a claim to the crown and marched to the frontier. An army led by the chief Gujarāt nobles and accompanied by the young king met the invaders at the village of Rānpur Kotriā in Broach, the Gujarāt army encamping on the north bank and the Khāndesh army on the south bank of the Nārhada. Nāsir-ul-Mulk, one of the Gujarāt nobles, taking certain of his friends into his confidence, determined to remain neutral till the battle was over and then to fall on the exhausted troops and possess himself of both kingdoms. Sayad Mubārak, a descendant of the saint Shāhi Alam, who led the van of the Gujarāt army, becoming aware of Nāsir-ul-Mulk's design opened communications with Mubārak Shāh of Khāndesh and induced him to withdraw.⁴ Nāsir-ul-Mulk, who still aspired to supreme power, gaining several nobles to his side near Baroda, surprised and defeated the forces of Itimād Khān and Sayad Mubārak. The Sayad withdrew to his estates of Kapadvanj and he was joined by Itimād Khān, while Nāsir-ul-Mulk, taking Sultān Ahmed with him to Ahmedābād, assumed the entire government of the country. After a short time he assembled an army and marched against Sayad Mubārak and Itimād Khān encamping at Kamand, the village now called Od Kāmed, ten miles north-east of Ahmedābād at the head of 50,000 horse. Itimād feared to attack so

Chapter II. Ahmedābād Kings.

A.D. 1403 - 1373.

Mahmūd II.
1336-1364.

Ahmed II.
1364-1361.
Itimād Khān
Regent.

¹ This Imād-ul-Mulk is different from the Imād-ul-Mulk mentioned above (page 258) as receiving a grant of Broach and Surat. The latter had before this retired to Fārs, and was killed there in A.D. 1345. (Bīrd, 766.) Imād-ul-Mulk II. who attacked Burhān, was originally called Malik Arslān (Bīrd, 272). He is also called the leader of the Turks and Rūmī. This Imād-ul-Mulk Rūmī, who was the father of Changiz Khān, was ultimately killed in A.D. 1360 at Surat by his own son-in-law Khudawand or Khulvār Khān.

² Mirat-i-Sikandari, Persian Text, 326-27.

³ This seems to be the palace referred to in the Tabakāt-i-Akbari (Sir Henry Elliot's History of India, V. 369): After his second settlement of Gujarāt (A.D. 1373, H. 951) Akbar left Ahmedābād for Mehmudābād and resided in the lofty and fine palace of Sultān Mahmūd of Gujarāt.

⁴ Mirat-i-Sikandari, Persian Text, 332.

Chapter II.

Ahmedābād
Kings.

A.D. 1403-1473.

Ahmed II.
1464-1501.Partition of
the Province.

strong a force. But Sayad Mubārak, who knew of the defection of Ulugh Khān and Imād-ul-Mulk, surprised Nāsir-ul-Mulk's army at night. During the confusion Ulugh Khān and Imād-ul-Mulk, disgusted with the assumption of Nāsir-ul-Mulk, deserted him and bringing the young Sultan with them joined Sayad Mubārak and Itimād Khān. Nāsir-ul-Mulk was forced to fly, and after a short time died in the mountains of Pāl.¹ Ikhtiyār-ul-Mulk, Fateh Khān Balūch, and Hasan Khān Dakhani now set up another king, a descendant of Ahmed, named Shāhu. A battle was fought near Mehmūdābād in which Shāhu and his supporters were defeated and Hasan Khān Dakhani was slain. Before the battle Fateh Khān Bahich had been induced to forsake Shāhu, and Ikhtiyār-ul-Mulk, taking Shāhu with him, fled. The nobles now divided Gujarāt into the following shares:

Ahmed Shāh for Private Purse ...	{ Ahmedābād and the Dackrchi sub-division.
Itimād Khān and Party ...	{ Kati, Jhalāvāda, Fālad, Nafād, Bhil, Rāthampur, Sand, Mūnjpur, Godhra, and Sorath.
Sayad Mubārak and Party ...	{ Pātan and Cambay, with its Charol or 84 villages, Dholka, Godhra, and Dhandhāka, Chāmpāner, Samal, Bālasinor, and Kapadvanj.
Imād-ul-Mulk Rūmi and Party ...	{ Broach, Baroda, and Surat as far as the Sultanpur-Nandurthar frontier.
Nobles under Itimād Khān ...	Modra and surrounding districts.

Of these shares Itimād Khān bestowed the country of Sorath on Tātār Khān Ghori; the districts of Rāthampur, Sami, and Mūnjpur on Fateh Khān Baluch; Nafād on Malik-ush-Shark, and some of the dependencies of Jhalāvāda on Alaf Khān Habshi. Sayad Mubārak conferred the territory of Pātan on Mūsa Khān and Sher Khān Fāladī, Imād-ul-Mulk Rūmi bestowed the district of Baroda on Alaf Khān Habshi and the port of Surat on his wife's brother Khudāwand Khān Rāmi.

Disensions.

About this time (A.D. 1552) Alam Khān returned, and, through the influence of Sayad Mubārak, was allowed to remain. The Sayad gave him and Azam Humāyūn Chāmpāner, and Itimād Khān gave Godhra to Alp Khān Khatri, a follower of Alam Khān. Alam Khān and Itimād Khān shortly after expelled Alaf Khān Habshi from Jhalāvāda, and he fled to Imād-ul-Mulk Rūmi at Broach, and at his intercession Alaf Khān received the Bhil district. Alam Khān's success tempted him to try and get rid of Itimād Khān and govern in his stead. Itimād Khān, discovering his intention, made him leave the city and live in his own house in the Asāwal suburb. Alam Khān now made overtures to Imād-ul-Mulk Rūmi and became very friendly with him. One day Alam Khān proposed to get rid of Itimād Khān;

¹ For Pāl compare note 2 page 263.

but seeing that Imád-ul Mulk Rámi did not take to his proposal, he next endeavoured to ruin Sayad Mubárak. But when the Gujarát army marched against him the Sayad made peace, and Alam Khán's intrigues being apparent, he was attacked and compelled to fly. He now went to Berár and sought aid of Mubárak Sháh, who marched an army towards the Gujarát frontier. The Gujarát nobles, taking Ahmed Sháh with them, advanced to oppose him, and he retired. Alam Khán now repaired to Sher Khán Fouládi at Pátan, and they together seized Itimád Khán's district of Kadi, but, through the exertions of Ikhtiyár-ul-Mulk, Alam Khán was slain and Sher Khán forced to retire to Pátan. Imád-ul-Mulk Rámi and Itimád Khán now carried on the government, but dissension springing up between them, Itimád Khán fled to Mubárak Sháh in Khándesh, and induced him to lead an army against Gujarát. The nobles, fearing this combination, made peaceful overtures and it was eventually settled that the lands of Sultánpur and Nandurbár should be given to Mubárak Sháh, and that Itimád Khán should be restored to his former position. Since this date the districts of Sultánpur and Nandurbár have been permanently severed from Gujarát and have formed a part of Khándesh, to which province they now belong. Ahmed Sháh, finding himself more strictly guarded than ever, contrived to flee to Sayad Mubárak at Sayadpur, who, though vexed at his coming, would not refuse him shelter. At this time Háji Khán, a Dehli noble, on his way from Chitor to help Humáyún, passed through Gujarát with a well equipped force, and arrived at Pátan. The Gujarát nobles, especially Itimád Khán and Imád-ul-Mulk Rámi, conceiving that he came at the Sayad's invitation, and that the flight of the king was part of the plot, determined to crush the Sayad ere Háji Khán could join him, and on their march to Sayadpur meeting Sayad Mubárak near Mehmúdsábád defeated him. The Sayad fell and was buried on the field of battle. His estates were resumed, though eventually Dholka was restored to his son Sayad Mirán.

The army and the two protectors returned to Ahmedábád. Dissensions again sprang up between them, and Imád-ul-Mulk Rámi summoned to his aid his son Changíz Khán from Broach, while Itimád Khán sent for Tátár Khán Ghorí from Sorath. Tátár Khán arrived first and Itimád Khán further strengthened by contingents from the Fouládis of Pátan and Fateh Khán Balúch from Rádhampur ordered Imád-ul-Mulk Rámi to return to his estate; and he, seeing it would be useless for him to contend against so overwhelming a force, retired to his possessions at Broach. Shortly after, having marched against Surat at the request of the inhabitants who were wearied of the tyranny of Khudáwánd Khán, he was decoyed by that chief to an entertainment and was there assassinated. His son Changíz Khán marched against Surat to take vengeance for his father's death, and, finding the fortress too strong for him, summoned to his aid the Portuguese, to whom, as the price of their assistance, he surrendered the districts of Daman and Sanján.¹ The Portuguese, bringing a strong

Chapter II.

Ahmedábád
Kings.

A.D. 1403-1572.

Ahmed II.
1564-1561.Sultánpur and
Nandurbár
banded to
Khándesh,
1500.Defeat and
Death of Sayad
Mubárak.Death of Imád-
ul-Mulk Rámi.Daman District
ceded to the
Portuguese,
1560.

¹ The fort of Daman was taken by the Portuguese in A.D. 1530, and, according to Portuguese accounts (Faria y Sousa in Kerr's Voyages, VI. 413) the country round was

Chapter II.

Ahmedābād
Kings.

A.D. 1408-1573.

Ahmed II.
1554-1561.Assassinated,
1560.Muzaffar III.
1561-1572.
A Minor.

fleet up the Tāpti, cut off the supplies, and Khudāwād Khān was forced to surrender, and was slain by Changiz Khān in revenge for his father's death. Shortly afterwards Changiz Khān quarrelled with Jhujhār Khān Habshi of Baroda because the Habshi had installed his nephew, son of Alif Khān Habshi, without consulting Changiz. Jhujhār and his nephew being defeated fled to Itimād Khān, who allotted them a grant of land. At this time Fateh Khān Balūch, the proprietor of Rādhanpur and Sami, was Itimād Khān's chief supporter, and with his assistance Itimād Khān marched to besiege Changiz Khān in Broach. Tātār Khān Ghori and other nobles, fearing lest Itimād Khān should become too powerful, endeavoured to make peace. As their efforts failed, Tātār Khān wrote to the Faulādis to attack Fateh Khān Balūch. They did so, and Fateh Khān, after being defeated near Rādhanpur, took refuge in the fort of Fatehkot or Dhūlkot, which is close to the town. Itimād Khān raised the siege of Broach and came to Ahmedābād, where he busied himself in checking the intrigues of king Ahmed, who was doing all in his power to become independent. Finally, in A.D. 1560-61, at the instigation of Wajih-ul-Mulk and Razi-ul-Mulk Itimād Khān caused Ahmed II. to be assassinated. The murder took place in the house of Wajih-ul-Mulk. The Sultān's body was thrown on the sands of the Sāharnati and the story circulated that the Sultān had been killed by robbers. Ahmed's nominal reign had lasted about eight years.

Itimād Khān then raised to the throne a youth, whom he styled Muzaffar Shāh III., and who, he asserted, was a posthumous son of Mahmūd Shāh,¹ and then marched towards Pātan to take his revenge on the Faulādis for their attack on Fateh Khān Balūch. The nobles unwilling to crush the Faulādis, fearing lest their turn might come next, entered into secret correspondence with them, and withdrew when battle was joined. The nobles were now independent in their respective *jigirs*, in which according to the *Tahkikat-i-Akhbari* they allowed no interference though still owning nominal allegiance to the throne.² Itimād Khān, forced to return unsuccessful to Ahmedābād, with a view of again attacking the Faulādis, summoned Tātār Khān Ghori from Jūnāgadh. The nobles remained aloof, and even Tātār

annexed by them in 1558. According to a statement in Bird's History, 128, the districts surrendered by Changiz Khān contained 700 towns (villages) yielding a yearly revenue of £130,000 (Rs. 43,00,000). Surjan, since known as St. John's Head (north latitude 20° 13'; east longitude 72° 47'), between Daman and Bassin, seems to be one of the two Sindhās, the other being in Kachh, mentioned by the ninth to twelfth century Arab geographers. According to Jābirī (Jaubert's Edition, 172) the mainland Sindhā was a great town with a large import and export trade and well peopled with rich warlike and industrious inhabitants. Jābirī's Elliot, I. 55) notice of an island of the same name to the east is perhaps a confused reference to the Kachh Sindhā which is generally supposed to be the Hindān of the Arab geographers. In A.D. 842, Sindhān then a city of some size, is mentioned by Al-Bilāduri (Reisner's Fragments, 216-317) as having been taken by a Musalmān slave Fahl son of Māhan. This Fahl is related to have sent an elephant from Sindhān to the Khalifah Al-Muwāḥid the Abbāsī (A.D. 813-833) and to have built an Assembly Mosque at Sindhān. (Al-Bilāduri in Elliot, I. 120.)

¹ According to Abul Fazl (Akbarnāma, III. 404; Elliot, V. 750) Muzaffar was a base-born boy of the name of Nathu.

² Tahkikat-i-Akhbari in Elliot's India, V. 339 note 2.

Khán Ghori made excuses, which so exasperated Itimád Khán that he sought to slay him. Tátár Khán escaped to Sorath, and there openly sided with the Pauládis. Sayad Mirán also left Ahmedábád for his estate at Dholka, and joining Tátár Khán at Ránpur they both went over to the Pauládis at Pátan. Meanwhile Itimád Khán, again collecting an army, marched once more towards Pátan. He was met by the Pauládis near the village of Jhotáná, about thirty miles south of Pátan, where he was defeated and compelled to return to Ahmedábád. Sayad Mirán now intervened and made peace. Itimád Khán still thirsting for revenge on the Pauládis, invited Changíz Khán, son of Imád-ul-Mulk Rúmí, to the capital, and by courteous treatment induced him to join in another expedition against the Pauládis. Like the other nobles Changíz Khán was lukewarm; and as Músa Khán Pauládi died while Itimád Khán was marching on Pátan, Changíz Khán assigned this as a reason for not proceeding further, averring that it was not fit to war with people in misfortune. Itimád Khán perforce returned to Ahmedábád.

Though Itimád Khán had disgusted the nobles, both by causing the assassination of Ahmed Sháh and by his enmity with the Pauládis, as he had charge of Muzaffar Sháh and possession of the capital, the government of the country was in his hands. At this time the Mirás,¹ who were the sons of Sultán Husáin of Khurásán, quarrelling with Jalál-ul-dín Muhammad Akbar, entered Gujarát, and joined Changíz Khán. Changíz Khán now proposed to Sher Khán Pauládi that they should expel Itimád Khán and divide Gujarát between them, the capital and the country south of the Sábarmati falling to the share of Changíz Khán, and that to the north to Sher Khán Pauládi. Sher Khán agreed, and Changíz Khán joining him they marched on Ahmedábád. Sayad Mirán induced Sher Khán to stay in Kadi. But Changíz Khán refused to listen to him, and a battle was fought between him, Itimád Khán, and the Sayad on the right bank of the Khari about eight miles south of Ahmedábád. Itimád Khán was defeated and fled with the king to Modása, while Changíz Khán took possession of the capital. Sher Khán Pauládi now advanced to the Sábarmati, and, after dividing the province as had been agreed, Sher Khán retired to Kadi. Itimád Khán entreated Mirán Muhammad Sháh, king of Khándesh, to march to his aid, and Changíz Khán invited Itimád Khán to return. He came to Mehmudábád, where hearing that Muhammad Sháh had sustained a defeat and retired to his own country, he took Muzaffar Sháh with him and returned through Modása to Dungarpur. Changíz Khán remained in Ahmedábád, and Sher Khán withdrew to Kadi. After this success all the chief nobles of Gujarát, including the Habshis, joined Changíz Khán, who was now at the zenith of his power, and began to think of subduing Sher Khán Pauládi,

Chapter II.

Ahmedábád
Kings.

A.D. 1403-1573.

Muzaffar III.
1561-1572.Itimád Khán and
the Pauládis.The Mirás,
1571.They Defect
Itimád Khán.

¹ These Mirás were the great grandsons of a Muhammad Sultan Mirza, the ruler of Khurásán, who, on being driven out of his dominions, sought refuge in India. This prince and his family on the ground of their common descent from Taimur, were entertained first by Bábür (A.D. 1526-1531), and afterwards by Humáyún (A.D. 1531-1556). Before this quarrel Akbar had treated the Mirás with great honour. Elliot's History, VI. 122.

Chapter II.
Ahmedābād
Kings,

A.D. 1408-1572.

Muzaffar III.
1561-1572.

Death
of Changiz
Khān.

who on his part was anxious and fearful. At this time Bijli Khān a Habshi eunuch who was offended with Changiz Khān, because he had resumed the grant of Cambay, persuaded Alif Khān and Jhujhār Khān Habshi that Changiz Khān had determined to kill them. The Habshi Khāns, resolving to be beforehand, invited Changiz Khān, with whom they were intimate, to play a game of *changiz* or polo.¹ Changiz agreed and when near the Farhat-ul-Mulk mosque, between the Bhadar and the Three Gates, Alif Khān, after making Jhujhār Khān a signal, attracted Changiz Khān's notice to the horse on which he was riding saying it was the best of the last batch imported from the Persian Gulf. As Changiz Khān turned to look at the horse, Jhujhār Khān cut him down. The Habshis now plundered Changiz Khān's house, while the Mirzās, mounting, went south and took possession of Broach, Baroda, and Chāmpāner. Sher Khān advanced from Kadi, and ordered the Habshis to hand him over Ahmedābād. While treating with him the Habshis secretly summoned Itimād Khān, who, returning with Muzaffar Shāh, entered the city. It was arranged that Itimād Khān should take the place of Changiz Khān, and that the division of Gujarāt between Changiz Khān and Sher Khān should be maintained. Itimād Khān found the Habshis so domineering that he withdrew from public affairs. Afterwards Alaf Khān and Jhujhār Khān, quarrelling over the division of Changiz Khān's property, Alaf Khān left Ahmedābād and joined Sher Khān, who, advancing from Kadi, laid siege to Ahmedābād. Itimād Khān now sought aid from the Mirzās, and Mirza Hashim Husain marched from Broach and harassed Sher Khān's army with his Maghal archers.

Itimād Khān
and the
Emperor Akbar,
1572.

At the same time Itimād Khān turned for help to the emperor Akbar, who, glad of any pretext for driving the Mirzās from their place of refuge in Gujarāt, was not slow in availing himself of Itimād Khān's proposal. Early in July 1572 he started for Ahmedābād, and with his arrival in the province, the history of Gujarāt as a separate kingdom comes to an end.

¹ The modern game of polo. Lane in his translation of the *Thousand and One Nights* (I. 76, 1883 Edition) calls it the gold-stick, but the nature of the game described there does not in any way differ from polo. *Changiz* is the Persian and *As-sijjin-wal-jurūā* the Arabic name for the game.

CHAPTER III.

MUGHAL VICEROYS.

A.D. 1573-1758.

To the nobles thus fighting among themselves, news was brought that the emperor Akbar was at Dísá. Ibráhim Husáin Mírza returned to Broach and the army of the Fauládís dispersed. From Dísá the imperial troops advanced to Pátan and thence to Jhotána thirty miles south of Pátan. Sultán Muzaffar, who had separated from the Fauládís, fell into the hands of the emperor, who granted him his life but placed him under charge of one of his nobles named Karám Ali.¹ When the imperial army reached Kadi, Ítimád Khán, Ikhtiyár Khán, Alaf Khán, and Jhujhár Khán met Akbar and Sayad Hámíd also was honoured with an audience at Hajipur.² The emperor imprisoned Alaf Khán and Jhujhár Khán Habshí and encouraged the other Gujarát nobles. Ikhtiyár-ul-Mulk now fled to Lámáráda, and the emperor, fearing that others of the Gujarát nobles might follow his example, sent Ítimád Khán to Cambay and placed him under the charge of Sháhíbaz Khán Kambo.³ From Ahmedábád Akbar advanced to Cambay. At this time Ibráhim Mírza held Baroda, Muhammad Husáin Mírza held Surat, and Sháh Mírza held Chámpáner. On leaving Cambay to expel the Mírzas, Akbar appointed Mírza Azíz Kokaltash his first viceroy of Gujarát. At Baroda Akbar heard that Ibráhim Mírza had treacherously killed Rustam Khán Rúmi, who was Changíz Khán's governor of Broach. The emperor recalled the detachment he had sent against Surat, and overtaking the Mírza at Sarnál or Thásera on the right bank of the Mahi about twenty-three miles north-east of Nadiad, after a bloody conflict routed him. The Mírza fled by Ahmednagar to Sirohi, and Akbar rejoined his camp at Baroda. The emperor now sent a force under Sháh Kuli Khán to invest the fort of Surat, and following in person pitched his camp at Gopi Tálao, a suburb of that city. After an obstinate defence of one month and seventeen days, the garrison under Hamzabán, a slave of Humáyún's who had joined the Mírzas, surrendered. Hamzabán was in treaty with the Portuguese. Under his invitation a large party of Portuguese came to

Chapter III.

Mughal Viceroys.

Akbar
Emperor,
1573-1605.

¹ The emperor Akbar took Muzaffar Sháh with him to Agra, and settled on him the districts of Sarangpur and Ujjain in Malwa with a revenue of Rs. 29,00,000 (50 *lakh* of *rupees*) (Elliot, V. 323). When Mun'im Khán Khán Khánu was going to Bengal, the emperor made Muzaffar over to him. Mun'im Khán gave his daughter Sháhízádeh Khánum in marriage to Muzaffar, but shortly afterwards having reason to suspect him imprisoned him, whence Muzaffar finding an opportunity fled to Gujarát in A.D. 1581 (H. 959) according to Farishtah (II. 149), 1583 according to the Mirát-i-Sikandari.

² Both the Tabakát-i-Akbari (Elliot, V. 312) and Farishtah (I. 401) name four or five nobles Mir Abu Tahir, Sayad Ahmad Binkhari, Malik Ashraf, and Wajih-ul-Mulk. The Sayad Ahmad of these two writers is a misprint for the Sayad Hamid of the text.

³ Mirát-i-Sikandari, 415; Tabakát-i-Akbari in Elliot, V. 343.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroy.

Akbar
Emperor,
1573-1605.
Akbar captures
Broach and
Surat, and
advances to
Ahmedabad,
1573.

Surat during the siege, but seeing the strength of the imperial army, represented themselves as ambassadors and besought the honour of an interview.¹ While at Surat the emperor received from Bihār or Vihārjī the Rāja of Baglāna, Sharfuddin Husain Mirza whom the Rāja had captured.² After the capture of Surat, the emperor ordered the great Sulaimāni cannon which had been brought by the Turks with the view of destroying the Portuguese forts and left by them in Surat, to be taken to Agra. Surat was placed in the charge of Kalij Khān. The emperor now advanced to Ahmedābād, where the mother of Changiz Khān came and demanded justice on Jhujhār Khān for having wantonly slain her son. As her complaint was just, the emperor ordered Jhujhār Khān to be thrown under the feet of an elephant. Muhammad Khān, son of Sher Khān Paulādi, who had fled to the Idār hills, now returned and took the city of Pātan, besieging the imperial governor, Sayad Ahmed Khān Bārha, in the citadel. At this time Mirza Muhammad Hussain was at Rānpūr near Dhaudhūka. When Sher Khān Paulādi, who had taken refuge in Sorath, heard of Muhammad Khān's return to Pātan, he met Mirza Muhammad Hussain, and uniting their forces they joined Muhammad Khān at Pātan. The viceroy Mirza Aziz Kokaltash with other nobles marched against them, and after a hard-fought battle, in which several of the imperial nobles were slain, Mirza Aziz Kokaltash was victorious. Sher Khān again took refuge in Sorath, and his son fled for safety to the Idār hills, while the Mirza withdrew to the Khāndesh frontier. As the conquest of Gujarāt was completed, Akbar returned to Agra.

From A.D. 1573, the date of its annexation as a province of the empire, to A.D. 1738, the year of the final capture of Ahmedābād by the Marāthās, Gujarāt remained under the government of officers appointed by the court of Delhi. Like the rule of the Ahmedābād kings, this term of 164 years falls into two periods: the first of 134 years from A.D. 1573 to the death of Aurangzib in A.D. 1707, a time on the whole of public order and strong government; the second from A.D. 1707 to A.D. 1738, fifty-one years of declining power and growing disorder.

SECTION I.—A.D. 1573-1707.

Mirza Aziz
First Viceroy,
1573-1575.

Before leaving Gujarāt Akbar placed the charge of the province in the hands of Mirza Aziz Kokaltash.³ At the same time the emperor rewarded his supporters by grants of land, assigning Ahmedābād with Pitlād and several other districts to the viceroy Mirza Aziz, Pātan to the Khān-i-Kalān Mir Muhammad Khān, and Baroda to Nawāb Anrang Khān. Broach was given to Kutb-ud-din Muhammad, and Dholka Khānpur and Sami were confirmed to Sayad Hāmūd and Sayad Mahmūd Bukhāri. As soon as the emperor was gone Ikhtiyār-al-

¹ These details of the Surat expedition are taken from the *Tahkik-i-Akbari* in Elliot, V. 343-346 and Abū'l-Faḍl's *Akbar-namah* in Elliot, VI. 42.

² The emperor Jehāngir in his *Diary* (*Tuzuk-i-Jehāngiri*, Persian Text, Sir Sayad Ahmed's Edition, page 196) says that Bihārjī or Vihārjī was the hereditary title of the chiefs of Baglān. The personal name of the Baglān Bihārjī of his time was Partāp.

³ According to the *Ala-i-Akbari* (Blochmann, I. 325) the province of Gujarāt over which the Kokaltash was placed did not pass further south than the river Mahi.

Mulk and Muhammad Khán, son of Sher Khán, who had taken shelter in the Idar hills, issued forth, and the viceroy marched to Ahmednagar to hold them in check. Mirza Muhammad Husain advancing rapidly from the Nandurbar frontier, took the fort of Broach, and went thence to Cambay which he found abandoned by its governor Husain Khán Karkarāh, while he himself marched to Ahmednagar and Idar against Ikhtiyār-ul-Mulk. The viceroy ordered Sayad Hámíd Bukhári, Nawab Naurang Khán, and others to join Kutli-ud-din Muhammad Khán. They went and laid siege to Cambay, but Mirza Muhammad managed to evacuate the town and join Ikhtiyār-ul-Mulk and Muhammad Khán. After several unsuccessful attempts to scatter the enemy the viceroy retired to Ahmedābād, and the rebels laid siege to the city. Kutb-ud-din Khán, Sayad Mirán, and others of the imperial party succeeded in entering the city and joining the garrison. After the siege had lasted two months, Akbar, making his famous 600 mile (400 *kus*) march in nine days from Agra, arrived before Ahmedābād, and, at once engaging the enemy, totally defeated them with the loss of two of their leaders Mirza Muhammad Husain and Ikhtiyār-ul-Mulk.

On the day before the battle Akbar consulting a Hazára Afghán versed in drawing omens from sheep's shoulder-blades, was told that victory was certain, but that it would be won at the cost of the life of one of his nobles. Seif Khán, brother of Zein Khán Koka, coming in prayed that he should be chosen to receive the crown of martyrdom. At the end of the day the only leading noble that was killed was Seif Khán.

After only eleven days' stay, Akbar again entrusting the government of Gujarát to Mirza Áziz Koka, returned to Agra. Mirza Áziz Koka did not long continue viceroy. In A.D. 1575, in consequence of some dispute with the emperor, he retired into private life. On his resignation Akbar conferred the post of viceroy on Mirza Khán, son of Behrám Khán, who afterwards rose to the high rank of Khán Khánán or chief of the nobles. As this was Mirza Khán's first service, and as he was still a youth, he was ordered to follow the advice of the deputy viceroy, Wazír Khán, in whose hands the administration of the province remained during the two following years. Soon after the insurrection of 1573 was suppressed the emperor sent Raja Todar Mal to make a survey settlement of the province. In A.D. 1575 after the survey was completed Wajih-ul-Mulk Gujaráti was appointed *diwan* or minister. Some historians say that in A.D. 1576 Wazír Khán relieved Mirza Áziz Koka as viceroy, but according to the Mirát-i-

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Akbar,
Emperor,
1573-1605.
Mirza Áziz
First Viceroy,
1573-1575.

Insurrection
Quelled by
Akbar,
1573.

Mirza KHÁN
Second Viceroy,
1575-1577.

Survey by Raja
Todar Mal.

¹ Tuzki Jahāngiri or Jahāngir's Memoirs, Pers. Text, Sayad Ahmad Khán's Edition page 10. For Akbar's march compare Tabakát-i-Akbari in Elliot, V. 365 and Bishnám's Ain-i-Akbari, I. 325 and note. The Mirát-i-Ahmadi (Pers. Text, 131) records these further details: When starting from his last camp Akbar began to mount his horse on the day of the battle that took place near Ahmedābād. The royal steed unable to bear the weight of the hero laden with the spirit of victory sat down. Raja Bhagwandás Bachwālah ran up to the rather embarrassed emperor and offered him his congratulations saying: This, your Majesty, is the surest sign of victory. There are also two further signs: the wind blows from our back and the kites and vultures accompany our host.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Akbar
Emperor,
1573-1605.
Mirza Khus
Second Viceroy,
1575-1577.

Ahmed Mirza Khān held office with Wazīr Khān as his deputy. One Prāgīās, a Hindu, succeeded Wajih-ul-Mulk as *diwan*. Troops were sent to reduce the Nāndod and Idar districts, and the fort of Sirohi was captured by Tarsu Khān, the military governor of Pātan. Afterwards, through the intervention of Pahār Khān Jālori, the Sirohi Rāja, at an interview with Rāja Todar Mal, presented £3000 (Rs. 12,000) and other articles and was allowed to serve the provincial governor of Gujarāt with 1500 horse.

During Wazīr Khān's administration Muzaffar Husain Mirza, son of Ibrahim Husain Mirza, raised an insurrection in Gujarāt. This Mirza Muzaffar was as an infant carried to the Dakhan from Surat shortly before its investment by Akbar. He lived peacefully till under the influence of an ambitious retainer Mihr Ali by name, he gathered an army of adventurers and entered Nandurbār. Wazīr Khān distrusting his troops shut himself in a fortress, and wrote to Rāja Todar Mal, who was in Pātan settling revenue affairs. The Mirza defeated the imperial forces in Nandurbār and failing to get possession of Cambay marched straight to Ahmedābād. On the advance of Rāja Todar Mal the Mirza fell back on Dholka. The Rāja and the Khān pursuing defeated him, and he retired to Jūnāgadh. The Rāja then withdrew, but the Mirza again advanced and besieged him in Ahmedābād. In an attempt to escalade the city wall Mihr Ali was killed. Muzaffar Mirza withdrew to Khāndesh and the insurrection came to an end.

Shahāb-ud-dīn
Third Viceroy,
1577-1583.

Sends a Force
against
Jūnāgadh.

In the end of A.D. 1577, as Wazīr Khān's management was not successful, the post of viceroy was conferred upon Shahāb-ud-dīn Ahmed Khān, the governor of Mālwa. Shahāb-ud-dīn's first step was to create new military posts and strengthen the old ones. At this time Fateh Khān Shirwāni, the commander of Amin Khān Ghori's army, quarrelled with his chief, and, coming to Shahāb-ud-dīn, offered to capture the fort of Jūnāgadh. Shahāb-ud-dīn entertained his proposal, and sent his nephew Mirza Khān and 4000 horse with him. When the troops crossed the Sorath frontier, they were met by envoys from Amin Khān, agreeing, in his name, to pay tribute and surrender the country, provided he were permitted to retain the fortress of Jūnāgadh and were allotted a sufficient grant of land. Mirza Khān rejected these proposals and continued his march against Jūnāgadh. Amin Khān made a vigorous resistance and applied for aid to the Jām of Navāgar. At this juncture Fateh Khān died, and Mirza Khān went and besieged Māngrul. The Jām's minister Isā now joined Amin Khān with 4000 horse, and he, quitting Jūnāgadh, marched to Māngrul.¹ On their approach Mirza Khān retired to the town of

¹ Talakat-i-Akbari in Elliot, V. 403.

² Māngrul (north latitude 21° 8' ; east longitude 70° 10'), a seaport on the south coast of Kathiāwāda, about twenty miles west of Somnath. This town, which is supposed to be the *Monoglossum* superiorem of Ptolemy (A.D. 150) (see Biol. 116), is spelt Manglūr by the Muhammadan historians. Barbosa (A.D. 1511-1514), under the name of Surat-mangalar, calls it a 'very good port where many ships from Malabar touch for horses, wheat, rice, cotton goods, and vegetables.' In A.D. 1531 the city was taken by the Portuguese general Sylveira with a vast booty and a great number of prisoners (Churchill's Travels, III. 529). It is incidentally mentioned in the Ain-i-Akbari (A.D. 1600). In A.D. 1638 Mandelala describes it as famous for its linen cloth, and in A.D. 1700 it is mentioned by Hamilton (New Account, I. 136) as a place of trade.

Kodinar¹ followed by Amin Khān. Here a pitched battle was fought, and Mirza Khān was defeated with the loss of his baggage. Many of his men were slain, and he himself, being wounded, escaped with difficulty to Ahmedabad. Shahab-ud-din, who had meanwhile been giving his attention to revenue matters, and to the more correct measurement of the lands of the province, was rudely recalled from these peaceful occupations by his nephew's defeat. At the same time news was brought of the escape of the former king, Muzaffar Khān, who, eluding the vigilance of the imperial servants, appeared in Gujarāt in A.D. 1583. Muzaffar remained for some time in the Rājpipla country, and thence came to one Lōna or Lōmbha Kāthi, at the village of Khīri in the district of Sardhār in Sorath.

Before he could march against Muzaffar, Shahab-ud-din was recalled, and in A.D. 1583 or 1584² Itimād Khān Gujarāti was appointed viceroy. At this time a party of 700 or 800 Mughals, called Wazīr Khānis, separating from Shahab-ud-din, remained behind in hope of being entertained by the new viceroy. As Itimād Khān declared that he was unable to take them into his service, they went off in a body and joined Muzaffar at Khīri, and he with them and three or four thousand Kāthi horse marched at once on Ahmedabad. On hearing this Itimād Khān, leaving his son Sher Khān in Ahmedabad, followed Shahab-ud-din to Kadi, and entreated him to return. Shahab-ud-din at first affected indifference telling Itimād that as he had given over charge he had no more interest in the province. After two days he consented to return if Itimād stated in writing that the country was on the verge of being lost and that Itimād being unable to hold it was obliged to relinquish charge to Shahab-ud-din. Itimād Khān made the required statement and Shahab-ud-din returned with him.³ Meanwhile Muzaffar Shāh reached Ahmedabad, which was weakly defended, and in A.D. 1583, after a brief struggle, took possession of the city. While the siege of Ahmedabad was in progress Shahab-ud-din and Itimād Khān were returning, and were within a few miles of the city, when news of its capture reached them. They continued their advance, but had barely arrived at Ahmedabad when Muzaffar Shāh totally defeated them taking all their baggage. Seeing the issue of the fight, most of their army went over to Muzaffar Shāh, and the viceroy and Shahab-ud-din with a few men fled to Pātan. Kutb-ud-din Muhammad Khān Atkah, one of the imperial commanders, who was on the Khāndesh frontier, now advanced by forced marches to Baroda. Muzaffar marched against him with a large army, recently strengthened by the union of the army of Sayad Daulat ruler of Cambay. Kutb-ud-din threw himself into Baroda, and, in spite of the treachery of his troops, defended the city for some time. At last, on Muzaffar's assurances that his life should be spared Kutb-ud-din repaired to the enemies' camp to treat for peace. On his arrival he was treated with respect, but next day was treacherously put to death. The fort of Broach was also at this

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroy.

Akbar
Emperor,
1573-1605.
SHAHAB-UD-DIN
Third Viceroy,
1577-1583.

ITIMAD KHAN
GUJARATI
Fourth Viceroy,
1583-4.

Muzaffar captures
Ahmedabad,
1583.

¹ This has been rendered by Bird, 365, 'the mountain of Dinar,' as if Koh Dīnār.

² H. 902 (1584 A.D.) according to the *Tahsil-i-Akhari* (Elliot, V. 425).

³ *Mirāt-i-Sikandari*, 422. Compare Biechman's *Ain-i-Akhari*, I. 386.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Akbar
Emperor,
1573-1605.
MIRZA ABDUR-
RAHIM KHÂN
(KHÂN KHÂNÂN)
Fifth Viceroy
1588-1597.
Defeat
of Muzaffar,
1581.

time traitorously surrendered to Muzaffar by the slaves of the mother of Naurang Khân, fief-holder of the district.

On learning of the Gujarât insurrection the emperor, at the close of A.D. 1583, conferred the government of the province on Mirza Abdur-Rahim Khân, son of Belirâm Khân, who had formerly (A.D. 1575) acted as viceroy. Muzaffar, who was still at Broach, hearing of the advance of the new viceroy with a large army, returned rapidly to Ahmedâbâd, and in A.D. 1584 fought a pitched battle with Mirza Abdur-Rahim Khân between Sirkhej and Shâh Bhikan's tank¹. In this engagement Muzaffar was entirely defeated, and fled to Cambay pursued by Mirza Abdur-Rahim Khân. Muzaffar now hearing that Mirza Abdur-Rahim Khân had been joined by Naurang Khân and other nobles with the imperial army from Mâlwa, quitted Cambay, and made for his old place of shelter in Râjpipla. Finding no rest in Râjpipla, after fighting and losing another battle in the Râjpipla hills, he fled first to Pâton and then to Idâr, and afterwards again repaired to Lûmbha Kâthi in Khiri. In reward for these two victories, the emperor bestowed on Mirza Abdur-Rahim Khân the title of Khân Khânân. Broach now submitted, and Muzaffar sought shelter with Amîn Khân Ghorî at Jûnâgadh, by whom he was allotted the waste town of Gondal as a residence. Muzaffar made one more attempt to establish his power. He advanced to Morvi, and thence made a raid on Râdhapûr and plundered that town, but was soon compelled to return to Kâthiâvâda and seek safety in flight. Amîn Khân, seeing that his cause was hopeless, on pretence of aiding him, induced Muzaf-

¹ Mirât-i-Fikandari, 426; Farishtah, I. 503; Elliot, V. 434. In honour of this victory the Khân Khânân built, on the site of the battle, a palace and garden enclosing all with a high wall. This which he named Jîpur the City of Victory was one of the chief ornaments of Ahmedâbâd. In November 1613 the English merchant Wittington writes (Kerr's Voyages, IX. 127): A house from Sirkhej is a pleasant house with a large garden all round on the banks of the river which Chou-Chin-Naw (Khân Khânân) built in honour of a great victory over the last king of Gujarât. No person inhabits the house. Two years later (1615) another English merchant Dolworth (Kerr, IX. 203) describes the field of Victory as strongly walled all round with brick about 1½ miles in circuit all planted with fruit trees and delightfully watered having a costly house called by a name signifying Victory in which Khân Khânân for some time resided. In 1619, the emperor Jehângîr (Memoirs Persian Text, 210-213) on his way to Sirkhej visited the Khân-i-Khânân's Bagh-i-Fateh or Garden of Victory which he had built at a cost of two lakhs of rupees ornamenting the garden with buildings and surrounding it with a wall. The natives he notices call it Fateh-Wâdi. In 1626 the English traveller Herbert (Travels, 66) writes: Two miles nearer Ahmedâbâd than Sirkhej are the curious gardens and palace of Khân Khânân where he defeated the last of the Cambay-kings and in memory built a stately house and spacious gardens the view whereof worthily attracts the traveller. Mandelstam writing in 1638 is still lauder in praise of Techiehshâg the Garden of Victory. It is the largest and most beautiful garden in all India because of its splendid buildings and abundance of fine fruits. Its site is one of the pleasantest in the world on the border of a great tank having on the water side many pavilions and a high wall on the side of Ahmedâbâd. The lodge and the caravanserai are worthy of the prince who built them. The garden has many fruit trees oranges, citrons, pomegranates, dates, almonds, mulberries, tamarinds, mangoes, and coconuts so closely planted that all walking in the garden is under most pleasing shade (Mandelstam's Travels, French Ed. III. 111-112). When (A.D. 1756) the Mirât-i-Ahmedî was written several of the buildings and the remains of the summer house were still to be seen (Bird's History of Gujarât, 372). A few traces of the buildings known as Fateh Bagh or Victory Garden remain (1870). (Ahmedâbâd Gazetteer, 292.)

far to give him about £10,000.¹ When he had obtained the money, on one pretext or another, Amin Khān withheld the promised aid. The Khān Khānān now marched an army into Sorath against Muzaffar. The Jām of Navānagar and Amin Khān sent their envoys to meet the viceroy, declaring that they had not sheltered Muzaffar, and that he was leading an outlaw's life, entirely unaided by them. The viceroy agreed not to molest them, on condition that they withheld aid and shelter from Muzaffar, and himself marched against him. When he reached Upleta, about fifteen miles north-west of the fortress of Jūnāgadh, the viceroy heard that Muzaffar had sought shelter in the Barla hills in the south-west corner of the peninsula. Advancing to the hills, he halted his main force outside of the rough country and sent skirmishing parties to examine the hills. Muzaffar had already passed through Navānagar and across Gujarāt to Dānta in the Mahi Kāntha. Here he was once more defeated by the Parāntej garrison, and a third time took refuge in Rājpipla. The viceroy now marched on Navānagar to punish the Jām. The Jām sent in his submission, and the viceroy taking from him, by way of fine, an elephant and some valuable horses, returned to Ahmedābād. He next sent a detachment against Ghazni Khān of Jhālor who had favoured Muzaffar. Ghazni Khān submitted, and no further steps were taken against him.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Akbar
Emperor.
1583-1605.
Mirza Asir
Kokaltāsh
(Khan Khānān)
Fifth Viceroy,
1583-1592.

In A.D. 1587 the Khān Khānān was recalled and his place supplied by Ismā'il Kuli Khān. Ismā'il's government lasted only for a few months, when he was superseded by Mirza Asir Kokaltāsh, who was a second time appointed viceroy. In A.D. 1591, Muzaffar again returned to Sorath. The viceroy, hearing that he had been joined by the Jām, the Kachh chief, and Daulat Khān Ghori the son of Amin Khān, marched with a large army towards Sorath, and, halting at Viramgām, sent forward a detachment under Naurang Khān, Sayad Kāsim, and other officers. Advancing as far as Morvi,² Naurang Khān entered into negotiations with the Jām, who, however, refused to accede to his demands of the imperial commander. On this the viceroy joined Naurang Khān with the bulk of his army, and after a short delay marched on Navānagar. On his way, at the village of Dhokar near Navānagar, Muzaffar and the Jām opposed him, and an obstinate battle in which the imperialists were nearly worsted, ended in Muzaffar's defeat. The son and minister of the Jām were slain, and Muzaffar, the Jām, and Daulat Khān who was wounded, fled to the fortress of Jūnāgadh. The viceroy now advanced and plundered Navānagar, and remaining there sent Naurang Khān, Sayad Kāsim, and Gūjar Khān against Jūnāgadh. The day the army arrived before the fortress Daulat Khān died of his wounds. Still the fortress held out, and though the viceroy joined them the siege made little progress as the imperial troops were in great straits for grain. The viceroy returned to Ahmedābād, and after seven or eight months again marched against Jūnāgadh. The Jām, who was still a fugitive, sent envoys

Ismā'il Kuli
Khān
Fifth Viceroy,
1587.

Mirza Asir
Kokaltāsh
Seventh Viceroy,
1588-1592.

Muzaffar seeks
Refuge in
Kachhānās.

Is attacked by
the Imperial
Army.

¹ Two lakhs of mohandās. The mohandā varied in value from about one-third to one-half of a rupee. See Introduction page 272 note 2.

² Morvi (north latitude 23° 48'; east longitude 70° 50'), a town in Kachhānās, about twenty-one miles south of Kachh.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroy.Akbar
Emperor.
1583-1605.MIRZA AZIZ
KOKALTASH
Seventh Viceroy,
1587.Muzaffar Flies
to Kachh.Committee Succeeded,
1601-92.SULTAN MURAD
BAKHSH
Eighth Viceroy,
1592-1600.

and promised to aid the viceroy if his country were restored to him. The viceroy assented on condition that, during the operations against Jānāgadh, the Jām should furnish his army with grain. The Jām agreed to provide grain, and after a siege of three months the garrison surrendered.

News was next received that Muzaffar had taken refuge at Jagat.¹ The viceroy at once sent Naurang Khān and others with an army in pursuit. On reaching Jagat it was found that Muzaffar had already left for a village owned by a Rājput named Sewa Wādhel. Without halting Naurang Khān started in pursuit, nearly surprising Muzaffar, who escaping on horseback with a few followers, crossed to Kachh. Sewa Wādhel covering Muzaffar's retreat was surprised before he could put to sea and fought gallantly with the imperial forces till he was slain. Naurang Khān then came to Arāmra, a village belonging to Singrām Wādhel, Rāja of Jagat, and after frustrating a scheme devised by that chief to entrap a body of the troops on board ship under pretence of pursuing Muzaffar's family, led his men back to Jānāgadh. The viceroy, hearing in what direction Muzaffar had fled, marched to Morvi, where the Jām of Navānagar came and paid his respects. At the same time the Kachh chief, who is called Khengār by Farishtah and in the Mirāt-i-Ahmedi and Bhāra in the Mirāt-i-Sikandri, sent a message that if the viceroy would refrain from invading his country and would give him his ancestral district of Morvi and supply him with a detachment of troops, he would point out where Muzaffar was concealed. The Khān-i-Azam agreed to these terms and the chief captured Muzaffar and handed him to the force sent to secure him. The detachment, strictly guarding the prisoner, were marching rapidly towards Morvi, when, on reaching Dhrol, about thirty miles east of Jāmnagar, under pretence of obeying a call of nature, Muzaffar withdrew and cut his throat with a razor, so that he died. This happened in A.D. 1591-92. The viceroy sent Muzaffar's head to court, and though he was now recalled by the emperor, he delayed on pretence of wishing to humble the Portuguese. His real object was to make a pilgrimage to Makkah, and in A.D. 1592, after obtaining the necessary permission from the Portuguese, he started from Veraval.² During this viceroyalty an imperial *farmān* ordered that the state share of the produce should be one-half and the other half should be left to the cultivator and further that from each half five per cent should be deducted for the village headmen. All other taxes were declared illegal, and it was provided that when lands or houses were sold, half the government demand should be realized from the seller and half from the buyer.

The emperor, who was much vexed to hear of the departure of the viceroy, appointed prince Sultān Murād Bakhsh in his stead with as his minister Muhammad Sādikkhān one of the great nobles. In A.D. 1593-94 Mirza Aziz Kokaltash returned from his pilgrimage and

¹ Jagat (north latitude 22° 15'; east longitude 69° 1'), the site of the temple of Dwārka, at the western extremity of the peninsula of Kathiāwār.

² Veraval (north latitude 20° 55'; east longitude 70° 21'), on the south-west coast of Kathiāwār. On the south-east point of Veraval bay stood the city of Dev or Mungī Tātan and within its walls the temple of Somnāth.

repaired to court, and next year on prince Murād Bakhsh going to the Dakhan, Śūrajīnglī was appointed his deputy. In A.D. 1594-95 Bahādur, son of the late Muzaffar Shāh, excited a rebellion, but was defeated by Śūrajīnglī. In A.D. 1600, owing to the death of Sultān Murād, Mīrza Āziz Kokaltāsh was a third time appointed viceroy of Gujarāt, and he sent Shams-ud-dīn Hussain as his deputy to Ahmedābād. Further changes were made in A.D. 1602 when Mīrza Āziz sent his eldest son Shādmān as deputy; his second son Khurram as governor of Junāgadh; and Sayad Bāyazīd as minister. Khurram was afterwards relieved of the charge of Sorath and Junāgadh by his brother Abdullāh.

In A.D. 1605 Nūr-ud-dīn Muḥammad Jehāngīr ascended the imperial throne. Shortly after his accession the emperor published a decree remitting certain taxes, and also in cases of robbery fixing the responsibility on the landowners of the place where the robbery was committed. The decree also renewed Akbar's decree forbidding soldiers lalletting themselves forcibly in cultivators' houses. Finally it directed that dispensaries and hospital wards should be opened in all large towns. In the early days of Jehāngīr's reign disturbance was caused in the neighbourhood of Ahmedābād by Bahādur a son of Muzaffar Shāh. Jehāngīr despatched Patrās Rāja Vikramājīt as viceroy of Gujarāt to put down the rising. The Rāja's arrival at Ahmedābād restored order. Some of the rebel officers submitting were reinstated in their commands: the rest fled to the hills.¹ On the Rāja's return Jehāngīr appointed Kalij Khān to be viceroy of Gujarāt: but Kalij Khān never joined his charge, allowing Mīrza Āziz Kokaltāsh to act in his place. In A.D. 1606, on the transfer of Mīrza Āziz to the Lāhor viceroyalty, Sayad Murtaza Khān Bukhārī, who had recently been ennobled in consequence of crushing the rebellion under Jehāngīr's son Khusrō, was entrusted with the charge of Gujarāt, Sayad Bāyazīd being continued as minister. Sayad Murtaza, who is said to have further ingratiated himself with the emperor by the present of a magnificent ruby, appears to have been more of a scholar than a governor. His only notable acts were the repair of the fort of Kadi² and the populating of the Bukhārā quarter of Ahmedābād. During his tenure of power disturbances broke out, and Rāi Gopināth, son of Rāja Todar Mal, with Rāja Sursingh of Jodhpur, were sent to Gujarāt by way of Mālwa, Surat and Baroda. They overcame and imprisoned Kalijān, chief of Belpār,³ but were defeated by the Māndwa⁴ chieftain, and withdrew to Ahmedābād. Rāi Gopināth, obtaining reinforcements, returned to Māndwa and succeeded in capturing the chief. He then marched against the rebellious Kolis of the Kānkrej, and took prisoner their

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.Akbar
Emperor,
1593-1605.
Mīrza Āziz
Kokaltāsh
Ninth Viceroy,
1600-1601.Jehāngir
Emperor,
1605-1627.Kalij Khān
Tenth Viceroy,
1606.Sayad Murtaza
Eleventh Viceroy,
1606-1609.

¹ Jehāngīr's Memoirs, Persian Text, 23; Blochman's Ain-i-Akbari, I. 470. Bahādur died about A.D. 1614: Jehāngīr's Memoirs, 134.

² Now belonging to His Highness the Gaikwār about twenty-seven miles north-west of Ahmedābād.

³ Belpār, belonging to the Thakor of Umata in the Rewa Kānta.

⁴ This Māndwa is probably the Māndwa under His Highness the Gaikwār in his district of Ataramba, but it may be Māndwa on the Narbada in the Rewa Kānta. Ataramba is about ten miles west of Kapatvanj in the British district of Kalra.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.Jehāngir
Emperor,
1605-1607.Mirza Aziz
Kokaltash
Twelfth Viceroy,
1609-1611).Sack of Surat by
Malik Ambar,
1609.

leader, whom, on promising not to stir up future rebellions, he afterwards restored to liberty.

The first connection of the English with Gujarāt dates from Sayad Murtazā's viceroyalty. In A.D. 1608 he allowed Captain Hawkins to sell goods in Surat.

In A.D. 1609 the Khān-i-Azam Mirza Aziz Kokaltash was for the fourth time appointed viceroy of Gujarāt. He was allowed to remain at court and send his son Jehāngir Kuli Khān as his deputy with Mohandās Divān and Masūd Beg Hamadani.¹ This was the beginning of government by deputy, a custom which in later times was so injurious to imperial interests.

In 1609 Malik Ambar, chief minister of Nizām Shāh's court and governor of Daulatābad, invaded Gujarāt at the head of 50,000 horse, and after plundering both the Surat and Baroda districts retired as quickly as he came. To prevent such raids a body of 25,000 men was posted at Rāmāgar² on the Dakhan frontier, and remained there for four years. The details of the contingents of this force are :

The Viceroy of Ahmedābad	4000	Men.
The Nobles of his Court	5000	"
The Chiefs of Sāler and Mulher (Bāglān)	3000	"
The Son of the Kachh Chief	2500	"
The Chief of Navānagar	2000	"
The Chief of Idar	2000	"
The Chief of Dāngarpār	Now under the title Tracts Agency, Rajputana.		2000	"
The Chief of Bānsvādā			2000	"
The Chief of Rāmāgar (Dharāmpur)	1000	"
The Chief of Bājipipā	1000	"
The Chief of Ali (Alcājpur under the Bhopāwar Agency)	300	"
The Chief of Mohan (a former capital of the state of Chhota Udepur in the Rewa Kānthā)	300	"

Total ... 25,000 Men.

Abdullah Khān
Fīrūz Jang
Thirteenth
Viceroy,
1611-1616.

In A.D. 1611 Abdullāh Khān Bahādur Fīrūz Jang was appointed thirteenth viceroy of Gujarāt, with Ghīās-ud-dīn as his minister, under orders to proceed to the Dakhan to avenge the recent inroad.³ The viceroy marched to the Dakhan but returned without effecting anything. In A.D. 1616, he was again, in company with prince Shāh

¹ Jehāngir's Memoirs, Persian Text, 75.

² Now belonging to the Rāja of Dharāmpur, east of the British district of Surat.

³ In this year (A.D. 1611) the English East India Company sent vessels to trade with Surat. The Portuguese made an armed resistance, but were defeated. The Mughal commander, who was not sorry to see the Portuguese beaten, gave the English a warm reception, and in A.D. 1612-13 a factory was opened in Surat by the English, and in A.D. 1614 a fleet was kept in the Tāpti under Captain Downton to protect the factory. In A.D. 1616, Sir Thomas Roe came as ambassador to the emperor Jehāngir, and obtained permission to establish factories, not only at Surat but also at Broach, Cambay and Gogha. The factory at Gogha seems to have been established in A.D. 1613. The emperor Jehāngir notes in his memoirs (Persian Text, 106) that Mukarrab Khān, viceroy from A.D. 1616-1618, regardless of cost had bought from the English at Gogha a turkey's feather and other curiosities. On his return from Jehāngir's camp at Ahmedābad, in January 1618 Roe obtained valuable concessions from the viceroy. The governor of Surat was to lend ships to the English, the resident English might carry arms, build a house, practice their religion, and settle their disputes, Kerr's Voyages, IX, 263. The Dutch closely followed the English at Surat and were established there in A.D. 1616.

Jehān, directed to move against Ahmednagar. This second expedition was successful. The country was humbled, and, except Malik Ambar, most of the nobles submitted to the emperor. During this viceroy's term of office an imperial decree was issued forbidding nobles on the frontiers and in distant provinces to affix their seals to any communications addressed to imperial servants.

In A.D. 1616 on their return to Dehli, Mukarrab Khān, a surgeon who had risen to notice by curing the emperor Akbar and was enabled by Jehāngir, and who, since A.D. 1608, had been in charge of Surat or of Cambay, was appointed fourteenth viceroy of Gujarāt, with Muhammad Sāfi as his minister. In the following year (A.D. 1617) the emperor Jehāngir came to Gujarāt to hunt wild elephants in the Dehad forests. But owing to the density of the forest only twelve were captured. Early in A.D. 1618 he visited Cambay which he notes only vessels of small draught could reach and where he ordered a gold and silver *tanka* twenty times heavier than the gold *mohar* to be minted. From Cambay after a stay of ten days he went to Ahmedābād and received the Rājā of Idar. As the climate of Ahmedābād disagreed with him Jehāngir retired to the banks of the Mahi.¹ Here the Jām of Navānagar came to pay homage, and presented fifty Kachh horses, a hundred gold *mohars*, and a hundred rupees, and received a dress of honour. The emperor now returned to Ahmedābād, where he was visited by Rāj Bhāra of Kachh, who presented 100 Kachh horses 100 *ashrafis*² and 2000 rupees. The Rāj, who was ninety years of age,

Chapter III

Mughal
Viceroys.

Jehāngir
Emperor,
1605-1627.

MUKARRAB
KHAN
Fourteenth
Viceroy,
1616.

Elephant-hunting
in the Paooh
Mahals,
1616.

¹ At first Jehāngir, who reached Ahmedābād in the hot weather (March A.D. 1618), contented himself with abusing its dusty streets, calling the city the 'abode of dust' (*girdābad*). After an attack of fever his dislike grew stronger, and he was uncertain whether the 'home of the diamond' (*amrudāstān*), the 'place of sickness' (*Amiridān*), the 'thorn brake' (*amrudān*), or 'hall' (*jahanmān*), was its most fitting name. Even the last title did not satisfy his dislike. In derision he adds the verse, 'Oh someone of all goodnames by what name shall I call thee.' Elliot's History of India, VI. 328; Jehāngir's Memoirs, Persian Text, 231. Of the old buildings of Ahmedābād, the emperor (Memoirs, Persian Text, 208-210) speaks of the Kankariya tank and its island garden and of the royal palaces in the Bhadar as having nearly gone to ruin within the last fifty years. He notes that his Bakshī had repaired the Kankariya tank and that the viceroy Mukarrab Khān had partly restored the Bhadar palaces against his arrival. The emperor was disappointed with the capital. After the accounts he had heard it seemed rather poor with its narrow streets, its shops with ignoble fronts, and its dust, though to greet the emperor as he came on elephant-back scattering gold the city and its population had put on their holiday dress. The emperor speaks (Memoirs, Persian Text page 211) of having met some of the great men of Gujarāt. Chief among these was Sayad Muhammad Bakhari the representative of Shāh Alam and the son of Shāh Wajih ul-Din of Ahmedābād. They came as far as Cambay to meet the emperor. After his arrival in the capital Jehāngir with great kindness informally visited the house and garden of Shikandar Gujarātī the author of the Mirāt-i-Sikandar, to pick some of the author's famous sayings off the trees. Jehāngir speaks of the historian as a man of a refined literary style well versed in all matters of Gujarāt history, who six or seven years since had entered his (the imperial) service (Memoirs, 207-211). On the occasion of celebrating Shāh Jehān's twenty-seventh birthday at Ahmedābād Jehāngir records having granted the territory from Mandi to Cambay as the estate of his son Shāh Jehān (Prince Khurram). Memoirs, Persian Text, 230-231. Before leaving Gujarāt the emperor ordered the expulsion of the Sevadis or Jain priests, because of a prophecy unfavourable to him made by Min Sing Sewda (Memoirs, Persian Text, 217).

² This was probably the gold *ashraf* or scrap of which Hawkins (1609-1611) says, 'Seraffins Ekbari, which be ten rupees a-piece.' Thomas Chenn, Pat. Kings of Dehli, 123.

Chapter III. Mughal Viceroys.

Jehangir
Emperor.
1605-1627.

Prince Shāh
Jehān
Fifteenth Viceroy,
1618-1622.

Shāh Jehān
Rebels,
1622-1623.

Built the
Shāhi Bagh
at Ahmedābād.

SULTAN DĀWAR
BAKSH
Sixteenth Viceroy,
1623-1624.

had never paid his respects to any emperor. Jehāngir, much pleased with the greatest of Gujarāt Zamīndars, who, in spite of his ninety years was hale and in full possession of all his senses, gave him his own horse, a male and female elephant, a dagger, a sword with diamond-mounted hilt, and four rings of different coloured precious stones. As he still suffered from the climate, the emperor set out to return to Agra, and just at that time (A.D. 1618-19) he heard of the birth of a grandson, afterwards the famous Abū Muzaffar Muhiyy-ud-dīn Muhammad Aurangzib who was born at Dohad in Gujarāt.¹ In honour of this event Shāh Jehān held a great festival at Ujjain.

Before the emperor started for Agra, he appointed prince Shāh Jehān fifteenth viceroy of Gujarāt in the place of Mukarrab Khān whose general inefficiency and churlish treatment of the European traders he did not approve. Muhammad Safi was continued as minister. As Shāh Jehān preferred remaining at Ujjain he chose Rustam Khān as his deputy; but the emperor, disapproving of this choice, selected Rāja Vikramājī in Rustam Khān's stead. Shortly after, in A.D. 1622-23, Shāh Jehān rebelled, and in one of the battles which took place Rāja Vikramājī was killed. Shāh Jehān, during his viceroyalty, built the Shāhi Bagh and the royal baths in the Bhadar at Ahmedābād. After the death of Vikramājī, his brother succeeded as deputy viceroy. While Shāh Jehān was still in rebellion, the emperor appointed Sultān Dāwar Baksh the son of prince Khusrāo, sixteenth viceroy of Gujarāt, Muhammad Safi being retained in his post of minister. Shāh Jehān, who was then at Māndu in Mālwa, appointed on his part Abdullāh Khān Bahādūr Firōz Jung viceroy and a *kādījars* or eunuch of Abdullāh Khān his minister. Sultān Dāwar Baksh, the emperor's nominee, was accompanied by Khān-i-Azam Mirza Aziz Kokaltash to instruct him in the management of affairs. Prince Shāh Jehān had directed his minister to carry away all the treasure; but Muhammad Safi, who appears to have been a man of great ability, at once imprisoned the prince's partisans in Ahmedābād, and, among others, captured the eunuch of Abdullāh Khān. When this news reached the prince at Māndu, he sent Abdullāh Khān Bahādūr with an army to Gujarāt by way of Baroda. Muhammad Safi Khān met and defeated him, and forced him to fly and rejoin the prince at Māndu. For his gallant conduct Muhammad Safi received the title of Saif Khān, with an increase in his monthly pay from £70 to £300 (Rs. 700-8000) and the command of 3000 horse. Meanwhile Sultān Dāwar Baksh, with the Khān-i-Azam, arrived and assumed the charge of the government, but the Khān-i-Azam died soon after in A.D. 1624, and was buried at Sarkhej. Sultān Dāwar Baksh was

¹ The peaked masonry tomb over Aurangzib's after-birth with its mosque, enclosure, and intact endowment is one of the curiosities of Dohad. In a letter to his eldest son Muhammad Muazzam then (A.D. 1704) viceroy of Gujarāt the aged Aurangzib writes: My son of exalted rank, the town of Dohad, one of the dependencies of Gujarāt, is the birth-place of this sinner. Please to consider a regard for the inhabitants of that town innumerable to you, and continue in office its decrepid old Faujdār. In regard to that old man listen not to the whisperings of those suffering from the disease of self-interest: "Verily they have a sickness in their hearts and Allah addeth to their ailments" (Letters of the Emperor Aurangzib: Persian Text, Cawnpur Edition, Letter 31.)

re-called, and Khān Jehān was appointed deputy viceroy with Yūsuf Khān as his minister. On his arrival at Ahmedābād, prince Shāh Jehān employed Khān Jehān in his own service, and sent him as his ambassador to the emperor. Saif Khān, who acted for him, may be called the seventeenth viceroy, as indeed he had been the governing spirit for the last eight or ten years. He held the post of viceroy of Gujarāt until the death of the emperor in A.D. 1627.

On the death of the emperor Jehāngir, his son Abul Muzaffar Shahāb-ud-dīn Shāh Jehān ascended the throne. Remembering Saif Khān's hostility he at once caused him to be imprisoned, and appointed Sher Khān Tūar eighteenth viceroy with Khwājah Hayāt as his minister. When the emperor was near Surat, he appointed Mir Shamsuddin to be governor of Surat castle. In A.D. 1627, Shāh Jehān on his way to Dehli visited Ahmedābād and encamped outside of the city near the Kānkariya lake. Sher Khān was advanced to the command of 5000 men, and received an increase of salary and other gifts. At the same time Khān Jehān was appointed his minister, and Mirza Isa Tarkhān was made viceroy of Thatta in Sindh. In A.D. 1628 Khwājah Abul Hasan was sent to conquer the country of Nāsik and Sangamner which he ravaged, and returned after taking the fort of Chāndod and levying tribute from the chief of Bāglān. In A.D. 1630, Jamal Khān Karāwal came to the Gujarāt-Khāndesh frontier and captured 130 elephants in the Sultānpar forests, seventy of which valued at a lakh of rupees were sent to Dehli. In A.D. 1631-32 Gujarāt was wasted by the famine known as the *Satidsāo Kāl* or '87 famine. So severe was the scarcity that according to the Bādshāh Nāma, rank sold for a cake, life was offered for a loaf, the flesh of a son was preferred to his love. The emperor opened soup kitchens and alms-houses at Surat and Ahmedābād and ordered Rs. 5000 to be distributed.¹

Sher Khān was re-called in A.D. 1632, but died ere he could be relieved by Islām Khān, the nineteenth viceroy of Gujarāt, along with whom Khwājah Jehān was chosen minister. Islām Khān's monthly salary was £400 (Rs. 4000), and his command was raised from 5000 to 8000. In A.D. 1632, Khwājah Jehān went on pilgrimage to Makkah, and was succeeded as minister by Agha Afzal with the title of Afzal Khān. Afzal Khān was soon appointed commander of Baroda, and Riāyat Khān succeeded him as minister. The post of viceroy of Gujarāt appears to have been granted to whichever of the nobles of the court was in a position to make the most valuable presents to the emperor. Government became lax, the Kolis of the Kānkrej committed excesses, and the Jām of Navānagar withheld his tribute. At this time Bākar Khān presented the emperor with golden and jewelled ornaments to the value of Rs. 2,00,000 and was appointed viceroy, Riāyat Khān being continued as minister. In A.D. 1633 Sipābdar Khān was appointed viceroy, and presented the emperor with costly embroidered velvet tents with golden posts worthy to hold the famous *Takhti-Tāsh* or Peacock Throne which was just completed at a cost of one *kror* of rupees. Riāyat Khān was continued as minister.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

SAIF KHAN
Seventeenth
Viceroy,
1624-1627.

SHAH JEHAN
Emperor,
1627-1658
SHAH KHAN TAUAR
Eighteenth
Viceroy,
1627-1632.

Famine,
1631-32.

ISLAM KHAN
Nineteenth
Viceroy,
1632.

Disorder,
1632.
BAKAR KHAN
Twentieth
Viceroy,
1632.

SIPABDAR
KHAN
Twenty-first
Viceroy,
1633.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Shah Jehan
Emperor.
1627-1658.
SAIF KHÂN
Twenty-second
Viceroy,
1633-1635.

ĀZAM KHÂN
Twenty-third
Viceroy,
1635-1642.

Furnishes the
Kolis,

Subdues
the Kathis.

In A.D. 1635 Saif Khān was appointed twenty-second viceroy, with Riyat Khān as minister. During Saif Khān's tenure of power Mirza Isa Tarkhān received a grant¹ of the province of Sorath, which had fallen waste through the laxity of its governors. Before he had been in power for more than a year Saif Khān was recalled. As he was preparing to start, he died at Ahmedābād and was buried in Shāhi Alām's shrine to which he had added the dome over the tomb and the mosque to the north of the enclosure.

At the end of A.D. 1635 Azam Khān was appointed twenty-third viceroy, with Riyat Khān in the first instance, and afterwards with Mīr Muhammad Sābir, as minister. The men who had recently been allowed to act as viceroys had shown themselves unfit to keep in order the rebellious chiefs and predatory tribes of Gujarāt. For this reason the emperor's choice fell upon Azam Khān, a man of ability, who perceived the danger of the existing state of affairs, and saw that to restore the province to order, firm, even severe, measures were required. When Azam Khān reached Sūlhpur, the merchants complained bitterly of the outrages of one Kānji, a Chūnvalia Koli, who had been especially daring in plundering merchandise and committing highway robberies. Azam Khān, anxious to start with a show of vigour, before proceeding to Ahmedābād, marched against Kānji, who fled to the village of Bhādar in the Kherālā district of Kadi, sixty miles north-east of Ahmedābād. Azam Khān pursued him so hotly that Kānji surrendered, handed over his plunder, and gave security not only that he would not again commit robberies, but that he would pay an annual tribute of £1000 (Rs. 10,000). Azam Khān then built two fortified posts in the Koli country, naming one Azamābād after himself, and the other Khalilābād after his son. He next marched to Kāthiāvāḍ² and subdued the Kāthis, who were continually ravaging the country near Dhabdhūka, and to check them erected a fortified post called Shāhpūr, on the opposite side of the river to Chuda-Rānpur. Agha Fāzil known as Fāzil Khān, who had at one time held the post of minister, and had, in A.D. 1636, been appointed governor of Baroda, was now selected to command the special cavalry composing the bodyguard of prince Muhammad Aurangzib. At the same time Sayad Iahūdād was appointed governor of Surat fort, Isa Tarkhān remaining at Jūnāgadh. In A.D. 1637, Mīr Muhammad Sābir was chosen minister in place of Riyat Khān, and in A.D. 1638 Muiz-zul-Mulk was re-appointed to the command of Surat fort. Shortly after Azam Khān's daughter was sent to Dehli, and espoused to the emperor's son Muhammad Shujā Bahādur. In A.D. 1639, Azam Khān, who for his love of building was known as Udkai or the Whiteant, devoted his attention to establishing fortified posts to check rebellion and robbery in the country of the Kolis and the Kāthis. So complete were his arrangements that people could travel safely all over Jhālāvāḍa,

¹ The word used in the text is *tuḡal*. In meaning it does not differ from *ḡalā*.

² This is one of the first mentions in history of peninsular Gujarāt as Kāthiāvāḍa, or as anything other than Sorath or Paurāḍhira. The district referred to was probably united to the eastern possessions of the Khāchar Kāthis and Panchāl.

Kāthiāvāda, Navānagar, and Kachh. The Jām, who of late years had been accustomed to do much as he pleased, resented these arrangements, and in A.D. 1640 withheld his tribute, and set up a mint to coin *koris*.¹ When Azam Khān heard of this, he marched with an army against Navānagar, and, on arriving about three miles from the city, he sent the Jām a peremptory order to pay the arrears of tribute and to close his mint, ordering him, if any disturbance occurred in that part of the country, at once to send his son to the viceroy to learn his will. He further ordered the Jām to dismise to their own countries all refugees from other parts of Gujarāt. The Jām being unable to cope with Azam Khān, acceded to these terms; and Azam Khān, receiving the arrears of tribute, returned to Ahmedābād. As Azam Khān's stern and somewhat rough rule made him unpopular, Sayad Jalāl Bukhārī whose estates were being deserted from fear of him brought the matter to the emperor's notice.

In consequence in A.D. 1642 the emperor recalled Azam Khān, and appointed in his place Mīrza Isā Tarkhān, then governor of Sorath, twenty-fourth viceroy of Gujarāt. And as it was feared that in anger at being re-called Azam Khān might oppress some of those who had complained against him, this order was written by the emperor with his own hand. Thanks to Azam Khān's firm rule, the new viceroy found the province in good order, and was able to devote his attention to financial reforms, among them the introduction of the share, *shāgratāi*, system of levying land revenue in kind. When Mīrza Isā Tarkhān was rised to be viceroy of Gujarāt, he appointed his son Ināyat-ullāh to be governor of Jūnāgadh, and Muiz-zul-Mulk to fill the post of minister. During the vicerealty of Mīrza Isā Sayad Jalāl Bukhārī a descendant of Saint Shāhīr Ajām was appointed to the high post of Sadr-us-Sudūr or chief law officer for the whole of India. This was a time of prosperity especially in Surat, whose port dues which were settled on the Pādshāh Begam had risen from two and a half to five lakhs. Mīrza Isā Tarkhān's term of power was brief. In A.D. 1644 the emperor appointed prince Muḥammad Aurangzib to the charge of Gujarāt, Muiz-zul-Mulk being ordered by the emperor to continue to act as his minister. An event of interest in the next year (A.D. 1645) is the capture of seventy-three elephants in the forests of Dohad and Chāmpāner.²

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Shah Jahan
Emperor,
1627-1658.
AZAM KHAN
Twenty-third
Viceroy,
1635-1642.
Recall of
the Jām of
Navanagar,
1640.

Isā TARKHAN
Twenty-fourth
Viceroy,
1642-1644.

¹ The author of the *Mirāt-i-Ahmadi* says that in his time, A.D. 1746-1762, two Navanagar *koris* were current even in Ahmedābād, two *koris* and two-thirds being equal to one imperial rupee. They were also called *jamis*. The *Mirāt-i-Ahmadi* (Persian Text, 725) calls them *mahmudis*. The legend on the reverse was the name of the Gujarāt Sultan Muzaffar and on the obverse in Gujarāt the name of the Jām. Usually two *mahmudis* and sometimes three went to the imperial rupee. The author says that in Ahmedābād up to his day (A.D. 1756) the account for *ghāt* checked better was made in *mahmudis*. When the order for mintage of the *mahmudis* was passed a mint was established at Jūnāgadh but was afterwards closed to suit the merchants from Dū and other parts who transmitted their specie to Ahmedābād.

² The traveller Mandelstam, who was in Ahmedābād in 1638, says: No prince in Europe has as fine a court as the governor of Gujarāt. Of none are the public appearances so magnificent. He never goes out without a great number of gentlemen and guards on foot and horse. Before him march many elephants with housings of brocade and velvet, standards, drums, trumpets, and cymbals. In his palace he is served like a king and suffers no one to appear before him unless he has asked an audience. (Travels, French

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Shah Jehan
Emperor.
1627-1658.

PRINCE
MUHAMMAD
ARANGZIB
Twenty-fifth
Viceroy.
1644-1646.

SHAHISTAH
KHAN
Twenty-sixth
Viceroy.
1646-1648.

PRINCE
MUHAMMAD
DARA SHIKOH
Twenty-seventh
Viceroy.
1648-1652.

Prince Aurangzib's rule in Gujarat was marked by religious disputes. In 1644 a quarrel between Hindus and Musalmans ended in the prince ordering a newly built (1638) temple of Chintāman near Saraspur, a suburb of Ahmedābād, above a mile and a half east of the city, to be desecrated by slaughtering a cow in it. He then turned the building into a mosque, but the emperor ordered its restoration to the Hindus. In another case both of the contending parties were Musalmans, the orthodox believers, aided by the military under the prince's orders, who was enraged at Sayad Rājū one of his followers joining the heretics, attacking and slaughtering the representatives of the Mahdawiyah sect in Ahmedābād. Sayad Rājū's spirit, under the name of Rājū Shahid or Rājū the martyr, is still worshipped as a disease-scaring guardian by the Pinjāris and Mansūris and Dādhwālas of Ahmedābād.¹ In consequence of the part he had taken in promoting these disturbances, prince Aurangzib was relieved and Shāhistāh Khān appointed twenty-sixth viceroy of Gujarat. In the following year Muiz-zul-Mulk, who had till then acted as minister, was recalled, and his place supplied by Hāfiz Muhammad Nāsir. At the same time the governorship of Surat and Cambay was given to Ali Akbar of Isfahan. This Ali Akbar was a Persian horse merchant who brought to Agra seven horses of pure Arabian breed. For six of these Shāh Jehān paid Rs. 25,000. The seventh a bay so pleased the emperor that he paid Rs. 15,000 for it, named it the Priceless Ruby, and considered it the gem of the imperial stud. In A.D. 1648 Ali Akbar was assassinated by a Hindu and Muiz-zul-Mulk succeeded him as governor of Surat and Cambay. As Shāhistāh Khān failed to control the Gujarat Kolis, in A.D. 1648 prince Muhammad Dārā Shikoh was chosen viceroy, with Ghaurat Khān as his deputy and Hāfiz Muhammad Nāsir as minister, while Shāhistāh Khān was sent to Mālwa to relieve Shāh Nawāz Khān. While Dārā Shikoh was viceroy an ambassador landed at Surat from the court of the Turkish Sultan Muhammad IV. (A.D. 1648-1687).² In A.D. 1651, Mir Yahyā was appointed minister in place of Hāfiz Muhammad Nāsir, and in A.D. 1652 prince Dārā was sent to Kandahār. On

Edison, 151.) Of the general system of government he says: The viceroy is absolute. It is true he summons leading lords of the country to deliberate on judgments and important matters. But they are called to ascertain their views not to adopt them. On the one hand the king often changes his governors that they may not grow over-powerful. On the other hand the governors knowing they may be recalled at any time take immense sums from the rich merchants especially from the merchants of Ahmedābād against whom false charges are brought with the view of forcing them to pay. As the governor is both civil and criminal judge if the merchants did not temper his greed they would be ruined beyond remedy. (Ditto, 150.) The frequent changes of viceroys in Gujarat is explained by Terry, 1616-17 (*Voyage to East Indies*, 384): To prevent them from becoming popular the king usually removes his viceroys after one year sending them to a new government remote from the old one. Terry adds a curious note: When the king sends any one to a place of government they never cut their hair till they return into his presence as if they desired not to appear beautiful except in the king's sight. As soon as he sees them the king bids them cut their hair (Ditto, 383). It does not seem to have been cheating to keep up fewer horses than the number named. Terry (*Voyage to East Indies*, 391) says: He who hath the pay of five or six thousand must always have one thousand or more in readiness according to the king's need of them, and so in proportion all the rest.

¹ Mirāt-i-Khmedī Persian Text, II, 46-47. Pinjāris are cotton weavers, Mansūris are Pinjāris who worship Mansūr a tenth century (3rd century Hijrah) saint.

² Mirāt-i-Ahmedī Persian Text, 237.

the transfer of the prince Shāhistah Khān became viceroy for the second time, with Mir Yahyā as minister and Sultan Yār governor of Baroda with the title of Himmat Khān. Mirza Isa Tarkhān was summoned to court from his charge of Sorath and his son Muhammad Sālīh was appointed his successor. In A.D. 1658 an ill-advised imperial order reducing the pay of the troopers, as well as of the better class of horsemen who brought with them a certain number of followers, created much discontent. During this year several changes of governors were made. Muhammad Nāsir was sent to Surat, Himmat Khān to Dholka, the governor of Dholka to Baroda, Kutb-ud-dīn to Jūnāgadh, Sayad Sheikhan son-in-law of Sayad Diler Khān to Tharad under Pātan, and Jagmāl, the holder of Sānand, to Dholka. In the same year Shāhistah Khān made an expedition against the Chunvālī Kolis, who, since Azam-Khān's time (A.D. 1642), had been ravaging Viramgām, Dholka, and Kadi, and raiding even as far as the villages round Ahmedābād.

In spite of Shāhistah Khān's success in restoring order the emperor in A.D. 1654 appointed in his place prince Muhammad Murād Bakhsh twenty-ninth viceroy of Gujarāt. Dīnāt Khān, and immediately after him Rohmat Khān, was appointed minister in place of Mir Yahyā. Mujaḥhid Khān Jhālori relieved Mir Shams-ud-dīn as governor of Pātan and Godhra was entrusted to Sayad Hasan, son of Sayad Diler Khān, and its revenues assigned to him. When prince Murād Bakhsh reached Jhābua¹ on his way to Ahmedābād, the chief presented him with £1500 (Rs. 15,000) as tribute; and when he reached Ahmedābād, Kanji, the notorious leader of the Chunvālī Kolis, surrendered through Sayad Sheikhan, and promised to remain quiet and pay a yearly tribute of £1000 (Rs. 10,000). Dildas, son of Sarfaraz Khān, was appointed to the charge of the post of Bijāpur under Pātan; while Sayad Sheikhan was made governor of Saura and Piplod, and Sayad Ali paymaster, with the title of Radawi Khān. Many other changes were made at the same time, the prince receiving a grant of the district of Jūnāgadh. One Pirji, a Bohora, said to have been one of the richest merchants of Surat, is noted as sending the emperor four Arab horses and prince Murād as presenting the emperor with eighteen of the famous Gujarāt bullocks. During the viceroyalty of Dārā Shikoh sums of Rs. 1,00,000 to Rs. 2,00,000 used to be spent on articles in demand in Arabia. The articles were sent under some trustworthy officer and the proceeds applied to charitable purposes in the sacred cities.

At the end of A.D. 1657, on the receipt of news that Shāh Jēhān was dangerously ill prince Murād Bakhsh proclaimed himself emperor by the title of Murāwwaj-ud-dīn and ordered the reading of the Friday sermon and the striking of coin in his own name.² His next step was to put to death the minister Ali Nakī, and direct his men to seize the fort of Surat then held by his sister the Begam Sāhibah and to take possession of the property of the Begam. He imprisoned Abdul-Latif, son of Islām Khān, an old servant of the empire. Dārā Shikoh representing Murād's conduct to the emperor obtained an order to

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Shāh Jēhān
Emperor,
1627-1658.
Shāh Jēhān
Khān
Twenty-ninth
Viceroy,
1652-1664.

PRINCE MURAD
BAKHSH
Twenty-ninth
Viceroy,
1654-1657.

Murād proclaims
himself Emperor,
1657.

¹ Jhābua, now under the Bhopāwar Agency.

² Mirāt-i Ahmedi Persian Text, 249.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.Shah Jehān
Emperor,
1627-1658.KĀSHĀN KHĀN
Thirtieth
Viceroy,
1637-1639.Victory of
Murād and
Aurangzib.Aurangzib
conquered Murād,
1659.Aurangzib
Emperor,
1658-1707.
SHĀH NAWĀZ
KHĀN SARFĀR
Thirty-first
Viceroy,
1659.Prince Dārā
Rebels,
1659.1. Defeated,
1659.JASVANTSINGH
Thirty-second
Viceroy,
1659-1662.

transfer him to the governorship of the Berārs. Murād Bakhsh borrowing £55,000 (5½ *lākh*s of rupees) from the sons of Sāntidās Janhārī, £4000 (Rs. 40,000) from Ravidās partner of Sāntidās, and £8500 (Rs. 85,000) from Sānnal and others, raised an army and arranged to meet his brother prince Aurangzib, and with him march against the Mahārāja Jasvantsingh of Jodhpur and Kāshān Khān, whom Shāh Jehān had appointed viceroys of Mālwa and Gujarāt, and had ordered to meet at Ujjain and march against the princes. Murād Bakhsh and Aurangzib, uniting their forces early in A.D. 1658, fought an obstinate battle with Jasvantsingh, in which they were victorious, and entered Ujjain in triumph. From Ujjain prince Murād Bakhsh wrote Mātā-mān Khān his eunuch an order allotting to Manikchand £18,000 (Rs. 1,50,000) from the revenues of Surat, £10,000 (Rs. 1,00,000) from Cambay, £10,000 (Rs. 1,00,00) from Pīlād, £7500 (Rs. 75,000) from Dholkā, £5000 (Rs. 50,000) from Broach, £4500 (Rs. 45,000) from Virāngām, and £3000 (Rs. 30,000) from the salt works, in all £55,000 (5½ *lākh*s of rupees). Further sums of £4000 (Rs. 40,000) are mentioned as due to Ravulās partner of Sāntidās, and £8800 (Rs. 88,000) to Sānnal and others. From Ujjain the princes advanced on Agrā. At Dhōlpār they fought a still more obstinate battle with the imperial forces commanded by prince Dārā Shikoh and after a long and doubtful contest were victorious. Prince Dārā Shikoh fled to Delhi, and the princes advanced and took possession of Agrā. After confining his father, Aurangzib marched for Mathura, and having no further use of Murād, he there seized and imprisoned him. From Mathura, Aurangzib went to Delhi from which Dārā Shikoh had meanwhile retired to Lāhōr.

In A.D. 1658, while his father was still alive, Aurangzib assumed the imperial titles and ascended the throne. In A.D. 1659 he appointed Shāh Nawāz Khān Sarfāri thirty-first viceroy of Gujarāt, with Rahmat Khān as minister. On this occasion Sāntidās received a decree directing that the provincial officials should settle his accounts and Kutb-ud-dīn Kheshti was appointed to Sorath. Shāh Nawāz Khān was the father-in-law of both Aurangzib and Murād Bakhsh. Shortly after his appointment, while Murād's wife was paying a visit to her father, prince Dārā Shikoh leaving Kachh, where he had been hospitably received by the Rāy, made a sudden descent on Gujarāt. The viceroy, won over by the entreaties of his daughter who saw in the success of Dārā a hope of release for her husband, joined the prince who entered Ahmedābād. After raising funds from Surat and Ahmedābād he collected an army of 22,000 horse and appointing Sayad Ahmed deputy viceroy, marched towards Ajmir, once more to try his chance of empire. He was defeated and fled to Ahmedābād, where Sardār Khān, who had confined Sayad Ahmed, closed the gates of the city in his face. The unhappy prince retired to Kachh, but finding no support fled to Sindh, where he was treacherously seized and handed to his brother by the chief of Jān. The emperor Aurangzib, forgiving Jasvantsingh his opposition at Ujjain, conferred on him the government of Gujarāt, and in the place of Rahmat Khān appointed Makramat Khān to act as minister. Sardār

Khán was thanked for his loyal conduct and made governor of Broach. Praise was also given to Sher and Abid of the Báls family. Presents were bestowed on Kutb-ud-dín, governor of Sorath, and, shortly after, for his refusal to help prince Dará, Tamáchi chief of Kachh was rewarded. These measures removed all signs of disaffection at the accession of Aurangzib. A decree was issued directing Rahmat Khán the minister to forbid the cultivation of the *bang* plant. *Mohlasib* or censors were appointed to prevent the drinking of wine or the use of intoxicating drugs and preparations. On the formal installation of Aurangzib in A.D. 1658-59 the Ahmedsháh Kázi was ordered to read the sermon in his name. The Kázi objected that Sháh Jehán was alive. Sheikh Abdul Wahháb, a Sunni Bohora of Pattan, whom on account of his learning and intelligence Aurangzib had made Kázi of his camp, contended that the weakness and age of Sháh Jehán made a successor necessary. The Bohora prevailed and the sermon was read in Aurangzib's name.

In A.D. 1662 Juvantsingh received orders to march to the Dakhan and join prince Muazzam against Shiváji the Maráthi leader; and Kutb-ud-dín, governor of Sorath, was directed to act for him in his absence. In this year Mahábat Khán was appointed thirty-third viceroy of Gujárat, and Sardár Khán, the governor of Broach, was sent to Idar to suppress disturbances. About A.D. 1664 Hanmalji or Satarsála Jám of Navánagar died, leaving by a Ráhtod mother a child named Lákhá whom the late chief's brother Ráisinghji with the aid of the Rájá of Kachh and other Jádójas, set aside and himself mounted the throne. Malik Isa, a servant of the family, took Lákhá to Ahmedsháh and invoked the aid of the viceroy. Kutb-ud-dín marching on Navánagar, defeated and slew Ráisingh, took possession of Navánagar, and annexed the territory, changing the name of the city into Islámnagar. Ráisingh's son, Tamáchi, then an infant, escaped and was sheltered in Kachh. In the same year (A.D. 1664) a Balúch personating Dará Shikoh, was joined by many Kolis, and disturbed the peace of the Chínval, now a portion of the Ahmedsháh collectorate north of Virangám. With the aid of Sherkhán Báhi, Mahábat Khán quelled these disturbances, and established two new military posts, one at Gájua under Cambay and one at Belpár under Poitlád.

In this year an imperial decree was received requiring the discontinuance of the following abuses: The charging of blackmail by executive subordinates; A tax on private individuals on their cutting their own trees; Forced purchases by state servants; The levy by local officers of a tax on persons starting certain crafts; The levy of a tax on laden carts and on cattle for sale; The closing of Hindu shops on the Jain *Puchuan* and at the monthly eleventh or *Ehidan*; Forced labour; The exclusive purchase of new grain by revenue officers; The exclusive sale by officers of the vegetables and other produce of their gardens; A tax on the slaughtering of cattle in addition to that on their sale; Payments to the Ahmednagar Kolis to prevent Musalmáns praying in the Ahmednagar mosque; The re-opening of certain Hindu temples; The aggressive conduct and obscenity practised during the Holi and Diváli holidays; The sale by Hindus of toy horses and elephants

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Aurangzib
Emperor,
1658-1707.
Juvantsingh
Thirty-second
Viceroy,
1659-1662.

Juvantsinghji
sent against
Shivaji,
1662.

Maníar
Khán
Thirty-third
Viceroy,
1662-1665.

Capture of
Navánagar
(Islamnagar),
1664.

Chapter III
Mughal
Viceroys.

Aurangzib
Emperor.
1658-1707.

MAHĀBAT
KHĀN
Thirty-third
Viceroy.
1662-1668.

Shivaji Plunders
Surat,
1664.

Copper Coinage
Introduced,
1665.

KHĀN JEHĀN
Thirty-fourth
Viceroy.
1668-1671.

during Musalmān holidays; The exclusive sale of rice by certain rich Banias; The exclusive purchase by Imperial officers of roses for the manufacture of rosewater; The mixed gatherings of men and women at Musalmān shrines; The setting up of *seeras* or holy hands and the sitting of harlots on roadsides or in markets; The charging by revenue officers of scarcity rates; The special tax in Parāntij, Medasa, Vadnagar, Bistāpur, and Hārsol on Musalmān owners of mango trees; The levy of duty both at Surat and Ahmedābād from English and Dutch merchants.¹

In the same year (A.D. 1664) Shivaji made a rapid descent on Surat, then undefended by walls, and, by plundering the city, created great alarm over the whole province. The viceroy, Mahābat Khān marched to Surat with the following chiefs and officers: Jagmal, proprietor of Sānand; the governor of Dholka; Shādimal, chief of Idar; Sayad Hasan Khān, governor of Idar; Muhammad Abid with 200 superior landholders of the district of Kadi; the Rāja of Dāngarpur; Sabasingh Rāja of Wadhvān and other chiefs of Shālāvāgh; Lal Kālīn chief of Māndva in the Gāikwār's dominions near Atarsūmka; the chief of Eloi under Ahmednagar in the Mahi Kāntia Agency; Prathirāj of Haldaryās; and the chief of Belpār. Before the viceroy's army arrived at Surat Shivaji had carried off his plunder to his head-quarters at Rāygaḍ.² After remaining three months at Surat levying tribute from the superior landholders, the viceroy returned to Ahmedābād, and Inayat Khān, the revenues collector of Surat, built a wall round the town for its protection. About this time Kutb-ud-din Khān, governor of Sorath, was sent with an army to aid the Mahārāja Jasvantsingh in the Dakhan and Saclār Khān was appointed in his place. In A.D. 1666 the Marāṭhas again attacked and plundered Surat, and in the same year the deposed emperor Shah Jehān died. Aurangzib attempted to induce the English to supply him with European artillerymen and engineers. The request was evaded. In this year the viceroy, Mahābat Khān, in place of the old iron coins, introduced a copper coinage into Gujrat. Saclār Khān, the governor of Jūnāgaḍh, was put in charge of Islāmnagar (Navānagar) and 500 additional horsemen were placed under him. Special checks by branding and inspection were introduced to prevent nobles and others keeping less than their proper contingent of horse. In the same year the cultivator who paid the rent was acknowledged to be the owner of the land and a system of strengtheners or *takāvi* after due security was introduced.

In A.D. 1668, Bahādur Khān Khān Jehān, who had formerly been viceroy of Allāhābād, was appointed viceroy of Gujrat, with Hājī Shafi Khān, and afterwards Khwājā Muhammad Hāshim, as his ministers. Khān Jehān joined his government in A.D. 1669, and in A.D. 1670 Shivaji again plundered Surat. In A.D. 1670 Shivaji made

¹ Mirat-i-Kūmudi, Persian Text, 274, 279.

² Rāygaḍ (north latitude 18° 34', east longitude 73° 30'), the name given in A.D. 1662 to Kadi, a hill fortress in the Mahād sub-division of the Kolāba collectorate. Shivaji took the place and made it his capital in A.D. 1662.

an attempt on Janjira,¹ the residence and stronghold of the Sidi or Abyssinian admirals of Bijapur, Sidi Yákút the commander of Janjira applied for aid to the governor of Surat. On his offering to become a vassal of the emperor and place his fleet at the emperor's disposal, Sidi Yákút received the title of Yákút Khán, and a yearly subsidy of £15,000 (Rs. 1,50,000) payable from the port of Surat. About the same time Sayad Diler Khán, who had accompanied Mahārāja Jasvantsingh to the Dakhan, was recalled by the viceroy Khán Jehán and appointed governor of Sorath in place of Sardár Khán, who was sent to Idar. Sayad Haular, in charge of the military post of Haidarābād, about twenty-four miles south of Ahmedābād, reported that he had put down the rebellion but recommended that a small fort should be built. In A.D. 1670 the emperor summoned Diler Khán to discuss Dakhan affairs, and sent him to the seat of war, replacing him in the government of Sorath by Sardár Khán.

In A.D. 1671, Bahādur Khán Khán Jehán was sent as viceroy to the Dakhan. He was relieved by the Mahārāja Jasvantsingh, who, as viceroy, received an assignment of the districts of Dhamdhūka and Pithā. In A.D. 1673 through the intercession of the viceroy, Jám Tumshí, the son of Ráisingh, on condition of serving the viceroy and of keeping order was restored to Navánagar, and twenty-five villages were granted to certain dependant Jádaja Rajputs. So long as the emperor Aurangzib lived the city of Navánagar (Ishtinagar) remained in the hands of a Musalmán noble, the Jám residing at Khambhatia, a town about thirty miles south-west of the head-quarters of the state. In A.D. 1707, on Aurangzib's death, the Jám was allowed to return to Navánagar where he built a strong fort. Similarly so long as Aurangzib lived, the Jám forbore to work the pearl fisheries in the Gulf of Kachh, but afterwards again made use of this source of revenue. Early in 1674 an order issued forbidding the levy from Mussalmáns of *rahādari* or transit dues, of taxes on fish vegetables grass firewood and other forest produce, on Muhammadan artisans, and many other miscellaneous dues. The officer in charge of Morvi, which was then an imperial district, was ordered to strive to increase its population and revenue, and the chief of Portandar, also an imperial district, on condition of service and of protecting the port was allowed a fourth share of its revenue. Much discontent was caused by enforcing an imperial order confiscating all *waríftak* land, that is all land held on religious tenure by Hindus.

About the close of the year A.D. 1674, Mahārāja Jasvantsinghji was relieved and sent to Kábul, and Muhammad Amin Khán Umdat-ul-Mulk, who had just been defeated at Kábul, was appointed thirty-sixth viceroy of Gujarát, receiving an assignment of the districts of Pátan and Virangám. Among the military posts mentioned in the Mirát-i-Ahmedí is that of Sádra or Shaladarah the present head-quarters of the Mahi Kántha Agency, also called Islámábád,² which was under the

Chapter III. Mughal Viceroys.

Aurangzib
Emperor,
1658-1707.
Khán Jánís
Thirty-fourth
Viceroy,
1668-1671.

Sidi Yákút the
Mughal Admiral,
1670.

Mahārāja
Jasvantsingh
Thirty-fifth
Viceroy,
1671-1674.

Muhammad
Amin Khán
Umdat-ul-Mulk
Thirty-sixth
Viceroy,
1674-1683.

¹ Janjira (north latitude 17° 29' to 18° 32') that is *Jatira* the Island, on the western coast, about forty-four miles south of Bombay.

² Another post of Islámábád was at Panádra in the *pargana* of Ázamábád on the Wairak about twenty-one miles east-south-east of Ahmedábád. Ázamábád was built

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Aurangzib
Emperor,
1658-1707.
MUHAMMAD
AMIN KHAN
UNDAT-UL-MULK
Thirty-sixth
Viceroy,
1674-1683.
Increased
Power of the
Babi Family.

command of Sayad Kamāl, son of Sayad Kāmil. The Babi family were now rising into importance. Muhammad Muzaffar, son of Sher Khān Bābi, was governor of Kadi, and Muhammad Mubārīz, another son of Sher Bābi, was in charge of one of the posts under Kadi. Kamāl Khān Jhalori, who had been removed from the government of Pālanpur and replaced by Muhammad Fāteb, was now restored to his former post. About the same time, at the representation of Mulla Hasan Gujarāti, twenty-one villages were taken from Bijāpur and Kadi and Pātan and formed into the separate division of Visalnagar. In A.D. 1676, the fort of Jānāgadh was put into repair, and Sheikh Nizām-ud-dīn Ahmed, minister of Gujarāt, was sent to Mālwa, and was succeeded by Muhammad Sharif. The Kānkrej Kolis were again rebellious, and Muhammad Amin Khān Undat-ul-Mulk went against them and remained four months in their country, subduing them and enforcing tribute. In the end of A.D. 1678, the viceroy paid his respects to the emperor at Ajmir. The emperor forbade the firing of Musalmān officials as contrary to the Muhammadan law and directed that if guilty of any fault they should be imprisoned or degraded from office, but not fined. An order was also given to change the name of the new Visalnagar district to Rasūlnagar.

Revolt of Idar,
1679.

At this time (A.D. 1679) the emperor was doing his utmost to crush both the Rāna of Udaipur and the Rāthods of Mārwar. While the emperor was at Chitor, Bhimsing the Rāna's youngest son raided into Gujarāt plundering Vadnagar Visalnagar and other towns and villages. The chief of Idar, thinking the opportunity favourable for regaining his independence, expelled the Muhammadan garrison from Idar and established himself in his capital. Muhammad Amin Khān sent Muhammad Bahlol Khān Shirwāni who with the help of the Kasbatīs of Parāntij re-took Idar, and the chief pursued by Bahlol Khān fled to the hills, where he died in a cave from want of his usual dose of opium to which he was much addicted. His body was found by a woodcutter who brought the head to Bahlol Khān. The head was recognized by the chief's widow, who from that day put on mourning. Muhammad Bahlol Khān was much praised, and was appointed to the charge of Idar, and at the same time the minister Muhammad Sharif was succeeded by Abdūl Latif.¹

To this time belongs an imperial decree imposing the *jaryak* or head tax on all subjects not professing the Muhammadan faith, and another regulating the levy from Musalmāns of the *sabūt* or poor rate.² In 1681 a severe famine led to riots in Ahmedābād. As the

by Amin Khān during his viceroyalty (A.D. 1636-1645) and at his request by permission of the emperor Shāh Jehān was erected into a *pargana*. For the pay of the garrison twelve villages were attached from the neighbouring *parganas* of Bahyal and Kapadvanj.

¹ The *Miskat-i-Ahmedi* (Persian Text, 311) adds that Bahlol's following of *Khaddis* was so poorly equipped that he had to mount many of them, for whom he could not find horses, on bullocks. The sense of security in the mind of the Idar chief bred by contempt at the sight of this motley crowd was the chief cause of Bahlol's success.

² The *sabūt* or purification is the tax required by law to be given annually to the poor. It is levied on camels, oxen, buffaloes, sheep, goats, horses, asses, mules, and gold or silver whether in money or ornaments or vessels. The tax is not levied on any

viceroy Muhammad Amin was returning in state from the Id prayers Abu Bakr an Ahmedabad Sheikh instigated the people to throw stones and dust. The viceroy's bodyguard attacked the mob, but owing to the viceroy's forbearance no serious results followed. On hearing of the riot the emperor ordered the city to be put under martial law. The more politic viceroy contented himself by inviting Sheikh Abu Bakr and others to a banquet. After dinner he gave a piece of a poisoned watermelon to Abu Bakr, who died and the riot with him. In A.D. 1683 Muhammad Amin the viceroy died. According to the *Mirāt-i-Ahmedi*, Muhammad Amin was one of the best of Gujarāt governors. The emperor Aurangzib used to say "No viceroy of mine keeps order like Amin Khān."

Amin Khān was succeeded by Mukhtār Khān as thirty-seventh viceroy, Abdul Latif continuing to hold the office of minister. Fresh orders were passed forbidding import dues on merchandise, fruit, grass, firewood, and similar produce entering Ahmedabad. In 1682 a decree was received ordering pauper prisoners to be provided with rations and dress at the cost of the state. In 1683 the *Sābarmiti* rose so high that the water reached as far as the *Tā Dargīzāh* or Triple Gateway in the west of Ahmedabad city. In consequence of disturbances in Sorath the viceroy called on the minister to advance funds for an expedition. The minister refused to make advances without special orders from the emperor. On a reference to court the minister was directed to make advances in emergent cases. In A.D. 1684, at the request of the inhabitants of that city Abdār Rahmān Krori, the governor of Deva Pītan, was removed and in his place Muhammad Sayad chose Sardār Khān as governor of Sorath. In the following year on the death of Sardār Khān at Thatha in Sindh, where he had gone as viceroy, he was, in the first instance, succeeded in the government of Sorath by Sayad Muhammad Khān. Not long after Sorath was assigned as a personal estate to the emperor's second son prince Muhammad Azam Shāh Bahādūr and during the prince's absence Shāhwardi Khān was sent to manage its affairs. In A.D. 1684 a famine in Gujarāt raised the price of grain in Ahmedabad to such a degree that Sheikh Muhy-ud-din, the son of the Kāzi and regulator of prices, was mobbed.

On the death of the viceroy in 1684 prince Muhammad Azam Shāh was nominated to succeed him with Kārtalab Khān, governor of Sorath, as his deputy. Before the prince took charge Kārtalab Khān was raised to the post of viceroy, and Muhammad Tāhir appointed minister. In addition to his command as viceroy of Gujarāt, Kārtalab Khān was afterwards placed in charge of Jodhpur. In this rearrangement besides his previous personal estate, the district of Petlād was assigned to prince Muhammad Azam Shāh, and Sher Afghan Khān, son of Shāhwardi Khān, was appointed governor of Sorath. In A.D.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Aurangzib
Emperor.
1658-1707.

MUKHTĀR
KHĀN
Thirty-seventh
Viceroy.
1683-1684.

Pamlae,
1684.

SHUJĀAT KHĀN
(KĀRTALAB
KHĀN)
Thirty-eighth
Viceroy.
1684-1703.

one who owns less than a minimum of five camels, thirty oxen, forty-five sheep, five horses, two hundred *dhows* or twenty *dhows*. The proportion to succor is generally one fortieth; the amount may be paid either in kind or in money. Compare Stanley Lane Poole's *Arabian Society in the Middle Ages*, 14.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Aurangzib
Emperor,
1658-1707.
SHUJAAT KHAN
(KARTALAB
KHAN)

Thirty-eighth
Viceroy,
1684-1703.

He Quells a
Mutiny,
1689.

1687, Sher Afghan Khan was relieved by Bahlol Shirwani, but in the following year was restored to his command. In A.D. 1689, on the news of the death of its governor Inayat Khan, Kartalab Khan started to settle the affairs of Jodhpur. As soon as he left Ahmedabad, a rumour spread that a new viceroy was coming, and the troops, with whom as well as with the people of Gujarat Kartalab was most popular, grew mutinous. On hearing of this disturbance Kartalab Khan at once returned to Ahmedabad and quelled the mutiny. His firmness so pleased the emperor that he gave him the title of Shujaat Khan, and placed the governor of Jodhpur under his orders. Shujaat Khan now proceeded to Jodhpur, where Durgadas Rathod, who had incited prince Abkar to rebellion, and Ajitsingh, the son of Mahārāja Jaswantisingh, were causing disturbance. Finding that a strong resident governor was required to keep the insurgents in check, Shujaat Khan appointed Kāzim Beg Muhammad Amin, a brave and resolute soldier, to be his deputy and returned to Ahmedabad. During this viceroyalty the pay of the leader or *jumadār* of a troop of fifty horse was fixed at £10 (Rs. 100); of a *do-aspak* or two-horse trooper at £5 (Rs. 50); and of an *ek-aspak* or one-horse trooper at £3 (Rs. 30) a month. An imperial order was also issued directing the levy on merchandise to be taken at the place and time of sale instead of the time and place of purchase. As this change caused loss to the revenue the old system was again adopted. In A.D. 1690 the minister Amānat Khan, with the title of Humād Khan, was made military governor of Surav, and Sayad Muhaim was chosen minister in his place. To prevent the peons of great officials extorting fees and dues officials were forbidden to entertain peons without payment.

Revolt of Matias
and Momins,
1691.

In the following year (A.D. 1691) an attempt on the part of the emperor to suppress a body of Musalmān sectarians led to a somewhat serious insurrection. Sayad Shaliji was the religious preceptor of the Matias of Khandesh and the Momins of Gujarat, two classes of converted Hindus closely allied to the Khojās of Kāthiāvāda, all of them being followers of Sayad Inām-ud-din an Ismāīlīth missionary who came to Gujarat during the reign of Mahmūd Begadā (A.D. 1459-1513). Hearing that his followers paid obeisance to their veiled spiritual guide by kissing his toe, the emperor ordered the guide to be sent to court to be examined before the religious doctors. Afraid of the result of this examination, the Sayad committed suicide and was buried at Karamtah nine miles south of Ahmedabad. The loss of their leader so enraged his followers that, collecting from all sides, they marched against Broach, seized the fort, and slew the governor. The insurgents held the fort of Broach against the governor of Baroda who was sent to punish them, and for a time successfully resisted the efforts of his successor Nazar Ali Khan. At last, at an unguarded spot, some of the besiegers stole over the city wall and opening the gates admitted their companions. The Momins were defeated and almost all slain as they sought death either by the sword or by drowning to merit their saint's favour in the next world.

Disturbances in
Kāthiāvāda,
1692.

In A.D. 1692 Shujaat Khan, during his tribute-gathering campaign in Jhālāvāda and Sorath, stormed the fort of Thān, the head-quarters

of the plundering Káthís and after destroying the fort returned to Ahmedábad. Shujáát Khán was one of the ablest of Gujarát viceroys. He gave so much of his attention to the management of Jodhpur, that he used to spend about six months of every year in Márwár. He beautified Ahmedábad by building the college and mosque still known by his name near the Lal Gate. In A.D. 1642 two hundred cart-loads of marble were received from the ancient buildings at Pátan and the deputy governor Safdar Khán Bábi wrote that if a thousand cart-loads more were required they could be supplied from the same source. At this time the emperor ordered that Sheikh Akram-ud-dín, the local tax-collector, should levy the head tax from the Hindus of Pátanpur and Jhalor. The viceroy deputed Muhammad Majáhid, son of Kamál Khán Jhalori, governor of Pátanpur to help in collecting. As Durgádás Báthod was again stirring tumults and sedition in Márwár, the viceroy went to Jodhpur, and by confirming their estates to the chief vassals and landholders and guaranteeing other public measures on condition of service, persuaded them to abandon their alliance with Durgádás against whom he sent his deputy Kázim Beg, who expelled him from Márwár. After appointing Kanvar Mukhamsingh, governor of Mertha in Márwár, Shujáát Khán returned to Ahmedábad. In A.D. 1693, at the request of Sher Afghan Khán, governor of Sorath, the walls of the fort of Jagat were restored. In this year the viceroy went to Jhaláwáda to exact tribute. On his return to Ahmedábad Safdar Khán Bábi, governor of Pátan, wrote to the viceroy, and at his request the forts of Kambhoi and Sámprah were repaired. The viceroy now went to Jodhpur and from that returned to Ahmedábad. A circumstance in connection with a sum of Rs. 7000 spent on the repairs of forts illustrates the close imperial supervision of provincial accounts. The item having come to imperial notice from the provincial disbursement sheets was disallowed as unfair and ordered to be refunded under the rule that such charges were to be met out of their incomes by the local governors and military deputy governors. Imperial officers were also from time to time deputed to collect from the books of the *darís* statements of provincial disbursements and receipts for periods of ten years that they might render an independent check. In this year the emperor hearing that Ajítsingh and Durgádás were again contemplating rebellion ordered the viceroy to Jodhpur. Muhammad Mubáriz Bábi was at the same time appointed deputy governor of Vadnagar, and an order was issued that the revenue of Pátan should be paid to Shujáát Khán instead of as formerly into the imperial treasury. In this year also Safdar Khán Bábi, governor of Pátan, was succeeded by Mubáriz Khán Bábi. Not long afterwards under imperial orders the viceroy directed Muhammad Mubáriz Bábi to destroy the Vadnagar temple of Hateshwar-Mahádev the Nágár Bráhmans' special guardian.

In A.D. 1696, Muhammad Bahloí Shirwáni, governor of Baroda, died, and his place was supplied by Muhammad Beg Khán. During this year the viceroy again went to Jodhpur and remained there for some months. In A.D. 1697 Baláki Beg the mace-bearer arrived from the imperial court to settle disputes connected with the Navánagar succe-

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.Aurangzib
Emperor.
1658-1707.Shujáát Khán
(Kántalá
Kúls)
Thirty-eighth
Viceroy.
1654-1703.Disturbances
in Márwár.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.Aurangzib
Emperor,
1658-1707.SHUJAAT KHAN
(KABTALAN
KHAN).Thirty-eighth
Viceroy,
1654-1703.Durgadas Rathod
recommended to
the Emperor,
1697.

sion, and to inquire into complaints made by the inhabitants of Sorath. In 1696 an imperial circular was addressed to all officers in charge of districts ordering them to show no respect or consideration for royalty in their efforts to capture or kill the rebel prince Akbar. About the same time Durgadas Rathod, in whose charge were the son and daughter of prince Akbar, made an application to Shujaat Khan, proposing a truce, and saying that he wished personally to hand the children to their grandfather. Shujaat Khan agreed and Durgadas restored Akbar's children to the emperor. Aurangzib finding the children able to repeat the whole Kurān was much pleased with Durgadas, and made peace with him, assigning him as a personal estate the lands of Mertha in Jodhpur, and afterwards adding to this the grant of Dhandhuka and other districts of Gujarāt. In consequence of a failure of crops the price of grain rose so high that the government share of the produce was brought to Ahmedabad and sold in public to the poor and needy. About this time Muhammad Muhariz Babi was killed by a Koli who shot him with an arrow while he was seeking the village of Samprah.¹ Safdar Khan Babi was appointed deputy governor of Patan in his stead.

In the same year it was reported to the emperor that the money-changers and capitalists of Ahmedabad in making payments passed money short of weight to poor men and in receiving charged an exchange of two to three *tanke* the rupee. The Subah and minister were ordered to stop the currency of rupees more than two *surah* short.²

Scarcity,
1698.

In A.D. 1698, on the death of Itimad Khan, his son Muhammad Muhsin was made minister, and he was ordered to hand the district of Mertha to Durgadas Rathod. Among other changes Muhammad Munim was raised to the command of the fort of Jodhpur and Khwajah Abdul Hamid was appointed minister. Owing to a second failure of rain 1698 was a year of much scarcity in Marwar and north Gujarāt. The accounts of this year notice a petition addressed to the viceroy by a Siner Brahman, praying that he might not be seized as a carrier or labourer.³ In connection with some revenue and civil affairs, a difference of opinion arose between Shujaat Khan and Safdar Khan Babi, deputy governor of Patan. Safdar Khan resigned, and, until a successor was appointed, Muhammad Bahadur Shirwani was directed to administer the Patan district. In the same year the emperor bestowed the government of Sorath on Muhammad Beg Khan. In A.D. 1699 Durgadas Rathod obtained from the emperor not only a pardon for Ajitsingh, son of the late Maharaja Jasvantsingh, but procured him

¹ This Samprah according to the *Nirak-i-Ahmadi*, Persian Text, II, 127, was a small police post or *dhana* in Pargannah Bahyal, twenty miles north-east of Ahmedabad. It is now in the Gaskwar's territory. Bahyal was under Patan, so in the text this place is described as under Patan.

² The *surah* or little black-dotted red seed of the *Abrus precatorius* is called *ghangoli* in Hindi and cock's-eye, *shushmi-i-khamsi*, in Persian. As a weight the seed is known as a *ruhi* 96 going to the tola. It is used in weighing precious stones. Blochmann's *Asiatick Aker*, I, 16 note 1 and *Nirak-i-Ahmadi* Persian Text, 366.

³ Siner in Baroda territory on the right bank of the Narbadā about thirty miles south of Baroda.

an assignment of lands in, as well as the official charge of, the districts of Jhalor and Sāchor in Mārwar. Mujahid Khan Jhalori, who as representing a family of landholders dating as far back as the Gujarāt Sultāns, had held Jhalor and Sāchor, now received in their stead the lands in Pālanpur and Dīsa which his descendants still hold. In this year also (A.D. 1699) Amānat Khān, governor of Surat, died, and the Marāṭhās making a raid into the province, Shujāat Khān sent Nazar Ali Khān to drive them out. About this time an imperial order arrived, addressed to the provincial *dīwān* directing him to purchase 1000 horses for the government at the average rate of £20 (Rs. 200).

In A.D. 1700 on the death of Fīrūz Khān Mewāti, deputy governor of Jodhpūr, the viceroy appointed in his place Muhammad Zāhid from Vīrangām. Rāja Ajītsingh of Mārwar was now ordered to repair to court, and as he delayed, a *wakāf* or speed fine was imposed upon him in agreement with Shujāat Khān's directions. About this time an order came to Kamāl Khān Jhalori for the despatch to the emperor of some of the Pālappur *chādās* or hunting leopards which are still in demand in other parts of India. In the same year the manager of Dhandhūka on behalf of Durgādās Rāthod, asked the viceroy for aid against the Kāthīs, who were plundering that district. The viceroy ordered Mohammad Beg, governor of Sorāth, to march against them. At this time Shujāat Khān despatched Nazar Ali Khān with a large force to join the imperial camp which was then at Panhāla in Kolhāpur. Shujāat Khān, who had so long and ably filled the office of viceroy in a most critical time, died in A.D. 1703. In his place prince Muhammad Aāzam Shah, who was then at Dhār in Mālwa, was appointed thirty-ninth viceroy of Gujarāt, as well as governor of Ajmīr and Jodhpur; and until his arrival the minister Khwājāh Abdūl Hamīd Khān was ordered to administer the province. Owing to the recall of the late governor's troops from many of the posts disorders broke out in the Pātan districts and the Kolis plundered the country and made the roads impassable.

On his way from the Dakhan to Ahmedābād, the chief of Jhābua, a state now under the Bhopāwar Agency, paid his respects to the new viceroy and presented him with a tribute of £1800 (Rs. 16,000). Among other arrangements the prince sent to Jodhpur Jāfar Kuli, son of Kāzim Beg, as deputy governor, and appointed Durgādās Rāthod governor of Pātan. Shortly after, on suspicion of his tampering with the Rāthod Rajputs, an order came from the emperor to summon Durgādās to the prince's court at Ahmedābād, and there confine him or slay him.¹ Safdar Khān Bābi, who, in displeasure with Shujāat Khān had retired to Mālwa, returned and offered to slay or capture Durgādās, who was accordingly invited to the prince's court at Ahmedābād. Durgādās came and pitched his camp at the village of Bāreja on the Sāharmati near Ahmedābād. On the day Durgādās was to present himself, the prince, on pretence of a hunt, had ordered the attendance of a strong detachment of the army.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Aurangzib
Emperor,
1658-1707.

Shujāat Khān
Kāstān
Khān
Thirty-ninth
Viceroy,
1684-1703.

PRINCE
MUHAMMAD
AĀZAM
Thirty-ninth
Viceroy,
1703-1705.

Intrigue against
Durgādās Rāthod,
1702.

¹ Mirāt-i-Ahmedi, Persian Text, 372.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Aurangzib
Emperor,
1658-1707.

Prince
Mohammad
Azam
Thirty-ninth
Viceroy,
1703-1705.

Durgadas
Rathod
Escapes.

When all was ready and Safdar Khan Babi and his sons appeared mailed and gauntleted the prince sent for Durgadas. As this day was an eleventh or *agidas* Durgadas had put off waiting on the prince until the fast was over. Growing suspicious of the number of messengers from the prince, he burned his tents and fled. Safdar Khan Babi was sent in pursuit. He was overtaking Durgadas when Durgadas' grandson, praying his grandfather to make good his escape, stayed behind with a band of followers, charged the pursuers, and after a gallant combat, he and his Rajputs were slain. The grandson of Durgadas was killed in a hand-to-hand fight with Salabat Khan, the son of Safdar Khan Babi. Emerald rings are to this day worn by youths of the Babi families of North Gujarat in memory of the emerald earrings which adorned the young Rajput and were afterwards worn by Salabat as trophies of this fight. Meanwhile Durgadas had reached Unjah-Unawa, forty miles east of Patan, and from Unjah made his way to Patan. From Patan, taking his family with him, he retired to Thacod, and from that to Marwar, where he was afterwards joined by Ajitsingh of Marwar, whom the emperor opposed on the ground of illegitimacy. The imperial troops followed and took possession of Patan, putting to death the head of the city police.

In his old age the emperor Aurangzib became more and more strict in religious matters. In 1702 an imperial order forbade the making of almanacs as contrary to the Muhammadan law. Hindus were also forbidden to keep Muhammadan servants.

Surat,
1700-1703.

About this time (A.D. 1700) news arrived that the Marathas with a force of 10,000 horse were threatening Surat from the foot of the Kasdra pass and the confines of Sultanpur and Nandurlar. The viceroy despatched a body of troops to guard Surat against their incursions. Disputes between the government and the Portuguese were also injuring the trade of the province. In A.D. 1701 the viceroy received an order from Court directing him to destroy the temple of Somnath beyond possibility of repair. The despatch adds that a similar order had been issued at the beginning of Aurangzib's reign. In A.D. 1703, at the request of the merchants of Gujarat, with the view of inducing the Portuguese to let ships from Surat pass unmolested and release some Musalmans who had been imprisoned on their way back from Makkah, orders were issued that certain confiscated Portuguese merchandise should be restored to its owners. An imperial order was also received to encourage the art of brocade weaving in Ahmedabad. In A.D. 1704, Safdar Khan Babi was raised to be governor of Bijapur, about fifty miles north-east of Ahmedabad. Sarandaz Khan was at the same time appointed to Sorath instead of Muhammad Beg Khan, who was placed in charge of the lands round Ahmedabad. As the Marathas once more threatened Surat, Mustafa Kuli, governor of Broach, was sent with 1000 horse to defend the city.

Certain passages in Aurangzib's letters to prince Azam when (A.D. 1703-1705) viceroy of Gujarat, show how keen and shrewd an interest the aged emperor maintained in the government of his viceroys. In Letter 19 he writes to prince Azam: To take the government of Sorath

from Fatah Jang Khān Bābi and give it to your chamberlain's brother is to break a sound glass vessel with your own hands. These Bābis have been time out of mind a respected race in Gujarāt and are well versed in the arts of war. There is no sense in giving the management of Sorath to anyone but to a Bābi. Sorath is a place which commanders of five thousand like Hasan Alikhān and Safshikan Khān have with difficulty administered. If your officers follow the principles laid down by the late Shujāat Khān, it will be well. If they do not, the province of Gujarāt is such that if order is broken in one or two places, it will not soon be restored. For the rest you are your own master. I say not, do this or do that; look that the end is good, and do that which is easiest. In another passage (Letter 37 to the same prince Aāzam) Aurangzib writes: You who are a well intentioned man, why do you not retaliate on oppressors? Over Hājipur Aminpūr and other posts, where atrocities occur every day, and at Kapulvanj where the Kolis rob the highways up to the posts, you have made your chamberlain and artillery superintendent your commandant. He entrusted his powers to his carrion-eating and fraudulent relatives. Owing to his influence the oppressed cannot come to you. . . . You ought to give the command to one of the Gujarātis like Safdar Khān Bābi or one of the sons of Bahlūl Shīrwāni who have earned reputations during the administration of the late Shujāat Khān and who are popular with the people. Else I tell you plainly that on the Day of Justice we shall be caught for neglecting to punish the oppressions of our servants.

In A.D. 1705, as the climate of Gujarāt did not agree with prince Aāzam, Ibrāhīm Khān, viceroy of Kashmir, was appointed fortieth viceroy of Gujarāt, and his son Zabardast Khān, viceroy of Lahor, was appointed to the government of Ajmir and Jodhpur. Prince Aāzam at once went to Burhānpur in Khāndesh, handing charge of Gujarāt to the minister Abdāl Hamīd Khān until the new viceroy should arrive. Durgādās Rāthod now asked for and received pardon. Abdāl Hamīd Khān was ordered to restore the lands formerly granted to Durgādās, and Durgādās was directed to act under Abdāl Hamīd's orders. In A.D. 1705 the emperor learned that Khānji, a successor of Kutb the high priest of the Ismā'illī Bohorās, had sent out twelve missionaries to win people to his faith, and that his followers had subscribed Rs. 1,14,000 to relieve those of their number who were imprisoned. The emperor ordered that the twelve missionaries should be secured and sent to him and appointed Sunni Mullās to preach in their villages and bring the Bohorās' children to the Sunni form of faith.

About this time (A.D. 1705) the Marāthās, who had long been hovering on the south-east frontiers of the province, bursting into south Gujarāt with an army 15,000 strong, under the leadership of Dhanājī Jadhav, defeated the local forces and laid the country waste. Abdāl Hamīd Khān, who was then in charge of the province, ordered all governors of districts and officers in charge of posts to collect their men and advance to Surat. Between Nazar Ali Khān and Safdar Khān Bābi, the officers in command of this

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.Aurangzib
Emperor,
1658-1707.PRINCE
MURAMMAD
AĀZAM
Thirty-ninth
Viceroy,
1703-1705.IBRĀHĪM KHĀN
Fortieth Viceroy,
1705.The Marāthās
enter Gujarāt.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Aurangzeb

Emperor.

1658-1707.

Imrânî Khân
Fortieth Viceroy,
1705.Battle of
Batanpûr.
Defeat of the
Musalmâns,
1705.Battle of the
Bâba Pîrâh
Ford. Second
Defeat of the
Musalmâns,
1705.Koli
Disturbances.PRINCE
MUHAMMAD
BIDÂR BAKHT
Forty-first
Viceroy,
1705-1708.

army, an unfortunate jealousy prevailed. Not knowing where the Marâthâs were to be found, they halted on the Nerbada near the Bâba Pîrâh ford. Here they remained for a month and a half, the leaders contenting themselves with sending out spies to search for the enemy. At last, hearing of the approach of the Marâthâs, they sent to head-quarters asking for artillery and other reinforcements. In reply, Abdûl Hamîd Khân, a man of hasty temper, upbraided them for their inactivity and for allowing so much time to pass without making their way to Surat. Orders were accordingly at once issued for an advance, and the army next halted at Batanpûr in Râjpîpla. Here, apparently from the jealousy of the commanders, the different chiefs pitched their camps at some distance from each other. Finding the enemy's forces thus scattered, the Marâthâs, under the command of Dhanôji Jadhav, lost no time in advancing against them. First attacking the camp of Safdar Khân Bâbi, they defeated his troops, killed his son, and took prisoner the chief himself. Only a few of his men, with his nephew Muhammad Aâzam, escaped to the camp of Nazar Ali Khân. Next, the Marâthâs attacked the army under Muhammad Pundli Khân Shirwâni; and it also they defeated. Of the Musalmân army those who were not slain, drowned in the Nerbada, or captured, reached Broach in miserable plight, where they were relieved by Akbar Ali Khân. Nazar Ali Khân burned his tents and surrendered to the Marâthâs, by whom he was well treated.

The Marâthâs now heard that Abdûl Hamîd Khân was coming with an army to oppose them. Thinking he would not risk a battle, they went to the Bâba Pîrâh ford, and there crossed the Nariada. That very day Abdûl Hamîd Khân, with Muhammad Sher and Muhammad Salûlat, sons of Safdar Khân Bâbi, and others came to the spot where the Marâthâs were encamped. All night long they were harassed by the Marâthâs, and next morning found the enemy ready for a general attack. The Muhammadians, weary with watching, dispirited from the defeats of Safdar Khân, and inferior in number to their assailants, were repulsed and surrounded. The two sons of Safdar Khân Bâbi, and two other nobles, seeing that the day was lost, cut their way through the enemy and escaped, Abdûl Hamîd Khân, Nazar Ali Khân, and many others were taken prisoners. The Marâthâs plundered the Muhammadan camp, declared their right to tribute, levied sums from the adjacent towns and villages and extorted heavy ransoms which, in the case of Abdûl Hamîd Khân was fixed at as large a sum as £30,000 (Rs. 3 lakkhs). The Kolis, seeing the disorganized state of Gujarât, began ravaging the country, and plundered Baroda for two days. At Ahmedabâd Muhammad Beg Khân, who had been appointed governor of Sorath, was recalled to defend the capital. When the news of the defeat at Bâba Pîrâh reached Deldi, the emperor despatched prince Muhammad Bidâr Bakht with a large army to drive out the invaders. Before this force reached Gujarât the Marâthâs had retired.

Prince Muhammad Bidâr Bakht arrived in A.D. 1705 as forty-first viceroy, and appointed Amânât Khân governor of the ports of Surat and Cambay. News was now received that Ajitsingh of Jodhpur and Verisalji of Râjpîpla were about to rebel, and the prince took

measures to check their plans. About this time the emperor, hearing that an attack had been made on the Muhamadan post at Dvárka, ordered the temple to be levelled to the ground. It seems doubtful whether this order was carried out. Nazar Ali Khán, who had formerly enjoyed a grant of Halvad in Jhalaváda, had been driven out by Chandrasingh, chief of Vánkner; but, on condition of his expelling Chandrasingh, these lands were again granted to him. Kamál Khán Jhalóri, leaving under his son Firúz Khán at Pálanpur a body of men for the defence of his charge, advanced to Ahmedábád to guard the city from Maráthá attack. He petitioned that according to Gujarát custom his troops should receive rations so long as they were employed on imperial service. To this request the emperor agreed and issued orders to the provincial minister. Shortly after Durgádás Báthod took advantage of the general confusion to rejoin Ajítsingh, and an army was sent to Tharád against them. Ajítsingh was at first forced to retire. Finally he succeeded in defeating Kunvar Mahkamsingh, and marching on Jodhpur recovered it from Jaáfar Kuli, son of Kázim Beg. Durgádás meanwhile had taken shelter with the Kolis. At the head of a band of robbers, meeting Shah Kuli the son of Kázim Beg on his way to join his appointment as deputy governor of Pátan, Durgádás attacked and killed him. And soon after at Chanár in the Chunvál, laying in wait for Maísúm Kuli, the governor of Virangám, he routed his escort, Maísúm Kuli escaping with difficulty. On condition of being appointed governor of Pátan Safdar Khán Bábi now offered to kill or capture Durgádás. His offer was accepted, and as from this time Durgádás is no more heard of, it seems probable that Safdar Khán succeeded in killing him. As the disturbed state of the province seemed to require a change of government Ibrahim Khán, who had been appointed viceroy in the previous year, was ordered to join his post. This order he reluctantly obeyed in A.D. 1705.

SECTION II.—Fifty Years of Disorder, 1707-1757.

With the death of the emperor Aurangzib, early in A.D. 1707, the period of strong government which had latterly from year to year been growing weaker came to an end. As soon as Aurangzib's death was known, the Maráthás under Báláji Vishvanáth burst into east Gujarát, marching by Jhábuá and Godhra, where they were ineffectually opposed by the governor Murád Baksh. From Godhra they went to and plundered the town of Mahuda in Kaira, and proposed marching on Ahmedábád by way of Nadiád. The viceroy prepared to resist them, and, enlisting special troops, camped outside of the city near the Kánkariya lake. Of the warlike population on the north bank of the Sábarmati opposite Ahmedábád nearly eight thousand Musalmán horse and three thousand foot together with four thousand Rájputé and Kolis in three days gathered at the Kánkariya camp. The viceroy was also joined by Abdúl Hádi Pandemal the viceroy's minister, Abdúl Hamíd Khán provincial minister, Muhammad Beg Khán, Nazar Ali Khán, Safdar Khán Bábi, and several other deputy governors with their retinues and artillery. Though strong in numbers the practised eye of the viceroy failed to find in the host that

Chapter III.

Mughal Viceroy

Aurangzib
Emperor.
1658-1707.

PRINCE
MUHAMMAD
RÍDAR BAKHT
Forty-five
Viceroy.
1705-1706.

Durgádás
Báthod again
in Rebellion.

Ibrahim Khán
Forty-second.
Viceroy.
1708.

The Maráthás
advance to
Ahmedábád
and levy Tribute,
1705.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Bahādur
Shāh I.
Emperor,
1707-1712.
Ismā'īl Khān
Forty-second
Viceroy,
1706.

firmness and unity of purpose which could alone ensure victory over the Marāṭha hordes. The Marāṭhas did much mischief, plundering as far as Batva, only four-and-a-half miles from the viceroy's camp. The author of the *Mirāt-i-Ahmedi*, whose father was an actor in these scenes, describes the panic in the capital of Gujarat which since its capture by Muzaffar in A.D. 1583 had been free from the horrors of war. Crowds of scared and terror-stricken men women and children laden with as much of their property as they could carry were pressing from the suburbs into the city. In the city the streets were crowded with squatters. The cries of parents bereft of children, added to the din and turmoil of the soldiery, was like the horror of the Day of Resurrection. The dejected faces of the soldiers beaten in the late engagements added to the general gloom. The viceroy, thoroughly alarmed, concluded a treaty with Balāji, and on receiving a tribute of £21,000 (Rs. 2,10,000) the Marāṭhas withdrew. Meanwhile, in the contest between the princes for the throne of Delhi, prince Muḥammad Nāẓim Shāh was defeated and slain, and prince Muḥammad Muḥẓam Shāh mounted the throne with the title of Bahādur Shāh. Ibrāhīm Khān was confirmed in the post of viceroy of Gujarat, but, fearing that the emperor might be displeased at his concession of tribute to the Marāṭhas, he went to Delhi to explain his conduct, and there resigned office.

Ghāzi-ud-dīn
Forty-third
Viceroy,
1708-1710.

In A.D. 1708, in consequence of Ibrāhīm Khān's resignation, Ghāzi-ud-dīn Khān Bahādur Firūz Jang was appointed forty-third viceroy of Gujarat. The leaning of the new emperor towards Shīāh tenets and his order to insert in the Friday sermon the words the lawful successor of the Prophet after the name of 'Alī, the fourth Khalifah, besides giving general dissatisfaction, caused a small disturbance in Ahmedābād. On the first Friday on which the sermon was read the Tūrānī or Turk soldiers publicly called on the preacher to desist on pain of death. The preacher disregarding their threats on the next Friday was pulled down from the pulpit by the Tūrānīs and brained with a mace. In the same year (A.D. 1708), hearing that the representative of Shāhī Alam had a copy of a Kurān written by the Imām 'Alī Taqī son of Mūsā Razā (A.C. 810-829), the emperor expressed a wish to obtain a sight of it, and the viceroy sent it to him at Māndu in charge of Sayad Akil and Salālat Khān Bābi. In A.D. 1709, Shariāt Khān, brother of Abdūl Hamīd Khān, was appointed minister in place of his brother, who obtained the office of chief Kāzi. Much treasure was sent to the imperial camp by order of the emperor. Ajītsingh of Mārwar now rebelled and recovered Jodhpur. As the emperor wished to visit Ajmīr the viceroy of Gujarat was directed to join him with his army. At this time the pay of a horseman is said to have been £3 8s. (Rs. 34) and of a footman 8s. (Rs. 4) a month. During his administration Firūz Jang introduced the practice, which his successors continued, of levying taxes on grain piece-goods and garden produce on his own account, the viceroy's men by degrees getting into their hands the whole power of collecting. In A.D. 1710, when on tour exacting tribute, the viceroy fell ill at Dānta and was brought to Ahmedābād, where he died. As Firūz Jang had not submitted

satisfactory accounts, his property was confiscated, and in A.D. 1711 Amirat Khān, governor of Surat, was appointed deputy viceroy with the title of Shahāmat Khān. When Shahāmat Khān was levying tribute from the Kadi and Bijāpur districts, he heard that a Marāthā force had advanced to the Bāla Pārah ford on the Narbada. He at once marched to oppose them, summoning Sayad Ahmed Gilāni, governor of Sorath, to his assistance. When he reached Ankleshvar, the Marāthās met him, and a battle was fought in which the Marāthās were defeated. Shahāmat Khān then proceeded to Surat, and, after providing for its safety returned to Ahmedābād. In spite of their reverse at Ankleshvar the Marāthās from this time began to make yearly raids into Gujarāt.

In A.D. 1712, the emperor died, and was succeeded by his son Abūl Fatah Muizz-ul-dīn Jehāndār Shāh, and Asif-ud-daulah Asad Khān Bahādur was appointed forty-fourth viceroy of Gujarāt. As Muhammad Beg Khān, who was then at Kharkol, was a favourite of the new viceroy and through his interest was appointed deputy, he went to Ahmedābād, and Shahāmat Khān was transferred to Mālwa as viceroy. In the meantime Muhammad Beg Khān was appointed governor of Surat, and Sarbuland Khān Bahādur was sent to Ahmedābād as deputy viceroy. On his way to Gujarāt, Sarbuland Khān was robbed in the Sāgbāra wilds to the east of Rājpipla. On his arrival he promptly marched against the rebellious Kolis of the Chuvāl and subdued them. At the end of the year, as Farrukhsayyar son of Azīm-us-Shāh, second son of the late emperor, was marching with a large army on the capital, Sarbuland Khān returned to Dehli.

This expedition of Farrukhsayyar was successful. He put Jehāndār Shāh to death and mounted the throne in A.D. 1713. As he had been raised to the throne mainly by the aid of Sayads Husain Ali and Abdollah Khān, the new emperor fell under the power of these nobles. Husain Ali was sent against Ajitsingh of Mārwar, and concluded a treaty with that chief, whereby Ajitsingh engaged to send his son to court and to give his daughter to the emperor in marriage: and the marriage was solemnised in A.D. 1715. In A.D. 1714, shortly after this treaty was concluded, Ajitsingh sent his son Abheysingh to court, and on him in place of one Sayad Ahmed Gilāni was conferred the post of governor of Sorath. Abheysingh remained at court and sent his deputy Kāyath Fatehsingh to Jūnāgadh. Abūl Hamid Khān was appointed revenue officer of Surat. After some time he resigned his Surat office and went to court, where on being made superintendent of the shrine of Sheikh Ahmed Khattū he returned to Ahmedābād. In A.D. 1713 Muhtarim Khān was appointed to succeed him in Surat. Early in A.D. 1714, Shahāmat Khān, who had been appointed forty-fifth viceroy of Gujarāt, was superseded by Dāud Khān Pannī as forty-sixth viceroy. The reckless courage of Dāud Khān Pannī was renowned throughout India. His memory survives in the tales and proverbs of the Dakhan. On giving battle he used to show his contempt for his enemies by wearing nothing stronger than a muslin jerkin. So stern was his discipline that none of his Afghan soldiers dared to touch a leaf of the standing crops where they were encamped. When at

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Bahādur
Shāh I.
Emperor.
1707-1712.
GHAZI-UD-DIN
Forty-third
Viceroy.
1708-1710.

Jehāndār
Shāh
Emperor.
1712-1713.
Asif-UD-Daulah
Forty-fourth
Viceroy.
1713-12.

Farrukhsayyar
Emperor.
1713-1719

Shahāmat
Khān
Forty-fifth
Viceroy.
1713.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Farrokhsiyar
Emperor,
1719-1719.
Dara Kuds
Farrukh
Forty-sixth
Viceroy,
1714-16.

Religious Riots
at Ahmedabad,
1714.

Ahmedabad he was either engaged in scattering the Kells or in coursing with greyhounds. He preferred life under canvas on the Sābarmati sands to the viceregal surroundings of the Bhadar Palace. His civil work he used to trust to Dakhan Brahmins and Pandits. He was much devoted to the use of bhang. Until Dāud Khān's arrival Abdāl Hamīd Khān was appointed viceroy and took charge of the province from Shāhmat Khān. At this time, on the security of Rājā Muktāsinh of Nāgor, a sum of £5000 (Rs. 50,000) was granted to the brother of Durgādās Rāthod. In A.D. 1714 in Ahmedabad Harīzām, the agent of Madan Gopāl a successful North Indian banker, who came to Ahmedabad as treasurer with Firūz Jang, while celebrating the Holi with his friends, seized a Musalmān gentleman and handled him with great roughness. Aggrieved with this treatment the Musalmān complained to a preacher of much eloquence and influence, Mulla Muhammad Ali. The preacher took the Muslim to the Assembly Mosque and sent for Mulla Abdāl Azīz the chief or leading member of the Sunni Bohora community. He answered the call with a strong party of his men, and on his way was joined by numbers of Musalmāns both soldiers and citizens. With cries of 'Din' 'Din' they went to the mosque and carried off the insulted man and the priest and the Bohra leader to the house of the Kāzi Khān-ul-Jah. The Kāzi closed his doors against the crowd who returned abusing him to the Jewellers' quarter pillaging and killing as they went. They next swarmed towards Madan Gopāl's Haveli in the Jewellers' quarters. But the Nagarsheth Kapūrehand Bhānsālī closed its strong gates and with his Musalmān soldiers met the swarm with firearms. The viceroy who was camped at the Shāhī Bāgh sent soldiers and under the influence of the leading citizens of both classes the disturbance was quelled. When the particulars of the riots were known in the imperial camp the Hindus, clamouring against Mulla Muhammad Ali and Sheikh Abdāl Azīz Gujarātī, struck business and closed their shops. The emperor ordered mace-bearers to proceed to Gujarāt and bring the Musalmān ringleaders together with the Hindu Nagarsheth Kapūrehand Bhānsālī. Some Bohoras at the imperial camp, sending advance news to Ahmedabad, the Mullah and the Bohora Sheth and after him the Bhānsālī started for the imperial camp. On reaching the camp the Mulla, who was very impressive and eloquent, preached a sermon in the Assembly Mosque and his fame reaching the emperor he was called to court and asked to preach. He and the Sheth were now able to explain their case to the emperor and the Bhānsālī was imprisoned. It is said that the Bhānsālī made the Mulla the medium of his release and that he and the Bohora returned to Gujarāt while the Mulla remained in honour at court till he died. About the same time a great flood in the Sābarmati did much damage.

Abdāl Hamīd Khān was now chosen governor of Sorath in place of Abheysingh, and Momīn Khān was appointed from Delhi, governor of Surat, and was at the same time placed in charge of Baroda, Broach, Dholka, Petlad, and Nadiād. Dāud Khān the viceroy now went into Kāthiāvāda and Navānagar to collect tribute, and on his return to Ahmedabad, married the daughter of the chief of Halvad in the

Jhalāvāḍa sub-division of Kāthiāvāḍa. It is related that this lady, who was with child, on hearing of Dāud Khān's death cut open her womb and saved the child at the sacrifice of her own life.¹ Dāud Khān, though an excellent soldier and strict disciplinarian failed to distinguish himself as a civil administrator. He introduced Dakhani *pandits* into official posts, who levied a fee called *chithyāman* from landholders and took taxes from the holdings of Sayads and otherwise made themselves unpopular.

About this time Momīn Khān, governor of Surat, arrived in Gujarāt, and placing his deputies in Petlād, Dholka, Baroda, and Nadiād, went himself to Surat in A.D. 1715. Here he was opposed by the commandant of the fort, Zia Khān, who was obliged to give way, his subordinate, Sayad Kāsim, being defeated by Fīlā-ud-dīn Khān. At this time much ill feeling was caused by the plunder by Muhammadan troops of the shops of some Hindu merchants in Ahmedābād. On this account, and for other reasons, Dāud Khān was recalled, and Ghazni Khān Jhalori was directed to act in his place until the arrival of a new viceroy. In this year, A.D. 1715, the Mahārāja Ajitsingh was appointed forty-seventh viceroy of Gujarāt, and his son Kānyar Abheysingh was appointed governor of Sorath. Ajitsingh sent Vajerāj Bhandāri to act as his deputy until his arrival, and Fatehsingh Kāvath was chosen deputy governor of Sorath. Perhaps one of the most remarkable appointments of this time was that of Haidar Kūli Khān to be minister as well as military commandant of Baroda, Nāndod, Arhar-Mātar in the district² of Kaira, and of the ports of Surat and Cambay. Haidar Kūli chose an officer to act for him as minister, and after appointing deputies in his different charges himself went to Surat.

The Mahārāja Ajitsingh, on reaching Ahmedābād, appointed Ghazni Khān Jhalori governor of Pālanpur and Jawān Mard Khān Bābi governor of Rādhanpur.³ During this year an imperial order conferred on Haidar Kūli Khān, Sorath and Gohilvād or south-east Kāthiāvāḍa⁴ then in charge of Fatehsingh, the viceroy's deputy.⁵ On receiving this order Haidar sent Sayad Akil as his deputy, and that officer went to Jambhār, and, collecting men, set out to join his appointment. He

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Farrukhsiyar
Emperor,
1713-1719.

Dāud
Khan Fāzai
Forty-sixth
Viceroy,
1715-16.

Further Riots
in Ahmedābād,
1715.

Mahārāja
Ajitsingh
Forty-seventh
Viceroy,
1715-16.

¹ Mirāt-i-Ahmadi, Persian Text, 427-434.

² Arhar-Mātar is according to the Mirāt-i-Ahmadi (Persian Text, II. 126) the present Kaira sub-division of Mātar. The Mirāt-i-Ahmadi places it twenty miles south-west of Ahmedābād. It is four miles south-west of Kaira.

³ In the beginning of Ajitsingh's administration the Sacrifice Id of the Musalmāns very nearly ended in a riot. An overzealous police officer belonging to the Kāṭhīvār section of Ahmedābād, hoping to please the Hindu viceroy, by force deprived some of the Sunni Bohorās of that quarter of a cow which they had purchased for the sacrifice. The Bohorās in a mass appealed to the Kāṭhī who not succeeding in his representation to the viceroy was obliged to allay the popular excitement by publicly sacrificing a cow after the fit prayers. Mirāt-i-Ahmadi Royal Asiatic Society MS., I. 567-568.

⁴ This is the first known mention of Gohilvād, the Gohila country, as a separate district.

⁵ During the governorship of Haidar Kūli at Surat the Mirāt-i-Ahmadi (Royal Asiatic Society MS., I. 567-568) notices the death of Mulla Abdul Ghafūr the founder of the wealthy family of the Mullas of Surat. Haidar Kūli confiscated Abdul Ghafūr's property representing to the emperor that the Mulla died lawless. But the Mulla's son Abdālīya proceeding to Dehli not only obtained from the emperor an order of restitution of property but the title of chief of merchants, *Umda-tut-Tujjar*, and an elephant.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroy.

Farrukhsiyar
Emperor.
1713-1719.
MAHARAJA
AJITSINGH
Forty-seventh
Viceroy,
1715-16.

Disagreement
between the
Viceroy and
Haidar Kuli
Khan,
1715.

KHAN DARRA
NASRAT JANG
BARANPUR
Forty-eighth
Viceroy,
1716-1719.

Famine,
1719.

first camped at Lohānah, where the province of Sorath begins, and from Lohānah marched against Pālitāna and plundered the town. The viceroy, who was by no means well disposed to Haidar Kuli Khan, sent a message that if any injury was done in Sorath he would take vengeance on the aggressors; and as neither Ajitsingh nor Haidar Kuli Khan was of a very compliant temper, civil war was on the point of breaking out. By the help of Salābat Khan Bābi, the deputy in Gohilvāda, matters were arranged, and Sayad Akil returned from Sorath. Haidar was anxious to send Salābat Khan as deputy to Sorath. But as Salābat demanded too high a salary, Rama Kuli, brother of the late governor of Baroda, was chosen. When this officer, with his brother Maāsūm Kuli, reached Amreli Fatehsingh, the viceroy's deputy, evacuated Jūnāgaḍh. After this Haidar Kuli Khan, in company with Kāzīm Beg, governor of Baroda, marched against and defeated the chief of Munjpur, now under Rādhānpur, who had refused to pay the usual tribute. The viceroy went to Sorath to collect the imperial revenue, and, owing to his excessive demands, met with armed resistance from the Jām of Navānagar. Finally, the matter of tribute was settled, and after visiting the shrine of Dwārka, the viceroy returned to Ahmedābād.

In A.D. 1716, while the viceroy was at Dwārka, in consequence of numerous complaints against Ajitsingh and his Mārwarī followers, the emperor sent Samu'ud-daulah Khan Darrān Nasrat Jang Bahādur as forty-eighth viceroy of Gujarāt. As it was expected that Ajitsingh would not give up his government without a contest, an army was prepared to compel him to leave. On the arrival of the army Ajitsingh marched straight on Ahmedābād and encamped at Sarkhej, but Nalar Khan persuaded him to retire to Jodhpur without giving battle. In A.D. 1717, after the departure of Ajitsingh, Haidar Kuli Khan, who had been appointed deputy viceroy, leaving Surat set out for Ahmedābād. When Haidar arrived at Pettād, some of the Ahmedābād nobles, among whom was Safdar Khan Bābi, went out to meet him. A dispute arose between one of Haidar's water carriers and a water-carrier in the army of the Bābi, which increased to a serious affray, which from the camp followers spread to the soldiers and officers, and the Bābi's baggage was plundered. Safdar Khan took serious offence, and returning to Ahmedābād collected his kinsmen and followers and marched against Haidar Kuli Khan. In a battle fought on the following day Safdar Khan was defeated. The other Bābis escaped to Pālanpur, and Safdar Khan, who in the first instance had fled to Atarsamla, joined his party at Pālanpur. Muḥammad Pirūz Jhalorī, governor of Pālanpur, with the title of Ghazni Khan, afterwards succeeded in reconciling the Bābis and Haidar Kuli Khan. A.D. 1719 was a year of great famine. Abdu'l Hamīd Khan, who had filled so many appointments in Gujarāt, went to court, and was made governor of Sorath. Haidar Kuli Khan now marched against the Mahi Kolis. In the meantime news was received of the appointment of a new viceroy, and Ghazni Khan, governor of Pālanpur, was ordered to stay at Ahmedābād for the defence of the city.

Early in A.D. 1710, the emperor Farrūkhsiyar was deposed and put to death by the Sayads; and a prince named Rafi'ad-Darajāt, a grandson of the emperor, was raised to the throne. Rafi'ad-Darajāt was put to death by the Sayads after a reign of three months, and his brother Rafi'ad-daulah, who succeeded him, also died after a few days' reign. The Sayads then raised to the throne prince Raushan Akhtar with the title of Muhammad Shāh. After the murder of Farrūkhsiyar, the most powerful vassal in the neighbourhood of Delhi was Ajitsingh of Mārwar. To win him to their side the Sayads granted him the viceroyalty of Gujarāt, and Mihr Ali Khān was appointed to act for him until his arrival, while Muhammad Bahādur Bābi, son of Salāhat Muhammad Khān Bābi, was placed in charge of the police of the district immediately round Ahmedābad. Shortly after, through the influence of the Mahārāja Ajitsingh, Nāhir Khān superseded Mihr Ali Khān as deputy viceroy. Nāhir Khān was also appointed to the charge of Dholka Dohad and Petlād, and made superintendent of customs. About this time the head tax was repealed, and orders were issued that its levy in Gujarāt should cease.

In the same year, A.D. 1710, Pilāji Gaikwār marched on Surat with a large army and defeated the imperial troops commanded by Sayad Akil and Muhammad Panāh, the latter commander being taken prisoner and forced to pay a heavy ransom. Pilāji, finding Gujarāt an easy prey, made frequent incursions, and taking Songad in the extreme south-east established himself there. Mihr Ali Khān, who had been acting for Nāhir Khān, marched against and subdued the Kolis, who were committing piracy in the Mahi estuary. From this year Mughal rule in Gujarāt was doomed. Pilāji Gaikwār was established at Songad, and in the anarchy that ensued, the great Gujarāt houses of the Bābis and Jhāloris, as well as the newly arrived Momān Khān, turned their thoughts to independence. Ajitsingh so hated Muhammadan rule that he secretly favoured the Marāthās, and strove to establish his own authority over such portions of Gujarāt as bordered on Mārwar. In after years, Sarbuland Khān made a vigorous attempt to reassert imperial dominion, but the seeds of dissolution were sown and efforts at recovery were vain.

In A.D. 1720, Ajitsingh the viceroy sent Anopsingh Bhandāri to Gujarāt as his deputy. In this year Nizām-ul-Mulk, viceroy of Ujjain, was superseded by Sayad Dilāwar Khān. While Dilāwar Khān was yet on the Mālwa frontiers the Nizām desirous of possessing himself of the Dakhan and its resources retired to Burhānpur pursued by Sayad Dilāwar Khān, who giving battle was killed, the Nizām retiring to Aurangābād in the Dakhan. Alam Ali Khān, deputy viceroy of the Dakhan, was directed to march against him, while from north Gujarāt Anopsingh Bhandāri was ordered to send 10,000 horse to Surat, and Nāhir Khān, the deputy viceroy, was instructed to proceed thither in person. The Nizām and Alam Ali Khān met near Bālpur in the Berārs and a battle was fought in which the Nizām was successful and Alam Khān was slain. At this time Anopsingh Bhandāri committed many oppressive acts, of which the

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Muhammad
Shah
Emperor,
1721-1748.
MAHARAJA
AJITSINGH
Forty-ninth
Viceroy,
1719-1721.

Pilaji Gaikwar
at Songad,
1719.

Decay
of Imperial
Power,
1720.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Muhammad
Shah
Emperor,
1721-1748.

Nizam-ul-Mulk
Prime Minister,
of the Empire,
1721.

HAIDAR KÖLI
KHAN
Fiftieth Viceroy,
1721-22.

Disorder in
Ahmedabad,
1721.

Leaves Dehli
for Gujarat,
1722.

chief was the murder of Kapurchand Bhansali, the leading merchant of Ahmedabad. The cause of Kapurchand's murder was that he had hired a number of armed retainers who used to oppose the Bhandari's orders and set free people unjustly imprisoned by him. To remove this meddling from his way the Bhandari got him assassinated. In A.D. 1721, Nizam-ul-Mulk was appointed prime minister of the empire, Abdül Hamîd Khân was recalled from Sorath, and in his stead Asad Kuli Khân, with the title of Amir-ul-Umara, was appointed governor of Sorath and sent Muhammad Sharif Khân into Sorath as his deputy.

In A.D. 1721, in conjunction with Muhammad Amin and Saadat Khân, Haidar Kôli Khân freed the emperor from the tyranny of the Sayads, and was rewarded with the title of Muiz-ul-daulah. Haidar Kôli Khân Bahadur Zafar Jang and the vicerealty of Gujarat. He obtained the appointment of minister for his brother Ja'far Kôli Khân. Maksud Kôli Khân was dignified by the title of Shuja'at Khân Bahadur and appointed deputy viceroy. As soon as this change was notified, the people of Ahmedabad, who were discontented with the rule of Anopsingh, attacked his palace, the Bhadar, and he escaped with difficulty. In consequence of the enmity between Haidar Kôli Khân and the Marwâris, Shuja'at Khân, the deputy viceroy, attacked the house of Nâhir Khân who had been Ajitsingh's minister, and forced him to pay £10,000 (Rs. 1 lakh) and leave the city. Shuja'at Khân next interfered with the lands of Sadlar Khân Bâbi, the deputy governor of Godhra and his brothers. On one of the brothers repairing to Dehli and remonstrating, Haidar Kôli, who, above all things, was a Muhammadan and anxious to strengthen himself with the Muhammadan nobility of Gujarat, restored their lands to the Bâbis. In consequence of this decision ill-feeling sprung up between Shuja'at Khân and the Bâbis, and when Shuja'at Khân went to exact tribute he forced Muhammad Khân Bâbi, governor of Kaira, to pay a special fine of £1000 (Rs. 10,000). Shortly after one of the viceroy's officers, Kâsim Ali Khân, while employed against the Kolis of that part of the country, was killed at Pethâpur. Shuja'at Khân advanced, and revenged Kâsim Ali's death by burning the town. Next, he passed into Sorath, and after exacting tribute, crossed to Kachh. The chief opposed him, and in the fight that followed was beaten and forced to pay about £22,500 (Rs. 2½ lakhs).¹ In A.D. 1721, a Sayad was sent to Sorath as deputy governor in place of Muhammad Sharif, and Haidar Kôli was appointed governor of Kadi, the Chinvâl, and Halvad (called Muhammadnagar), and put in charge of Tharâd, Arjâpur, Bhamnâri, Pethâpur, and Kherâlr in place of Vakhatsingh, son of the Mahârâja Ajitsingh.

Early in A.D. 1722, Nizam-ul-Mulk took up the office of prime minister of the empire, to which he had been appointed in the previous year. Strenuous efforts were made to embroil him with Haidar Kôli

¹ The sum is 6,75,000 mahmudiis. Like the *chugtai* (see above page 222 note 2) the mahmudi seems to have varied in value from one-third to one-half of a rupee.

Khān, as the Nizām's austerity and craft were a source of not less anxiety to the Delhi court than Haidar Kālī's more daring and restless ambition. Haidar Kālī Khān, unable to contend with the Nizām, left Delhi and retired to Gujarāt. On his way the villagers of Dabhāli opposed him killing one of his chief men named Alif Beg Khān. Haidar burned the village and put all the people to death, a severity which caused such terror that throughout his rule no difficulty was experienced in realising tribute or in keeping the roads safe. About this time, among other changes, Muhammad Bahādūr, son of Salabat Khān Bābi, was placed in charge of Sādra and Vīrpur, with the title of Sher Khān. Shortly after his arrival the viceroy marched against and subdued the rebellious Kolis of the Channāl, appointing Rustam Ali Khān his governor there. Then, returning to Ahmedābād, he took up his residence in the Bhadra. There is little doubt that at this time Haidar Kālī aimed at bringing all Gujarāt under his rule. He seized the imperial forces which passed through Ahmedābād on their way to Delhi, and confiscated many estates and gave them to his own men. On his way to enforce tribute from the Dungarpūr chiefs, he levied £8000 (Rs. 80,000) from Lunāvāda. Through the mediation of the Udepūr Rāna, and as he agreed to pay a tribute of £10,000 (1 *lakh* of rupees), the Rāval of Dungarpur escaped. Haidar Kālī next proceeded to Bijāpur, north of Ahmedābād, but hearing that the emperor was displeased at his assumption of the power of giving and changing grants of land, he returned to Ahmedābād and restored several estates which he had confiscated. The court continued to distrust him, and at the close of A.D. 1722 appointed Jumlat-ul-Mulk Nizām-ul-Mulk fifty-first viceroy.

Haidar Kālī Khān, finding himself no match for the Nizām, was induced to retire quietly, and accordingly left Gujarāt by way of Dungarpur. Shujāat Khān and Rustam Ali Khān accompanied him as far as Dungarpur, and then returned to Ahmedābād. In the meantime the Nizām had reached Ujjain, and thence directed Safdar Khān Bābi to carry on the government till he should arrive, appointing at the same time his uncle Hāmid Khān as deputy viceroy and Fidwi Khān as minister. Subsequently the Nizām came to Gujarāt and chose officers of his own for places of trust, the chief of whom was Momīn Khān, who was appointed governor of Surat. The Nizām then returned to Delhi, but, after a short time, disgusted with his treatment at court, he retired to the Dakhn, where, making Haidarābad his capital, he gradually began to act as an independent ruler. Meanwhile in Gujarāt dissensions sprang up between Hāmid Khān and other officers, but matters were arranged without any outbreak of hostility. Tribute was exacted from the chiefs on the banks of the Vātrak and from Modhera an unruly Koli village was burned down, and garrisons were placed in the Koli country. In A.D. 1723 Rustam Ali Khān and Shujāat Khān were ordered from Delhi to march on Jodhpur, which they captured and plundered, and then returned to Ahmedābād.

In A.D. 1723 Pīlāji Gāikwār, who had been long hovering on the frontier, marched on Surat and was opposed by Momīn Khān, whom he defeated. After levying contributions from the surrounding country,

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Muhammad
Shah
Emperor,
1721-1748.

HAIDAR KĀLĪ
KĀLĪ
Fifty-first Viceroy,
1722.

Shows Signs of
Independence
and is Recalled,
1722.

NIZĀM-UL-MULK
Fifty-first
Viceroy,
1722.

HAMĪD KHĀN,
Deputy Viceroy;
Momīn Khān
Governor of
Surat,
1722.

Increase of
Maratha Power,
1723.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Muhammad
Shah
Emperor,
1721-1749.
SARBULAND
KHAN
Fifty-second
Viceroy,
1723-1730.

Appoints
Shujāat
Khan
his Deputy.

Nizam-ul-Mulk
and Sarbuland
Khan.

Sarbuland
Khan's
Deputy
Defeated,
1724.

he returned to his head-quarters at Songad, and from this overran a considerable portion of the Surat territory, building several forts in the Rājpipla country. At the same time Kāntāji Kadam Bānde, invading Gujarāt from the side of Dohad, began to levy fixed contributions. Though before this occasional demands had often been made, A.D. 1723 was the first year in which the Marāthās imposed a regular tribute on Gujarāt. Momin Khān was now appointed provincial minister, and Rustam Ali Khān succeeded him as revenue officer of Surat, and, as the Nizām had gone to the Dakhan without the emperor's leave, Mubāriz-ul-Mulk Sarbuland Khān Bahādur Dilāwar Jang was appointed fifty-second viceroy of Gujarāt. He selected Shujāat Khān as his deputy, and made other arrangements for the government of the province. Hāmid Khān, uncle and deputy of the Nizām, prepared to oppose Shujāat Khān, but through the intervention of Bālas Salābat Khān, Safilar Khān, and Jawān Mard Khān, Hāmid Khān evacuated the Bhadra, and withdrew to Dohad. Shujāat Khān now went to collect tribute, leaving Ibrahim Kōli Khān at Ahmedābād, while Rāmraī was posted at Mahmūlia in Kaira, with orders to watch the movements of Hāmid Khān. As the viceroy was in need of money, he farmed to one Jivan Jugal the districts of Jambāsar, Makhbūlsād or Amōd about twenty-two miles north of Broach, Dholka, and Broach. In A.D. 1724, he came to Ahmedābād with Ali Muhammad Khān father of the author of the *Mirāt-i-Ahmedi*, as his private minister.

Rustam Ali, governor of Surat, having succeeded twice or thrice in defeating the Marāthās under Pilāji Gāikwar, now offered, in conjunction with his brother Shujāat Khān, that if 20,000 men were placed under their orders, they would march against the Nizām. The emperor accepted this offer, allowing Rustam Ali to draw on the Surat treasury to the extent of £20,000 (Rs. 2 *lakh*). Rustam Ali accordingly, with the aid of Ahmed Kōli his brother's son, equipped an army. In the meantime the Nizām was not idle. He promised to Kāntāji Kadam Bānde a one-fourth share of the revenue of Gujarāt, provided he should be able, in concert with Hāmid Khān, to re-conquer the province from Mubāriz-ul-Mulk. Shujāat Khān, who was now at Kadi, instead of following the advice of his minister and carefully watching Hāmid Khān's movements from Kapadvanj, went to a distant part of the province. Hāmid Khān seeing his opportunity, united his forces with those of Kāntāji Kadam, and marched to Kapadvanj. Shujāat Khān hearing of this, advanced towards Ahmedābād and encamped at Dabhora under Bahyāl, eighteen miles east of Ahmedābād and thence proceeded to Mota Modra, about six miles east of the capital. When he came so near Ahmedābād, many of his soldiers went without leave into the city to visit their families. The Marāthās attacked his rear guard, and his men giving way took to flight. Hāmid Khān seeing that Shujāat Khān had but a small force, marched between him and the capital. A battle was fought, in which Shujāat Khān was slain, and his two sons Hasan Kōli and Mustafa Kōli were taken prisoners. Shujāat Khān's head was cut off and sent to Safdar Khān Bābi, to be sent to Ibrahim Kōli his son, who was doing duty as commandant at Ahmedābād. Hāmid Khān took up his quarters in

the Shâhi Bâgh, and got possession of all Ahmedâbâd except the city. Hâmid Khân now sent a message to the emperor, that the Marâthâs had been successful in defeating Shujâât Khân and conquering Gujarât, but that he had defended Ahmedâbâd against them. The emperor sent him a dress of honour, but after a few days discovered that Hâmid's message was false. The Marâthâs now marched through the country, collecting their *charukh* or one-fourth and their *sarilashwukhi* or one-tenth shares of the revenue. Kântâji went to Viramgâm and besieged the town, but on the promise of one of the chief inhabitants to raise a sum of £35,000 (Rs. 3½ lakhs) the Marâthâs retired. Hâmid Khân who was now independent began to bestow lands and districts many of which remained with the grantees and were never recovered by future governors. Ibrâhîm Kûli, son of Shujâât Khân, in revenge for his father's death, determined to assassinate Hâmid Khân. The attempt failed. Hâmid Khân escaped and Ibrâhîm Kûli was slain.

Rustam Ali Khân, governor of Surat, in the hope of being revenged on Hâmid Khân, invited the aid of Pilâji Gaikwâr, and it was agreed that they should meet on the north bank of the Nerbada. Pilâji promised to aid Rustam Khân, and the allied armies, crossing the Mahi, encamped at Aras in the plain between Anand and the Mahi. Hâmid Khân, accompanied by Mir Nathu, Muhammed Salâlat Rohila, and Kântâji Kadam, marched to oppose Rustam Khân. Hâmid Khân also entered into secret negotiations with Pilâji Gaikwâr, who resolved to remain neutral and side with the conqueror. A battle was fought, in which, though Pilâji took no part, Hâmid Khân was defeated and put to flight, and Mir Nathu was killed. After the fight Rustam Ali remained on the field of battle and liberated his nephews, plundering Hâmid Khân's camp. Pilâji plundered Rustam Ali's camp and then moved off, while Kântâji carried away what was left in the camp of Hâmid Khân. Hâmid Khân reproached Kântâji for his inactivity; but he pleaded in excuse that he was watching the mode of warfare amongst Muhammedans, and promised to attack Rustam Ali shortly. Now, as the Marâthâs really desired to ruin Rustam Ali, who was their bitter foe, they after a few days surrounded him and cut off his supplies. Rustam Ali stood a blockade of eight days, and then forced his way through his enemies and went to Napâd, about fourteen miles west of the Vâsâd railway station in the Anand sub-division of the Kaira district, and thence through Kalamsar to Nâpa or Nâba under Petlâd. The Marâthâs still pursuing Rustam Ali retired to Vasu under Petlâd, ten miles east of Nâpâd and about twenty-five miles south of Ahmedâbâd, where he gave battle, and by a furious charge broke the Marâthâ line. The Marâthâs rallied, and Rustam Ali and his men were defeated, Rustam Ali being slain and his nephews again taken prisoners. Rustam was buried on the field of battle and his head sent to Ahmedâbâd.

Hâmid Khân returned to Ahmedâbâd with the Marâthâs, who saw that their only means of effecting a permanent footing in the province was by supporting him. Hâmid Khân then assigned a one-fourth share of the revenue of the territory north of the Mahi to Kântâji, and to Pilâji a corresponding interest in the territory south of the Mahi,

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Muhammad
Shâh
Emperor,
1721-1748.
SARFARÂZ
Khân
Fifty-second
Viceroy,
1723-1730.

The Marâthâs
engaged as
Allies.

Battle of Aras.
Hâmid Khân
defeated by
Rustam Ali,
1723.

Marâthâs join
Hâmid Khân
against
Rustam Ali.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys—
Muhammad
Shah—
Emperor,
1721-1748.
SARHULAND
Khan
Fifty-second
Viceroy,
1729-1739.
Mubáriz-ul-Mulk
sent against the
Maráthas,
1723.

Hamid Khan
and other
Maráthas
Retire.

Mubáriz-ul-
Mulk enters
Ahmedabad,
1725.

Including Surat and Baroda. After this Hámíd Khán acted tyrannically. He extorted large sums from the rich, and poisoned the two sons of Shujóát Khán. When the news of Fántají's and Piláji's misdeeds reached the Dakhan, Trimbakráv Dhávide, son of Khandaráv Senápati, came with a large army and laid siege to Cambay. While the siege was being pressed a quarrel among the Maráthá leaders culminated in strife and bloodshed. Trimbakráv Senápati was wounded and the Maráthá army had to disperse and retire.¹ Salábat Khán, leaving Ahmedábád, went to Virangám, and after some time, placing his nephew at Virangám, he went into Gahilvada. When the news of the defeat and death of Rustam Áli reached Delhi, the emperor ordered Mubáriz-ul-Mulk to take a strong army and proceed in person to Gujarát and expel Hámíd Khán and the Maráthas. Mubáriz-ul-Mulk marched on Gujarát with a large army, assisted by Mubárája Abhaysingh of Jodhpur, Chatarsingh Rája of Narwar in Bundelkhánd, Gándráysingh and the Mahárána of Udepur. On his arrival at Ajmir Mubáriz-ul-Mulk was received by his private minister Ali Muhammad Khán, who afterwards joined Jawán Mard Khán Bábi in Ráshthanpur, and united their troops with those under Mubáriz-ul-Mulk. At that time Salábat Khán was removed from his government, and Saifdar Khán Bábi died. In obedience to the imperial order, Mubáriz-ul-Mulk marched from Ajmir and came to the Gujarát frontier. On his approach Hámíd Khán returned to Ahmedábád. He placed Rápsingh and Sardár Muhammad Ghorni in charge of the city and himself withdrew to Mehmúdábád. Mubáriz-ul-Mulk now sent Sheikh Aliyót in advance with an army against Ahmedábád. When Sheikh Aliyót arrived before the city, Muhammad Ghorni, who was dissatisfied with Hámíd Khán for bringing in the Maráthas, persuaded Rápsingh to fly. In the meantime Mubáriz-ul-Mulk with the main body of his force reached Sidhpur. Hámíd Khán, accompanied by a detachment of Maráthá horse, now returned to Ahmedábád; but Muhammad Ghorni closed the gates, and would not suffer him to enter the city. Mubáriz-ul-Mulk marched to Mesána. About this time Ali Muhammad Khán, the father of the author of the *Mirát-i-Ahmedi*, who was now with Mubáriz-ul-Mulk at Mesána, advised him to conciliate the influential Muhammadan family of Bábi. Under his advice, Salábat Muhammad Khán Bábi was appointed governor of Virangám, and Jawán Mard Khán governor of Pátan. Shortly afterwards Murlidhavalis, the Gujarátí minister of Hámíd Khán, deserted his master's declining cause. When Kantáji heard that Mubáriz-ul-Mulk had arrived at Pothápur, only eighteen miles from Ahmedábád, he retired to Mehmúdábád. Before the close of A.D. 1725, Mubáriz-ul-Mulk reached Ahmedábád, where he was well received by the officials and merchants.

¹ See note 1 page 312. The author of the *Mirát-i-Ahmedi* (Version Text, Royal Asiatic Society's Library Edition, I. 626) says Trimbakráv was slain. This seems an oversight as in another passage (Ditto, 738-739, see below page 312) he states that Trimbakráv was killed in 1731. The latter statement is in agreement with Grant Duff (*History of the Maráthas*, I. 264).

Hāmid Khān and Kantāji, who had by this time reached the banks of the Mahi, were now joined by Pilāji Gaikwār. The Marāṭha leaders, seeing that the only way to preserve their footing in the province was to espouse the cause of Hāmid Khān, united their forces with his, and prepared to march on Ahmedābād. Mubārīz-ul-Mulk deputed his son Khānahzād Khān with an army to oppose them, and made several appointments, among other changes raising Ali Muhammad Khān to the post of minister. Khānahzād Khān met the Marāṭhas near Sojitra, about ten miles north-west of Petlād, and defeated them, pursuing them as far as the Mahi. Then, returning, he was reinforced by his brother Shāh Nawāz Khān, and marched against the Marāṭhas, who were encamped at Kapadvanj. Another battle was fought, and the Marāṭhas were again defeated and pursued as far as the hills of Ali-Mohan, now Chota Udepur in the extreme east of the province. Khānahzād Khān now appointed Hasan-ud-dīn governor of Baroda, Broach, Junbāsar, and Maktūlāhid. Meanwhile Antāji Bhaskar, a Marāṭha noble, entering Gujārāt from the side of Idar, laid siege to the town of Vadnagar, which according to the old Gujārāt proverb, with Umreth in the Kaira district, are the two golden feathers of the kingdom of Gujārāt. Vadnagar was inhabited by wealthy Brāhmins of the Nāgar caste who prayed Mubārīz-ul-Mulk to march to their relief; but as both his sons were in pursuit of the other Marāṭha lords defeated at Kapadvanj, the viceroy had no troops to spare from the Ahmedābād garrison. The Nāgars accordingly, seeing no prospect of help, paid a sum of £40,000 (Rs. 4 lakhs) and Antāji Bhaskar retired. Kantāji and Pilāji, encouraged by this raid of Antāji's, entered Gujārāt from different quarters. Kantāji again laid siege to Vadnagar. The Nāgars, unable to pay the contribution demanded, leaving their property fled and Kantāji in his attempts to unearth the buried treasure burned down the town. Shortly afterwards Umreth in the Kaira district suffered a similar fate at the hands of Kantāji. In one of his raids Pilāji Gaikwār advancing as far as Baroda was met by Khānahzād Khān, the son of the viceroy. Distrusting the issue of a battle Pilāji fled to Cambay, and from Cambay withdrew to Sorath. For these services the emperor raised Khānahzād Khān to the rank of a noble, with the title Ghālib Jang. About this time Ali Muhammad Khān was dismissed from the post of minister, and in his stead first Muhammad Sayad Beg and afterwards Muhammad Sulaimān were appointed. Not long afterwards Ali Muhammad Khān was again entrusted with a command and raised to be governor of Dholka.

The Marāṭhas retired to the Dakhan, but, returning in A.D. 1726, compelled Mubārīz-ul-Mulk to confirm his predecessor's grants in their favour. The emperor refused to acknowledge any cessions of revenue to the Marāṭhas; and the viceroy, hard pressed for money, unable to obtain support from the court and receiving little help from his impoverished districts, was forced to impose fresh taxes on the citizens of Ahmedābād, and at the same time to send an army to collect their tribute from the Muli chiefs. As part of the agreement between Mubārīz-ul-Mulk and the Marāṭha chiefs Pilāji was to receive a share in the

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Muhammad Shah
Emperor;
1721-1748.
SARHULAND
KHEIN.

Fifty-second
Viceroy,
1728-1750.

Defeat of the
Marāṭhas at
Sojitra and
Kapadvanj,
1725.

Marāṭha
Expedition
against
Vadnagar,
1726.

Mubārīz-ul-Mulk
pays the Marāṭha
Tribute,
1726.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Muhammad
Shah
Emperor,
1721-1749.
SARFUDDIN
Khan
Fifty-second
Viceroy,
1723-1739.

Alliance with
the Peshwa,
1727.

Pilaji Gaikwar
obtains Baroda
and Dabhoi,
1727.

Capture of
Champainer by
the Marathas,
1728.

revenue of the districts south of the Mahi. But Peshwa Bājirāv Bāldi, to whom, as agent of his rival Khandarāv Dābhāde, Pilāji was obnoxious, sent Udāji Pavār to drive Pilāji away. In this Udāji was successful, and defeating Pilāji forced him to seek the aid of Kāntāji. Kāntāji, perceiving that if the Peshwa became supreme his own independence would suffer, joined Pilāji, and marching together upon Baroda they endeavoured, but without success, to prevent the Musalmān governor Sadr-ul-din Khān from entering the city. About this time want of funds forced Mubārīz-ul-Mulk to sell the greater part of the Dholka district to different landholders.

In the following year, A.D. 1727, Bājirāv Peshwa began to negotiate with Mubārīz-ul-Mulk, undertaking that if the one-fourth and one-tenth shares in the revenue of the province were guaranteed to him, he would protect Gujarāt from other invaders. Though he did not consent to these proposals, the viceroy so far accepted the alliance of the Peshwa as to allow the governor of Baroda to aid Udāji Pavār against Pilāji. Pilāji and Kāntāji outmanœuvred Udāji and prevented him from effecting a junction with the governor of Baroda, who in the end was forced to abandon both that city and the stronghold of Dabhoi, while Udāji retired to Mālwa. Pilāji Gaikwar now obtained possession of Baroda. Mubārīz-ul-Mulk, still sorely pressed for funds, marched into Sorath to exact tribute. On reaching Virasingam, Salābat Muhammad Khān Bābi, on behalf of the Jām of Navānagar, presented the viceroy with £10,000 (Rs. 1 *lakh*), and for this service was rewarded with the gift of an elephant. Mubārīz-ul-Mulk then marched against Chhāya, the capital of the chief of Porbandar in the south-west of Kāthiāvāda. This chief, by putting to sea, hoped to escape the payment of tribute. But on hearing that the viceroy proposed to annex his territory and appoint an officer to govern it, he returned and agreed to pay a tribute of £4000 (Rs. 10,000).¹ On his way back to Ahmedābād, Mubārīz-ul-Mulk passed through Halvad in Jhālāvāda, and there married the daughter of Jhālā Pratāpsingh, the chief of that district, whom he accordingly exempted from the payment of tribute. About this time the viceroy received orders from the emperor to restore certain land which he had confiscated, and as he neglected to obey, certain estates of his in the Panjāb were resumed. In the meantime Krishnāji, foster son of Kāntāji, made a sudden attack upon Champainer and captured that fortress, and from that time Kāntāji's agents remained permanently in Gujarāt to collect his share of the tribute.

In A.D. 1728 the minister Momīn Khān died, and in his place the emperor selected Momīn Khān's brother Abd-ul-Ghani Khān. About this time Asad Ali, governor of Jūnāgadh, also died, and on his death-bed appointed Salābat Muhammad Khān Bābi deputy governor of that fortress. Salābat Muhammad Khān sent his son Sher Khān Bābi to act on his behalf. When the emperor heard of the death of Asad Ali, he appointed Ghulām Muhy-ud-din Khān, son of the late Asad Ali, governor. Ghulām Muhy-ud-din did not proceed to Jūnāgadh but continued Sher Khān Bābi as his deputy. Mubārīz-ul-Mulk, now

¹ The amount was 1,25,000 *mahmūdīs*.

perceiving that neither Pilāji nor Kāntāji afforded any protection to Gujarāt, but rather pillaged it, closed with the offers of Bājirāi Peshwa, and in A.D. 1729 formally granted to him the one-fourth and one-tenth shares of the revenue of the province. The Peshwa accordingly sent his brother Chinnāji to collect the tribute. Chinnāji plundered Dholka and the country near Chāmpāner, while Mubtazil-ul-Mulk exacted tribute from the chiefs on the banks of the Vātrāk. Kāntāji now entered Gujarāt and prepared for war in case Chinnāji and the viceroy should unite against him. His movements were not interfered with, and after collecting his share of the tribute, he retired to Sorath. The viceroy now marched against the Kolis, and after destroying many of them together with their wives and children, returned to Ahmadābād by way of Modasa and Ahmednagar. Ghulām Muhy-ud-din Khān, governor of Jānāgadh, who had not yet proceeded to his command, appointed a second deputy. Through the influence of the viceroy this appointment was not confirmed, and instead Shor Khān Bāli, son of Salābat Muhammad Khān, was placed in charge of that fortress.

In Surat the year A.D. 1729 was marked by a severe flood in the Tāpti and by a somewhat serious local disturbance. The chief cause of the disturbance was Mulla Muhammad Ali, a rich Musalmān trader of Surat. This man who, as Ūmda-tut-tujjār or chief of the merchants, had already a special rank in the city, was tempted to take advantage of the disorders of the time to raise himself to the position of an independent ruler. With this object he chose as his head-quarters the island of Pīram in the Gulf of Cambay, near the port of Gogha, and there spent considerable sums in strengthening the island and tempting settlers to place themselves under his protection. As Pīram was not popular Mulla Muhammad fixed on the village of Athva, on the left bank of the Tāpti, about twelve miles from its mouth. Here he began to build a fort, but was ordered to desist by Sohrāb Khān, the governor of Surat, from which city the proposed stronghold was only three miles distant. Mulla Muhammad so far from obeying, persuaded Beglar-Beg Khān the commander of the fort of Surat to side with him. Accordingly, next day, Beglar-Beg Khān bombarded the governor Sohrāb Khān's residence, proclaiming that his own brother Teghibeg Khān was appointed governor of Surat. In the end Mulla Muhammad Ali induced the chief merchants of the city to pray for the removal of Sohrāb who pending receipt of orders from the emperor was made to hand over his official residence in the city to Teg-Beg Khān.

In the same year, A.D. 1729, Jawān Mard Khān Bāli was chosen governor of Pellād, Ali Muhammad Khān was made collector of Ahmedābād, and Ali Muhammad's son, the author of the *Mirāt-i-Ahmedi* and his brother were appointed governor and superintendent of the customs of that district. Ali Muhammad Khān shortly resigned and was succeeded by Rū-in Khān. At this time Jawān Mard Khān Bāli, while punishing the Kolis of Bālor, probably Bhādot about fifteen miles east of Broach, was killed by a man of that tribe, and in revenge for his death the town of Bālor was plundered. On the death of Jawān Mard Khān, at the request of Salābat Muhammad Khān Bāli, his eldest son Kamāl-ud-din Khān Bāli received the districts of

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Muhammad
Shah
Emperor,
1721-1748.
Kandahar
Knay
Fifty-second
Viceroy,
1723-1739.

Grant of Tribute
to the Peshwa,
1729.

Mulla
Muhammad
Ali causes a
Disturbance
at Surat,
1729.

Nafid given
in Farn,
1729.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Muhammad
Shah
Emperor,
1719-1748.
SADR-UD-
DAULAH
Khan
Fifty-second
Viceroy,
1713-1730.

Athva Fort,
1730.

Sami and Munjpur and the title of Jawán Marl Khán. At the same time the second son, Muhammad Anwar, with the title of Sadfar Khán, was appointed to the government of Rádhampur. The viceroy now went to Nadiád, where Rái Kishandás, agent of Jawán Marl Khán, received the district of Pettáí in farm. From Nadiád Mubáriz-ul-Mulk went to collect tribute from Sardársingá, the chief of Bhádarda in the Rewa Kantha about fifteen miles north of Baroda, on the banks of the Mahi, who, after some fighting, agreed to pay a sum of £2000 (Rs. 20,000). On his way back to Ahmedábád the viceroy levied tribute from the chief of Úmeta, fifteen miles west of Baroda. As Rái Kishandás failed to pay the sum agreed on for the farm of Pettáí, an order was issued for his imprisonment. To save himself from the indignity he committed suicide.

When Kántóji returned from Sorath he camped at Sanand and his advanced guard carried off some of the viceroy's elephants which were grazing there. Men were sent in pursuit, but in vain, and the Maráthás escaped. Meanwhile, at Surat, Mulla Muhammad Ali continued to build the fort at Athva. At last his accomplice, Deglar-Beg Khán the commander of the Surat fort, began to perceive that if the Athva fort were completed the Mulla would be in a position to obstruct the trade of the port of Surat. He consequently ordered him to stop building. In spite of this the Mulla succeeded in persuading Sohráb Khán to allow him to go on with his fort promising, in return, to get him confirmed as governor of Surat. Sohráb Khán agreed, and the fort was completed, and Sohráb Khán was duly appointed governor. As the fort was immediately below Surat the revenue of Surat was greatly diminished, and Sohráb Khán, when it was too late, saw his mistake.

In A.D. 1730 Mubáriz-ul-Mulk went into Goldiyáda in south-east Káthiaváda and levied tribute from Bhávsingh, chief of Sihor; thence he proceeded to Mádhapur, a town under Porbandar, and laid it waste. While engaged at Mádhapur, Momín Khán, son-in-law of the late Momín Khán, owing to some misunderstanding with the viceroy suddenly set out for Ahmedábád and from Ahmedábád proceeded to Ágra. The viceroy now marched in the direction of Kachh and refusing the offer of a yearly tribute of about £33,000 (10,00,000 *máhmudís*), advanced against Bhúj. He experienced great difficulty in crossing the Ran, and as the Ráo had cut off all supplies, and as at the same time news arrived of disturbances in Ahmedábád, he was obliged, after a month and a half, to retire to Rádhampur. The author of the *Mirát-i-Ahmedi* was ordered to suppress the Ahmedábád riots, which had arisen out of the levy of some fresh taxes, and was invested with the title of Hasan Muhammad Khán. In this year Udaikaran, Desai of Viramgam, was murdered by a Kachhí of that town named Ali, and Salábát Muhammad Khán Bábi, who was sent to investigate this murder, died on his way at Páldi, a village on the right bank of the Sáfarmati opposite to Ahmedábád.

News was now (A.D. 1730) received that Mahárája Abhaysingh of Jodhpur had been appointed viceroy and had reached Pálanpur.

¹ Kachhís are the descendants of the Musalman garrisons of some towns of north Gujarat. The Kachhís of Viramgam were originally Ták Rájputs.

The Viceroy
in Káthiaváda
and Kachh,
1730.

Roots at
Ahmedábád.

MAHÁRÁJA
ABHAYSINGH
Fifty-third
Viceroy,
1730-1733.

The friends of order endeavoured to arrange a peaceable transfer between the Mahārāja and the late viceroy, but Mubārīz-ul-Mulk determined to try the chances of war, and prepared for resistance. At this time Mir Ismail, deputy of Ghulām Muhy-ud-din Khān, arrived and took charge of the government of Jūnāgadh from Sher Khān Bābi. Mahārāja Abheysingh, after making various appointments, set out with his brother Vakhatsingh and 20,000 men to take over the government of Gujarāt. When he reached Palānpur and saw that Mubārīz-ul-Mulk was determined on resistance, he sent an order to Sardār Muhammad Gharni appointing him his minister and directing him to take possession of the city of Ahmedābād and drive out the late viceroy. As Sardār Muhammad was not strong enough to carry out these orders he awaited the Mahārāja's arrival. When the Mahārāja reached Sidhpur he was joined by Safdar Khān Bābi and Jawān Marī Khān Bābi from Rādhanpur. They then advanced together to Adilāj, distant only about eight miles from the capital, their army increasing daily. Mubārīz-ul-Mulk was already encamped between Adilāj and the city, and on the approach of the Mahārāja a battle was fought in which the Mahārāja was defeated. Abheysingh changed his position, and another and bloodier engagement took place, in which both sides tried to kill the opposing commander. But as both Mubārīz-ul-Mulk and the Mahārāja fought disguised as common soldiers, neither party succeeded. At first the Mahārāja who had the advantage in position repulsed the enemy, but Mubārīz-ul-Mulk fought so desperately in the river-bed that the Rāthods gave way. They rallied and made one more desperate charge, but were met, repulsed, and finally pursued as far as Sarkhej. The Mahārāja, who had not expected so determined an opposition, now sent Momin Khān and Amarsingh to negotiate with Mubārīz-ul-Mulk, who was still determined to resist to the uttermost. It was finally agreed that Mubārīz-ul-Mulk should receive a sum of £10,000 (Rs. 1 lakh) and should surrender Ahmedābād to the Mahārāja. Mubārīz-ul-Mulk accordingly quitted the city and left for Agra by way of Udepur.

The Mahārāja entering Ahmedābād, appointed Ratansingh Bhandāri his deputy, and placed Fida-ud-din Khān, cousin of Momin Khān, in charge of the city police. Shortly afterwards Karimud Khān Jhalori, governor of Palānpur, who had accompanied the Mahārāja into Gujarāt, died. After the death of Salabat Muhammad Khān Bābi, his son, Sher Khān Bābi, was dismissed from the government of Jūnāgadh. He retired to his estate of Gogha, and when the Mahārāja arrived in Ahmedābād he paid his respects, presenting the viceroy with an elephant and some horses. The Mahārāja confirmed the lands assigned to his father, and reported his action to the emperor. Momin Khān was made ruler of Cambay, and Fida-ud-din Khān, his cousin, was made governor of the lands near that city, the revenue of which had been assigned to the Mahārāja. So great was the fear of the Marāthas, that Musafid Khān, the governor elect of Surat, instead of proceeding direct by land, went to Cambay. From Cambay he moved to Broach, and from Broach entered into negotiations with Pilāji Gaikwār, promising, if allowed to retain

Chapter III.

Maghal
Viceroys.

Muhammad
Shah
Emperor,
1711-1748.
Mahārāja
Ameyerson
Fifty-third
Viceroy,
1730-1753.

Mubārīz-ul-Mulk
Resists the New
Viceroy.

Battle of Adilāj :
the Mahārāja
defeated by
Mubārīz-ul-Mulk,
1730.

Mubārīz-ul-Mulk
Retires.

Government of
Abheysingh.

Momin Khān
Ruler of Cambay,
1730.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Muhammad
Shah
Emperor,
1721-1748
MAHARAJA
ABHAYSINGH
Fifty-third
Viceroy,
1739-1733.

The Peshwa and
Viceroy against
Pilaji Gaikwar,
1731.

The Peshwa
Withdraws.

Defeats his
Opponents.

Abdullah Beg
appointed the
Nizam's Deputy
at Broach.

possession of Surat, to pay Pilaji the one-fourth share of its revenues. Pilaji agreed, but Sohrab Khan, who was still in possession of Surat, refused to hand it over to Mustafid Khan. In this year also Vakhatsingh, brother of the Maharaja Abhaysingh, was appointed governor of Patan, and sent a deputy to act for him. About the same time Mir Fakhr-ud-din, a follower of the late viceroy Mubarez-ul-Mulk, leaving him secretly, came to Ahmedabad, and in an interview with the Maharaja obtained for himself the post of deputy governor of Jandagadh. When he proceeded to take up his appointment he was opposed by Mir Ismail, and was killed in a battle fought near Amreli in 1733 Kathiavada. Muhammad Pabhar, son of Karimdad Khan Jhalori, was appointed governor of Palanpur in succession to his father, and Jawan Mard Khan was sent to Vadnagar.

In the following year, A.D. 1731, Bajirav Peshwa, entering Gujarat at the head of an army, advanced against Baroda, then in the possession of Pilaji Gaikwar. Afterwards, at the invitation of the Maharaja, he visited Ahmedabad and had a meeting with the viceroy in the Shahi Bagh. At this meeting it was agreed that Bajirav should assist Azmatullah, the governor of Baroda, in taking possession of that town and in expelling Pilaji Gaikwar. By this arrangement the viceroy hoped by playing off the Peshwa against Pilaji, to succeed in getting rid of the latter, while the Peshwa intended that if Pilaji was forced to give up Baroda, he himself should gain possession of that city. Accordingly the Peshwa, together with an army from the viceroy, marched on Baroda. They had scarcely laid siege to the city, when the Peshwa heard that Nizam-ul-Mulk was advancing on Gujarat against him. Abandoning all operations against Baroda, the Peshwa withdrew, with all speed, to the Dakhan. On his way he encountered the army of Trimbakrav Senapati, who, together with Pilaji Kantaji and Udaji Pavar, had united to resist the pretensions of the Peshwa in Gujarat, and were also secretly leagued with the Nizam. An engagement was fought in which the Peshwa was victorious and Trimbakrav was slain.¹ The Peshwa at once pushed on to the Dakhan, contriving to avoid the Nizam, though his baggage was plundered by that chief, who had camped at Ghala Kammaj, on the river Tapi, about ten miles above Surat.

During these changes the city of Broach, which on account of the strength of its fort the Marathas had failed to take, was governed by Abdullah Beg, an officer originally appointed to that command by Mubarez-ul-Mulk. Dissatisfied that the government of Gujarat should be in the hands of Abhaysingh, Abdullah Beg, in A.D. 1731, entered into negotiations with the Nizam, offering to hold Broach as the Nizam's deputy. Nizam-ul-Mulk agreed, appointed Abdullah his deputy, and ennobled him with the title of Nek Alam Khan. About the same time Vakhatsingh, brother of the viceroy, withdrew to his chiefship of Nagar in Jodhpur, and Azmat-ullah went to Agra. After his safe arrival in the Dakhan Bajirav Peshwa entered into an agreement

¹ See note on page 306.

with the Nizâm under the terms of which the grants of Dholka, Broach, Jambusar, and Makhlûlâbâd were continued to the Nizâm. Momin Khân received the farm of Petlâd, and Kântâji was confirmed in the share he had acquired of the revenues of Gujarât. In A.D. 1732 the paymaster, Amânatdâr Khân, died, and was succeeded by Ghulâm Hasan Khân, who sent Mujahid-ud-dîn Khân to act as his deputy. Through the influence of Mulla Muhammad Ali, Sohrâb Ali was now confirmed as governor of Surat, and Mustafid Khân was obliged to return to Ahmedâbâd.

Pilâji Gâikwâr as the agent of the deceased Khanderâv Dâblâda Senâpati, as the owner of the fort of Songad, and as the ally of the Bhils and Kois, was naturally a thorn in the side of the viceroy Abheysingh. The recent acquisition of the town of Baroda, and of the strong fortress of Dabhoi had made Pilâji still more formidable. Under these circumstances, Abheysingh, who had long wished to recover Baroda and Dabhoi determined to assassinate Pilâji, and this was effected by a Mârvâdi at the holy village of Dâkor. The Marâthâs slew the assassin and withdrew across the Mahi, burning the body of Pilâji at the village of Sâboli or Sâonli, fourteen miles north of Baroda. They then evacuated the district of Baroda, retiring to the fortress of Dabhoi. On hearing of the death of Pilâji the viceroy immediately advanced against the Marâthâs, and, after taking possession of Baroda, laid siege to Dabhoi. He failed to capture this fortress, and as the rainy season had set in and provisions were scarce, he was obliged to retire. He then went to Baroda, and after placing Sher Khân Bâbi in charge of the city, returned to Ahmedâbâd. In this year, A.D. 1732, Gujarât was wasted by famine.

Meanwhile at Surat Mulla Muhammad Ali of Athva was again the cause of disturbance. Resisting with force the demand of a sum of £10,000 (Rs. 1 lakh) by Sohrâb Khân, the governor of Surat, he succeeded in driving Sohrâb Khân out of the city, and the government of Surat was then usurped by Teghbeg Khân, a brother of Beglar-Beg Khân. The success of the Mulla against Sorâb Khân made him so forgetful of his position that he arrogated to himself all the emblems of the governor's office and wrote to the emperor asking a patent of the governorship of Surat in the name of his son Mulla Fakhr-ud-dîn. The messengers bearing these communications were intercepted at Broach by the partisans of Teghbeg, who determined to remove this powerful cause of anxiety. Teghbeg Khân, inviting Muhammad Ali to an entertainment, placed him in confinement, and after keeping him in prison for two years, in A.D. 1734 put him to death. Teghbeg also took possession of the fort of Athva, and plundered it. Sohrâb Khân, seeing that he could not recover Surat, went with Sayad Wali to Gogha, where his relatives lived, and from that, proceeding to Bhavnâgar settled there. When the emperor heard what had happened, he appointed Momin Khân to Surat and Teghbeg Khân to Cambay. Momin Khân sent Sayad Nârullah to act for him, but he was defeated by Teghbeg Khân, who afterwards contrived, in A.D. 1733, to be formally appointed governor of Surat with the title of Bahâdur.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Muhammed
Shâh
Emperor,
1721-1748.
Maharaja
Agha-yimam
Fifty-third
Viceroy,
1739-1733.

The Viceroy
procures the
Death of
Pilâji Gâikwâr,
1732 ;

and takes Baroda.

Famine,
1732.

Affairs at Surat,
1732.

Teghbeg Khân
Governor of
Surat.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Muhammad
Shah
Emperor,
1721-1748.
Maharaja
Ratansingh
Fifty-third
Viceroy,
1730-1732.

Ratansingh
Bhandari
Deputy Viceroy,
1733-1737.

The Maráthás
Return.

Contest for the
government of
Gogha.

Disturbance
at Viramgam,
1734.

Baroda
recovered by the
Maráthás,
1734.

When Umábái, widow of Khanderáv Senápati, heard of the assassination of Piláji Gaikwár, she determined to avenge his death. Collecting an army and taking with her Kántáji Kadam and Dámaji Gaikwár, son of Piláji, she marched upon Ahmedábád. As the Maráthás failed to do more than slay a Rájput leader named Jívaráj they came to terms. In the end it was agreed that in addition to the one-fourth and the one-tenth shares of the revenue a sum of £8000 (Rs. 80,000) should be paid from the Ahmedábád treasury, Jawán Mard Khán being kept as a hostage till the payments were made. For his services on this occasion Jawán Mard Khán was made governor of Viramgam. During this year an imperial order appointed Khushálchand Sheth, son of Sántidas, Nagar Sheth or chief merchant of Ahmedábád. The Maráthás plundered Rasúlábád a mile south of Ahmedábád and its excellent library was pillaged. Umábái now marched upon Baroda, and the governor, Sher Khán Bábi, prepared to oppose the Maráthás. But Umábái, sending a message to Sher Khán, explained that she had just concluded a peace with the Mahárája, and was suffered to pass unmolested. The emperor, satisfied with the arrangements made by the Mahárája, presented him with a dress of honour. In this year the Mahárája went to court by way of Jodhpur, and appointed Ratansingh Bhandári as his deputy, and the author of the *Mirát-i-Ahmedi* as news recorder. In the same year, A.D. 1733, Ghulám Muhy-ud-dín Khán, governor of Jánágadh died, and his son Mir Hazabr Khán was selected to fill his place.

Meanwhile as the Maráthás had not received their rights, Jádaji Dáhháde, son of Umábái, returned to Gujarát. Peace was concluded on the former basis, and Jádaji marched into Sorath to exact tribute. In this year the Kolís of the Chúnval and Kámkrej committed many excesses, and a Rájput noble was robbed in the Pátan district. In the meantime Soháb Khán, the former governor of Sarat, who had been kindly received by Bhávsinghji the chief of Sihor, began to raise a following and was appointed collector of arrears in Sorath. He chose Sayad Núrullah as his deputy, and sent him to recover the revenue for the current year.

On the death of Salábat Khán Bábi, though the Mahárája had endeavoured to get Sher Khán Bábi appointed in place of his father, Gogha had been granted to Burhán-ul-Mulk, who chose Soháb Khán as his deputy. At this time Sher Khán Bábi was at Baroda, and his younger brother, though he resisted, was compelled to leave Gogha. The deputy governor of Sorath complained to the governor of the oppressive conduct of Soháb Khán. But Burhán-ul-Mulk supported Soháb and having obtained for himself the government of Sorath, sent Soháb Khán as his deputy to Jánágadh. In A.D. 1734, Ratansingh Bhandári, the deputy viceroy, who held in hatred Bhávsingh, son of Udaikaran, the hereditary officer of Viramgam, persuaded Jawán-Mard Khán to imprison him and send him to Ahmedábád. Jawán-Mard Khán went so far as to arrest Bhávsingh, but was forced by his supporters to release him.

In this year Sher Khán Bábi, governor of Baroda, went to visit his lands at Bálásinor, leaving Muhammad Sarbáz in command at Baroda,

Mahadaji Galkwar, brother of Pilaji, who then held Jambhear, sending to Sengad to Damaji for aid, marched on Baroda with a strong force. The garrison made a brave defence, and Sher Khan hearing of the attack at Balsinor, called for aid from Ratan Singh Bhandari, the deputy viceroy, who directed Momin Khan, the governor of Cambay, to join Sher Khan and drive back the Marathas. Sher Khan started at once for Baroda. But Mahadaji leaving a sufficient force before the town pushed on with the bulk of his army to meet Sher Khan, and, though he and his men fought bravely, defeated him, and then returned to Baroda, Sher Khan retiring to Balsinor. Momin Khan, who arrived after Sher Khan's defeat, did not deem it prudent to engage the Marathas, and retired to Cambay. In the meantime the garrison of Baroda, hopeless of succour, surrendered the town, and since that day Baroda has continued to be the head-quarters of the Galkwar family.

Since Jawan Mard Khan's capture of Bhavsingh of Virangam he had become much disliked. For this reason Ratan Singh Bhandari, the deputy viceroy, transferred him to Kadi and Bijapur, and in his place appointed Sher Khan Babi, whose father Muhammad Salabat Khan Babi had been a popular governor of Virangam. At this time Dhanraj Bhandari, governor of Petlad, died, and the farm of the districts of Nulbad, Arhar-Matar, Petlad, and Mahadha was given to Momin Khan. Mulla Muhammad Ali managed to write letters from his confinement at Surat to the Nizam; and as that chief was now not far from Surat, he wrote urgently to Teghbeg Khan to release him. Teghbeg Khan put the Mulla to death, and bribing the Nizam's messenger, gave out that he had died of joy at his release. Khusalchand, the chief of the merchants of Ahmedabad, having had a difference with Ratan Singh, was forced to leave the city, and sought shelter at Cambay and afterwards at Junagadh. Jawan Mard Khan, who was of an ambitious temperament, now conceived the design of conquering Idar from Anand Singh and Raisingh, brothers of the Maharaja Abheysingh. He accordingly marched upon Idar, taking with him as allies Aghraji Koli of Katesan and Koli Amra of Elol Kanrah. In this strait Anand Singh and Raisingh sought the aid of Malharirav Holkar and Ranoji Sindia, who were at this time in Malwa. The Maratha chiefs at once marched to the help of Idar, and Jawan Mard Khan, disbelieving the report of Maratha aid, continued to advance until he found himself opposed by an overwhelming force. Negotiations were entered into, and Jawan Mard Khan agreed to pay a sum of £17,500 (Rs. 1,75,000). Of the total amount £2500 (Rs. 25,000) were paid at once, and Zoriwar Khan, brother of Jawan Mard Khan, and Ajabsingh, agent of Aghraji Koli, were kept as hostages until the balance should be paid. In this year Teghbeg Khan of Surat caused a wealthy merchant named Ahmed Chalabi to be assassinated, and confiscated his property. He also caused a fanatic named Sayad Ali to be put to death by certain Afghans, as he considered that he might excite sedition.

In the following year (A.D. 1735) Dholka was assigned to Ratan Singh Bhandari, and through the influence of Burhan-ul-Mulk, Shahrab

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Muhammad

Shah's

Emperor,

1721-1742.

RATAN SINGH

BHANDARI

Deputy Viceroy

in charge,

1733-1737.

Change of
Governor at
Virangam.Jawan Mard
Khan falls in
an attempt on
Idar.Rivalry of Ratan-
Singh Bhandari and
Rohrab Khan,
1733.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Muhammad
Shah
Emperor,
1721-1748.

RATANSINGH
BHANDARI
Deputy Viceroy
in charge,
1723-1737.

Battle of Dholi.
Defeat and Death
of Sohráb Khán,
1735.

Khán was appointed governor of Vírangám. Ratansingh resented this, and eventually Vírangám was conferred on the Mahárája Abhoyasingh. When this order reached Sohráb Khán, he forwarded it to Burhán-ul-Mulk, and in consequence of Burhán-ul-Mulk's remonstrances, the arrangements were changed and Sohráb Khán appointed governor. Upon this Sohráb Khán, leaving Sádak Ali as his deputy in Junágadh, marched for Vírangám; while Ratansingh Bhandári, hearing of Sohráb Khán's approach, summoned Momín Khán and others to his assistance, and with his own army, proceeded to Dholka and plundered Koth. From Koth he advanced and pitched at Harálah, about ten miles from Sohráb Khán's camp, and here he was joined by Momín Khán and others whom he had summoned to support him. After the union of these forces he marched to Dholi, six miles from Dhandhuka, at which place Sohráb Khán was then encamped. Ratansingh Bhandári now proposed that peace should be concluded, and that Sohráb Khán should enjoy Vírangám until final orders were passed by the emperor. Sáfdar Khán Bábi and others went to Sohráb Khán and endeavoured to bring him to consent to these terms; but he would not listen, and on both sides preparations were made for battle. During the following night Ratansingh Bhandári planned an attack on Sohráb Khán's camp. The surprise was complete. Sohráb Khán's troops fled, and himself, mortally wounded, shortly afterwards died. By the death of Sohráb Khán the family of Kázim Beg Khán became extinct. He was buried at Sihor in Káthiáváda.

Rivalry between
Ratansingh
Bhandári and
Momín Khán,
1735.

After this success a single horseman attacked and wounded Ratansingh Bhandári in two places. The horseman was at once slain, but no one was able to recognize him. Ratansingh, who in two months had recovered from his injuries, now determined to attack Momín Khán, as that officer in the recent struggle had taken part with Sohráb Khán. Momín Khán, hearing of Ratansingh's intentions, withdrew to Cambay. In the course of this year, on the expiry of the period of the farm of Mahudlia, Arhar-Mátar, and Nadiad, these districts were transferred from Momín Khán to Sáfdar Khán Bábi. Kálianchand, a man of low origin, was appointed to Vírangám in place of Sher Khán Bábi, and instead of Sohráb Khán, Muhsín Khán Khálvi was made deputy governor of Sorath.

About this time Dámáji Gaikwár, who had been chosen by Unáhdí as her representative in Gujarát, appointed Rangoji to act as his agent. Kántáji being dissatisfied with this arrangement, in which his rights were ignored, marched into Gujarát. Rangoji met him, and a battle was fought at Anand-Mogri, twenty-five miles south-east of Kaira, in which Kántáji was defeated and his son killed. In consequence of this reverse Kántáji retired to Petlád. Momín Khán, who with his army was drawn up near Petlád to oppose Rangoji, was compelled to retire to Cambay, where peace was concluded on condition that Dámáji should receive the one-fourth share of the revenues of the country north of the Mahi. As the districts where these battles were fought were held in farm by Sáfdar Khán Bábi, he suffered much loss, and consequently retired to Rádhanpur. Rangoji was joined by Dámáji Gaikwár, and these two leaders went together to Dholka. While they

MAHÁRAJA
AFFAIRS.

Dámáji Gaikwár
and Kántáji,
1735.

Battle of
Anand-Mogri.
Defeat of
Kántáji.

were there, Bhávsingh of Viramgám invited them to that town, both on account of the annoyance he suffered from the Márvádís and that he might take vengeance on the Kasbátís for the murder of his father Udaikaran. He accordingly treacherously admitted the Maráthás and slew Daulat Muhammad Tink, brother of the murderer of his father, and expelled the rest of the Kasbátís, while Kalián, the Márvádi administrator, was permitted to go to Ahmedábád. Leaving Rangoji at Viramgám, Dámáji marched into Sorath to levy tribute from the chiefs, and after collecting a portion of his dues, returned to the Dakhan. In the following year (A.D. 1736) Rangoji advanced as far as Bávla near Dholka wasting the country. Ratansingh Bhandári, the deputy viceroy, marched against him, and forced him to retire to Viramgám. Ratansingh pursued the Maráthás to Viramgám, attacked and defeated them capturing their baggage, but failed to prevent them taking shelter in the town. About this time some Marátha horse who were at Sarnál, otherwise called Thásera, joined the Kolís of those parts, advanced with them against Kapadvanj and without any serious resistance succeeded in capturing the town. Meanwhile though Ratansingh had summoned Momín Khán to his aid, he delayed coming, as he began to scheme independence at Cambay.

Ratansingh Bhandári heard that Pratápráv, brother of Dámáji, and Deváji Tákar were advancing on Ahmedábád with 10,000 horse. At first he thought this a device to draw him from Viramgám, to whose walls his mines had reached. On ascertaining from trusty spies that the report was true, he raised the siege of Viramgám, returned rapidly to Ahmedábád, and pushing forward to meet Pratápráv, exacted tribute from the chiefs on the banks of the Vátrak. As Pratápráv drew near, the governor of the Bhíl district retired before him, and he continuing his advance, passed through Valad and Pethápur, and so by way of Chhála reached Dholka. Here, through Muhammad Ismáíl, the governor of Dholka, he demanded from the Bhandári his share of the revenue. Afterwards, leaving 2000 horse in Dholka, he went to Dhandhuka. In the meantime Kántáji, who was a follower of Bájiráv Peshwa, joining with Malháráv Holkar, advanced upon Idar, and coming against Dánta, plundered that town. Some Nágár Bráhmans of the town of Vadnagar, who were settled in Dánta, tried to escape to the hills, but were intercepted and pillaged. The Maráthás then proceeded to Vadnagar and plundered the town. From Vadnagar they went as far as Pálanpur, where Pahár Khán Jhálóri, being unable to oppose them, agreed to pay a tribute of £10,000 (Rs. 1 lakk). Kántáji and Malháráv Holkar then marched into Márwár, while Pratápráv and Rangoji crossed over from Dhandhuka into Káthiáváda and Gohilváda. About this time Muhammad Pahár Khán Jhálóri was appointed deputy governor of Pátan on behalf of Vakhatsingh. As no settlement of his demands on the revenues of Dholka had yet been made, Pratápráv returned to that town and sent Narhar Pandit to receive the tribute due to him. Afterwards proceeding to Baroda with Rangoji they were summoned to Sorath by Dámáji to assist him. Sher Khán Bábi, who up to his time had been at Kaira, now came to Ahmedábád, and as the deputy viceroy

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Muhammad
Sháh
Emperor,
1721-1748.

RATANSINGH
BHANDÁRI
Deputy Viceroy
in charge,
1733-1737.

The Maráthás
help Bhávsingh
to expel the
Viramgám
Kasbátís.

The Gáikvár
and Peshwa
plunder the
Country.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Muhammad
Shah
Emperor,
1721-1748.

Momin Khan
Fifty-fourth
Viceroy,
1737.

was displeased with Momin Khan's conduct when Virangam was besieged, he appointed Sher Khan his own deputy at Petlad, Arhar-Matar, and Nadiad. Afterwards on Momin Khan's remonstrance Subhachand Marvadi was appointed to examine the accounts and receive the revenue in place of Sher Khan. In A.D. 1737 Damaji's brother Prataprav, returning to his country after exacting tribute from the chiefs of Sorath, died of small-pox at Kankar near Dholka. Momin Khan seeing that Sher Khan had not yet left Kaira, collected some men and came to Petlad, while Sher Khan went to Dehgam and awaited the departure of Rangoji. Ratansingh Bhandari made preparations to help Sher Khan and Momin Khan returned to Cambay.

At this time as the Maharaja Abheysingh was not in favour at court, Momin Khan was appointed fifty-fourth viceroy. As he was unable to effect anything by himself he persuaded Jawan Mard Khan Babi to join him by a promise of the government of Patan and directed him to proceed and take up that appointment. Now the Jhaloris were allies of the Rathods, and Pahir Khan Jhalori, then in command of Patan, opposed Jawan Mard Khan, but was finally obliged to vacate Patan. Momin Khan, who had not hitherto produced the order appointing him viceroy, now made it public and began to act as viceroy with the title of Najm-ud-daulah Momin Khan Bahadur Firuz Jang, and in A.D. 1737 sent a copy of this order to Aldul Husain Khan, the deputy minister, and to Mustafid Khan, who held the office of Kazi.

Sher Khan Babi, wishing to remain neutral, retired to Balasinor and Momin Khan summoned Rangoji, who was in the neighbourhood of Cambay, to his assistance. Rangoji agreed to aid him in expelling the Marvadis, on condition that, if successful, he should be granted one-half of the produce of Gujarat except the city of Ahmedabad, the lands in the neighbourhood of the city, and the port of Cambay. This disastrous alliance with the Marathas gave the last blow to Mughal power in Gujarat, which otherwise might have lingered for at least a quarter of a century. Momin Khan lived to repent his conduct.

When Ratansingh Bhandari heard of the appointment of Momin Khan to be viceroy he wrote to Maharaja Abheysingh for orders. Meanwhile he sent Muhammadan officials to Cambay to persuade Momin Khan to take no further steps until a reply should be received to the reference Momin Khan had made to Agra. The reply of the Maharaja was that Ratansingh should resist Momin Khan if he could. Ratansingh prepared to defend Ahmedabad while Momin Khan collecting an army, camped at the Naranisar lake.

From the Naranisar lake where Momin Khan remained encamped for one and a half months collecting his partisans he advanced to Sojitra, where he was joined by Jawan Mard Khan Babi; and proceeding together they came to Vasu under Petlad, about twenty-six miles from Ahmedabad, and from Vasu to Kaira, about eighteen miles from the capital. At Kaira they encamped on the banks of the Vatrak, where, owing to the incessant rain, they were forced to remain for about a month. When the rain abated and the rivers were fordable, Momin Khan, moving to Ahmedabad, encamped in front of the city

on the Kankariya tank and prepared for a siege. About the same time Momin Khán's manager, Vajiram, whom he had sent to Songad to solicit Dámáji to march in person to his assistance, arrived and informed him that Dámáji would join him shortly. Zoráwar Khán, who had been left at the Maráthá camp as security for the payment of the tribute, was recalled, and instead the district of Parántij was formally assigned to the Maráthás in payment of their demands. Some of the Mahárája's guns, which were being sent to Ahmedábád by his agents at Surat through Cambay for facility of transit, were about this time captured by a party of Momin Khán's men. When Ratansingh Bhandári wrote to the Mahárája of Momin Khán's advance on Ahmedábád, the Mahárája was much displeased, and went from the emperor's presence in anger. The nobles fearing the consequences, recalled him, and persuaded the emperor to re-appoint him viceroy of Gujarát.

Momin Khán was secretly enjoined to disregard the Mahárája's appointment and persevere in expelling the Ráthods, and was assured of the emperor's approbation of this line of conduct. He therefore continued to prosecute the siege with vigour. In the meantime another order was received from the imperial court, confirming the reappointment of the Mahárája and appointing Fidá-ud-dín Khán to guard the city with 500 men, directing also that Momin Khán should return to Cambay. It was further stated that, as Ratansingh Bhandári had acted oppressively, some other person should be appointed deputy to fill his place, and that in the meantime a Rájput noble, named Abhaikaran, was to carry on the government. Shortly before this Muhammad Bákir Khán, son of Muátamid Khán, joined Momin Khán from Surat, while Sádik Ali Khán and his nephew reinforced him from Junágádh. When Momin Khán was informed of the purport of the imperial order he agreed to return to Cambay, provided Ratansingh Bhandári would quit the city, hand over charge to Abhaikaran, and admit Fidá-ud-dín Khán and his men into the city.

Ratansingh Bhandári determined not to leave the city, and prepared to defend himself to the last. Dámáji Gáikwár now joined Momin Khán from Songad. Momin Khán met Dámáji at Pánpur, three miles from Ahmedábád, and made great show of friendship, calling him his brother. When Ratansingh Bhandári heard of the arrangements made between Dámáji and Momin Khán, he sent a message to Dámáji saying, 'Momin Khán has promised Rangoji half of the revenues of Gujarát excepting the city of Ahmedábád, the lands immediately round it, and Cambay. If you will join me, I will give you half of everything not excepting the city nor Cambay, and will send to your camp some of my chief landholders as security if you agree.' Dámáji showed this to Momin Khán, and asked him what he proposed to do. Momin Khán now perforce agreed to do the same; but instead of Cambay offered to make over to the Maráthás the whole district of Virangám. Dámáji, accepting these terms, ceased to negotiate with Ratansingh. He then went on pilgrimage to Dúdesar, and returning in the same year, A.D. 1738, he and Rangoji began active operations against Ahmedábád. Their bombardment did so much

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Muhammad
Sháh
Emperor.
1721-1748.
Momin Khán
Fifty-fourth
Viceroy,
1737.

Lays Siege to
Ahmedábád.

MAHÁRAJA
ABHAIKARAN
Fifty-fifth
Viceroy,
1737.

Momin Khán
continues the
Siege of
Ahmedábád.

Defence of the
City by
Ratansingh
Bhandári.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Muhammad Shah
Emperor,
1721-1748.
Maharaja
Ankervinson
Fifty-fifth
Viceroy,
1737.

Momin Khan
captures
Ahmedabad,
1738.

damage to the city that Momin Khan repented having called them to his aid, and foresaw that if the Maráthás once gained any portion of the city it would be no easy matter to drive them out. Momin Khan now sent the writer of the *Miscat-i-Ahmedi* to Ratansingh Bhandári, in hopes that he might withdraw peaceably, but Ratansingh refused to listen to any terms. After some time the Musalmáns under Kázim Ali Khan and others, and the Maráthás under Báburáv endeavoured to take the city by storm, but after a bloody contest were forced to retire. Next day Ratansingh, seeing that he could not long hold the city, entered into a negotiation with Momin Khan, and, on receiving a sum of money for his expenses, and on being allowed to retire with the honours of war, left the city.

Momin Khan entered Ahmedábád. On the capture of the city, in accordance with Momin Khan's engagement, half of it was handed to the Maráthás. Momin Khan sent news of what had taken place to the emperor, and appointed Fidá-ud-dín Khan his deputy. Dámaji, who in the meantime had been to Sorath, now returned and was met by Rangoji, who accompanied him as far as the banks of the Mahi, whence Rangoji proceeded to Dholka. After spending a few days at Dholka, Rangoji returned to Ahmedábád and took charge of his share of the city, which comprised the Raikhar, Khánjehan, and Jamalpur quarters as far as the Astoria and Raipur gates. The city was thus equally divided, and the Astoria and Raipur gates were guarded by the Maráthás. At that time the inhabitants of Ahmedábád were chiefly Muhammadans, and the Maráthás, accustomed to extortion, attempting to oppress them, they rose against the strangers, and after a severe affray expelled the greater part of them from the city. Momin Khan, though secretly pleased, affected ignorance and sent Fidá-ud-dín Khan to reassure Rangoji. This with some difficulty he succeeded in doing and Rangoji remained in the city. Jawán Mard Khan was sent to Pátan, and, instead of Parántij, the district of Kherála was granted to Zoráwar Khan Babi.

Momin Khan
Fifty-sixth
Viceroy,
1738-1743.
Prosperity of
Ahmedabad,
1738.

With the cessation of Marátha oppression, Ahmedábád began to recover its splendour and opulence. The emperor was much pleased with Momin Khan, and, raising his rank, presented him with a dress of honour, a sword, and other articles of value. At the close of the rainy season Momin Khan went to levy tribute from the chiefs on the banks of the Sábarmati, and Rangoji was asked to accompany him. They marched to Adálaj whence Fidá-ud-dín Khan, the deputy viceroy, returned to the city accompanied by Rámaji as deputy of Rangoji. Jawán Mard Khan and Sher Khan Babi now joined the viceroy's camp, and, about the same time Hathising, chief of Pethápur, paid a visit to the viceroy and settled his tribute. From Adálaj they advanced to Mánsa, and were met by the Mánsa chief. From Mánsa they proceeded to Kadi, and from Kadi to Bijápur. After Momin Khan left the people of Ahmedábád were badly treated, and Rangoji, leaving his brother Akoji in camp, returned to the capital, whence he marched towards Virangám and Sorath. Momin Khan went from Bijápur to Idar, and there levied tribute from the chiefs of Mohanpur and Ranásan.

When Momín Khán arrived at Idar, Anandsingh and Ráisingh, brothers of Mahárajá Abheysingh, went to him and paid the tribute of Mohanpur and Hanisan as being within the limits of the Idar territory. The matter was amicably settled, and the two brothers accompanied the viceroy as far as the Idar frontier, when Anandsingh returned to Idar, and Ráisingh, at Momín Khán's request, remained with him, Momín Khán undertaking to pay the expenses of his men. Prathiráj, the chief of Mánsa, agreed to pay £2300 (Rs. 23,000) and the chief of Varsoda £1000 (Rs. 10,000) as tribute. At this time Sher Muhammad Khán Bábi was appointed to succeed Mir Dost Ali as deputy governor of Sorath. The Maráthás, who had attempted to deprive some of the Rasdábád and Batwa Sayads of their land, were attacked by the Muhammadan population, and a few men were wounded on either side. Momín Khán, receiving tribute from various chiefs, had now reached Pálanpur, and Páhar Khán Jhálóri, the governor of that place, was introduced to the viceroy by Sher Khán Bábi. As news was now received that Deváji Tákar was advancing through the Baroda districts, Momín Khán marched towards Ahmedábád, dismissing Páhar Khán Jhálóri on the Pálanpur frontier. Jawán Mard Khán Bábi, appointing his brother Saifdar Khán Bábi as his deputy at Pátan, pushed forward in advance for Ahmedábád. Mámúr Khán, who had been chosen by Mir Huzab Ali as his deputy in Sorath, now arrived and complained to Momín Khán regarding Sher Khán Bábi's appointment. Momín Khán said that, as neither had assumed charge of their duties, they should await final orders from the emperor. He then advanced to Hájipur, and thence encamped on the side of the city near Balrampur and occupied himself in strengthening the city defences. From that camp he proceeded to Isanpur four miles south of Ahmedábád on his way to levy tribute from the Koli chiefs of the banks of the Vátrak. After this he proceeded to Kúlej on the Vátrak and levied tribute from the Koli chiefs of that neighbourhood. Hearing that Dámáji had left Songad, and crossing the Mahi had gone to Arás, Momín Khán struck his camp and returned to the city, while Dámáji going to Dholka marched from that to Sorath. Momín Khán now permitted Sher Khán to return to his lands in Gogha, whence he proceeded to Júnágadh and took charge of the office of deputy governor.

In A.D. 1738, Mir Huzabé Khán, the governor of Sorath, died, and as Sher Khán had occupied Júnágadh, and taken into his employ all the troops of Mir Dost Ali, Mámúr Khán was obliged to resign his pretensions and return. The emperor now appointed Himmát Ali Khán, nephew of Momín Khán, governor of Sorath, and he wrote to his uncle to choose a fitting deputy. Momín Khán, as the Maráthá incursions into Sorath increased yearly, and as Sher Khán Bábi was a man able to hold his own with them, suffered him to remain as deputy. When Dámáji returned to Virangáná, after levying tribute from the chiefs of Sorath, he was obliged to march against Kánji Koli, the chief of Chhanárá in the Chúnvál. As he could not prevail against them he was forced to call on Momín Khán for aid. Momín Khán sent Fidá-ud-dín Khán at the head of a well-equipped army. On their approach the

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Mahammad
Shah
Emperor,
1721-1748.

Momín Khán
Fifty-sixth
Viceroy,
1738-1743.

The Viceroy
collects Tribute,
1738.

Sher Khán Bábi
Deputy Governor
of Sorath,
1738.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Mahammad
Shah
Emperor,
1721-1748.

Momin Khán
Fifty-sixth
Viceroy,
1738-1743.

The
Deputy Viceroy
collects Tribute,
1739.

Capture of
Bassein by
the Maráthás,
1739.

Tribute
Expedition,
1740.

Kolis fled, and the village was burned, and Fidá-ud-dín Khán returned to the capital. Dámáji, leaving Rangoji as his deputy, returned to Songad. In this year, A.D. 1738, Hindustán was invaded by the great Persian Nádir Sháh, Dehli sacked, and the emperor made prisoner. Except that coin was struck in Nádir's name, the collapse of Mughal power caused little change in Gujarát.

In A.D. 1739 Fidá-ud-dín Khán was sent to levy tribute from the chiefs on the banks of the Sábarmati, and, accompanied by Jawán Mard Khán Bábi and Rája Ráisingh of Idar, marched to Charárah. As the village of Pámul under Bijápur had been assigned to the author of the *Mirát-i-Ahmedi*, he accompanied Fidá-ud-dín Khán, who marched to Ahmednagar, and demanded tribute from Jitsingh of Mohanpur and Ranásan. Jitsingh resisted and a doubtful battle was fought. Next day Fidá-ud-dín Khán changed his position and again attacked Jitsingh, who being defeated agreed to pay £1000 (Rs. 10,000). They then went to Idar, where they were hospitably received by Rája Ráising, who presented the leaders with horses. From Idar they proceeded to Vadnagar, which was under Jawán Mard Khán, who also received them courteously and presented horses. The army then marched to Visalnagar. On the arrival of the troops at Visalnagar, Jawán Mard Khán requested Fidá-ud-dín Khán to subdue Jámáji the Koli chief of Thara-Jámpur in the Kánkrej, who was then at Bálisána under Pátan and who was continually plundering the country. Fidá-ud-dín Khán marched to Bálisána, but Jámáji fled to Thara-Jámpur without risking a battle and the Muhammadans plundered Thara-Jámpur. From Bálisána Fidá-ud-dín Khán marched to Kadi, and allowing Jawán Mard Khán to return to Pátan proceeded to Ahmedábád.

At Ahmedábád disputes between Rangoji and Momin Khán regarding the government of the city were frequent. In one serious disturbance Momin Khán was worsted and forced to sue for peace and grant Rangoji his half share both in the government and revenue, which, since the affray in A.D. 1738, Momin Khán had withheld. A formal agreement was drawn up but did not long remain in force. About this time Momin Khán's nephew Muhammad Momin Khán Bakhshi received a patent granting him the title of Nazar Ali Khán. The year A.D. 1739 was marked by a disastrous flood in the Sábarmati. In this year also the Maráthás under Chinnáji Apa achieved the memorable success of taking the fort of Bassein from the Portuguese.

In A.D. 1740 on his return from Sorath, Dámáji Gáikwár took Rangoji to the Dakhan and appointed Malhárráv Khúni his deputy at Ahmedábád. Fidá-ud-dín Khán met the new deputy at Isanpur and escorted him to the city. Shortly after Fidá-ud-dín Khán and Nazar Ali Khán started to collect tribute, and Jawán Mard Khán sent his brother Zoríwar Khán Bábi to accompany them. They advanced against Dábhora under Bahyal eighteen miles east of Ahmedábád in the Bhíl district and fought with the chief, who agreed to pay tribute. Thence they went to Atarsumba, where the Kolis after a vain attempt to carry off their cannon agreed to pay tribute. The force then proceeded to Mándva and levied a contribution from the Mándva chief. They next went to Kapadvanj, and passing

through Bálásinor reached Virpur under Lunáváda. Here, from Sultánsingh, agent of the Lunáváda chief, they received two horses and £300 (Rs. 3000) as tribute. While at Lunáváda an order of recall came from Momín Khán, who intimated that Malháráv Khúni had laid up large stores of grain and contemplated war. Fidá-ud-dín Khán at once pushed forward through Bálásinor and Kapadvanj, advancing rapidly towards the capital. On the way he received a second despatch from Momín Khán saying that, as the risk of war had for the present passed, they should advance to Petlád, where they would find Malháráv Khúni and settle with him about the revenue accounts. They continued their march, and in two days reached Kaira, being joined on the way by Muhammad Kúti Khán, who was charged with messages from Momín Khán. At Kaira they found Muhammad Husain, nephew of Fidá-ud-dín Khán who had been sent with a force to Mahudha. As Malháráv Khúni was at Pinj near Kaira, Fidá-ud-dín Khán expressed a wish to meet him, and it was agreed that both sides should go to the Petlád district and there settle the disputed collections. Shortly after they met and arrangements were in progress when the Kolis of the Bhíl district rebelled and Abdúl Husain Khán and Vajerám were sent against them. After burning two or three villages this detachment rejoined the main body, and not long after all returned to Ahmedábád. During A.D. 1740 Bájiráv Peshwa died.

In A.D. 1741 Momín Khán went to Cambay, and while residing at Ghúlsýr near that city received information that Dámáji had again appointed Rangóji his deputy in place of Malháráv Khúni, and shortly after Rangóji arrived at Petlád. At this time Momín Khán turned his attention to the falling off in the customs revenue of Cambay and appointed Ismáil Muhammad collector of customs. As he was anxious to clear some misunderstanding between Rangóji and himself, Momín Khán set out to visit Rangóji and assure him of his good wishes. At this time Bhávsingh of Virangám, who found the Maráthás even more troublesome than the Muhammadans, as soon as he heard of Malháráv's recall, suddenly attacked the fort of Virangám and with the aid of some Arabs and Rohillás expelled the Maráthá garrison and prepared to hold the fort on his own account. Shortly after Rangóji demanded that a tower in Ahmedábád, which had been raised a story by Momín Khán so as to command the residence of the Maráthá deputy at the Jamálpur gate, should be reduced to its original height. At the same time he suggested that Momín Khán and he, uniting their forces, should advance and expel Bhávsingh from Virangám. Momín Khán agreed to both proposals. The addition to the tower was pulled down, and Momín Khán and Rangóji, marching against Virangám, laid siege to the town. Bhávsingh made a gallant defence, and Momín Khán, who was not sorry to see the Maráthás in difficulties, after a time left them and marched to Kadi and Bijápur to levy tribute. Rangóji continued the siege, and as Bhávsingh saw that even without Momín Khán the Maráthá army was sufficient to reduce the place, he agreed to surrender Virangám, provided the fort of Pátdi and its dependent villages were granted

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Muhammad
Shah
Emperor,
1721-1748.
Momín KHÁN
Fifty-sixth
Viceroy,
1738-1743.

The Viceroy
at Cambay,
1741.

Bhávsingh
surrenders
Virangám
and receives
Pátdi.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Muhammad
Shah
Emperor.
1721-1748.
Momin Khán
Fifty-sixth
Viceroy.
1738-1743.

Siege of Broach
by the Maráthás,
1741.

Battle of Dholka,
Defeat of the
Maráthás,
1741.

Contests between
the Muhammadans
and Maráthás.

to him. Rangoji agreed, and thus the Maráthás again obtained possession of Virangam, while Bhivsingh acquired Pátdi,¹ a property which his descendants hold to this day.

When Momin Khán arrived at Mánsa, about twenty-six miles north-west of Ahmedábád, hearing that Dámáji had crossed the Mahi with 10,000 men, he at once returned to the capital. Dámáji arrived at Mánsa and besieged it. The chiefs and Kolis defended the place bravely for about a month, when it fell into Dámáji's hands, who not only cleared the prickly-pear stockade which surrounded it, but also burned the town. From Mánsa Dámáji marched to Sorath. On his return he laid siege to Broach, a fort which, from its natural strength as well as from its favourable position on the Narbada, it had been the constant ambition both of Dámáji and of his father, Piláji to capture. On the approach of Dámáji, Nek Alam Khán, who held the place in the interests of the Nizám, prepared to defend the fort, and wrote to the Nizám for aid. In reply the Nizám warned Dámáji not to attack his possessions. On receiving this letter Dámáji raised the siege and returned to Songad. It seems probable that concessions were made to tempt Dámáji to retire from Broach, and that the Gáikwár's share in the Broach customs dates from this siege.

In A.D. 1741 in a battle between Káim Kúli Khán, governor of Dholka, and Rangoji's deputy, the Maráthás were defeated. Momin Khán, at the request of Rangoji, made peace between them. Fidá-ud-dín Khán, who had recently been raised in rank with the title of Bahádúr, starting to collect tribute burned down the refractory Koli village of Dabhora, and placing a post there, passed to Sátumba, Báldeinor, and Thsara. After the battle at Dholka, the building by Rangoji of the fort of Borsad, caused renewed fighting between the Muhammadans and Maráthás of Dholka. At the request of Muhammad Hádi Khán, governor of Dholka, Fidá-ud-dín Khán, passing through Mahudha to Petlad pushed forward to help him. In the meantime a battle was fought, in which the Maráthás under Malháráiv attacked Muhammad Hádi Khán, and after a short contest withdrew. Next day the Muhammadans, strengthened by the arrival of Fidá-ud-dín Khán, besieged Sojitra. A letter was written to Rangoji, asking the meaning of the attack, and he replied excusing himself and attributing it to the ignorance of Malháráiv. Muhammad Hádi Khán and the author of the *Mirát-i-Ahmedi* eventually met Rangoji at Borsad, and settled that he and Fidá-ud-dín Khán should come together and arrange matters. But Rangoji in his heart intended to fight and wrote to his deputy Rámáji at Ahmedábád to be ready for war. Malháráiv now joined Rangoji at Borsad. At this time many misunderstandings and several fights between the Maráthás and the Muhammadans were appeased by Momin Khán and Rangoji, who, in spite of the ill-feeling among their subordinates and a certain distrust of each other's designs, appear throughout to have maintained a warm

¹ Pátdi (north latitude 23° 10'; east longitude 71° 44'), at the south-east angle of the Ran of Cutch, fifty-two miles west of Ahmedábád.

mutual regard. Dāmāji from his stronghold at Sengad was too much occupied in Dakhan politics to give much attention to Gujārat. Rangoji, on the other hand, gained so much influence with the Gujārat chiefs, that at one time he succeeded in engaging Sajansingh Hazāri in his service, and also induced Rāja Rāisingh of Idar to join him. But Momīn Khān detached Rāisingh from this alliance, by placing him in charge of the post of Amālāra and granting him the districts of Modāsa, Meghrej, Ahmednagar, Parāntij, and Harsol. Moreover the customary Gujārat sum at first sent daily by Rangoji to Rāja Rāisingh for the expenses of his troops had begun to fall into arrears. Rāja Rāisingh made his peace with Momīn Khān through the mediation of Nazar Ali Khān, Momīn Khān's nephew, who appears to have been one of the leading spirits of the time.

In A.D. 1742 in another fight between the Marāthās and Muhammadans in Ahmedābād, the Muhammadans gained a slight advantage. After this Rangoji left the city, appointing as before Dāmāji as his deputy, and joining Jagjiwan Puvār went to Borsad, where he had built a fort. At this time one Jivandās came with authority from the Nizām to act as collector of Dholka, part of the lands assigned to the Nizām as a personal grant, but failed to enforce his position. Shortly after this Rāja Anandsing of Idar was killed, and his brother Rāising, taking leave, went to Idar to settle matters. Momīn Khān had his patent increased to the personal rank of commander of 6000 with a contingent of 8000 cavalry. He received a dress of honour, a jewelled turban, a plume, six pieces of cloth, an elephant, the order of Mahi-marātib,¹ and the title of Najm-ud-daulah Momīn Khān Bahādur Dilāwar Jang. Differences again broke out between Momīn Khān and Rangoji, and again matters were settled by a friendly meeting between the two chiefs at Borsad, where Rangoji had taken up his residence. Momīn Khān now went to Petlād, and from that to Cambay, where he was taken ill, but after six weeks came to Vasu, where Rangoji visited him. Here though again unwell he went to Dholka, and shortly afterwards he and Rangoji marched upon Limbdi, which at this time is mentioned as under Vīrangām. While before Limbdi, Rangoji was summoned by Dāmāji to help him against Bāpu Naik, and at once started to his assistance. Momīn Khān now marched into Gohilvāda, and proceeded by Loliāna to Gogha, then under the charge of a resident deputy of Sher Khān Bābi. Here he received tribute from the chief of Sihor, and from that, marching into Hālār, went against Navānagar. The Jām resisted for twenty days, and eventually, on his agreeing to pay £3000 (Rs. 50,000) as tribute, Momīn Khān returned to Ahmedābād. During his absence in spite of stubborn resistance Nazar Ali Khān and Vajerām had collected tribute from the Koli chiefs. Rangoji, who had now left Dāmāji, joined battle with Bāpu Naik ere he crossed the Mahi, and Bāpu Naik turned back. Rangoji therefore remained at Borsad, but hearing that Momīn Khān's illness had become serious, he went once or twice to Ahmedābād to visit him.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Muhammad
Shah
Emperor,
1721-1748.
Momin Khān
Fifty-sixth
Viceroy,
1733-1743.

Disturbance at
Ahmedābād,
1742.

The Viceroy
collects Tribute
in Kāthiāvāda.

¹ The Mahi-marātib was a banner having the likeness of a fish at its top.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Muhammad
Shah
Emperor,
1721-1748.

Death of Momín
Khán,
1743.

Fidá-ud-dín
as Viceroy,
1743.

Muftakhir Khán
Defeats the
Maráthás.

Dámáji Gáikwár
Returns to
Gujarát.

Abdál Ázíz
Káin
of Junnar,
Viceroy
(by a forged
order).

Mutiny of the
Troops.

In A.D. 1743 Momín Khán died. His wife, fearing lest Fidá-ud-dín Khán and Muftakhir Khán, Momín Khán's son, would deprive her of her estate, sought the protection of Rangoji. In the meantime Fidá-ud-dín Khán and Muftakhir Khán received an imperial order to carry on the government until a new viceroy should be appointed. At this time a man named Anandráam, who had been disgraced by Momín Khán, went over to Rangoji and incited him to murder Fidá-ud-dín Khán and Muftakhir Khán. Rangoji with this intention invited them both to his house, but his heart failed him, and shortly afterwards Fidá-ud-dín Khán went to Cambay. Rangoji now determined at all hazards to assassinate Muftakhir Khán. With this object he took Muftakhir Khán's associates, Vajerám and Káim Káli Khán, into his confidence. Muftakhir Khán accidentally heard of his designs, and remained on his guard. As Rangoji had failed to carry out his promise to raise Sher Khán Bábi to the post of deputy viceroy, Sher Khán advanced to Dholka and began plundering some Cambay villages. Rangoji, after another futile attempt to assassinate Muftakhir Khán, sent for his deputy Rámáji, who was then in the neighbourhood, and prepared to fight. Muftakhir Khán, on his part, summoned Fidá-ud-dín Khán from Cambay, and in a few days they succeeded in uniting their forces. Sher Khán Bábi deserting the cause of Rangoji, the Maráthás were worsted and Rangoji's house was besieged. Rangoji, being hard pressed, agreed to give up Anandráam and to surrender both Borsad and Viramgám, Sher Khán Bábi becoming his security. In this way Fidá-ud-dín Khán became sole master of Gujarát.

Shortly after Dámáji Gáikwár returned from Sátára and came to Cambay. In the meantime Rangoji, who had been living with Sher Khán Bábi, his security, contrived, with the connivance of Sher Khán, to escape together with his family. Fidá-ud-dín Khán was so greatly enraged with Sher Khán for this treachery, that Sher Khán leaving Ahmedábád on pretence of hunting, escaped to Bálasinór, where his wife joined him. Fidá-ud-dín Khán put Anandráam to death, while Rangoji through the aid of Sher Khán Bábi's wife, made good his escape to Borsad. Fidá-ud-dín Khán had set out to collect tribute, when news arrived that Khanderáv Gáikwár, brother of Dámáji, had crossed the Mahi and joining Rangoji had laid siege to Petlád. On hearing this, Fidá-ud-dín at once returned to Ahmedábád, and sent Valabhdás Kotwál to Khanderáv to complain of the misconduct of Rangoji.

After the death of Momín Khán, Jawán Mard Khán Bábi was the greatest noble in Gujarát. He began to aspire to power, and Fidá-ud-dín, who was not good in the field, had thoughts of appointing him as a deputy. While matters were in this state, and Jawán Mard Khán was already laying claim to the revenue of the district round Ahmedábád, an order was received appointing Abdál Ázíz Khán the commander of Junnar, near Poona, to be viceroy of Gujarát. This order was forged by Abdál Ázíz Khán in Jawán Mard Khán's interests, whom he appointed his deputy. Though Fidá-ud-dín Khán doubted the genuineness of the order, he was not powerful enough to remove Jawán Mard Khán, who accordingly proclaimed himself deputy viceroy. At this time the troops, clamorous on account of arrears,

placed both Fida-ud-din Khán and Muftakhir Khán under confinement. Jawán Mard Khán assumed charge of the city and stationed his own men on guard. While Fida-ud-din Khán and Muftakhir Khán were in confinement, Khanderáv Gaikwár sent them a message that if they would cause the fort of Petlád to be surrendered to him, he would help them. To this they returned no answer. Fida-ud-din Khán now entreated Jawán Mard Khán to interfere between him and his troops. Jawán Mard Khán accordingly persuaded the mutineers to release Fida-ud-din Khán, who eventually escaped from the city and went to Agra.

Meanwhile Rangoji continued to press the siege of Petlád and the commander, Agha Muhammad Husain, after in vain appealing for help to Jawán Mard Khán, was forced to surrender. Rangoji demolished the fort of Petlád and marched upon Ahmedábád. As he approached the city Jawán Mard Khán sent the writer of the Mirát-i-Ahmedi and Ajabsingh to negotiate with Rangoji, who demanded all his former rights and possessions.

News had now reached Dehli that a false viceroy was governing Gujarát, and accordingly Muftakhir Khán was chosen fifty-seventh viceroy, the order explaining that Abdúl Azíz had never been appointed viceroy, and directing Jawán Mard Khán to withdraw from the conduct of affairs. Muftakhir Khán was perplexed how to act. He succeeded in persuading his troops that he would be able to pay them their arrears, and he sent a copy of the order to Jawán Mard Khán; and, as he dared not displace him, he informed Jawán Mard Khán that he had appointed him as his deputy, and that he himself would shortly leave Ahmedábád. Jawán Mard Khán, so far from obeying, ordered Muftakhir Khán's house to be surrounded. Eventually Muftakhir Khán, leaving the city, joined Rangoji, and then retired to Cambay.

Khanderáv Gaikwár returned, and, with the view of enforcing his claims, uniting with Rangoji, marched to Banjar, about five miles south of Ahmedábád. Jawán Mard Khán issuing from the city camped near the Kánkariya lake. Narhar Pandit and Krishnáji on behalf of the Maráthas leaders were sent to Jawán Mard Khán to demand their former rights and possessions. Jawán at first refused, but in the end gave way and the Maráthas appointed Dádu Morár deputy of the city. Sher Khán Bábi now returned to Bálásinor. Khanderáv and Kánkaji then went to Dholka. Rangoji to Petlád, and Khanderáv Gaikwár to Sorath. Fida-ud-din Khán requested Rangoji to help Muftakhir Khán; he replied that he was willing to help him, but had no money. Rangoji then accompanied Fida-ud-din Khán to Cambay, where Muftakhir Khán was. Negotiations were entered into, and the Kháns tried to collect £10,000 (Rs. 1 *lakh*) which Rangoji asked for to enable him to make military preparations to aid them. They raised £8000 (Rs. 80,000) with great difficulty and admitted Rangoji's Náib to a share in the administration. Rangoji withdrew to Borsad with the £8000 (Rs. 80,000) under the pretext that when the remaining £2000 (Rs. 20,000) were paid he would take action. Fida-ud-din Khán, annoyed at Rangoji's conduct, went to reside at Dhowan, a village belonging to Jálam Jálá Koli.

Chapter III.

Maghal
Viceroys.

Muhammad
Shah
Emperor,
1721-1748.

Maráthas
Capture
Petlád,

MUFTAKHIR
KHÁN
Fifty-seventh
Viceroy,
1742-44.

Appoints Jawán
Mard Khán his
Deputy.

The Maráthas
in Ahmedábád.

Chapter III.

Mughol
Viceroys.

Muhammad
Shah
Emperor,
1721-1748.

MUTTAKEER
Khan
Fifty-seventh
Viceroy,
1743-44.

Battle of Kim
Kathodra.
Defeat and
Death of
Abdul Aziz
Khan,
1744.

FAKHR-UD-
DAULAH
Fifty-eighth
Viceroy,
1744-1748.

Jawan Mard
Khan Bahi,
Deputy Viceroy.

Khanderao
Gaikwar called
to Sitara.

IN A.D. 1744 Jawan Mard Khan, after appointing one of his brothers, Zorawar Khan, his deputy at Patan, and keeping his other brother Safdar Khan at Ahmedabad, advanced from the city to Sadi to collect tribute. His next step was to invite Abdol Aziz Khan, the commander of Junnar, near Poona, to join him in Gujarat. Abdul Aziz accordingly set out from Junnar, taking with him Fatehyah Khan, commander of the fort of Mulher in Baglan and Rustamrav Maratha. Directing his march in the first instance to Surat he was there watched in the interests of Darnaji Gaikwar, by Devaji Takpur, the lieutenant of that chief, who, seeing that on leaving Surat, Abdol Aziz continued to advance to Ahmedabad, pursued him to Kim Kathodra, about fifteen miles north-west of Surat, and there attacked him. In the engagement Devaji Takpur, who had gained over Rustamrav Maratha, one of the leading men in Abdol Aziz's army, was victorious. Abdol Aziz Khan retired, but was so closely followed by the Marathas, that at Panoli he was forced to leave his elephant, and, mounting a horse, fled with all speed towards Broach. On reaching the Narbada he failed to find any boats, and, as his pursuers were close upon him, putting his horse at the water, he tried to swim the river; but, sticking fast in the mud, he was overtaken and slain by the Marathas.

On hearing of the death of Abdol Aziz, Jawan Mard Khan thought of joining Muftakhir Khan. Ere he could carry this plan into effect, the emperor receiving, it is said, a present of £20,000 (Rs. 2 *lakh*) for the nomination, appointed Fakhr-ud-daulah Fakhr-ud-din Khan Shujat Jang Bahadur fifty-eighth viceroy of Gujarat. The new viceroy forwarded a blank paper to a banker of his acquaintance named Sitaram, asking him to enter in it the name of a fitting deputy. Sitaram filled in the name of Jawan Mard Khan, and Fakhr-ud-daulah was proclaimed viceroy. About this time Safdar Khan Bahi, after levying tribute from the Sabarmati chiefs, returned to Ahmedabad, and Khanderao Gaikwar, as he passed from Sorath to Sonpat, appointed Bangoji his deputy. On being appointed deputy Bangoji sent Krishnaji instead of Morar Naik as his deputy to Ahmedabad, and himself proceeded to Azhar-Matar on the Vatrak, and from that moved to Kaira to visit Jawan Mard Khan, with whom he established friendly relations. In the same year Ali Muhammad Khan, superintendent of customs, died, and in his place the author of the *Mirat-i-Ahmed* was appointed. In this year, too, Pashar Khan Jhalori died, and his uncle, Muhammad Bahadur, was appointed governor of Palanpur in his stead.

About this time Umabai, widow of Khanderao Dalhader, summoned Khanderao Gaikwar to help her in her attempt to lessen the power of the Peshwa. As Darnaji Gaikwar could not be spared from the Dakhan Khanderao was appointed his deputy in Gujarat, and he chose one Ramchandra to represent him at Ahmedabad. When Fakhr-ud-daulah advanced to join his appointment as viceroy he was received at Balsamor with much respect by Sher Khan Bahi. Jawan Mard Khan Bahi, on the other hand, determining to resist Fakhr-ud-daulah to the utmost of his power, summoned Gangadhar with a body of Maratha horse from Petlad, and posting them at Isanpur, about ten miles south west of the city, himself leaving the fortifications of Ahmedabad, encamped at

Asdrva, about a mile and a half from the walls. During his progress towards the capital the new viceroy was joined by Raisinghji of Idar at Karmdevanji, and, advancing together, they arrived at Bhilpur, eighteen miles east of Ahmedabad. On their approach Jawan Mard Khan sent Safdar Khan and Gangadhar to oppose them, and the two armies met about six miles from the capital. After some fighting Fakhr-ud-daulah succeeded in forcing his way to the suburb of Rajpura, and next day continuing to drive back the enemy occupied the suburb of Bahrampura and began the actual siege of the city. At this point affairs took a turn. Fakhr-ud-daulah was wounded and returned to his camp, while Jawan Mard Khan succeeded in winning over to his side Sher Khan Babi and Raisinghji of Idar, two of the viceroy's chief supporters. The Mirat-i-Ahmedi especially notes that Raja Raisingh asked for money to pay his troops but Fakhr-ud-daulah, not knowing that this rule had long been a dead letter, said that as he held a district on service tenure, it was not proper for him to ask for a money aid when on imperial service. Next day Fakhr-ud-daulah was surrounded by Safdar Khan Babi and the Marathas, and himself one wife and some children were taken prisoners, while another of his wives and his son, who had managed to escape to Sidhpur, were captured and brought back to Ahmedabad.

After this Khanderav Gaikwar returned to Gujarat to receive his share of the spoil taken from Fakhr-ud-daulah. Reaching Borsad, he took Rangoji with him as far as Ahmedabad, where he met Jawan Mard Khan, and obtained from Rangoji his share of the tribute. Khanderav was not satisfied with Rangoji's accounts, and appointing a fresh deputy, he attached Rangoji's property, and before leaving Ahmedabad for Sorath, put him in confinement at Borsad. He also confined Fakhr-ud-daulah in the Ghospar outpost on the bank of the river Mahi. Meanwhile in consequence of some misunderstanding between Jawan Mard Khan Babi and his brother Safdar Khan, the latter retired to Udepur, and Jawan Mard Khan went to Visalnagar then in the hands of his brother Zoravar Khan. From Visalnagar, Jawan Mard Khan proceeded to Radhanpur, and meeting his brother Safdar Khan, they became reconciled, and returned together to Ahmedabad. Khanderav Gaikwar, who had in the meantime returned from Sorath, encamping at Dholka appointed Trimbakrav Pandit as his deputy at Ahmedabad in place of Moro Pandit. On hearing that Rangoji had been thrown into confinement, Umabai sent for him, and he along with Khanderav Gaikwar repaired to the Dakhn.

Shortly afterwards Punaji Vithal, in concert with Trimbak Pandit, being dissatisfied with Jawan Mard Khan, began to intrigue with Fakhr-ud-daulah. In the meantime Umabai had appointed Rangoji as her deputy, and, as he was a staunch friend of Jawan Mard Khan, he expelled Trimbakrav from Ahmedabad, and himself collected the Maratha share of the city revenues. Upon this Punaji Vithal sent Gangadhar and Krishnaji with an army, and they, expelling the Muhammadan officers from the districts from which the Marathas levied the one-fourth share of the revenue, took the management of them into their own hands. Rangoji now asked Sher Khan Babi to help him. Sher

Chapter III.

Maghal
Viceroys.

Muhammad
Shah
Emperor,
1721-1748.
FAKHR-UD-
DAULAH
Fifty-eighth
Viceroy,
1741-1748.

Defeat and
capture of the
Viceroy by
Jawan Mard
Khan Babi.

Rangoji
Disgraced
by Khanderav
Gaikwar.

Punaji Vithal and
Fakhr-ud-daulah
oppose Rangoji
and Jawan Mard
Khan.

Chapter III.

Maghal
Viceroys.

Muhammad
Shah
Emperor,
1721-1748.
FAKHR-UD-
DAULAH
Fifty-eighth
Viceroy,
1744-1748.

Siege of
Kapadvanj
by Fakhr-ud-
daulah,
1746.

At the approach
of Holkar the
Siege is raised.

Khán agreed; but as he had not funds to pay his troops, he delayed, and afterwards plundered Mahudha and Nadiád. As Rangoji failed to join him, Sher Khán proceeded by himself to Kapadvanj, and from Kapadvanj marched against the Maráthá camp, with which Fakhr-ud-daulah was then associated. On the night after his arrival, the Maráthas made an attack on Sher Khán's camp, in which many men on both sides were slain. Next morning the battle was renewed, but on Sher Khán suggesting certain terms the fighting ceased. That very night, hearing that Rangoji had reached Bálásinor, Sher Khán stole off towards Kapadvanj. Punáji and Fakhr-ud-daulah followed in pursuit but failed to prevent Rangoji and Sher Khán from joining their forces.

In A.D. 1746 a battle was fought in the neighbourhood of the town of Kapadvanj in which Sher Khán was wounded. He was forced to take shelter with Rangoji in Kapadvanj, while Fakhr-ud-daulah, Gangádhár, and Krishnáji laid siege to that town. At this time the Lunávada chief asked Malhárav Holkar on his way back from his yearly raid into Máliwa, to join him in attacking Virpur. Holkar agreed and Virpur was plundered. Rangoji, hearing of the arrival of Holkar, begged him to come to his aid, and on promise of receiving a sum of £20,000 (Rs. 2 *lakh*) and two elephants, Holkar consented. Gangádhár, Krishnáji, and Fakhr-ud-daulah, hearing of the approach of Holkar, raised the siege of Kapadvanj, and marching to Dholka expelled the governor of that district. Shortly afterwards on a summons from Dámáji and Khandarav Gaikwár Rangoji retired to Baroda. Meanwhile Fakhr-ud-daulah, Krishnáji, and Gangádhár advanced to Jetalpur in the Daskroi sub-division of Ahmedábád and, taking possession of it, expelled Ambar Habshi, the deputy of Jawán Mard Khán. Dámáji and Khandarav Gaikwár passed from Baroda to Vasu, where they were met by Krishnáji and Gangádhár, whom Dámáji censured for aiding Fakhr-ud-daulah. On this occasion Dámáji bestowed the districts of Baroda Nadiád and Borsad on his brother Khandarav, an action which for ever removed any ill feeling on the part of Khandarav. Then, proceeding to Goklej, Dámáji had an interview with Jawán Mard Khán. From Goklej he sent Kánóji Táikpar with Fakhr-ud-daulah to Sorath, and himself returned to Songad. As Borsad had been given to Khandarav, Rangoji fixed on Umreth as his residence.

In this year, A.D. 1746, Teghbeg Khán, governor of Surat, died, and was succeeded by his brother Saifdar Muhammad Khán, who, in acknowledgment of a present of seven horses, received from the emperor the title of Bahádur. At this time Tálib Ali Khán died, and the writer of the *Mirát-i-Ahmedi* was appointed minister by the emperor. In A.D. 1747 Rangoji returned to Ahmedábád, and Jawán Mard Khán had an interview with him a few miles from the city. Shortly after this the Kolis of Mehmúdábád and Mahudha rebelled, but the revolt was speedily crushed by Sháhsház Rohilla.

Momin Khán II.
Governor of
Cambay,
1748.

During this year Najm Khán, governor of Cambay, died. Muftakhr Khán, son of Najm-ud-daulah Momin Khán I., who had also received the title of Momin Khán, informed the emperor of Najm

Khán's death, and himself assumed the office of governor in which in A.D. 1748 he was confirmed. On hearing of the death of Najm Khan, on pretence of condoling with the family of the late governor, Fidá-ud-din Khán marched to Cambay, but as he was not allowed to enter the town he retired. He afterwards went to Umreth and lived with Rangoji. Kánoji Tákar, who had gone with Fakhr-ud-daulah into Sorath, now laid siege to and took the town of Vantbali. As it was nearly time for the Maráthas to return to their country, Kánoji and Fakhr-ud-daulah, retiring to Dholka, expelled Muhammad Jánbáz, the deputy governor. Rangoji, who had at this time a dispute with Jawán Mard Khán regarding his share of tribute, now came and joined them, and their combined forces marched upon Sánand, where, after plundering the town, they encamped. It was now time for Kánoji to withdraw to the Dakhan. Rangoji and Fakhr-ud-daulah, remaining behind to collect tribute from the neighbouring districts, marched to Isanpur, where they were opposed by Jawán Mard Khán. On this occasion both Jawán Mard Khán and Fakhr-ud-daulah sought the alliance of Rája Ráisingh of Idar. But, as he offered more favourable terms, Rája Ráisingh determined to join Fakhr-ud-daulah. Sher Khán Bábi also joined Fakhr-ud-daulah, who, thus reinforced, laid siege to Ahmedábád. While these events were passing at Ahmedábád, Haribá, an adopted son of Khanderáv Gaikwár, at that time in possession of the fort of Borsad, began to plunder Rangoji's villages under Petlad, and, attacking his deputy, defeated and killed him. On this Rangoji withdrew from Ahmedábád, attacked and captured the fort of Borsad, and forced Haribá to leave the country. Jawán Mard Khán now sent for Jamrádhan Pandit, Khanderáv's deputy at Nadiád, and, in place of Rangoji's representative, appointed him to manage the Marátha share of Ahmedábád.

During these years important changes had taken place in the government of Surat. In A.D. 1734, when Mulla Muhammad Ali, the chief of the merchants and builder of the Athva fort, was killed in prison by Teghbeg Khán, the Nizám sent Sayad Miththan to revenge his death. Sayad Miththan was forced to return unsuccessful. After Teghbeg Khán's death Sayad Miththan again came to Surat and lived there with his brother Sayad Achchan, who held the office of paymaster. Sayad Miththan tried to get the government of the town into his hands, but, again failing, committed suicide. His brother Sayad Achchan then attacked and took the citadel, expelling the commander; and for several days war was waged between him and the governor Saifdar Muhammad Khán with doubtful success. At last Sayad Achchan called to his aid Malhárráv, the deputy at Baroda, and their combined forces took possession of the whole city. During the sack of the city Malhárráv was killed and the entire management of affairs fell into the hands of Sayad Achchan. Saifdar Muhammad Khán, the late governor, though obliged to leave the city, was determined not to give up Surat without a struggle, and raising some men opened fire on the fort. Sayad Achchan now begged the Arab Turk English Dutch and Portuguese merchants to aid him. A deed addressed to the emperor and the Nizám, begging that Sayad Achchan should be appointed

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Muhammad
Shah
Emperor.
1721-1748.
FAKHR-UD-
DAULAH
Fifty-eighth
Viceroy,
1744-1748.

Increased
Strength of
Fakhr-ud-daulah's
Party.

Discussions
among the
Maráthas.

SURAT AFFAIRS.
1742.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Mubainnād
Shāh
Emperor,
1721-1748.
FAKHR-UD-
DAULAH
Fifty-eighth
Viceroy,
1744-1748.

Mulla Fakhr-ud-
din Esqaper to
Bombay.

Cession of Surat
Revenue to the
Golkwar,
1747.

Pandora,
1747.

Marāṭha
Dispossessions.

Fall of Borsad.

MAHARAJA
VAKHATSINGH
Fifty-ninth
Viceroy,
1748.

governor, was signed by all the merchants except by Mr. Lamb the English chief, and though he at first refused, he was in the end persuaded by the other merchants to sign. The merchants then assisted Sayad Achehan, and Safdar Muhammad Khān retired to Sindhi.

Meanwhile, on account of some enmity between Mulla Fakhr-ud-din, the son of Mulla Muhammad Ali, chief of the merchants, and Sayad Achehan, the Mulla was thrown into prison. Mr. Lamb went to Sayad Achehan, and remonstrating with him suggested that the Mulla should be sent free. Sayad Achehan agreed, but on the way Mr. Lamb carried off Mulla Fakhr-ud-din to the English factory, and afterwards sent him to Bombay in disguise. In the meantime Kedārji Gdāikwar, a cousin of Dāmājī's, whom, with Malhārāy, Sayad Achehan had asked to his help, arrived at Surat, and though Sayad Achehan had been successful without his aid, Kedārji demanded the £30,000 (Rs. 3 lakhs) which had been promised him. As the Sayad was not in a position to resist Kedārji's demands, and as he had no ready money to give him, he made over to him a third of the revenues of Surat until the amount should be paid. As before this another third of the revenues of Surat had been assigned to Hāfiz Masūm Khān, the deputy of Yakut Khān of Janjira, the emoluments of the governor of Surat were reduced to one-third of the entire revenue and this was divided between the Mutasaddi and Bakshi.

In this year (A.D. 1747, S. 1803) there was a severe shock of earthquake and a great famine which caused many deaths. In the following year Jawān Mard Khān endeavoured to recapture Jetalpur, but failed. About the same time Umābāi died, and Dāmājī's brother Khanderāy, who was on good terms with Ambika wife of Bāburāy Sempati, the guardian of Umābāi's son, procured his own appointment as deputy of his brother Dāmājī in Gujarāt. On being appointed deputy Khanderāy at once marched against Rangoji to recover Borsad, which, as above mentioned, Rangoji had taken from Hariba. Their forces were joined by two detachments, one from Momīn Khān under the command of Agha Muhammad Husain, the other from Jawān Mard Khān commanded by Janārāhan Pandit. The combined army besieged Borsad. After a five months' siege Borsad was taken, and Rangoji was imprisoned by Khanderāy. On the fall of Borsad Sher Khān Bābi and Rāja Rāisingh of Idar, who were allies of Rangoji, returned to Bālasinor and Idar; Fakhr-ud-daulah was sent to Pethād and Fild-ud-din Khān, leaving Umroth, took shelter with Jetha, the chief of Atarsintha.

In this year the emperor Muhammad Shāh died and was succeeded by his son Ahmed Shāh (A.D. 1748-1754). Shortly after Ahmed's accession Mahārāja Vakhatsingh, brother of Mahārāja Abheysingh, was appointed fifty-ninth viceroy of Gujarāt. When he learned what was the state of the province, he pleaded that his presence would be more useful in his own dominions, and never took up his appointment of viceroy. Vakhatsingh was the last viceroy of Gujarāt nominated by the imperial court, for although by the aid of the Marāṭhas Fakhr-ud-daulah was of importance in the province, he had never been able

to establish himself as viceroy. In this year also occurred the death of Khushaband Sheth, the chief merchant of Ahmedabad.

Khanderav Gaikwar appointed Raghavshankar his deputy at Ahmedabad, and Safdar Khan Babi issued from Ahmedabad with an army to levy tribute from the chiefs on the banks of the Sabarmati. When Fakhr-ud-daulah, the former viceroy, heard of the appointment of Maharaja Vakhatsingh, seeing no chance of any benefit from a longer stay in Gujarat, he retired to Delhi. In A.D. 1748 Asif Jah, Nizam-ul-Mulk, died at an advanced age, leaving six sons and a disputed succession.

About the same time Balajirav Peshwa, who was jealous of the power of the Gaikwar, sent a body of troops, and freed Rangoji from the hands of Khanderav Gaikwar. During these years adventurers, in different parts of the country, taking advantage of the decay of the central power, endeavoured to establish themselves in independence. Of these attempts the most formidable was the revolt of one of the Patan Kasbis who established his power so firmly in Patan that Jawan Mard Khan found it necessary to proceed in person to reduce him. Shortly afterwards Jawan Mard Khan deemed it advisable to recall his brothers Safdar Khan and Zorawar Khan, who were then at Unja under Patan, and took them with him to Ahmedabad. Fida-ud-din Khan who had been residing at Atarsumba now asked permission to return to Ahmedabad, but as Jawan Mard Khan did not approve of this suggestion, Fida-ud-din departed to Broach and there took up his residence. Janardhan Pandit marched to Kaira and the Bhil district to levy tribute, and Khanderav appointed Shevakram his deputy.

In the meantime at Surat, Sayad Achchan endeavoured to consolidate his rule, and with this view tried to expel Hafiz Masud Habshi, and prevent him again entering the city. But his plans failed, and he was obliged to make excuses for his conduct. Sayad Achchan then oppressed other influential persons, until eventually the Habshi and others joining, attacked him in the citadel. Except Mr. Lamb, who considered himself bound by the deed signed in A.D. 1747 in favour of Sayad Achchan, all the merchants of Surat joined the assailants. Among the chief opponents of Sayad Achchan were the Dutch, who sending ships brought back Safdar Muhammad Khan from Thatta, and established him as governor of Surat. The English factory was next besieged, and, though a stout resistance was made, the guards were bribed, and the factory plundered. In A.D. 1750 Sayad Achchan, surrendering the citadel to the Habshi, withdrew first to Bombay and then to Poona, to Balajirav Peshwa. Shortly afterwards, in consequence of the censure passed upon him by the Bombay Government for his support of Sayad Achchan, Mr. Lamb committed suicide. Wearied by these continual contests for power, the merchants of Surat asked Raja Raghunathdas, minister to the Nizam, to choose them a governor. Raja Raghunathdas accordingly nominated his own nephew, Raja Harprasad, to be governor, and the writer of the Mirat-i-Ahmedi to be his deputy. But before Raja Harprasad could join his appointment at Surat, both he and his father were slain in battle.

Chapter III.

Mughal Viceroys.

Ahmed Shah
Emperor,
1746-1754.
MAHARAJA
VAKHATSINGH
Fifty-ninth
Viceroy,
1745.

Theoder
Sprada.

SURAT AFFAIRS,
A.D. 1750.
Sayad Achchan
Unpopular.

Safdar
Muhammad
brought back
by the Dutch.

Sayad Achchan
Retires.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Ahmed Shah
Emperor,
1748-1764.
Jawán Mard
Khán and
the Peshwa,
1760.

The Peshwa
and Gaikwár,
1761.

Broach
Independent,
1762.

In the same year, A.D. 1750, occurred the deaths of Rája Ráisingh of Idar, of Saifdar Khán Bábi of Bálásinor, and of Fidá-ud-din Khán, who had for some time been settled at Broach. Jawán Mard Khán, who, seeing that they were inclined to become permanent residents in Gujarát, was always opposed to the Gaikwár's power, now entered into negotiations with Bálájiráv Peshwa. He chose Patel Sukhdev to collect the Maráthá revenue and asked the Peshwa to help him in expelling Dámáji's agents. The Peshwa, being now engaged in war in the Dakhan with Salábat Jang Bahádur, son of the late Nizám, was unable to send Jawán Mard Khán any assistance. Towards the close of the year Jawán Mard Khán started from Ahmedábád to collect tribute from the Sábarmati chiefs. Returning early in A.D. 1751, at the request of Jetha Patel a subordinate of Bhávsingh Desái, he proceeded to Banod or Vanod under Virangám and reduced the village. Ali Muhammad Khán, the author of the *Mirát-i-Ahmadi*, who about this time was raised in rank with the title of Bahádur, states that owing to the Maráthá incursions most of the districts had passed entirely into their possession; in others according to agreements with Jawán Mard Khán they held a half share. Consequently in spite of new taxes, the entire remaining income of the province was only four *lákhs* of rupees, and it was impossible to maintain the military posts or control the rebellious Kolis.

It was in this year (A.D. 1751) that the Peshwa, decoying Dámájiráv into his power, imprisoned him and forced him to surrender half of his rights and conquests in Gujarát. Taking advantage of the absence of the Gaikwár and his army in the Dakhan, Jawán Mard Khán marched into Sorath. He first visited Gogha, and then levying tribute in Gohil-váda advanced into Káthiáváda and marched against Navánagar, and, after collecting a contribution from the Jám, returned to Ahmedábád. In the following year (A.D. 1752), as soon as the news reached Gujarát that the Maráthas' share in the province had been divided between the Peshwa and Gaikwár, Momin Khán, who was always quarrelling with the Gaikwár's agent, sending Varajálal his steward to Bálájiráv Peshwa begged him to include Cambay in his share and send his agent in place of the Gaikwár's agent. Bálájiráv agreed, and from that time an agent of the Peshwa was established at Cambay. In the same year Raghunáthráv, brother of the Peshwa, entering Gujarát took possession of the Rewa and Mahi Kántha districts and marched on Sumt. Shisaji Dhangar was appointed in Shavakráim's place as Dámáji's deputy, and Krishnáji came to collect the Peshwa's share.

Up to this time the city of Broach had remained part of the Nizám's personal estate, managed by Abdúllah Beg, whom, with the title of Nek Alam Khán, Asif Jáh the late Nizám-ul-Mulk had chosen his deputy. On the death of Abdúllah Beg in A.D. 1752 the emperor appointed his son to succeed him with the same title as his father, while he gave to another son, named Mughal Beg, the title of Khertalab Khán. During the contests for succession that followed upon the death of the Nizám in A.D. 1752, no attempt was made to enforce the Nizám's claims on the lands of Broach; and for the future, except for the share of the revenue paid to the Maráthas, the governors of Broach were practically independent.

The Peshwa now sent Pándurang Pandit to levy tribute from his share of Gujarát, and that officer crossing the Mahi marched upon Cambay. Moráñ Khán prepared to oppose him, but the Pandit made friendly overtures, and eventually Moráñ Khán not only paid the sum of £700 (Rs. 7000) for grass and grain for the Pandit's troops, but also lent him four small cannon. Pándurang Pandit then marched upon Ahmedábád, and encamping near the Kankariya lake laid siege to the city which was defended by Jawán Mard Khán. During the siege Pándurang Pandit, sending some troops, ravaged Nikol, part of the lands of Ali Muhammad Khán Bahádur, the author of the *Mirát-i-Ahmedi*. Meanwhile, as the operations against Ahmedábád made no progress, Pándurang Pandit made offers of peace. These Jawán Mard Khán accepted, and on receiving from Jawán Mard Khán the present of a mare and a small sum of money under the name of entertainment, the Maráthá leader withdrew to Sorath.

About this time the Peshwa released Dámáji Gaikwár on his promise to help the Peshwa's brother Raghunáthráv, who was shortly afterwards despatched with an army to complete the conquest of Gujarát. Meanwhile Jawán Mard Khán's anxiety regarding the Maráthás was for a time removed by the departure of Pándurang Pandit. And, as the harvest season had arrived, he with his brother Zoráwar Khán Bábi, leaving Muhammad Mubáriz Shorwáni behind as his deputy, set out from Ahmedábád to levy tribute from the chiefs of the Sábar Kántha. Certain well informed persons, who had heard of Raghunáthráv's preparations for invading Gujarát, begged Jawán Mard Khán not to leave the city but to depute his brother Zoráwar Khán Bábi to collect the tribute. Jawán Mard Khán, not believing their reports, said that he would not go more than from forty-five to sixty miles from the city, and that, should the necessity of any more distant excursion arise, he would entrust it to his brother. Jawán Mard Khán then marched from the city, levying tribute until he arrived on the Pálanpur frontier about seventy-five miles north of Ahmedábád. Here meeting Muhammad Bahádur Jhalori, the governor of Pálanpur, Jawán Mard Khán was foolishly induced to join him in plundering the fertile districts of Sirohi, till at last he was not less than 150 miles from his head-quarters. Meanwhile Raghunáthráv, joining Dámáji Gaikwár, entered suddenly by an unusual route into Gujarát, and news reached Ahmedábád that the Maráthás had crossed the Narbada. On this the townspeople sent messenger after messenger to recall Jawán Mard Khán, and building up the gateways prepared for defence, while the inhabitants of the suburbs, leaving their houses, crowded with their families into the city for protection. Raghunáthráv, hearing that Jawán Mard Khán and his army were absent from the city, pressed on by forced marches, and crossing the river Mahi despatched an advance corps under Vithal Sukhdev. Kosáji, proprietor of Nadiád, at Dámáji Gaikwár's invitation also marched towards Ahmedábád, plundering Mehmúdábád Khokhri, only three miles from the city. In the meantime Vithal Sukhdev reached Kaira, and taking with him the chief man of that place, Muhammad Daurán, son of Muhammad Bábi, continued his march. He was shortly joined by Raghunáthráv, and the combined forces now proceeded to Ahmedábád and encamped by the Kankariya

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Ahmed Shah
Emperor,
1748-1754.

Pándurang
Pandit Repulsed
at Ahmedábád,
1742.

Maráthá
Invasion.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.Ahmed Shah
Emperor,
1748-1754.Return of Jawán
Mard Khán.He enters
Ahmedábád.Gallant Defence
of the City.

lake. Next day Raghunáthráv moved his camp to near the tomb of Hazrat Sháh Bhikan,¹ on the bank of the Sábarmati to the south-west of the city. Raghunáthráv now proceeded to invest the city, distributing his thirty to forty thousand horse into three divisions. The operations against the north of the city were entrusted to Dámáji Gaikvár; those on the east to Gopál Hari; while the troops on the south and west were under the personal command of Raghunáthráv and his officers.

After leaving Sirohi Jawán Mard Khán had gone westwards to Tharúd and Váv, so that the first messengers failed to find him. One of the later messengers, Mándan by name, who had not left Ahmedábád until the arrival of Raghunáthráv at the Kánkariya lake, made his way to Váv and Tharúd, and told Jawán Mard Khán what had happened. Jawán Mard Khán set out by forced marches for Rádhapur, and leaving his family and the bulk of his army at Pátan, he pushed on with 200 picked horsemen to Kadi and from that to Ahmedábád, contriving to enter the city by night. The presence of Jawán Mard Khán raised the spirits of the besieged, and the defence was conducted with ardour. In spite of their watchfulness, a party of about 700 Maráthás under cover of night succeeded in scaling the walls and entering the city. Ere they could do any mischief they were discovered and driven out of the town with much slaughter. The bulk of the besieging army, which had advanced in hopes that this party would succeed in opening one of the city gates, were forced to retire disappointed. Raghunáthráv now made proposals for peace, but Jawán Mard Khán did not think it consistent with his honour to accept them. On his refusal, the Maráthas general redoubled his efforts and sprung several mines, but owing to the thickness of the city walls no practicable breach was effected. Jawán Mard Khán now expelled the Maráthas deputies, and continuing to defend the city with much gallantry contrived at night to introduce into the town by detachments a great portion of his army from Pátan. At length, embarrassed by want of provisions and the clamour of his troops for pay, he extorted £5000 (Rs. 50,000) from the official classes. As Jawán Mard was known to have an ample supply of money of his own this untimely meanness caused great discontent. The official classes who were the

¹ Of the death at the age of nine years of this son of Saint Sháh-i-Alam the Mirát-i-Ahmed (Printed Persian Text, II. 26) gives the following details: Malik Saif-ad-din, the daughter's son of Sultan Ahmed I., had a son who he believed was born to him by the prayer of Saint Sháh-i-Alam. This boy who was about nine years old died. Malik Saif-ad-din ran to Sháh-i-Alam, who used then to live at Asteval, two or three miles east of Ahmedábád, and in a transport of grief and rage said to the Saint: 'Is this the way you deceive people? Surely you obtained me the gift of that boy to live and not to die?' This I suppose is how you will keep your promise of mediating for our sinful souls before Allah also?' The Saint could give no reply and retired to his inner apartments. The stricken father went to the Saint's son Sháh Bhikan, who, going in to his father, entreated him to restore the Malik's boy to life. The Saint asked his son 'Are you prepared to die for the boy?' Sháh Bhikan said 'I am ready.' The Saint, going into an inner room, spread his skirts before Allah crying 'Rajaji, Rajaji, give me by which the Saint used to address Allah, meaning Dear King or Lord, 'Rajaji, here is a goat for a goat; take thou this one and return the other.' Immediately in the Saint's harem shewed that half of the prayer was granted and the Malik on returning to his house found the other half fulfilled.

repository of all real power murmured against his rule and openly advocated the surrender of the city, and Jawán Mard Khán, much against his will, was forced to enter into negotiations with Raghunáthráv.

Raghunáthráv was so little hopeful of taking Ahmedábád that he had determined, should the siege last a month longer, to depart on condition of receiving the one-fourth share of the revenue and a safe conduct. Had Jawán Mard Khán only disbursed his own money to pay the troops, and encouraged instead of disheartening the official class, he need never have lost the city. At last Raghunáthráv's relief, Jawán Mard Khán was reduced to treat for peace through Vitthal Sukhdev. It was arranged that the Maráthás should give Jawán Mard Khán the sum of £10,000 (Rs. 1 lakh) to pay his troops, besides presenting him with an elephant and other articles of value. It was at the same time agreed that the garrison should leave the city with all the honours of war. And that, for himself and his brothers, Jawán Mard Khán should receive, free from any Marátha claim, the districts of Pátan, Vadnagar, Sami, Munjpur, Vishnagar, Tharad, Kheralu, and Rádhampur with Tervada and Bijápur. It was further agreed that one of Jawán Mard Khán's brothers should always serve the Maráthás with 300 horse and 500 foot, the expenses of the force being paid by the Maráthás. It was also stipulated that neither the Peshwa's army nor his deputy's, nor that of any commander should enter Jawán Mard Khán's territory, and that in Ahmedábád no Marátha official should put up at any of the Khán Bahádur's mansions, new or old, or at any of those belonging to his brothers followers or servants. Finally that the estates of other members of the family, namely Kaira, Kasba Mátar and Bánsa Mabudha, which belonged to Muhammad Khán, Khán Daurán, and Abid Khán were not to be meddled with, nor were encroachments to be allowed on the lands of Káyam Káli Khán or of Zoráwar Khán. This agreement was signed and sealed by Raghunáthráv, with Dámáji Gaikwár (half sharer), Malharáráv Holkar, Jye Apa Sindhia, Rámchandar Vitthal Sukhdev, Sakharám Bhugvaat, and Mádhavráv Gopálrav as securities. The treaty was then delivered to Jawán Mard Khán, and he and his garrison, marching out with the honours of war, the Maráthás took possession of Ahmedábád on April 2nd, 1753.

On leaving Ahmedábád Jawán Mard Khán retired to Pátan. At Ahmedábád Raghunáthráv with Dámáji arranged for the government of the city, appointing Shripatrav his deputy. He then marched into Jhalavála to levy tribute from the Limbdi and Wadhwán chiefs; and was so far successful that Harbhamji of Limbdi agreed to pay an annual tribute of £4000 (Rs. 40,000). As the rainy season was drawing near Raghunáthráv returned to Dholka, while Patel Vitthal Sukhdev forced Muhammad Bahádur, the governor of Pálanpur, to consent to a payment of £11,500 (Rs. 1,15,000). From Dholka Raghunáthráv went to Tárápur, about twelve miles north of Cambay, and compelled Momin Khán to submit to an annual payment of £1000 (Rs. 10,000). At the same time Ali Muhammad Khán Bahádur, the author of the Mirát-i-Ahmedi, was appointed collector of customs, and his former grants were confirmed and he was allowed to retain

Chapter III. Mughál Viceroys.

Ahmed Shah
Emperor,
1749-1764.
Jawán Mard
Khán
Surrendered.

The Maráthás
take
Possession,
1753.

Collect Tributes.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Ahmed Shah
Emperor,
1748-1754.
Mughal Coinage
Ceases.

Failure of an
Attempt on
Cambay,
1753.

The Kolis.

his villages of Sayadpur and Kōjādh close to Ahmedābād, as well as the village of Pānmūl in Bijāpur. Dāmāji Gāikwār, after levying tribute in the Vātrak Kānthā, went to Kapadvanj, which he took from Sher Khān Bābi. From Kapadvanj he passed to Nadiād and appointed Shevakrāi to collect his half share of the revenue of Gujarāt. In the Ahmedābād mint, coin ceased to be struck in the emperor's name and the suburbs of the city which had been deserted during the siege were not again inhabited. The Kolis commenced a system of depredation, and their outrages were so daring that women and children were sometimes carried off and sold as slaves. After the rains were over (A.D. 1754) Shetuji, commander of the Ahmedābād garrison, and Shankarji, governor of Virangām, were sent to collect tribute from Sorath. Though the imperial power was sunk so low, the emperor was allowed to confer the post of Kāzi of the city on Kāzi Rūkn-ul-Hak Khān who arrived at Ahmedābād and assumed office. At the close of the year Shripatrāv, who was anxious to acquire Cambay, marched against Momin Khān. After two doubtful battles in which the Marāthās gained no advantage, it was agreed that Momin Khān should pay a sum of £700 (Rs. 7000), and Shripatrāv departed from Ahmedābād early in A.D. 1754. When the Kolis heard of the ill success of the Marāthās at Cambay, they revolted and Rāghoshankar was sent to subdue them. In an engagement near Lohāra in Bahyal in His Highness the Gāikwār's territory about eighteen miles east of Ahmedābād, Rāghoshankar scattered the Kolis, but they again collected and forced the Marāthās to retire. At this time Shetuji and Shankarji returned from Sorath, where they had performed the pilgrimage to Dwārka. Shetuji was sent to the Bhil district against the Kolis. He was unsuccessful, and was so ashamed of his failure that he returned to the Dakhan and Dandū Dātātri was appointed in his place.

In this year died Nek Alam Khān II. governor of Broach. He was succeeded by his brother Khortalah Khān who expelled his nephew Hāmīd Beg, son of Nek Alam Khān. Hāmīd Beg took refuge in Surat. At Rālasinor a dispute arose between Sher Khān Bābi and a body of Arab mercenaries who took possession of a hill, but in the end came to terms. With the Peshwa's permission his deputy Bhagvantrāv marched on Cambay. But Varajlāl, Momin Khān's steward, who was then at Poona, sent word to his master, who prepared himself against any emergency. When Bhagvantrāv arrived at Cambay he showed no hostile intentions and was well received by Momin Khān. Subsequently a letter from Bhagvantrāv to Sālim Jamādār at Ahmedābād ordering him to march against Cambay fell into Momin Khān's hands. He at once surrounded Bhagvantrāv's house and made him prisoner. When the Peshwa heard that Bhagvantrāv had been captured, he ordered Ganesh Apa, governor of Jambusar, as well as the governors of Virangām, Dhandhuka, and other places to march at once upon Cambay. They went and besieged the town for three months, but without success. Eventually Shripatrāv, the Peshwa's deputy, sent the author of the Mirāt-i-Ahmedi to negotiate, and it was agreed that Bhagvantrāv should be released and that no alteration should be made in the position of Momin Khān. Shortly afterwards Shripatrāv was recalled by the Peshwa and his place supplied by an

Marāthās
Attack
Cambay,
1754.

officer of the name of Rágho. About this time Khertalab Khán, governor of Brouch, died, and quarrels arose regarding the succession. Ultimately Hamid Beg, nephew of Khertalab Khán, obtained the post, and he afterwards received an imperial order confirming him as governor, and bestowing on him the title of Neksám Khán Bahádúr.

At Dehli, during A.D. 1754, the emperor Ahmed Sháh was deposed, and Ázíz-ud-dín, son of Jabándár Sháh, was raised to the throne with the title of Alamgir II. After his release Bhagvantráy established himself in the Cambay fort of Nápád, and not long after began to attack Momín Khán's villages. After several doubtful engagements peace was concluded on Momín Khán paying £1000 (Rs. 10,000) on account of the usual share of the Maráthás which he had withheld. This arrangement was made through the mediation of Tukáji, the steward of Sadáshiv Dámodar, who had come to Gujarát with an army and orders to help Bhagvantráy. As Momín Khán had no ready money Tukáji offered himself as security and Bhagvantráy and Tukáji withdrew to the Dakhan. Momín Khán's soldiery now clamoured for pay. As he was not in a position to meet their demands he sent a body of men against some villages to the west belonging to Limbdi and plundered them, dividing the booty among his troops. In the following year, A.D. 1755, Momín Khán went to Gogha, a port which, though at one time subordinate to Cambay, had fallen into the hands of Sher Khán Bálu, and was now in the possession of the Peshwa's officers. Gogha fell and leaving a garrison of 100 Arabs under Ibráhím Káli Khán, Momín Khán returned to Cambay, levying tribute. He then sent the bulk of his army under the command of Muhammad Zamán Khán, son of Fida-ud-dín Khán, and Varajlál his own steward, to plunder and collect money in Gohilvada and Káthiávada. Here they remained until their arrears were paid off, and then returned to Cambay. After this Momín Khán plundered several Petlál villages and finally, in concert with the Kolis of Dhowan, attacked Jambusar and carried off much booty. Momín Khán next marched against Boreál, and was on the point of taking the fort when Sayáji, son of Dámáji Gáikvár, who lived at Baroda, hearing of Momín Khán's success, came rapidly with a small body of men to the relief of the fort and surprised the besiegers. The Muhammadan troops soon recovered from the effects of the surprise, and Sayáji fearing to engage them with so small a force retired. On Sayáji's departure Momín Khán raised the siege of Boreál and returned to Cambay.

In the year A.D. 1756 the rains were very heavy, and the walls of Ahmedábád fell in many places. Momín Khán, hearing of this as well as of the discontent of the inhabitants, resolved to capture the city. He sent spies to ascertain the strength of the garrison and set about making allies of the chief men in the province and enlisting troops. About this time Rághoji, the Marátha deputy, was assassinated by a Rohilla. As soon as Momín Khán heard of Rághoji's death he sent his nephew, Muhammad Zamán Khán, with some men in advance, and afterwards himself at the close of the year, A.D. 1756, marched from Cambay and camped on the Vátrak. From this camp they moved to Kaira, and from Kaira to Ahmedábád. After one or two fights in

Chapter III. Mughal Viceroys.

Azamgir II.
Emperor,
1754-1759.

Contact with
Momín Khán
Renewed,
1754.

Momín Khán
takes Gogha,
1755.

Momín Khán
recovers
Ahmedábád,
17th Oct. 1756.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.Aurangir II.
Emperor,
1754-1759.Jawán Mard
Khán allies
himself with
the Maráthas.

the suburbs the Muhammadans, finding their way through the breaches in the walls, opened the gates and entered the town. The Kolis commenced plundering, and a hand-to-hand fight ensued, in which the Maráthas were worsted and were eventually expelled from the city. The Kolis attempted to plunder the Dutch factory, but met with a spirited resistance, and when Shambhúrám, a Nágár Bráhmaṇ, one of Momín Khán's chief supporters, heard it he ordered the Kolis to cease attacking the factory and consoled the Dutch.

In the meantime Jawán Mard Khán, who had been invited by the Maráthas to their assistance, set out from Pátan, and when he arrived at Pethápúr and Mánsa he heard of the capture of Ahmedábád. On reaching Kalol he was joined by Harbhamráṁ, governor of Kadi. They resolved to send Zoráwar Khán Báhi to recall Sadáshiv Dámodar, and to await his arrival at Virangám. Sherakráṁ, the Gaikwár's deputy, had taken refuge at Dholka. Momín Khán himself now advanced, and entering Ahmedabad on the 17th October 1758, appointed Shambhúrám his deputy. Sadáshiv Dámodar now joined Jawán Mard Khán at Virangám, and at Jawán Mard Khán's advice it was resolved, before taking further steps, to write to the Peshwa for aid. Jawán Mard Khán, although he held large service estates, charged the Maráthas £150 (Rs. 1500) a day for his troops. Jawán Mard Khán and the Maráthas then advanced to Sánand and Jitalpur, and thence marched towards Cambay. On their way they were met, and, after several combats, defeated by a detachment of Momín Khán's army. Momín Khán sent troops to overrun Kadi, but Harbhamráṁ, the governor of Kadi, defeated the force, and captured their guns. When the emperor heard of the capture of Gogha, he sent a sword as a present to Momín Khán; and when the news of the capture of Ahmedábád reached Agra, Momín Khán received many compliments. Balájitráv Peshwa on the other hand was greatly enraged at these reverses. He at once sent off Sadáshiv Rámchandra to Gujarát as his deputy, and Dámáji and Khanderáv Gaikwár also accompanied him with their forces. Momín Khán refusing to give up Ahmedábád, prepared for defence. Sadáshiv Rámchandra, Dámáji and Khanderáv Gaikwár advanced, and, crossing the Mahi, reached Kaira. Here they were met by Jawán Mard Khán and the rest of the Maráthá forces in Gujarát, and the combined army advancing against the capital camped by the Kánkariya lake.

Maráthas invest
Ahmedábád,
1758.

The Maráthas now regularly invested the city, but Momín Khán, aided by Shambhúrám, made a vigorous defence. Up to this time Jawán Mard Khán was receiving £150 (Rs. 1500) daily for the pay of his own and his brother's troops. Sadáshiv Rámchandra, considering the number of the troops too small for so large a payment, reduced the amount and retained the men in his own service. After a month's siege, Momín Khán's troops began to clamour for pay, but Shambhúrám, by collecting the sum of £10,000 (Rs. 1,00,000) from the inhabitants of the town managed for the time to appease their demands. When they again became urgent for pay, Shambhúrám diverted their thoughts by a general sally from all the gates at night. On this occasion many men were slain on both sides, and many of the inhabitants deserted the town. The copper vessels of such of the townspeople as had fled

were melted and coined into money and given to the soldiery. In this state of affairs an order arrived from the imperial court bestowing on Momín Khán a dress of honour and the title of Bahádur. Although the imperial power had for years been merely a name Momín Khán asked and obtained permission from the besiegers to leave the city and meet the bearers of the order. The Maráthás redoubled their efforts. Still though the besiegers were successful in intercepting supplies of grain the garrison fought gallantly in defence of the town.

At this juncture, in A.D. 1757, Rája Shívaingh of Ídar, son of the late Anandsingh, who was friendly to Momín Khán, sent Sajánsingh Házrí with a force to assist the besieged. On their way to Ahmedábád, Harbhamráw with a body of Maráthás attacked this detachment, while Momín Khán sent to their aid Muhammad Láí Rohilla and others, and a doubtful battle was fought. Shortly afterwards Sadáshív Rámehandar made an attempt on the fort of Kálíkot. The fort was successfully defended by Jamádar Núr Muhammad, and the Maráthás were repulsed. The Maráthás endeavoured in vain to persuade Sham-bhúrám to desert Momín Khán, and though the garrison were often endangered by the faithlessness of the Kolís and other causes, they remained staunch. Momín Khán, though frequently in difficulties owing to want of funds to pay his soldiery, continued to defend the town. The Maráthás next tried to seduce some of Momín Khán's officers, but in this they also failed, and in a sally Sham-bhúrám attacked the camp of Sadáshív Rámehandar, and burning his tents all but captured the chief himself.

When the siege was at this stage, Hassan Kálí Khán Bahádur, viceroy of Oulh, relinquishing worldly affairs and dividing his property among his nephews, set out to perform a pilgrimage to Makkah. Before he started Shuja-ád-daulah, the Nawab of Lucknow, requested him on his way to visit Bálikírát, and endeavour to come to some settlement of Ahmedábád affairs. Accordingly, adopting the name of Sháh Núr, and assuming the dress of an ascetic, Hassan Kálí made his way to Poona, and appearing before the Peshwa offered to make peace at Ahmedábád. Sháh Núr with much difficulty persuaded the Peshwa to allow Momín Khán to retain Cambay and Gogha without any Maráthá share, and to grant him a *lák* of rupees for the payment of his troops, on condition that he should surrender Ahmedábád. He obtained letters from the Peshwa addressed to Sadáshív Rámehandra to this effect, and set out with them for Ahmedábád. When he arrived Sadáshív Rámehandra was unwilling to accede to the terms, as the Ahmedábád garrison were reduced to great straits. Sháh Núr persuaded him at last to agree, provided Momín Khán would surrender without delay. Accordingly Sháh Núr entered the city and endeavoured to persuade Momín Khán. Momín Khán demanded in addition a few Petlád villages, and to this the Maráthás refused their consent. Sháh Núr left in disgust. Before many days Momín Khán was forced to make overtures for peace. After discussions with Dámáji Gaikwár, it was agreed that Momín Khán should surrender the city, receive £10,000 (Rs. 1 *lák*) to pay his soldiery, and be allowed to retain Cambay as heretofore, that is to say that the Peshwa should, as

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

A'lamgir II.
Emperor.
1754-1759.

Ráj of Ídar
helps Momín
Khán,
1757.

Successful
sally under
Sham-bhúrám.

Negotiations
for Peace.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Akamgir II.
Emperor,
1754-1759.

Marátha
Arrangements
in Ahmedabad.

New Coins.

Momin Khan
at Cambay.

Expedition from
Kachh against
Sindh,
1758.

formerly, enjoy half the revenues. In addition to this Momin Khan had to promise to pay the Maráthas a yearly tribute of £1000 (Rs. 10,000) and to give up all claims on the town of Gogha and hand over Shambhurám to the Maráthas. It was also arranged that the £3500 (Rs. 35,000) worth of *ashraffa* which he had taken through Jamádar Sálim should be deducted from the £10,000 (Rs. 1 *lakh*). Momin Khan surrendered the town on February 27th, 1758.

Sadashiv Rámchandar and Dámáji Gaikwár entered the city and undertook its management on behalf of the Maráthas. Of the other chiefs who were engaged in prosecuting the siege, Sadashiv Dámodar returned to the Dakhan and Jawán Mard Khan receiving some presents from Sadashiv Rámchandar departed for Pátan after having had a meeting with Dámáji Gaikwár at a village a few miles from the capital. Shambhurám, the Nágur Bráhma, who had so zealously supported Momin Khan, when he saw that further assistance was useless, tried to escape, but was taken prisoner and sent in chains to Baroda. Sadashiv Rámchandar, on taking charge of the city, had interviews with the principal officials, among whom was the author of the *Mirát-i-Ahmedi*, and, receiving them graciously, confirmed most of them in their offices. Then, after choosing Náro Pandit, brother of Pándurang Pandit, to be his deputy in Ahmedabad, he started on an expedition to collect tribute in Jhalaváda and Sorath. On receiving the government of the city the Marátha generals ordered new coin bearing the mark of an elephant good to be struck in the Ahmedabad mint. Savájináv Gaikwár remained in Ahmedabad on behalf of his father Dámáji, and shortly afterwards went towards Kapadvanj to collect tribute. Thence at his father's request he proceeded to Sorath to arrange for the payment of the Gaikwár's share of the revenues of that district. On his return to Cambay Momin Khan was much harassed by his troops for arrears of pay. The timely arrival of his steward Varajlal with the Peshwa's contribution of £10,000 (Rs. 1 *lakh*) enabled him to satisfy their demands.

Momin Khan now began to oppress and extort money from his own followers, and is said to have instigated the murder of his steward Varajlal. Sadashiv Rámchandar went from Porbandar to Junágadh, where he was joined by Savájináv Gaikwár. At Junágadh Sher Khan Bábi presented Sadashiv Rámchandra and Siyájináv with horses and they spoke of the necessity of admitting a Marátha deputy into Junágadh. Nothing was settled as the Maráthas were forced to return to Ahmedabad. In accordance with orders from the Peshwa, Shambhurám and his sons, who were still in confinement, were sent to Poona. Dámáji Gaikwár was also summoned to Poona, but he did not go. In this year Ráo Lakhpát of Kachh presented Kachh horses and Gujarát bullocks to the emperor, and in return received the title of Mirza Raja.

About this time the Ráo of Kachh, who planned an expedition against Sindh, solicited aid both from Dámáji Gaikwár and Sadashiv Rámchandar to enable him to conquer Thatta, and, as he agreed to pay expenses, Sadashiv sent Ranchordás, and Dámáji sent Shevakram to help him. In this year also Neknám Khan, governor of Broach, received the title of Bahádur and other honours. In A.D. 1758, Sadashiv Rámchandar advanced to Kaira and after settling accounts

with Dámáji's agent proceeded against Cambay. Momín Khán, who was about to visit the Peshwa at Poona, remained to defend the town, but was forced to pay arrears of tribute amounting to £2000 (Rs. 20,000).⁷ In this year Shor Khán Bábi died at Jánágadh, and the nobles of his court seated his son Muhammad Mahábat Khán in his place.

Shortly after at the invitation of the Peshwa, Dámáji Gaúkwár went to Poona, and sent his son Sayájráv into Sorath. After his success at Cambay Sadáshiv Rámchandra levied tribute from the chiefs of Umets, and then returned. On his way back, on account of the opposition caused by Sardár Muhammad Khán son of Sher Khán Bábi, the chief of Bálásinor, Sadáshiv Rámchandar besieged Bálásinor and forced the chief to pay £3000 (Rs. 30,000). Next marching against Lunáváda, he compelled the chief Dipsingh to pay £5000 (Rs. 50,000). Sadáshiv then went to Visalnagar and so to Pálanpur, where Muhammad Khán Bahádur Jhálóri resisted him; but after a month's siege he agreed to pay a tribute of £3500 (Rs. 35,000). Passing south from Pálanpur, Sadáshiv went to Únja-Únáva, and from that to Kátown where he levied £1000 (Rs. 10,000) from the chief Shuja, and then proceeded to Límbedi.

During A.D. 1758 important changes took place in Surat. In the early part of the year Sayad Muin-ud-din, otherwise called Sayad Achehan, visited the Peshwa at Poona, and received from him the appointment of governor of Surat. Sayad Achehan then set out for his charge, and as he was aided by a body of Maráthá troops under the command of Muzaffar Khán Gárdi and had also secured the support of Nekám Khán, the governor of Broach, he succeeded after some resistance in expelling Ali Nawáz Khán, son of the late Saifdar Muhammad Khán, and establishing himself in the government. During the recent troubles, the English factory had been plundered and two of their clerks murdered by Ahmed Khán Habshi, commandant of the fort. The English therefore determined to drive out the Habshi and themselves assume the government of the castle. With this object men-of-war were despatched from Bombay to the help of Mr. Spencer, the chief of the English factory, and the castle was taken in March A.D. 1759, and Mr. Spencer appointed governor. The Peshwa appears to have consented to this conquest. The Maráthá troops aided and made a demonstration without the city, and a Maráthá man-of-war which had been stationed at Bassein, came to assist the English. A Mr. Glass appears to have been appointed *kiledár* under Governor Spencer.

Shortly afterwards Momín Khán, by the advice of Sayad Husain, an agent of the Peshwa, contracted friendship with the English through Mr. Erskine, the chief of the English factory at Cambay. Momín Khán then asked Mr. Erskine to obtain permission for him to go to Poona by Bombay. Leave being granted, Momín Khán set out for Surat, and was there received by Mr. Spencer. From Surat he sailed for Bombay, where the governor, Mr. Bouchier, treating him with much courtesy, informed the Peshwa of his arrival. The Peshwa sending permission for his further advance to Poona, Momín Khán took leave of Mr. Bouchier and proceeded to Poona.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroy.

A Jamgír II.
Emperor,
1754-1759.

The Maráthas
levy Tribute.

SURAT AFFAIRS.
1758.

The English
take command
of Surat,
1759.

Momín Khán
visits Poona,
1760.

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Aurangzib II.
Emperor,
1754-1759.

SADĀSHIV
RĀMCHANDRA
Peshwa's
Viceroy,
1760.

The Marāthās
in Kathiavāḍa,
1760.

From Limbdi, to which point his tribute tour has been traced, Sadāshiv Rāmchandra advanced against Dhrāngadhra, when the chief who was at Halvad sent an army against him. The Marāthās, informed of the chief's design, detaching a force, attacked Halvad at night, and breaching the walls forced open the gates. The chief retired to his palace, which was fortified, and there defended himself, but was at last forced to surrender, and was detained a prisoner until he should pay a sum of £12,000 (Rs. 1,20,000). The neighbouring chiefs, impressed with the fate of Halvad, paid tribute without opposition. Sadāshiv Rāmchandra now went to Junāgadh, but ere he could commence operations against the fortress, the rainy season drew near, and returning to Ahmedābād he prepared to depart for Poona. Sayājī Gaikwār, who was also in Sorath collecting tribute, amongst other places besieged Kundla, and levying from that town a tribute of £7500 (Rs. 75,000) returned to the capital. During this time Khandariv Gaikwār had been levying tribute from the Kolis, and after visiting the Bhil district went to Bijāpur, Idar, Kadi, Dholka, and Nadiād. The chief of Halvad on paying his £12,000 (Rs. 1,20,000) was allowed to depart, and Dip Singh of Lunāvāḍa, who was also a prisoner, was sent to Lunāvāḍa and there released after paying his tribute. On receiving the news of the capture of the Surat fort by the English the emperor issued an order, in the name of the governor of Bombay, confirming the command of the fort to the English instead of to the Habshis of Janjira, appointing the Honourable East India Company admirals of the imperial fleet, and at the same time discontinuing the yearly payment of £2000 (Rs. 20,000) formerly made to the Habshis on this account. When in the course of the following year, A.D. 1760, this imperial order reached Surat, Mr. Spencer and other chief men of the city went outside of the walls to meet and escort the bearers of the despatch. Sadāshiv Rāmchandra was appointed viceroy of Ahmedābād on behalf of the Peshwa. Bhagvantrāy now conquered Bālāsīnor from Sardār Muhammad Khān Bābā, and then marching to Sorath, collected the Peshwa's share of the tribute of that province, according to the scale of the previous year. Sayājī Gaikwār, when Bhagvantrāy had returned, set out to Sorath to levy the Gaikwār's share of the tribute. He was accompanied by Harbhamtrām whom Dāmājī Gaikwār had specially sent from his own court to act as Kāmalār to Sayājī. When Sadāshiv Rāmchandra reported to the Peshwa the conquest of Bālāsīnor by Bhagvantrāy he was highly pleased, and gave Bhagvantrāy a dress of honour and allowed him to keep the elephant which he had captured at Lunāvāḍa; and passed a patent bestowing Bālāsīnor upon him. Morān Khān, after making firm promises to the Peshwa never to depart from the terms of the treaty he had made with the Marāthās, left Poona and came to Bombay, where he was courteously entertained by the Governor, and despatched by boat to Surat. From Surat he passed to Cambay by land through Broach. Sayājī Gaikwār had returned to Ahmedābād from Sorath in bad health, and his uncle Khandariv Gaikwār, who had been vainly endeavouring to subdue the Kolis of Lohāra, came to Ahmedābād and took Sayājī Gaikwār to Nadiād. In 1761 Sadāshiv Rāmchandra was displaced as viceroy of Gujarāt by

Āpa Ganesh. This officer acted in a friendly manner to Momīn Khān, and marching to Cambay, he fixed the Marāṭha share of the revenues of that place for that year at £8400 (Rs. 84,000), and then went to Ahmedābād by way of Dākor. Narbherām collected this year the Gāikwār's share of the tribute of Sorath and Sayājī Gāikwār went to Baroda. On his return to Ahmedābād at the end of the year, Sayājī sacked and burned the Koli village of Lohāra in Bahyal about eighteen miles east of Ahmedābād. Jawān Mard Khān now issued from Pātan and levied small contributions from the holdings in Vāgad, as far as Anjār in Kachh. From Vāgad he proceeded to Sorath, and in concert with Muḥammad Mahābat Khān of Jūnāgadh and Muḥammad Muẓāffar Khān Bābi, between whom he made peace, he levied tribute in Sorath as far as Lohiyāna, and returned to Pātan.

While their power and plunderings were thus prospering in Gujarāt the crushing ruin of Pānipat (A.D. 1761) fell on the Marāṭhas. Taking advantage of the confusion that followed, the Delhi court despatched instructions to the chief Musalmān nobles of Gujarāt, directing Momīn Khān, Jāwān Mard Khān, and the governor of Broach to join in driving the Marāṭhas out of the province. In consequence of this despatch Sardār Muḥammad Khān Bābi, defeating the Marāṭha garrison, regained Bālāsinnor, while the governor of Broach, with the aid of Momīn Khān, succeeded in winning back Jambūgar. Āpa Ganesh, the Peshwa's viceroy, remonstrated with Momīn Khān for this breach of faith. In reply his envoy was shown the despatch received from Delhi, and was made the bearer of a message, that before it was too late, it would be wisdom for the Marāṭhas to abandon Gujarāt. Things were in this state when Dāmājī Gāikwār, wisely forgetting his quarrels with the Peshwa, marched to the aid of Sadashiv with a large army. Advancing against Cambay he attacked and defeated Momīn Khān, plundering one of his villages. But the Marāṭhas were too weak to follow up this success, or exact severer punishment from the Musalmān confederates. Āpa Ganesh invited Sardār Muḥammad Khān Bābi to Kaica, and on condition of the payment of tribute, agreed to allow him to keep possession of Bālāsinnor. Subsequently Dāmājī's energy enabled him to enlarge the power and possessions of the Gāikwār's house, besides acquisitions from other chiefs, recovering the districts of Visalnagar, Kherālū, Vadnagar, Bijāpur, and Pātan from Jawān Mard Khān. After the death of the great Dāmājī, the importance of the Gāikwār's power sensibly diminished. Had it not been for their alliance with the British, the feeble hands of Sayājīrāv I. (A.D. 1771-1778) would probably have been the last to hold the emblem of Gāikwār rule. If in the zenith of Gāikwār power Momīn Khān could reconquer, and for so long successfully defend Ahmedābād, what might not have been possible in its decline?

Chapter III.

Mughal
Viceroys.

Alamgir II.
Emperor,
1754-1759.
Āpa Ganesh
Viceroy,
1761.

Pānipat,
1761.



APPENDIX I.

The Death of Sultan Baha'dur, A.D. 1526-1536.¹

COLONEL BRIGGS (Muhammadan Power in India, IV. 132) gives the following summary of the events which led to the fatal meeting of Sultan Bahadur and the Portuguese viceroy Nuno da Cunha in the beginning of 1536-37:

When in 1529 Nuno daCunha came as viceroy to India he held instructions to make himself master of the island of Diu. In the following year a great expedition, consisting of 400 vessels and 15,600 men, met in Bombay and sailed to the Kathiawara coast. After vigorous assaults it was repulsed off Diu on the 17th February 1531. From that day the Portuguese made ceaseless efforts to obtain a footing on the island of Diu. In 1531 besides harrying the sea trade of Gujarat the Portuguese sacked the towns of Tarsapur, Balsar, and Surat, and, to give colour to their pretensions, received under their protection Chand Khan an illegitimate brother of Bahadur. In 1532, under James de Silveira, the Portuguese burned the south Kathiawara ports of Patian-Somnath, Mangrol, Talaja, and Muzaffarabad, killing many of the people and carrying off 4000 as slaves. Shortly after the Portuguese took and destroyed Bassain in Thana obtaining 400 cannon and much ammunition. They also burned Daman, Thana, and Bombay. "All this," says the Portuguese historian "they did to straiten Diu and to oblige the king of Gujarat to consent to their raising a fort on the island of Diu." When Bahadur was engaged with the Mughals (A.D. 1532-1534) the Portuguese Governor General deputed an embassy to wait on Humayun to endeavour to obtain from him the cession of Diu, hoping by this action to work indirectly on the fears of Bahadur. At last in 1534 Bahadur consented to a peace by which he agreed to cede the town of Bassain to Portugal; not to construct ships of war in his ports; and not to combine with Turkish fleets against Portugal.

Permission was also given to the Portuguese to build in Diu. In consideration of these terms the Portuguese agreed to furnish Bahadur with 500 Europeans of whom fifty were men of note. According to the Portuguese historian it was solely because of this Portuguese help that Bahadur succeeded in driving the Mughals out of Gujarat. Bahadur's cession of land in Diu to the Portuguese was for the purpose of building a mercantile factory. From the moment Bahadur discovered they had raised formidable fortifications, especially when by the withdrawal of the Mughals he no longer had any motive for keeping on terms with them, he resolved to wrest the fort out of the hands of the Portuguese. On the plea of separating the natives from the Europeans, Bahadur instructed his governor of Diu to build a wall with a rampart capable of being mounted with guns. But as this created much dispute and ill-will the rampart was given up. Bahadur next attempted to seize Emanuel de Souza the captain of Diu fort. With this object he invited DeSouza to his camp. DeSouza was warned but determined to accept Bahadur's invitation. He went attended by only one servant, an act of courage which

Appendix I.

THE DEATH
OF SULTAN
BAHADUR,
A.D. 1526-1536.

¹ See above page 236. The Portuguese details have been obtained through the kindness of Dr. Gerado DaCunha.

Appendix I.

THE DEATH
OF SULTÁN
BAHÁDUR,
A.D. 1536-1536.

Bahádur so greatly admired that he treated him with honour and allowed him to return in safety. Bahádur next schemed to secure DeSouza in the fort by surprise. With this end he began to pay the Portuguese officers visits at all hours. But DeSouza was always on his guard and Bahádur's surprise visits failed to give him an opportunity. In 1536 DeSouza wrote to the viceroy complaining of the bad feeling of the Gujarát Moors towards the Portuguese in Din and of the efforts of the king to drive them out of the fort. In consequence of DeSouza's letter Nuno da Cunha the viceroy arrived at Din early in 1536-7. Bahádur went to visit the viceroy on board the viceroy's ship. On his return he was attacked and leaping into the water was killed by a blow on the head and sank.

Of the unplanned and confused circumstances in which the brave Bahádur met his death four Musalmán and four Portuguese versions remain. The author of the *Mirát-i-Sikandari* (Persian Text, 280-281) states that the Portuguese, who offered their help to Bahádur in the days of his defeat by the emperor Humáyún, obtained from him the grant of land at Din, and on this land built a fort. After the re-establishment of his power the Sultán, who had no longer any need of their help, kept constantly planning some means of ousting the Portuguese from Din. With this object Bahádur came to Din and opened negotiations with the Portuguese viceroy, hoping in the end to get the viceroy into his power. The viceroy knowing that Bahádur regretted the concessions he had made to them was too wary to place himself in Bahádur's hands. To inspire confidence Bahádur, with five or six of his nobles all unarmed, paid the viceroy a visit on board his ship. Suspecting foul play from the behaviour of the Portuguese the king rose to retire, but the Portuguese pressed upon him on all sides. He had nearly reached his boat when one of the Portuguese struck him a blow with a sword, killed him, and threw his body overboard.

The same author gives a second version which he says is more generally received and is probably more accurate. According to this account the Portuguese had come to know that Bahádur had invited the Sultán of the Dakhan to co-operate with him in driving the Portuguese from the Gujarát, Konkan, and Dakhan ports. That the Portuguese viceroy had come with 150 ships and had anchored at Din off the chain bastion. That Sultán Bahádur not suspecting that the Portuguese were aware of his insincerity went in a barge to see the fleet, and when he got in the midst of their ships, the Portuguese surrounded his barge and killed him with lances.

According to Farihtah (II. 442, 443, Pers. Text) on the invasion of Gujarát by the emperor Humáyún, Sultán Bahádur had asked help of the Portuguese. When his power was re-established, Bahádur, hearing of the arrival of between five and six thousand Portuguese at Din, feared they would take possession of that port. He therefore hastened to Din from Jánághāh. The Portuguese who were aware that Humáyún had withdrawn and that Bahádur had re-established his power, preferred to attempt to gain Din by stratagem rather than by force. Bahádur asked the viceroy to visit him. The viceroy feigned sickness and Bahádur with the object of proving his goodwill offered to visit the viceroy on board his ship. On leaving the viceroy's ship to enter his own barge the Portuguese suddenly moved their vessel and Bahádur fell overboard. While in the water a Portuguese struck the king with a lance and killed him.

Abul Fazl's account A.D. 1590 (Akbar-námah in Elliot, VI. 18) seems more natural and in better keeping with Bahádur's impetuous vigour and bravery than either the Gujarát or Farishtah's narratives. The Portuguese chief was apprehensive that as the Sultán was no longer in want of assistance he meditated treachery. So he sent to inform the Sultán that he had come as requested, but that he was ill and unable to go on shore, so that the interview must be deferred till he got better. The Sultán, quitting the royal road of safety, embarked on the 12th February 1536 (3rd Ramazan H. 943) with a small escort to visit the viceroy on board the viceroy's ship. As soon as Bahádur reached the vessel he found the viceroy's sickness was a pretence and regretted that he had come. He at once sought to return. But the Portuguese were unwilling that such a prey should escape them and hoped that by keeping him prisoner they might get more ports. The viceroy came forward and asked the Sultán to stay a little and examine some curiosities he had to present. The Sultán replied that the curiosities might be sent after him and turned quickly towards his own boat. A European *leiri* or priest placed himself in the Sultán's way and bade him stop. The Sultán, in exasperation, drew his sword and cleft the priest in twain. He then leaped into his own boat. The Portuguese vessels drew round the Sultán's boat and a fight began. The Sultán and Rámi Khán threw themselves into the water. A friend among the Portuguese stretched a hand to Rámi Khán and saved him: the Sultán was drowned in the waves.

Of the four Portuguese versions of Bahádur's death the first appears in Correa's (A.D. 1512-1550) *Lendas Da Asia*, A.D. 1497 to 1530; the second in DeBarros' (died A.D. 1570) *Decadas*, A.D. 1497 to 1539; the third in Do Couto's (died A.D. 1600?) continuation of DeBarros, A.D. 1529 to 1600; and the fourth in Faria-e-Souza's (died A.D. 1650) *Portuguese Asia* to A.D. 1640. A fifth reference to Bahádur's death will be found in Castaneda's *Historia* which extends to A.D. 1538.

As Correa was in India from A.D. 1512 till his death in Goa in A.D. 1550, and as his narrative which was never published till A.D. 1856-64 has the highest reputation for accuracy of detail his version carries special weight. According to Correa (*Lendas Da Asia*, Vol. III. Chap. XCV.) during the monsoon of 1536, Nono DaCunha the viceroy received by land a letter from Manoel deSouza the captain of Diu fort, telling him of the discontent of the Gujarát Moors with king Bahádur for allowing the Portuguese to build a fort at Diu. In consequence of this information early in the fair season Nono daCunha sailed from Goa in his own galleon accompanied by about ten small vessels *fastas* and *latas* under the command of Antonio deSylveira. Nono reached Diu about the end of December. King Bahádur was glad that the viceroy should come to Diu almost alone since it seemed to show he was not aware of Bahádur's designs against the Portuguese. When Bahádur arrived at Diu he sent a message to the viceroy inviting him to come ashore to meet him as he had important business to transact. The king's messenger found the viceroy ill in bed, and brought back a message that the viceroy would come ashore to meet the king in the evening. Immediately after the king's messenger left, Manoel deSouza, the captain of Diu fort, came on board to see the viceroy. The viceroy told Manoel to go and thank the king and to return his visit. The king expressed his grief at the viceroy's illness and proposed to start at once to see him. He went to his barge and rowed straight to the viceroy's

Appendix I.

THE DEATH
OF SULTÁN
BAHÁDUR.
A.D. 1536-1536.

Appendix I.

THE DEATH
OF SULTÁN
BAHÁDUR,
A.D. 1520 - 1530.

galleon. The king had with him, besides the interpreter St. Jago, seven men and two pages one carrying a sword and the other a bow. The captain of the fort and some other officers in their own barges followed the king. Bahádur, who was the first to arrive, came so speedily that the viceroy had hardly time to make preparations to receive him. He put on heavy clothes to show he was suffering from ague and ordered all the officers to be well armed. When Bahádur came on board he saw the men busy with their weapons but showed no signs that he suspected foul play. He went straight to the viceroy's cabin. The viceroy tried to get up but Bahádur prevented him, asked how he was, and returned at once to the deck. As Bahádur stood on the deck the captain of the fort boarded the galleon, and, as he passed to the cabin to see the viceroy, Bahádur laughingly upbraided him with being behind time. Then without taking leave of the viceroy Bahádur went to his barge. When the viceroy learned that the king had left he told the captain to follow the king and to take him to the fort and keep him there till the viceroy saw him. The captain rowed after the king who was already well ahead. He called to the king asking him to wait. The king waited. When the captain came close to the king's barge he asked the king to come into his vessel. But the interpreter without referring to the king replied that the captain should come into the king's barge. DeSouza ordered his boat alongside. His barge struck the king's barge and DeSouza who was standing on the poop tripped and fell into the water. The rowers of the royal barge picked him out and placed him near the king who laughed at his wet clothes. Other Portuguese barges whose officers thought the Moors were fighting with the captain began to gather. The first to arrive was Antonio Cardoza. When Cardoza came up the interpreter told the king to make for land with all speed as the Portuguese seemed to be coming to seize and kill him. The king gave the order to make for the shore. He also told the page to shoot the hollow arrow whose whistling noise was a danger signal. When the Moors in the king's barge heard the whistle they attacked Manoel deSouza, who fell dead into the sea. Then Diogo de Mesquita, D'Almeida, and Antonio Correa forced their way on to the king's barge. When the king saw them he unsheathed his sword and the page shot an arrow and killed Antonio Cardoza, who fell overboard and was drowned. D'Almeida was killed by a sword-cut from a Moor called Tiger and Tiger was killed by Correa. At that moment Diogo de Mesquita gave the king a slight sword-cut and the king jumped into the sea. After the king, the interpreter and Rami Khán, two Moors, and all the rowers leapt into the water. The Portuguese barges surrounded them and the men struck at the three swimmers with lances and oars. The king twice cried aloud 'I am Sultán Bahádur,' hoping that some one would help him. A man who did not know that he was the king struck Bahádur on the head with a club. The blow was fatal and Bahádur sank. The second version is given by Barros (A.D. 1560) in his *Decadas da Asia*, Vol. V. page 357 of the 1767 edition. The third version by De Couto (A.D. 1600) in his continuation of Barros' *Decadas*, and the fourth by Faria-e-Souza (A.D. 1650) in his *Portuguese Asia* are in the main taken from De Barros. The following details are from Steevens' (A.D. 1697) translation of Faria given in Briggs' *Muhammadian Power in India*, IV. 135-138.

Bahádur king of Cambay, who had recovered his kingdom solely by the assistance of the Portuguese, now studied their ruin, and repenting of the leave he had granted to build a fort at Diu endeavoured to

take it and to kill the commander and the garrison. Nono da Cunha the Portuguese viceroy understood his designs and prepared to prevent them. Emmanuel deSouza who commanded at Diu was warned by a Moor that the king would send for him by a certain Moor and kill him. DeSouza determined to go, and, when sent for, appeared with only one servant. Admiring DeSouza's courage the king treated him honourably and allowed him to return in safety. The king's mother tried to dissuade her son from plotting against DeSouza but to no effect. To remove suspicion Bahádur began to pay the Portuguese officers visits at unreasonable hours, but was ever received by DeSouza on his guard. Meanwhile, on the 9th January 1535, Nono da Cunha the Portuguese viceroy set out from Goa for Diu with 300 sail. When he put in at Chaul he found Nizám-al-Mulk who pretended he had come to divert his women at sea but really with designs on that place. When Nono reached Diu the king was hunting in the mountains and Nono apprised him of his arrival. The king sent for him by a Portuguese apostate of the name of John de St. Jago called Firangi Khán, but Nono da Cunha pleaded illness. The king pretending great friendship came to Diu accompanied by Emmanuel deSouza, who had brought the last message from DaCunha. At Diu the king went on board the viceroy's ship and for a time they discoursed. The king was troubled at a page whispering something to DaCunha, but as DaCunha took no notice his suspicions were allayed. The message was from DeSouza, stating that the captains whom he had summoned were awaiting orders to secure or kill the king. DaCunha thought it strange that DeSouza had not killed the king while he was in his power in the fort; and DeSouza thought it strange that DaCunha did not now seize the king when he was in his power in the ship. DaCunha directed all the officers to escort the king to the palace and then accompany DeSouza to the fort, where DaCunha intended to seize the king when he came to visit him. The king on his part had resolved to seize DaCunha at a dinner to which he had invited him and send him in a cage to the Great Turk. De Souza who was going to invite the king to the fort after DaCunha had entered it, came up with the king's barge and delivered his invitation through Rámi Khán. Rámi Khán warned the king not to accept it. The king disregarding this warning invited DeSouza into his barge. While stepping into the king's barge DeSouza fell overboard, but was picked up by officers who carried him to the king. At this time three Portuguese barges came up and some of the officers seeing DeSouza hastily enter the king's barge drew close to the king's barge. The king remembering Rámi Khán's warning ordered Emmanuel deSouza to be killed. James deMesquita understanding the order flew at and wounded the king. An affray followed and four Portuguese and seven of the king's men were killed. The king tried to get away in a boat but a cannon shot killed three of his rowers and he was stopped. He next attempted to escape by swimming, but being in danger of drowning discovered himself by crying for help. A Portuguese held out an oar to him; but others struck him fatal blows, so that he sank.

The conclusion to be drawn from these four Musalman and four Portuguese versions is that on either side the leader hoped by some future treachery to seize the person of the other; and that mutual suspicion turned into a fatal affray a meeting which both parties intended should pass peacefully and lull the other into a false and favourable security.

Appendix I.

THE DEATH
OF SULTAN
BAHÁDUR.

A.D. 1526-1535.

APPENDIX II.

THE HILL FORT OF MA'NDU.

PART I.—DESCRIPTION.

Appendix II.

THE HILL FORT
OF MA'NDU.

DESCRIPTION.

MA'NDU, about twenty-three miles south of Dhār in Central India, is a wide waving hill-top, part of the great wall of the Vindhyan range. The hill-top is three to four miles from north to south and four to five miles from east to west. On the north, the east, and the west, Māndu is islanded from the main plateau of Mālwa by valleys and ravines that circle round to its southern face, which stands 1200 feet out of the Nimār plain. The area of the hill-top is over 12,000 English acres, and, so broken in its outline, that the encircling wall is said to have a length of between thirty-seven and thirty-eight miles. Its height, 1950 feet above the sea, secures for the hill-top at all seasons the boon of fresh and cool air.

About twenty miles south of Dhār the level cultivated plateau breaks into woody glades and uplands. Two miles further the plain is cleft by two great ravines, which from their deeper and broader southern mouths 700 to 800 feet below the Dhār plateau, as they wind northwards, narrow and rise, till, to the north of Māndu hill, they shallow into a woody dip or valley about 300 yards broad and 200 feet below the south crest of Mālwa. From the south crest of the Mālwa plateau, across the tree tops of this wild valley, stand the cliffs of the island Māndu, their crests crowned by the great Delhi gateway and its long lofty line of flanking walls. At the foot of the sudden dip into the valley the Ālamgir or World-Guarding Gate stands sentinel.¹ Beyond the gateway, among wild reaches of rock and forest, a noble canseway with high domed towers on either hand fills the lowest dip of the valley. From the south end of the canseway the road winds up to a second gateway, and beyond the second gateway between side walls climbs till at the crest of the slope it passes through the ruined but still lofty and beautiful Delhi or northern gateway, one of the earliest works of Dīlāwar Khān (A.D. 1400), the founder of Musalman Māndu.

Close inside of the Delhi gate, on the right or west, stands the handsome Hindola Palace. The name Hindola, which is probably the title of the builder, is explained by the people as the Swingcot palace, because, like the sides of the cage of a swinging cot, the walls of the hall bulge

¹ The following Persian verses are carved on the Ālamgir gateway :

In the time of Ālamgir Aurangzīb (A.D. 1658-1707), the ruler of the World,

This gate resembling the skies in altitude was built anew. In the year A.H. 1079 (A.D. 1668) the work of renewal was begun and completed

By the endeavour of the exalted Khān Muhammad Beg Khān,

From the accession of this Emperor of the World, Aurangzīb,

This was the eleventh year by way of writing and history.

below and narrow towards the top. Its great baronial hall and hanging windows give the Hindola palace a special merit and interest, and an air of lordly wealth and luxury still clings to the tree-covered ruins which stretch west to large underground cisterns and hot weather retreats. About a quarter of a mile south stand the notable group of the Jaház Mehel or Ship palace on the west, and the Tapela Mehel or Caldron palace on the south, with their rows of lofty pointed arches below deep stone caves, their heavy windowless upper stories, and their massive arched and domed roof chambers. These palaces are not more handsomely built than finely set. The massive ship-like length of the Jaház Mehel lies between two large tree-girt ponds, and the Tapela, across a beautiful foreground of water and ruin, looks east into the mass of tangled bush and tree which once formed part of the 130 acres of the Lal Bāgh or Royal Gardens.

The flat palace roofs command the whole 12,000 acres of Māndu hill, north to the knolls and broken uplands beyond the great ravine-moat and south across the waving hill-top with its miles of glades and ridges, its scattered villages hamlets and tombs, and its gleaming groves of mangoes, *Khirnis*, banyans, *shauhrans* and *pipals*. In the middle distance, out from the tree-tops, stand the lofty domes of Hoshang's tomb and of the great Jāmā mosque. Further south lies the tree-girt hollow of the Sagar Talāv or Sea Lake, and beyond the Sagar lake a woody plateau rises about 300 feet to the southern crest, where, clear against the sky, stand the airy cupolas of the pavilion of Rāp Mātī, the beautiful wife of Bāz Bahādur (A.D. 1551-1561), the last Sultan of Mālwa. Finally to the west, from the end of the Rāp Mātī heights, rises even higher the bare nearly isolated shoulder of Songad, the citadel or inner fort of Māndu, the scene of the Gujarāt Bahādur's (A.D. 1531) daring and successful surprise. This fair hill-top, beautiful from its tangled wildness and scattered ruins, is a strange contrast to Mānda, the capital of a warlike independent dynasty. During the palmy days of the fifteenth century, of the 12,000 acres of the Māndu hill-top, 500 were fields, 370 were gardens, 200 were walls, 780 were lakes and ponds, 100 were bazar roads, 1500 were dwellings, 200 were rest-houses, 260 were baths, 470 were mosques, and 334 were palaces. These allotments crowded out the wild to a narrow pittance of 1560 acres of knolls and ridges.

From the Jaház Mehel the road winds through fields and woods, gemmed with peafowl and droll with monkeys, among scattered palaces mosques and tombs, some shapely some in heaps, about a mile south to the walled enclosure of the lofty domed tomb of the establisher of Mānda's greatness, Hoshang Shāh Ghōri (A.D. 1405-1432). Though the badly-fitted joinings of the marble slabs of the tomb walls are a notable contrast to the finish of the later Mughal buildings, Hoshang's tomb, in its massive simplicity and dim-lighted roughness, is a solemn and suitable resting-place for a great Pathan warrior. Along the west of the tomb enclosure runs a handsome flat-roofed colonnade. The pillars, which near the base are four-sided, pass through an eight-sided and a sixteen-sided belt into a round upper shaft. The round shaft ends in a square under-capital, each face of which is filled by a group of leafage in outline the same as the favourite Hindu *Singh-mākā* or horned face. Over the entwined leafy horns of this moulding, stone brackets support heavy stone beams, all Hindu in pattern.¹ Close to the east of Hoshang's tomb is Hoshang's

Appendix II.

THE HILL-FORT
OF MANDU.
DESCRIPTION.

¹ Mr. Fergusson (*Indian Architecture*, page 543) says: "The pillars appear to have been taken from a Jain building." But the refinement on the square capital of each pillar of this Hindu *Singh-mākā* or horned face into a group of leaves of the same

Appendix II.

THE HILL FORT
OF MANDU.

Description.

Jama Masjid or Great Mosque, built of blocks of red limestone. Hoshang's mosque is approached from the east through a massive domed gateway and across a quadrangle enclosed on the east north and south by wrecked colonnades of pointed arches. The west is filled by the great pointed arches of the mosque in fair repair. On the roof of the mosque from a thick undergrowth of domelets rise three lofty domes.¹

In front of the gateway of the Great Mosque, in the centre of a masonry plinth about three feet high, stands an iron pillar about a foot in diameter at the base and twenty feet high. Close to the east of the gateway is the site of Mahmud's (A.D. 1442) Tower of Victory, traces of which remained as late as A.D. 1840. About fifty yards further east are the ruins of a great building called the Ashrafi Mahal, said to have been a Musalmán college. To the north-east a banner marks a temple and the local state offices. South the road passes between the two lines of small houses and huts that make modern Mándu. Beyond the village, among ruins and huge swollen baobab stems, the road winds south along a downward slope to the richly-wooded lowland, where stretches to the west the wide coolness of the Sagar Taláv or Sea lake. Its broad surface covering 600 acres is green with fanlike lotus leaves, reeds, and water-grasses. Its banks are rough with brakes of tangled bush from which, in uncramped stateliness, rise lofty *whouras*, mangoes, *kirmis*, and *pípals*. To the east round a smaller tank, whose banks are crowned by splendid mangoes and tamarinds, stand the domes of several handsome tombs. Of some

outline shows that the pillars were specially carved for use in a Muslim building. The porch on the north side of the tomb enclosure is described (Ditto, page 643) as composed of pillars avowedly re-erected from a Jain building. This note of Mr. Fergusson's must have gone astray, as the north porch of Hoshang's tomb enclosure is in the plain unadorned pointed arch and square-shafted style of the tomb and of the great mosque. Mr. Fergusson's note apparently belongs to the second and smaller Jama Masjid, about a hundred yards east of the Sea or Sagar lake, the pillars of whose colonnade and porch are still unaltered by ruin of the lucky face of the Hindu old heron.

¹ Hoshang's great mosque has the following much damaged Persian inscription:

The mosque of exalted construction, the temple of heavenly altitude,

Whose every thick pillar is a copy of the (pillars of the) Sacred Temple (the Temple of Makkah).

On account of the greatness of its dignity, like the pigeons of the Temple of Makkah,

Sacred angels of high degree are always engaged in hovering around it,

The result of the events born of the marvellous revolution of the skies,

When the sun of his life came as far as the balcony (i. e. was ready to set),

A'zam Humay'un (that is Malik Mugh's) said . . .

The administration of the country, the construction of buildings, and the driving back of enemies

Are things which I leave you (the son of A'zam Humay'un) as parting advice with great earnestness.

The personification of the kindness of Providence, the Sultan Ala'-ud-din (Mehmud I. A.D. 1436-1469), who is

The outcome of the refulgence of the Faith, and the satisfier of the wants of the people,

In the year A.H. 858 (A.D. 1454),

In the words of the above parting advice, finished the construction of this building.

of these domes the black masses are brightened by belts of brilliant pale and deep-blue enamel. To the north of this overflow-pool a long black wall is the back of the smaller Jāma or congregation mosque, badly ruined, but of special interest, as each of its numerous pillars shows the uninjured Hindu *Singha-mukha* or horned face. By a rough piece of constructive skill the original cross corners of the end cupolas have been worked into vaulted Musalman domes.¹

From the Sea Lake, about a mile across the waving richly-wooded plain, bounded by the southern height of the plateau, the path leads to the sacred Rewa Kund or Narbada Pool, a small shady pond lined with rich masonry, and its west side enriched by the ruins of a handsome Bath or Hammām Khānah. From the north-east corner of the Rewa Pool a broad flight of easy stairs leads thirty or forty feet up the slope on whose top stands the palace of Baz Bahādur (A.D. 1551-1561) the last independent chief of Mānda.² The broad easy flight of steps ends in a lofty arched gateway through which a roomy hall or passage gives entrance into a courtyard, with a central masonry cistern and an enclosing double colonnade, which on the right opens into an arched balcony overlooking the Rewa Kund and garden. Within this courtyard is a second court enclosed on three sides by an arched gallery. The roof of the colonnades, which are reached by flights of easy steps, are shaded by arched pavilions topped by cupolas brightened by belts of blue enamel.

¹ This Jāma Mosque has the following Persian inscription dated H. 835 (A.D. 1431):

With good omens, at a happy time, and in a lucky and well-started year,
On the 4th of the month of Allāh (Ramassān) on the great day of Friday.
In the year 835 and six months from the Hujrah (A.D. 1431)
Counted according to the revolution of the moon in the Arabian manner.
This Islamic mosque was founded in this world.
The top of whose dome rubs its head against the green canopy of Heaven.
The construction of this high mosque was due to Mughia-ud-dīn-wad-dunyā (Malik Mughī's), the father of Mehmu'd I. of Malwa (A.D. 1430-1459), the redresser of temporal and spiritual wrongs.
Ulugh (brave), A'azam (great), Humayūn (august), the Khān of the seven climates and the nine countries.
By the hands of his enterprise this so great mosque was founded,
That some call it the House of Peace, others style it the Kā'bah.
This good building was completed on the last of the month of Shāw-wāl (A.H. 835, A.D. 1431).
May the merit of this good act be inserted in the scroll of the Khān's actions!
In this centre may the praises of the sermon read (in the name) of Mehmu'd Shā'h
Be everlasting, so long as mountains stand on the earth and stars in the firmament.

² The following Persian inscription carved on the entrance arch shows that though it may have been repaired by Baz Bahādur, the building of the palace was fifty years earlier (H. 914, A.D. 1503):

"In the time of the Sultan of Nations, the most just and great, and the most knowing and munificent Khān Nā'sir Shā'h Khilji (A.D. 1500-1512). Written by Yū'uf, the year (H. 914) (A.D. 1508)."

Appendix II.

THE HILL-FORT OF MANDU. DESCRIPTION.

Appendix II.

THE HILL-FORT
OF MANDU.

DESCRIPTION.

To the south of Bāz Bahādūr's Palace a winding path climbs the steep slope of the southern rim of Māndu to the massive pillared cupolas of Rāp Matī's palace, which, clear against the sky, are the most notable ornament of the hill-top. From a ground floor of heavy masonry walls and arched gateways stairs lead to a flat masonry terrace. At the north and south ends of the terrace stand massive heavy-roofed pavilions, whose square pillars and pointed arches support lofty deep-grooved domes. The south pavilion on the crest of the Vindhyan cliff commands a long stretch of the south face of Māndu with its guardian wall crowning the heights and hollows of the hill-top. Twenty hundred feet below spreads the dim hazy Nimār plain brightened eastwards by the gleaming coil of the Nerbada. The north pavilion, through the clear fresh air of the hill-top, looks over the entire stretch of Māndu from the high shoulder of Songad in the extreme south-west across rolling tree-brightened fields, past the domes, the tangled bush, and the broad gray of the Sea Lake, to the five-domed cluster of Hoshang's mosque and tomb, on, across a sea of green tree tops, to the domed roof-chambers of the Jahāz and Topela palaces, through the Dēhlī gateway, and beyond the deep cleft of the northern ravine, to the bare level and the low ranges of the Mālwa plain.

From the Rawa Pool a path, along the foot of the southern height among noble solitary *makarna* and *khirōis*, across fields and past small clusters of huts, guides to a flight of steps which lead down to a deep shady rock-cut dell where a Muhammadan chamber with great open arched front looks out across a fountained courtyard and sloping scalloped water table to the wild western slopes of Māndu. This is Nīlkanth, where the emperor Akbar lodged in A.D. 1574, and which Jehāngir visited in A.D. 1617.¹

From the top of the steps that lead to the dell the hill stretches west bare and stony to the Songad or Tārāpūr gateway on the narrow neck beyond which rises the broad shoulder of Songad, the lofty south-west limit of the Māndu hill-top.²

PART II.—HISTORY.

History.

The
Mālwa Sultāns,
A.D. 1400-1570.

The history of Māndu belongs to two main sections, before and after the overthrow by the emperor Akbar in A.D. 1563 of the independent power of the Sultāns of Mālwa.

SECTION I.—THE MĀLWA SULTĀNS, A.D. 1400-1570.

Of early Hindu Māndu, which is said to date from A.D. 313, nothing is known.³ Hind spire stones are built into the Hindola palace walls; and the pillars of the lesser Jāmā mosque, about a hundred yards from the east end of the sea or Sāgar Lake, are Hindu apparently Jain. Of these local Hind chiefs almost nothing is known except that their fort was

¹ Translations of its two much-admired Persian inscriptions are given below pages 376-377.

² On the Tārāpūr gateway a Persian inscription of the reign of the emperor Akbar (A.D. 1556-1605) states that the royal road that passed through this gateway was repaired by Tāhīr Muḥammad Ḥasim Imād-ud-dīn.

³ The Persian references and extracts in this section are contributed by Khān Sāheb Fazl-ullah Latifullah Farūqī of Surat.

⁴ Sir John Malcolm in Eastwick's Handbook of the Panjāb, 119. This reference has not been traced. Farāhatih (Elliot, VI, 563) says Māndu was built by Anand Dēv of the Rais tribe, who was a contemporary of Khwārō Fārwīs the Sassanian (A.D. 601-621).

taken and their power brought to an end by Sultan Shams-ad-din Altamash about A.D. 1234.¹ Dhār, not Māndu, was at that time the capital. It seems doubtful whether Māndu ever enjoyed the position of a capital till the end of the fourteenth century. In A.D. 1401, in the ruin that followed Timur's (A.D. 1398-1400) conquest of Northern India, a Pathan from the country of Ghor, Dilāwar Khan Ghori (A.D. 1387-1405), at the suggestion of his son Alp Khān, assumed the white canopy and started partition of royalty.² Though Dhār was Dilāwar's head-quarters he sometimes stayed for months at a time at Māndu,³ strengthening the defences and adorning the hill with buildings, as he always entertained the desire of making Māndu his capital.⁴ Three available inscriptions of Dilāwar

Appendix II.

THE HILL FORT
OF MANDU.

HISTORY.

The
Malwa Sultanate,
A.D. 1400-1670.

¹ The date is uncertain. Compare Elphinstone's History, 323; Briggs' Fariashah, I, 210-211; Talakhat-i-Nādiri in Elliot, II, 328. The conquest of Māndu in A.D. 1237 is not Māndu in Malwa as Elphinstone and Briggs supposed, but Mandār in the Siwalik Hills. See Elliot, Vol. II, page 220 Note 1. The Persian text of Fariashah (I, 115), though by mistake calling it Māndu (not Māndu), notes that it was the Māndu in the Siwalik Hills. The poetical date-script also terms it Bilāl-i-Siwalik or the Siwalik country. The date of the conquest of the Siwalik Māndu by Altamash is given by Fariashah (Ditto) as A.H. 624 (A.D. 1236). The conquest of Malwa by Altamash, the taking by him of Bilāl and Ujjain, and the destruction of the temple of Maha Kāl and of the statue or image of Vikramāditya are given as occurring in A.H. 631 (A.D. 1233). The Mīrāt-i-Sikandarī (Persian Text, II) notices an expedition made in A.D. 1395 by Zafar Khan (Muzaffar I. of Gujarat) against a Hindu chief of Māndu, who, it was reported, was oppressing the Mussalmāns. A siege of more than twelve months failed to capture the fort.

² Briggs' Fariashah, IV, 170.

³ Briggs' Fariashah, IV, 168. According to the Wakīāt-i-Mushāhīd (Elliot, IV, 553) Dilāwar Khān, or as the writer calls him Amīn Shāh, through the good offices of a merchant whom he had refrained from plundering obtained the grant of Māndu, which was entirely desolate. The king sent a robe and a horse, and Amīn gave up walking and took to riding. He made his friends ride, enlisted horsemen, and promoted the cultivation of the country (Elliot, IV, 552). Fariashah (Pers. Text, II, 460-61) states that when Sultan Muhammad, the son of Fīrūz Tughlak, made Khwājāh Sarwar his chief minister with the title of Khwājāh Jehan, and gave Zafar Khān the viceroyalty of Gujarat and Khār Khān that of Multān, he sent Dilāwar Khān to be governor of Malwa. In another passage Fariashah (II, 461) states that one of Dilāwar's grandfathers, Sultan Shāhād-ad-dīn, came from Ghor and took service in the court of the Delhi Sultāns. His son rose to be an Amir, and his grandson Dilāwar Khān, in the time of Sultan Fīrūz, became a leading nobleman, and in the reign of Muhammad, son of Fīrūz, obtained Malwa in fief. When the power of the Tughlaks went to ruin Dilāwar assumed the royal emblems of the umbrella and the red-tent.

⁴ Dilāwar Khān Ghori, whose original name was Husain, was one of the grandsons of Sultan Shāhād-ad-dīn Muhammad bin Sam. He was one of the nobles of Muhammad, the son of Fīrūz Tughlak, who after the death of that monarch, settled in and asserted his power over Malwa. (Pers. Text Fariashah, II, 469). The emperor Jehāngīr (who calls him Amīr Shāh Ghori) attributes to him the construction of the fort of Dhār. He says (Memoirs Pers. Text, 291-292): Dhār is one of the oldest cities of India. Rāja Bhāṣa, one of the famous ancient Hindu kings, lived in this city. From his time up to this a thousand years have passed. Dhār was also the capital of the Muhammadian rulers of Malwa. When Sultan Muhammad Tughlak (A.D. 1325) was on his way to the conquest of the Dakhan he built a cut stone fort on a raised site. Its outline is very elegant and beautiful, but the space inside is empty of buildings. Amīr Shāh Ghori, known as Dilāwar Khān, who in the days of Sultan Muhammad the son of Sultan Fīrūz, king of Delhi, gained the independent rule of Malwa, built outside this fort an assembly mosque, which has in front of it fired in the ground a four-cornered iron column about four feet round. When Sultan Bāhādur of Gujarat took Malwa (A.D. 1393-31) he wished to carry this column to Gujarat. In digging it up the pillar fell and broke in two, one piece measuring twenty-two feet the other thirteen feet. As it was lying here uncared-for I (Jehāngīr) ordered the big piece to be carried to Agra to be put up in the courtyard of the shrine of him whose abode is the heavenly throne (Akbar), to be utilized as a lamp post. The mosque has two gates. In

Appendix II.

THE HILL FORT
OF MANDU.

HISTORY.

The
Malwa Sultāna,
A.D. 1300-1370.

Khān (A.D. 1387-1405) seem to show that he built an assembly mosque near the Ship Palace, a mosque near the Dehli Gate, and a gate at the entrance to Songadh, the south-west corner and citadel of Māndu, afterwards known as the Tārāpūr Gate.

In A.D. 1398 Alp Khān, son of Dilāwar Khān, annoyed with his father for entertaining as his overlord at Dhār Mehunūd Tughlak, the refugee monarch of Dehli, withdrew to Māndu. He stayed in Māndu for three years, laying, according to Farihtah, the foundation of the famous fortress of solid masonry which was the strongest fortification in that part of the world.¹ On his father's death in A.D. 1405 Alp Khān took the title of Sultān Hoshang, and moved the capital to Māndu. The rumour that Hoshang had poisoned his father gave Dilāwar's brother in arms, Muzaffar Shāh of Gujarāt (A.D. 1399-1411), an excuse for an expedition against Hoshang.² Hoshang was defeated at Dhār, made prisoner, and carried to Gujarāt, and Muzaffar's brother Nasrat was appointed in his place. Nasrat failed to gain the goodwill either of the people or of the army of Mālwa, and was forced to retire from Dhār and take refuge in Māndu. In consequence of this failure in A.D. 1408, at Hoshang's request Muzaffar set Hoshang free after a year's confinement, and deputed his grandson Ahmed to take Hoshang to Mālwa and establish Hoshang's power.³ With Ahmed's help Hoshang took Dhār and shortly after secured the fort of Māndu. Hoshang (A.D. 1405-1431) made Māndu his capital and spread his power on all sides except towards Gujarāt.⁴ Shortly after the death of Muzaffar I. and the accession of Ahmed, when (A.D. 1414) Ahmed was quelling the disturbances raised by his cousins, Hoshang, instead of helping Ahmed as requested, marched towards Gujarāt and created a diversion in favour of the rebels by sending two of his nobles to attack Broach. They were soon expelled by Ahmed Shāh. Shortly after Hoshang marched to the help of the chief of Jhalāvāda in Kāthiāvāda,

front of the arch of one gate they have fixed a stone tablet engraved with a prose passage to the effect that Ahmed Shāh Ghorī in the year H. 805 (A.D. 1405) laid the foundation of this mosque. On the other arch they have written a poetic inscription of which the following verses are a part:

The Hege lord of the world.
The star of the sphere of glory.
The stay of the people.
The sun of the zenith of perfection.
The bulwark of the law of the Prophet, Amīd Shāh Dawūd.
The possessor of amiable qualities, the pride of Oher.
Dilwar Khān, the helper and defender of the Prophet's faith.
The chosen instrument of the exalted Lord, who in the city of
Dhār constructed the assembly mosque
In a happy and auspicious moment on a day of lucky omen.
Of the date 808 years have passed (A.D. 1405)
When this fabric of Hope was completed.

¹ Briggs' Farihtah, IV. 169.

² When fellow-nobles in the court of the Tughlak Sultān, Zafar Khān (Sultān Muzaffar of Gujarāt) and Dilāwar Khān bound themselves under an oath to be brothers in arms. Farihtah, Pers. Text II. 422.

³ Briggs' Farihtah, IV. 172; Elphinstone's History, 678.

⁴ Though their temples were turned into mosques the Jains continued to prosper under the Ghoris. At Deogarh in Lalitpura in Jhānsi in the North-West Provinces an inscription of Samvat 1481, that is of A.D. 1424, records the dedication of two Jain images by a Jain priest named Heli during the reign of Shāh Alauddhah of Mandapapura, that is of Shāh Alp Khān of Māndu that is Sultān Hoshang Ghorī. Archaeological Survey of India, New Series, II. 320.

and ravaged eastern and central Gujarať. To punish Hoshang for three acts of ingratitude, between A.D. 1418 and 1422, Ahmed twice besieged Mándu, and though he failed to take the fort his retirement had to be purchased, and both as regards success and fair-dealing the honour of the campaign remained with Ahmed.¹ In A.D. 1421 Hoshang went disguised as a horse-dealer to Jájnapur (now Jájpur) in Cuttack in Orissa. He took with him a number of cream-coloured horses, of which he had heard the Rája was very fond. His object was to barter these horses and other goods for the famous war elephants of Jájnapur. An accident in the camp of the disguised merchants led to a fight, in which the Rája was taken prisoner and Hoshang was able to secure 150 elephants to fight the Gujarať Sultan.² During Hoshang's absence at Jájnapur Ahmed pressed the siege of Mándu so hard that the garrison would have surrendered had Hoshang not succeeded in finding his way into the fort through the south or Tarápur Gate.³ For ten years after the Gujarať campaign, by the help of his minister Malik Mughla of the Khalji family and of his minister's son Mehmúd Khán, Málwa prospered and Hoshang's power was extended. Hoshang enriched his capital with buildings, among them the Great Mosque and his own tomb, both of which he left unfinished. Hoshang's minister Malik Mughla (who received the title of Ulugh Aázam Humáyún Khán) appears to have built the assembly mosque near the Ságar Lake in Hoshang's life-time, A.D. 1431. Another of his buildings must have been a mint, as copper coins remain bearing Hoshang's name, and Mándu Shádábád as the place of mintage.⁴ In A.D. 1432, at Hoshangábád, on the left bank of the Narbada, about 120 miles east of Mándu, Hoshang, who was suffering from diabetes, took greatly to heart the fall of a ruby out of his crown. He said: A few days before the death of Firúz Tughlak a jewel dropped from his crown. Hoshang ordered that he should be taken to Mándu. Before he had gone many miles the king died. His nobles carried the body to the Madrasah or college in Shádábád or Mándu, and buried him in the college on the ninth day of Zil Hujjah, the twelfth month of A.H. 838 = A.D. 1434. The year of Hoshang's death is to be found in the letters

Ab Shah Hoshang na mund: Also, Shah Hoshang stayed not.⁵

On Hoshang's death his son Ghazni Khán, with the title of Sultan Muhammad Ghori, succeeded. Malik Mughla, his father's minister, and the minister's son Mehmúd were maintained in power. In three years

Appendix II.

THE HILL FORT OF MANDU, HISTORY.

The
MALWA SULTANS.
A.D. 1403-1570.

¹ Farishtah, Pers. Text II. 464-65.

² Briggs' Farishtah, IV. 175, 178, 180, 181, 183. ³ Farishtah, Pers. Text II. 466-67.

⁴ Briggs' Farishtah, IV. 180. In connection with the Tarápur Gate Farishtah says (Pers. Text, II. 466): The fort of Mándu is built on the top of a mountain, and the line of its fortification is about twenty-eight miles in length. In place of a moat it is surrounded by a deep chasm, so that it is impossible to use missiles against it. Within the fort water and provisions are abundant and it includes land enough to grow grain for the garrison. The extent of its walls makes it impossible for an army to invest it. Most of the villages near it are too small to furnish supplies to a besieging force. The south or Tarápur gate is exceedingly difficult of access. A horseman can hardly approach it. From whichever side the fort may be attempted, most difficult heights have to be scaled. The long distances and intervening hills prevent the watchers of the besieging force communicating with each other. The gate on the side of Delhi is of easier access than the other gates.

⁵ It follows that Farishtah (Briggs, IV. 180) is mistaken in stating that Hoshang's son Muhammad gave Mándu the name of Shádábád, the Abode of Joy.

⁶ Farishtah, Pers. Text II. 472-473. It seems to follow that from the first the monument to Hoshang in Hoshangábád was an empty tomb. Compare Briggs' Farishtah, IV. 180-190.

Appendix II.—
THE HILL FORT
OF MANDU,
HISTORY.
The
Māwa Sultanah,
A.D. 1400-1570.

(A.D. 1433-1435), as Sultan Muhammad proved dissipated cruel and suspicious, Mehmūd, the minister's son, procured his death by poison. Mehmūd Khilji then asked his father to accept the succession, but his father declined, saying that Mehmūd was fitter to be king. In A.D. 1433 Mehmūd was accordingly crowned with the royal tiara of Hoshang.¹ He conferred on his father the honour of being attended by mace-bearers carrying gold and silver sticks, who, when the Khān mounted or went out, had, like the mace-bearers of independent monarchs, the privilege of repeating the *Bismillah* ' In the name of the compassionate and merciful Allāh.'² He gave his father royal honours, the white canopy and the silver quiver, and to his title of Malik Ashraf Khān Jahan he added among others Amir-ul-Umara and Akram Humayūn.³ Mehmūd quelled a revolt among his nobles. An outbreak of plague in the Gujarāt camp relieved him from a contest with Ahmed Shāh.⁴ In A.D. 1439 Mehmūd repaired the palace of Sultan Hoshang and opened the mosque built in commemoration of that monarch which Farishtah describes as a splendid edifice with 208 columns.⁵ About the same time Mehmūd completed Hoshang's tomb which Hoshang had left unfinished. On the completion of this building Hoshang's remains seem to have been moved into it from their first resting-place in the college. In A.D. 1441 Mehmūd built a

¹ The following more detailed, but also more confused, story is told in the *Wākāt-i-Mushāḥḥ* (Ellist. IV, 322-34): A man named Mehmūd, son of Mughis Khilji, came to Hoshang and entered his service. He was a treacherous man, who secretly aspired to the throne. He became minister, and gave his daughter in marriage to the king. [Farishtah, Pers. Text II, 474, says: "Malik Mughis gave his daughter (Mehmūd's sister) in marriage, not to Hoshang, but to Hoshang's son Muhammad Shāh."] His father Malik Mughis, coming to know of his son's ambitious designs, informed the king of them. Harassed Mehmūd feigned illness, and to deceive the king's physicians shut himself in a dark room and drank the blood of a newly killed goat. When the physicians came Mehmūd rose hastily, threw up the blood into a basin, and sending back his hand rolled on the floor as if in pain. The physicians called for a light. When they saw that what Mehmūd had spat up was blood they were satisfied of his sickness, and told the king that Mehmūd had not long to live. The king refrained from killing a dying man. This strange story seems to be an embellishment of a passage in Farishtah (Pers. Text, II, 477). When Khān Jahan, that is Malik Mughis the father of Mehmūd, was ordered by Sultan Muhammad to take the field against the Rajput rebels of Naderi (Hiloli?) many of the old nobles of Māwa went with him. In their absence the party hostile to the Khiljis represented to Sultan Muhammad that Mehmūd Khilji was plotting his death. On hearing that the Sultan was enraged against him Mehmūd secluded himself from the Court on pretence of illness. At the same time he worked secretly and bribed Sultan Muhammad's mace-bearer to poison his master. On the death of Muhammad the party of nobles opposed to Mehmūd, concealing the fact of Muhammad's death, sent word that Muhammad had ordered him immediately to the palace, as he wanted to send him on an embassy to Gujarat. Mehmūd, who knew that the Sultan was dead, returned word to the nobles that he had vowed a lifelong seclusion as the sweeper of the shrine of his patron Sultan Hoshang, but that if the nobles came to him and convinced him that the good of his country depended on his going to Gujarat he was ready to go and see Sultan Muhammad. The nobles were caught in their own trap. They went to Mehmūd and were secured and imprisoned by him.

² Farishtah, Pers. Text II, 480.

³ Briggs' Farishtah, IV, 166. These titles mean: The Chief of Nobles, the Great, the August.

⁴ It is related that one of the pious men in the camp of Sultan Ahmed of Gujarat had a warning dream, in which the Prophet (on whom be peace) appeared to him and said: "The valour of (spirit of) pestilence is coming down from the skies. Tell Sultan Ahmed to leave this country." This warning was told to Sultan Ahmed, but he disregarded it, and within three days pestilence raged in his camp. Farishtah Pers. Text, II, 484.

⁵ Briggs' Farishtah, IV, 205, gives 220 minarets and 260 arches. This must have been an addition in the Text used by Briggs. These details do not apply to the building. The Persian text of Farishtah, II, 445, mentions 208 columns or pillars (*stambh o khand safundān*). No reference is made either to minarets or to arches.

garden with a dome and palace¹ and a mosque at Naalchah about three miles north of the Dehli Gate of Māndu, a pleasing well-watered spot where the plateau of Mālwa breaks into glades and knolls.² In A.D. 1443 in honour of his victory over Rāma Kūmbha of Chitor, Mahmūd built a beautiful column of victory,³ seven storeys high, and a college in front of the mosque of Hoshang Ghori. Facing the east entrance to the Great Mosque stands a paved ramp crowned by a confused ruin. As late as A.D. 1843 this ruin is described as a square marble chamber. Each face of the chamber had three arches, the centre arch in two of the faces being a door. Above the arches the wall was of yellow stone faced with marble. Inside the chamber the square corners were cut off by arches. No roof or other trace of superstructure remained.⁴ This chamber seems to be the base of the column of victory which was raised in A.D. 1443 by Mahmūd I. (A.D. 1432-1469) in honour of his victory over Rāma Kūmbha of Chitor.⁵ Mahmūd's column has the special interest of being, if not the original, at least the canon of the building of Kūmbha Rāma's still uninjured Victory Pillar, which was completed in A.D. 1454 at a cost of £200,000 in honour of his defeat of Mahmūd.⁶ That the Māndu Column of Victory was a famous work is shown by Abul Fazl's reference to it in A.D. 1590 as an eight-storied minaret.⁷ Farištah, about twenty years later (A.D. 1610), calls it a beautiful Victory Pillar seven storeys high.⁸ The emperor Jehāngir (A.D. 1605-1627) gives the following account of Mahmūd's Tower of Victory⁹: "This day, the 29th of the month Tir, corresponding to July-August of A.D. 1617, about the close of the day, with the ladies of the palace, I went out to see the *Hift Masarat* or Seven Storeys, literally Seven Prospects. This building is one of the structures of the old rulers of Mālwa, that is of Sultan Mahmūd Khilji. It has seven storeys, and on each storey there are four porticos, and in each portico are four windows. The height of this tower is about 163 feet and its circumference 150 feet. From the surface of the ground to the top of the seventh storey there are one hundred and seventy-one steps." Sir Thomas Herbert, the traveller, in A.D. 1626 describes it from hearsay, or at least at second-hand, as a tower 170 steps high, supported by massive pillars and adorned with gates and windows very observable. It was built, he adds, by Khān Jehān, who there lies buried.¹⁰

Appendix II

THE HILL FORT
OF MĀNDU.

HISTORY.

THE
MĀNDU SULTANS,
A.D. 1403-1679.¹ Farištah, Pers. Text II, 487.² Briggs' Farištah, IV, 207. Malcolm's Central India, I, 37. In A.D. 1817 Sir John Malcolm (Central India, I, 32) noted that one of Mahmūd's palaces was a hot-weather residence.³ Of the days of Kūmbha's career a curious incident is recorded by Farištah (Pers. Text II, 486). He says that a temple outside the town destroyed by Mahmūd had a marble idol in the form of a goat. The Sultan ordered the idol to be ground into lime and sold to the Hāpats as beetle-lime, so that the Hindus might eat their god. The idol was perhaps a ram, not a goat. The temple would then have been a Ram-temple and the ram, the carrier or vehicle of the Sun, would have occupied in the poem a position similar to that held by the bull in a Mātādeva temple.⁴ Ruins of Māndu, II.⁵ In the end of A.D. 846 (A.D. 1442) Mahmūd built a seven-storied tower and a college opposite the Jāmā Mosque of Hoshang Shāh. Briggs' Farištah, IV, 210; Persian Text, II, 488.⁶ Compare Briggs' Farištah, IV, 323.⁷ Gladwin's Ain-i-Akbari, II, 41.⁸ Briggs' Farištah, IV, 210; Farištah, Persian Text II, 488.⁹ Memoirs of the emperor Jehāngir (Pers. Text) Sir Sayyid Ahmad's Edition, page 108, eleventh year of Jehāngir, A.D. 1617.¹⁰ Herbert's Khān Jehān is doubtless Mahmūd's father the minister Malik Nughla, Khān Jehān Aḥmad Humāyūn. It cannot be Khān Jehān Pir Muḥammad, Akbar's general, who after only a few months' residence was slain in Māndu in A.D. 1661; nor can it be Jehāngir's great Afghan general, Khān Jehān Lohi (A.D. 1600-1639), as he

Appendix II

THE HILL FORT

OF MANDU.

HISTORY.

THE

MALWA SULTANS,
A.D. 1400-1570.

Two years later (A.D. 1445) Mehmūd built at Māndu, and endowed with the revenues of several villages a large *Shifa Khānah* or Hospital, with wards and attendants for all classes and separate apartments for maniacs. He placed in charge of it his own physician Maulāna Faḥallāh.¹ He also built a college to the east of the Jāmā masjid, of which traces remain.²

In A.D. 1453, though defeated, Mehmūd brought back from Gujarāt the jewelled waistbelt of Gujarāt, which in a daring charge he had taken from the tent of the Gujarāt king Kutb-ud-dīn Shāh.³ In A.D. 1441 Mehmūd's father died at Mandisor. Mehmūd felt the loss so keenly that he tore his hair like one bereft of reason.⁴ After his father's death Mehmūd made his son Ghīās-ud-dīn minister, and conferred the command of the army and the title of Akṣam Humāyūn on his kinsman Taj Khān. In A.D. 1460, after a reign of thirty-four years (A.D. 1436-1469) of untiring energy and activity Mehmūd died. Farishtah says of him: "His tent was his home: the field of battle his resting-place. He was polite, brave, just, and learned. His Hindu and Musalmān subjects were happy and friendly. He guarded his lands from invaders. He made good his loss to any one who suffered from robbery in his domains, recovering the amount from the village in whose lands the robbery had taken place, a system which worked so well that theft and robbery became almost unknown. Finally, by a systematic effort he freed the country from the dread of wild beasts."⁵

In A.D. 1469 Mehmūd was succeeded by his son and minister Ghīās-ud-dīn, to whose skill as a soldier much of Mehmūd's success had been due. On his accession Ghīās-ud-dīn made his son Abul Kādir Prime Minister and heir-apparent, and gave him the title of Nāṣir-ud-dīn. He called his nobles, and in their presence handed his sword to Nāṣir-ud-dīn, saying: "I have passed thirty-four years in ceaseless fighting. I now devote my life to rest and enjoyment."⁶ Ghīās-ud-dīn, who never left Māndu during the whole thirty years of his reign (A.D. 1469-1499), is said to have completed the Jahāz Mehel or Ship Palace,⁷ and the widespread buildings

was not in Māndu until A.D. 1628, that is more than a year after Herbert left India. Compare Herbert's *Travels*, 107-118; Elliot, VI. 249-323, VII. 7, 8, and 21; and Blochmann's *Āin-i-Akbarī*, 503-506.

¹ Briggs' *Farishtah*, IV. 214.

² Ruins of Māndu, 13. Farishtah has three mentions of colleges. One (*Pers. Text*, II. 476) as the place where the body of Rāshang was carried, probably that prayers might be said over it. In another passage in the reign of Mehmūd I. (*Pers. Text*, II. 486) he states that Mehmūd built colleges in his territories which became the cradle of Shī'ism and Sūfism. In a third passage he mentions a college (page 488) near the Victory Tower.

³ Briggs' *Farishtah*, IV. 217. A different but almost incredible account of the capture of the royal belt is given in the *Mirat-i-Āl-i-Akbarī*, *Pers. Text*, 129: When Sultan Kutb-ud-dīn, son of Sultan Muhammad, defeated Sultan Mahmūd Khiljī at the battle of Kaspilvan, there was such a slaughter as could not be exceeded. By chance, in the heat of the fray, which resembled the Day of Judgment, the wardrobe-keeper of Sultan Kutb-ud-dīn, in whose charge was the jewelled belt, was by the restlessness of his horse carried into the ranks of the enemy. The animal there became so violent that the wardrobe-keeper fell off and was captured by the enemy, and the jewelled belt was taken from him and given to Sultan Mahmūd of Malwa. The author adds: This jewelled waistband was in the Malwa treasury at the time the fortress of Māndu was taken by the strength of the arm of Sultan Muṣṣaffar (A.D. 1531). Sultan Mahmūd sent this belt together with a fitting sword and horse to Sultan Muṣṣaffar by the hands of his son.

⁴ Briggs' *Farishtah*, IV. 209.

⁵ Briggs' *Farishtah*, IV. 234-235; *Pers. Text*, II. 503.

⁶ Briggs' *Farishtah*, IV. 236.

⁷ Ruins of Māndu, 6.

which surround it. It seems probable that the Tapela Palace close to the south-east of the Ship palace and the lake and royal gardens immediately to the north and north-east of the Tapela palace were part of Ghîs-ud-dîn's pleasure-houses and grounds. The scale of the ruins behind the Hindola or Swingest palace to the north, and their connection with the out-buildings to the west of the Jahâz Mahel, suggest that they also belonged to the palaces and women's quarters of the pleasure-loving Ghîs-ud-dîn.

Of the surprising size and fantastic arrangements of Ghîs-ud-dîn's pleasure city, the true Mându Shâdîbâd or Abode of Joy, curious details have been preserved. This Abode of Pleasure was a city not a palace. It contained 15,000 inhabitants, all of them women, none either old or plain-featured, and each trained to some profession or craft. Among them were the whole officers of a court besides courtiers, teachers, musicians, dancers, prayer-readers, embroiderers, and followers of all crafts and callings. Whenever the king heard of a beautiful girl he never rested till he obtained her. This city of women had its two regiments of guards, the Archers and the Carbineers, each 500 strong, its soldiers dressed like men in a distinguishing uniform. The archers were beautiful young Turki damasels, all armed with bows and arrows; the carbineers were Abyssinian maidens, each carrying a carbine. Attached to the palace and city was a deer park, where the lord of leisure used to hunt with his favourites. Each dweller in the city of women received her daily dole of grain and coppers, and besides the women were many pensioners, mice parrots and pigeons, who also received the same dole as their owners. So evenly just was Ghîs-ud-dîn in the matter of his allowances, that the prettiest of his favourites received the same allowance as the roughest carbineer.¹

The Lord of the City of Pleasure was deeply religious. Whenever he was amusing himself two of his companions held in front of him a cloth to remind him of his shroud. A thousand *Hafizahs*, that is women who knew the Kurân by heart, constantly repeated its holy verses, and, under the orders of the king, whenever he changed his raiment the *Hafizahs* blew on his body from head to foot with their prayer-blessed breath.² None of the five daily prayers passed unprayed. If at any of the hours of prayer the king was asleep he was sprinkled with water, and when water failed to arouse him, he was dragged out of bed. Even when dragged out of bed by his servants the king never uttered an improper or querulous word.

So keen was his sense of justice that when one of his courtiers pretending he had purchased her, brought to him a maiden of ideal beauty, and her relations, not knowing she had been given to the king, came to complain, though they gladly resigned her, the king grieved over his unconscious wrong. Besides paying compensation he mourned long and truly, and ordered that no more inmates should be brought to his palace.³ So great was the king's charity that every night below his pillow he placed a bag containing some thousand gold-mohurs, and before evening all were distributed to the deserving. So religious was the king that he paid 50,000 *tanaks* for each of the four feet of the ass of Christ. A man came bringing a fifth hoof, and one of the courtiers said: "My Lord, an ass has four feet. I never heard that it had five, unless perhaps the ass of Christ had five." "Who knows," the king replied, "it may be that this

Appendix II.

THE HIND FOUR
OR MANDU.

HISTORY.

THE
MATA SOLIMS,
A.D. 1400-1570.¹ Farihtah Pers. Text, II. 504-505.² Farihtah Pers. Text, II. 505.³ Farihtah Pers. Text, II. 507.

Appendix II.

THE HIGH FOUR
OF MANDU.

History.

The
Malwa Sultanate,
A.D. 1400-1570.

last man has told the truth, and one of the others was wrong. See that he is paid." So sober was the king that he would neither look upon nor hear of intoxicants or stimulants. A potion that had cost 100,000 *tanakas* was brought to him. Among the 300 ingredients one was rattling. The king directed the potion to be thrown into a drain. His favourite horse fell sick. The king ordered it to have medicine, and the horse recovered. "What medicine was given the horse?" asked the king. "The medicine ordered by the physicians" replied his servants. Fearing that in this medicine there might be an intoxicant, the king commanded that the horse should be taken out of the stables and turned loose into the forest.¹

The king's spirit of peace steeped the land, which, like its ruler, after thirty years of fighting yearned for rest. For fourteen years neither inward malcontent nor foreign foe broke the quiet. In A.D. 1482 Bahlol Lodi advanced from Delhi to subdue Malwa. The talk of Māndu was Bahlol's approach, but no whisper of it passed into the charmed City of Women. At last the son-minister forced his way into the king's presence. At the news of pressing danger his soldier-spirit awoke in Ghias-ud-din. His orders for meeting the invaders were so prompt and well-planned that the king of Delhi paid a ransom and withdrew. A second rest of fifteen years ended in the son-minister once more forcing his way into the Presence. In A.D. 1500 the son presented his father, now an aged man of eighty, with a cup of sherbet and told him to drink. The king, whose armlet of bezoar stone had already twice made poison harmless, drew the stone from his arm. He thanked the Almighty for granting him, unworthy, the happiest life that had ever fallen to the lot of man. He prayed that the sin of his death might not be laid to his son's charge, drank the poison, and died.²

Ghias-ud-din can hardly have shut himself off so completely from state affairs as the story-tellers make out. He seems to have been the first of the Malwa kings who minted gold. He also introduced new titles and ornaments, which implies an interest in his coinage.³ Farishtah says that

¹ *Wakiat-i-Mushthaki* in Elliot, IV, 554-556. Probably these are stock tales. The Gujarat historians give Masaffar and Muhammad the Golt-giver (A.D. 1411-1431) credit for the horse scrupulosity. See *Mirāt-i-Sikandari* Pers. Text, 178.

² Briggs' *Farishtah*, IV, 236-239; *Wakiat-i-Jehāngiri* in Elliot, VI, 340-350; *Wakiat-i-Mushthaki* in Elliot, IV, 554-55; Malcolm's *Central India*, I, 35-36. The *Mirāt-i-Sikandari* (Pers. Text, 160) has the following notice of Ghias-ud-din: The Sultans of Māndu had reached such a pitch of luxury and ease that it is impossible to imagine sight exceeding it. Among them Sultan Ghias-ud-din was so famous for his luxurious habits, that at present (A.D. 1611) if any one exceeds in luxury and pleasure, they say he is a second Ghias-ud-din. The orders of the Sultans were that no event of a painful nature or one in which there was any touch of sadness should be related to him. They say that during his entire reign news of a sad nature was only twice conveyed to him: once when his son-in-law died and once when his daughter was brought before him clothed in white. On this occasion the Sultan is related to have simply said: "Perhaps her husband is dead." This he said because the custom of the people of India is that when the husband of a woman dies she gives up wearing coloured clothes. The second occasion was when the army of Sultan Bahlol Lodi plundered several of the districts of Chanderi. Though it was necessary to report this to the Sultan, his ministers were unable to communicate it to him. They therefore asked a band of actors (*Mānds*) to assume the dress of Afghans, and mentioning the districts to represent them as being pillaged and laid waste, Sultan Ghias-ud-din exclaimed in surprise: "But is the governor of Chanderi dead that he does not assign upon the Afghans the ruin of his country?"

³ Compare *Catalogue of Indian Coins*, The Mahomedan States, pages LIV, IV, and 138-141.

Ghiās-ud-dīn used to come out every day for an hour from his *harem*, sit on the throne and receive the salutations of his nobles and subjects, and give orders in all weighty matters of state. He used to entrust all minor affairs to his ministers; but in all grave matters he was so anxious not to shirk his responsibility as a ruler, that he had given strict orders that all such communications should be made to him at whatever time they came through a particular female officer appointed to receive his orders.¹

According to most accounts Nāsir-ud-dīn was led to poison his father by an attempt of his younger brother Shujāt Khān, supported if not organised by some of Ghiās-ud-dīn's favourite wives to oust Nāsir-ud-dīn from the succession.² In the struggle Nāsir-ud-dīn triumphed and was crowned at Mānda in A.D. 1500.³ The new king left Mānda to put down a revolt. On his return to Mānda he devoted himself to debauchery and to hunting down and murdering his brother's adherents. He subjected his mother Khurshid Rāni to great indignities and torture to force from her information regarding his father's concealed treasures.⁴ In a fit of drunkenness he fell into a reservoir. He was pulled out by four of his female slaves. He awoke with a headache, and discovering what his slaves had done put them to death with his own hand.⁵ Some time after in A.D. 1512, he again fell into the reservoir, and there he was left till he was dead.⁶ Nāsir-ud-dīn was fond of building. His palace at Akbarpūr in the Nimar plain about twenty miles south of Mānda was splendid and greatly admired.⁷ And at Mānda besides his sepulchre⁸ which the emperor Jehāngir (A.D. 1617) mentions,⁹ an

Appendix II.

THE HILL FORT
OF MĀNDA.

HISTORY.

The
Māwa Sultans,
A.D. 1400-1570.¹ Farishtah Pers. Text, II, 507.² Farishtah (Pers. Text, II, 508) detailing how Nāsir-ud-dīn came to power, says: There was a difference between Nāsir-ud-dīn and his brother Alā-ud-dīn. The mother of these princes, Khurshid Rāni, who was the daughter of the Hindu chief of Bāglāna, had taken Alā-ud-dīn the younger brother's side. After killing his father Nāsir-ud-dīn ordered his mother to be dragged out of the *harem* and Alā-ud-dīn and his children to be slaughtered like lambs.³ Briggs' Farishtah, IV, 238-239. Farishtah holds that Nāsir-ud-dīn's murder of his father is not proved. He adds (Pers. Text, II, 515) that Nāsir-ud-dīn was at Dhār where he had gone to quell the rebellion of the nobles when the news of Ghiās-ud-dīn's death reached him. He argues that as a paricide cannot flourish more than a year after his father's murder, and as Nāsir-ud-dīn ruled for years after that event, he could not have killed his father.⁴ Farishtah Pers. Text, II, 516.⁵ Briggs' Farishtah, IV, 243. The emperor Jehāngir (Memoirs Pers. Text, 181) says that Nāsir-ud-dīn had a disease which made him feel so hot that he used to sit for hours in water.⁶ Wakīat-i-Jehāngirī in Elliot, VI, 330. Farishtah (Pers. Text, II, 517-18) says that Nāsir-ud-dīn died of a burning fever he had contracted by hard drinking and other evil habits, that he showed keen penitence before his death, and bequeathed his kingdom to his third son Mahmūd. The emperor Jehāngir (Memoirs Pers. Text, 181) confirms the account of the Wakīat as to the manner of Nāsir-ud-dīn's death.⁷ Briggs' Farishtah, IV, 243.⁸ The emperor Jehāngir thus describes (Memoirs Pers. Text, 181) his visit to Nāsir-ud-dīn's grave. It is related that when during his reign Sher Khān Afghān *Ẓār* (A.D. 1540-1555) visited Nāsir-ud-dīn's grave he ordered his attendants to flagellate the paricide's tomb: When I visited the sepulchre I kicked his grave and ordered them with me to do the same. Not satisfied with this I ordered his bones to be dug out and buried and the ashes to be thrown into the Narbada.⁹ Wakīat-i-Jehāngirī in Elliot, VI, 350. The emperor Jehāngir (Memoirs Pers. Text, 202) refers to the well-known bridge and water-palace about three miles north of Ujjain as the work of Nāsir-ud-dīn. He says: On Sunday I reached Mandiupur near Ujjain. In this village is a river house with a bridge on which are alcoves both built by Nāsir-ud-dīn Khilji (A.D. 1500-1512). Though the bridge is not specially praiseworthy the water-courses and cisterns connected with it have a certain merit.

Appendix II.
THE HILL FORT
OF MANDU.

HISTORY.

The
 Malwa Sultans,
 A.D. 1400-1570.

inscription shows that the palace now known by the name of Bāa Bahādur was built by Nāsir-ud-din.

Nāsir-ud-din was succeeded by his younger son (Mehmūd A.D. 1512-1530), who, with the title of Mehmūd the Second, was crowned with great pomp at Mānda. Seven hundred elephants in gold-embroidered velvet housings adorned the procession.¹ Shortly after his accession Mehmūd II. was driven out of Mānda by the revolt of the commandant Muhāfiz Khān, but was restored by the skill and courage of Medāni Rāi his Rājput commander-in-chief.² A still more dangerous combination by Muzaffar II. (A.D. 1511-1526) of Gujarāt and Sikandar Shāh Lodi (A.D. 1488-1516) of Dehli, was baffled by the foresight and energy of the same Rājput general. Mehmūd, feeling that his power had passed to the Hindus, tried to dishonour the Rājputs and assassinate Medāni Rāi. Failing in both attempts Mehmūd fled from Mānda to Gujarāt, where he was well received by Sultān Muzaffar (A.D. 1511-1526).³ They advanced together against Mānda, and in A.D. 1519, after a close siege of several months, took the fort by assault. The Rājput garrison, who are said to have lost 19,000 men, fought to the last, consecrating the close of their defence by a general *javār* or fire-sacrifice. Sultān Mehmūd entered Mānda close after the storming party, and while Mehmūd established his authority in Mānda, Muzaffar withdrew to Dhār. When order was restored Mehmūd sent this message to Muzaffar at Dhār: "Mānda is a splendid fort. You should come and see it." "May Mānda," Muzaffar replied, "bring good fortune to Sultān Mehmūd. He is the master of the fort. For the sake of the Lord I came to his help. On Friday I will go to the fortress, and having had the sermon read in Mehmūd's name will return." On Muzaffar's arrival in Mānda Mehmūd gave a great entertainment;⁴ and Muzaffar

¹ Briggs' *Parishatāb*, IV. 246.

² Briggs' *Parishatāb*, IV. 247-249; Malcolm's (*Central India*, I. 38) writes the Rājput's name Makera. The Mirāt-i-Sikandari (Persian Text, 149-155), gives the form Medāni Rāi, the Lord of the Battleground, a title which the author says (page 149) Mehmūd conferred on the Rājput in acknowledgment of his prowess.

³ The Mirāt-i-Sikandari (Pers. Text, 151) gives the following details of Mehmūd's flight: Sultān Mehmūd, on pretence of hunting left Mānda and remained hunting for several days. The Hindus, whom Medāni Rāi had placed on guard over him, slept after the fatigue of the chase. Only some of the more trusted guards remained. Among them was a Rājput named Krishna, a Malwa *camelsār* who was attached to the Sultān. Mehmūd said to Krishna: "Can you find me two horses and show me the way to Gujarāt that I may get aid from Sultān Muzaffar to punish these rascals? If you can, do so at once, and Allah willing, you shall be handsomely rewarded." Krishna brought two horses from the Sultān's stables. Mehmūd rode on one and sealed his dearest of wives, Rāni Kanayā Kuār, on the other. Krishna marched in front. In half the night and one day they reached the Gujarāt frontier.

⁴ Tārīkh-i-Bihar Shāhid in Elliot, IV. 356. The Mirāt-i-Sikandari (Pers. Text, 160) gives the following details of the banquet: Sultān Mehmūd showed great hospitality and hospitality. After the banquet as he led the Sultān over the palace, they came to a mansion in the centre of which was a four-cornered building like the Kaabah, carved and gilded, and round it were many apartments. When Sultān Muzaffar placed his foot within the threshold of that building the thousand hemlets of Sultān Mehmūd's *Aorin*, magnificently apparelled and jewelled, all at once opened the doors of their chambers and burst into view like hūris and fairies. When Muzaffar's eyes fell on their charms he bowed his head and said: "To see other than one's own *Aorin* is sinful." Sultān Mehmūd replied: "These are mine, and therefore your's, seeing that I am the slave purchased by your Majesty's kindness." Muzaffar said: "They are more suitable for you. May you have joy in them. Let them retire." At a signal from Sultān Mehmūd the ladies vanished.

retired to Gujarāt leaving a force of 3000 Gujarātīs to help to guard the hill.¹ Immediately after Muzaffar's departure, as Sultān Mehmūd was anxious to recover Chauderi and Gāgrān, which still remained in the possession of Medāni Rāi and his supporters, he marched against them. Rāna Sāga of Chitor came to Medāni's aid and a great battle was fought.² Mehmūd's hastiness led him to attack when his men were weary and the Rājputa were fresh. In spite of the greatest bravery on the part of himself and of his officers the Musalman army was defeated, and Mehmūd, weakened by loss of blood, was made prisoner. Rāna Sāga had Mehmūd's wounds dressed, sent him to Chitor, and on his recovery released him.³

In A.D. 1526, by giving protection to his outlawed brother Chānd Khān and to Rād-ul-Mulk, a refugee Gujarāt noble, Mehmūd brought on himself the wrath of Bahādūr Shāh of Gujarāt (A.D. 1526-1536). The offended Bahādūr did not act hastily. He wrote to Mehmūd asking him to come to his camp and settle their quarrels. He waited on the Gujarāt frontier at Karji Ghāt, east of Bānswara, until at last satisfied that Mehmūd did not wish for a peaceful settlement he advanced on Māndu. Meanwhile Mehmūd had repaired the walls of Māndu, which soon after was invested by Bahādūr. The siege was proceeding in regular course by mines and batteries, and the garrison, though overtaxed, were still loyal and in heart, when in the dim light of morning Mehmūd suddenly found the Gujarāt flag waving on the battlements. According to the Mirāt-i-Sikandari⁴ Bahādūr annoyed by the slow progress of the siege asked his spies where was the highest ground near Māndu. The spies said: Towards Songad-Chitor the hill is extremely high. With a few followers the Sultān scaled Songad, and rushing down the slope burst through the wall and took the fort (May 20th, 1526).⁵ Mehmūd surrendered. Near Dohad, on his way to his prison at Chāmpānir, an attempt was made to rescue Mehmūd, and to prevent their escape he and some of his sons were slain and buried on the bank of the Dohad tank.⁶ Bahādūr spent the rainy season (June-October 1526) in Māndu, and Mālwa was incorporated with Gujarāt.

Māndu remained under Gujarāt, till in A.D. 1534, after Bahādūr's defeat by Humāyūn at Mandasor, Bahādūr retired to Māndu. Humāyūn followed. At night 200 of Humāyūn's soldiers went to the back of the fortress, according to Farihtah the south-west height of Songad⁷ by which Bahādūr had surprised Mehmūd's garrison, scaled the walls by ladders and ropes, opened the gate, and let others in. Mallu Khān, the commandant of the batteries, a native of Mālwa, who afterwards gained the title of Kādir Shāh, went to Bahādūr and awakened him. Bahādūr rushed out with four or five attendants. He was joined by about twenty more, and reaching the gate at the top of the *maida*, apparently the Tāsipūr gate by which Humāyūn's men had entered, cut through 200 of Humāyūn's troops and went off with Mallu Khān to the fort of Songad.

Appendix II.

THE HILL FORT

OF MANDU.

HISTORY.

The
Mālwa Sultāna,
A.D. 1400-1570,Sultān Bahādūr
of Gujarāt,
A.D. 1526-1534.The Emperor
Humāyūn,
A.D. 1534-1535,¹ Briggs' Farihtah, IV. 260-262.² Farihtah Pers. Text. II. 527. According to the Mirāt-i-Sikandari (Pers. Text, 161) Mehmūd marched against Gāgrān first, and slew Hemkarna, a partisan of Medāni Rāi, in a hand-to-hand fight. On this the Rāna and Medāni Rāi joined their forces against Mehmūd.³ Briggs' Farihtah, IV. 262-263.⁴ Persian Edition, 220.⁵ Briggs' Farihtah, IV. 267-68. Sultān Bahādūr apparently surprised the party in charge of the Tāsipūr or Southern Gate.⁶ Briggs' Farihtah, IV. 269; Mirāt-i-Ahmedi, Persian Text, I. 76.⁷ Briggs' Farihtah, II. 77.

Appendix II.

THE BIL-FOUR
OF MANDU.

History.

The
Malwa Sultans,
A.D. 1400-1540.

Local
Muslim Chieft,
A.D. 1536-1542.

Sher Shah Sūr,
A.D. 1542-1546.

the citadel of Māndu. While two of Bahādur's chiefs, Sadr Khān and Sultān Alam Lodi, threw themselves into Songad, Bahādur himself let his horses down the cliff by ropes and after a thousand difficulties made his way to Chimpānir.¹ On the day after Bahādur's escape Sadr Khān and Sultān Alam Lodi came out of Songad and surrendered to Humāyūn.²

In the following year (A.D. 1535) the combined news of Sher Shāh's revolt in Bengal, and of the defeat of his officers at Broach and Cambay, forced Humāyūn to retire from Gujarāt. As he preferred its climate he withdrew, not to Agra but to Māndu.³ From Māndu, as fortune was against him in Bengal, Humāyūn went (A.D. 1535-36) to Agra.

On Humāyūn's departure three chiefs attempted to establish themselves at Māndu: Bhūpat Rāi, the ruler of Bijāgar, sixty miles south of Māndu; Mallu Khān or Kādir Shāh, a former commandant of Māndu; and Mirān Muhammad Fāruki from Burhānpur.⁴ Of these three Mallu Khān was successful. In A.D. 1536, when Humāyūn fled from Sher Shāh to Persia, Mallu spread his power from Māndu to Ujjain Sārangpār and Rantambhor, assumed the title of Kādir Shāh Mālvi, and made Māndu his capital. Some time after Sher Shāh, who was now supreme, wrote to Mallu Kādir Shāh ordering him to co-operate in expelling the Mughals. Kādir Shāh resenting this assumption of overlordship, addressed Sher Shāh as an inferior. When Sher Shāh received Mallu's order he folded it and placed it in the scabbard of his poniard to keep the indignity fresh in his mind. Allāh willing, he said, we shall ask an explanation for this in person.⁵ In A.D. 1542 (H. 949) as Kādir Shāh failed to act with Kutb Khān, who had been sent to establish Sher Shāh's overlordship in Mālwa, Sher Shāh advanced from Gwalior towards Māndu with the object of punishing Kādir Shāh.⁶ As he knew he could not stand against Sher Shāh Kādir Shāh went to Sārangpār to do homage. Though on arrival Kādir Shāh was well received, his kingdom was given to Shujāt Khān, one of Sher Shāh's chief followers, and himself placed in Shujāt Khān's keeping.⁷ Suspicious of what might be in store for

¹ Abul Fazl's Akbar Nāmah in Elliot, VI. 14; Briggs' Fariastah, II. 77.

² Abul Fazl's Akbar Nāmah in Elliot, V. 192.

³ Abul Fazl's Akbar Nāmah in Elliot, VI. 15; Briggs' Fariastah, II. 80-81.

⁴ Abul Fazl's Akbar Nāmah in Elliot, VI. 18. According to Fariastah (Pers. Text, II. 532) Mallu, the son of Mallu, was a native of Mālwa and a Khilji slave noble. Mallu received his title of Kādir Shāh from Sultān Mehmed III. of Gujarāt (A.D. 1536-1544) at the recommendation of his minister Imād-ul-Mulk who was a great friend of Mallu. Mirāt-i-Sikandari, Persian Text, 798.

⁵ Fariastah Pers. Text, II. 532.

⁶ Tārkh-i-Sher Shāh in Elliot, IV. 391; Briggs' Fariastah, IV. 271-72.

⁷ Fariastah (Pers. Text, 533-34) refers to the following circumstance as the cause of Kādir Shāh's suspicion. On his way to Sher Shāh's darbar at Ujjain Kādir saw some Mughal prisoners in chains making a road. One of the prisoners seeing him began to sing:

Mard hai bin darin akhsh-e shērī khākshin-e dūn?

In this plight thou wast not to-day,

Thine own turn is not far away.

When Kādir Shāh escaped, Sher Shāh on hearing of his flight exclaimed:

Be not old lord Shāh

Mallū Ghildost-pūt,

Thus he treats us with scorn,

Mallu the slave has been born.

To this one of Sher Shāh's men replied:

Kash-i-Bandī bar kash

Li khawān-i-shād,

The words of the Prophet are true,

No good was a slave ever da.

him Kádír Sháh fled to Gujarát. Sher Sháh was so much annoyed at Shujáát Khán's remissness in not preventing Kádír Sháh's escape that he transferred the command at Dhár and Mándu from Shujáát Khán to Háji Khán and Junaid Khán. Shortly after Kádír Sháh brought a force from Gujarát and attacked Mándu. Shujáát came to Háji Khán's help and routed Kádír Sháh under the walls of Mándu. In reward Sher Sháh made him ruler of the whole country of Mándu.¹ Shujáát Khán established his head-quarters at Mándu with 10,000 horse and 7000 matchlockmen.

During the reign of Sher Sháh's successor Salim Sháh (A.D. 1545-1553), Shujáát was forced to leave Málwa and seek shelter in Dúngarpúr. Salim pardoned Shujáát, but divided Málwa among other nobles. Shujáát remained in Hindustán till in A.D. 1553, on the accession of Salim's successor, Adili, he recovered Málwa, and in A.D. 1554, on the decay of Adili's power, assumed independence.² He died almost immediately after, and was succeeded by his eldest son Malik Báyarid.³ Shujáát Khán was a great builder. Besides his chief works at Shujáwalpúr near Ujjain, he left many memorials in different parts of Málwa.⁴ So far none of the remains at Mándu are known to have been erected during the rule of Shujáát Khán.

On the death of his father Malik Báyarid killed his brother Daulat Khán, and was crowned in A.D. 1555 with the title of Báiz Bahádur. He attacked the Gond, but met with so crushing a defeat that he forewore fighting.⁵ He gave himself to enjoyment and became famous as a musician,⁶ and for his poetic love of Ráp Muni or Ráp Mati, who according to one account was a wise and beautiful courtesan of Saháranpúr in Northern India, and according to another was the daughter of a Nimar Rájput, the master of the town of Dharampuri.⁷ In A.D. 1560 Pír Muhammad, a general of Akbar's, afterwards ennobled as Khán Jehán, defeated Báiz Bahádur, drove him out of Mándu, and made the hill his own head-quarters.⁸ In the following year (A.D. 1561), by the help of the Berár chief, Pír Muhammad was slain and Báiz Bahádur reinstated. On news of this defeat (A.D. 1562) Akbar sent Abdulláh Khán Uzbak with almost unlimited power to reconquer the province. Abdulláh was successful, but, as he showed signs of assuming independence, Akbar moved against him and he fled to Gujarát.⁹ Akbar remained in Mándu during the greater part of the following rains (A.D. 1563), examining with interest the buildings erected by the Khijji kings.¹⁰ At Mándu Akbar married the daughter of Mirán Mubárak Khán of Khándesh.¹¹ When Akbar left (August 1564) he appointed Karm Bahádur Khán governor of Mándu and returned to Agra.¹² In A.D. 1568 the Mirásis, Akbar's cousins, flying from Gujarát attacked

Appendix II.

THE HILL-FORT
OF MANDU.

HISTORY.

THE

MÁLWA SULTANATE,
A.D. 1400-1570.Salim Shah Súr,
A.D. 1545-1553.Báiz Bahádur,
A.D. 1555-1570.¹ Tarikh-i-Sher Sháhi in Elliot, IV, 397.² Tarikh-i-Ain in Elliott, V, 168; Epithetone's India, 402-403.³ Tarikh-i-Ain in Elliott, V, 168.⁴ Briggs' Farishtah, IV, 276.⁵ When Báiz Bahádur attacked the Gond, their chief was dead, and his widow, Rání Durgavati, was ruling in his place. The Rání led the Gond against the invaders, and hemming them in one of the passes, inflicted on them such a defeat that Báiz Bahádur fled from the field leaving his baggage and camp in her hands. Farishtah Pers. Text, II, 538.⁶ According to Farishtah (Pers. Text, II, 538) Báiz Bahádur was already an adept in music.⁷ Malcolm's Central India, I, 39; Ruins of Mándu, 30.⁸ Briggs' Farishtah, II, 210.⁹ Elocman's Ain-i-Akbari, 321.¹⁰ Briggs' Farishtah, IV, 211.¹¹ Briggs' Farishtah, IV, 216.¹² Tarikh-i-Akbari in Elliot, V, 291.

Appendix II.

THE HILL FORT
OF MANDU.

HISTORY.

The Mughals,
A.D. 1570-1720.

Ujjain. From Ujjain they retreated to Mānda and failing to make any impression on the fort withdrew to Gujarat.¹ The Mirzā's failure was due to the ability of Akbar's general, Hājī Muhammad Khān, to whom Akbar granted the province of Mānda.² At the same time (A.D. 1568) the command of Mānda hill was entrusted to Shāh Badāgh Khān, who continued commandant of the fort till his death many years later. During his command, in a picturesque spot overlooking a well-watered ravine in the south of Mānda, between the Sagar Lake and the Tāripur Gateway, Badāgh Khān built a pleasure-house, which he named, or rather perhaps which he continued to call Nīlkanth or Blue Throat. This lodge is interesting from the following inscriptions, which show that the emperor Akbar more than once rested within its walls.³

The inscription on the small north arch of Nīlkanth, dated A.D. 1574, runs :

(Call it not waste) to spend your life in water and earth (i.e. in building).
If perchance a man of mind for a moment makes your house his lodging.

Written by Shāh Badāgh Khān in the year A.H. 982-87.⁴

The inscription on the great southern arch of Nīlkanth, dated A.D. 1574, runs :

This pleasant building was completed in the reign of the great Sultān, the most munificent and just Khakān, the Lord of the countries of Arabia and Persia,⁵ the shadow of God on the two earths, the ruler of the sea and of the land, the exalter of the standards of those who war on the side of God, Abul Fatah Jalāl-ud-dīn Muhammad Akbar, the warrior king, may his dominion and his kingdom be everlasting.

Written by Farīd-ud-dīn Husain, son of Hātim-al-Wardī, in the year A.H. 982.⁶

The inscription on the right wall of Nīlkanth, dated A.D. 1591-92, runs :

In the year A.H. 1000, when on his way to the conquest of the Dakhan, the slaves of the Exalted Lord of the Earth, the holder of the sky-like Throne, the shadow of Allah (the Emperor Akbar), passed by this place.

That time wastes your home cease, Soul, to complain,

Who will not scorn a complainer so vain.

From the story of others this wisdom derive,

Ere naught of thyself but stories survive.

The inscription on the left wall of Nīlkanth, dated A.D. 1600, runs :

The (Lord of the mighty Presence) shadow of Allah, the Emperor Akbar, after the conquest of the Dakhan and

¹ *Tahakāt-i-Akhari* in Elliot, V. 330-31.

² Blochman's *Alm-i-Akhari*, 375.

³ The emperor Jalāngīr thus describes (Memoirs Pers. Text, 372) a visit to this building : On the third day of Amarśad (July 1617) with the palace ladies I set out to see Nīlkanth, which is one of the pleasantest places in Mānda fort. Shāh Badāgh Khān, who was one of the trusted nobles of my august father, built this very pleasing and joy-giving lodge during the time he held this province in fee (A.D. 1573-1577). I remained at Nīlkanth till about an hour after nightfall and then returned to my state quarters.

⁴ An officer who distinguished himself under Humāyūn, one of Akbar's commanders of Three Thousand, long governor of Mānda, where he died. Blochman's *Alm-i-Akhari*, 372.

⁵ When opposed to Arab the word Ājam signifies all countries except Arabia, and in a narrow sense, Persia. The meaning of the word Ājam is dumbness, the Arabs so glorying in the richness of their own tongue as to hold all other countries and nations dumb.

⁶ The stones on which this inscription is carved have been wrongly arranged by some restorer. Those with the latter portion of the inscription come first and those with the beginning come last. Munsif Abdur Rahim of Dhar.

Daudes (Khandesh) in the year A.H. 1009 set out for Hind (Northern India).

May the name of the writer last for ever!

At dawn and at eve I have watched an owl sitting
On the lofty wall-top of Shirwan Shah's Tomb,¹
'The owl's plaintive hooting convey'd me this warning
"Here pomp, wealth, and greatness lie dumb;"

In A.D. 1573, with the rest of Málwa, Akbar handed Mándu to Muzaffar III the dethroned ruler of Gajará. It seems doubtful if Muzaffar ever visited his new territory.² On his second defeat in A.D. 1582 Báz Bahádúr retired to Gondwána, where he remained, his power gradually waning, till in A.D. 1570 he paid homage to the emperor and received the command of 2000 horse.³ His decoration of the Rewa Pool, of the palace close by, which though built by Násir-ud-din Khilji (A.D. 1500-1512) was probably repaired by Báz Bahádúr, and of Ráp Mati's pavilion on the crest of the southern ridge make Báz Bahádúr one of the chief beautifiers of Mándu. According to Farištah (Pers. Text, II. 538-39) in 1562, when Báz Bahádúr went out to meet Akbar's general, Adham Khán Atkah, he placed Ráp Mati and his other singers in Sárangpár under a party of his men with orders to kill the women in case of a reverse. On hearing of Báz Bahádúr's defeat the soldiers hastily salved as many of the women as they could and fled. Among the women left for dead was Ráp Mati, who, though dangerously wounded, was not killed. When Adham Atkah entered Sárangpár his first care was to enquire what had become of Ráp Mati. On hearing of her condition he had her wound attended to by the best surgeons, promising her, as a help to her cure, a speedy union with her beloved. On her recovery Ráp Mati claimed the general's promise. He prevaricated and pressed his own suit. Ráp Mati tampered. One night the impatient Turk sent her a message asking her to come to him. Ráp Mati to gain time invited him to her own pavilion which she said was specially adorned to be the abode of love. Next night the Atkah went to her house in disguise. Her women directed him to Ráp Mati's couch. Adham found her robed and garlanded, but cold in death. Ráp Mati was buried on an island in a lake at Ujjain, and there, according to the Ain-i-Akbari, Báz Bahádúr when he died was laid beside her.⁴

SECTION II.—MEDHALS (A.D. 1570-1720) AND MARÍTHÁS (A.D. 1720-1820).

About A.D. 1590 Akbar's historian, the great Abul Fazl, described Mándu as a large city whose fortress is twenty-four miles (twelve *kos*) in circuit. He notices that besides in the centre of the hill where stands an eight-storeyed minaret, the city had many monuments of ancient magnificence, among them the tombs of the Khilji Sultáns. And that from the dome which is over the sepulchre of Sultán Mehmúd, the son of Hoshang (this should be the sepulchre of Hoshang built by his successor Sultán Mehmúd) water drops in the height of summer to the astonishment of the ignorant. But, he adds, men of understanding know how to account for the 'water-drops'.⁵ Abul Fazl further notices that on Mándu Hill is found a species of tamarind whose fruit is as big as the coconut, the pulp of

¹ The maternal uncle of Naushirwán (A.D. 586-635) the Sassánian, Shirwán Shah was ruler of a district on Mount Caucasus. Al Masúdi, Arabic Text Prairies d'Ore, II. 4, and Hausrat-us-Safa, Persian Text, I. 259.

² Blochman's Ain-i-Akbari, 332.

³ Blochman's Ain-i-Akbari, 429.

⁴ Briggs' Farištah, IV. 279.

⁵ Gladwin's Ain-i-Akbari, II. 41.

Appendix II.

THE HILL FORT
OF MANDU.

HISTORY.

The Mughals,
A.D. 1570-1720.

Appendix II.
THE HILL-FORT
OF MANDU.
History.
 The Moghals,
 A.D. 1570-1720.

which is very white. This is the African baobab or *Adansonia digitata*, known in Hindustani as *goranli* or white tamarind, whose great fruit is about the size of a coconut. Its monster bachelors are still a feature of Māndu. Some among them look old enough to have been yielding fruit 300 years ago. Finally Abul Fazl refers to Māndu as one of twenty-eight towns where Akbar's copper coins were struck.¹ About twenty years later (A.D. 1610) the historian Farištah² thus describes the hill. The fort of Māndu is a work of solid masonry deemed to be one of the strongest fortifications in that part of the world. It is built on an insulated mountain thirty-eight miles in circumference.³ The place of a ditch round the fortification is supplied by a natural ravine so deep that it seems impossible to take the fort by regular approaches. Within the fort is abundance of water and forage, but the area is not large enough to grow a sufficient store of grain. The hill cannot be invested. The easiest access is from the north by the Dehli Gate. The south road with an entrance by the Tārāpūr Gate is so steep that cavalry can with difficulty be led up. Like Abul Fazl Farištah notices that, except during the rains, water constantly oozes from between the chinks in the masonry of the dome of Sultān Hoshang's tomb. He says the natives of India attribute this dripping to universal veneration for Sultān Hoshang, for whose death, they say, the very stones shed tears.

Except that copper coins continued to be minted and that it was nominally one of the four capitals of the empire, during the emperor Akbar's reign Māndu was practically deserted. The only traces of Akbar's presence on the hill are in two of the five inscriptions already quoted from the Nīlkanth pleasure-house, dated A.D. 1591 and A.D. 1600.

After about fifty years of almost complete neglect the emperor Jehāngir, during a few months in A.D. 1617, embled Māndu once more to justify its title of Shādīābād, the Abode of Joy. Early in March A.D. 1617, in the eleventh year of his reign, the emperor Jehāngir after spending four months in travelling the 189 miles from Ajmir by way of Ujjain, arrived at Nālchah on the main land close to the north of Māndu. The emperor notices that most of the forty-six marches into which the 189 miles were divided ended on the bank of some lake stream or great river in green grass and woody landscape, brightened by poppy fields. We came, he writes, enjoying the beauty of the country and shooting, never weary, as if we were moving from one garden to another.

Of the country round Nālchah Jehāngir says: ⁴ What can be written worthy of the beauty and the pleasantness of Nālchah. The neighbourhood is full of mango trees. The whole country is one unbroken and restful evergreen. Owing to its beauty I remained there three days. I granted the place to Kamāl Khān, taking it from Keshava Mārū, and I changed its name to Kamāl-pūr. I had frequent meetings with some of the wise men of this *jagat*, many of whom had assembled here. Nālchah is one of the best places in Mālwa. It has an extensive growth of vines, and among its mango groves and vineyards wander streamlets of water. I arrived at a time when, contrary to the northern climate, the vines were in blossom and fruit, and so great was the vintage that the meanest boor could eat grapes to his fill. The poppy was also in flower, and its fields delighted the eye with their many-coloured beauty.

¹ Blochmann's *Ain-i-Akbari*, 31.

² Briggs' *Farištah*, IV, 169, 181, 190.

³ Nineteen *kos*, taking the *kos* to be two miles.

⁴ The emperor Jehāngir's *Memoirs*, Pers. Text, Sir Sayad Ahmed's Edition, 173-202.

Of the emperor's entrance into Mándu the Memoirs have the following note: On Monday the 23rd of Isfandád, the last month of the Persian year, that is according to Sir Thomas Roe's account on the 6th of March 1617, when one quarter of the day had passed, I mounted my elephant, and, in good fortune and under kindly influences, made my happy entry into the fort of Mándu. About an hour (three *phadís*) later I entered the quarters which had been prepared to receive me. During my passage across the hill-top I scattered Rs. 1500. Before my arrival Abdul Karim the engineer had been sent by me to repair the buildings of the former kings of Mándu. While my fortunate standards were at Ajmir Abdul Karim repaired such of the old Mándu buildings as were fit to be repaired and built others anew. On the whole he had provided quarters for me, the like of which have probably never been built in any other place. Three *lakhs* of rupees were spent on these repairs and buildings. I wish it had been possible to construct buildings like these in all cities likely to be visited by royalty. This fortress, he continues, stands on the top of a hill about thirty-six miles (18 *kos*) in circumference. They say that before the days of Rája Bikramájít a king was reigning over these parts who was named Jaisingh Deva. In his time a man went to the forest to cut grass. When he brought the grass back he found that the blade of his sickle had turned yellow. The grasscutter in his surprise went to Mándu, an ironsmith. Mándu knew that the sickle was gold. He had heard that in these parts was to be found the philosopher's stone, whose touch turns iron and copper into gold. He told the grasscutter to lead him to the place where the sickle had turned yellow, and there he found the philosopher's stone. The smith presented this treasure to his king. The king amassed untold wealth, part of which he spent in building Mándu fortress which he completed in twelve years. At the request of the smith on most of the stones in the walls a mark was cut in the form of an anvil. Towards the close of his life, when king Jaisingh Deva withdrew his heart from the world, he called many Bráhmans together on the bank of the Nerbada close to Mándu. He gave each Bráhma a share of his wealth. And to the Bráhma in whom he had the greatest faith he gave the philosopher's stone. Enraged at the gift of a paltry stone the Bráhma threw it into the Nerbada, and there the philosopher's stone still lies. The emperor continues: On the 20th of *Farvardín*, five weeks after my arrival (11th April 1617) in reward for his services in repairing the buildings of Mándu, I conferred on my engineer Abdul Karim the command of 1200 horse, with the title of Maámúr Khán.

Mándu had for the emperor the strong attraction of abundance of game. Among numerous entries of *uliyál* or blue-bull shooting the following occur: On the 4th of the first month of *Farvardín* (16th March) the watchmen of the chase brought word that they had marked down a lion near the Sagar Lake, which is a construction of the ancient rulers of Mándu. I mounted and proceeded towards the lake. When the lion broke cover he attacked and wounded ten or twelve of the *Ahádis*^{*} and other men of my retinue. In the end I brought him down with three gun shots and saved God's creatures from his evil. On the 22nd of the same month (April 3rd, 1617) the watchmen brought news of a tiger. I mounted forthwith and despatched him with three bullets. On the 7th of *Ardeh Bihisht* (April 18th, 1617) the watchmen brought word that they had marked down four tigers. At one in the afternoon I started for the

Appendix II.

THE HILL FORT
OF MANDU,
HARWAR,
THE MUGHALS,
A.D. 1570-1724

* Literally single-men. The *Ahádis* were a corps of men who stood immediately under the emperor's orders. Blochman's *Ain-i-Akbari*, 20 note 3.

Appendix II.

THE HILL FORT
OF MANDU.

HISTORY.

The Maghals,
A.D. 1570-1720.

place with Nūr Jehán Begam. Nūr Jehán asked my leave to shoot the tigers with her gun. I said "Be it so." In a trice she killed these four tigers with six bullets. I had never seen such shooting. To shoot from the back of an elephant from within a closed *howdah* and bring down with six bullets four wild beasts without giving them an opportunity of moving or springing is wonderful. In acknowledgment of this capital marksmanship I ordered a thousand *ashrafis* (Rs. 4500) to be scattered¹ over Nūr Jehán and granted her a pair of ruby wristlets worth a lākh of rupees.²

Of the mangoes of Māndu Jehāngir says: In these days many mangoes have come into my fruit stores from the Dakhan, Burhānpur, Gujarāt, and the districts of Mālwa. This country is famous for its mangoes. There are few places the mangoes of which can rival those of this country in richness of flavour, in sweetness, in freedom from fibre, and in size.³

The rains set in with unusual severity. Rain fell for forty days continuously. With the rain were severe thunderstorms accompanied by lightning which injured some of the old buildings.⁴ His account of the beauty of the hill in July, when clear sunshine followed the forty days of rain, is one of the pleasantest passages in Jehāngir's Memoirs: What words of mine can describe the beauty of the grass and of the wild flowers! They clothe each hill and dale, each slope and plain. I know of no place so pleasant in climate and so pretty in scenery as Māndu in the rainy season. This month of July which is one of the months of the hot season, the sun being in Leo, one cannot sleep within the house without a coverlet, and during the day there is no need for a fan. What I have noticed is but a small part of the many beauties of Māndu. Two things I have seen here which I had seen nowhere in India. One of them is the tree of the wild plantain which grows all over the hill top, the other is the nest of the *manolah* or wagtail. Till now no bird-catcher could tell its nest. It so happened that in the building where I lodged we found a wagtail's nest with two young ones.

The following additional entries in the Memoirs belong to Jehāngir's stay at Māndu. Among the presents submitted by Mahābat Khān, who received the honour of kissing the ground at Māndu, Jehāngir describes a ruby weighing eleven *misikals*.⁵ He says: This ruby was brought to Ajmir last year by a Frankish jeweller who wanted two lākhs of rupees for it. Mahābat Khān bought it at Burhānpur for one lākh of rupees.⁶

On the 1st of *Tir*, the fourth month of the Persian year (15th May 1617), the Hindu chiefs of the neighbourhood came to pay their

¹ This scattering of gold silver or copper coin, called in Arabic and Persian *siehr*, is a common form of offering. The influence of the evil eye or other baneful influence is believed to be transferred from the person over whom the coin is scattered to the coin and through the coin to him who takes it.

² This feat of Nūr Jehán's drew from one of the Court poets the couplet:

Nūr Jehán gar-shāh be mirat samāi
Dar safi Muskan-e-māl shāh-e-Damāi.

Nūr Jehán the tiger-slayer's woman
Basks with men as the tiger-slaying woman.

Shirāfkan, that is tiger-slayer, was the title of Nūr Jehán's first husband Ali-Kāh Isfahān.

³ *Tuzuk-i-Jehāngiri Pers. Text*, 187.

⁴ *Tuzuk-i-Jehāngiri Pers. Text*, 182.

⁵ The *misikal* which was used in weighing gold was equal in weight to ninety-six barleycorns. Blochman's *Ain-i-Akbari*, 36.

⁶ *Tuzuk-i-Jehāngiri Pers. Text*, 186.

respects and present their tribute. The Hindu chief of Jitpūr in the neighbourhood of Māndu, through his evil fortune, did not come to kiss the threshold.¹ For this reason I ordered Fidaīkhān to pillage the Jitpūr country at the head of thirteen officers and four or five hundred matchlockmen. On the approach of Fidaīkhān the chief fled. He is now reported to regret his past conduct and to intend to come to Court and make his submission. On the 9th of Yār, the sixth month of the Persian calendar (late July, A.D. 1617), I heard that while raiding the lands of the chief of Jitpūr, Rūh-ul-lāh, the brother of Fidaīkhān, was slain with a lance in the village where the chief's wives and children were in hiding. The village was burned, and the women and daughters of the rebel chief were taken captives.²

The beautiful surroundings of the Sāgar lake offered to the elegant taste of Nūr Jahān a fitting opportunity for honouring the Shab-i-Barāī or Night of Jubilee with special illuminations. The emperor describes the result in these words: On the evening of Thursday the 19th of *Amardād*, the fifth month of the Persian year (early July, A.D. 1617), I went with the ladies of the palace to see the buildings and palaces on the Sāgar lake which were built by the old kings of Mānda. The 26th of *Amardād* (about mid-July) was the Shab-i-Barāī holiday. I ordered a jubilee or assembly of joy to be held on the occasion in one of the palaces occupied by Nūr Jahān Begam in the midst of the big lake. The nobles and others were invited to attend this party which was organized by the Begam, and I ordered the cup and other intoxicants with various fruits and minced meats to be given to all who wished them. It was a wonderful gathering. As evening set in the lanterns and lamps gleaming along the banks of the lake made an illumination such as never had been seen. The countless lights with which the palaces and buildings were ablaze shining on the lake made the whole surface of the water appear to be on fire.³

The Memoirs continue: On Sunday the 9th of Yār, the sixth Persian month (late July), I went with the ladies of the palace to the quarters of Asaf Khān, Nūr Jahān's brother, the second son of Mirza Ghīās Beg. I found Asaf Khān lodged in a glen of great beauty surrounded by other little vales and dells with waterfalls and running streamlets and green and shady mango groves. In one of these dells were from two to three hundred sweet pandanus or *kewda* trees. I passed a very happy day in this spot and got up a wine party with some of my lords-in-waiting, giving them bumpers of wine.⁴ Two months later (early September) Jahāngīr has the following entry⁵ regarding a visit from his eldest son and heir prince Khurram, afterwards the emperor Shah Jahān, who had lately brought the war in the Dakhan to a successful close. On the 8th of the month of *Māh* (H. 1026; according to Roe September 2nd, 1637), my son of exalted name obtained the good fortune of waiting upon me in the fort of Mānda after three-quarters and one *ghadi* of the day had passed, that is about half an hour after sunrise. He had been absent fifteen months and eleven days. After he had performed the ceremonies of kissing the ground and the *kuraish* or prostration, I called him up to my bay window or *jharokah*. In a transport of affection I could not restrain myself from getting up and taking him into my arms. The more

Appendix II.

THE HILL FORT
OF MANDU.

HISTORY.
The Mughals,
A.D. 1570-1720.

¹ *Tuzuk-i-Jahāngīr* Pers. Text, 195.² *Tuzuk-i-Jahāngīr* Pers. Text, 190.³ *Tuzuk-i-Jahāngīr* Pers. Text, 192-194.⁴ *Tuzuk-i-Jahāngīr* Pers. Text, 192.⁵ *Tuzuk-i-Jahāngīr* Pers. Text, 194-5.

Appendix II.

THE HILL FORT
OF MĀNDU.

HISTORY.

The Mughals,
A.D. 1570-1720.

I increased the measure of affection and honour the more humility and respect did he show. I called him near me and made him sit by me. He submitted a thousand *ankāṣis* (= Rs. 4500) and a thousand rupees as a gift or *mazar* and the same amount as sacrifice or *niṣṭā*. As there was not time for me to inspect all his presents he produced the elephant *Sarnāk*, the best of the elephants of Adil Khān of Bijapur. He also gave me a case full of the rarest precious stones. I ordered the military paymasters to make presents to his nobles according to their rank. The first to come was Khan Jehān, whom I allowed the honour of kissing my feet. For his victory over the Rāna of Chitor I had before granted to my fortunate child Kurram the rank of a commander of 20,000 with 10,000 horses. Now for his service in the Dakhan I made him a commander of 30,000 and 20,000 horse with the title of Shāh Jehān. I also ordered that henceforward he should enjoy the privilege of sitting on a stool near my throne, an honour which did not exist and is the first of its kind granted to anyone in my family. I further granted him a special dress. To do him honour I came down from the window and with my own hand scattered over his head as sacrifice a trayfull of precious stones as well as a large trayfull of gold.

Jehāngir's last Māndu entry is this : On the night of Friday in the month of Abān (October 24th, 1617) in all happiness and good fortune I marched from Māndu and halted on the bank of the lake at Naalchah.

Jehāngir's stay at Māndu is referred to by more than one English traveller. In March 1617, the Rev. Edward Terry, chaplain to the Right Honourable Sir T. Roe Lord Ambassador to the Great Moghul, came to Māndu from Burhānpūr in east Khāndesh.¹ Terry crossed a broad river, the Narbada, at a great town called Auchatapur (Akbarpur)² in the Nimār plain not far south of Māndu hill. The way up, probably by the Bhairav pass a few miles east of Māndu, seemed to Terry exceeding long. The ascent was very difficult, taking the carriages, apparently meaning coaches and wagons, two whole days.³ Terry found the hill of Māndu stuck round with fair trees that kept their distance so, one from and below the other, that there was much delight in beholding them from either the bottom or the top of the hill. From one side only was the ascent not very high and steep. The top was flat plain and spacious with vast and

¹ A Voyage to East India, 181. Terry gives April 1616, but Roe seems correct in saying March 1617. Compare *Wākīāt-i-Jehāngiri* in Elliot, VI, 551.

² Akbarpur lies between Dharampur and Walsar. Malcolm's Central India, I, 84 note.

³ Carriages may have the old meaning of things carried, that is baggage. The time taken favours the view that wagons or carts were forced up the hill. For the early seventeenth century use of carriages in its modern sense compare Terry (*Voyage*, 181). Of our wagons drawn with oxen . . . and other carriages we made a ring every night; also Dodsworth (1614), who describes a band of Rajputs near Baroda putting off two of his carriages (Kerr's Voyages, IX, 203); and Roe (1616), who journeyed from Ajmir to Māndu with twenty camels, four carts and two coaches (Kerr, IX, 308). Terry's carriages seem to be Roe's coaches, to which Dela Valle (A.D. 1623) Hakluyt's Edition, I, 71) refers as much like the Indian chariots described by Strabo (c.c. 50) covered with crimson silk fringed with yellow about the roof and the curtains. Compare Idrisi (A.D. 1100-1120, but probably from Al Istakhiri, A.D. 900: Elliot, I, 87). In all Sahravā or north Gujarat the only mode of carrying either passengers or goods is in chariots drawn by oxen with harness and traces under the control of a driver. When in 1610 Jehāngir left Ajmir for Māndu the English carriage presented to him by the English ambassador Sir Thomas Roe was allotted to the *Ḥātānah* Nūr Jehān Begam. It was driven by an English coachman. Jehāngir followed in the coach his own men had made in imitation of the English coach. Corrynt (1615, Crudities III, Letters from India, unpagged) calls the English chariot a gallant coach of 150 pounds price.

far-stretching woods in which were lions, tigers and other beasts of prey and many wild elephants. Terry passed through Māndu a few days' march across a plain and level country, apparently towards Dhār, where he met the Lord Ambassador Sir Thomas Roe, who had summoned Terry from Surat to be his chaplain. Sir Thomas Roe was then marching from Ajmir to Māndu with the Court of the emperor Jehāngir, whom Terry calls the Great King.

On the 3rd of March, says Roe, the Mughal was to have entered Māndu. But all had to wait for the good hour fixed by the astrologers. From the 6th of March, when he entered Māndu, till the 24th of October, the emperor Jehāngir, with Sir Thomas Roe in attendance, remained at Māndu.¹ According to Roe before the Mughal visited Māndu the hill was not much inhabited, having more ruins by far than standing houses.² But the moving city that accompanied the emperor soon overflowed the hill-top. According to Roe Jehāngir's own encampment was walled round half a mile in circuit in the form of a fortress, with high screens or curtains of coarse stuff, somewhat like Aras hangings, red on the outside, the inside divided into compartments with a variety of figures. This enclosure had a handsome gateway and the circuit was formed into various coils and bulwarks. The posts that supported the curtains were all surmounted with brass tops.³ Besides the emperor's encampment were the noblemen's quarters, each at an appointed distance from the king's tents, very handsome, some having their tents green, others white, others of mixed colours. The whole composed the most curious and magnificent sight Roe had ever beheld.⁴ The hour taken by Jehāngir in passing from the Delhi Gate to his own quarters, the two English miles from Roe's lodge which was not far from the Delhi Gate to Jehāngir's palace, and other reasons noted below make it almost certain that the Mughal's encampment and the camps of the leading nobles were on the open slopes to the south of the Sea Lake between Bāz Bahādur's palace on the east and Songad on the west. And that the palace at Māndu from which Jehāngir wrote was the building now known as Bāz Bahādur's palace.⁵ A few months before it reached Māndu the imperial camp had turned the whole valley of Ajmir into a magnificent city,⁶ and a few weeks before reaching Māndu at Thoda, about fifty miles south-east of Ajmir, the camp formed a settlement not less in circuit than twenty English miles, equalling in size almost any town in Europe.⁷ In the middle of the encampment were all sorts of shops so regularly disposed that all persons knew where to go for everything.

The demands of so great a city overtaxed the powers of the deserted Māndu. The scarcity of water soon became so pressing that the poor were commanded to leave and all horses and cattle were ordered off the hill.⁸ Of the scarcity of water the English traveller Corryat, who was then a guest of Sir Thomas Roe, writes: On the first day one of my Lord's people, Master Herbert, brother to Sir Edward Herbert, found a fountain which, if he had not done, he would have had to send ten course

Appendix II.

THE HILL FORT OF MANDU.

HISTORY.

The Mughals, A.D. 1570-1720.

¹ Kerr's Voyages, IX. 335; Wākiat-i-Jehāngiri in Elliot, VI. 377.² Roe writing from Ajmir in the previous year (29th August 1616) describes Māndu as a castle on a hill, where there is no town and no buildings. Kerr, IX. 267.³ Roe in Kerr's Travels, IX. 313.⁴ Roe in Kerr's Travels, IX. 314.⁵ Compare Wākiat-i-Jehāngiri in Elliot, VI. 377.⁶ Roe in Kerr's Travels, IX. 314.⁷ Roe in Kerr's Travels, IX. 321.⁸ Roe in Kerr's Travels, IX. 335.

Appendix II.

THE HILL FORT
OF MANDU.

HISTORY.

The Maghala,
A.D. 1570-1720.

(*kos*) every day for water to a river called Narbada that fallth into the Bay of Cambya near Branch. The custom being such that whatsoever fountain or tank is found by any great man in time of drought he shall keep it proper to his without interruption. The day after one of the king's Hadis (*Adadis*) finding the same and striving for it was taken by my Lord's people and bound.¹ Corryat adds: During the time of the great drought two Moor nobles daily sent ten camels to the Narbada and distributed the water to the poor, which was so dear they sold a little skin for 8 pias (one penny).²

Terry notices that among the piles of buildings that held their heads above ruin were not a few unfrequented mosques or Muhammadan churches. Though the people who attended the king were marvellously straitened for room to put their most excellent horses, none would use the churches as stables, even though they were forsaken and out of use. This abstinence seems to have been voluntary, as Roe's servants, who were sent in advance, took possession of a fair court with walled enclosure in which was a goodly temple and a tomb. It was the best in the whole circuit of Mandu, the only drawback being that it was two miles from the king's house.³ The air was wholesome and the prospect was pleasant, as it was on the edge of the hill.⁴ The emperor, perhaps referring rather to the south of the hill, which from the elaborate building and repairs carried out in advance by Abdul Karim seems to have been called the New City, gives a less deserted impression of Mandu. He writes (24th March 1617): Many buildings and relics of the old kings are still standing, for as yet decay has not fallen upon the city. On the 24th I rode to see the royal edifices. First I visited the Jāma Masjid built by Sultan Boshang Ghori. It is a very lofty building and erected entirely of hewn stone. Although it has been standing 180 years it looks as if built to-day. Then I visited the sepulchres of the kings and rulers of the Khilji dynasty, among which is the sepulchre of the eternally cursed Nāsir-ud-dīn.⁵ Sher Shāh to show his horror of Nāsir-ud-dīn, the father-slayer, ordered his people to beat Nāsir-ud-dīn's tomb with sticks, Jehāngir also kicked the grave. Then he ordered the tomb to be opened and the remains to be taken out and burnt. Finally, fearing the remains might pollute the eternal light, he ordered the ashes to be thrown into the Narbada.⁶

The pleasant outlying position of Roe's lodge proved to be open to the objection that out of the vast wilderness wild beasts often came, seldom returning without a sheep, a goat, or a kid. One evening a great lion leapt over the stone wall that encompassed the yard and snapt up the Lord Ambassador's little white neat shock, that is as Roe explains a small Irish mastiff, which ran out barking at the lion. Out of the ruins of the mosque and tomb Roe built a lodge,⁷ and here he passed the rains with his "family," including besides his secretary, chaplain, and cook twenty-three Englishmen and about sixty native servants, and during part of the time the sturdy half-crazed traveller Tom Coryate or Corryat.⁸ They had

¹ Corryat's *Credition*, III, Extracts (unpagcd). This Master Herbert was Thomas, brother of Sir Edward Herbert, the first Lord Herbert. It seems probable that this Thomas supplied his cousin Sir Thomas Herbert who was travelling in India and Persia in A.D. 1627 with his account of Mandu. See below pages 381-382.

² Corryat's *Credition*, III, Extracts (unpagcd).

³ Terry's *Voyage*, 182; Roe in Kerr, IX, 335. * Roe in Kerr, IX, 335.

⁴ Terry's *Voyage*, 182; Roe in Kerr, IX, 335. * Terry's *Voyage*, 182; Roe in Kerr, IX, 335.

⁵ Terry's *Voyage*, 182; Roe in Kerr, IX, 335. * Terry's *Voyage*, 182; Roe in Kerr, IX, 335.

⁶ Terry's *Voyage*, 182; Roe in Kerr, IX, 335. * Terry's *Voyage*, 182; Roe in Kerr, IX, 335.

⁷ Terry's *Voyage*, 182; Roe in Kerr, IX, 335. * Terry's *Voyage*, 182; Roe in Kerr, IX, 335.

⁸ Terry's *Voyage*, 182; Roe in Kerr, IX, 335. * Terry's *Voyage*, 182; Roe in Kerr, IX, 335.

their flock of sheep and goats, all necessaries belonging to the kitchen and everything else required for bodily use including bedding and all things pertaining thereto.¹ Among the necessaries were tables² and chairs, since the Ambassador refused to adopt the Mughal practice of sitting cross-legged on mats "like tailors on their shopboards." Roe's diet was dressed by an English and an Indian cook and was served on plate by waiters in red taffata cloaks guarded with green taffata. The chaplain wore a long black cassock, and the Lord Ambassador wore English habits made as light and cool as possible.³

On the 12th of March, a few days after they were settled at Mándu, came the festival of the Persian New Year. Jehángir held a great reception seated on a throne of gold bespangled with rubies emeralds and turquoises. The hall was adorned with pictures of the King and Queen of England, the Princess Elizabeth, Sir Thomas Smith and others, with beautiful Persian hangings. On one side, on a little stage, was a couple of women singers. The king commanded that Sir T. Roe should come up and stand beside him on the steps of the throne where stood on one side the Persian Ambassador and on the other the old king of Kandahár with whom Sir T. Roe ranked. The king called the Persian Ambassador and gave him some stones and a young elephant. The Ambassador knelt and knocked his head against the steps of the throne to thank him.⁴ From time to time during Terry's stay at Mándu, the Mughal, with his stout daring Persian and Tartarian horsemen and some grandees, went out to take young wild elephants in the great woods that environed Mándu. The elephants were caught in strong toils prepared for the purpose and were manned and made fit for service. In these hunts the king and his men also pursued lions and other wild beasts on horseback, killing some of them with their bows earlines and lances.⁵

The first of September was Jehángir's birthday. The king, says Corryal,⁶ was forty-five years old, of middle height, corpulent, of a seemly composition of body, and of an olive coloured skin. Roe went to pay his respects and was conducted apparently to Báz Bahádúr's Gardens to the east of the Rewa Pool. This tangled orchard was then a beautiful garden with a great square pond or tank set all round with trees and flowers and in the middle of the garden a pavilion or pleasure-house under which hung the scales in which the king was to be weighed.⁷ The scales were of beaten gold set with many small stones as rubies and turquoises. They were hung by chains of gold, large and massive, but strengthened by silk ropes. The beam and tressels from which the scales hung were covered with thin plates of gold. All round were the nobles of the court seated on rich carpets waiting for the king. He came laden with diamonds rubies pearls and other precious vanities, making a great and glorious show. His sword targets and throne were corresponding in riches and splendour. His head neck breast and arms above the elbows and at the wrist were decked with chains of precious stones, and every finger had two or three rich rings. His legs were as it were fettered with chains of diamonds and rubies as large as walnuts and amazing pearls. He got into the scales crouching or sitting on his legs like a woman. To counterpoise his weight bags said to contain Rs. 2000 in

Appendix II.

THE HILL FORT
OF MANDU.

History.

The Mughals,
A.D. 1570-1720¹ Terry's Voyage, 183. ² Terry's Voyage, 186, 193. ³ Terry's Voyage, 198, 205.⁴ Roe in Kerr's Voyages, IX, 337; Pinkerton's Voyages, VIII, 25.⁵ Terry's Voyage, 103.⁶ Corryal's Crudities, III, Letter 2, Extracts unpagcd.⁷ Roe in Kerr's Voyages, IX, 342.

Appendix II.

THE HILL, FORT
OF MANDU.

HISTORY.

The Mughals;
A.D. 1570-1720.

silver were changed six times. After this he was weighed against bags containing gold jewels and precious stones. Then against cloth of gold, silk stuffs, cotton goods, spices, and all commodities. Last of all against meal, butter, and corn. Except the silver, which was reserved for the poor, all was said to be distributed to Banias (that is Brahmans).¹ After he was weighed Jehāngir ascended the throne and had basons of nuts almonds and spices of all sorts given him. These the king threw about, and his great men scrambled prostrate on their bellies. Roe thought it not decent that he should scramble. And the king seeing that he stood aloof reached him a bason almost full and poured the contents into his cloak.² Terry adds: The physicians noted the king's weight and spoke flatteringly of it. Then the Mughal drank to his nobles in his royal wine and the nobles pledged his health. The king drank also to the Lord Ambassador, whom he always treated with special consideration, and presented him with the cup of gold curiously enamelled and crusted with rubies turkesses and emeralds.³

Of prince Khurram's visit Roe writes: A month later (October 2nd) the proud prince Khurram, afterwards the emperor Shāh Jehān (A.D. 1626-1657), returned from his glorious success in the Dakhn, accompanied by all the great men, in wondrous triumph.⁴ A week later (October 9th), hearing that the emperor was to pass near his lodging on his way to take the air at the Nerbada, in accordance with the rule that the masters of all houses near which the king passes must make him a present, Roe took horse to meet the king. He offered the king an Atlas neatly bound, saying he presented the king with the whole world. The king was pleased. In return he praised Roe's lodge, which he had built out of the ruins of the temple and the ancient tomb, and which was one of the best lodges in the camp.⁵ Jehāngir left Māndu on the 24th October. On the 30th when Roe started the hill was entirely deserted.⁶

Terry mentions only two buildings at Māndu. One was the house of the Mughal, apparently Bāz Bahādur's palace, which he describes as large and stately, built of excellent stone, well squared and put together, taking up a large compass of ground. He adds: We could never see how it was contrived within, as the king's wives and women were there.⁷ The only other building to which Terry refers, he calls "The Grot." Of the grot, which is almost certainly the pleasure-house Nilkanth, whose Persian inscriptions have been quoted above, Terry gives the following details: To the Mughal's house, at a small distance from it, belonged a very curious grot. In the building of the grot a way was made into a

¹ Roe in Kerr's Travels, IX, 340-343.² Roe in Kerr's Travels, IX, 344.³ Terry's Voyage, 377. Terry's details seem not to agree with Roe's who states (Kerr's Voyages, IX, 344 and Pinkerton's Voyages, VIII, 37): I was invited to the drinking, but desired to be excused because there was no avoiding drinking, and their liquors are so hot that they burn out a man's very bowels. Perhaps the invitation Roe declined was to a private drinking party after the public weighing was over.⁴ Roe in Kerr's Voyage, IX, 347; Elphinstone's History, 194. Kerr (IX, 347) gives September 2 but October 2 is right. Compare Pinkerton's Voyages, VIII, 39.⁵ Ruins of Māndu, 57. As the emperor must have passed out by the Dehli Gate, and as Roe's lodge was two miles from Bāz Bahādur's palace, the lodge cannot have been far from the Dehli Gate. It is disappointing that, of his many genial gossip entries Jehāngir does not devote one to Roe. The only reference to Roe's visit is the indirect entry (Wakīl-i-Jehāngir in Elliot, VI, 147) that Jehāngir gave one of his nobles a coach, apparently a copy of the English coach, with which, to Jehāngir's delight, Roe had presented him.⁶ Roe in Kerr's Voyages, IX, 355.⁷ Terry's Voyage, 180.

firm rock which showed itself on the side of the hill enrobed over with part of that rock. It was a place that had much beauty in it by reason of the curious workmanship bestowed on it and much pleasure by reason of its coolness.¹ Besides the fountain this grot has still one of the charmingly cool and murmuring scalloped rillstones where, as Terry says, water runs down a broad stone table with many hollows like to scallop shells, in its passage over the hollows making so pretty a murmur as helps to tie the senses with the bonds of sleep.

Shāh Jehān seems to have been pleased with Māndu. He returned in A.D. 1621 and stayed at Māndu till he marched north against his father in A.D. 1622.² In March A.D. 1623, Shāh Jehān came out of Māndu with 20,000 horse, many elephants, and powerful artillery, intending to fight his brother Shāh Parwā.³ After the failure of this expedition Shāh Jehān retired to Māndu.⁴ At this time (A.D. 1623) the Italian traveller Dela Valle ranks Māndu with Agra Lāhor and Ahmadābād, as the four capitals, each endowed with an imperial palace and court.⁵ Five years later the great general Khān Jehān Lodi besieged Māndu, but apparently without success.⁶ Khān Jehān Lodi's siege of Māndu is interesting in connection with a description of Māndu in Herbert's Travels. Herbert, who was in Gujarāt in A.D. 1626, says Māndu is seated at the side of a declining hill (apparently Herbert refers to the slope from the southern crest northwards to Sagar Lake and the Grot or Nilkanth) in which both for ornament and defence is a castle which is strong in being encompassed with a defensive wall of nearly five miles (probably *for* that is ten miles): the whole, he adds, heretofore had fifteen miles circuit. But the city later built is of less time yet fresher beauty, whether you behold the temples (in one of which are entombed four kings), palaces or fortresses, especially that tower which is elevated 170 steps, supported by massive pillars and adorned with gates and windows very observable. It was built by Khān Jehān, who there lies buried. The confusedness of these details shows that Herbert obtained them second-hand, probably from Corryat's Master Herbert on Sir T. Roe's staff.⁷ The new city of fresher

Appendix II.

THE HILL FOUNTAIN
AT MANDU.

HERBERT.

The Maghals,
A.D. 1576-1720.¹ Terry's Voyage, 121.² Wakiat-i-Jehāngiri in Elliot, VI, 353.³ Wakiat-i-Jehāngiri in Elliot, VI, 387.⁴ Elphinstone's History, 490-97. Compare Dela Valle (Hakluyt Edition, I, 177) writing in A.D. 1622, Sultan Khurram after his defeat by Jehāngir retired to Māndu.⁵ Dela Valle's Travels, Hakluyt Edition, I, 97.⁶ Elphinstone's History, 507.⁷ Herbert's Travels, 84. Corryat's Master Herbert was as already noticed named like the traveller Thomas. The two Thomases were distant relations, both being fourth in descent from Sir Richard Herbert of Colchrook, who lived about the middle of the fifteenth century. A further connection between the two families is the copy of complimentary verses "To my cousin Sir Thomas Herbert," signed Ch. Herbert, in the 1634 and 1665 editions of Herbert's Travels, which are naturally, though somewhat doubtfully, ascribed to Charles Herbert, a brother of our Master Thomas. It is therefore probable that after his return to England Sir Thomas Herbert obtained the Māndu details from Master Thomas who was himself a writer, the author of several poems and pamphlets. Corryat's tale how, during the water-famine at Māndu, Master Herbert annexed a spring or cistern, and then found a servant of the Great King who attempted to share in its use, shows admirable courage and resolution on the part of Master Thomas, then a youth of twenty years. The details of Thomas in his brother Lord Herbert's autobiography give additional interest to the hero of Corryat's tale of a Taak. Master Thomas was born in A.D. 1597. In 1610, when a page to Sir Edward Cecil and a boy of thirteen, in the German War especially in the siege of Jülich fifteen miles north-east of Aix-la-Chapelle, Master Thomas showed such forwardness as no man in that great army surpassed. On his voyage to India in 1617, in a fight with a great Portuguese carrack,

Appendix II.

THE HILL FORT
OF MANDU.
HISTORY.

The
Maráthás,
A.D. 1720-1820.

beauty is probably a reference to the buildings raised and repaired by Abdul Karim against Jehangir's coming, among which the chief seems to have been the palace now known by the name of Báz Bahádur. The tower of 170 steps is Mehmed Khilji's Tower of Victory, erected in A.D. 1443, the Khán Jehán being Mehmed's father, the great minister Khán Jehán Ázam Humáyún.

In A.D. 1658 a Rája Shivráj was commandant of Mándu.¹ No reference has been traced to any imperial visit to Mándu during Aurangzib's reign. But that great monarch has left an example of his watchful care in the rebuilding of the Álamgir or Aurangzib Gata, which guards the approach to the stone-crossing of the great northern ravine and bears an inscription of A.D. 1665, the eleventh year of Álamgir's reign. In spite of this additional safeguard thirty years later (A.D. 1696) Mándu was taken and the standard of Udáji Pavár was planted on the battlement.² The Maráthás soon withdrew and Málwa again passed under an imperial governor. In A.D. 1708 the Shia-loving emperor Bahádur Sháh I. (A.D. 1707-1712) visited Mándu, and there received from Ahmedábad a copy of the *Kutáb* written by Imám Áli Taki, son of Imám Músa Raza (A.D. 810-829), seventh in descent from Áli, the famous son-in-law of the Prophet, the first of Musalmán mystics. In A.D. 1717 Asaph Jah Nizám-ul-Mulk was appointed governor of Málwa and continued to manage the province by deputy till A.D. 1721. In A.D. 1722 Rája Girdhar Bahádur, a Nágari Brahman, was made governor and remained in charge till in A.D. 1724 he was attacked and defeated by Chinnáji Pandit and Udáji Pavár.³ Rája Girdhar was succeeded by his relation Dín Bahádur, whose successful government ended in A.D. 1732, when through the secret help of the local chiefs Malháráol Holkar led an army up the Bhairav pass, a few miles east of Mándu, and at Tírellah, between Amjhera and Dhár, defeated and slew Dín Bahádur. As neither the next governor Muhammad Khán Bangash nor his successor Rája Jai Singh of Jaipur were able to oust the Maráthás, their success was admitted in A.D. 1734 by the appointment of Peshwa Bájráo (A.D. 1720-1740) to be governor of Málwa. On his appointment (A.D. 1734) the Peshwa chose Anand Ráo Pavár as his deputy. Anand Ráo shortly after settled at Dhár, and since A.D. 1734 Mándu has continued part of the territory of the Pavárs of Dhár.⁴ In A.D. 1805 Mándu sheltered the heroic Mína Báí during the birth-time of her son Rámchandra Ráo Pavár, whose state was saved from the clutches of

Captain Joseph, in command of Herbert's ship *Globe*, was killed. Thomas took Joseph's place, forced the carrack aground, and so riddled her with shot that she never floated again. To his brother's visit to India Lord Herbert refers as a year spent with the merchants who went from Surat to the Great Moghal. After his return to England Master Thomas distinguished himself at Algiers, capturing a vessel worth £1800. In 1622, when Master Thomas was in command of one of the ships sent to fetch Prince Charles (afterwards King Charles I.) from Spain, during the return voyage certain Low Countrymen and Dunkirkers, that is Dutch and Spanish vessels, offended the Prince's dignity by fighting in his presence without his leave. The Prince ordered the fighting ships to be separated; whereupon Master Thomas, with some other ships got between the fighters on either side, and shot so long that both Low Countrymen and Dunkirkers were glad to desist. Afterwards at divers times Thomas fought with great courage and success with divers men in single fight, sometimes hurting and disarming his adversary, sometimes driving him away. The end of Master Thomas was sad. Finding his profits of himself undervalued he retired into a private and melancholy life, and after living in this sullen humour for many years, he died about 1642 and was buried in London in St. Martin's near Charing Cross.

¹ Khán Khán in Elliot, VII. 218.

² Malcolm's Central India, I. 78.

³ Malcolm's Central India, I. 64.

⁴ Malcolm's Central India, I. 100.

Holkar and Sindhis by the establishment of British overlordship in A.D. 1817.¹

In A.D. 1820 Sir John Malcolm² describes the hill-top as a place of religious resort occupied by some mendicants. The holy places on the hill are the shrine of Hoshang Ghorī, whose guardian spirit still scares barrenness and other disease fiends³ and the Rewa or Narisada Pool, whose holy water, according to common belief, prevents the dreaded return of the spirit of the Hindu whose ashes are strewn on its surface, or, in the refined phrase of the Brahman, enables the dead to lose self in the ocean of being.⁴ In A.D. 1820 the Jāmā Mosque, Hoshang's tomb, and the palaces of Bān Bahādur were still firm remains, though surrounded with jungle and fast crumbling to pieces.⁵ In A.D. 1827 Colonel Briggs says⁶: Perhaps no part of India so abounds with tigers as the neighbourhood of the once famous city of Māndu. The capital now deserted by man is overgrown by forest and from being the seat of luxury, elegance, and wealth, it has become the abode of wild beasts and is resorted to by the few Europeans in that quarter for the pleasure of destroying them. Instances have been known of tigers being so bold as to carry off troopers riding in the ranks of their regiments. Twelve years later (A.D. 1839) Mr. Fergusson⁷ found the hill a vast uninhabited jungle, the rank vegetation tearing the buildings of the city to pieces and obscuring them so that they could hardly be seen.⁸ Between A.D. 1842 and 1852 tigers are described as prowling among the regal rooms, the half-savage marauding Bhil as eating his meal and feeding his cattle in the cloisters of its sanctuaries and the insidious *pīpāl* as levelling to the earth the magnificent remains.⁹ So favourite a tiger retreat was the Jahāz Palace that it was dangerous to venture into it unarmed. Close to the very huts of the poor central village, near the Jāmā Mosque, cattle were frequently seized by tigers. In the south tigers came nightly to drink at the Sagar lake. Huge bonfires had to be burnt to prevent them attacking the houses.¹⁰ In A.D. 1883 Captain Eastwick wrote: At Māndu the traveller will require some armed men, as tigers are very numerous and dangerous. He will do well not to have any dogs with him, as the panthers will take them even from under his bed.¹¹ If this was true of Māndu in A.D. 1883—and is not as seems likely the repetition of an old-world tale—the last ten years have wrought notable changes. Through the interest His Highness Sir Anand Rao Pāvār, K.C.S.I., C.I.E., the present Mahārāja of Dhār takes in the old capital of his state, travelling in Māndu is now as safe and easier than in many, perhaps than in most, outlying districts. A phaeton can drive across the northern ravine-moat through the three gateways and along the hill-top, at least as far south as the Sea Lake. Large stretches of the level are cleared and tilled, and herds of cattle graze free from the dread of wild beasts. The leading buildings have been saved from their ruinous tree-growth, the underwood has been cleared, the marauding Bhil has settled to tillage, the tiger, even the panther, is nearly

Appendix II.

THE HILL FORT
OF MANDU.
HISTORY,
Notices,
A.D. 1820-1893.

¹ Malcolm's Central India, I. 106.

² Central India, II. 503.

³ Ruins of Māndu, 43: March 1852 page 34.

⁴ Ruins of Māndu, 45: March 1853 page 34.

⁵ Malcolm's Central India, II. 503.

⁶ Briggs' *Panjabiah*, IV. 255 note 7.

⁷ Indian Architecture, 541.

⁸ Ruins of Māndu, 9.

⁹ Ruins of Māndu, 9.

¹⁰ Ruins of Māndu, 13, 25, 35. Some of these extracts seem to belong to a Bombay Sahibdar, who was at Māndu about A.D. 1842, and some to Captain Claudius Harris, who visited the hill in April 1852. Compare Ruins of Māndu, 34.

¹¹ Murray's Handbook of the Panjab, 118.

Appendix II.
THE HILL FORT
OF MANDU,
HISTORY.
Notices,
A.D. 1820-1893.

as rare as the wild elephant, and finally its old wholesomeness has returned to the air of the hill-top.

This sketch notices only the main events and the main buildings. Even about the main buildings much is still doubtful. Many inscriptions, some in the puzzling interlaced *Tughra* character, have still to be read. They may bring to light traces of the Mándu kings and of the Mughal emperors, whose connection with Mándu, so far as the buildings are concerned, is still a blank. The ruins are so many and so widespread that weeks are wanted to ensure their complete examination. It may be hoped that at no distant date Major Delassenn, the Political Agent of Dhár, whose opportunities are not more special than his knowledge, may be able to prepare a complete description of the hill and of its many ruins and writings.

MARÁTHA HISTORY

OF

GUJARÁT:

A.D. 1760-1819.

BY

J. A. BAINES Esquire, C.S.I.,

LATE OF H.M.'S BOMBAY CIVIL SERVICE.

[CONTRIBUTED IN 1879.]



HISTORY OF GUJARAT.

MARÁTHA PERIOD.

A.D. 1760-1819.

It will be evident from what has been related in the Musalmán portion of this history that long before 1760, the Maráthás had a firm foothold in Gujarát, and were able to dictate to the local chiefs the policy of the Dakhan Court. Long before 1819 too, Marátha influence was on the wane before the rising fortunes of the British. Between these two dates however is comprised the whole or nearly the whole of the period during which the Maráthás were virtually paramount in Gujarát. From each of these two dates the political history took a new departure, and on this account they serve respectively to denote the starting point and terminus of Marátha supremacy. Most of what took place before 1760 is so interwoven with the interests and intrigues of the Muhammadan delegates of the court of Dehli that it has been fully described in the history of the Musalmán Period. It is however necessary, in order to trace the growth of Marátha power, to briefly set forth in a continuous narrative the events in which this race was principally concerned, adding such as transpired independently of Musalmán politics. This task is rendered easier by the very nature of Marátha policy, which has left little to be recorded of its action in Gujarát beyond the deeds and fortunes of its initiators and their adherents.

The connection of the Maráthás with Gujarát can be divided by the chronicler into the following periods. First, the time of predatory inroads from 1664 to 1743, before the leaders of these expeditions had permanently established themselves within the province. Secondly, what may be termed the mercenary period, when the Maráthás partly by independent action, but far more by a course of judicious interference in the quarrels of the Muhammadan officials and by loans of troops, had acquired considerable territorial advantages. Towards the end of this period, as has been already seen, their aid was usually sufficient to ensure the success of the side which had managed to secure it, and at last the capital itself was claimed and held by them. Then came the time of domination, from 1760 to 1801, during which period the Gaikwár influence was occasionally greater than that of the Peshwa. From 1802, internal dissensions at the courts of Poona and Baroda weakened the hold the Maráthás had on the province, and the paramount power had to all intents and purposes passed over to the British long before the downfall of Bájiráv Peshwa and the final annexation of his rights and territory in 1819.

THE
MARÁTHÁS,
A.D. 1760-1819.

THE
MARÁTHAS,
A.D. 1760-1810.

Śivāji's First
Inroad,
1664.

Shortly after, when the Gáikwár made over to the British the work of collecting the tribute from Káthiāvāḍa, Marátha supremacy came to an end.

The first Marátha force that made its appearance in Gujarát was led there early in 1661 by Śivāji. This leader was at the time engaged in a warfare with the Mughals, which, however desultory, required him to keep up a much larger force than could be supported out of the revenues of his dominions. He therefore looked to plunder to supply the deficiency, and Surat, then the richest town of Western India, was marked down by him as an easy prey. His mode of attack was cautious. He first sent one Bahirji Náik to spy out the country and report the chances of a rich booty, whilst he himself moved a force up to Junnar on pretence of visiting some forts in that direction recently acquired by one of his subordinates. On receiving a favourable report from Bahirji, Śivāji gave out that he was going to perform religious ceremonies at Násik, and taking with him 4000 picked horsemen, he marched suddenly down the Gháts and through the Dáng jungles, and appeared before Surat. There he found an insignificant garrison, so he rested outside the city six days whilst his men plundered at their leisure. On hearing of the tardy approach of a relieving force sent by the governor of Ahmedábád, Śivāji beat a retreat with all his booty to the stronghold of Ráygad. By the time the reinforcement reached Surat, the only trace of the invaders was the emptied coffers of the inhabitants. About the same time, or shortly after, the fleet which Śivāji had equipped at Alibág about two years before came up to the mouth of the gulf of Cambay and carried off one or two Mughal ships which were conveying to Makka large numbers of pilgrims with their rich oblations.¹

Śivāji's Second
Attack,
1670.

This insult to the Muhammadan religion was enough to incense the bigoted Aurangzeb, apart from the additional offences of the sack of Surat and the assumption in 1665 of royal insignia by Śivāji. He therefore sent an expedition to the Dakhan strong enough to keep the Maráthas for some time away from Gujarát. One of Śivāji's officers, however, seems to have attacked a part of the Surat district in 1666, and to have got off safely with his spoils. In 1670, Śivāji again descended upon that city with about 15,000 men. The only serious resistance he experienced was, as before, from the English factors. He plundered the town for three days, and only left on receiving some information about the Mughals' movements in the Dakhan, which made him fear lest he should be intercepted on his way back to the country about the Gháts.

1671.

Śivāji left a claim for twelve lákhs of rupees to be paid as a guarantee against future expeditions. It is possible, however, that as he does not appear to have taken any immediate steps to recover this sum, the demand was made only in accordance with Marátha policy.

¹ Surat was known as Báb-ul-makkah or the Gate of Makka on account of its being the starting place of the ships annually conveying the Muhammadan pilgrims of India to the shrine of their Prophet.

which looked upon a country once overrun as tributary, and assumed a right to exercise paramount authority over it by virtue of the completed act of a successful invasion. In 1671 the Maráthas fleet was ordered to sail up the gulf and plunder Broach, and it is probable that Śiváji intended at the same time to levy tribute from Surat, but the whole expedition was countermanded before the ships sailed.

The conduct of the military authorities in Gujarát with regard to this expedition of 1670 was such as to render it highly probable that the Mughal leaders were in complicity with the Maráthas in order to gain the favour and support of their leader. Shortly before Śiváji's arrival there had been a large garrison in Surat, apparently kept there by the governor, who suspected that some attempt on the town would soon be made. This garrison was withdrawn before Śiváji's attack, and almost immediately after his departure 5000 men were sent back again. The commanders of the Mughal army in the Dakhan were Jasvant Singh the Ráhtor chief of Jodhpur and prince Muazzam. Jasvant Singh had been viceroy of Gujarát from A.D. 1659 to 1662, and in A.D. 1671 shortly after Śiváji's second expedition was re-appointed to that post for three years. He had, moreover, been accused of taking bribes from Śiváji during the operations in the Dakhan. Prince Muazzam, again, had every reason for wishing to secure to himself so powerful an ally as Śiváji in the struggle for the imperial crown that took place, as a rule, at every succession. Aurangzeb, reasoning from his own experiences as a son, refused to allow a possible heir to his throne to become powerful at court; and accordingly sent him against Śiváji with an army quite inadequate for such operations. It is therefore not unreasonable to suppose that if there had not been some previous understanding between Śiváji and the Mughal leaders, the troops that were known to be within easy reach of Surat would have been found strong and numerous enough either to have repulsed him altogether or at least to have prevented the three days' sack of the city.

In A.D. 1672 Śiváji took some of the small forts to the south of Surat, such as Párnera and Bagváda, now in the Párdi sub-division of the Surat district, whilst Moro Trimal got possession of the large fort of Sâler in Bâglán, which guarded one of the most frequented passes from the Dakhan into Gujarát. The Maráthas were thus able to command the routes along which their expeditions could most conveniently be despatched.

No further incursion was made till 1675, in which year a Marátha force first crossed the Narbada. On the resumption of hostilities between Śiváji and the Mughals, Hasáji Mohite, who had been made Senápati, with the title of Hambirráv, marched up the North Konkan, and divided his army into two forces near Surat. One portion plundered towards Burhánpur, the other commanded by himself plundered the Broach district. Ten years later a successful expedition was made against Broach itself, either preconcerted or

THE
MARÁTHAS,
A.D. 1700-1810.

Sâler Taken,
1672.

The Narbada
Crossed,
1675.

THE
MARÁTHAS,
A.D. 1760-1819.

Raids
by Dabhdáde,
1699.

1700-1704.

1705.

1706-1711.

1713.

Dabhdáde,
1716.

actually led by a younger son of Aurangzeb, who had taken refuge with the Maráthas. Broach was plundered, and the booty safely carried off before the local force could get near the invaders. Gujarát was now left free from inroad for some fourteen years, probably because the attention of the Marátha leaders was concentrated on their quarrels in the Dakhan.

In A.D. 1699 Rám Rája appointed one of his most trusted officers, Khanderáv Dabhdáde, to collect in Báglán the *chaouth*¹ and *sardesh-mukhi* imposts which had by that time become regularly instituted. This chief, whose name was afterwards so intimately connected with Gujarát, not only collected all that was due to his master from the village officers in Báglán, but also made an incursion into the Surat districts on his own account. Between 1700 and 1704 Khanderáv attempted two expeditions, but was foiled by the vigilance of the Mughal authorities. In 1705, however, he made a raid on a large scale and got safely across the Narbada, where he defeated two Muhammadan detachments sent against him, and got back to Sálér with his booty. Khanderáv now kept bodies of troops constantly hovering on the outskirts of Gujarát and along the road to Burhánpur. He himself led several expeditions into the Ahmedábád territory, and is said to have once got as far as Sorath in the peninsula, where however he was repelled by the Musalman governor. In 1711, again he was severely defeated by the Mughals near Anklesvar in the Broach district, and had to withdraw to the borders of Khándesh.

In 1713 some treasure was being conveyed from Surat to Aurangábád escorted by a large force under Muhammad Tahírái. The party was attacked in the jungles east of Surat and the treasure carried off. Just before this, Sarbuland Khán, the deputy viceroy, on his way to take up his office at Ahmedábád, was attacked and robbed in the wilds of Ságghara on the north bank of the Táptí. As Khanderáv had a short while previous to these occurrences taken up his position near Nándod² in the Rájpipla territory, it is probably to him or to his subordinates that these raids are to be attributed. He managed by a system of outposts to cut off communication between Surat and Burhánpur, except for those who had paid him a fee for safe conduct. If this charge was evaded or resisted, he appropriated one-fourth of the property that the traveller was conveying up country.

As the Burhánpur road was one of those most frequented by both pilgrims and merchants, the Delhi authorities were obliged, in 1716, to organize an expedition against Dabhdáde. The leader of the force was one Zulfikar Beg, an officer inexperienced in Marátha warfare. Dabhdáde found little difficulty in decoying him into a mountainous country, and there completely defeated him with the usual Marátha accompaniment of plunder.

¹ *Sardeshmukhi* or ten per cent. on the revenue. The *chaouth* was nominally one-fourth, but both these claims were fluctuating in their proportions to the total revenue.

² Now the capital of the Raja of Rájpipla.

Finding himself once more in the Dakhan, Khanderáv Dábháde took the opportunity of rejoining the court at Sátára, from which he had long been absent. He was lucky enough to arrive just as the Senápati Manáji Morár had failed on an important expedition and was consequently in disgrace. Rája Sháhu, pleased with Khanderáv's recent success against the Delhi troops, divested Manáji of the title of Senápati, and bestowed it upon the more fortunate leader.

Khanderáv remained away from Gujarát for three years, accompanying, meanwhile, Báláji Vishvanáth the Peshwa to Dehli, where the latter was engaged in negotiations for the confirmation of the Marátha rights to *chautá* and other tribute from certain districts in the Dakhan.

It is evident that at this time there was no definite claim to tribute from Gujarát on the part of the Marátha government; for in spite of the intrigues of Báláji and the weakness of the court party at Delhi no concessions were obtained with regard to it, although the Marátha dues from other parts of the country were fully ratified. The grounds on which Báláji demanded the tribute from Gujarát were that Sháhu would thereby gain the right to restrain the excesses of Marátha freebooters from the frontier and would guarantee the whole country against irregular pillage. The argument was a curious one, considering that the most troublesome and notorious freebooter of the whole tribe was at the elbow of the envoy, who was so strenuously pleading for the right to suppress him. It is probable that Báláji foresaw that Khanderáv's newly acquired rank would take him for a time from Bágán to the court, so that meanwhile an arrangement could be made to prevent the growth of any powerful chief in the Gujarát direction who might interfere with the plans of the central government. The Marátha statesman was as anxious to ensure the subordination of distant feudatories as the Mughals to secure the freedom of the Ghát roads to the coast.

In the redistribution of authority carried out about this time by Báláji Vishvanáth, the responsibility of collecting the Marátha dues¹ from Gujarát and Bágán was assigned to Khanderáv as Senápati or commander-in-chief; but as these dues were not yet settled, at least as regards the country below the Gháts, Khanderáv seems to have remained with the Peshwa in the field.

At the battle of Bálápur, fought against the Nizám-ul-Mulk, one of the officers of Khanderáv, by name Dámáji Gáikwár, so distinguished himself that the Senápati brought his conduct prominently to the notice of Rája Sháhu. The latter promoted Dámáji to be second in command to Khanderáv with the title of Shamsheer Bahádur, which had been formerly borne by one of the Atole family in 1692. This is the first mention of the present ruling family of Baroda. Before many months both Khanderáv and Dámáji died. The former was succeeded by his son Trimbakráv, on whom his father's title was conferred. Piláji, nephew of Dámáji, was confirmed in his uncle's

THE
MARÁTHAS.
A.D. 1700-1818.

Dábháde
Senápati.

The Peshwa's
Negotiations,
1717.

Dámáji Gáikwár,
1720.

¹ *Chauth* and *Sardeshmukhi* as settled in 1692.

THE
MARÁTHAS,
A.D. 1760-1819.

1723.

Marátha Tribute,
1723.

Kantáji Kadam.

Marátha
Disensions,
1723.

honours and retired to Gujarát. As soon as he could collect a sufficiently strong force, he attacked the Surat district and defeated the Musalmán commander close to the city itself. After extorting from him a handsome sum as ransom, Piláji returned eastwards. He selected Songad,¹ a fort about fifty miles east of Surat, as his headquarters, and from thence made continual excursions against the neighbouring towns. He once attacked Surat, but although he defeated the Mughal leader, he seems to have contented himself with contributions levied from the adjacent country, and not to have entered the town. Piláji soon obtained possession of some strongholds in the Rájipla country between Nánded and Ságbara, which he fortified, as Khunderáv Dábháde had formerly done. Here he resided as representative of the Senápati, whose family had removed for a while to the Dakhan. The tribute collected from Bágán and Gujarát was supposed to be transmitted by Piláji to the royal treasury through the Peshwa; but there is no record of these dues having been levied with any regularity or even fixed at any special amount. Whilst Trimbakráv was taking an active part in the affairs of his royal patron in the Dakhan, Piláji occupied himself in sedulously cultivating the goodwill of the border tribes surrounding his residence in Gujarát.

The year 1723 is noteworthy as being the date of the first imposition of the regular Marátha demand of one-fourth, *chaauth*, and one-tenth, *sardeshmukhi*, of the revenue of Gujarát. Whilst Piláji was directing his attacks against Surat and the south of the province another of Rája Sháhu's officers, who had been sent up towards Málwa, entered Gujarát by the north-east, and after ravaging the country round Dohad,² settled a fixed tribute on the district.

This officer, Kantáji Kadam Bándé, was soon after engaged by one of the parties struggling for the viceroyalty of Ahmedábad to bring his cavalry into the province and take part in the civil war. The leader of the opposite party, Rustam Ali, enlisted the services of Piláji Gaikwár. The Nizám-ul-Mulk, whose influence in the Dakhan was very great, managed to detach Piláji from Rustam Ali's side. This was the easier, as Rustam had already defeated Piláji more than once in attacks by the latter against Surat, of which district Rustam was governor. There are two different accounts³ of what took place when the rival forces came into action, but both show clearly that the Marátha leaders acted on both sides with utter disregard of their agreements and looked only to plundering the Muhammadan camps whilst the soldiers were engaged in battle. After the defeat of Rustam, the two Marátha chiefs joined forces and proceeded to levy *chaauth*, of which the Mughal deputy had granted Piláji a share equal to that of his first ally Kantáji.

This division led to quarrels and at last to an open rupture between the two Marátha leaders, which was only patched up by the

¹ On the western skirts of the Dáng forests.

² Now in the British districts of the Panoh Mahals.

³ The Muhammadan account is given in the Musalmán portion of this history. Grant Duff's description differs considerably.

grant of the *chauth* north of the Mahi river to Kantáji and of that to the south to Piláji. The chief ground of quarrel seems to have been the relative position of the Gáikwár as agent for the Senápati, who had a right to collect all dues from Gujarát, and of Kantáji, who claimed superior rank as holding his commission direct from Rája Sháhu. On hearing of this dispute and the consequent partition of the Marátha tribute, Trimbakráv Dábháde himself hastened up to Cambay with an army, but effected nothing, and seems to have retired, leaving Piláji to look after his interests at Ahmedábád. Both the latter, however, and Kantáji soon after withdrew from Gujarát, but were within a short period encouraged to return by the success of a raid made by another leader, Antáji Bháskar, on the north-east district. They both joined Hamid Khán in his resistance to the new viceroy, but received several checks from the Muhammadan army, and after plundering again returned to their strongholds for the rainy season.

Next year they returned for the tribute and plundered as usual. The Peshwa Bájráv then opened for the first time direct negotiations with the viceroy of Gujarát. The rapid increase of the authority of the Bráhman ministers at the Rája's court in the Dakhan had aroused the jealousy of the Maráthanobles, amongst whom Trimbakráv Dábháde was one of the most influential. Bájráv, being fully aware of the fact, and having by this time acquired from the Rája the power of acting with foreign powers independently of the throne, determined to undermine Trimbakráv's authority in Gujarát by aiming at the rights said to have been formally granted to him by Hamid Khán over the country south of the Mahi. He therefore applied to the viceroy for a confirmation of the right to levy *chauth* and *sardesh-mukhi* over the whole country, on condition that he would protect it from the inroads of Kantáji, Piláji, and other irresponsible freebooters. The viceroy had still some resources left at his disposal and was in hopes that his repeated applications to Dehli for assistance would soon meet with a favourable answer. He declined therefore to accede to Bájráv's proposals at once, on the grounds that the court at Dehli had repudiated the concessions made to Piláji and Kantáji by his predecessor's deputy. As however the depredations on the frontier caused serious injury both to the revenues and the people, he allowed the Peshwa to send a feudatory, Udáji Pavár, chief of Dhár, through the Mughal territories to operate against Piláji. The latter, who was fully aware of these negotiations, persuaded Kantáji to join him in expelling the agents of the Peshwa party, as it was clear that if Piláji's forces were scattered the way would be open for Udáji to attack Kantáji himself. The two then proceeded to Baroda and after a while drove back Udáji and occupied Baroda and Dabhoi. Here Piláji remained, and next year Kantáji succeeded in taking Chámpáner, thus advancing his posts nearer the centre of the province. With such an advantage gained these two chiefs instituted raids still more frequently than before. In these straits, and finding himself utterly neglected by the emperor, the viceroy re-opened negotiations with the Peshwa, who lost no time in sending his

THE
MARÁTHAS,
A.D. 1700-1819.

The Peshwa,
1728.

Cession
of Tribute,
1728.

The
MARÁTHÁS,
A.D. 1769-1819.

Cession
of Tribute,
1738.

brother Chimesaji Appa with an army through Gujarāt. Petlad and Dholka were plundered, but Kantaji was left undisturbed, so he took this opportunity of marching to Sorath, where he remained for some time extorting tribute. The viceroy agreed formally to cede the *sardeshmukhi* of the whole revenue, land and customs (with the exception of the port of Surat and the districts attached to it) and the *chauch* of the same district, with five per cent on the revenue from the city of Ahmedābād. Special clauses were inserted in the grant of *chauch* to suit the convenience of both the Peshwa and the viceroy. The latter stipulated that as few collectors as possible should be kept by the Marāthās in the districts under tribute, and that no extra demands beyond the one-fourth should be made. He also insisted that the percentage should be calculated on the actual collections and not on the *kamal* or highest sum recorded as having been collected.¹ The Marāthās were also to support the imperial authority and to keep up a body of horse. The Peshwa agreed (probably at his own request) to prevent all Marāthā subjects from joining disaffected chiefs, or other turbulent characters, thus receiving the right to suppress Kantaji and Pilaji, as well as the Bhils and Kolis with whom the latter was on such friendly terms.

After this agreement was executed, Bājirāv made over part of the *sardeshmukhi* to the Dābhāde, as well as the *mohāsa* or three-fourths of the *starājas* settled by Bālaji Vishvanāth. The consideration as set forth in the preamble of this agreement was the great improvement effected by the Marāthā rulers as regards the wealth and tranquillity of the Dakhan provinces. This was inserted either to give the transaction the appearance of having been executed on the part of the emperor (for otherwise the viceroy had no concern in the state of the Dakhan), or simply as an expression of gratitude on the part of this special viceroy towards the Marāthās who had just brought to terms the Nizām-ul-Mulk, his former rival and enemy. It is even probable that it was merely intended, as usual with such preambles, to veil the forced nature of the treaty.

The hostile movements of the Pratidinbhi in the Southern Marāthā Country induced the Peshwa to return to the Dakhan. Kantaji returned from Sorath to Chāmpāner, plundering part of the viceroy's camp on his way. Trimbakrāv Dābhāde, jealous of the interference of the Peshwa in the affairs of Gujarāt, began to intrigue with other chiefs to overturn the power of the Brāhman ministers.

Coalition against
the Peshwa,
1730.

As soon as Nizām-ul-Mulk became aware of this discontent on the part of Trimbakrāv, of whose power he was well informed, he proposed to assist him by an attack on the Peshwa from the east, whilst the Marāthās operated in another direction. Trimbakrāv was successful in his overtures with Pilaji Gāikwār, the Bānde, the Pavāra, and a few other chiefs resident in Khāndesh or the north Dakhan. The troops sent by them to join his standard soon amounted

¹ The Marāthā practice was to base their demands on the standard or *tunkha* assessment (which was seldom, if ever collected), so that by this means they evaded all possibility of claims against them for over-collections.

to 35,000 men, who were collected in Gujarát. He then gave out that he was bent on rescuing the Marátha Rája from the thralldom in which he was being kept by the Bráhmans. The Peshwa, who had discovered the intercourse between Trimbakráv and the Nizám, proclaimed this treason on the part of the Dábháde as a royal officer, and stated that the malecontents were only planning the partition of the inheritance of Shiváji between the Rája of Kolhápur and themselves. As soon as he found the Nizám's troops were on the march, he collected his picked men and advanced on the Dábháde in Gujarát.

The Peshwa's army was inferior in numbers but consisted of better trained men. He closed at once with the allies near Dabhoi, and easily defeated the undisciplined forces of the Parárs and Bándes. The Dábháde's army, however, had more experience of regular warfare and made a stand. But a stray shot killed Trimbakráv, as he was endeavouring to rally the forces of his allies, and as usual in such engagements, the loss of the leader disheartened the army. Utter confusion ensued, in which many of the nobles fell, others ran away, and the Peshwa, without the necessity of pushing further his advantage, made good his retreat to the Dakhan. The Nizám, who was in pursuit, only managed to capture some of the baggage with the rear guard as it was crossing the Tápti near Surat.¹

Safe again in the Dakhan, the Peshwa at once began negotiations with both the Nizám and the adherents of Trimbakráv-Dábháde. He recognized the rights of the former to some possessions in Gujarát independent of the viceroy of Ahmedábád, and agreed to further his designs of severing the Dakhan from the possessions of the emperor. He conciliated the Dábháde family by establishing at Poona an annual distribution of food and presents to Bráhmans such as had formerly been the practice in the native village of Khandaráv.² This institution was known as Dakshiná.

Bájráv acquiesced also in the general tendency amongst Maráthas of all offices to become hereditary, and conferred the title of Senápati on Yeshvantráv the minor son of the deceased Trimbakráv. The widow Umábái became guardian, and Piláji Gaikwár deputy or *mutálik* in Gujarát. This latter appointment seems to have been made by the Peshwa and not by the Dábháde, for Piláji received at the same time a new title, namely that of *Sená Kháde Khel* or commander of the special band or perhaps the household brigade. He was also bound on behalf of the Senápati to respect the Peshwa's rights in Málwa and Gujarát, and to pay half the collections from the territory he administered to the royal treasury through the minister. A provision was also inserted with regard to future acquisitions. This reciprocal agreement was executed at the special command of the Marátha Rája Sháhu, who had not yet quite abrogated his authority in favour of the Peshwa. Piláji after these negotiations retired to Gujarát.

THE
MARATHAS,
A.D. 1760-1819.

Defeat of the
Allies,
1761.

¹ At Gaiz about twelve miles above Surat in the territory of the Gaikwar.

² Talegaon is the north-west of Poona, now a station on the railway to Bombay.

The
MARATHAS,
A.D. 1760-1819,
Assassination of
Pilāji Gaikwār,
1732.

1733.

Gaikwars Fecure
Baroda,
1734.

The Marāthas
Deputy
Governor,
1736.

Ahmedābād
Riots,
1738.

His influence amongst the Bhils and other troublesome races dwelling in the wild parts of the eastern frontier made Pilāji an object of hatred and fear to the Mughal viceroy, who had him assassinated by one of his adherents whilst the latter was pretending to whisper some important and confidential news in Pilāji's ear. This event took place at Dākor in the Kaira district. The followers of the Gaikwār slew the assassin and retired south of the Mahi. They were driven by the Mughals out of Baroda, but continued to hold Dabhoi. Dāmāji Gaikwār, son of Pilāji, was at this time prowling round Surat watching for an opportunity of interfering in the disturbed affairs of that town. One of the candidates for the governorship had offered him one-fourth the revenue of the city for his assistance, but the expedition was deferred on account of the appointment of a rival by the emperor. Dāmāji therefore was preparing to act on his own account independently of his ally. The news of his father's assassination, however, took him northwards. He found that the Desāi of Pādra near Baroda had stirred up the Bhils and Kolis to revolt, in order to give the relations of Pilāji a chance of striking a blow at the murderers of their deceased leader. Umābāi Dabhāde, too, bent on the same errand, moved down the Ghāts with an army. The Marāthās were bought off, however, by the viceroy and peace was restored for a while.

In this year also Jādoji, a younger son of Trimbakrāv, made an expedition to collect tribute through Gujarāt as far as Sonath. Next year Mādhavrāv Gaikwār, brother of Pilāji, obtained possession of Baroda during the absence of Sher Khān Bābi the governor. Since that date this town has been the capital of the Gaikwār family. Sindia and Holkar soon afterwards joined the chief of Idar against the Musalmān deputy, and extorted from the latter a considerable sum as ransom.

Umābāi had recognized Dāmāji as her agent in succession to Pilāji; but as she required Dāmāji in the Dakhan the latter had been obliged to leave in his turn a *locum tenens* in Gujarāt. There ensued quarrels between this deputy, named Rangoji, and Kantaji Kadam which brought Dāmāji back again, and after obtaining from the Muhammedan viceroy, who had espoused the cause of Kantaji, a grant of one-fourth the revenues of the country north of the Mahi he went as usual to Sonath. Kantaji Kadam, who as a partisan of the Peshwa was hostile to the Senāpati, harassed the country within reach of his frontier. Dāmāji, meanwhile, had again proceeded to the Dakhan, where Umābāi was intriguing against the Peshwa and required all the help she could obtain to further the ambitious schemes she was devising in the name of her half-witted son. His deputy Rangoji, by demanding a heavy price for his aid at a time when an aspirant to the vicereignty of Ahmedābād was in distress, managed to secure for the Marāthās half the revenue of Gujarāt with certain exceptions.

Dāmāji then moved into Gujarāt again, and on his way to join Rangoji extorted Rs. 7000 from the English at Surat as a

guarantee against plundering them. The events of this year have been detailed in full in the history of the Mussalmán Period. After getting possession of a great part of the city of Ahmedábád the Maráthás, by their oppressive rule, excited a rising amongst the Mussalmán inhabitants. Similar quarrels and subsequent reconciliations took place between 1739 and 1741, the Mussalmáns distrusting the Maráthás, yet not daring to attempt to oust them. Dámáji, on his way back from one of his Sorath expeditions, laid siege to Broach, which was held by a Mohammadian officer direct from the viceroy of the Dakhan.¹ As the latter personage was still regarded by the Maráthá chiefs as a possible ally against the Peshwa, Dámáji at once obeyed the request of the Nizám to raise the siege, but probably obtained a promise of future concessions such as he had acquired at Surat.

Rangoji in the absence of Dámáji took up his residence in Bórdul. There he fell into several disputes with the Muhammadan officials, in the course of one of which he was taken prisoner, but escaped the next year (1743). Meanwhile Dámáji had joined with Rághoji Bhonslá in attacking the Peshwa. Whilst Rághoji was preparing his army in the east, Dámáji made a feint against Málwa, which had the desired effect of withdrawing a large portion of the ministerial army. The Gaikwár's troops retreated without giving battle, but to prevent any future junction between Dámáji and the Bhonslá party in Berár, Báláji Peshwa confirmed the Pavár family in their claims to Dhár, which had never been acknowledged as their territory, since the defection of the Pavárs to the Dábháde party in 1731. It is worth remarking that though the rank of Senápati had apparently been made hereditary in the Dábháde family (for the owner of the title was quite unfit for the command of an army), the Ghorpadé family applied at this time to have it restored to them on the ground that it once had been held by one of their house. The Peshwa, however, managed to secure their alliance by a grant of land, and their claims to the chief command of the army seem to have been waived.

For the next two years the Maráthá force in Gujarát under Rangeji and Deváji Tákpar was employed by the Mussalmáns in their quarrels regarding the viceroyalty. The Maráthá practice of appointing deputies gives rise to some confusion as to the negotiations that took place about this time between the Gaikwár's party and the rival candidates for the office of subhedár. For instance, Umábái Dábháde had appointed the Gaikwár family as her agents-in-chief, but the principal members of that house were absent in the Dakhan. Dámáji Gaikwár had appointed Rangeji, who in his turn left one Krishnáji in charge of the Maráthá share of the city of Ahmedábád. On the departure, however, of Dámáji from Gujarát, Umábái left Rámáji as her agent. Rámáji, who seems to have

THE
MARATHA
A.D. 1709-1819.

1739.

1741.

1742.

1743-44.

¹ Broach was constituted part of the Nizám's personal estate on his resigning the viceroyalty in 1722.

THE
MARATHAS,
A.D. 1769-1819.

been employed previously by Dámáji, followed the example of his predecessors and placed one Rámchandra in charge at Ahmedábád. There does not appear to have been any direct agent of the Peshwa in Gujarát at this time.

1743.

On Khanderáv Gaikwár's return from the Dakhan he demanded the accounts of the tribute from Rangoji, and not being satisfied with this agent confined him in Borsad and appointed one Trimbakráv in his place. Umábái caused Rangoji to be set at liberty and sent to her in the Dakhan, after which she reappointed him her agent. He expelled Trimbakráv from Ahmedábád, but was attacked by Krishnáji and Gangádhár, two other late deputies. Dámáji and Khanderáv were obliged at last to come to Gujarát and summon all these deputies to their presence. A private arrangement was concluded under which Khanderáv was allowed by Dámáji to keep Nađiád and Borsad as a private estate and to act as the Gaikwár's deputy at Baroda. Rangoji was to live at Umreth when not on active service. Gangádhár and Krishnáji were censured and forbidden to engage in any independent alliances with the Muhammadan leaders.

1746.

After this Dámáji sent a general named Kánoji Tákpár to collect the Sorath tribute whilst he himself retired to Songad.

Rangoji returned to Ahmedábád, and not long after began to quarrel with the viceroy about the Maráthá share in the revenue of the city ceded in 1728.

The Gaikwár
in Surat,
1747.

In A.D. 1747 Kedárji Gaikwár, cousin of Dámáji, was asked by Syed Achchan, an aspirant to the governorship of Surat, to assist him in maintaining possession of that city. Before Kedárji could reach Surat the disputes as to the succession had been settled by negotiations, and the aid of Maráthá troops was no longer required. Kedárji, however, finding himself in a position to dictate terms, demanded three lákhs of rupees for the aid that he was prepared to give, and as the Surat treasury could not afford to pay this sum in cash, one-third of the revenues of Surat was promised to the Gaikwár.

1748.

Rangoji meanwhile attacked Haribá, an adopted son of Khanderáv Gaikwár, and recovered from him the town and fort of Borsad, which had been seized during the time that Rangoji had been occupied with his disputes in Ahmedábád. Khanderáv and Dámáji both turned against him and captured the fort after a long siege. Rangoji was then again imprisoned, and not released until the next year when the Peshwa sent a body of troops into Gujarát. In 1748 Umábái, widow of Trimbakráv Dábháde, died, leaving one Báburáv guardian of Yeshvántráv her son. Partly through the solicitations of Khanderáv, who had private influence with the Dábhádes, partly from the fact of previous possession, Dámáji was confirmed as deputy of the Maráthás in Gujarát. He there began to collect an army as quickly as possible, in order to co-operate with Raghunáth Bhonslé against the Peshwa, in answer to an appeal by Sakvárbái, widow of Sháhn, to support the throne against the ministers, and to secure the

succession of Sambhaji to the Sátara kingdom. The Peshwa, aware of Dámaji's ill-will towards himself, did his best to foment disturbances in Gujarát and to extend his own influence there so as to keep Dámaji away from the Dakhan.

The Peshwa accordingly entered into some negotiations with Jawán Mard Khán, then in power at Ahmedábád, but was unable to lend substantial aid in Gujarát against Dámaji's agents; as the whole Marátha power was required in the Dakhan to operate against the son of the late Nisám-ul-Mulk.

Next year Dámaji, at the request of Tarábái, guardian of Rám Rája, ascended the Salpi ghát with a strong force, defeated the Peshwa's army, and advanced as far as Sátara. From this position he was forced to retire, and whilst in treaty with the Peshwa was treacherously seized by the latter and put into prison. Baláji at once demanded arrears of tribute, but Dámaji declined to agree to any payment, on the ground that he was no independent chief but only the agent of the Senápati. He therefore refused to bind his principal or himself on account of what was due from his principal. Baláji then imprisoned all the members of the Gaikwár and Dábháde family that were at that time in the Dakhan.

The state of Surat was at this time such as to afford a good opportunity to the Peshwa to obtain a footing there independently of the English or of Dámaji. He had recently had dealings with the former in the expeditions against Angria of Kolába, and as the merchants had found him one of the most stable and powerful rulers of the country, they were willing to treat with him for the future security of their buildings and goods in Surat. Taking advantage of Dámaji's confinement, Baláji sent Ragunáthráv to Gujarát. This leader, afterwards so well known as Raghoba, took possession of a few *tálukas* in the north-east of the province, but was recalled to the Dakhan before he could approach Surat. Jawán Mard Khán also took advantage of Dámaji's absence to make an expedition into Sorath and Káthiáwáda where the Gaikwár family had now established themselves permanently.

The news of these two expeditions made Dámaji very eager to return to his province; and as he had full information as to Baláji's plans with regard to Gujarát, he bribed freely, and in order to regain his liberty consented to much harsher terms than he would otherwise have done. He agreed to maintain an army for defence and collection purposes in Gujarát, as well as to furnish a contingent to the Peshwa's army in the Dakhan, and to contribute towards the support of the Rája, now in reality a state-prisoner dependent upon the wishes of his minister. The Gaikwár was also to furnish the tribute due on account of the Dábháde family, whom the Peshwa was apparently trying to oust from the administration altogether. After deducting the necessary expenses of collection and defence, half the surplus revenue was to be handed over to the Peshwa. Even after acceding to all these proposals, the Gaikwár was not at once released. The Peshwa protracted the negotiations, as he had

The
Maráthas,
A.D. 1760-1819.

1760.

Dámaji Gaikwár
Arrested,
1761.

The Peshwa
and Surat.

Release of
Dámaji.
1762.

THE
MARÁTHAS,
A.D. 1760-1819,

to contend against a factious court party in whose counsels he knew Dāmāji would play a leading part when once set at liberty. At last, however, after agreeing to a final request that he would assist Raghunāthráv against Surat, Dāmāji was allowed to go. There was at this time one Pándurang Pant levying tribute on behalf of the Peshwa in Cambay and Ahmedábád. The Nawáb of Cambay, not having any reason to like or trust his neighbour the Gáikwár, had persuaded the Peshwa at the time the partition of the Maráthha rights over Gujarát was being settled at Poona, to take Cambay into his share of the province. The Nawáb bought off the agent of his ally with a present of guns and cash. The ruler of Ahmedábád also came to terms with the Maráthás, so Pándurang was at liberty to go and see if he could find equal good fortune in Sorath.

Capture of
Ahmedábád,
1753.

Dāmāji now came back with a fresh army, which was soon reinforced by Raghunāthráv. They marched towards Ahmedábád, and Jawán Mard Khán was too late to intercept them before they invested the capital. He managed, however, by a bold movement to enter the town, but after a long siege was obliged to capitulate and march out with the honours of war. The Maráthás conferred on him an estate in the north-west of Gujarát, which, however, was recovered by them some time afterwards.

1754.

After taking possession of Ahmedábád in April 1753, Raghunāthráv went to Sorath, and on his return extorted a large sum as tribute from the Nawáb of Cambay. He left a deputy in Ahmedábád, who marched against the same chief again in 1754, but on this occasion he could levy no tribute. As the Nawáb had firmly established himself and considerably enlarged his dominions, the Peshwa's deputy marched against him in person a second time, but was defeated and taken prisoner. The nominee of Raghunāthráv procured his release, and the Peshwa's deputy continued to demand arrears of tribute for his master till he obtained an agreement to pay at a future date. He then retired to the Dakhan, and the Nawáb, taking advantage of the lull to strengthen his army, captured Ahmedábád from the Maráthha garrison and established himself in the city. After a while Dāmāji and Khandaráv Gáikwár, with an agent sent direct by the Peshwa, arrived before the town and commenced a siege. It was not until April 1757 that the Maráthás again entered the city. The Nawáb surrendered after the Maráthás had fully ratified the conditions he himself had proposed.

1755.

1757.

1758.

Sayājiráv, son of Dāmāji, remained in Ahmedábád on behalf of his father, and the Peshwa's agent Sadáshiv put in a deputy in his turn and went himself to Surat. Here he was soon joined by Sayáji, who had to arrange the shares of the tribute in accordance with the partition treaty of 1751. Next year a body of Maráthha troops was sent to the aid of the Ráv of Kachh, who was engaged in an expedition against Thatta in Sindh. Sadáshiv lent the Nawáb of Cambay some money on the part of the Peshwa to enable him to liquidate the arrears of pay due to his army, but a year afterwards the Maráthha army appeared at the town gates with a demand for

two years' arrears of tribute in full, amounting to Rs. 20,000. The Nawáb managed to raise this sum, and the Maráthás moved south. Dámáji was at this time in Poona.

The Peshwa had supported Syed Achchan of Surat with the view of putting him under an obligation so as to secure some future advantages, and this year lent him some troops as a bodyguard. The Nawáb of Cambay, who was also indebted to the ministerial party, left his dominions to pay a visit to the Peshwa at Poona: Khanderáv meanwhile plundered Lunáváda and Idar, whilst Sayájiráv was similarly engaged in Soráth.

Dámáji Gaikwár accompanied the Peshwa to Delhi, and was one of the few Marátha leaders that escaped after the defeat at Pánapat. On his return to Gujarát he successfully opposed an expedition by the Nawáb of Cambay against Bálásinor and re-took the estates of Jawán Mard Khán. He also strengthened his position in Soráth and Káthiáváda against the Peshwa's party.

The Peshwa, being hard pressed by his rival the Nizám, began in this year to make overtures to the East India Company's officers in Bombay, with a view to getting the aid of European artillery and gunners. He at first offered to give up a valuable tract of land in Jámbugar. But the English would accept no territory but the island of Salsette, the town of Bassein, and the small islands in the harbour of Bombay. These the Marátha government declined to give up, so negotiations were broken off.

Next year Raghunáthráv, as guardian of the son of Báláji, named Mádhavráv, who was still a minor, conferred the title of Senápati on one of the Jádhav family who had formerly borne it. The administration of Gujarát, however, which had always accompanied the title when held by the Dábháde family, was left practically in the hands of Dámáji, and no mention of any transfer of it was made at the time Jádhav was appointed commander-in-chief. Discontented with the empty honour thus conferred, Rámchandra, the now Senápati, joined the Nizám's party, and on account of this defection the Peshwa, two years afterwards, cancelled the appointment and restored the office to the Ghorpade family, one of whose members had held it long before. This put an end to the connection of Gujarát with the chief military dignity of the Marátha state.

After Mádhavráv Báláji came of age he had constantly to be on this guard against the plots of his uncle Raghunáthráv, who had refused to accept the share in the government offered him by the young Peshwa. Raghunáthráv, perhaps instigated by his wife, had no doubt great hopes of obtaining a share in the whole power of the administration, and suspecting Mádhavráv to be aware of his designs, looked upon all the overtures made by the latter as intended in some way or other to entrap him. He therefore collected an army of some 15,000 men in Báglaú and Násik, and hoping to be joined on his way by Jánóji Bhonslá, advanced towards Poona. In his army was Govindráv, son of Dámáji Gaikwár, with a detachment of his father's troops. The Peshwa, without giving Jánóji time to effect

The
Madrás,
A.D. 1760-1819.

1759.

1761.

1761.

1762.

Intrigues of
Raghoba,
1768.

The
MARÁTHAS,
A.D. 1760-1819.

Death of Dāmāji
Gāikwār,
1768.

Disputed
Succession.

a junction with Raghunāthráv, even if he had been prepared to do so, defeated his uncle's army at Dhorap, a fort in the Ajunia range, and carried off Rāghobá and Govindrāv to Poona, where they were placed in confinement.

Not long after this action Dāmāji died. He had brought the fortunes of the Gāikwār house to the highest pitch they ever reached and not long after his death the family influence began to decline. It was his personal authority alone that was able to counteract the usual tendency of quasi-independent Marátha states towards disintegration, especially when they are at a distance from the central power. Khanderāv and Sayājirāv had shown frequent signs of insubordination (as for instance in their espousal of the cause of Rangoji) and a desire to establish themselves in an independant position, but the sagacity of Dāmāji foresaw the advantage such a partition would give an enemy like the Peshwa, and his tact enabled him to preserve unity in his family, at least in resistance to what he showed them to be their common foe.

The quarrel for the succession that arose on Dāmāji's death was the first step towards the breaking up of the Gāikwār's power. Dāmāji had three wives. By the first he had Govindrāv, who however was born after Sayājirāv, the son by the second wife. His sons by the third wife were Mānōji and Fatesingh. Govindrāv was in confinement at Poona near the court, and therefore in a position to offer conditions for the confirmation of his rights without loss of time.

In the Hindu law current amongst Maráthas, there are to be found precedents in favour of the heirship of either Govindrāv or Sayājirāv. Some authorities support the rights of the son of the first wife whether he be the oldest or not, others again regard simply the age of the claimants, deciding in favour of the first born, of whatever wife he may be the son. Rāmráv Shāstri, the celebrated adviser of Mādhavrāv Peshwa, is said to have expressed an opinion in favour of the rights of Sayājirāv. Govindrāv, however, was on the spot where his influence could be used most extensively. Sayāji, moreover, was an idiot and a puppet in the hands of his half brother Fatesingh. Govindrāv applied at once for investiture with the title of Sená-Khas-Khel. A payment of 50½ lakhs of rupees to the Peshwa on account of arrears of tribute and a fine for his conduct in taking part with Rāghobá was a strong argument in his favour, and when he agreed to a tribute previously demanded from his father of Rs. 7,79,000 yearly and to maintain a peace contingent at Poona of 3000 horse, to be increased by a thousand more in time of war, there could be little doubt as to the legitimacy of his claim, and he was duly invested with his father's title and estate.

1771.

For reasons not apparent Sayāji's claims were not brought forward till nearly two years later. Govindrāv had never been allowed to join his charge in Gujarāt, so that he could exercise no interference in that direction, and the court affairs in the Dakhan left perhaps little time for the disposal of Sayājirāv's application, even if it had been made. Sayāji had entrusted his interests to Fatesingh, a man

of considerable ability, who came at once to Poona to get a reversal of the recognition of Govindrāv. The Peshwa was glad to have this opportunity of undoing so much of Dāmājī's work and dividing the Gaikwār family against itself, so using the verdict of Rām Shāstri as his weapon, he cancelled the former grant in favour of Govindrāv, and appointed Sayājirāv with Fatesingh as his *mudlik* or deputy. The latter, by agreeing to pay an extra sum of 6½ lakhs of rupees annually, got permission to retain the Poona contingent of Gaikwār horse in Gujarāt, on the pretext that Govindrāv would probably attack his brothers on the earliest opportunity. Thus, whatever happened, all went to the profit of the Peshwa's party and to the injury of the tax-paying Gujarāt riyat.

Fatesingh retired in triumph to Baroda, and opened negotiations with the English in Surat, as he had been endeavouring to do for a year past without success. In January 1773, however, he succeeded in getting an agreement from the Chief for Affairs of the British Nation in Surat, that his share in the revenues of the town of Broach, which had been taken by storm in 1772 by the English, should not be affected by the change of masters. In the same year Nārāyana'rāv Peshwa was murdered, and Rāghobā was invested by the titular king at Sātara with the ministerial robe of honour. Govindrāv Gaikwār, still in Poona, reminded the new Peshwa of the good offices of the Gaikwār family at Dhorap and elsewhere, and found means of getting reinstated as Senā-Khās-Khel. In 1774 he set out for Gujarāt, and collecting a fair number of adherents on his way, he attacked Fatesingh. After various engagements of little importance, the latter found himself shut into the city of Baroda, which was invested by Govindrāv in January 1775.

In the meantime Rāghobā had been driven from power by the intrigues of Brahmins of a different class from that to which he belonged, headed by the afterwards well-known Nāna Phadnis. The ex-Peshwa first betook himself towards Mālwa, where he hoped to be joined or at least assisted by Holkar and Sindia. As soon however as he got together some scattered forces he marched down the Tāpti and opened negotiations with the English through Mr. Gambier, the chief at Surat. The Bombay Government at once demanded the cession of Bassain, Sālsette, and the adjacent islands. Rāghobā refused, partly, in all probability, on account of the pride felt by the Marāṭha soldiery in their achievements before Bassain at the time of the great siege. He however offered valuable territory in Gujarāt, yielding a revenue of about eleven lakhs, and to pay six lakhs down and 1½ lakhs monthly for the maintenance of a European contingent with artillery. The English at Bombay were debating whether this offer should not be accepted when news reached them that the Portuguese were about to organise an expedition to re-take Bassain. Negotiations with Rāghobā were hastily broken off and a small force sent to forestall the rival Europeans. Before the end of 1774, both Thāna and Versova fort in Sālsette had been taken.

Rāghobā now heard that Sindia and Holkar had been bought over by the ministerial party and would not come to his assistance.

THE
MARATHAS
A.D. 1700-1819.

1773.

1774.

Rāghobā
Peshwa,
1774.

Rāghobā in
Gujarāt.
1774.

THE
MARATHAS.
A.D. 1760-1812.

Quickly moving his force down the river he reached Baroda in January 1775 with 10,000 horse and 400 foot. He joined Govindráv in investing that town, but sent meanwhile an agent to re-open the discussion of his proposals in the Bombay Council. This agent was captured by a party of Patesingh's horse whilst he was out on an expedition near Pärnera on behalf of Govindráv. On his release he repaired to Surat and took steps to get a treaty of alliance signed as soon as possible.

Rághobá
Defeated.

The ministerial army of 30,000 men under Haripant Phadke entered Gujarát and obliged Govindráv and Rághobá to raise the siege of Baroda and to retire towards the Mahi. Patesingh's force then joined Haripant. An attack on all sides was made (Feb. 17th). Rághobá, who was in the centre, was first charged, and before Govindráv and Khanderáv Gáikwár could come to his assistance his best officers were wounded, some of his Arab mercenaries refused to fight as large arrears of pay were due to them, and he was defeated on both flanks. He fled to Cambay with only 1000 horse; whilst the two Gáikwárs and Manáji Sindia (Phadke) led the rest of the scattered army to Kapatvasi, where it was again set in order. The Nawab of Cambay, fearing lest the Marátha army should come in pursuit, shut the town gates on the fugitive and refused to give him shelter. Mr. Malet, chief of the English residents, who had been informed of the negotiations in progress between his Government and Rághobá, contrived to get the ex-Peshwa conveyed privately to Bhávnagar and from thence by boat to Surat. Here he arrived on February 23rd.

Reaches Surat.

Treaty of Surat,
1775.

The stipulations of the treaty negotiated by Narotamdas, agent of Rághobá, and the Bombay Government were: The English to provide a force of 3000 men, of which 800 were to be Europeans and 1700 natives, together with a due proportion of artillery. In return for this Rághobá, still recognized as Peshwa, was to cede in perpetuity Salsette, Bassein and the islands, Jámbugar, and Olpad. He also made over an assignment of Rs. 75,000 out of the revenues of Anklesvar, the remaining portion of which district, together with Amod, Hámsot, and Balsar was placed under British management as security for the monthly contribution of 1½ lakhs for the support of the troops in his service. He also promised to procure the cession of the Gáikwár's share in the revenues of Broach. Sundry other provisions (dealing with different parts of the Marátha dominions) were inserted, Rághobá being treated throughout as the representative of the Marátha kingdom. This treaty was signed on March 6th, 1775, at Surat, but on the previous day there had been a debate in the Council at Bombay as to the propriety of continuing to support Rághobá, as the news from Gujarát made the British authorities doubtful whether the contingent they had already sent to Surat was enough to ensure success.

Colonel Keating
in Gujarát.

Just before the treaty was drawn up, at the end of February Lieut-Colonel Keating had been despatched in command of 350 European infantry 800 sepoys 80 European artillerymen and 80 gun-lancers with others, in all about 1500 men, ready for active service. This force landed at Surat four days after Rághobá had arrived from Bhávnagar.

Before receiving this token of the intention of the British to support Rāghobā, the Nawāb had treated the latter simply as a fugitive, but upon finding that the Bombay Government had determined to make the ex-Peshwā their ally, he paid the customary visits and offered presents as to a superior.

When the news reached Surat that Govindrāv's troops and the rest had been reorganized at Kapadvanj, it was determined to effect a junction with them by landing Colonel Keating's detachment at Cambay and from thence marching north.

* Considerable delay occurred in carrying out the first part of this proposal. First of all Rāghobā detained the army at Dumas¹ whilst he paid a visit of ceremony to the frequented temple of Bhimpor in the neighbourhood. Then again, the convoy met with contrary winds the whole way up the gulf, and it was not till March 17th that the contingent landed. The Nawāb, accompanied by the British Resident, paid a visit of ceremony and presented *nazarinās* to Rāghobā as a sort of atonement for his previous discourtesy and neglect. The Marāthās, however, knowing that this change of tone was entirely due to the presence and alliance of the Europeans, paid much more attention to the latter than to the Mahammadans.

The British contingent encamped at a place called Nārāyan-Sarovar, just north of the town. Here they waited until the reinforcement from Bombay arrived, bringing the whole force up to the complement stipulated for in the treaty. Rāghobā's army under Govindrāv Gaikwār was reported to be moving southwards, and Colonel Keating agreed to let it pass the Sābarnati river before joining it. Meanwhile the enemy, said to number 40,000 infantry and 12,000 cavalry, marched north to intercept Govindrāv. The latter, however, by forced marches succeeded in crossing the Sābarnati before the arrival of the ministerial army, and encamped a few miles north-east of Cambay at a place called Darmaj or Dara. Here Colonel Keating joined him about the middle of April.

Govindrāv's army consisted of about 8000 fighting men and nearly 18,000 camp followers. These latter were chiefly Pindhāris who used to attach themselves to the camp of one of the Marāthā chiefs, on condition of surrendering to him half their plunder. Each chief had his separate encampment, where he exercised independent authority over his own troops, although bound to general obedience to the commander-in-chief of the whole army. The confusion of this arrangement is described by an eye-witness as utterly destructive of all military discipline. To add to the cumbrousness of such an expedition, most of the Pindhāris brought their wives and children with them, the cooking pots and plunder being carried on bullocks and ponies, of which there were altogether nearly 200,000 attached to the troops. In every camp there was a regular

THE
MARATHAS,
A.D. 1760-1819

Keating talks
with Rāghobā
for Cambay.

Rāghobā in
Cambay,
1775.

Govindrāv
Gaikwār's
Army.

¹ At the mouth of the Tapti, now belonging to the little Mahammadan state of Raolga.

THE
MARATHAS,
A.D. 1760-1819.

Advance of
the Combined
Forces.

basār where cash payment or barter passed equally current, so that a premium was thus placed on the pilfering of small articles by the Pindhāris, whose stipulations as to plunder were confined neither to friend nor enemy.

When all needful preparations had been made, the army, accompanied by a battery of ten guns, besides mortars and howitzers, all of which were manned by Europeans, moved out against the enemy. The latter slowly retreated, burning the crops and forage and destroying the water-supply on its way. On the 20th April the first engagement took place at Usāmlī, resulting in the repulse of the ministerial troops. On May 1st a similar skirmish on the banks of the Vātrāk drove the ministerialists into Kaira. From this post they were driven after a series of slight engagements with the army of Rāghobā, which crossed the river at Mātar. Fatesingh now received a reinforcement of 10,000 horse under Khanderāv Gaikwār, but to counterbalance this aid, Sindia and Holkar from some unexplained cause, connected probably with intrigues at Poona, withdrew from further co-operation with him. Colonel Keating was unable to follow up the advantages he had gained owing to the large proportion of cavalry in the enemy's army. He therefore continued his march southwards, after persuading Rāghobā to spend the monsoon in Poona, where he would be on the spot to counteract intrigues, instead of at Ahmedābād, as had been at first proposed.

On May 8th the army reached Nadiād, after repulsing on the road two attacks by the enemy's cavalry. This result was obtained chiefly by means of the European light artillery. Nadiād belonged at this time to Khanderāv Gaikwār, and to punish his defection to Fatesingh, Rāghobā inflicted a fine of 60,000 rupees on the town. The amount was assessed on the several castes in proportion to their reputed means of payment. The Bhāts, a peculiar people of whom more hereafter, objected to being assessed, and slaughtered each other in public: so that the guilt of their blood might fall on the oppressor. The Brāhmins, who also claimed exemption from all taxation, more astutely brought two old women of their caste into the market place and there murdered them. Having made this protest, both castes paid their contributions. Rāghobā injudiciously wasted seven days over the collection of this fine, and in the end only levied 40,000 rupees.

Defeat of
Fatesingh,
1775.

On May 14th the march was resumed, under the usual skirmishing onslaughts of the ministerial party. At Aras, where Rāghobā had been defeated shortly before, he was in imminent danger of a second and still more serious discomfiture. An order mistaken by a British company, and the want of discipline on the part of Rāghobā's cavalry nearly led to a total defeat with great slaughter. The European infantry and artillery, however, turned the fortunes of the day. The troops of Fatesingh were allowed to approach in pursuit to within a few yards of the batteries, all the guns of which then opened on them with grape, the infantry meanwhile plying their small arms along the whole line. Fatesingh was obliged to withdraw his diminished forces and the army of Rāghobā received no further molestations.

tions from him on its way to the Mahi. Colonel Keating then ordered a general move to Broach, where he arrived safely on 27th May, after a troublesome march through the robber-infested country between the Dhādhar river and Ahmed.

Here they remained until June 8th, when Colonel Keating was about to move south again. Luckily, as it turned out for him, the nearest ford was impassable and he had to march to one higher up at a place variously called Bāba Pīra or Bāva Pir. On his way thither he heard that Haripant, the ministerial commander-in-chief, was halting on the north bank by the ford; he therefore pushed on to make an attack on the rear, but owing partly to timely information received and partly to the confusion caused by the irrepressibility of Rāghobā's cavalry, Haripant had time to withdraw all his force except some baggage and ammunition, which, with a few guns, he was forced in the hurry of his passage across the river to leave behind. Colonel Keating then marched fourteen miles north from the ford and halted before proceeding to Dabhoi, a town belonging to Fatesingh. The general ignorance of tactics and want of discipline in the native army had determined Colonel Keating not to lead his force as far as Poona, but to spend the monsoon near Baroda.

Rāghobā detached one of his generals, Amir Khān, in pursuit of Ganeshpant, whom Hari Pant had left as his deputy in Gujarāt. Ganeshpant with a detachment of the ministerial army had separated from Hari at the Bāba Pīra ford and found his way through the wild country on the north of the Tāpti towards Ahmedābād. He was finally caught by Amir Khān.

Dabhoi was at this time in charge of a Brāhman governor, who submitted on the approach of Rāghobā's army. Colonel Keating quartered his force in the town, but Rāghobā, after exacting a levy of three lakhs of rupees, encamped at Bhilāpar on the Dhādhar, ten miles from Dabhoi. Here he began to negotiate with Fatesingh in Baroda through the mediation of Colonel Keating. Fatesingh was all the more ready to come to definite terms of agreement, as he knew that Govindrāy was on the watch to recover Baroda.

It is not certain what the terms proposed and agreed to really were. The only record of them is a copy sent in 1802 to the Resident at Poona by Governor Duncan. According to this document Govindrāy was to lose his pension and to occupy the same position as before the accession of Rāghobā. Khandorāy was to revert to the situation in which he had been placed by Dāmājī. The provision of the treaty of the 6th March regarding the Gāikwār's claims on Broach was ratified, and as a reward for the mediation of the Bombay Government, the Gāikwār ceded to the British in perpetuity the sub-divisions of Chikhli and Variāv near Surat and Koral on the Nerbada. Before this treaty could be concluded, Colonel Keating received orders to withdraw his contingent into British territory and to leave Rāghobā to manage for himself. This change of policy was due to the disapproval by the Supreme Government of the treaty of 6th March, which they alleged had been

THE
MARATHAS,
A.D. 1760-1819.

The
Ministerial
General
Retreats.

Colonel Keating
at Dabhoi,
1776.

Rāghobā and
the Gāikwār.

The
Mandvi,
A.D. 1760-1819.

Withdrawal
of the British
Contingent.

Negotiations
at Poona.

Raghobá at
Surat,
1776.

made inconsistently with the negotiations then being carried on with the ruling powers at Poona as well as with the authority of the Calcutta Government. The treaty was therefore declared to be invalid and the troops in the field were ordered by the Supreme Government to be withdrawn at once into British garrisons. A special envoy, Colonel Upton, was sent from Bengal to negotiate a treaty with the Ministers in accordance with the views current in Calcutta.

As soon as the roads were open Colonel Keating moved towards Surat, but at the solicitation of Raghobá he disobeyed his orders so far as to encamp at Kadoḍ, about twenty miles east of Surat, but not in British territory. Here he awaited the results of the overtures of Colonel Upton. This envoy remained at Poona from the 28th December 1775 till the 1st March 1776, on which date he signed the treaty of Porandhar, in which the office only and not the name of the Peshwa is mentioned. By this compact the Peshwa ceded all claims on the revenue of Broach together with land in the neighbourhood of that town to the British. He also paid twelve lakhs of rupees in compensation for the expenses of the war. Sálsette was to be either retained by the English or restored in exchange for territory yielding three lakhs of rupees annually. The cessions made by Fatesingh Gáikwár were to be restored to him if the Peshwa's Government could prove that he had no right to make them without due authorization from Poona. The treaty of the 6th March was declared null and void. Raghobá was to disband his army and take a pension. If he resisted, the English were to give him no assistance. If he agreed to the terms proposed, he was to live at Kapargau¹ on the Godávari with an ample pension. When he received information as to the terms of the new treaty, he at once declined to accept the pension, and, as he could not understand the position of the Bombay Government with regard to that at Calcutta, he proceeded to offer still more favourable terms for further assistance.

Raghobá was at Mándvi² on the Tápti when he was finally given to understand that the British could no longer aid him. He thereupon took refuge in Surat with two hundred followers. The rest of his army which had been ordered to disperse, gathered round Surat, on pretence of waiting for the payment of the arrears due to them. As their attitude was suspicious, and there were rumours of an expedition having started from Poona under Haripant to subdue them, the Bombay Government garrisoned Surat and Broach with all the forces it could spare.

Colonel Upton meanwhile offered Raghobá, on behalf of the ministers, a larger pension with liberty of residing at Benárees. This also was declined, and the ex-Peshwa fled to Bombay, where he lived on a monthly pension allotted him by the Government.

¹ Now in the Ahmednagar district.

² In the Surat district—some thirty miles east of the city.

On 20th August 1776, a despatch of the Court of Directors arrived confirming the treaty of the 8th March 1775. At first the Bombay Government were inclined to take this as authorizing the retention of all the territory ceded, but on further deliberation it was decided that as the treaty of Parandhar had been ratified by the Supreme Government subsequent to the signing of the despatch, which was dated 5th April 1776, it was evident that the Court of Directors did not mean to uphold the previous engagement more than temporarily, or until the final treaty had been concluded.

At the end of 1776, a Bombay officer was sent in place of Colonel Upton to be a resident envoy at Poona for the carrying out of the provisions of the treaty. Mr. Mostyn was the person selected, and he arrived in Poona in March 1777. He soon found that the ministers had little intention of adhering to the treaty, so he at once took up the question that he thought it most important to the Bombay Government to have settled, namely the relations of the Peshwa's Court with Fatesingh Gaikwār as regards the cessions of territory. The ministers asserted that the Gaikwārs merely administered Gujārat on the part of the Peshwa and were entirely dependent upon the Poona government, so that they could conclude no agreement with foreign states except with its approbation. Fatesingh did not deny the dependence, but evaded the question of his right to make direct treaties and claimed the restitution of the cessions on the ground that Raghunāthrao had failed to perform his part of the stipulations. The point was discussed for some time, and at last the question of dependence seems to have been let drop, for in February 1778 Fatesingh paid up the arrears of tribute, made the usual presents to the ministers and their favourites, and was again invested with the title of Senā-Khās Khel.

In October a despatch from the Court of Directors reached the Governments of Bengal and Bombay, disapproving of the treaty of Parandhar, but ratifying it on the principle of *factum valet*. It was suggested, however, that in case of evasion on the part of the ministers, a fresh treaty should be concluded with Rāghobā on the lines of that of 1775.

In November 1778 it was rumoured that the ministers in Poona were intriguing with the French, so the Bombay Government took this opportunity of entering into a treaty with Rāghobā, who was still in Bombay. He confirmed the grants of 1775, and as security for the pay of the British contingent that was to help in placing him on the Peshwa's throne in Poona, he agreed to assign the revenues of Balsār and the remainder of Anklavur, as he had done before. He stipulated, however, that his own agents should collect the dues from these districts, and that the British should take charge of them only in case of the full sum due not being paid and then merely as a temporary measure.

On the 22nd November 1778 the force moved out of Bombay, and by dint of mismanagement and internal dissension the campaign was brought to an end by the convention of the 16th January 1779.

THE
MARATHAS.
A.D. 1760-1818.

Negotiations
at Poona,
1777.

Fresh Alliance
with Rāghobā,
1778.

The Convention
of Bhadgaon,
1779.

THE
MARÁTHAS,
A.D. 1760-1819.

Negotiation
with the
Gaikwar.

Under this agreement all possessions in Gujarát acquired since the time of Mádhavráy Peshwa were to be restored by the British, together with Sálsette, Uran, and other islands. Rághobá was to be made over to Sindia's charge, and a separate treaty assigned to Sindia the sovereignty of Broach.

The Council at Bombay disavowed the convention and were inclined to adhere only to the clause allotting Broach to Sindia. Mr. Hornby proposed to the Supreme Government an alliance with Fatesingh, engaging to free him from dependence on the Poona Government and to reconcile the disputants within the Gaikwar family itself. After the arrival of General Goddard with reinforcements from Bengal the Governor General approved of the alliance proposed with Fatesingh as head of the Baroda state, but specially declined to admit any participation or support in the family disputes. The British were to conquer for themselves the Peshwa's share of Gujarát, if they were able to do so.

Rághobá Escapes
from Sindia,
1779.

Rághobá, meanwhile, who had been given over to Sindia to be conveyed to Bundelkhand, escaped with the connivance of his custodian and fled to Broach. This was evidently a move calculated by Sindia to bring on hostilities between Nána Phadnis, the head of the ministerial party, and the English. General Goddard, who was conducting the negotiations with Poona on the part both of the Supreme Government and of the Government of Bombay, received Rághobá on June 12th, but evaded any proposals for a direct alliance. At the end of the rains of the same year, information was received by the English that a coalition against them had been formed by the Maráthás, the Nizám, and Hyder Ali of Mysor. The rumour was partially confirmed by the demand by Nána Phadnis for the cession of Sálsette and the person of Rághobá as preliminaries to any treaty. No answer was given, but reinforcements were called for and the overtures with Fatesingh pushed forward. This chief prevaricated about the terms of the treaty and evidently did not like to enter into any special engagement that might perhaps bring down upon him the Poona army. General Goddard therefore advanced on 1st January 1780 against Dabhoi, which was garrisoned by the Peshwa's troops from the Dakhan, whilst the English in Broach expelled the Marátha officers from their posts and re-took possession of Ankleswar, Hámsot, and Amol. On January 20th Dabhoi was evacuated by the Maráthás and occupied by General Goddard. Fatesingh now showed himself willing to enter into the proposed treaty, and on the 26th January 1780 signed an offensive and defensive alliance.

League against
the English,
1780.

Treaty with
Fatesingh
Gaikwar.

In the re-opening of hostilities there was no mention of Rághobá but the ground given was simply the non-fulfilment on the part of the Peshwa of his treaty engagement. Rághobá remained under English supervision in the enjoyment of a large allowance. Dabhoi was occupied by an English civil officer with a detachment of irregulars, and General Goddard moved towards Ahmedábád.

By the treaty of 1780 the Peshwa was to be excluded from Gujarát. To avoid confusion in collection, the district north of the Mahi was to belong entirely to the share of the Gaikwár. The English were to enjoy the whole district south of the Tápti, together with the Gaikwár share in the revenue of Surat. In return for the support the English were to give him in withholding tribute from the Peshwa, Fatesing ceded Sinor on the Narbada and the Gaikwár's villages round Broach. These cessants, however, were not to have effect until Fatesingh was in possession of Ahmedábád. The contingent of 3000 horse was to be still furnished by the Gaikwár government.

As soon as these conditions were agreed upon, General Goddard went with his own army and the contingent furnished by Fatesingh to Ahmedábád. After encamping before it for five days, he took the city by storm on 15th February 1780.

Sindia and Holkar had combined their forces against the English and were marching up Gujarát, plundering on their way. They were opposed by General Goddard, who marched across the Mahi early in March. The allies turned off towards Chámpáner without risking a pitched battle on the plain. Sindia at once opened negotiations with the view of wasting time during the fair season. His first proposal was that Rághobá should be sent to Jhánsi, where Sindia had allotted him an estate, and that Bájiráv, Rághobá's son, should be appointed *dirda* or manager of the Peshwa Madhávráv, who was a minor. Bájiráv himself was under age, so Sindia was, of course, to assume temporarily the reins of government.

Goddard at once refused to force Rághobá to take any course other than the one he should select of his own free will; for Sindia did not appear to be aware that the English were now at war with the ministers on their own account and not as allies of an ex-Peshwa. Negotiations were broken off and Sindia and Holkar dislodged from place after place without any decisive engagement being fought. General Goddard was preparing monsoon quarters for his army, when he heard that a division of a Maráthá force which had been plundering the Konkan in order to cut off supplies from Bombay had attacked parts of the Surat Athávisi. He detached some troops under Lieut. Welsh and sent them to the south, whilst he remained himself on the Narbada. Lieut. Welsh drove back the marauders and took possession of the forts of Párnera, Indargad, and Bagvada.

After the monsoon of 1780, General Goddard went to besiege Bassaín, leaving Major Forbes in charge of the Gujarát army. This officer posted one body of troops at Ahmedábád for the protection of Fatesingh, another at Surat, and a third at Broach. Two battalions of Bengal infantry were sent to Sinor and some few men to Dahhoi.

An attack was made by Sindia on the newly acquired district of Sinor, but Major Forbes successfully resisted it and Sindia's position with regard to his own dominions was now such as to prevent him from sending more expeditions against Gujarát.

The military necessities of other parts of India were such as to induce General Goddard to apply to Fatesingh for an increase to

THE
MARATHAS,
A.D. 1769-1819.

General Goddard
takes Ahmedábád,
1780.

Operations
against Sindia
and Holkar.

1781.

THE
MARATHAS,
A.D. 1760-1819.

Treaty of Sálbai,
1782.

his contingent, in accordance with the treaty of 1780. After some personal communications with this Chief in Gujarát, General Goddard was able to arrange with the Gáikwár for the defence of part of that province and thus set free some European troops for service elsewhere.

No further attack was made in this direction during the continuance of the war which came to an end on 17th May 1782. The treaty of Sálbai between an envoy of the Governor-General on one side and Mahádáji Sindia as plenipotentiary for the Peshwa and minister of Poona on the other, replaced the Marátha territory in Gujarát exactly where it was on the outbreak of hostilities against Rághobá in 1775. It was, however, specially stipulated that no demand for arrears of tribute during the late hostilities should be made against the Gáikwár, a clause that led to misunderstandings many years later. The town of Broach was given over to Sindia in accordance with the secret negotiation of 1779 and the votes of the Bengal and Bombay Councils. The territory round Broach yielding a revenue of three lákhs of rupees, ceded by the Peshwa, was likewise returned. Rághobá was granted a pension of 25,000 rupees a month and allowed to select his own place of residence. He went to Kopargaoon and there died a few months after the conclusion of the treaty of Sálbai. Thus came to an end one of the chief sources of disturbance to the Poona government. For the next six years no event of any political importance took place in Gujarát, which province was left almost entirely to the administration of the Gáikwár family.

Death of
Fatesingh,
1789.

In 1789, however, Fatesingh died, leaving Sayájíráv without a guardian. Mánáji, a younger brother, at once seized the reins of government and began the usual sort of negotiations to secure his recognition by the Poona government. He paid a pazarána of 3,13,000 rupees and agreed to pay up thirty-six lákhs of rupees as arrears, though it is not clear on what account, unless that sum had accrued since the treaty of Sálbai, or was part of the long standing account left open by Dámáji in 1753. Mánáji, however, was not allowed to succeed to the post of guardian without opposition. Govindráv Gáikwár was living at Poona, and, though he had himself little influence with the Peshwa's immediate adherents, he had managed to secure the then powerful Sindia on his side. This chief, since his recognition as plenipotentiary at the treaty of Sálbai, had been gradually making good his position with the Peshwa and his favourites as well as with the leading Marátha nobles, so as to be able to successfully oppose Nána Phudnis when the time came for a coalition of the outlying chiefs against the ministerial party. Govindráv offered his son Anandráv as husband for the daughter of Sindia, a proposal which it is not probable that he ever intended to carry out. A grant of three lákhs of rupees was also promised, in return for which Sindia allowed his garrison in Broach to assist Govindráv's illegitimate son Kánhoji to reach Baroda. Mánáji applied to the Bombay Government on the grounds that the steps taken by Govindráv were contrary to the provisions of the treaty of 1780. As, however, this treaty had been abrogated by the later agreement at Sálbai, the Bombay Government declined to interfere. Mánáji's agents at Poona

contrived to get Nāna Phadnis to propose a compromise, to which however Govindrāv, at the instigation probably of Sindia, declined to accede. Before any decision was reached Mānājī died.

THE
MARATHAS,
A.D. 1760-1819.

Nāna detained Govindrāv in Poona till he had agreed to hold by former stipulations and to cede to the Peshwa the Gāikwār's share in the districts south of the Tāpti together with his share of the Surat customs. To this the Government of Bombay demurred as an infraction of the provision of the Sālbai treaty whereby the integrity of the Gāikwār's possessions was assured. Nāna Phadnis at once withdrew his proposals. Govindrāv at last joined his brother at Baroda on 19th December, and took up the office of regent.

1793.

For two years Gujarāt remained quiet. In 1796 Bājirāv, son of Rāgholā, succeeded to the Peshwa's dignity and at once appointed his younger brother, ten years of age, governor of Gujarāt. In accordance with Marātha custom a deputy was sent to take charge of the province, one Aba Shelukar, and he too seems to have administered vicariously, for next year (1797) we find him amongst those taken prisoners with Nāna Phadnis when that minister was treacherously seized by Daulatrāv Sindia in the Dakhan. Aba was released on promising to pay ten lākhs of rupees as ransom. He then joined his appointment as subhedār in order to take measures to get together the money he required.

Aba Shelukar
Deputy Governor
of Gujarāt.
1796.

1797.

Bājirāv Peshwa was anxious to embroil Aba with Govindrāv, whom he knew to be favourable to Nāna Phadnis and too powerful to be allowed to acquire influence beyond the reach of head-quarter supervision. A cause of quarrel soon arose. Daulatrāv pressed Aba for part payment of the above ten lākhs, and the latter being unable to squeeze enough out of his own territory, forced contributions from some of the villages administered by the Gāikwār. Govindrāv at once took up arms against him and applied for aid to the English Agent at Surat. In this city Governor Jonathan Duncan had just assumed chief authority in accordance with an agreement between the English and the Nawāb. Duncan was anxious to secure for his government the land round Surat and the Gāikwār's share in the *chauth* of the town and district. Govindrāv, when this demand was made, referred the Governor to Poona, knowing that under the treaty of Sālbai the British Government had no more right to acquire a share of the Gāikwār territory than the Poona authorities had when they made a somewhat similar demand in 1793, which was withdrawn as stated above. Before the reference could be made, Aba was penned up by Govindrāv's own army in Ahmedābād and forced to surrender that city. He was kept in confinement for more than seven years.

Disputes
between Aba
and Govindrāv
Gāikwār.

1793.

In the same year (1799) the Peshwa, apparently without formally revoking the appointment of his brother Chinnājī as Subhedār, gave Govindrāv a farm for five years of his whole rights in Gujarāt, at the rate of five lākhs of rupees a year. These rights included shares in the Kāthiāvāda and Sorath tribute, the revenue of Potlād, Nāpād, Rānpur, Dhandhuka, and Gogha, together with rights to certain customs dues in Cambay and a share in the revenue of the city of Ahmedābād.

Gujarāt farmed
to the Gāikwār,
1799.

THE
MARÁTHAS,
A.D. 1760-1810.

ANANDRÁV-
GÁIKWÁR,
1800.

Govindrāv unfortunately died a month before this farm was formally made over by the Peshwa.

As had happened at the death of Dāmāji, so again now, the heir Anandrāv was all but an idiot and quite incapable of managing his affairs. The disputes as to the guardianship again set the whole state in confusion. Kánhoji, a son of Govindrāv by a Rájputni princess of Dharampor, who had been the first agent of his father in Baroda in 1793, had been put in prison for refusing to give place to Govindrāv when the latter at length joined him at Baroda. At the death of Govindrāv, Kánhoji managed to obtain his liberty and to secure the ascendancy in the counsels of his weak-minded elder brother. He assumed, in fact, the whole government. His arrogant conduct in this new position excited the Arab guard against him and he was again thrown into confinement. His mother Gajrábái, who was a refugee in Surat, endeavoured to get assistance from the English there, and at the same time made overtures to Malhár, son of Khandaráv Gáikwár, who had formerly been one of Govindrāv's bitterest opponents.

1800.

THE BRITISH AID
GOVINDRÁV'S
PARTY.

Meanwhile the administration of the Gáikwár's affairs passed into the hands of Rávji and Bábáji Appa, two brothers who had been brought to Baroda in 1793 by Govindrāv himself. Rávji took charge of the civil work, whilst Bábáji undertook the military duties, which at that time consisted in great measure in collecting the revenue by show of force. These two ministers, on hearing of the proceedings of Gajrábái, outbid her for the aid of the Bombay Government. In addition to the cessions formerly offered by Govindrāv, they were willing to give up Chikhli also. Matters were precipitated by the successes of Malhárāv in the field. Rávji offered to subsidize five European battalions, and Governor Duncan took upon himself the responsibility of sending an auxiliary force of 1600 men under Major Walker to act with the troops of Rávji and Bábáji north of Ahmedábad. Reinforcements were afterwards sent up, but the campaign was not closed till April 1802, when the fort of Kadi had been taken by storm. Malhárāv surrendered and a residence in Nadiád, was assigned him with a liberal pension out of the revenues of that subdivision. The fort of Sankheda, which had been held by Gampatrāv Gáikwár for his cousin Malhárāv, was soon after this reduced and the country for a time pacified.

THE BRITISH AND
THE GÁIKWÁR,
1800.

In March Rávji had an interview at Cambay with Governor Duncan, which was followed on June 6th by a definite treaty, of which the groundwork had been previously sketched in anticipation of the reduction of the revolted Gáikwárs. Two thousand men, besides artillery, were to be subsidized and a *jáidád* or assignment for their payment was made on the revenue of Dholka and the part of Nadiád not assigned to Malhárāv. Chikhli was given to the British in reward for their aid in storming Kadi, and Residents were to be appointed reciprocally. A large sum of money was borrowed by Rávji, partly from Bombay partly from Baroda bankers, to pay off the arrears due to about 7000 Arab mercenaries, who had usurped a great deal of objectionable influence in civil affairs at the Gáikwár's

capital. Major Walker was appointed Resident and proceeded to Baroda on 8th June.

On the same day was signed a secret compact assuring Rájvi of the support of the British Government and awarding him a village out of the territory ceded by the treaty of June 6th. It was deemed advisable by the British Government to have at the Baroda court some leading personage who might, in the present state of the relations between Bombay and Poona, further the designs of the former government in preventing a recurrence of the coalition of Marátha powers. Rájvi was sure of his reward if he served British interests, whilst in case of the reorganization of a Marátha confederacy the state he was administering would probably play but a very subordinate part in subsequent events.

The treaty of June 6th was disapproved by the Court of Directors as being in direct contravention of the treaty of Sálhai. Before, however, any orders had been issued by the Home authorities to restore to the Gáikwár the territory he had ceded, the Peshwa, out of regard for whom the treaty had been disavowed, was a fugitive before the army of Holkar, and by December had ratified these very concessions at the treaty of Bassein. By this treaty the Peshwa virtually placed his independence in the hands of the British. He ceded his share of Surat, thus giving them sole control over that district. In payment of the subsidiary force required he handed over territory in Gujarát, the revenue of which amounted to 12,28,000 rupees, and finally he constituted the British Government arbiter in the disputes between his government and that of Baroda. The grants made by the Gáikwár for the support of the subsidiary force amounted in 1802 to 7,80,000 rupees.

Major Walker attempted to negotiate with the Arab guard, but the greater part of them flew to arms and released Kánhoji Gáikwár. The latter then tried to collect an army near Baroda, and succeeded in obtaining possession of the person of Anandráv the titular ruler. The British force then took Baroda by storm, after which most of the Arabs submitted, except a few who joined Kánhoji. The rest took the arrears due to them and left the country. Kánhoji was not subdued till February 1803. Malhárráv meanwhile had broken out in rebellion in Káthiávád and was plundering the Marátha possessions there. Bábáji Appáji and a young officer named Vítthal Deváji (or Divánji) led the operations against him; and to the latter belongs the honour of having captured this troublesome member of the ruling family. The estate of Nadiád, which had been assigned to Madhavráo by Gorindráv, was resumed by Rájvi Appáji and made over in its entirety to the British Government. A treaty, supplementary to that of 1802, was drawn up guaranteeing this cession as well as the *inam* or free gift of the fort and district of Kaira, "out of gratitude for the support given in the recent troubles to the Gáikwár's honour and for assistance in securing the good of the State."

THE
MARÁTHAS,
A.D. 1769 - 1819.

The Gáikwár's
Minister Rájvi.

Treaty of Bassein,
31st Dec. 1802.

Arabs
Disbanded.

Malhárráv
in Revolt,
1803.

THE
MARATHAS,
A.D. 1760-1819.

Contingent
Strengthened,
1803.

Death of Rāvji,
1803.

War with Sindia.

The Revenue
Collecting
Force.

Very soon after this agreement Rāvji applied for an addition to the subsidiary force, in payment of which he assigned Mātār Mahādha and the customs of Kim-Kathodra, a station about seventeen miles north of Surat. His reason for strengthening the subsidiary force appears to have been that owing to the reduction of the Arabs, his own force was not enough to guard even the frontier, and that a great part of that duty fell on the European contingent, which was numerically insufficient for service on so extended a scale. This was the last public act of note on the part of Rāvji Appa, who died in July 1803, after adopting one Sitārām to succeed to his estate.

Whilst these arrangements were being carried out at Baroda, Bājirāv Peshwa, chafing at the dependence to which his straits of the previous winter had reduced him with regard to the English, was actively propagating dissension between Sindia and the Calcutta Government. Not long after, the war that had been some time imminent broke out, and a contingent of 7352 men from Gujarat was ordered to the field. In August or September Broach and Pāvāgad¹ both fell to the British.

Under the treaty of Sirjō Anjangaon in December 1803, both Pāvāgad and Dohad were restored to Sindia, but Broach remained British. By this means one of the rising Marāṭha powers was extruded from the centre to the outlying portion of the province. The employment of all the British contingent against Sindia's possessions in Gujarat precluded Major Walker from furnishing any portion of the army that was annually sent to collect the tribute in Kāthiāvada. Rāvji Appāji had expressly stipulated that some part of the contingent might be so used when it could be spared from its main duties. The Supreme Government agreed to the proposal when made by Governor Duncan, on the grounds of the advantage both to the Gāikwār and the tributaries of employing on this disagreeable duty a strong and well-disciplined force. Already some of the tributaries had made overtures to Major Walker with a view to obtaining British protection against powerful neighbours. Governor Duncan was in favour of accepting the duty of protection and also of helping the Gāikwār's commander in his expeditions through the peninsula on these grounds. Firstly, the officer in command could exercise a certain supervision over the collections in which the British as part assignees had a direct interest. Secondly, a way could thus be opened for the acquisition of a port on the coast from which the intrigues, supposed to be carried on by agents from the Isle of France, could be watched and counteracted. From such a point, too, the views of the Bombay Government as regards Kachh could be promoted. Thirdly, the commandant could take steps to improve the system of forcible collections, and towards abolishing the barbarous features of this rude method of levying tribute. He could also, perhaps, suggest some system by which the advantages of all three parties concerned would be better secured than by reliance on the uncertainty of temporary expeditions. The fourth and last

¹ A celebrated hill fort south of Champāner in the Panch Mahāls district.

reason given savours strongly of the Marátha policy of the time, of which the leading maxim was *Divide et impera*. It was represented that Bábjí, who had successfully collected the tribute during 1802-03 and whose subordinate and companion Vithal Deváji was a person of similar energy and capability, might possibly acquire too great influence if left in a quasi-independent command at such a distance from the Court. It was politic, then, to join with the force under his command a strong foreign body, thus dividing both the power and the responsibility. The war with Sindia caused these proposals to fall into abeyance for some time.

Meanwhile the Resident at Poona was doing his best to secure for the Gáikwár a further lease for ten years of the farm of the Peshwa's dominions in Gujarát; so that the inconveniences of dual government might be avoided. In October 1804 a ten years' farm was granted in the name of Bhagvantráv Gáikwár at an annual rate of 4½ lakhs of rupees.

This grant led to the consolidation of all previous engagements into a single treaty, which was signed in April 1805. Previous agreements were confirmed and the whole brought into consonance with the treaty of Bassein. Districts yielding 11,70,000 rupees per annum were made over for the support of the subsidiary force, and arrangements were also made for the repayment of the cash loan advanced by the British Government in 1802, when the liquidation of the arrears due to the Arabs was a matter of urgent political necessity. The British contingent was to be available in part for service in Káthiáváda, whenever the British Government thought such an employment of it advisable.

Finally, the British Government was constituted arbiter in all disputes of the Gáikwár, not alone with foreign powers, but also in the adjustment of his financial transactions with the Peshwa his paramount power. These transactions, which ranged back from the capture of Dámáji in 1751, had never been the subject of a formal investigation, and were by this time complicated by the numerous engagements with third parties into which both governments had been obliged to enter at their various moments of distress. Bájráv, who was apparently intriguing for a Marátha coalition against his new protectors, was careful not to bring before the notice of the chiefs, whose esteem he wished to gain, a provision which exhibited him as in any way dependent upon the arbitration of a foreign power. He therefore granted the farm for ten years to the Gáikwár, as much by way of remanding for a time the proposed inquiries and settlement of their respective claims as for the purpose of diverting the attention of the British to the administration of this new appanage, whilst leaving him free scope for his intrigues in the Dakhan. He used, moreover, every pretext to defer the consideration of the Gáikwár question until he could make use of his claims to further his own designs. His success in preventing a discussion of these transactions is apparent by the fact that in the financial statement of the Gáikwár's affairs made by Colonel Walker in 1804, no mention of the Poona demand is to be found.

THE
MARATHA,
A.D. 1760-1819.

Renewal of
Farm,
1804.

The British and
the Gáikwár,
1805.

THE
MARATHAS,
A.D. 1760-1810.

No important event took place during the next year or two. Bābaji relinquished the command of the force in Kāthiāvāda in favour of Vithalrāv Devājī, whilst he himself took part in the civil administration at Baroda. The Resident, too, seems to have been likewise engaged in internal matters and in securing the country against an invasion by Kānhoji, now a fugitive at the court of Holkar.

1807.

In 1807 the Resident made over Aba Shelakar, late Sar Subhedar of the Peshwa, to the British Government, by whom he could be prevented from engaging in fresh conspiracies. After this Colonel Walker was at last enabled to leave Baroda in order to assist in the settlement of the Kāthiāvāda tribute question, an object he had long had in view, but which the necessity for his continuous presence at the Gāikwār's capital had hitherto prevented him from undertaking.

Kāthiāvāda
Tribute.

The changes with regard to the collection of the tribute from the chiefs of Kāthiāvāda that were carried out in 1807 deserve a special description. Firstly, they placed the relations of the tributary to the paramount power on quite a new basis. Secondly, by them the British influence over both parties concerned was much increased and the connection between the governments of Bombay and Baroda drawn closer. Thirdly, they were subsequently, as will be seen hereafter, the subject of much discussion and delay in the settlement of the questions at issue between the Peshwa and the Gāikwār. And lastly, their effect was most beneficial to both the chiefs and their subjects in removing the uncertainty that had hitherto pervaded the whole revenue administration of Kāthiāvāda.

Before entering on the details of the settlement itself, some description is necessary of the social and political state of the peninsula at the time the changes were introduced.

State of
Kāthiāvāda,
1807.

The greater part of the population of Kāthiāvāda consisted of two classes, chiefs and cultivators, called Bhumiās and ryots. The power of the chief ranged from the headship of a single village up to absolute jurisdiction over several score. The ryots were usually tenants long resident in the province. The chiefs were in almost every case foreigners, invaders from the north and north-east, Muhammadan adventurers from the court of Ahmedābād; Kāthiās animated by the love of plunder and cattle-lifting; and Miāns and Vāghelās who had settled on the coast on account of the facilities it afforded for their favourite pursuits of wrecking and piracy. More numerous than any others were the Rajputs, driven south by the disturbed state of their native kingdoms or by the restless spirit of military adventure to be found in a class where one profession alone is honourable. There is a certain uniformity in the building up of all these chieftainships. A powerful leader, with a sufficient band of followers, oppressed his weaker neighbours till they were glad to come to terms and place themselves under his protection, so as both to escape themselves and to take their chance of sharing in the plunder of others. It frequently happened in the growth of one of these states that the *bhāyad* or relations of the chief (who are sure to be numerous in a polygamous society) were influential enough to assume, in their turn, a partial independence and to claim recogni-

tion as a separate state. As a rule, however, they continued to unite with the head of the family against external foes, and only disagreed as to domestic administration. It is also noticeable that though so addicted to the profession of arms, the Rajputs cannot be called a military race; they possess few of the true military virtues; hence the slowness of their advance, and their failure in competition with perhaps less courageous though more compact and pliable races. In Kāthiāvāda fortified strongholds, formidable enough to an army moving rapidly without siege trains, arose in all directions, and even villages were surrounded by a high mud wall as a protection against cattle-lifters.

The groundwork of these states being itself so unstable, their relations with each other were conducted on no principle but the law of the stronger. General distrust reigned throughout. Each chief well knew that his neighbours had won their position as he had won his own by the gradual absorption of the weaker, and that they were ready enough whenever opportunity offered to subject his dominions to the same process. The administration of his territory consisted merely in levying, within certain limits sanctioned by long usage, as much revenue as would suffice to maintain himself and his forces in their position with regard to the surrounding states. When a foreign enemy appeared there was no co-operation amongst the local chiefs in resistance. It was a point of honour not to yield except to a superior force. Each chief, therefore, resisted the demands made upon him until he considered that he had done enough to satisfy the family conscience and then, agreeing to the terms proposed, he allowed the wave of extortion to pass on and deluge the domains of his neighbour. It should be remembered that the peninsula had never been subjugated, though overrun times innumerable. The evil of invasion was thus transitory. To a chief the mere payment of tribute fended in no wise to derogate from his independence. In his capacity of military freebooter he acknowledged the principle as just. His country had been won by the sword and was retained by the sword and not by acquiescence in the payment of tribute, so that if he could avoid this extortion he was justified in doing so. If he weakened his state in resisting foreigners, he knew that his neighbours would certainly take advantage of the favourable juncture and annex his territory. It was his policy therefore, after resistance up to a certain point, to succumb.

Owing to this local peculiarity and to the general want of union in the province, both the Mughals and Marāthās found it advantageous to follow a system of successive expeditions rather than to incur the expense of permanently occupying the peninsula with an army which would necessarily have to be a large one. There is every reason to believe that in adopting the raid system the Mussalmāns were only pursuing the practice of their predecessors, who used to take tribute from Jodhpur to Dvārka.

Some of the subhedarś of Ahmedābād divided their tributary district into three circuits of collection and personally undertook the

THE
MARATHAS.
A.D. 1760-1800.

State of
Kāthiāvāda,
1807.

The Revenue
Raid System.

THE
MARATHAS,
A.D. 1700-1819.

The Revenue
Raid System.

charge of one each year. This was the *mulakgiri* Land-raiding system. Besides this chief expedition, there was the smaller one of the Bābi of Junāgadh and the still more minute operations of the Rāval of Bhāvnagar against some of his weaker neighbours. The great Ahmedābād expedition had long been an annual grievance and was conducted with some show of system and under special rules called the *Raj-ul-Mulak*. Three of these rules are of importance, and seem to have been generally acquiesced in before the great incursions of Bābāji and Vithalrāv at the beginning of the nineteenth century. The first was that the paramount power (by which was meant the foreign government which was strong enough to enforce tribute from all the chiefs) had authority to interfere in cases of dismemberment, or in proceedings tending to the depreciation of the revenue or to the dismemberment of any tributary state. It was again an acknowledged rule that whilst the *mulakgiri* expedition of the paramount power was in motion no other army should be in the field throughout the whole province. The third provision was not so well established, but it appears to have been understood that the tribute from each state should be regulated by some standard of former date. In practice, however, the measure of the Marāṭha demand was simply the power to enforce payment.

It is worthy of remark that about the beginning of this century the resistance to the collection of tribute was stronger towards the west than in the east and south of the province. In the Mahi Kāntha the lawlessness of the Koli chiefs, who had established themselves in the ravines and on the hills, necessitated the employment of a military force for collections. In the neighbourhood of Bijāpur and Kadi, the chiefs would not pay tribute except under the compulsion of a siege or raid, but the *mulakgiri* system only reached its full development west of Dholka.

From these explanatory remarks the system and practice of the Marāṭhas can be clearly understood.

The Marāṭhas
in Sorath.

The Marāṭhas found their way to Sorath very early in their Gujarāt career. The first raid probably took place about 1711, when the Muhammadans were occupied near Ahmedābād. After this incursions were frequent, and under Dāmāji Gaikwār became, as has been seen above, annual. This leader did more. He took to wife a daughter of the Gohil chief of the small state of Lāṭhi in east central Kāthiāvāḍa, whose dowry in land gave him the standpoint he sought in the heart of the peninsula. He managed also to secure his position in what are known as the Amreli Mahāls, probably under the force of circumstances similar to those which caused the weaker Rajputs to gravitate towards the stronger of their own tribe. His expedition through the peninsula, generally as near the time of harvest as possible, was made regularly every year as soon as he had amassed a sufficient number of troops on the mainland to admit of a force being detached for *mulakgiri*. The object of these incursions was plunder, not conquest; the leaders would readily have entered into negotiations for the payment of the tribute had the chieftains been disposed to treat otherwise than after defeat. The expenses

of such an army were heavy, and the more so as the time during which it would be in the field was quite indefinite, and dependent entirely upon the amount of resistance offered. In more than one instance the Maráthá leaders, who usually had no artillery for a siege, were obliged to regularly beleaguer a town. Early in this century the town of Mália successfully defended itself against a remarkably well equipped force under Bábáji; and the Junágadh state was usually avoided by the Maráthás as much as possible on account of the time it would take to reduce its army to terms.

It is not on record that the mulakgiri force habitually devastated the country over which it passed, or caused much greater hardships to the ryots than are inseparable from the passage of an army in the field. There are, however, well authenticated stories of the depredations and damage committed during these expeditions. A village is said to have been deserted by order of the *bhumia* in order that the timber of its houses might furnish fuel for the Maráthá army on its march. Tortures were doubtless inflicted on men supposed to be well off, who were suspected to have hidden their property. A Maráthá army was usually, if not always, ill disciplined, as is proved by the testimony of Mr. Forbes, an eye witness of the campaigns of 1775.¹ From the same writer it is learned what an immense proportion the camp followers bore to the actual combatants. If this were the case in a real campaign against a formidable and active enemy, it is likely that the irresponsible element was still larger in an expedition like this of mulakgiri, where the enemy was insignificant and the country at the mercy of the invaders. It is probable therefore that the troops have been credited with misconduct that should in point of fact be attributed to these Pindháris. In after years, when the expeditions were conducted systematically, villages on the line of march were always allowed the alternative of entertaining a pioneer or two as a sort of guarantee. If no *bandhári* of this sort were accepted, the army occupied the place. In many cases the demands for supplies made by these pioneers were so exorbitant that the villagers preferred to compound in turn with them, also for their absence. Another method by which a chieftain might avoid the necessity of the army's passing through his territories was by sending to the commander of the expedition an envoy empowered to treat for the amount of tribute and to execute a provisional guarantee for its future liquidation. This deed was destroyed on the subsequent confirmation by the chief himself of the agreement for the sum fixed.

This habit of taking securities in all engagements was so prevalent in all parts of the province, and played so prominent a part in the financial administration of the Gaikvár's home and tributary domains, that its main features are worth describing.

It is a well known characteristic of Hindu dealings that no transaction is carried on by two parties alone if a third can possibly be dragged in. This practice no doubt originated in the former insecure

THE
MARATHÁS,
A.D. 1700-1819.

The Maráthás
in Scythia.

Securities.

¹ Oriental Memoirs.

THE
MARATHAS,
A.D. 1760-1819,
Securities.

state of society, when no man considered himself safe in person or property from government on the one hand and his neighbour on the other. With classes like Kolis and predatory Rajputs, the feeling is intelligible enough, and from these it spread into other branches of the society. To such a pitch was distrust carried in the early part of the nineteenth century, that the Gaikwār himself could find no one to enter into a contract with him without the guarantee of one of his own subjects. The consequences of this practice and the power it threw into the hands of the Arab mercenaries, who were the principal securities for the public debts, are matters that touch the history of the Baroda State rather than that of the province. The chiefs in their dealings employed a special sort of security which owed its validity not to political consideration like that of the Arab Jamādārs but entirely to its religious and traditional character.

Bhāts and
Chāraṇs,
1867.

A society of the military type like the Rajput has a tendency towards caste and privilege. Without a leader the warlike instincts of the tribe would not carry them beyond petty robberies; whilst with a leader they can achieve greater exploits of valour and destruction. The successful chief then is idolized, and after a certain stage the privileges of the chieftainship become hereditary. Once this system is established, the celebration of ancestors follows, and when circumstances are favourable to the perpetuation of the hereditary position, the genealogy of the chief is a matter of the highest importance, and the person entrusted with the record of this is vested with peculiar sanctity. It is the genealogist's duty to enter in the record, not only the direct line but the names of the more distant relations of the chief by whom he is retained, and also to be the continual chanter of the glorious deeds of their common ancestors. He is therefore a referee of the highest authority in questions of pedigree or of the partition of inheritance. An injury to his person might entail the loss of the pedigree of the ruling family (especially as many of the bards kept no written record) and thus produce a misfortune which would be felt by the whole tribe. The chief, being a warrior, must take his chance in the field with the rest, but the person of the genealogist was sacred and inviolable. Amongst the Rajputs the greatest reverence was paid to purity of pedigree, and each principal family had its Bhāt to record births and deaths amongst its members and to stimulate pride in their lineage by the recital of the wars and exploits of their ancestors.

These Bhāts necessarily multiplied beyond the number of the families that could entertain them, so that many took to banking and some to cultivation. Surrounded as they were by the social system of the Hindus, it was not long before they became differentiated into a distinct caste, and the inviolability of their persons, formerly due only to respect for the pedigree, was now extended to the whole tribe, even though a large proportion of it performed none of the duties of genealogists. Similar to the Bhāts in many respects, notably in that of sacredness of person, were the Chāraṇs, numerous in Kāthiāvāḍa, where they had founded villages and lived as ordinary

cultivators. This tribe also claimed divine origin like the race whose annals they had the privilege of recording. It is said that Rāja Todar Mal, the celebrated minister of the Dehli empire, was the first to introduce the practice of taking these Bhāts as securities for the Rajputs. The assertion is possibly true, but rests merely on tradition, and after ages usually find some great man as a sponsor for all such innovations. It is clear, however that for many years before 1807 no dealings of Kolis or Rajputs with the state or with each other took place without the security of a Bhāt being taken. This practice seems to have been as prevalent on the mainland as in the peninsula, the Kolis having doubtless borrowed it from their Rajput neighbours after the Bhāts had become a separate caste.

Under this system the Bhāts acquired considerable wealth, as they usually demanded a percentage on the amount for which they became security. There are instances in which they presumed upon the strength of their engagements and sacred character to bully or dictate to their employer. Such was the case of the Rāval of Bhāvnagar in 1808, which is also interesting in another way, as showing how the spirit of industry and commerce tends to sap the old observances which have their roots in superstition. This chief engaged in trade, fostered merchants, and increased his revenue. When his security, a Bhāt, got troublesome and interfering, he applied to the power to whom he paid tribute to have the old security bond cancelled and a fresh one taken on his own personal responsibility. In doing this he seems to have been prompted by nothing but his appreciation of the modern code of commercial honour.

To return to the *mulakgiri*. The tribute for which preliminary security had been taken seems to have fluctuated from year to year, but always with reference to a fixed standard. It was one of the Marāthā rules never to recede from a former demand lest they should be thereby setting up a precedent for future years. They preferred to secure a year or two's arrears at the full rate to the payment of all the arrears due at a reduced rate.

In spite of this fiction of a settled *jama* or tribute, the Marāthās, when they had a sufficient force at their back, invariably demanded a larger sum, the excess being called *khara-jāt* or extra distinct from the actual tribute. This ingenious plan of increasing the collections originated, it is said, with Shivrām Gārdi, and was carried out scrupulously by both Bābāji and Vithalrāv in their tours. In fact during the last few years of the old system Vithalrāv had so good a force with him that the extra demand formed a large proportion of the whole tribute collected and had been paid only under strong protest. The British had not long been established in Rānpur, Gogha, and Dbandhuka before a few petty chiefs of Gohilvād and Sorath applied to the Resident at Baroda for protection against the *mulakgiri* of the Nawāb of Jūnāgadh and the Rāval of Bhāvnagar, offering to cede the sovereignty of their states to the British on condition that certain rights and privileges were preserved to the chiefs and their families. The conditions they named were not such as were likely to meet with the approval of the British Government, and do

THE
MARATHAS,
A.D. 1760-1819.

White and
Chama,
1807.

British
Intervention.

THE
MALĀTHĀS,
A.D. 1760-1819.

British
Intervention.

not seem to have received much consideration. The proposals had, however, the effect of drawing the attention of the Bombay Government towards the state of Kāthiāvāḍa, and permission to aid the mulakgiri of the Gāikwār by detaching a few companies of British troops was accorded by the Supreme Government. The outbreak of hostilities with Sindia led to the whole question as to the best means of collecting the tribute being for a time deferred. The internal disputes of some of the more turbulent states, a few years afterwards, gave the Resident an opportunity of sending an envoy to one or two courts to see how matters stood, and to open a way for a settlement in conjunction with the Gāikwār. Affairs at Baroda, as mentioned above (page 416), detained the Resident there till 1807, in which year he joined Vithalrāv's army with a British contingent, at a place in the Morvi state.

Settlement
of 1807.

Before treating directly with the chiefs a circular was sent round to all of them both by the Gāikwār's agent and by Colonel Walker the Resident, containing the basis of the proposals with regard to the tribute about to be submitted to them. The position of the British Government throughout this negotiation is not clearly defined. Vithalrāv in his circular mentions indeed that a British force was with his own, but urges the chiefs to come to a settlement entirely with the government he represented. Colonel Walker's note was longer, more explicit, and conciliatory, but at the same time assumes a tone of protection and superiority. The replies of the chiefs were various; and, as a rule, seem to show that they regarded the British Government as the chief mover in these negotiations. They were probably aware of the position in which the engagements of the Gāikwār had placed him with reference to the British, and for some years had had the latter as their neighbours in the east of the peninsula. They were therefore not able at once to take in the whole scope of the action of the British Government in the tribute question.

Many seemed to take the note as a preliminary to a mulakgiri on the part of the East India Company. The Rāja of Mālīā, who had just been causing disturbances in the dominions of all his neighbours, had repulsed Bābāji and permitted the self-immolation of a Bhāt rather than fulfil an engagement, openly proposed a joint expedition across the Ran to plunder Kachh, and Sindh. From the inquiries made by the Resident and from information gathered from the Gāikwār's accounts, it was anticipated that separate engagements need only be entered into with the twenty-nine chiefs to whom the circular invitation had been issued, provided that the rights and interests of subordinate members of the Bhāyād were clearly defined in the agreement. When, however, these rights came to be investigated in the light of the peculiar rules of Rajput inheritance, it was found that no less than one hundred and fifty-three persons had a claim to settle independently of each other for their tribute. This greatly prolonged the settlement, but at last the agreements were all framed on one principle. The amount settled was determined by a close scrutiny of the collections of past years, and Colonel Walker found it advisable to make great

reductions in the item of extras or *kharājāt*, for which the later Gaikwār collectors had such predilection. The engagements were of the following nature.

First, the chief bound himself his heirs and successors to pay at Baroda each year the tribute fixed in perpetuity in 1807. He also procured a counter security for this payment who engaged himself in this capacity for ten years. The Honourable Company's government had then to become security on the part of the Gaikwār for the fixity of the tribute demanded. This participation of the British in the engagement was insisted upon by the chiefs, and in all probability Colonel Walker was not averse from admitting it. Having thus arranged for the payment of the tribute and guaranteed the amount to be demanded, it was proposed to take measures to prevent internal quarrels between the chiefs themselves. The object of a fixed settlement was simply to remove the necessity for overrunning the country from time to time with an irregular army and to protect the chiefs against extortion. It was found that if the army of the paramount power were removed, all means of keeping order in the province would be lost, and the internecine feuds of the chiefs would soon destroy the good effects of the permanent settlement by materially altering the then existing position of the weaker feudatories and rendering them unable to pay the tribute. It was also the wish of the British Government to bring about such a state of things in Kāthiāvāda that the presence of an army to control the chiefs would be wholly uncalled-for and that the chiefs themselves would co-operate to keep order and maintain the permanent settlement.

A second agreement therefore was called for from each signatory state of the nature of a security for good and peaceful conduct. The counter security to this was usually that of another chief. This bond was perpetual. On the execution of both these engagements the chief received a *paredna* or guarantee that the Gaikwār government would not take from him more than the tribute agreed upon, and to this deed the countersignature of the Resident on behalf of the British Government was affixed. This guarantee, like the promise of the chief himself, was apparently given in perpetuity. It will be noted that the amount of tribute was fixed permanently, but that it was considered advisable to renew the security every ten years. It is also remarkable that, except in the *faizāmīn* or bond for good behaviour, the name of the Peshwa's government, the rights of which over the tribute had only been temporarily alienated, does not appear. The total amount of the tribute thus settled was Rs. 9,79,882.

By means of these engagements the relations of the tributaries to their paramount power were made a matter of contract, instead of as heretofore a series of uncertain and arbitrary exactions dependent upon the respective means of coercion and resistance.

Seven years of the lease granted to the Gaikwār in 1804 by the Peshwa still remained unexpired and during at least six of these

THE
MARATHAS,
A.D. 1160-1519.

Settlement
of 1807.

Financial.

Political.

Peshwa's Share
in Kāthiāvāda.

THE
MAKÁTHÁ,
A.D. 1760-1819.

Peshwa's Share
in Káthiáváda.

the arrangements that had been made about the Káthiáváda tribute do not seem to have been officially communicated to the Peshwa's government. It was not until 1815, when the Resident at Poona was trying to procure the renewal of the lease for the Gaikwár, that an account of the settlement was drawn up in a draft agreement which the Resident submitted to Bájiráv. In this draft the curious mistake was made of mentioning the settlement instead of only the security bond as decennial. The Peshwa, whose policy was to protract negotiations, submitted in his turn a second draft which he said he was willing to sign. In this he seized at once on the supposition that the tribute was fixed only for ten years and stipulated for an increase at the expiration of that period. He also demanded that certain extra collections should be refunded by the Gaikwár, and assumed the British Government to have become security for the tribute owed by the chiefs to his own government.

It was evident that no accord would be reached on the lines of either of these draft agreements as they stood. Before others were prepared, Gangádhār Shástri had been murdered and the treaty of June 1817 was a completed act, leaving further negotiations unnecessary.

Later
Arrangements.

Meanwhile the tribute since the expiry of the term of 1804 had been collected by a joint British and Gaikwár expedition, for it was found that partly from their own disputes and partly owing to the instigation of the agents of Bájiráv, the chiefs were little disposed to act up to the engagements of 1807, either with respect to tribute or good conduct. The Peshwa, whose interference in the affairs of the peninsula had been constantly discouraged, declined to trouble himself to collect the tribute, the responsibility of which he asserted rested entirely upon the British and Gaikwár governments. He subsequently ceded the tribute to the British Government on account of military expenses. After his fall in 1819 his territories, including the rights in Gujarát, fell to the British Government, and in 1820 the Gaikwár arranged that the whole of the Káthiáváda tribute, except that due from the districts directly subordinate to Baroda, should be collected by the agency of the British.

The
Mahi Kántha.

Turning to the events on the mainland, we find that soon after Colonel Walker's return from the Káthiáváda expedition, he introduced the Káthiáváda tribute system into the Mahi Kántha, in spite of the opposition of Sitáráam Rávji and the anti-English party in the Darbár.

Supplementary
Treaty,
1805.

The territory ceded for the payment of the British contingent in 1805 was found to yield less revenue than had been anticipated, so in 1808 a treaty supplementary to the consolidating one of 1805 was drawn up, allotting additional assignments amounting to about 1,76,168 rupees to the British. This revenue was derived partly from alienated villages in Nadiád, Mahudha, Dholka, Mátar, and near the Ranjar Ghát. The *ghásdána* or tribute of Bhávnagar was also made over by this agreement. With regard to this latter

acquisition, it is to be noticed that the agreement is drawn up in the name of the Honourable Company alone, and not in that of the British Government on account of Anandrāv Gáikwár. It also differs from other engagements of a similar nature in containing a provision against the contingency of future irregular demands being made by the Peshwa's army. The reason for this distinction is evidently that the Bhávnagar contribution was not part of the Káthiáváda revenue farmed to the Gáikwár by Bájiráv, and was thus not divisible on the expiration of the lease. The right to this tribute rested with the British by virtue of the previous cession of Gogha, of which sub-division the fifty nine villages of the Bhávnagar Bháyád formed part.

Next year the Okhámandal chiefs, who had not come under the settlement of 1807, were driven to engage not to continue their piratical depredations along the coast, and to admit one Sandarji Shivji as Resident on behalf of the British Government. The Gáikwár government then, too, seems to have become their counter security, an arrangement which led to misunderstandings a short while afterwards.

THE
MARATHAS.
A.D. 1760-1819.

Okhámandal.
1809.

In 1811, some disturbances in Navánagar and Junágadh and symptoms of discontent in Okhámandal took the Resident from Baroda into the peninsula with part of the British contingent.

Disturbances in
Káthiáváda,
1811.

The Jám of Navánagar had got involved in pecuniary transactions with the Ráv of Kachh, and the British Government had mediated with a view of arranging for the repayment by gradual instalments. The Jám, however, repudiated all the engagements of 1807 both as regards the debt and the tribute, ejected the Gáikwár's agent from his dominions, and prepared for war. He also began to incite the neighbouring chiefs to join in sweeping out the paramount power from the whole of Káthiáváda. It was not till after a considerable show of force that he laid down his arms and came to terms. Captain Carnac, the Resident, got him to submit the Kachh claims to the arbitration of the English Government, and after fixing them at Rs. 4,33,830, Captain Carnac made an arrangement similar to that originally intended.

There remained the question of a disputed succession in Junágadh. Bahádur Khán, son of a slave girl, was put forward in opposition to a younger aspirant, Salábat Khán, reputed to be the son of a lady of the Rádhanpur house. The Baroda government with the concurrence of the Resident had admitted the claims of the latter. On a report, however, by the Assistant Resident in Káthiáváda, Captain Carnac was induced to alter his opinion and to support Bahádur Khán, on the grounds that Salábat Khán was a spurious child, and that Bahádur was ready to make concessions of value to the Gáikwár government. The Bombay Council, however, disavowed all countenance of the claims of Bahádur Khán, and the matter was let drop.

In the year 1812 the Gáikwár had paid off the pecuniary loan borrowed in 1803 from the British Government, but there still

1812.

THE
MARÁTHÁS,
A.D. 1769-1819.

1813-14.

remained the debts for which that government had become *dhandlari* or security in place of the ejected *jamdārs* of the Arab force. These claims could not be paid off for at least two years longer, so that for that period the Resident was ordered to maintain the same close supervision of Baroda affairs as heretofore.

The next two years were spent chiefly in discussions with the Poona government about the old claims by the Peshwa on the Gaikwār's estate. There is no doubt that at the time of his death, Dāmaji had not paid up nearly all that he had bound himself in 1753 to pay. On the other hand there had been at least six intermediate compacts between the Peshwa and various members of the Gaikwār family. Amongst others was that of 1768 fixing the arrears of the previous three years, that of 1778 and of 1781, by the tenth clause of which Fatehsingh was excused payment of arrears for the time during which he was engaged in hostilities against Rāghobā. Then came the agreement with Govindrāv in 1797, to which a sort of debit and credit account is appended.

Peshwa Intelliger
in Baroda,
1814.

The Peshwa had been content, for reasons that have been shown above, to let these claims lie dormant during the currency of the ten years' farm. But, as the question of the renewal of this agreement became imminent, he gradually opened more frequent communications with the Baroda council, using these claims as a pretext for sounding the disposition of the chief officials and ascertaining their feelings especially towards the British Government. When the negotiations for the settlement of these claims were fairly set on foot, he used every possible means to protract them till he had finally decided what he should do in 1814, when the Ahmedābād farm expired.

It was easy for Bājirāv to discover who were the malcontents at the Baroda Court. Sitārām, the adopted son of Rājji Appāji, having been found both incompetent and untrustworthy in the management of affairs, had been practically removed from any post of influence in the council, and was moreover chafing at the refusal of the British Government to recognize him in the same way as they had done his father. He had also been superseded as Suba of Kāthiāvāda by Vithalrāv Devāji. Under these circumstances, and finding that he had the support of a large number of the older court party against the authority of the Resident and of his native agent, he either himself opened communications with Bājirāv or readily listened to the counsels sent to him direct from Poona. Before long, agents were sent to the Peshwa's Court by Takhtbāi, wife of Anandrāv, with instructions, it is supposed, to thwart all the proposals and designs of Gangādhar Shāstri, who had been recently sent as envoy by the Gaikwār council of administration. The chief obstacle to the settlement of the Peshwa's claims was the counter-demand made by the Baroda government on account of Brouch, which had been disposed of without the Gaikwār's consent, and also on account of the damage caused by the inroads of Aba Shelukar, when accredited agent of Bājirāv in Gujarat.

There is no need to detail here the events that took place in Poona during these negotiations. On the expiration of the farm in 1814,

Bājirāv appointed Trimbakji Dengle Sarsuba of Ahmedābād. The latter, however, did not leave Poona, where his presence was indispensable to his master, but sent agents with instructions rather of a political than of a fiscal nature. He himself undertook the task of disposing of Gangādhar Shāstri, whom he caused to be assassinated at Pandharpur in July 1815.

Meanwhile the Jām of Navānagar had died leaving a disputed succession. The chief's Khavās or family slaves, instigated probably by agents from Ahmedābād, began to usurp the government, and the whole question was submitted by the Darbār to the Peshwa as being lord paramount. The Ahmedābād commander sent a body of two hundred cavalry to Navānagar, but before they could arrive, the Khavās' revolt had been quelled by a British force detached from the contingent. They therefore dispersed through the province inciting discontent and revolt amongst the Jāts and Kāthīs. In Kaira they instigated a tribe of Kolis to attack the British lines by night. Sītārām Rāvji's adherents also collected a force at Dhār, a state well-known for lending itself for such purposes, and kept the frontier in confusion. Severe measures at Poona and Baroda soon put an end to this state of things, and at last Trimbakji Dengle was surrendered to the British Government to answer for his share in the murder of Gangādhar Shāstri. The discussion of the Gāikwār's debts, however, was carried on all through the year at Poona, whilst Bājirāv was maturing his then vacillating plans for extirpating the British from the west of India.

THE
MARATHAS.
A.D. 1700-1818.
Peshwa Intrigue
in Baroda,
1814.

Okhāmandal
ceded to the
Gāikwār.

In 1816 the chiefs of Okhāmandal again betook themselves to piracy. Their territory was occupied by a British force. It will be remembered that in 1809 the Gāikwār's government had become counter security for these chiefs, but owing to the distance of the district from a military post, the Baroda authorities found themselves unable to spare troops enough to put a check on the misconduct of their tributaries. In A.D. 1816, at the time of occupation, the Bombay Government informed the Baroda administration that they had no wish to permanently establish themselves at so distant a spot, which contained, moreover, a much frequented shrine of Hindu worship, and that they were willing to put the Gāikwār in possession if he would engage to keep up a sufficient force in the district to protect the neighbouring ports and shores from the pirates and wreckers that infested the island of Dwārkā and the adjoining mainland. The Bombay Government made a point of asserting on this occasion, in opposition apparently to some proposal by the Baroda Darbār, that they could not admit that the mere fact of having become security or counter-security gave any preferential right to the possession of the country. Finally, the Gāikwār government agreed to the condition proposed, and the district was made over to them.

In the same year (A.D. 1816) British aid was invoked by the Nawāb of Junāgadh who was oppressed by a too powerful minister, backed by the Arab mercenaries. After a settlement of this dispute had been satisfactorily brought about, the Nawāb, in gratitude, waived his rights to tribute over the territories recently ceded to

British Aid at
Junāgadh.

The
MARATHAS,
A.D. 1760-1819.

the British in the peninsula, where his family had formerly great influence and considerable property. The escape of Trimbakji Dangle from Thana, and the subsequent attempts of the Peshwa to prevent the re-capture of his favourite and to re-unite the Maráthá confederacy, led to the execution of a fresh treaty on June 13th, 1817, in accordance with the orders of the Supreme Government.

Treaty of
Poona,
1817.

It was intended to bind the Peshwa in such a way that he could never again enjoy the ascendancy amongst the Maráthá chiefs to which he aspired. The Resident at Poona took this opportunity of also putting an end to the discussions about the mutual claims on each other by the Poona and Baroda governments. The Peshwa agreed to abandon all claims on any territory in possession of the Gaikwár and to accept an annual payment of four lakhs of rupees in satisfaction of all previous debts. The farm of Gujarát was made perpetual to the Gaikwár on the payment of four and a half lakhs annually, but the Káthiáváda tribute was made over to the British Government in liquidation of military expenses. The latter Government, by this treaty, also entered into possession of the Peshwa's revenue in Gujarát, except that of Ulpád, which had been assigned to a favourite officer. All the Peshwa's rights north of the Narbada were also ceded.

Treaty with
the Gaikwár,
1817-18.

These conditions necessitated a readjustment of the agreements with the Gaikwár. On November 1817, a definitive treaty, afterwards supplemented by one of November 1818, was executed between the Baroda and British Governments. The force furnished by the former state was found inefficient and the employment of a larger body of British troops was therefore necessary. To pay for these the Gaikwár ceded his share in the fort of Ahmedábád and the districts immediately surrounding that city.¹ He also made over some districts near Surat, and the town of Umreth in Kaira with the whole of the rights acquired by the perpetual farm of Ahmedábád. The British remitted the *maghlái* or dues taken by the Nawábs of Surat on the Gaikwár's possessions near that city. Okhámandal having now been pacified, was also given up to the Gaikwár, but revolted four months afterwards and was not again subdued for a considerable time.

1819.

At the final settlement of the dominions of the late Peshwa in 1819, the whole of his rights in Gujarát passed in sovereignty to the British, who remitted the four lakhs due from the Gaikwár in composition of arrears claimed by Bájiráv. The next year a special inquiry was made into the respective shares of the Peshwa and Baroda governments in the Káthiáváda tribute and in the extra allowance levied by the Gaikwár called *ghás-dána* allowance. In the course of this inquiry so many abuses of power and instances of extortion on the part of the Gaikwár's officers were brought to light, that the Bombay Government on these grounds, and on account also of the general deterioration in the province since the

1820.

¹ Known as Daakroi.

Gaikwār's troops were stationed there, prevailed upon Sayājirāy, who had now succeeded to the throne, to let the duty of collection be undertaken and superintended by a British officer stationed in Kāthiavāda, who should, however, employ the Gaikwār's troops on occasions of necessity. A similar arrangement was made with regard to the Mahi Kāntha, where the effects of the settlement of 1811 had been much weakened by the disorderly conduct of the Gaikwār's troops stationed there. The administration of nearly the whole of the province passed into the hands of the British and the period of Marātha ascendancy came to an end.

It remains to review generally the nature and characteristics of the Marātha connection with Gujarāt, the chief events in which have been chronicled above. The most prominent feature has already been indicated at the beginning of this section and is apparent throughout the whole narrative. It is, in fact, the small space in history occupied during this period by the people, compared with the share appropriated to the actions of the government and its delegates. The reasons for this are as easily seen as the fact itself. From first to last the Marātha interests in Gujarāt were, except at one or two special junctures, simply pecuniary ones. In comparison with other countries within reach of Marātha arms, Gujarāt has always had a very large proportion of inhabitants engaged in commerce and manufacturing industries. It was the object of Śivāji to get as much booty as he could and carry it away then and there; hence the commercial classes and manufacturers presented the most favourable opportunities for pillage, and the agriculturists were at first only molested in forage and provisions. Rapidity of action was another of Śivāji's aims, so not only were his visits short and their effects transitory, but all his booty consisted of property that could be carried away by his horsemen. No women or followers accompanied his expeditions, no prisoners were made excepting the few who could afford to pay a heavy ransom. Torture was resorted to only when the captive was suspected of having concealed his treasure. Cows women and cultivators were, according to Śivāji's system, exempted from capture. Assignments on revenue were seldom made by him for fear of weakening his own authority. Subsequently the Marātha demands became more regular and assumed the form of a certain proportion of the revenue. The *sar-deshmukhi* and *chauth* were supposed to be calculated on the standard assessment so as to avoid subsequent claims as tribute or over-collection. In reality, however, they consisted of a fixed share in actual collections together with whatever extras the officer in charge could manage to extort, and which were, of course, kept undefined in any agreement. The expeditions, too, moved more leisurely and in greater force. The passes and roads in their rear were protected by their own comrades, so that the booty could be brought to the Dakhan in carts, and more bulky property therefore was removed than in former times. The times, too, when the demands were likely to be made were known to the headmen of the district and village, so that the cultivators could be pressed beforehand to furnish their share of the

THE
MARATHAS,
A.D. 1780-1819.
Close of Marātha
Supremacy,
1819.

General
Review.

THE
MARATHAS,
A.D. 1760-1819.

General
Review.

contributions. The extortion by this means passed from the commercial classes down to the agriculturists, the latter having also the burden of supporting a larger and more cumbersome army for a longer period.

When the power of the Dābhāde and his deputy the Gādkwār was fairly established, a regular system of administration was introduced. It will be remembered that by the treaty of 1729 as few Marāthā officers were to be employed as possible beyond those necessary to collect the Dābhāde's share of the revenue. In consequence, however, of the internal struggles of the Muhammadan chiefs, this minimum quota grew to be a large establishment, with the usual accompaniment of alienations and assignments for the support of the officers and their religious institutions which the weakness of the central power had allowed to become customary. The Dābhāde himself was non-resident and his deputy usually being too valuable an assistant to be spared from the arena of Dakhan politics, the collection was left to sub-deputies and their subordinates, who in turn delegated a great part of their duties to village officers and even to strangers. The Dābhādes, who were throughout more interested in the Dakhan than in Gujarāt, had, no doubt, an idea of raising up a power in the latter province in opposition to the administration of the Peshwa, which was conducted purely by Brāhman agency. It was soon evident, however, that all that could be done politically with Gujarāt was to make it a treasury for the support of schemes that had to be carried out in the Dakhan.

The fertility of the soil and the facilities the country afforded for commerce and manufactures both tended to make it unlikely to become a field for recruiting. The inhabitants of the towns had fixed and lucrative occupations; the cultivators were mostly of a class which on account of the fertility of their land neither Muhammadan nor Marāthā had been able to impoverish. The Marāthās had still to seek for soldiers in the rugged and barren country on the Ghāts and in the Konkan, where the people could only look for a hand-to-mouth existence if they remained at home. The warlike tribes of Gujarāt were, as has been already seen, too proud by birth and position to engage themselves to fight for any but their own race and interest. The aboriginal races were not likely to prove effective allies even if they had been willing to move from their own woods and fortresses. None of the Marāthā governors of Gujarāt seem to have consistently attempted to weld the various interests subordinate to them into a cohesion and unity that they might have made politically useful against the Poona influence. All that they endeavoured to do was to draw from their charge as much revenue as possible and to keep out interlopers. To the taxpayer the result was the same, whether his district was invaded by Kantāji or Pilāji. If one anticipated the other in carrying off the harvest, the ryot still had to pay the latter for ejecting the intruder. The only resistance to be feared by the Marāthās was that, not of the cultivators, but of their own race or of the Rajput Girsās. These latter were treated in all districts as mere robbers, probably because the class which bears that name near Rājpipla

where the Maráthas first came in contact with it subsists usually on blackmail. In the north, however, the Gírádis were land-owners of great influence and fixed residence, not likely to be conciliated by the knowledge that the invaders of their country classed them along with Bhils and Kolis as *mekudás* or outlaws.

In order to relieve the chief officials of direct responsibility for the revenue, the Gáikwár towards the last quarter of the eighteenth century if not before, introduced the system of letting out each revenue sub-division in farm for from one to five years at a fixed annual rate. The farmer was as often as not an absentee, but the supervision and administration were never entrusted to any one but a Marátha Bráhman. The revenue for the year was settled by an inspection of the accounts of previous years and the crops of each village. The amount was taken in kind, but the actual distribution of the whole on individual cultivators was left to the headman, who was in most cases made responsible for the assessment imposed on his village.

The frequent passages of hostile armies and other causes had left much culturable land a desert. In order to restore the population and induce colonists to settle and cultivate in such spots, leases on favourable terms were granted to *desáis*, who administered the land as they pleased, and were directly responsible to the head revenue authority of the sub-division for the annual rent. The *patels* and other village officials also made use of their position with reference to the foreign supervisors in appropriating large tracts of waste land to their own uses. The *kamavísádar* or farmer for the time being was interested only in recouping himself for the amount he had agreed to pay the Marátha government, together with a margin for bribes paid to underlings at head-quarters for good offices with regard to the farm. He was ready, therefore, to make use of any agency in collecting his revenue that he found effective, and which saved the cost of a personal establishment. In many parts of the country there were hereditary village headmen accustomed to the duty of extorting money from unwilling ryots. In other places, such for instance as Dholka, it had been customary for certain Muhammadans called *Kasbátis*, to become responsible for the revenue of certain villages in return for a discount on the *jama* or amount collected (*manoti*). These *manotiddars* were found so useful by the Marátha officials that they gradually acquired an hereditary position and claimed proprietary rights in the villages for which they had been formerly mere agents for collection. They also acted as *desáis* or colonists, and succeeded in getting their leases of certain tracts renewed long after they had ceased to actively improve the land, which had in fact been all brought under regular cultivation.

Such was the agency employed in administering the revenue. The *kamavísádar* was also the dispenser of justice both civil and criminal. As his object was to make money and not to improve the condition of his charge, his punishments consisted chiefly in fines, and most offences could be paid for. No record of trials was

THE
MARATHAS.
A.D. 1760-1818.

General
Review.

THE
MARÁTHAS,
A.D. 1760-1819.

General
Review.

kept except a memorandum of the amount passed at each decision to the credit of the farmer. In civil suits sometimes one-fourth of the amount in dispute was assigned as costs and appropriated by the court. The Girásíás in their own territory exercised somewhat similar jurisdiction, but grave crimes with violence were apparently left to the party injured or his relations to decide after the manner of the offence. Arbitration, too, was a frequent mode of deciding differences of both civil and criminal nature, but the *kamávédár* or *girálatá* usually managed that the State should not be a loser by such a method of settlement.

The whole system indicates clearly enough the slight hold the Maráthás had on the province and their desire to make the most out of it for the furtherance of court intrigues or political ends above the Gháts. There is nothing to show that they contemplated a permanent colonization of the country until the British Government undertook the task of dividing the Maráthá nation by the establishment of a powerful and independent court at Baroda.

The home of the Maráthás was always the Dakhan, and for many years after they had effected a lodgment in Gujarát, their army regularly returned for the rainy season to the country from whence they originally came. Their leaders were encouraged to be as much as possible near the court by the Dábháde, or the regent on the one side and by the Peshwa on the other: the former on account of their weight with the army and the Maráthá chiefs, the latter in order that their influence in a distant dependency might not grow beyond what prudence recommended or might be counteracted if its tendency to increase became manifest. For similar reasons no force was allowed to be maintained in Gujarát sufficient to consolidate the Maráthá acquisitions there into a manageable whole. Dámáji Gaikwár, had he lived, would undoubtedly have done much towards this end by means of his personal influence; but, as it happened, the thin crust of Maráthá domination rapidly disappeared before it either was assimilated into the system of the province or hardened over it. A military occupation of a large and civilised district at a distance from the mother-country, and prevented by the jealousy of the central authority and the short-sightedness of those in charge of its exploitation, from either conforming itself to the elements it found already established, or absorbing the vital forces of the government it dispossessed, a system without the breath of life, without elasticity, without the capacity of self-direction, imposed bodily upon a foreign people, without even the care of preparing a foundation, such seems to have been the Maráthá government, containing within itself all that was necessary to ensure a precarious, but while it lasted, an oppressive existence.

GUJARÁT DISTURBANCES,
1857-1859.

BY

L. R. ASHBURNER Esq., C.S.I.,
LATE OF H.M.'S BOMBAY CIVIL SERVICE.

[CONTRIBUTED MAY 1890.]

GUJARÁT DISTURBANCES.

1857-1859.

VERY soon after the outbreak of the mutinies in the North-West of India in May 1857, an uneasy feeling began to prevail in the Bombay Presidency, especially in Gujarát. The story of the pressed cartridges had been industriously repeated and found credulous listeners in every village. A similar incident occurred in Gujarát. A consignment of salt from the Ran of Kachh having been carried in bags which had previously held red ochre (*siudar*) had become discoloured. This was observed at Sádra in the Mahi Káñha as the salt was in transit to Rajputána, and a report was at once spread that the salt had been defiled with cow's blood. It was believed in Ahmedábad and throughout Gujarát that this was a device of the British Government to destroy the caste of the people as a preliminary to their forcible conversion to Christianity.

GUJARÁT
DISTURBANCES,
1857-1859.

The Red Salt
Scare,
1857.

About the time that the cakes or *chapátis* were being circulated throughout the North-West of India, a common pariah dog was passed from village to village in the Púneh Máhla and eastern Gujarát. It was never ascertained who first set the dog in motion, but it came from the Central India frontier with a basket of food which was given to the village dogs, and a similar supply with the dog was forwarded to the next village. When pestilence or other calamity threatens an Indian village, it is the custom to take a goat or a buffalo to the boundary and drive it into the lands of the adjoining village, in the hope that it will avert evil from the community. A similar belief prevailed among the Jews. There is no reason to suppose that this movement of the dog in Gujarát was a signal of revolt or had any deeper political significance than a vague feeling that troublous times were approaching. Still it was by many regarded as an evil omen and created considerable alarm.¹

The Passing of
the Pariah Dog.

¹ The rite of passing cakes from village to village or of passing a dog from village to village is in such complete accord with magical and religious rites practised all over India that it seems hardly possible to accept either as meaningless or as accidental. The passing of cakes and of a dog from one part of the country to another on the brink of the Mutinies. Knowing how suitable such a rite is to the state of feeling as well as to the phase of belief prevalent among the plotters of rebellion in Northern India, it seems difficult to suppose that the passing of the cakes and the passing of the dog were not both sacramental; that is designed to spread over the country a spirit which had by religious or magical rites been housed in the dog and in the cakes. The cake-spirit, like the sugar-spirit of the Thugs, was doubtless Kál, the fiend longing for unbridled cruelty, which worked on the partaker of the Thug sugar with such power that he entered with zest and without remorse on any scheme however cowardly and cruel. Like the Thugs those who ate the Mutiny cakes would by partaking become of one spirit, the spirit of the indwelling Kál; and, in that spirit would be ready to support and to take part in any scheme of blood which the leaders of Mutiny might devise and start. Similarly by religious rites the Central India dog, possibly the dog of Báira Bá of Gwalior (See Text page 437), had been made the home of some fierce war-spirit, apparently of the dog-formed Khandoba the Maráthá Secret God and Dog of War. The inspired dog and the inspired dogs-meet were passed through the land in the confidence that through them the spirit of unrest would pervade every village of Gujarát. Since the Mutinies, by the

GUJARAT
DISTURBANCES,
1857-1859.

Gold Hoarding.

Although Gujarāt was apparently tranquil in the hot season of 1857, those who were most familiar with native opinion were aware of the existence of very serious discontent, and indications of the storm which lowered on the horizon were not wanting. When disturbances are impending natives invariably convert their savings into gold, because gold is more portable and more easily concealed than silver. A sudden and unusual demand for gold in the markets, especially by the native troops, had been observed. This fall of the political barometer should never be disregarded. It indicates the approach of a storm with great certainty.

Seditious
Native Press.

The native press, which had been merely disloyal, now assumed an attitude of decided hostility. Every paper contained the most exaggerated accounts of the massacre of Europeans in the North-West Provinces, and absurd rumours were circulated of the approach of a combined Russian and Persian army, which, it was said, had reached Attock and would shortly invade Hindustān. It is much to be regretted that the measures which were found necessary in 1880 for the suppression of seditious publications were not enforced in 1857. Had this been done much evil would have been averted. The native mind would not have become familiar with the spectacle of the British Government held up to the execration and contempt of its subjects and the vilest motives attributed to every public measure.

Manvi
Sarāj-ud-dīn.

The native press was not the only source of sedition. The fall of the British Government was openly predicted in every masjid, and in Ahmedābād a Manvi named Sarāj-ud-dīn became especially prominent by preaching a *jehād* in the Jāma Masjid to audiences of native officers and *savārs* of the Gujarāt Horse and troops from the

magic of letters, Kālī has passed from the wafer into the basket, and the paid political propagandist has taken the place of Rānabā's parish dog.

The correctness of the view suggested above is supported if not established by certain passages in Kaye's *Repos War*, I. 632-642. Chuni says: 'The circulating of cakes was supposed to foretell disturbance and to imply an invitation to the people to unite for some secret purpose.' According to the king of Delhi's physician (page 636) some charms attached to the cakes. The people thought they were made by some adept in the secret arts to keep unpolluted the religion of the country. Another authority (page 637) says: 'The first circulation of the cakes was on the authority of a paoñi who said the people would rise in rebellion if cakes were sent round and that the person in whose name the cakes were sent would rule India.' The secret comes out in Sitārām Bawa's evidence (pages 646-648): 'The cakes in question were a charm or *jādu* which originated with Dāsa Bāwa the guru or teacher of Nana Sahib. Dāsa told Nana Sahib he would make a charm and as far as the magic cakes should be carried so far should the people be on his side. He then took betanseed dough called *matka* and made an idol of it. He reduced the idol to very small pills and having made an immense number of cakes he put a pill in each and said that as far as the cakes were carried so far would the people determine to throw off the Company's yoke.' With this making of a cake as a sacramental home of Durga or Kālī compare the Buddhist of Tibet offering in a human skull to the Mahārāni or Queen, that is to Durga or Kālī, a sacramental cake made of black-goat's fat, wine, dough, and butter. (Waddell's *Buddhism in Tibet*, 303.) As to the effect of sharing in Durga's mutiny cakes compare the statement of the Thag Fariyūs (Sleeman's *Ramaseena*, page 210): The sugar sacrament, *gur-tapāñi*, changes our nature. Let a man once taste the sacramental sugar and he will remain a Thag however skilful a craftsman, however well-to-do. The Urdu proverb says *Tapāñi-hi-shaukhānā gur jāñe khāyā suk māñāñ* And Who eats the sugar of the sacramental Vase as he is so he remains. The Thags are gods in the land of the god they have eaten. (Compare *Ramaseena*, 79.)—J. N. C.

Ahmedābād cantonment. The Maulvi was expelled from Ahmedābād and found his way to Baroda, where he was afterwards arrested; but the impunity he so long enjoyed brought great discredit upon Government, for it was very naturally supposed that a government which tamely submitted to be publicly reviled was too weak to resent the indignity. Oriental races are so accustomed to violent measures that they seldom appreciate moderation or forbearance. The generation that had known and suffered from the anarchy of the Peshwa had passed away. The seditious language of the native press and the masjid was addressed to a population too ignorant to understand the latent power of the British Government.

In 1837 the immense continent of Hindustān was governed by what appeared to the people to be a few Englishmen unsupported by troops, for they knew that the native army was not to be depended on, and the European troops were so few that they were only seen in the larger military cantonments. It must have seemed an easy task to dispose of such a handful of men, and it probably never occurred to those who took part in the insurrection that the overthrow of the British Government would involve more serious operations than the capture or murder of the Europeans who governed the country so easily. They could not perceive that England would never submit to a defeat, and that the handful of men who ruled India were supported by the whole power of the nation. The plotters had no very definite ideas for the future. The Musalmāns regarded the subversion of a government of Kāfirs as a triumph of Islām, and both Muslims and Hindus looked forward to a period of anarchy during which they might indulge that appetite for plunder which had been restrained for so many years. The descendants of the feudal aristocracy of the Peshwa are an ignorant and improvident race deeply involved in debt. They could not fail to see that under the operation of our laws their estates were rapidly passing into the possession of the more intelligent mercantile classes, and they hoped to recover their position in the revolution that was about to ensue.

A great change had taken place in the character of the administration. The civilians of the school of Duncan, Malcolm, and Mounstuart Elphinstone, though not deeply learned in the law, were accomplished earnest men, sufficiently acquainted with the unalterable principles of right and wrong to administer substantial justice to a simple people who had not yet learnt the art of lying. The people asked for justice rather than law. They were satisfied with the justice they obtained from the able and upright men who ruled this country during the first half of this century. The writings and official reports of the officers of that period indicate a knowledge of native customs and feelings and a sympathy with the people that is unknown in the present day, for knowledge and sympathy cannot be acquired except by a long and familiar residence amongst the people which is now becoming every year more impossible. When the overland route rendered communication with England more easy and frequent, a reaction set in against patriarchal administration. Concubinage with native women, which had been common, was now declared vulgar, if not immoral; and the

GUJARAT
DISTURBANCES,
1837-1839.

Apparent
Weakness of
British Rule.

Administrative
Effects.

GUJARAT
DISTURBANCES,
1857-1859.

The Courts
Dialled.

relations between Europeans and Natives soon became less cordial than they had been during the early period of British rule. About this time a considerable immigration of lawyers appeared in India. These brilliant gentlemen, envious of the official monopoly of the Civil Service, raised an outcry that justice was being administered by men who had not acquired that knowledge of law which the formality of eating a certain number of dinners at the Temple was supposed to guarantee. They worked the press so industriously to this cry, that in the course of a few years they had succeeded in impressing their views on the Court of Directors in London and on the less intelligent members of the Civil Service in India.

Unfortunately the Sadar Court was then presided over by a succession of feeble old gentlemen who had not sufficient force of character to resist this selfish agitation, and by way of refuting the charge of ignorance of law devoted themselves to the study of those petty technicalities which have so often brought the administration of justice into contempt, and which the progress of law reform has not even now removed from the law of England. In 1827, Mountstuart Elphinstone had enacted a Civil and Criminal Code which was still the substantive law of the land. It was simple and admirably suited to the people, but justice was administered according to the spirit rather than the letter of the law. A district officer would have incurred severe censure if his decisions were found to be inequitable, however they might have been supported by the letter of the law. The national character for even-handed justice had made the English name respected throughout India and far across the steppes of Central Asia. But the demoralizing example of the Sadar Adalat soon extended to the lower grades of the service. The Civil Service was afflicted with the foolishness which, we are told, precedes ruin. Its members diligently searched their law-books for precedents and cases, and rejoiced exceedingly if they could show their knowledge of law by reversing the decision of a lower Court on some long-forgotten ruling of the Courts of Westminster. The first effect of this evil was to fill the courts with corrupt and unprincipled *vakil*s who perverted the course of justice by perjury, forgery, and fraud of every description. Litigation increased enormously, no cause was too rotten, no claim too fraudulent to deprive it of the chance of success. The grossest injustice was committed in the name of the law, and though the Civil Service was above all suspicion of corruption, the evil could hardly have been greater if the Judges had been corrupt. This state of affairs gave rise to great discontent, for the administration of justice fell almost entirely into the hands of the *vakil*s. When men quarrelled they no longer said, "I'll beat or I'll kill you," but "I'll pay a *vakil* Rs. 50 to ruin you," and too often this was no mere idle threat.

The Indian
Commissioner.

The operations of the Inam Commission and of the Survey Department were also a fruitful cause of alarm and discontent. Many of the estates of the more influential Jâghirdârs had been acquired by fraud or violence during the period of anarchy which preceded the fall of the Peshwa. The Patels and Deshmukhs had also appropriated large areas of lands and had made grants of villages to temples and assignments of revenue to Brâhmins, religious mendicants, and dâveng

girls. The Peshwa had never recognized these alienations as any limitation of his rights, for he farmed his revenues, and so long as a large sum was paid into his treasury by the farmers it was immaterial to him how much land was alienated. But when the Survey Department revealed the fact that nearly a fourth part of the fertile province of Gujarāt was unauthorizedly enjoyed by these parasites; and that in other districts the proportion of alienations was nearly equally large, a due regard for the public interests demanded that there should be an investigation into the title on which the lands were held rent-free. It became the duty of the Indian Commission to make this inquiry, and though a very small portion of land was resumed or rather reassessed to the land revenue and the rules for the continuation of cash allowances were extremely liberal, they could hardly be expected to give satisfaction to those who had so long enjoyed immunity from any share of the public burdens. The Brāhmins and the priesthood of every sect deeply resented the scrutiny of the Indian Commission and excited an intensely fanatical spirit by representing the inquiry as a sacrilegious attack on their religious endowments and a departure from the principle of neutrality and toleration which had been the policy of Government from a very early period.

Notwithstanding all these elements of danger there would probably have been no revolt if the army had remained loyal. Fortunately the Bombay army was composed of a great variety of races, Mussalmāns of the Shia and Sunni sects, Marāthās of the Dakhan and Konkan, Parvāris, Pardeshis, and a few Jews and Christians. Little community of sentiment could exist in so heterogeneous a force, and to this circumstance we may trace the failure of each mutinous outbreak in the regiments of the Bombay army. Many of its regiments had, however, recruited extensively in the North-West Provinces which were then the centre of the political cyclone, and it was soon discovered that seditious overtures were being made to them not only by their brethren in the regiments which had already mutinied, but by discontented persons of higher rank. The most important of these was a clever woman known as the Bāiza Bāi. She was the daughter of a Dakhan Sārdār named Sirji Rāo Ghātke, and had been married in early life to His Highness Dowlat Rāo Sindia the Mahārāja of Gwālior. On his death she had been allowed to adopt Jankoji Rāo as heir to the *gādi*, and during his minority she had been appointed by the British Government Regent of the Gwālior state. In this position the Bāi had accumulated great wealth. She had deposited £370,000 (37 lakhs of rupees) for safe custody in the treasury at Benares, and it was known that she had other resources at Gwālior. Her avarice and ambition were insatiable. She sent emissaries to all the Marāthā chiefs and Thākors in Western India calling on them to take up arms and restore the empire of Shivāji. She appealed to the troops, urging them to emulate the deeds of their comrades in the Bengal army who had already nearly exterminated the Europeans in the North-West, and warned them that if they did not now strike in defence of their religion they would shortly be converted to Christianity and made to drink the blood of the sacred cow.

In May and June 1857 our troops were fighting before Delhi, only just holding their own, and making little impression on the walls

GUJARAT
DISTURBANCES,
1857-1859.

The Indian
Commission.

The Army
& Local.

Bāiza Bāi of
Gwālior.

Parsi Riot in
Bombay,
June 1857.

GUJARAT
DISSENTIENCES,
1857-1859.

Parsi Riot in
Broach,
June 1857.

Mutiny at
Mhow,
July 1857.

Mutiny at
Ahmedabad,
July 1857.

of the city which were strongly held by the mutinous regiments. Gujarāt was still tranquil. It is true there had been a riot in Broach originating in a long-standing feud between the Pārsis and Musalmāns of that town, but it had no political significance and had been promptly suppressed. The ringleaders were arrested, tried, and sentenced to be hanged for the murder of a Pārsi, but there is no reason to suppose that this disturbance had any immediate connection with the outbreak in the North-West. It was probably only a coincidence, but the violence of the rioters was no doubt encouraged by the weakness of our position in Gujarāt, and the exaggerated rumours which reached them of the massacre of our countrymen.

On July 1st, 1857, the 23rd Bengal Native Infantry and the 1st Bengal Cavalry stationed at Mhow mutinied and murdered Colonel Platt, Captain Fagan, Captain Harris, and a number of European subordinates of the Telegraph Department. The troops of His Highness Holkar fraternized with the mutineers, attacked the Residency, and after a desultory fight drove out Colonel Durand the Resident, who took refuge in Bhopāl with the surviving Europeans of Indor. Information of the mutiny at Mhow soon reached Ahmedābād, and reasonable negotiations were at once opened for a simultaneous rising of the Gujarāt Horse and of the troops in the cantonment; but they could not agree to combined operations. The Marāthās hoped for the restoration of the dynasty of the Peshwa, while the Pardeshis looked towards Dehli where their brethren were already in arms, without any very definite comprehension of what they were fighting for, but with some vague idea that they would establish a Musalmān *Rāj* on the throne of the Great Mughal.

On July 9th, 1857, seven *sardars* of the Gujarāt Horse raised a green flag in their regimental lines in Ahmedābād and attempted to seize the quarter guard in which the ammunition was stored; but the guard made some slight show of resistance, and finding the regiment did not join them the mutineers left the lines in the direction of Sarkhej. They were followed by the Adjutant, Lieutenant Pym, with twelve *savars*, and Captain Taylor, the commandant, joined them soon after with three men of the Koli Corps, whom he had met on the Dholka road. The *sardars* were overtaken near the village of Tājpur, and having taken up a strong position between three survey boundary-marks opened fire on their officers and the Kolia, the *savars* standing aloof. After many shots had been exchanged without result, Captain Taylor advanced to parley, and while endeavouring to reason with his men was shot through the body. The Kolia now re-opened fire and having shot two of the *sardars* the rest laid down their arms. They were tried under Act XIV. of 1857 and hanged. The *savars* who followed Lieutenant Pym passively declined to act against their comrades, and if the Kolia had not been present the mutineers would have escaped. Captain Taylor's wound was severe; the bullet passed through his body, but he eventually recovered. The execution of the *sardars* had a good effect on the troops, but it became evident that a serious struggle was impending, and Lord Elphinstone, who was then at the head of the Bombay Government, took all the precautions that were possible under the circumstances.

Mr. Ashburner, Assistant Magistrate of Kaira, was ordered to raise a force of 200 Foot and 30 Horse for the protection of his districts, and Husain Khān Battangi, a Musalmān gentleman of Ahmedābād, was authorized to enlist 2000 of the dangerous classes. It was not expected that this Ahmedābād force would add to our fighting strength, but the employment of the rabble of Ahmedābād on good pay kept them out of mischief till the crisis was passed. Mr. Ashburner's small force was composed of Rajputs, Makranis, and Kolis. They were a very useful body of men and were afterwards drafted into the Kaira Police of which they formed the nucleus. It was this force that suppressed the rising of the Thākors on the Mahi, which will be described below.

General Roberts, a very able soldier, commanded the Northern Division at this time. He fully realized the critical position of affairs in Gujarāt. He was aware that the troops were on the verge of mutiny, that the Thākors were sharpening their swords and enlisting men, and that no relief could be expected till after the rains. But he was not the man to despond or to shirk the responsibility now thrown upon him. He proved equal to the occasion and met each emergency as it arose with the calm determination of a brave man.

When the troops at Mhow mutinied, the Rāja of Amjerna took up arms and attacked Captain Hutchinson the Political Agent of Bhopāwar. He fled and was sheltered by the Rāja of Jābwa. At the same time (July 1857) the Musalmān Kanungus or accountants and Zamindārs of the Panch Mahāls revolted, laid siege to the fort of Dohad, and threatened the Kaira district. Captain Buckle, the Political Agent, Rewa Kāntha, marched from Baroda with two guns under Captain Sheppee, R. A., and two companies of the 8th Regiment Native Infantry, to relieve Dohad, while Major Andrews, with a wing of the 7th Regiment, two guns under Captain Sanlez, R. A., and 100 Sabres of the Gujarāt Horse, marched on Thāsra to support Mr. Ashburner and act generally under his orders. On the approach of Captain Buckle's force the insurgents abandoned the siege, and Captain Hutchinson soon after re-established his authority in Bhopāwar by the aid of the Mālwa Bhil Corps which remained loyal. He arrested the Rāja of Amjerna and hanged him.

On the 5th August the Jodhpur Legion stationed at Abu mutinied. They made a feeble attack on the barracks of H. M. 33rd Regiment and Captain Hall's bungalow, into which they fired a volley of musketry, but were repulsed, leaving one of their men on the ground badly wounded. The fog was so dense that it was impossible to use firearms effectively. Mr. Lawrence of the Civil Service was the only person wounded. A party of the 17th Bombay Native Infantry who were on duty at Abu, were suspected of complicity with the Jodhpur Legion and were disarmed. The head-quarters of the Legion mutinied at Eripur on the same day as the attack at Abu; they made the Adjutant, Lieutenant Conolly, prisoner and plundered the treasury.

GUJARAT
DISTURBANCES,
1857-1859.

Mr. Ashburner's
Force.

Genl. Roberts.

Rising at Amjerna

And in the
Panch Mahāls,
July 1857.

Mutinies at
Abu and
Eripur,
1857.

GUJARAT
DISTURBANCES,
1857-1859.

Disturbance at
Ahmedabad,
14th Sept. 1857.

An incident occurred early in September which had an important influence on events. The two Native regiments quartered at Ahmedabad were the 2nd Regiment of Grenadiers and the 7th Native Infantry. The Grenadiers were chiefly Paritieshis from Oudh, while the majority of the 7th Regiment were Marathas. As is often the case, an enmity sprang up between the two regiments. One night Captain Muter of the 2nd Grenadiers was visiting the guards as officer of the day. On approaching the quarter guard of the 7th Regiment, the sentry demanded the password which Captain Muter could not give. The sentry very properly refused to let him pass. Captain Muter returned to his lines, called out a party of Grenadiers, and made the sentry a prisoner. Next morning General Roberts put Captain Muter under arrest and released the sentry. This incident intensified the ill-feeling between the two regiments, and prevented their combination when the Grenadiers mutinied a few days later. It had been arranged that the two Native Regiments and the Golanadaz artillery should mutiny at the same time, but there was mutual distrust between them, and the Native officers of the artillery had stipulated that they should make a show of resistance in order to let it appear that they had been overpowered by a superior force. About midnight on the 14th September 1857 the Grenadiers turned out and fell in on their parade ground armed and loaded. The guns were also brought out and loaded on their own parade ground. A Native officer of the Grenadiers was sent with a party to take possession of the guns in accordance with the preconcerted agreement, but the Subhedar of the Artillery threatened to fire on them, and the Native officer expecting that the guns would be given up without resistance, thought he had been betrayed, and retreated with his party, who threw away their arms as they ran across the parade ground. The Grenadiers were under arms on the parade waiting for the guns, when seeing the disorder in which the party was retreating from the Artillery lines, they also were seized with a panic and broke up in confusion. Then for the first time the Native officers reported to Colonel Grimes that there had been a slight disturbance in the lines. The mere accident that the Native officer detached to take the guns had not been informed of the show of resistance he was to expect from the Artillery, probably averted the massacre of every European in Gujarat. Twenty-one loaded muskets were found on the parade ground, and though the whole regiment was guilty it was decided to try the owners of those muskets by court martial. They were sentenced to death. As it was doubtful if the Native troops would permit the execution it was considered prudent to await the arrival of the 89th Regiment under Colonel Ferrymen and Captain Hatch's battery of Artillery. They had been landed at Gogha during the monsoon with great difficulty, and were compelled to make a wide detour to the north owing to the flooded state of the country. On their arrival the executions were carried out; five of the mutineers were blown from guns, three were shot with musketry, and the rest were hanged in the presence of the whole of the troops. They

met their death with a gentlemanly calmness which won the respect of all who were present.

The example thus made, together with the presence of the European troops in Gujarát, restored our prestige and gave us time to attend to affairs on our frontier. The whole country was in a very disturbed state. On the fall of Delhi on September 28th, 1857, a treasonable correspondence was found between the Nawáb of Rádhanpur in Gujarát and the Emperor of Delhi, which deeply implicated the Nawáb. He and his ministers had forwarded *nacránds* of gold *mohars* to Delhi and asked for orders from the Emperor, offering to attack the British cantonments at Disa and Ahmedábad. The Nawáb had been on the most friendly terms with Captain Black the Political Agent, and had been considered perfectly loyal. Preparations were made to depose him for this treacherous conduct. We were then so strong in Gujarát that his estate could have been seized without the least difficulty, but he was considered too contemptible an enemy and his treason was pardoned.

Lieutenant Alban, with a party of Gujarát Horse, was now sent to settle affairs in Sunth, a petty state in the Rewa Kántha. Mustapha Khán, at the head of a turbulent body of Arabs, had made the Rája a prisoner in his own palace with a view to extort arrears of pay and other claims. Lieutenant Alban's orders were to disarm the Arabs. After some negotiations Mustapha Khán waited on Lieutenant Alban. He was attended by the whole of his armed followers with the matches of their matchlocks alight, thinking no doubt to intimidate Lieutenant Alban. On entering the tent Lieutenant Alban disarmed him, but imprudently placed his sword on the table. While they were conversing Mustapha Khán seized his sword and Lieutenant Alban immediately shot him with a revolver. The Arabs who crowded round the tent now opened fire on Alban and his men, but they were soon overpowered. Mustapha Khán, four Arabs, and one *savár* of the Gujarát Horse were killed.

Lieutenant Alban, with a party of the 7th Native Infantry under Lieutenant Cunningham then proceeded to Páli. A few months before one Surajmal, a claimant of the Lúnárája *yádi*, had attacked the Rája of Lúnárája, but was repulsed with severe loss and had since been harboured in the village of Páli. On the approach of Alban's force, it was attacked by Surajmal's Rájputs and the village was accordingly burnt. Order was then restored in the Panch Maháls, and it was not again disturbed till Tátiá Topi entered the Maháls.

In October 1857 a conspiracy was discovered between the Thákor of Sanda near Disa and some Native officers of the 2nd Cavalry and 12th Regiment Native Infantry to attack and plunder the camp at Disa and to murder the officers; but the evidence was not very clear, and before the trial could take place the amnesty had been published under which the suspected men were released. The peace of Northern Gujarát was much disturbed at this time by the Thákor of Rova, who plundered the Pálanpur and Sirohi

GUJARÁT
DISTURBANCES,
1857-1859.

Rádhanpur
Disloyal.

Arab Outbreak
at Sunth.

Disturbance
in Lúnárája.

Conspiracy
at Disa.

GUJARAT
DISTURBANCES,
1857-1859.

Conspiracy
at Baroda.

Want of
Combination.

villages at the head of 500 men, and the Thákór of Mandeta was also in arms but was held in check by a detachment of the 82th Regiment and a squadron of cavalry at Ahmednagar near Idar.¹ The two Thákora were acting in concert with some influential conspirators at Baroda of whom Mulhár Ráo Gáikwár *alias* Dáda Sáheb was the chief. It was this man who afterwards became Gáikwár of Baroda and was deposed for the attempt to murder Colonel Phayre by poison.

It is very remarkable that the sepoy war did not produce one man who showed any capacity for command. Every native regiment was in a state of mutiny and a large proportion of the civil population was ripe for revolt. If only one honest man had been found who could have secured the confidence and support of his fellow-countrymen, the fertile province of Gujarát would have been at his mercy; but amongst natives conflicting interests and mutual distrust make combination most difficult. In India a conspirator's first impulse is to betray his associates lest they should anticipate him. The failure of every mutinous outbreak in Gujarát was due to this moral defect. This trait may be traced throughout the history of the war and should be studied by those who advocate the independence of India, and the capacity of the native for self-government. It is an apt illustration of native inability to organize combined operations that the most formidable conspiracy for the subversion of our power should have been delayed till October 1857. By this time the arrival of Her Majesty's 82th Regiment and a battery of European artillery at Ahmedábád had rendered a successful revolt impossible. The mutinies of the Gujarát Horse and Grenadiers had been promptly suppressed and severely punished. The termination of the monsoon had opened the ports and reinforcements were daily expected. Had the outbreak occurred simultaneously with the mutiny of the Gujarát Horse, the Artillery, and the Second Grenadiers, Gujarát must have been lost for a time and every European would have been murdered.

Marátha
Conspiracy.

For many years Govindráo *alias* Bápu Gáikwár, a half brother of His Highness the Gáikwár, had resided near the Sháhábég at Ahmedábád. He had been deported from Baroda for intriguing against his brother and had been treated as a political refugee. This man with Malháráo, another brother of His Highness the Gáikwár, Bháu Sáheb Pawár, and a Sardár who called himself the Bhonsla Rája, also related to His Highness by marriage, conceived the design to murder the Europeans in Baroda Ahmedábád and Kaira and establish a government in the name of the Rája of Sátára. To Bápu Gáikwár was entrusted the task of tampering with the troops in Ahmedábád, and frequent meetings of the Native officers were held at his house every night. The Bhonsla Rája, with a man named Jhaveri Náchand, was deputed to the Kaira district to secure the aid of the Thákors of Umeta, Bhádarva, Kera, and Dájima, and of the Patels of Anand and Partábpur.

¹ Bara in the south-east corner of Sirohi; Mandeta in Idar in the Mahi Kantha. P. FitzGerald Esq. Political Agent Mahi Kantha.

These landholders assured Bápu of their support and the Thákors of Umeta mounted some iron guns and put his fort in a state of defence. An agent named Maganlal was sent into the Gáikwár's Kadi Pargana, where he enlisted a body of 2000 foot and 150 horse, which he encamped near the village of Lodra. The followers of the Kaira Thákors assembled in the strong country on the banks of the Mahi near the village of Partábpur with a detachment and advanced to the Chauk Taláv within five miles of Baroda. The massacre at Baroda was fixed for the night of October 18th. The native troops in Baroda had been tampered with and had promised in the event of their being called out that they would fire blank ammunition only.

The Thákors had been encamped at Partábpur for several days, but owing partly to the sympathy of the people and partly to the terror which they inspired, no report was made to any British officers till the 15th October, when Mr. Ashburner, who was encamped at Thásra, marched to attack them with his new levies and a party of the Kaira police. There was, as usual, disunion in the ranks of the insurgents; they had no leaders they could depend upon, and they dispersed on hearing of the approach of Ashburner's force without firing a shot. Ninety-nine men who had taken refuge in the ravines of the Mahi were captured and a commission under Act XIV. of 1857 was issued to Mr. Ashburner and Captain Buckle, the Political Agent in the Rewa Kántha, to try them. Ten of the ringleaders were found guilty of treason and blown from guns at Kanvári, nine were transported for life, and the remainder were pardoned. The turbulent villages of Partábpur and Angar in Kaira were destroyed and the inhabitants removed to more accessible ground in the open country. Their strong position in the ravines of the Mahi river had on several occasions enabled the people of Partábpur and Angar to set Government at defiance, and this was considered a favourable opportunity of making an example of them and breaking up their stronghold.

In the meantime information of the gathering at Lodra had reached Major Agar, the Superintendent of Police, Ahmedábád. He marched to attack them with the Koli Corps and a squadron of the Gujarát Horse. Maganlal fled to the north after a slight skirmish in which two men were killed and four wounded, and was captured a few days afterwards by the *Tháandár* of Sumna with eleven followers. They were tried by General Roberts and Mr. Hadow, the Collector of Ahmedábád, under Act XIV. of 1857. Three of them were blown from guns at Waizápur, three were hanged, and the rest were transported for life.

It is much to be regretted that Malhárráo Gáikwár and the Bhonsla Rája were allowed to escape punishment. There was very clear evidence of the guilt of the Bhonsla Rája, but His Highness the Gáikwár interceded for him, and Sir Richmond Shakespeare, the Resident, weakly consented that his life should be spared on condition that he should be imprisoned for life at Baroda, a sentence which, it is hardly necessary to say, was never carried out.

GUJARÁT
DISTURBANCES,
1857 - 1859.

Maráthá
Conspiracy.

Gathering at
Partábpur.

And at Lodra.

GUJARAT
DISTURBANCES,
1857-1859.

Partial
Disarming.

Nāikda
Revolt,
Oct. 1858.

Tātia Topi,
1858.

On the suppression of this abortive insurrection it was determined to disarm Gujarat, and in January 1858 strong detachments of the 72nd Highlanders and of Her Majesty's 88th Regiment with the 8th Regiment Native Infantry, two guns under Captain Conyngham, and a squadron of Gujarat Horse were placed at the disposal of Mr. Ashburner to carry out this measure. His Highness the Gaikwār had consented to a simultaneous disarmament of his country, but he evaded the performance of his promise. In the Kaira district and in the Jambasār tāluka of Broach the disarmament was very strictly enforced; every male adult of the fighting classes was required to produce an arm of some kind. The town of Ahmedābād was relieved of 20,000 arms in the first two days, but the Highlanders and 86th Regiment were required for operations in Rajputāna, and after their departure from Gujarat it was deemed prudent to postpone this very unpopular measure.

After these events Gujarat remained tranquil for nearly a year till, in October 1858, the Nāikda Bhils of Nāraḥot revolted under Rupa and Kēval Nāiks, and a few months later Tātia Topi's scattered force being hard-pressed by Colonel Park's column, plundered several villages of the Panch Mahāls during its rapid march through that district.

In 1858, after his defeat at Gwālior, at the close of the mutinies in Northern India, Tātia Topi moved rapidly towards the Dakhan. The chiefs of Jamkhāndi and Nārgund had been in treasonable correspondence with the rebel chiefs in the North-West and had invoked their aid. It is more than probable that if Tātia Topi had entered the Dakhan in force, there would have been a general insurrection of the Marāṭha population. Tātia's march to the Dakhan soon assumed the character of a flight. He was closely pressed by two columns under Generals Somerset and Mitchell, and a very compact and enterprising little field force commanded by Colonel Park. Colonel Park's own regiment, the 72nd Highlanders, many of the men mounted on camels, formed the main fighting power of this force. His indefatigable energy in the pursuit of the enemy allowed them no rest, and eventually brought them to bay at Chhota Udepur. Fearing to face the open country of Berār with such an uncompromising enemy in pursuit, Tātia recrossed the Narbada at Chikaldā and marched towards Baroda. He had, by means of an agent named Ganpatrao, for some time been in communication with the Bhāu Sāheb Pavār, a brother-in-law of His Highness the Gaikwār, and had been led to expect aid from the Baroda Sardārs and the Thākors of the Kaira and Rewa Kāntha districts. Immediately it became known that Tātia had crossed the Narbada, troops were put in motion from Kaira, Ahmedābād, and Disa for the protection of the eastern frontier of Gujarat. Captain Thatcher, who had succeeded to the command of the irregular levies raised by Mr. Ashburner in Kaira, was ordered to hold Sankheda with the irregulars and two of the Gaikwār's guns. He was afterwards reinforced by Captain Collier's detachment of the 7th Regiment N. I., which fell back from Chhota Udepur on the approach of the enemy.

Tátia Topi at this time commanded a formidable force composed of fragments of many mutinous Bengal regiments. He had also been joined by a mixed rabble of Villáyatis, Rohillás, and Rájputs, who followed his fortune in hopes of plunder. Ferozsha Nawáb of Káimóna and a Marátha Sardar who was known as the Ráo Sáheb, held subordinate commands. Each fighting man was followed by one or more ponies laden with plunder which greatly impeded their movements. It was chiefly owing to this that Colonel Park was enabled to overtake the rebels and to force them into action. On reaching Chhota Udepur the troops of the Rája fraternised with the enemy, and Captain Collier having evacuated the town, Tátia Topi was allowed to occupy it without opposition. He had intended to halt at Chhota Udepur to recruit his men and to develop his intrigues with the Baroda Sardárs, but Park gave him no respite. On the 1st December 1858, he fell upon Tátia's rebel force and defeated it with great slaughter, his own loss being trifling. After this defeat there was great confusion in the ranks of the insurgents. Tátia Topi abandoned his army and did not rejoin it till it had reached the forest lands of Párona. Discipline which had always been lax, was now entirely thrown aside. The muster roll of one of Tátia's cavalry regiments was picked up and showed that out of a strength of 300 sabres only sixteen were present for duty. The rebel force separated into two bodies, one doubled back and plundered Park's baggage which had fallen far to the rear, the other under Ferozsha entered the Panch Maháls and looted Báriya, Jhálod, Limdí, and other villages; Godhra being covered by Muter's force was not attacked. Park's force was so disabled by the plunder of its baggage and by long continued forced marches, that it was compelled to halt at Chhota Udepur, but General Somerset took up the pursuit and rapidly drove Tátia from the Panch Maháls. He fled in the direction of Salumba. The Thákor of that place was in arms, and Tátia no doubt expected support from him, but the Thákor was too cautious to join what was then evidently a hopeless cause. On reaching Nargad on the 20th February 1859, Ferozsha made overtures of surrender, and a week later 300 cavalry and a mixed force of 1500 men under Zahur Ali and the Maulvi Vaxir Khán laid down their arms to General Mitchell. They were admitted to the benefit of the amnesty. The remnant of Tátia's force fled to the north-east.

In October 1858, instigated by the intrigues of the Bhán Sáheb Pavár, the Sankheda Náikdás, a very wild forest tribe, took up arms under Rupa and Koval Náiks, and after having plundered the outpost, *thana*, at Nárukot, attacked a detachment of the 8th Regiment N. I. under Captain Bates at Jámbughoda. They were repulsed with considerable loss after a desultory fight during the greater part of two days. On the arrest of Ganpatráo, the Bhán Sáheb's agent, this troublesome insurrection would probably have collapsed, but the Náikdás were joined by a number of Villáyatis, matchlock-men, the fragments of Tátia's broken force, who encouraged them to hold out. They occupied the very strong country between Chámpáner and Nárukot, and kept up a harassing warfare, plundering the villages as far north as Godhra.

GUJARÁT
DISTURBANCES,
1857-1859.

Tátia Topi's
Defeat at
Chhota Udepur,
Dec. 1858.

Náikdás
Disturbance,
1858.

GUJARAT
DISTURBANCES,
1857-1859.

Náikda
Disturbance,
1858.

Wágher
Outbreak,
1859.

Expedition
against Bet,
1859.

A field force commanded by the Political Agent of the Rewa Kántha, Colonel Wallace, was employed against the Náikdás during the cold weather of 1858, and in one of the frequent skirmishes with the insurgents Captain Hayward of the 17th Regiment N. I. was severely wounded by a matchlock bullet on the 28th January 1859. The only success obtained by the Náikdás was the surprise of Hassan Ali's company of Hussein Khán's levy. The Subhedár had been ordered to protect the labourers who were employed in opening the pass near the village of Sivrájpur, but the duty was very distasteful to him, and his son deserted with twenty-four men on the march to Sivrájpur. They were suddenly attacked by a mixed force of Makráns and Náikdás. Seven men including the Subhedár were killed and eleven wounded without any loss to the enemy. The Subhedár neglected to protect his camp by the most ordinary precautions and his men appear to have behaved badly. They fled without firing a shot directly they were attacked. But little progress had been made in pacifying the Náikdás till Captain Richard Bonner was employed to raise and organize a corps composed chiefly of Bhils with their head-quarters at Dahad in the Panch Mahila. Captain Bonner's untiring energy and moral influence soon reduced the Náikdás to submission. Rupa Náik laid down his arms and accepted the amnesty of the 10th March 1859, and Keval Náik followed his example soon after.

In July 1859 the Wághers of Okhámandal, a mahál in Káthiáwáda belonging to His Highness the Gáikwár, suddenly seized and plundered Dwárka, Barrála, and Bet. They were led by a Wágher chief named Toda Manik, who alleged that he had been compelled to take up arms by the oppression of the Gáikwár's *kámáda*; but it is probable that he was encouraged to throw off allegiance by the weakness of the Baroda administration and the belief that he would have to deal with the troops of the Darbár only. He soon found he was in error. Major Christie with 200 sabres of the Gujarať Horse and a wing of the 17th Regiment Native Infantry from Rájkot marched to Maudána on the Ran to cut off the communication between Okhámandal and the Káthiáwáda peninsula. The cantonment of Rájkot was reinforced from Ahmedábád by six guns of Aytoun's battery, a wing of the 33rd Regiment and a detachment of the 14th Regiment Native Infantry under Captain Hall, and a naval and military force was at the same time prepared in Bombay for the recovery of Bet and Dwárka as soon as the close of the monsoon should render naval operations on the western coast possible.

On the 29th September 1859, the following force embarked in the transports *South Ramities* and *Empress of India*, towed by Her Majesty's steam-ships *Zenobia* and *Victoria*, and followed by the frigate *Firos*, the gunboat *Olyde*, and the schooner *Constance*:

Her Majesty's 36th Regiment	500 Men.
Her Majesty's 6th Regiment Native Infantry	600 "
Marine Battalion	200 "
Royal Artillery	60 "
Sappers and Miners	90 "

The expedition was under the command of Colonel Donovan

of Her Majesty's 28th Regiment, but it was intended that on arrival at Bet, Colonel Scobie should command the combined naval and military force. Colonel Scobie marched from Rajkot early in October with the wings of Her Majesty's 33rd Regiment and 17th Native Infantry, the 13th Light Field Battery and detachments of the 14th Native Infantry and Gujarát Horse. Had Colonel Donovan waited for this force he might have effectually invested the fort of Bet, which is situated on an island, and exterminated the rebels; but he was too anxious to distinguish himself before he could be relieved of command. He arrived off Bet on the 4th October 1859, and at sunrise that morning the steam-ships *Firar*, *Zerobin*, *Clyde*, and *Constance* took up their positions off the fort of Bet and opened fire with shot and shell at 950 yards. The fort replied feebly with a few small guns. Shells effectually scorched the fort and temples occupied by the enemy, but the shot made little impression on the wall which was here thirty feet thick. The bombardment continued throughout the day and at intervals during the night. Next morning Dewa Chabasni, the Wágher chief in command of the fort, opened negotiations for surrender, but he would not consent to the unconditional surrender which was demanded, and after an interval of half an hour the artillery fire was resumed and preparations were made to disembark the troops. They landed under a heavy musketry fire from the fort and adjacent buildings, and an attempt was made to escalate. The ladders were pinned against the wall but the storming party of Her Majesty's 28th Regiment and 6th Regiment Native Infantry were repulsed with heavy loss. Captain McCormack of Her Majesty's 28th Regiment, Ensign Willsome of the 6th Regiment, and ten European soldiers were killed; and Captain Glasspoole, Lieutenant Grant of the 6th Native Infantry, and thirty-seven men of the 28th Regiment were wounded, many of them severely. One sepoy of the Marine Battalion was killed and five wounded.

During the night which succeeded this disastrous attack the Waghers evacuated the fort. They reached the mainland, taking with them their women the children and the plunder of the temple, but Dewa Chabasni, the Wágher chief, had been killed the previous day. Considering the large and well-equipped force at Colonel Donovan's disposal and the facilities which the insular position of Bet afforded to a blockading force, the escape of the Waghers almost with impunity, encumbered with women and plunder, did not enhance Colonel Donovan's military reputation. Captain D. Naamyth, R. E., Field Engineer of the Okhámandal Force, was directed to destroy the fort of Bet and carried out his instructions most effectually. Some of the Hindu temples nearest the walls were severely shaken by the explosion of the mines, and a great outcry was raised at the desecration of the temples; but if Hindus will convert their temples into fortified enclosures, they must take the consequence when they are occupied by the enemies of the British Government.

Lieutenant Charles Goodfellow, R. E., greatly distinguished himself on this occasion. He earned the Victoria Cross by carrying

GUJARÁT
DISTURBANCES,
1857-1859.

Expedition
against Bet,
1859.

Bet Fort
Taken.

GUJARAT
DISTURBANCES,
1857-1859.

Dwarka Fort
Taken.

off a wounded man of Her Majesty's 28th Regiment under a very heavy fire. Treasure valued at $3\frac{1}{2}$ lakhs of rupees was taken on board the *Firor* for safe custody. It was eventually restored to the Pujaria of the temples, but most of the temples had been carefully plundered by the Waghers before the entry of the British force.

Many of the fugitives from Bet took refuge in Dwarka, and Colonel Donovan's force having re-embarked proceeded to Dwarka to await the arrival of Colonel Scobie's small brigade. Scobie's force did not reach Dwarka till October 20th. The Naval Brigade under Lieutenant Sedley with sixteen officers and 110 men had already landed under very heavy matchlock fire, and thrown up a slight breastwork of loose stone within 150 yards of the walls. A field piece from the *Zenobia* and afterwards a thirty-two pounder were placed in position in this work. The successful result of the siege was mainly due to the determined bravery of this small naval force. They repulsed repeated sorties from the fort and inflicted severe losses on the enemy. As soon as the stores and ammunition could be landed, Colonel Donovan took up a position to the north-east of the fort, Colonel Scobie to the south-east, and Captain Hall occupied an intermediate position with detachments of Her Majesty's 33rd Regiment, the 14th Native Infantry, and Gujarati Horse under Lieutenant Pym. The garrison made several determined attempts to break through Captain Hall's position, but they were on each occasion driven back with loss.

The first battery opened fire on the northern face of the fort on October 28th, while the *Zenobia* and the *Firor* poured a well-directed fire of shells on the houses and temples which sheltered the enemy towards the sea. The shells did immense execution and relieved the attack on the Naval Brigade which continued to hold its position with the greatest gallantry though several times surrounded by the enemy. On the night of the 31st October the garrison evacuated the fort and cut its way through a picket of Her Majesty's 28th Regiment, wounding Ensign Hunter and four men. A detachment under Colonel Christie followed the fugitives next morning and overtook them near Vasatri. A skirmish ensued, but they escaped without much loss and took refuge in the Barda hill. They continued to disturb the peace of Kathiawada for several years. In one of the desultory skirmishes which followed, Lieutenants LaTouche and Hebbert were killed.

Rising in
Nagar Parkar.

While these events were in progress, Karranji Haji the Rana of Nagar Parkar on the Sindh frontier of Gujarat, took up arms at the head of a band of Sodhas, plundered the treasury and telegraph office at Nagar Parkar, and released the prisoners in the jail. Colonel Evans commanded the field force which was employed against him for many months without any very definite results. The country is a desert and the Sodhas avoided a collision with the troops. The Rana eventually submitted and peace was restored.

APPENDIX III.

BHINMÁL.

BHINMÁL.¹ North Latitude 24° 42'. East Longitude 72° 4'. the historical Shrinál, the capital of the Gurjara from about the sixth to the ninth century, lies about fifty miles west of Abu hill. The site of the city is in a wide plain about fifteen miles west of the last outlier of the Abu range. To the east, between the hills and Bhinmál, except a few widely-separated village sites, the plain is chiefly a grazing ground with brakes of thorn and cassia bushes overtopped by standards of the camel-loved *pila Salvadora persica*. To the south, the west, and the north the plain is smooth and bare passing westwards into sand. From the level of the plain stand out a few isolated blocks of hill, 500 to 800 feet high, of which one peak, about a mile west of the city, is crowned by the shrine of Chámuná the Sri or Luck of Bhinmál. From a distance the present Bhinmál shows few traces of being the site of an ancient capital. Its 1500 houses cover the gentle slope of an artificial mound, the level of their roofs broken by the spires of four Jain temples and by the ruined state office at the south end of the mound. Closer at hand the number and size of the old stone-stripped tank and fortification mounds and the large areas honeycombed by diggers for bricks show that the site of the present Bhinmál was once the centre of a great and widespread city. Of its fortifications, which, as late as A.D. 1611, the English merchant Nicholas Ulfet, in a journey from Jhalor to Ahmedábád, describes as enclosing a circuit of thirty-six miles (24 kos) containing many fine tanks going to ruin, almost no trace remains.² The names of some of the old gates are remembered, Surya in the north-east, Sri Lakshmi in the south-east, Saucha in the west, and Jhalor in the north. Sites are pointed out

Appendix III.

BHINMÁL.

Description.

¹ The translations of the inscriptions and the bulk of the history are the work of Mr. A. M. T. Jackson of the Indian Civil Service.

² Finch in Kerr's *Voyages*, VIII, 301. Thirty years later the traveller Tavernier (Ball's Edition, II, 87) has: Bargaht (Wangan in Jodhpur?) to Bimál 15 kos; Bimál to Mades 15 kos. Of Jhalor Ulfet has left the following description. Jhalor is a castle on the top of a steep mountain three kos in ascent by a fair stone caseway broad enough for two mules. At the end of the first kos is a gate and a place of guard where the caseway is enclosed on both sides with walls. At the end of the second kos is a double gate strongly fortified; and at the third kos is the castle which is entered by three successive gates. The first is very strongly plated with iron, the second not so strong with plates above for throwing down melted lead or boiling oil, and the third is thickly beset with iron spikes. Between each of these gates are spacious places of arms and at the inner gate is a strong portcullis. A bowshot within the castle is a splendid pagoda, built by the founders of the castle and ancestors of Ghasni (Gidney) Khan who was Gentile. He turned Mohammedan and deprived his elder brother of this castle by the following stratagem. Having invited him and his women to a banquet which his brother repelled by a similar entertainment he substituted chosen soldiers well armed instead of women, sending them two and two in a *dhuli* or litter who, getting in by this device gained possession of the gates and held the place for the Great Moghal to whom it now (A.D. 1617) appertains being one of the strongest situated forts in the world. About half a kos within the gate is a goodly square tank cut out of the solid rock said to be fifty fathoms deep and full of excellent water. Quoted by Finch in Kerr's *Voyages*, VIII, 300-301.

Appendix III.

Bhinmal.
Description.

as old gateways five to six miles to the east and south-east of the present town, and though their distance and isolation make it hard to believe that these ruined mounds were more than outworks, Ullet's testimony seems to establish the correctness of the local memory.¹ Besides these outlying gateways traces remain round the foot of the present Bhinmal mound of a smaller and later wall. To the east and south the line of fortification has been so cleared of masonry and is so confused with the lines of tank banks which perhaps were worked into the scheme of defence, that all accurate local knowledge of their position has passed. The Gujarati gate in the south of the town though ruined is well marked. From the Gujarati gateway a line of mounds may be traced south and then west to the ruins of Pipalduara perhaps the western gateway. The wall seems then to have turned east crossing the watercourse and passing inside that is along the east bank of the watercourse north to the south-west corner of the Jaikop or Yuksha lake. From this corner it ran east along the south bank of Jaikop to the Jhalor or north gate which still remains in fair preservation its pointed arch showing it to be of Musliman or late (17th-18th century) Rāhtar construction. From the Jhalor gate the foundations of the wall may be traced east to the Kanakaen or Karāda tank. The area to the east of the town from the Karāda tank to the Gujarati gate has been so quarried for brick to build the present Bhinmal that no sign remains of a line of fortifications running from the Karāda tank in the east to the Gujarati gate in the south.

The site of the present town the probable centre of the old city, is a mound stretching for about three-quarters of a mile north and south and swelling twenty to thirty feet out of the plain. On almost all sides its outskirts are protected by well made thorn fences enclosing either garden land or the peas and fields of Rābāris and Bhillā. The streets are narrow and winding. The dwellings are of three classes: 1. The flat mud-roofed houses of the Mahājans or traders and of the better-to-do Brāhmans and craftsmen with canopied doors and fronts plastered with white clay; 2. The tiled sloping-roofed sheds of the bulk of the craftsmen and gardeners and of the better-off Rābāris and Bhillā; and 3. The thatched bee-hive huts of the bulk of the Rābāris and Bhillā and of some of the poorer craftsmen and husbandmen. Especially to the north-west and west the houses are skirted by a broad belt of garden land. In other parts patches of watered crops are separated by the bare banks of old tanks or by stretches of plain covered with thorn and cassia bushes or roughened by the heaps of old buildings honey-combed by shafis sunk by searchers for bricks. Besides the four spired temples to Pāramāsth the only outstanding building is the old *kacheri* or state office a mass of ruins which tops the steep south end of the city mound.

People.

Of the 1400 inhabited houses of Bhinmal the details are: Mahājans 475, chiefly Oswāl Vānis of many subdivisions; Shrinālī Brāhmans, 200; Shetaks 35, Maga Brāhmans worshippers of the ~~sun~~ and priests to Oswāls; Sonārs, 30; Bāndhāras or Calico-printers, 35; Kāśāras or Brass-smiths 4, Ghānchis or Oilpressers, 30; Mālis or Gardeners, 25; Kāthias or Woodworkers, 12; Bhāts 120 including 80 Gannas or Grain-carriers,

¹ The names of these gateways are Surajpal about six miles (4 *kos*) east of Bhinmal near Khetpur at the site of a temple of Mahadev; Baridār about six miles (4 *kos*) to the south near a temple of Hanumān; Dharamdhar near Vaudar about six miles (4 *kos*) west of Bhinmal at the site of a large well; Kishorāl about six miles (4 *kos*) to the north near Nartan at the site of a large well and stones. Rāhtar Lal Pandit.

and 40. Rājshās or Brāhm Bhāts, Genealogists¹; Kumthārs or Potters, 12; Musalmān Potters, 4; Rehbaris or Herdsmen, 70²; Shādkis Beggars, 10; Shāmia Alikis Beggars, 10; Kotwāl and Panjāra Musalmāns, 15; Lohārs or Blacksmiths, 3; Darjis or Tailors, 12; Nais or Barbera, 7; Bhumis that is Solāṅki Jāgirdārs, 15³; Kavās Bhumis servants, 12; Jāts Cultivators, 2; Deshāutris or Saturday Oilbeggars, 1; Achāryas or Funeral Brāhmins, 1; Dholsa Drumbeaters, 12; Pātrias or Professionals that is Dancing Girls, 30⁴; Turkī Vohorās that is Memons, 2; Vishayati Musalmān Patlock-makers, 1; Rangras or Dyers, 2; Moohis or Shoemakers, 30; Kurias or Salivats that is Masons, 6; Chnrigars Musalmān Ivory bangle-makers, 2; Jatiyas⁵ or Tanners, 17; Khātiks or Butchers working as tanners, 1; Sargars, Bhil messengers, 1; Bhils, 120; Tigrars or Arrow-makers, 5; Gorādas priests to Bombias leather-workers, 2; Bombias literally Weavers now Leather-workers, 40; Wāghris Castrator, 1; Mirāsīs Musalmān Drummers, 8; Mehtars or Sweepers, 1.

Inside of the town the objects of interest are few. The four temples of Parasnāth are either modern or altered by modern repairs. A rest-house to the south of a temple of Barāgi or Varaha the Boar in the east of the town has white marble pillars with inscriptions of the eleventh and thirteenth centuries which show that the pillars have been brought from the ruined temple of the sun or Jag Svāmī Lord of the World on the mound about eighty yards east of the south or modern Gujrat gate. In the west of the town, close to the wall of the enclosure of the old Mahālakshmi temple, is a portion of a white marble pillar with an

Appendix III.

BHINMAL
People.Objects.
In the Town

¹ The Bhinmalī Brāhm-Bhāts are of the following subdivisions: Dhondaleshyar, Hār, Hara, Loh, Pashahis, Pitalis, and Varing. They say Bhinmal is their original home.

² The local explanation of Reh-biri is liver out of the way. Their subdivisions are: Al, Barod, Bongaro, Dargalla, Gansor, Gougala, Kalotra, Karamtha, Nanga, Panna, Premān, Roj. All are strong dark full-bearded men.

³ The importance of Bhinmal as a centre of population is shown not only by the Bhinmalī Brāhmins and Vātis who are spread all over Gujrat, but by the Pātrias a class of Vātis now unrepresented in their native town who are said to take their name from a suburb of Bhinmal. Oswāls, almost all of whom are Shrivāks or followers of the Jain religion, have practically spread from Bhinmal. The origin of the name Oswāl is (Trans. Roy. As. Soc. III, 337) from Ośi the Mother or Luck of Omanagar an ancient town and still a place of pilgrimage about eighteen miles north of Jodhpur. The Oswāls were originally Rājputs of several classes including Pātrias but mainly Solāṅkis and so apparently (Tod's Western India, 209) of Gurjara origin. Equally of Gurjara origin are the Bhinmalī Vātis who hold a specially high place among Western Indian Jāts. The care taken by the Jāts to secure foreign conquerors within their fold is notable. The Tirthankar is a Rājā who by piety and other virtues attained moksha or absorption. The fifty-four worshipful *stavan-purushas*, the twenty-four *tirthankars*, the twelve *chakravartis*, the nine *baladevas*, and the nine *ekendras* are Rājās, most of them great conquerors (Trans. Royal Asiatic Society, III, 338-341). The local story is that the Solāṅkis were called to help the people of Bhinmal to resist the Songara Rājputs of Jhalor who took Bhinmal about a.d. 1200. Before that the Bhinmalis and Solāṅkis were enemies. This tradition of hostility is interesting as it may go back to a.d. 740 when Mālarāja Solāṅki transferred the seat of power from Bhinmal to Anshilavāda Putan. (See below page 400.) A class who trace to Bhinmal are the Pitalis or Kalbis of Marwar (Marwar Caste, 41). They claim descent from Rājput men and Brāhmins women. In support of the tradition the women still keep separate neither eating with nor using the same vessels as their husbands.

⁴ These dancing girls hold land. They are said to have been brought by the Songara Rājputs, who according to the local account retreating from Ala-ud-din Khilji (a.d. 1200) took Bhinmal from the Bhinmalī Brāhmins.

⁵ The Jatiyas all Hindus of the three subdivisions Rajetta, Senkaria, and Talvaria came from Mandla near Dhar in Central India. The name is locally derived from *jat-karta* a skin.

Appendix III.

BHINMAL.
Objects.

inscription dated S. 1342 (A.D. 1286) which apparently has been brought from the same ruined sun temple. In the *karkari* ruins at the south end of the mound the only object of interest is a small shrine to Mātā with two snakes supporting her seat and above in modern characters the words, *Nāgane the karkari or triho guardian of the Rāhitor*.

Surroundings.

The chief object of interest at Bhinmal is the ruined temple of the Sun on a mound close to the south of the town. Of this temple and its inscriptions details are given below. About fifty yards west of the Sun temple are the remains of a gateway known as the *Gujarāt* gateway. This modern name and the presence near it of blocks of the white quartz-marble of the Sun temple make it probable that the gateway is not older than Muslim or eighteenth century *Rāhitor* times. Close to the west of the gate is *Khari Bāra* the Salt Well an old step and water-bag well with many old stones mixed with brick work. About a hundred yards south of the *Gujarāt* gate, in a brick-walled enclosure about sixteen yards by eight and nine feet high topped by a shield parapet, is the shrine of Mahādeva Naulākshwar. An inscription dated S. 1690 (A.D. 1744) states that the enclosure marks the site of an old temple to Naulākshwar. About fifty yards east of the Naulākshwar shrine is a large brick enclosure about seventy-five yards square with walls about twelve feet high and a pointed-arched gateway in the Muslim ware-edged style. On entering to the left, is a plinth with a large Hanuman and further to the left in domed shrines are a *Ganapati* and a *Mātā*. A few paces south is *Brahma's Pool* or *Brahmakhand* with steep steps on the west and north, a rough stone and brick wall to the east, and a circular well to the south. The pool walls and steps have been repaired by stones taken from Hindu temples or from former decorations of the pool on some of which are old figures of *Mātās* in good repair. The story is that Som, according to one account the builder of the Sun temple according to another account a restorer of *Shrināl*, wandering in search of a cure for leprosy, came to the south gate of *Shrināl*. Som's dog which was suffering from mange disappeared and soon after appeared sound and clean. The king traced the dog's footmarks to the *Brahmakhand*, bathed in it, and was cured. As a thank-offering he surrounded the pool with masonry walls. To the south of the pool, to the right, are an underground *ling* sacred to *Patāleshwar* the lord of the Under World and south of the *ling* a small domed shrine of *Chandi Devi*. To the left, at the east side of a small brick enclosure, is a snake-canopied *ling* known as *Chandeshwar* hung about with strings of *radrikā* *Eleocarpus ganitrus* beads.¹ In front of *Chandeshwar's* shrine is a small inscribed stone with at its top a cow and calf recording a land grant to *Shrinālī Brahmins*. About forty yards north-east of the *Brahmakhand* a large straggling heap of brick and earth, now known as *Lakshmithala* or *Lakshmi's settlement*, is said to be the site of a temple to *Lakshmi* built, according to the local

¹ According to a local story there was a hermitage of *Jangama* near the temple of *Jagandera*, the Sun-God and a hermitage of *Bharati* near *Chandeshwar's* shrine. In a fight between the rival ascetics many were slain and the knowledge where their treasure was stored passed away. When repairs were made in A.D. 1814 (S. 1870) the *Bharati* hermitage was cleared. Two large earthen pots were found one of which still stands at the door of *Chandeshwar's* temple. These pots contained the treasure of the *Bharatis*. In A.D. 1814 nothing but white dust was found. Most of the dust was thrown away till a Jain ascetic came and examined the white dust. The ascetic called for an iron rod, heated the rod, sprinkled it with the white dust, and the iron became gold.

legend, by a Brahman to whom in return for his devotedness Lakshmi had given great wealth. The hollow to the south-east is known as the Khandālin pool. About fifty yards south-east at the end of a small enclosure is a shrine and cistern of Jageshwar, said to be called after a certain Jag who in return for the gift of a son built the temple. Several old carved and dressed stones are built into the walls of this temple. About seventy-five yards further south-east a large area rough with heaps of brick is said to be the site of an old Vidyā-Sālā or Sanskrit College. This college is mentioned in the local Mahātmya as a famous place of learning the resort of scholars from distant lands.¹ The local account states that as the Bhils grow too powerful the Brāhmins were unable to live in the college and retired to Dhulka in north Gujarat.

The slope and skirts of the town beyond the thorn-fenced enclosures of Bhils and Rabaris lie in heaps honeycombed with holes hollowed by searchers for bricks. Beyond this fringe of fenced enclosures from a half to a whole mile from the city are the bare white banks of pools and tanks some for rice worthy to be called lakes. Of these, working from the south northwards, the three chief are the Nimbālī or Narmakharovar, the Goni or Gayakund, and the Talhi or Trimbakeshwar. The Nimbālī tank, about 300 yards south-east of the college site, is a large area opening eastwards whence it draws its supply of water and enclosed with high bare banks scattered with bricks along the south-west and north. The lake is said to be named Nimbālī after a Vāni to whom Mahādeva granted a son and for whom Mahādeva formed the hollow of the lake by ploughing it with his thunderbolt. About half a mile north-east of Nimbālī a horse-box bank fifteen to thirty feet high, except to the open east, is the remains of the Goni lake. Lines of stone along the foot of the north-west and north-east banks show that portions at least of these sides were once lined with masonry. A trace of steps remains at a place known as the Gau Ghat or Cowgate. The lake is said to have been named Goni after a Brahman whose parents being eaten by a Rakshas went to hell. For their benefit Goni devoted his life to the worship of Vishnu and built a temple and lake. In reward Vishnu gave to the water of the lake the merit or cleansing virtue of the water of Gāyā. In the foreground a row of small *chattris* or pavilions marks the burying ground of the Mahajan or high Hindu community of Bhinmāl. Behind the pavilions are the bare banks of the Talhi lake. At the west end is the Bombāro well and near the south-west is the shrine of Trimbakeshwar Mahādev. This lake is said to have been made in connection with a great sacrifice or *yag*, that is *yajna*, held by Brāhmins to induce or to compel the god Trimbakeshwar to slay the demon Tripurisur. Beginning close to the south of Talhi lake and stretching north-west towards the city is the Karidd Sarovar or Karidd lake said to have been built by Kauksen or Kanishka the great founder of the Skythian era (A.D. 78). On the western bank of the lake stands an open air *Udy* of Karnateshwar.² At the south end of the Karidd

Appendix III.

BHINMAL.

Objects.

Surroundings.

¹ According to Alberuni (A.D. 1030) the Brahmasiddhānta was composed by Brahmagupta the son of Jishnu from the town of Bhilānāla between Multān and Anhilwār. Sircar's Translation, I. 153. Another light of the college was the Sanskrit poet Magha, the son of Srīmālī parents, who is said to have lived in the time of Bhoj Rājā of Ujjain (A.D. 1010-1010). *Māra's Castles*, 68.

² The local account explains the origin of the name Karnak which also means gold by the story of a Bhil who was drowned on the waxing fifth of Bhādarva. The Bhil's wife who was with him failing to drown herself prepared a funeral pyre. Mahādeva pleased with the woman's devotion restored her husband to life and made his body shine like gold. As a thankoffering the Bhil enlarged the tank and built a shrine to Kīrti Mahādeva.

Appendix III.

Bhinmal.
Objects.
Surroundings.

lake, which stretches close to the fenced enclosures round the city, are the remains of a modern bastion and of a wall which runs north-west to the Jhālor gate. Beyond the site of the bastion is an enclosure and shrine of Maheshwar Mahādev. To the north and north-west of the Karnit em lie four large tanks. Of these the most eastern, about 800 yards north-west of Karādī, is Brahmasarovar a large area fed from the north and with high broken banks. Next, about 500 yards north-west, lies the far-stretching Vānkund or Forest Pool open to the north-east. About 800 yards west is Gantam's tank which holds water throughout the year. The banks of brick and *kankur* form nearly a complete circle except at the feeding channels in the east and south. In the centre of the lake is an islet on which are the white-stone foundations (18' x 12') of Gantam's hermitage. On the bank above the east feeding-channel is an image of Hanuman and on the east side of the southern channel at the foot of the bank is a white inscribed stone with letters so worn that nothing but the date S. 1106 (A.D. 1049) has been made out. Of the balls of *kankur* or nodular limestones which are piled into the bank of the tank those which are pierced with holes are lucky and are kept to guard wooden partitions against the attacks of insects. The last and westmost of the north row of tanks is the Jaikop properly Jakshkop that is the Yaksha's Pool about 600 yards south-west of the Gantam tank and close to the north-west of the town.¹ This tank holds water throughout the year and supplies most of the town's demand. Along the south bank of the Jaikop, where are tombs a shrine to Bhairav and a ruined mosque, the line of the later city walls used to run. At the south-east corner of the tank are three square masonry plinths each with a headstone carved with the figure of a man or woman. One of the plinths which is adorned with a pillared canopy has a stone carved with a man on horseback and a standing woman in memory of a Tehsildar of Bhinmal of recent date (S. 1868; A.D. 1812) whose wife became *Sati*. About 200 yards south-east is a row of white *pālis* or memorial slabs of which the third from the south end of the row is dated S. 1245 (A.D. 1186). On the south-east bank is the shrine of Nīnghorīa Bhairav at which Shīrāvaka as well as other Hindus worship. In the centre of the shrine is a leaning pillar about five feet high with four fronts, Hanuman on the east, a standing Snake on the south, a *Sakti* on the west, and Bhairav on the north. To the south of the pillar, about a foot out of the ground rises a five-faced flag or pillar-borne of the god one facing each quarter of the heaven and one uncarved facing the sky. Close to a well within the circuit of the lake near the south-east corner is a stone inscribed with letters which are too worn to be read. At the east end of the north bank under a *pāl* *Salvadora* persea tree is a massive seated figure still worshipped and still dignified though the features have been broken off, and the left lower arm and leg and both feet have disappeared. This is believed to be the image of the Yaksha king who made the tank. Details are given Below pages 456-458. To the west of the seated statue are the marks of the foundations of a temple, shrine hall and outer hall, which is believed to have originally been the shrine of Yaksh. About a hundred yards west, under a pillared canopy of white quartz, are two Musalman

¹ The local explanation of the name Yaksha's Pool is that Ravana went to Abaka the city of the great Yaksha Kavera god of wealth and stole Pushpak Kavera's *sinha* or carrier. Kavera in sorrow asked his father what he should do to recover his carrier. The father said Worship in Shrināl. Kavera came to Shrināl and worshipped Bakhma who appeared to him and said: When Rāmchandra destroys Ravana he will bring back Pushpak.

Appendix III.

BHINMAL.
Objects.
Surroundings.

graves in honour of Ghazni Khān and Hamāl Khān who were killed about 400 years ago at Jhālor fighting for Shrināl. In obedience to their dying request their Bhāts brought the champions' bodies to Yakub's tank. The white quartz, the shape of the pillars, and an inscription on one of them dated S. 1333 (A.D. 1276), go to show that the stones have been brought from the Sun temple to the south of the town. To the north of the canopy is a large step-well the Dadeli Well separated into an outer and an inner section by a row of Hindu pillars supporting flat architraves. Some of the stones have figures of goddesses and in a niche is an old goddess' image. The upper part of the well and the parapet are of recent brick work. On a low mound about 150 yards to the north is the shrine of Nilkanth Māhādev, with, about a hundred paces to the south-east, a fine old step-well. The lake was fed from the south-west corner where is a silt trap built of stones in many cases taken from old temples and carved with the *chaitya* or horse-shoe ornament. Some of the stones have apparently been brought from the great white quartz Sun temple. Several of them have a few letters of the fourteenth century character apparently the names of masons or carvers. Some of the blocks are of a rich red sandstone which is said to be found only in the Rape quarries eight miles south of Bhinmal.

On the right, about half a mile south of the south-west corner of the Jaikop lake, is a ruined heap hid among trees called the Pipal Dvāra or Gateway perhaps the remains of the western Gateway which may have formed part of the later line of fortifications which can be traced running south along the inner bank of the Jaikop feeding channel. About a mile south of the Pipal Dvāra are the bare banks of the large lake Bansarovar the Desert Sea. To the north-west north and north-east its great earthen banks remain stripped of their masonry gradually sloping to the west and south the direction of its supply of water. The island in the centre is Lakshmi. This lake was made by Gauri or Pārvati when she came from Sunda hill to slay the female demon Uttamīār. When Pārvati killed the demon she piled over her body Shri's hill which she had brought with her to form a burial mound. At the same time Pārvati scooped the tank, and crowned Shri's hill with a tower-like temple. This hill, where lives the Sri or Luck of Shrināl, rises 500 feet out of the plain about a mile west of the town. It is approached from the south by a flight of uneven stones roughly laid as steps. The hill-top is smoothed into a level pavement of brick and cement. The pavement is supported on the east side by a lofty bastion-like wall. It is surrounded by a parapet about two feet high. On the platform two shrines face eastwards. To the left or south is the main temple of Lakshmi and to the right or north the smaller shrine of Sunda Māta. The main shrine has a porch with pillars and shield frieze of white quartz limestone apparently spoils of the great Sun Temple. Three or four bells hang from the roof of the porch and some loose white stones apparently also from the Sun temple are scattered about. In the west wall of the main shrine facing east is the image of the Guardian of Bhinmal covered with red paint and gold leaf. The only trace of ornament on the outside of Lakshmi's shrine is in the north-facing portion of a belt of the horse-shoe or *chaitya* pattern and a disc perhaps the disc of the Sun. The smaller shrine of Sunda Māta to the right or north is square and flat-roofed. The ceiling is partly made of carved stones apparently prepared for, perhaps formerly the centre slabs of domes. The door posts and lintels are of white quartz marble. On the right door post are two short inscriptions of A.D. 1612 and 1664 (S. 1669 and 1691). A second pillar bears the date A.D. 1543

Appendix III.

BHINMAL.
Objects.
Surroundings.

(S. 1600). The roof is supported by four square central pillars which with eight wall pilasters form four shallow domes with lotus carved roof-stones from some other or some older temple. In a recess in the west wall, surmounted with a stone carved in the *chaitya* or horse-shoe pattern, is the Trident or *Trishula* of Suga Māta the only object of worship.

From the hill-top the mound of Bhinmal hardly seems to stand out of the general level. The mound seems hidden in trees. Only in the south gleam the white pillars of the Sun Temple and to the north rise the high mound of the old offices, and still further north the spires of the four temples of Parasnāth. Beyond the town to the south and west spread green gardens fenced with dry thorn hedges. Outside of the garden enclosures to the south-east south and south-west run the lofty bare banks of dry lakes confused in places with the lines of old fortifications. To the north-west and north shine the waters of the Jaikop and Gantan tanks. Westwards the plain, dark with thorn brake and green with acacias, stretches to the horizon. On other sides the sea-like level of the plain is broken by groups of hills the Berta range along the north and north-east and to the east the handsomer Ratnāgar, Thar, and Ram Sen rising southwards to the lofty clear-cut ranges of Dotala and Sunda.

Only two objects of interest in Bhinmal require special description, the massive broken statue of the Yaksha or Yaksha on the north bank of the Jaikop lake, and the temple to Jagatāmī the Sun at the south-east entrance to the city.

Jaikop.

On the north bank of the Jaikop, or Yaksha Lake,¹ leaning against the stem of a *pila* or *jāl* *Salvidora persica* tree, is a massive stone about 4' high by 2' 6" broad and 1' thick. The block is carved with considerable skill into the seated figure of a king. The figure is greatly damaged by the blows of a mace. The nose and mouth are broken off, half of the right hand and the whole of the left hand and leg are gone and the feet and almost the whole of the seat or throne have disappeared. The figure is seated on a narrow lion-supported throne or *śaṣṭhana* the right hand resting on the right knee and holding a round ball of stone about six inches in diameter. The left foot was drawn back like the right foot and the left hand apparently lay on the left knee, but, as no trace remains except the fracture on the side of the stone the position of the left hand and of the left leg is uncertain. The head is massive. The hair falls about

¹ No local tradition throws light on the reason why this figure is called a Yaksha. The holding a ball in his hand suggests that he may have been a guardian *Bhadra* in some Buddhist temple and so remembered as a guardian or Yaksha. Or he may have been supposed to be a statue of the builder of the temple and so have been called a Yaksha since that word was used for a race of skilled artificers and craftsmen. Trorer's *Rajatarangini*, I. 369. In the Vrijji temples in Tibet which Buddhists accounts make older than Buddhism the objects of worship were ancestral spirits who were called Yakshas. If the Buddhist legends of *Saka* settlements in Tibet during Gautama's lifetime (A.D. 540) have any historical value these Vrijji were Sakas. As (J. As. Soc. VI. Tom. II. page 310) Yaka is a Mongol form of Saka the ancestral guardian would be Sakas. Compare in Eastern Siberia the Turki tribe called Yakols by the Russians and Sakhas by themselves. Eury. Brit. XXIV. 723. This would explain why the mythic Yaksha was a guardian, a buffier, and a white heronman. It would explain why the name Yaksha was given to the Baktrian Greeks who built stupas and conquered India for Akoka (J. As. Soc. VII. Vol. VI. page 170; Hooley in *Indian Antiquary*, IV. 101). It further explains how the name came to be applied to the Yucchi or Kuchans who like the Yavanas were guardians white heronmen and builders. In Sindh and Kachh the word Yaksha seems to belong to the white Syrian horsemen who formed the strength of Muhammad Kāsim's army, A.D. 712. (Tod's *Western India*, 137; Edmond's *Fragmenta*, 191; Briggs' *Farashah*, IV. 404, 409).

two feet from the crown of the head in four long lines of curls on to the shoulders, and, over the curls, or what seems more likely the curled wig, is a diadem or *mukut* with a central spike and two upright side ornaments connected by two round bands. The face is broken flat. It seems to have been clean shaved or at least beardless. A heavy ring hangs from each ear. A stiff collar-like band encircles the neck and strings of beads or plates hang on the chest too worn to be distinguished. On both arms are upper armlets, a centre lion-face still showing clear on the left armlet. On the right hand is a bracelet composed of two outer bands and a central row of beads. A light belt encircles the waist. Lower down are the *banders* or hip girdle and the *topel* or *phota* knot.¹ In spite of its featureless face and its broken hands and feet the figure has considerable dignity. The head is well set and the curls and diadem are an effective ornament. The chest and the full rounded belly are carved with skill. The main fault in proportion, the overshortened lower arm and leg and the narrowness of the throne, are due to the want of depth in the stone. The chief details of interest are the figure's head-dress and the ball of stone in its right hand. The head-dress seems to be a wig with a row of crisp round curls across the brow and four lines of long curls hanging down to the shoulders and crisp curls on the top of the head. The *mukut* or diadem has three upright faces, a front face over the nose and side faces over the ears joined together by two rounded bands. At first sight the stone ball in the right hand seems a coconut which the king might hold in dedicating the lake. Examination shows on the left side of the ball an outstanding semicircle very like a human ear. Also that above the ear are three rolls as if turban folds. And that the right ear may be hid either by the end of the turban drawn under the chin or by the fingers of the half-closed hand. That the front of the ball has been wilfully smashed further supports the view that it was its human features that drew upon it the Muslim mace. The local Brāhmins contend that the ball is either a round sweetmeat, or a handful of mud held in the right hand of the king during the dedication service. But Tappa a Brāhm-Bhāt, a man of curiously correct information, was urgent that the stone ball is a human head. Tappa gives the following tale to explain why the king should hold a human head in his hand. An evil spirit called Satka had been wasting the Brāhmins by carrying off the head of each bridegroom so soon as a wedding ceremony was completed. The king vowed that by the help of his goddess Chāmuṇḍa he would put a stop to this evil. The marriage of a hundred Brāhman couples was arranged for one night. The king sat by. So long as the king remained awake the demon dared not appear. When the hundredth marriage was being performed the king gave way to sleep. Satka dashed in and carried off the last bridegroom's head. The girl-bride awoke the king and said I will curse you. You watched for the others, for me you did not watch. The king said to his Luck Chāmuṇḍa, What shall I do. Chāmuṇḍa said Ride after Satka. The king rode after Satka. He overtook her fourteen miles out of Shrinid and killed her. But before her

Appendix III.

SHINMAL.
Objects
Satka.

¹ The measurements are: Height 4'; head round the brow to behind the ear the back of the head not being cut free, 2' 6"; height of head-dress, 8"; length of face, 10"; length of ringlets or wig curls from the crown of the head, 2'; breadth of face, 9"; across the shoulders, 2' 3"; throat to waistband, 1'; waistband to loose hip-belt or *banders*, 1' 3"; right shoulder to elbow, 1'; elbow to wrist, 9"; hand in the right hand 6" high 7" across top; hip to broken knee, 1'; knee to ankle, 1' 5"; foot broken off. Left shoulder to broken upper arm, 8"; left leg broken off leaving a fracture which shows it was drawn back like the right leg.

Appendix III.

Bhimsal.

Obj-cts.

-skulp.

death. Satka had eaten the bridegroom's head. What is to be done the king asked Chamunda. Trust me said his guardian. The king rode back to Shimal. As he was entering the city the goddess pointed out to him a gardener or Mali and said Off with his head. The king obeyed. The goddess caught the falling head, stuck it to the bridegroom's neck, and the bridegroom came to life. Thus, ends the tale, the local Brahmans are known as Shimalis that is men with gardeners' heads. This meaning-making pun and the likeness of the stone-hall to a human head may be the origin of this story. On the other hand the story may be older than the image and may be the reason why the king is shown holding a human head in his hand. On the whole it seems likely that the story was made to explain the image and that the image is a Bhairav holding the head of a human sacrifice and acting as gatekeeper or guardian of some Buddhist or Sun-worshipping temple.¹ The appearance of the figure, its massive well-proportioned and dignified pose, and the long wiglike curls, like the bag wig on the figure of Chand on the south-west or marriage compartment of the great Elephanta Cave, make it probable that this statue is the oldest relic of Shimal, belonging like the Elephanta wigged figures to the sixth or early seventh century the probable date of the founding or refounding of the city by the Gurjara.² According to the local story the image stands about twenty paces east of the temple where it was originally enshrined and worshipped. The line of the ground and traces of foundations seem to show about fifty paces west of the present image the sites of an entrance porch, a central hall or mandap, and a western shrine. The surface of what seemed the site of the shrine was dug about two feet deep on the chance that the base of the throne might still be in situ. Nothing was found but loose brickwork. Mutilated as he is the Yaksha is still worshipped. His high day is the *A'shad* (July-August) fullmoon when an rain-mediator between them and Indra the villagers lay in front of him *guyri* that is wheat boiled in water and milk, butter, flour, molasses, and sugar.

¹ The Jains call the guardian figures at Sanchi Bhairava. Massey's *Sanchi*, pages 7 and 25. Bhairava is revered as a guardian by the Buddhists of Nepal and Tibet. Compare Burgess' *Buddhist Rock Temples* page 95. A connection between Bhairav and the Sun is shown by the practices among Ajmer Gujar women of wearing round the neck a medal of Bhairava before marriage and of the Sun after marriage.


² The Egyptians, Greeks, and Persians are the three chief wig-wearers. Some of the Persian Kings (A.C. 230 - A.D. 240) had elaborate hair like perukes and fringed beards. In Trajan's time (A.D. 100), fashions changed so quickly that Roman statues were hairless and provided with wigs. Goldmann *Histoire Des Peres*, II, 530. Compare Wagner's *Manners*, 69. The number of wigs in the Elephanta sculptures, probably of the sixth or early seventh century, is notable. In the panel of Vira and Parvati in Kallia are several figures with curly wigs. Burgess' *Elephanta*, page 33; in the marriage panel one figure has his hair curled like a warrior's wig. Ditto 51; in the Ardhanarishvara compartment Garuda and two other figures have wigs. Ditto 22; the dwarf demon on which one of the guardians of the Trimurti leans has a wig. Ditto 34-35; finally in the west wing wigged figures uphold the throne. Ditto 47. Goodharvas in the Brahmanic Ravan cave at Elura probably of the seventh century have curly wigs; Fergusson and Burgess, 455. Winged images also occur in some of the Elura Buddhist caves of the sixth or seventh centuries: Ditto, 370-371. In Ajanta caves I, II, and XXXIV, of the sixth and seventh centuries are chakras and grotesques with large wigs. Among the high earrings and paintings of the sixth or seventh century are a king with baggy hair if not a wig and small human heads with full wigs: MS. Notes. Finally at the Chandi Sowa temple in Java of about the seventh century the Jambur and other figures have large full-bottomed wigs curled all over. *Indian Art*, for Aug. 1906, 240-241. On the other hand except the curly beaked or Aakrakun-capped mule boys in Sanchi no trace of wigs seems to occur in the Bhillas Sanchi or Bharut sculptures between the third century after and the third century before the Christian era. Compare Cunningham's *Bharut* and Bhillas; Massey's *Sanchi*; Fergusson's *Tree and Serpent Worship*.

BHINMAL (SRIMAL)

WEST

SOUTH

NORTH



ENTRANCE

EAST

TEMPLE OF JAG SVAMI THE SUN (Ruined)

Scale of Feet



The second and main object of interest is the ruined Sun temple in the south of the town on a brick mound about eighty yards east of the remains of the Gajarat gateway. The brick mound which is crowned by the white marble pillars and the massive laterite ruins of the temple of Jagadīnī Lord of the World has been so dug into that its true form and size cannot be determined. The size of many of the bricks $1\frac{1}{2} \times 1 \times 3$ suggests that the mound is older even than the massive laterite masonry of the shrine. And that here as at Multān about the sixth century during the supremacy of the sun-worshipping White Hōnas a temple of the Sun was raised on the ruins of a Buddhist temple or relic mound. Still except the doubtful evidence of the size of the bricks nothing has been found to support the theory that the Sun temple stands on an earlier Buddhist ruin. The apparent present dimensions of the mound are 42' broad 60' long and 20' high. Of the temple the north side and north-west corner are fairly complete. The east entrance to the hall, the south pillars of the hall, and with them the hall dome and the outer wall of the temple round the south and west of the shrine have disappeared. A confused heap of bricks on the top of the shrine and of the entrance from the hall to the shrine is all that is left of the spire and upper buildings. The materials used are of three kinds. The pillars of the hall are of a white quarzlike marble; the masonry of the shrine walls and of the passage round the north of the shrine is of a reddish yellow laterite, and the interior of the spire and apparently some other roof buildings are of brick. Beginning from the original east entrance the ground has been cut away so close to the temple and so many of the pillars have fallen that almost no trace of the entrance is left. The first masonry, entering from the east, are the two eastern pillars of the hall dome and to the north, of this central pair the pillar that supported the north-eastern corner of the dome. Except the lowest rim, on the east side, all trace of the dome and of the roof over the dome are gone. The centre of the hall is open to the sky. The south side is even more ruined than the east side. The whole outer wall has fallen and been removed. The south-east corner the two south pillars of the dome and the south-west corner pillars are gone. The north side is better preserved. The masonry that rounds off the corners from which the dome sprung remains and along the rim of the north face runs a belt of finely carved female figures. The north-east corner pillar, the two north pillars of the dome, and the north-west corner pillar all remain. Outside of the pillars runs a passage about four feet broad and eleven feet high, and, beyond the passage, stands the north wall of the temple with an outstanding deep-eaved window balcony with white marble seats and backs and massive pillars whose six feet shafts are in three sections square eight-sided and round and on whose double-disk capitals rest brackets which support a shallow cross-cornered dome. At its west end the north passage is ornamented with a rich *gokū* or recess $3\frac{1}{2}$ broad with side pillars $3\frac{1}{2}$ feet high. On the west side of the dome the central pair of dome pillars and as has been noticed the north corner pillar remain. About three feet west of the west pair of dome pillars a second pair support the domed entrance to the shrine. The richly carved side pillars, a godless with fly-flap banners, and the lintel of the shrine door remain but the bare square chamber of the shrine is open to the sky. To the south of the shrine the entire basis of the south side of the spire, the outer circling or *pradakṣhāna* passage and the outer wall of the temple have disappeared. The north side is much less ruinous. There remain

Appendix III.

Ruin of
Sun Temple.

Appendix III

BHINMAL.

Sun Temple.

the massive blocks of yellow and red trap which formed the basis of the spire built in horizontal bands of deep-cut cushions and in the centre of the north wall a niche with outstanding pillared frame, the circling passage with walls of plain trap and roof of single slabs laid across and the outer wall of the temple with bracket capiteled pillars and a central deep-aved and pillared hanging window of white marble. The circling passage and the outer wall of the temple end at the north-west corner. Of the western outer wall all trace is gone. The pillars of the temple are massive and handsome with pleasantly broken outline, a pedestal, a square, an eight-sided band, a sixteensided band, a round belt, a narrow band of horned faces, the capital a pair of discs, and above the discs outstanding brackets each ending in a crouching four-armed male or female human figure upholding the roof. The six central dome pillars resemble the rest except that instead of the sixteensided band the inner face is carved into an urn from whose mouth overhang rich leafy festoons and which stand on a roll of cloth or a ring of cans such as women set between the head and the waterpot.¹ On the roof piles of bricks show that besides the spire some building rose over the central dome and eastern entrance but of its structure nothing can now be traced.

History.

According to a local legend this temple of the Sun was built by Yayati the son of king Nahush² of the Chandravanshi or Moon stock. Yayati came to Shrināl accompanied by his two queens Sharmistha and Devyani, and began to perform severe austerities at one of the places sacred to Surya the Sun. Surya was so pleased by the fervour of Yayati's devotion that he appeared before him and asked Yayati to name a boon. Yayati said May I with god-like vision see thee in thy true form. The Sun granted this wish and told Yayati to name a second boon. Yayati said I am weary of ruling and of the pleasures of life. My one wish is that for the good of Shrinālpur you may be present here in your true form. The Sun agreed. An image was set up in the Sun's true form (apparently meaning in a human form) and a Hariya Brāhman was set over it.³ The God said Call me Jagat-Svāmi the Lord of the World for I am its only protector. According to a local Brāhman account the original image of the Sun was of wood and is still preserved in Lakshmi's temple at Pātan in North Gujarat.⁴ Another account makes the builder of the temple Shripunj or Jagsom. According to one legend Jagsom's true name was Kanak who came from Kashmir. According to the Brāhman Bhāt Tappa Jagsom was a king of Kashmir of the Jamāwal tribe who established himself in Bhinmal about 600 years before Kumārāpāla. As Kumārāpāla's date is A.D. 1156, Jagsom's date would be A.D. 680.

¹ The ten feet of the pillars are thus divided: pedestal 2', square block 2', eight-sided belt 18', sixteensided belt 15', round belt 2', horned face belt 6', double disc capital 6'.

² This according to another account is Nāsik town.

³ Hariya Brāhman is said to mean a descendant of Hariyañ, a well known Brāhman of Shrināl, so rich that he gave every member of his caste a present of brass vessels.

⁴ This tradition seems correct. In the temple of Lakshmi near the Tripolia or Triple gateway in Pātan are two standing images of *cōmga* Michella champaca wood one a man the other a woman black and dressed. The male image which is about three feet high and thirteen inches across the shoulders is of the Sun Jagat Svām that is Jagat Svāmi the World Lord; the female image about 2' 0" high and 9" across the shoulders is Ranadevi or Randel the Sun's wife. Neither image has any writing. They are believed to be about 1000 years old and to have been secretly brought from Bhinmal by Shrināl Brāhman about A.D. 1400. Rao Bahadur Himatlal Dharsalā, *Compendium* (Rajputana Gazetteer, II, 282) in the temple of Bālārikh at Bālnar about a hundred miles south-west of Jodhpur a wooden image of the sun.

According to the common local story Jagom was tormented by the presence of a live snake in his belly. When Jagom halted at the south gate of Bhinmāl in the course of a pilgrimage from Kashmir to Dwarka, he fell asleep and the snake came out at his mouth. At the same time a snake issued from a hole close to the city gate and said to the king's belly snake 'You should depart and cease to afflict the king.' 'There is a fine treasure in your hole' said the belly snake. 'How would you like to leave it?' 'Why then ask me to leave my home?' The gate snake said 'If any servant of the king is near let him hearken. If some leaves of the *kir* Capparis apylla tree are plucked and mixed with the flowers of a creeper that grows under it and boiled and given to the king the snake inside him will be killed.' 'If any servant of the king is near' retorted the king's snake 'let him hearken. If boiling oil is poured down the hole of the gate-snake the snake will perish and great treasure will be found.' A clever Kayasth of the king's retinue was near and took notes. He found the *kir* tree and the creeper growing under it; he prepared the medicine and gave it to the king. The writhing of the snake caused the king so much agony that he ordered the Kayasth to be killed. Presently the king became sick and the dead snake was thrown up through the king's mouth. The king mourned for the dead Kayasth. So clever a man, he said, must have made other good notes. They examined the Kayasth's note book, poured the boiling oil down the hole, killed the gate-snake, and found the treasure. To appease the Kayasths and the two snakes lakhs were spent in feeding Brahmins. With the rest a magnificent temple was built to the Sun and an image duly enshrined. Nine upper stories were afterwards added by Vishvakarma.

The legends of Bhinmāl are collected in the Shrināl Mahātmya of the Skanda Purāṇa a work supposed to be about 400 years old. According to the Mahātmya the city has been known by a different name in each of the chief cycles or Yuga. In the Satyayug it was Shrināl, in the Tretayug Ratanmāl, in the Dwāparayug Pushpamāl, and in the Kāliyug Bhinmāl. In the Satyayug Shrināl or Shrinagar had 84 Chandis; 336 Kāhetrapāls; 27 Vāchins; 101 Sūryās; 51 Mātās; 21 Brāhispatis; 305 to 11,000 Līngas; 88,000 Rūshis; 299 Wells and Tanks; and 3½ kroms of *Arthas* or holy places. At first the plain of Bhinmāl was sea and Bhṛaghuṛishi called on Surya and the sun dried the water and made it land. Then Braghū started a hermitage and the saints Kashyap, Atri, Bharadwaj, Gautam, Jāmdagni, Vishvamitra, and Vasabhata came from Aśva to interview Braghū. Gautam was pleased with the land to the north of Braghū's hermitage and prayed Trimbakeshwar that the place might combine the holiness of all holy places and that he and his wife Ahilya might live there in happiness. The God granted the sage's prayer. A lake was formed and in the centre an island was raised on which Gautam built his hermitage the foundations of which may still be seen. The channel which feeds Gautam's lake from the north-east was cut by an ascetic Brāhman named Yajnasaila and in the channel a stone is set with writing none of which but the date S. 1117 (A.D. 1060) is legible. Some years after Gautam had settled at Shrināl a daughter named Lakshmi was born in the house of the sage Braghū. When the girl came of age Braghū consulted Naradji about a husband. When Naradji saw Lakshmi, he said; This girl can be the wife of no one but of Vishnu. Naradji went to Vishnu and said that in consequence of the curse of Durvasasāshi Lakshmi could not be born anywhere except in Braghū's house and that Vishnu ought to marry her. Vishnu agreed. After the

Appendix III.

Bhinmāl,
Sun Temple.

Legends.

Appendix III.

BHINMAL
Legends.

marriage the bride and bridegroom bathed together in the holy Trimbak pond about half a mile east of Gautam's Island. The holy water cleared the veil of forgetfulness and Lakshmi remembered her former life. The *devas* or guardians came to worship her. They asked her what she would wish. Lakshmi replied; May the country be decked with the houses of Brāhmins as the sky is decked with their carriers the stars. Bhugwan that is Vishnu, pleased with this wish, sent messengers to fetch Brāhmins and called Vishvakarma the divine architect to build a town. Vishvakarma built the town. He received golden bangles and a garland of gold lotus flowers and the promise that his work would meet with the praise of man and that his descendants would rule the art of building. This town said the Gods has been decked as it were with the garlands or *maals* of Sri or Lakshmi. So it shall be called Shrimāl. When the houses were ready Brāhmins began to gather from all parts.¹ When the Brāhmins were gathered Lakshmi asked Vishnu to which among the Brāhmins worship was first due. The Brāhmins agreed that Gautam's claim was the highest. The Brāhmins from Sindh objected and withdrew in anger. Then Vishnu and Lakshmi made presents of clothes money and jewels to the Brāhmins, and they, because they had settled in the town of Shrimāl, came to be known as Shrimālī Brāhmins.

The angry Sindh Brāhmins in their own country worshipped the Sea. And at their request Samudra sent the demon Sarika to ruin Shrimāl. Sarika carried off the marriageable Brāhmin girls. And the Brāhmins finding no one to protect them withdrew to Abu. Shrimāl became waste and the dwellings ruins.² When Shrimāl had long lain waste a king named Shripurj, according to one account suffering from worms according to another account stricken with leprosy, came to the Brahmakund to the south of the city and was cleansed.³ Thankful at heart Shripurj collected Brāhmins and restored Shrimāl and at the Brahmakund built a temple of Chandiah Mahadev. When they heard that the Shrimāl Brāhmins had returned to their old city and were prospering the

¹ The details are: From Kanika 300, from the Ganges 10,000, from Gaya 500, from Kallijar 700, from Mahendra 300, from Kundal 1000, from Yant 500, from Surparak 800, from Gokarn 1000, from Godivari 108, from Prabhas 122, from the hill Ujjayan or Girnar 115, from the Narbada 110, from Gomati 79, and from Nandivasthan 1000.

² According to one account (Mārwār Centre, 61) these Sindh Brāhmins are represented by the present Pushkar Brāhmins. In proof the Pushkars are said to worship Sarika as Utadevi riding on a panch. This must be a mistake. The Pushkars are almost certainly Gujars.

³ Details are given above under Objects. The local legends confuse Shripurj and Jagson. It seems probable that Jagson was not the name of a king but is a contraction of Jagatvanshi the title of the Sun. This Shripurj, or at least the restorer or founder of Shrimāl, is also called Kanak, who according to some accounts came from the east and according to others came from Kashmir. Kanak is said also to have founded a town Kanakvat near the site of the present village of Chyakh about eleven miles (7 kos) east of Bhinmal. This recollection of Kanak or Kanakvat is perhaps a trace of the possession of Mārwār and north Gujārat by the generals or successors of the great Kushān or Śaka emperor Kanak or Kanishka the founder of the Śaka era of A.D. 78. According to the local Bhats this Kanak was of the Jangirah caste and the Pradiya branch. This caste is said still to hold 300 villages in Kashmir. According to local accounts the Shrimālī Brāhmins, and the Dewala and Derra Rajputs all came from Kashmir with Kanak. Tod (Western India, 213) notices that the Annals of Mewar all trace to Kanakdev of the Sun race whose invasion is put at A.D. 100. As the Shrimālī and most of the present Rajput chiefs are of the Gujār stock which entered India about A.D. 400 this tracing to Kanishka is a case of the Hindu law that the conqueror assimilates the traditions of the conquered that with the tradition he may bind to his own family the Sri or Luck of his predecessors.

Brāhmanas of Sindh once more sent Sarika to carry away their marriageable daughters. One girl as she was being haled away called on her house-goddess and Sarika was spell-bound to the spot. King Shripunjan came up and was about to slay Sarika with an arrow when Sarika said Do not kill me. Make some provision for my food and I will henceforth guard your Brāhmanas. The king asked her what she required. Sarika said Let your Brāhmanas at their weddings give a dinner in my honour and let them also marry their daughters in unwashed clothes. If they follow these two rules I will protect them. The king agreed and gave Sarika leave to go. Sarika could not move. While the king wondered the house-goddess of the maiden appeared and told the king she had stopped the fiend. Truly said the king you are the rightful guardian. But Sarika is not ill disposed let her go. On this Sarika fled to Sindh. And in her honour the people both of Shrināl and of Jodhpur still marry their daughters in unwashed clothes.¹ The Brāhman girl whom Sarika had carried off had been placed in charge of the snake Kankal lord of the under world. The Brāhmanas found this out and Kankal agreed to restore the girls if the Brāhmanas would worship snakes or *nāgs* at the beginning of their *śrāddh* or after-death ceremonies. Since that time the Shrinālīs set up the image of a Nāg when they perform death rites. Other legends relating to the building of the Jagatmī or San temple, to the temple of Chandīsh Mahadev near the Brāhmakund,² and to the making of the Jaiskop lake are given above. The dates preserved by local tradition are S. 272 (A.D. 166) the building of the first temple of the Sun; S. 265 (A.D. 209) a destructive attack on the city; S. 494 (A.D. 438) a second sack by a Rākshasa; S. 700 (A.D. 644) a re-building; S. 900 (A.D. 844) a third destruction; S. 955 (A.D. 899) a new restoration followed by a period of prosperity which lasted till the beginning of the fourteenth century.

That Shrināl was once the capital of the Gurjjaras seems to explain the local saying that Jagatsen the son of the builder of the San temple gave Shrināl to Gujarat Brāhmanas where Gujarat is a natural alteration of the forgotten Gurjjaras or Gurjjara Brāhmanas. That Shrināl was once a centre of population is shown by the Shrinālī subdivisions of the Brāhman and Vāni castes who are widely scattered over north Gujarat and Kathiāwāda. Most Shrinālī Vānis are Shrāvaks. It seems probable that their history closely resembles the history of the Osrāl Shrāvaks or Jains who take their name from the ancient city of Ośā about fifteen miles south of Jodhpur to which they still go to pay vows. The bulk of these Osrāl Vānis, who are Jains by religion, were Solanki Rajputs before their change of faith which according to Jain records took place about A.D. 743 (S. 800).³ The present Bhinmāl

Appendix III.

Bhinmāl.
Legends.

Castes
Legends.

¹ According to a local tradition the people in despair at the ravages of Sarika turned for help to Devi. The goddess said: Kill buffaloes, eat their flesh, and wear their hides and Sarika will not touch you. The people obeyed and were saved. Since then a dough buffalo has taken the place of the flesh buffalo and unwashed cloth of the blessing hide. Another version sounds like a reminiscence of the Tartar origin of Erythra. The goddess Khāmugīd persuaded the Lord Erythya to celebrate his marriage clad in the raw hide of a cow. In the present era unwashed cloth has taken the place of leather. MS. Note from Mr. Ratan Lal Pandit.

² The tradition recorded by Tod (Western India, 209) that the Gurjjaras are descended from the Solankis of Anahilavāda, taken with the evidence noted in the section on History that the Chitravās or Chāpas and the Parikāras are also Gurjjaras makes it probable that the Chohāns are of the same origin and therefore that the whole of the Agnikūlas were northern conquerors who adopting Hinduism were given a place among Rajputs or Kshatriyas.

³ Epigraphia Indica, II. 40-41.

Appendix III.

Bhīnmāl.
Caste
Legends.

bards claim the Oswāls as originally people of Shrimāl. Lakshmi they say when she was being married to Vishnu at Shrimāl looked into her bosom and the Jariya goldsmiths came forth: she looked north and the Oswāls appeared, east and from her loom were born the Porwāls.¹ From her lucky necklace of flowers sprang the Shrimālī Brāhmins. According to other accounts the Shrimālī Brāhmins and Vānis were of Kashmir origin of the Jamawāl caste and were brought to south Mārwār by Jag Som by which name apparently Kanaksen that is the Kushān or Kshātrapa (A.D. 78-250) dynasty is meant. They say that in S. 759 (A.D. 703) Bagra an Arab laid the country waste and that from fear of him the Shrimālī Brāhmins and Vānis fled south. Another account giving the date A.D. 744 (S. 800) says the assailants were Songara Rajputs. The Shrimālīs were brought back to Bhīnmāl by Abhai Singh Rāhtor when viceroy of Gujarat in A.D. 1694 (S. 1750).

The memory of the Gurjjaras, who they say are descended from Gurah Rishi, lingers among the Bhāts or bards of Shrimāl. They say the Gurjjaras moved from Shrimāl to Poshkar about ten miles north-west of Ajmir and there dug the great lake. They are aware that Gurjjaras have a very sacred burning ground at Poshkar or Pokarn and also that the Savitri or wife of Brahma at Pokarn was a Gurjjara maiden.

But as the leading Gurjjars have dropped their tribe name in becoming Kshatriyās or Rajputs the bards naturally do not know of the Gurjjaras as a ruling race. The ordinary Gurjjara they say is the same as the Rohbari; the Bad or High Gurjjara to whom Krishna belonged are Rajputs. The bards further say that the Sompurnas who live near Poshkar (Pokarn north of Ajmir) and are the best builders who alone know the names of all ornamental patterns are of Gurjjara descent and of Shrimāl origin. They do not admit that the Chāvāds were Gurjjaras. In their opinion Chāvāds are the same as Bhārads and came north into Mārwār from Danta in Jhālāwāda in north-east Kāthiāwāda. The Chohāns they say came from Sāmthar to Ajmir, from Ajmir to Delhi, from Delhi to Nāgor north of Jodhpur, from Nāgor to Jodhpur, from Jodhpur to Bhādgāon thirty miles south of Bhīnmāl, and from Bhādgāon to Sirohi. According to a local Jaghirdār of the Doyra caste the Chohāns' original seat was at Jhālor forty miles north of Shrimāl. They say that in the eighteenth century the Solāṅkis came north from Pātan in north Gujarat to Hiya in Pātanpar where they have still a settlement, and that from Hiya they went to Bhīnmāl.

In connection with the Sun temple and the traces of sun worship among the Jains, whose *gurus* or religious guides have a sun face which they say was given them by the Rāna of Chitor, the existence in Bhīnmāl of so many (thirty-five) houses of Sheraks is interesting. These Sheraks are the religious dependents of the Oswāl Shērāks. They are strange high-nosed hatchet-faced men with long black hair and long beards and whiskers. They were originally Magha Brāhmins and still are Vaishnavas worshipping the sun. They know that their story is told in the Nāmāgranth of the Surya Purāna. The Bhīnmāl Sheraks know of sixteen

¹ According to Katta, a Brāhma-Bhatt of remarkable intelligence, the Oswāls include Rajputs of a large number of tribes, Asdas, Bhātis, Bōrinās, Burals, Chohāns, Chohāts, Gohāls, Jalāvs, Mahvānds, Mohāls, Parmārs, Rāhtors, Shālas, Tilārs. They are said to have been converted to the Jain religion in Ośāmagara in Sāhivāt Bha Varah 22 that is in A.D. 155.

branches or *sects* but remember the names of ten only : Aboti, Bhinmāla, Devira, Hirgota, Kuwara, Lalār, Mahtariya, Mundiara, Saparwāla, and Shānda. The story of these Maghās in the Sarga and Bhayishya Parāṇas, how they were brought by Garuda from the land of the Śakas and were five and sun worshippers, gives these Shēvaks a special interest. The Devalās are believed to have come from Kashmir with Jag Svāmi who is said to have been a Yaksh of the Rākshas division of Parihār Rajputs. The other division of Parihārs were *girdās* of Aba who in virtue of the baptism of the Agastikund became Kalmīryas. The Devalās are supposed to get their name because they built Jag Som's temple at Bhinmāl. The Devra Rajputs whose head is the Shrofi chief and who according to the bards are of Chohan descent came at the same time and marry with the Devalās. With this origin from Kasmir it is natural to associate the Devras and Devalās with the Devaputras of the Samudragupta (A.D. 370-395) inscription. Of Hūna or of Javla, the tribe name of the great Hūna conquerors Toramana and Mihirakula (A.D. 450-530), few signs have been traced. The Jughirdār of Devāla knows the name Hūna. They are a Rākshasa people he says. He mentions Honora or Sonora who may be a trace of Hūna, and Hūnals in Kāthiāwāḍa and a Hūni subdivision among the Kunbis of Mārwar. Jāvla he does not know as a caste name.

The historical interest of Shrināl centres in the fact that it was long the capital of the main branch of the great northern race of Gurjjaras. It is well known that many mentions of the Gurjjaras and their country in inscriptions and historical works refer to the Chaulukya or Solāhki kingdom of Anahilavāḍa (A.D. 961-1242) or to its successor the Vāghelī principality (A.D. 1219-1304). But the name Gurjjara occurs also in many documents older than the tenth century and has been most variously and inconsistently explained. Some take the name to denote the Chāvāḍa of Anahilavāḍa (A.D. 746-942), some the Gurjjaras of Broach (A.D. 580-808) and some among them Dr. Bhagvānlāl Indrājī, even the Valabhis (A.D. 509-766), but not one of these identifications can be made to apply to all cases. As regards the Valabhis even if they were of Gurjjara origin they are not known to have at any time called themselves Gurjjaras or to have been known by that name to their neighbours. The identification with the Gurjjaras of Broach is at first sight more plausible, as they admitted their Gurjjara origin as late as the middle of the seventh century, but there are strong reasons against the identification of the Broach branch as the leading family of Gurjjaras. Pulakēśī II. in his Atholo inscription of A.D. 634 (S. 556)¹ claims to have subdued by his prowess the Lāṭas Mālavas and Gurjjaras, which shows that the land of the Gurjjaras was distinct from Lāṭa, the province in which Broach stood. Similarly Hsien Tsiang (c. 640 A.D.) speaks of the kingdom of Broach by the name of the city and not as Gurjjara or the Gurjjara country. In the following century the historians of the Arab raids² notice Bars (Broach) separately from Jurra or Gurjjara, and the Chālukya grant of 490 that is of A.D. 738-739 mentions the Gurjjaras after the Chāvoṭakas (Chāvāḍa) and the Mauryas (of Chitor) as the last of the kingdoms attacked by the Arab army. Later instances occur of a distinction between Lāṭa and Gurjjara, but it seems unnecessary to quote them as the Gurjjara kingdom of Broach probably did not survive the Rāshtrakūṭa conquest of south Gujarāt (A.D. 750-760).

The evidence that the name Gurjjara was not confined to the Chāvāḍa

Appendix III.

GENERAL.

Caste.

Legends.

History.

¹ Indian Antiquary, VIII, 237.² Elliot, I, 422.

Appendix III.

BHINMAL.
History.

is not less abundant. It will not be disputed that references of earlier date than the foundation of Anahilavāḍa (A.D. 746) cannot apply to the Chāvādā kingdom, and further we find the Chālukya grant of A.D. 738-739 expressly distinguishing between the Chāvādās and the Gurjaras and calling the former by their tribal name Chārotaka. It might be supposed that as the power of the Chāvādās increased, they became known as the rulers of the Gurjara country; and it must be admitted that some of the references to Gurjara in the Rāshtrakūṭa grants are vague enough to apply to the Chāvādā. Still, if it can be shown that others of these references cannot possibly apply to the Chāvādās, and if we assume, as we must, that the name of Gurjara was used with the slightest consistency, it will follow that the ninth and tenth century references to the Gurjara do not apply to the Chāvādā kingdom of Anahilavāḍa.

The Van-Dindori and Rādhanpur plates of the great Rāshtrakūṭa Govinda III.¹ state that Govinda's father Dhruva (c. 780-800 A.D.) "quickly caused Vatsarāja, intoxicated with the goddess of the sovereignty of Gaṇḍa that he had acquired with ease, to enter upon the path of misfortune in the centre of Maru" and took away from him the two umbrellas of Gaṇḍa. A comparison of this statement with that in the Baroda grant of Karka II.² which is dated A.D. 812-813, to the effect that Karka made his arm "the door-latch of the country of the lord of the Gurjara, who had become evilly inclined by conquering the lord of Gaṇḍa and the lord of Vanga" makes it highly probable that Vatsarāja was king of the Gurjara at the end of the eighth century. As no such name occurs in the Chāvādā lists, it follows that the Gurjara referred to in the inscriptions of about A.D. 800 were not Chāvādās.

It is also possible to show that more than a century later the Chāvādās were distinct from the Gurjara. The Kānṛtase poet Pampa, writing in A.D. 941,³ states that the father of his patron Arikesari vanquished Mahipāla king of the Gurjara, who may be identified with the Mahipāla who is named as overlord in the grant of Dharaṇivaraḥa of Wadhwan,⁴ dated A.D. 914. As no Mahipāla occurs in the Chāvādā lists, the Gurjara kingdom must be sought elsewhere than at Anahilavāḍa. Since the Gurjara of the eighth and ninth century inscriptions cannot be identified either with the Valabhis, the Branch Gurjara, or the Anahilavāḍa Chāvādās, they must represent some other family of rulers. A suitable dynasty seems to be supplied by Hsiao Tsung's kingdom of Kio-cho-lo or Gurjara, the capital of which he calls Pi-to-mo-lo.⁵ The French translators took Pi-to-mo-lo to be Bāliar in Baluchiana. But Dr. Bühler following the late Colonel Watson, identifies it; no doubt rightly, with Bhinmal or Bhilmal.⁶

¹ Indian Antiquary, XI, 156 and VI, 50.² Indian Antiquary, XII, 156.³ Jour. B. A. S. XIV, 107.⁴ Indian Antiquary, XII, 190 and XVIII, 91.⁵ Beal's Buddhist Records, II, 270.

⁶ Indian Antiquary, VI, 63. That the name Bhilmal should have come into use while the Gurjara were still in the height of their power is strange. The explanation may perhaps be that Bhilmal may mean the Gurjara's town the name Bhil or brownian being given to the Gurjara on account of their skin as arabs. So Chāpa the original name of the Chāvādā is Sanskritised into Chapaṭaṭas the strong brownian. So also, perhaps, the Chāpa or Chaura who gave its name to Chāpaur or Chimpaur was according to the local story a Bhil. Several tribes of Newer Bhils are well enough made to suggest that in their case Bhil may mean Gurjara. This is specially the case with the Jauriyah Bhils of Newer, the finest of the race, whose name further suggests an origin in the Gurjara division of Lar. Compare Malabar's Trans. Bombay As. Soc. I. 71.

Appendix III.

Bhinmal
History.

A short sketch of the history of the Gurjara, so far as it can be pieced together from contemporary sources, may help to show the probability of these identifications. The Gurjara apparently entered India in the fifth century A.D. The earliest notice of them occurs in the *Sri Harshacharita*, a work of the early seventh century, in which during the early years of the seventh century Prabhākara-vardhana the father of Śrī Haṣha of Magadha (A.D. 606-641) is said to have conquered the king of Gaudhara, the Hūnas, the king of Sindh, the Gurjara, the Lātas, and the king of Mālava.¹ The date of their settlement at Bhinmal is unknown, but as their king was recognised as a Kshatriya in Hiuen Tsiang's time (c. 640 A.D.) it probably was not later than A.D. 550. Towards the end of the sixth century (c. 585) they seem to have conquered northern Gujarāt and Broach and to have forced the Valabhis (A.D. 502-705) to acknowledge their supremacy. (See Above page 465.) They took very kindly to Indian culture, for in A.D. 638 the astronomer Brahmagupta wrote his *Siddhānta* at Bhinmal under king Vyāghramukha, who, he states, belonged to the Śrī Chāpa dynasty.² This valuable statement not only gives the name of the Gurjara royal house but at the same time proves the Gurjara origin of the Chāpuktas or Chāratukas, that is the Chāvājas of later times. This Vyāghramukha is probably the same as the Gurjara king whom in his inscription of S. 556 (A.D. 634) Pulakesi II. claims to have subdued.³ A few years later (c. 640 A.D.) Hiuen Tsiang describes the king (probably Vyāghramukha's successor) as a devout Buddhist and just twenty years of age. The country was populous and wealthy, but Buddhists were few and unbelievers many. The Gurjara did not long retain their southern conquests. In Hiuen Tsiang's time both Kaira (Kāśha) and Vadnagar (Anandapura) belonged to Mālava while the Broach chiefs probably submitted to the Chālukya. No further reference to the Bhinmal kingdom has been traced until after the Arab conquest of Sindh when (A.D. 724-750) the Khalifa's governor Junaid sent his plundering bands into all the neighbouring countries and attacked among other places Māwād (Māwar), Malīha (Māwa), Baras (Broach), Uśain (Ujjain), Al-Bāḥmān (Bhinmal?), and Jarz (Gurjara).⁴ As noticed above the contemporary Chālukya plate of A.D. 725-26 also mentions Gurjara as one of the kingdoms attacked. After these events the Arabs seem to have confined themselves to raiding the coast towns of Kāthiāvāda without attacking inland states such as Bhinmal. Immediately after the Arab raids ceased the Gurjara had to meet a new enemy the Rāshtrakūtas who after supplanting the Chālukya in the Deccan turned their attention northwards. Dantidurga in his Samangad grant of A.D. 753-4⁵ speaks of plunging the tanks of the Mahi and the Beti (Natchadi), and in his Elura inscription⁶ of conquering among other countries Mālava Lāta and Tanka. A few years later (A.D. 757-58) a branch of the main Rāshtrakūta line established its independence in Lāta in the person of Kakka.

¹ The Madhuran Grant : Epigraphia Indica, I. 67.

² Reinand, *Mémoire Sur l'Inde*, 337, in quoting this reference through Alberuni. (A.D. 1031) writes Pāḥmal between Multān and Anhalwara.

³ Indian Antiquary, VIII, 287.

⁴ Indian Antiquary, XI, 102.

⁵ There may be the northern half of the Broach District. Traces of the name seem to remain in the two Tankāris, one Sūpore Tankāri in north Broach and the other in Anand. The name seems also to survive in the better known Tankāri the port of Jamnagar on the Dhadhār. This Tankāri is the second port in the district of Broach and was formerly the emporium for the trade with Mālava. Bombay Gazetteer, II, 412-500.

⁶ Elliot, I. 440-41. 13✓

⁷ Arch. Surv. West India, X. 91.

Appendix III.

BHINMAL.
History.

The next notice of the Gurjjaras occurs in the Rādhanpur and Vāṇ-dindori grants of Govinda III., who states that his father Dhruva (c. 780-800 A.D.) caused "Vatsarāja intoxicated with the godless of the sovereignty of Gauda that he had acquired with ease to enter upon the path of misfortune in the centre of Maru" and took from him the two white umbrellas of Gauda. As already stated, a comparison with the Baroda grant of Karka II.² shows that this Vatsarāja was a Gurjjar king and that he had made extensive conquests in Upper India as far east as Bengal. Now it is notable that the genealogies of two of the most important Agnikula-races, the Paramāras and the Chauhāṇas, go back to this very time (c. 800 A.D.).³ Taking this fact in connection with the prevalence of the surnames Parār and Chavān among Gujars in such remote provinces as the Panjāb and Khāndesh, it seems obvious that these two tribes and therefore also the two other Agnikula races, the Pachāras and Solākhās are, if not of Gurjjar origin, at all events members of the great horde of northern invaders whom the Gurjjaras led. The agreement between this theory and the Agnikula legends of Abu need only be pointed out to be admitted. The origin of the modern Rajput races has always been one of the puzzles of Indian history. This suggestion seems to offer at least a partial solution.

The Rādhanpur grant (A.D. 807-8) further states that when the Gurjjar saw Govinda III. approaching, he fled in fear to some unknown hiding-place. This probably means no more than that Vatsarāja did not oppose Govinda in his march to the Vindhya. The next reference is in the Baroda grant of Karka II. of Gujārāt who boasts that his father Indra (c. 810 A.D.) alone caused the leader of the Gurjjar lords to flee. Karka adds that he himself, for the purpose of protecting Mālava, "who had been struck down," made his arm the door-bar of the country of Gurjjarasvara, who "had become avily inflamed" by the conquest of Gauda and Vanga.⁴ It is difficult to avoid supposing that we have here a reference to the Paramara conquest of Mālava and that Karka checked the southward march of the victorious army. For some years no further mention has been traced of the Gurjjaras. But in A.D. 851 the Arab merchant Sulaiman states⁵ that the king of Juzr was one of the kings "around" the Bāhlik, that is the Rāshtrakūṭa, and that he was very hostile to the Maassalmāna, which is not surprising, considering how his kingdom was exposed to the Arab raids from Sindh. Dhruva III. of Broach, in his Bagumrā grant of A.D. 867⁶ speaks of "the host of the powerful Gurjjaras" as one of the dangerous enemies he had to fear. About A.D. 890 a Gurjjar chief named Alakhāna ceded Takkadasa in the Panjāb to Śaṅkaravarman of Kaṭmīr.⁷ But as Alakhāna was a vassal of Ialīya, the Śāhi of Ohind near Swāt, this event did not affect the Bhinmal empire. To about A.D. 900 belongs the notice of the Rāshtrakūṭa Kṛṣṇa II. in the Dooli and Navsāri grants⁸ where he is stated to have frightened the Gurjjaras, destroyed the pride of Lāṭa, and deprived the coast people of sleep. His fights with the Gurjjaras are compared to the storms of the rainy season, implying that while the relations of the two empires continued hostile, neither was able to gain any decisive advantage over the other. To this same period belongs the Khariādha's (A.D. 912) statement⁹ that the king of Juzr was the fourth

¹ Indian Antiquary, VI, 59 and XI, 156.

² Indian Antiquary, XII, 156.

³ See the Udaipur *prashasti* in Ep. Ind. I. and the Harsha Inscription in *ditto*.

⁴ See the Baroda grant of A.D. 819-23. Indian Antiquary, XII, 156.

⁵ Elliot, I, 4.

⁶ Indian Antiquary, XII, 179.

⁷ Rajataranginī, 149.

⁸ B. B. R. A. Soc. Journ. XVIII, 239.

⁹ Elliot, I, 13.

in rank of the kings of India and that the *Tātariya dirhams* were used in his country. In connection with the latter point it is worth noting that the *patirasti* of the *Upakeśagarcha*¹ gives a story which distinctly connects the origin of the Gadhia coinage with Bhinmal.² The grant of Dharaśivarāṭha, the Chāpa chief of Vadhvān, dated A.D. 914³ gives us the name of his overlord Mahipāla, who, as already pointed out, must be identified with the Mahipāla who was defeated by the Karmāṭak king Narasimha.⁴ The fact that Vadhvān was a Chāpa dependency implies that Anahilavāḍa was one also. We may in fact conclude that throughout the Chāvādā period Anahilavāḍa was a mere feudatory of Bhinmal, a fact which would account for the obscurities and contradictions of Chāvādā history.

The Deoli grant of the Rāshtrakūṭa Kṛiṣṇa III. which is dated A.D. 910⁵ describes the king's victories in the south as causing the hope of Kālanjara and Chitrakūṭa to drop away from the heart of the Gurjara. At this time Kalinjara belonged to the Kalachuris of Central India and Chitrakūṭa or Chitō to the Gohlots of Mewār and the phrase used by Kṛiṣṇa implies that the Gurjara chief had his eye on these two famous fortresses and had perhaps already besieged them unsuccessfully. In either case this notice is evidence of the great and far-reaching power of the Gurjara. Masudi (A.D. 915) notices that the king of Jaz was frequently at war with the Balhara (Rāshtrakūṭa) and that he had a large army and many horses and camels.

A Chandel stone inscription from Khajurāho describes Yaśovarmān and Lakṣmavarmān as successful in war against Guṇḍas, Khāṇas, Kosalas, Kāśmīras, Maithīlas, Mālayas, Chodas, Kurus, and Gurjars.⁶ And soon after about A.D. 953 during the reign of Bhīmasena a migration of 18,000 Gurjars from Bhinmal is recorded.⁷ The memory of this movement remains in the traditions of the Gujars of Khāṇḍesh into which they passed with their carts in large numbers by way of Mālwa.⁸ An important result of this abandonment of Bhinmal was the transfer of overlordship from Bhinmal to Anahilavāḍa whose first Chālukya or Solanki king Mālarāja (A.D. 961-996) is, about A.D. 960, described as being accompanied by the chief of Bhinmal as a subordinate ally in his war with Graharipa (see Above page 151). The Gurjara or Bhinmal empire seems to have broken into several sections of which the three leading portions were the Chauhāns of Sāmbhar, the Paramāras of Mālwa, and the Solāṅkis of Anahilavāḍa.

The inscriptions which follow throw a certain amount of light on the history of Bhinmal during and after the Solāṅki period. The two against

Appendix III.

BHINMAL.
History.

¹ Indian Antiquary, XIX, 233.

² According to Cunningham (Ancient Geography, 315) the coins called *Tātariya dirhams* stretch from the fifth and sixth to the eleventh century. They are frequently found in Kābul probably of the sixth century. In the tenth century the Hakkal (A.D. 975) found them current in Gandhara and the Punjab where the Bear coin has since ousted them. They are rare in Central India east of the Aravali range. They are not uncommon in Rajasthan or Gujarat and were once so plentiful in Sindh, that in A.D. 750 the Sindh treasury had eighteen million *Tātariya dirhams*. (See Dawson in Elliot's History, I, 5.) They are the rude silver pieces generally known as Indo-Sassanian because they combine Indian letters with Sassanian types. A worn die temple in the supposed Ass-head which has given rise to the name Gadhia Pāsa or Ass money.

³ Indian Antiquary, XII, 190 and XVIII, 91.

⁴ Jour. B. A. S. XIV, 19.

⁵ Nielsen in Egis, Indica, I, 122.

⁶ B. B. R. A. S. Jour. XVIII, 232.

⁷ Hoernle in Ind. Antig. XIX, 233.

⁸ Details given in Khāṇḍesh Gazetteer, XII, 29.

Appendix III.

Bhinmal
History.

in date (Nos. 1 and 2) which are probably of the tenth century, give no historical details. Nos. 3 and 4 show that between A.D. 1067 and 1067 Bhinmal was ruled by the Mahārājādhirāja Kṛishnarāja of the Paramāra race. This is a valuable confirmation of Rājast tradition, according to which the Paramāra Rāja of Abu was followed by the prince of Śrīmāla, who headed Māhārāja against Graharaṇ (c. 990 A.D.) and the Paramāras remained paramount in this region until the beginning of the thirteenth century.¹ The title of Mahārājādhirāja meant much less at this period than it meant before the Valabhi kings had cheapened it. Still it shows that Kṛishnarāja's rank was considerably higher than that of a mere feudatory chieftain. Inscription No. 3 gives the names of Kṛishnarāja's father Dhaṇḍhaka and of his grandfather Devurāja. The first of these two names occurs in the main line of Abu as the successor of Dhūmarāja the first Paramāra sovereign.² According to Rājast tradition the Paramāras were at one time supreme in Marāṣṭhāl and held all the nine castles of the Wāste. But in the historical period their chief possessions in Mārwād lay about Abu and Chaudhrāvi, though we have a glimpse of another branch maintaining itself at Kerāl near Rāṇmer.³ The Paramāra chiefs of Abu are constantly referred to in the Solāṅki annals, and during the golden age of the Solāṅki monarchy (A.D. 1094-1174) they were the vassals of that power, and their Bhinmal branch, if it was ever a distinct chiefship, probably followed the fortunes of the main line, though the Bhinmal inscriptions give us no facts for this long period. The next item of information is given by Inscription 5, which is dated in the Śaṃvrat year 1239 (A.D. 1183) in the reign of the Mahārāj Śrī Jayasīṃha-deva. This name is of special interest, as it can hardly be doubted that we have here to do with that "Jaitsi Parmār" of Abu whose daughter's beauty caused the fatal feud between "Bhima Solāṅki" of Anahilavāḍa and Prithvirāj Chōḥān of Dehli.⁴ The title of Mahārāj is to be noted as indicating the decline of the family from the great days of Kṛishnarāja.

Towards the end of the thirteenth century the old world was falling to pieces, and the Paramāras lost one after another nearly all their ancient possessions to the Chōḥāns of Nāḡal. Bhinmal must have fallen about A.D. 1200 or a few years before, for Inscription No. 6 is dated Śaṃvrat 1262 (A.D. 1206) in the reign of the Mahārājādhirāja Śrī Udayasīṃha-deva, who, as we learn from Inscription 12, was the son of the Mahārāj Śrī Samarasīṃha-deva, of the Chōḥān race. The sudden rise of the son to greatness is implied in the difference of title and it may be inferred that Udayasīṃha himself was the conqueror of Bhinmal, though the capture of Abu is ascribed by Forbes to a chief named Lūniga.⁵

Inscriptions Nos. 6 to 8 being dated in the reign of Udayasīṃha, show that he lived to at least the year A.D. 1249 and therefore reigned at least forty-three years. He is also referred to in the Inscription No. 10, dated A.D. 1274, but in a way that does not necessarily imply that he was still alive, as the record only speaks of an endowment for his spiritual benefit, made by a person who was perhaps an old retainer. His name also occurs in the genealogy in No. 12. His reign was apparently a prosperous one but no historical facts beyond those already noted are known about him.

¹ Rās Māla, 44.² Rās Māla, 2108.³ Rās Māla, 211.⁴ Śrī Bhūṅgar Pr. I. No. 30 of the list of Sanskrit Inscriptions dated Śaṃ. 1218.⁵ Śrī Rās Māla, 1618.⁶ Rās Māla, 211.

Inscription No. 12 shows that Udayasinhha had a son named Vāhadhasinhha, who, as he is given no royal title, probably died before his father. Udayasinhha's successor, or at all events the next king in whose reign grants are dated, was Cāciga, who is given the title of Mahārāul in Inscriptions 11 (A.D. 1277) and 12 (A.D. 1278).¹ His relationship to Udayasinhha does not clearly appear, but he was probably either an elder brother or an uncle of the Cāmunda for whose benefit the gift recorded in Inscription 12 was made and who seems to be a grandson of Udayasinhha. Cāciga appears to be the Mahāmāndalsrara Cāciga of Inscription 15 in the Bhāmnagar State Collection (Bhān. Prā. I, list page 5) which is stated to bear the date Sāvarāt 1322 (A.D. 1276) and to be engraved on a pillar in the temple of Pārsvanātha at Ratampur near Jōdhpur. It is clear that he was tributary to some greater power though it is not easy to say who his overlord was. At this period Mārwar was in a state of chaos under the increasing pressure of the Rāthods. Only five years after Cāciga's last date (A.D. 1278) we meet with the name of a new ruler, the Mahārāul orī Sāmvasinhha. He is mentioned in Inscriptions 13 (A.D. 1283) 14 (A.D. 1286) and 15 (A.D. 1289) and also in 44 of the Bhāmnagar Collection (A.D. 1256 Bhān. Prā. I, list page 13) from a Jain temple at Junā. He is not stated to have belonged to the same family as the previous rulers, but he bears the family title of Mahārāul, and it may be inferred with probability that he was a son of Cāciga. He reigned for at least thirteen years (A.D. 1283-1296). It must have been about A.D. 1300 or a little later, that the Chōhāns were deprived of Bhinmal by the Rāthods and the line of Udayasinhha died out.²

The Jagavāmi temple has the honour of supplying fifteen of eighteen unmodern inscriptions found at Bhinmal. Of the fifteen inscriptions belonging to Jagavāmi's temple nine are in place and six have been removed to other buildings. Of the six which have been moved five are in Bārāj's rest-house in the east and one is in the enclosure of Mahā-lakshāmī's temple in the south of the town. Of the three remaining inscriptions of one (No. 3) the date S. 1100 (A.D. 1043) is alone legible. Of the letters on the two others, one in the bed and the other on the north bank of the Jaikop lake, no portion can be read. Arranged according to date the sixteen inscriptions of which any portion has been read come in the following order:

I.—(S. 950-1050; A.D. 900-1000. No. 1 of Plan.) On the left hand side of the eastern face of the broken architrave of the porch of the shrine of Jagavāmi. The letters show the inscription to be of about the tenth century:

Śrī Jagavāmidēvaya vāre
on the day of Śrī Jagavāmi.*

* Read Śrī Jagavāmi.

II.—(S. 950-1050; A.D. 900-1000. No. 2 of Plan.) On the south face of the eight-sided section of the northern pillar of the shrine porch in the temple of Jagavāmi. Wrongly described in Bhāmnagarā prācīna-śodhanāgraha I. under No. 46 of the State Collection, as referring to a man called Vasandhara and dated Vi. S. 1330. As the letters show, the inscription is of about the tenth century. It consists of a single

Appendix III.

Bhinmal,
History.

Inscriptions.

¹ Inscriptions 9 and 10 are not dated in any king's reign.

² Compare Tod's Rajasthan, I.

Appendix III.

Bhinmal,
Inscriptions.

complete verse :

1. Vasumihara-kāri-
2. tsa dha stakādhā 2-
3. tsa samādhāna
4. vāpādhā Samādhā-
5. vāpādhā mīlādhā
6. pūṣṭyavāpādhā 1

These two lovely pillars Vasumihara had made for her father
Saktaka's sake for increase of merit for ever.

III.—(S. 1106; A.D. 1040. Not on Plan.) On the east side of the southern water channel into Guntama's lake three-quarters of a mile north of the town. Except the date nothing can be deciphered.

IV.—(S. 1117; A.D. 1060. Not on Plan.) On the lower part of a pillar in the dharmasala east of the temple of Bārāji on the east of the town. 'Prose':

1. Om Namah śrī-ga | yaśōdēvānāmayābhayābhā-
bhāṣā-
2. śrī-ga bhāṣā bhāṣā śrī-ga | bhāṣā śrī-ga bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā
bhāṣā bhāṣā
3. Śrī-ga | Śrī-ga 1117 (A.D. 1067) Magha Pūṣṭi 3 Rātri
Śrī Śrī-ga bhāṣā bhāṣā
4. Bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā Śrī Śrī-ga bhāṣā
bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā
5. śrī-ga bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā śrī-ga | bhāṣā bhāṣā
bhāṣā bhāṣā
6. śrī-ga bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā
bhāṣā bhāṣā
7. bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā
bhāṣā bhāṣā
8. bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā
bhāṣā bhāṣā
9. bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā
bhāṣā bhāṣā
10. bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā
bhāṣā bhāṣā
11. bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā
bhāṣā bhāṣā
12. bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā
bhāṣā bhāṣā
13. bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā
bhāṣā bhāṣā
14. bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā
bhāṣā bhāṣā
15. bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā
bhāṣā bhāṣā
16. bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā
bhāṣā bhāṣā
17. bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā
bhāṣā bhāṣā
18. bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā
bhāṣā bhāṣā
19. bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā
bhāṣā bhāṣā
20. bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā
bhāṣā bhāṣā
21. bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā
bhāṣā bhāṣā
22. bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā
bhāṣā bhāṣā
23. bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā bhāṣā
bhāṣā bhāṣā

Translation.

Appendix III.

BHINMAL
Inscriptions.

- 1-3. Oñ ! Reverence to the Sun ! Victorious is that sun, the storehouse of brightness, at whose rising and setting the three-eyed (Śiva), even though (his two) lotus feet are touched by the gladiators of the gods, folds his hands (in adoration).
- 3-5. On Sunday the 6th of the light half of Māgha, the year 1113, at holy Śrinidā the Mahārājādhirāja Śrī Kṛṣṇarāja son of Śrī Dhamadhuka and grandson of the glorious Devarāja, of the Paramāra race—in his victorious reign.
- 6-7. Kirāṇḍitya, Jāla's son, of the Dharkuṭa family, (being office-holder) in his turn for the current year, Dada Hari son of Mādharva, Dhamādhanaka son of Dhanapacayā and Dhanapāditya son of Sarvadēva of the Tikhāṭa race.
- 8-12. By these four and by the Vāni (?) Dhamadhuka son of Jāla of the Dharkuṭa race, the ornament of his family, strict in obedience to the gods, to his teachers and to Brāhmaṇas, and full of devotion to the feet of Hari (the Sun), observing the perishableness of this world, and urging kings Kshatriyas Brāhmaṇas merchants and townfolk to worship the sun, repairs were done to the temple of the god Śrī Jagatātmi, the everlasting store of light.
13. The kalāṣa of gold above the temple the very righteous Brāhmaṇa Jājaka had made at his own charges. In the year 14....
14. on Monday the 7th of the light half of Jyēṣṭha, in the 25th pala of the 3rd ghāṭikā of night—at this moment
15. all the work being finished the kalāṣa and banner were set up (?)
17. and after the ancient manner by the king Śrī Kṛṣṇarāja
.... of this complaint....
- ✓ 18. a village in the Śrī purīya district, yearly 20 drammae.
In Śicalliyā village a field
- ✓ 19. But from the king's share (of the crop) a drōṇa....
20. yearly 1 dramma....
21. by order was caused to be made by Cāpalaṅga and ...
22. written....
23. kīya.

V.—(S. 1123, A.D. 1066. No. 3 of Plan.) On the north face of the upper square section of the more northerly of the two pillars that support the eastern side of the dome of the temple of Jagatātmi. Entirely in prose:

1. Oñ. Saṁvat 1123, Jyēṣṭha Vadi 12 Śantu : adyāha Śrī Śrinidā Mahārājādhirāja-Śrī Kṛṣṇarāja.
2. Ja-rājyā Dēvaśrīmanjīva Mahādēva-dhamādhirāja-ottakaparama Pāṇapadācārya-Śrī Jāvalāyā.... | Saṁva-
3. -rājya Jaṇyāṭā | Śrīśāhīl Cādulāṇā Kirāṇḍityā Śhāravarmanāna-varaha-rājika Jaga-cumāra....
4. Gogā navāī 1612 ca Śka... mallbhōṭṭā....
..... Śrinidātya Vra-
5. kumāya Vāhaṭṭha Śrī Cāpālīya....
..... dramma....
- 6-13. Badly damaged: only a few letters legible here and there.

Appendix III.

BHINMAL.
Inscriptions.

Translation.

- 1-2. Ōm! On Saturday the 12th of the dark half of Jyēṣṭha Sāhvat 1123—on this day at holy Śrīmāla, in the reign of the Mahārājādhirāja Śrī Kṛṣṇarāja—of Śrī Jāvala, the mercant of the offices of religion to the god Śrī Caṇḍīśa Mahādēva, the supreme teacher of the Pārajatas
3. The goldenmith Jaganāśa, the 4th Caṇḍaśa, Kintādiya, Stharā, Jagacāḍra the office-holder in turn for the current year
- 4-5. Onḍ and in the world . . . being of one mind by Vāhaja the Śrīmālī Brāhmaṇa Śrī Caṇḍīśa drums

✓ VI.—(S. 1239; A.D. 1183. No. 4 of Plan.) On the upper face of the eight-sided section of the fallen pillar on the south side of the dome of the temple of Jagurāmi. Entirely in prose:

1. Saṁ. 1239 Āvina Vadi 10 Vadiḥ
2. Adyāśa Śrī Śrīmāla Mahārāja-
3. -putra Śrī Jayasīdha-dēva-rājyāś
4. Gūhila Pramaḥidhanta-tra* arava-
5. sāka Vādhyaga Vāḷāka-dēva
6. dēva dra. 1 tathā dēva Māhaga-pāḍ-
7. ḍi kṛta dra. 1 yē kōḍpi pa ati bhava
8. śrī teśāśa prathāśa* vi I labhyā yabhaśa
9. pi catra-pāḍ bhavati tena varāśaśa
10. -varāśaśa) dīnā dēva dātavyaśa .

Translation.

1. In the year 1239 (1183 A.D.) on Wednesday the tenth of the dark half of Āvina—
- 2-3. On this day here in holy Śrīmāla in the reign of his majesty Śrī Jayasīdha the Mahārāja.
- 4-5. Aravaśaka Vahiyana the Gūhila, the Tra*, son of Prama-hidā (gave) to Vāḷāka-dēva one drum in cash.
- 6-7. And (his) wife Māhaga-dāḍi (dāt) (gave) one drumma. Whosoever are . . . by them for each drumma one ei is to be received. Whosoever
9. is the ruler by him every
10. year on the day it is to be given to the god.

* Evidently the name of his office, but the abbreviation is not intelligible.

VII.—(S. 1262; A.D. 1206. No. 5 of Plan.) On the upper face of the lower square section of the fallen pillar which is one of the pair of three dome pillars. Prose:

1. * || Ōm. Namaḥ Śuryāya || Tasyodayastamayaḥ sura-makṣa-nāḍi.
2. śhṛi-saraga-kamalagpi kurutē śhṛjall(ḥ) trīnāḥ(h) sajayati dātamaśa bhūḍhi(ḥ) śhryaḥ||
3. Sāhvat 1262 varāśa adyāśa Śrī Śrīmāla Mahārājādhirāja Śrī Uda-
4. -yastāśa-dēva-kalyāṇa-vijaya-rājyāśa maha* Ārapant-prabh-ṛiḥ-paṭha-kula-
5. prapīṭaśa || Kāyastha-jāṭhya-Vālamyākuraśa maha* Yalō-pāṭrāśyō Śrībhavīś (ca 7)-
6. -śaka-Vīrāśaśa Śrī Jayarāmi-dāṭya-bhāṇḍāgārē kashāpita dra. 40 dātavi(ḥ).

7. Set Āvina mās yātrāśāvrā(?) Āvina śodh 13.
1 āgri cāyā.
8. Mālyā prakṣamā dra. 4 agura dra.
9. -dra. 4 pramadā kulāya dra. 1 śraṇi dra. 13 dyādāta-dṛṣṇā
Acañdrārkaṇi prativarakaṇi dēvina kārpa
10. atyā tathā strīyārthinaṁ Madrakā(?) dēva bhāṇḍāgātrā
kalipita dra. 13 pañcendāta draṁmā Māgha-
11. -vadī 8 dinā baliṇbasiṇḍhā(?) gōdīdina ś 2 pākā ghṛita pañ
9 naivēdya 32 aṁga-
12. -bhōgē prativarakaṇi Acañdrārkaṇi yāvat dēvina karaṇyabā..
dinā Āhaṣavā-
13. -mi-sūhāṣi/ Bhadravāmi-sūhāṣi/ Acañdrārkaṇi āpani-
ga(?) līkṣiṇi pā Bāṇḍhavada sa(?)
14. -na Cāñḍapasaḥkēna hṛṇakakaramadhikākakaraṇaṁ pramā-
nāṁ [] .

Translation.

- 1 - 2. Oṁ, Reverence to the Sun ! Victorious is that sun, the store-
house of brightness, at whose rising and setting the three-
eyed (Śiva) folds his hands (in adoration), even though
his lotus feet are touched by the diadems of the gods.
- 3 - 5. In the Śaṁvat year 1262 (1206 A.D.), on this day here
in holy Śrīmāla, in the prosperous and victorious reign of
his majesty the Mahārājādhirāja Śrī Udayasimha in the
term of office of the pañcā (consisting of) Āvapaśā etc.
- 6 - 7. For the (spiritual) benefit of Yaśōpala in the Vālamya
family of the Kāyastha caste, dra. 40, forty draṁmas were
deposited by Vīṇaka the Vajaka (or Cētaka) in the
treasury of the god Śrī Jayasāmi.
7. At the yātrā festival in the month of Āvina, on the 13th of
the light half of Āvina [] , at the building of the
śra-(āhara).
8. for flowers for the garland dra. 4, alowood dra. . . .
9. 4 draṁmas, for the band of singing women one draṁma :
thus dra. 12; twelve draṁmas (in all) are to be applied
yearly by the god so long as sun and moon endure.
10. So also the dra. 13, fifteen draṁmas deposited in the treasury
of the god by Madrakā(?) for (spiritual) benefit.
- 11 - 12. On the sixth of the dark half of Māgha in the fixed
ritual of the kālī, wheat one ver. pāi nine pañis, the
naivēdya 32, the vajebādya is to be performed yearly
by the god so long as sun and moon endure.
- 12 - 13. On the day the saḍḍa of Āhaṣavāmi and the
saḍḍa of Bhadravāmi is to be given so long as sun and
moon endure.
- 13 - 14. Written by the pā Cāñḍapasaḥka son of Bāṇḍhavada.
* The letter less or the letter more of authority.

i.e. "Errors excepted."

VIII.—(S. 1274; A.D. 1218. Not in Plan.) In Bārājī's rest-house on
the west face of the third right hand pillar. Prose:

1. Śaṁvat 1274 varāś Bhādrapada sodh 9 Śakra dyāba Śrī-
Śrīmā-
2. 14 Mahārājādhirāja Śrī Udayasimha-dāra-kalyāṇa-vijaya-
rājya Sa-
3. Dēvālaprabhṛiti-paṇḍakula pratipattāu

Appendix III.

UNIVERSITY
INSCRIPTIONS.

11. What's the 2... gít larebas (8) many pát 2, gít larebas 2.

12-13. Illegible.

X.—(S. 1320; A.D. 1204. No. 6 of Plan.) On the east face of the lower square section of the more northerly of the east pair of dome pillars of Jagatmī's temple. First thirteen lines in verse, the rest in prose. No. 49 of the Bhānagar State Collection (Bhān. Prā 1.)

- [illegible]

Treated with:

1. "Om, Reverence to the lord of obstacles (Gangas), reverence to the brilliant god (the Sun), reverence to him of everlasting nature,
2. To Hari, wielder of the discus. Reverence to Giva (and) to Soma, reverence

Appendix III.

INTERNAL.
Tenthredinidae.

Appendix III.

BHINMAL.
Inscriptions.

10. -bhīdhānā | trivarga-sāram tanaya-avarāṇpaṇi
sajjanāgryaṇi Rājādhi.
11. Rājādya-sita-deva-nihāreyaṇe Śrī Subhāṣṇa tēna | dēvaya
kōḷa
12. nikahēpitaṇi || Tēnaiva Mahā° Subhāṣṇa-
ya Irē-
13. yaṇe Sāhvat 1330 varāḥe Ātrina tu di 4 catuṣṭiddhāḥ dīvaṇa
bali-
14. -pūjā-prakṣaṇyākārtha(m) dēva-Śrī Jayavāmi-bhāṇjā-
gārē dra 50 paṇi-
15. -cāṇa dramāṇi nikahēpitaḥ | Tathā Śrīkarapā Mahā°
Gajasthaprahṇitī-
16. -paṇicakulaṇi upārādhaṇita(-yati) | Balāṇḍe varahaubha-
dhā kārāṇi dra. 4 catu-
17. -ro dramāṇi pratīvarāṇi setya pastalā bhāva ... paṇi-
cakulēna dātavyāḥ
18. Vali-niravāḥḥe gōdhāna sē 2 ghṛita ka 8 muga mē | cōḥḍāṇi
mē 1 ghṛita ka 1 vyā-
19. -sa-nirvāpa I Abōṭi nirvāpa I Kuṇḍumāgura dra. 2 pushpa
dra. 2 patrapāga dra. 2.
20. Pramadākula dra 2 āvāṇi stat pratīvarāṇi kōṣṭhadrākkaṇi
dēvēna kārāṇyaṇi ||
21. Śrīsatya-rātma-pura-lāṇa-hradādhikārt, Śrīmāladevavādhā-
dhikṛi |
22. -to dhūrtuḥ | vyādhna saplāharinā viduṣākāṇi varāga yo 3
dhyāṇitāḥ m vi-
23. -dadhē Subhāṣaḥ prāstāṇi | Dhru° Dēdhāḥna likhita sūtra°
Gōḥadhi-
24. -na utkṛā || ॐ ||

Translation.

- 1-2. Reverence to the Lord of Obstacles (Gajēśa). Reverence
to the shining god. Reverence ... to (Viṣṇu) the holder
of the diems who bestows supreme happiness.
2-3. There was formerly an ancient man named Śrī Subhā
born of the Rājyaṇa race. Rishi
4-6. Illegible.
7-9. for righteousness entering giving
pleasure there was born a son Subhāṣa—
9-10. ... (a wife) Lalitā by name, rich in excellence ...
the summing-up of the three objects of human effort
(religious merit, wealth, and pleasure) in the form of a son
.... the chief of the virtuous—
11. By that Śrī Subhāṣa for the spiritual benefit of the king
of kings his majesty Udaynatha in the treasury of the
god deposited
12-15. By that same Mahā° Subhāṣa for his own (spiritual)
benefit in the Sāhvat year 1330 (1274 A.D.) on the fourth
day of the bright half of Ātrina, for the day's *bali*, worship
and *dārṣṇa* dra. 50, fifty *drasmas* were deposited in the
treasury of the god Śrī Jayavāmi.
16-17. And he serves (propitiates?) the paṇiṇi consisting
of Mahā° Gajastha and the rest at Śrī Karapa. On the
bali day the four (4) *drasmas* given for the *bali* endowment
are to paid every year by the paṇiṇi from their own

Appendix III.

BHINMAL.
Inscriptions.

- 18-20. In the *bell* endowment wheat of 2, *ghā* (*harā*) 8, *maṅg*, one measure, *oḍaka* 1 measure, *ghā* (*harā*) 1, the *Bhat's* *dola* 1, the *Abbā's* *dola* 1, turnarie and also wool *dola*, 2, flowers *dola*, 2, leaves and bachelant *dola*, 2, the band of singing women *dola*, 2: so is this to be given yearly by the god so long as sun and moon endure.
- 21-23. *Subhāṣa*, the officer of *Srī Satyapura* *Rainapura* and *Lāṭa-kṛada*, the chief set over the *raḥas* of the *Śrīmāla* country, who was taught by *Caṇḍa* *Hapi*, the *puṣṭik*, best of the learned, composed the *prastāva*.
24. Written by *Dādāka* the *Divara* and engraved by *Gōḷasṭha* the carpenter.

XII.—(S. 1333; A.D. 1277. Not in Plan.) On the north bank of Jaikop lake on a fallen pillar to the west of *Ghasni Khān's* tomb. Lines 1-4 and half of line 5 and lines 18-22 (and perhaps 23 and 24) in verse, the rest in prose. No. 52 of the *Bhāunagar State Collection* (*Bhān. Prā. I. list* pages 15-16):

1. Yāḥ *puṣṭika* *maḥatāṭhā* *Śrīmālā* *śaṇḍagūṭhā* | *sa* *deva*(b) *Śrī*
2. *Mahādeva* *bhayaśālā* (?) *prajñā*.
3. Yāḥ *īśvaragūṭhā* *gūṭhā* | *taṣya* *Vīraśilādhipatya* *prajñāṭhā* *śaṇḍagūṭhā* *navāṭhā* | 2 *Pā*.
4. *śrīpādāḥ-mahāgaurāḥ* *puṇya-puṇya-vrahaḥ* | (?) *Śrī-pūṣṭakamūḍa-śrī*.
5. *et* *prastāvalīkhyatē* *yathā* | *vaṣṭi* *Śaṇḍat* 1333 *vaṣṭi* | *Avi-*
6. *na* *et* *di* 14 *Sōṇā* | *adyāḥ* *Śrī* *Śrīmālā* *Mahāśīkula* *Śrī* *Ca*(?)
7. *śiṅga-dēva-kalyāṇa-vijayī-vājyā* *tanu* *yukta-maha** *Caṇḍasṭha*.
8. *prahṛṣṭa-paṇḍakula-pratipattān* *Śrī* *Śrīmālā-dēva-vahikā-dhikṛtān*.
9. *Nalgaṇḍavaya-kāyathā-mahattama* *Subhāṣa* *taḥā*(*va*?) *et* *paṇa* *Karmasthā*.
10. *na* *vaḥśrīyāḥ* *Āṭṭamāṣṭya-pāṇā-mahāśarā* *Avina* *śa* *di* 14 *ca*.
11. *kurāṣṭ-dib* *Śrī* *Mahāśradēvaya* *prastāvalāṇā* *puṣṭo-puṣṭa-pūjāṇā*.
12. *itām* *Śrīkṛṣṭyapaṇḍakulāṇā* *śāḥathā* *dhāt* *carapala-vrakti-pūṣṭamūḍa*.
13. *śhya-talapa-dihala-vaḥṣṭ* *pada* *na* . . . *hala-vaḥṣṭ*.
14. *da* 5 *saptarīṣṭakōṣa* *paṇḍatramūḍa* *saṇḍā* *śāḥathā* *bhāryā* *āṭha*.
15. *dṛā*, *na* *dra*, 8 *śāṭhā* *draṇḍa* : | *abhayaṣṭ* *saptarīṣṭakōṣa* *trayapada* *dra*.
16. *mmā* *śaṇḍatāṭhāṇā* *dēvadāyā* *kārīpītāḥ* | *vartamāṇa* *paṇḍa-kulēna* *va*.
17. *etāmām* *śāḥathāṇā* *dēvadāyā* *ṛṣṭam* *idām* *vaḥśrīyāḥ* *pāṇṭiyām* |
18. *Yamān* *paṇḍakulā* *śarvā* *maṣṭavyam* *it* *marvāḍā* | *taṣya* *taḥā* *śrīyā*.
19. *Yaya* *yaya* *yadā* *padaṇā* | | *Śrīstiya* *raṇa* *gura* *Lāṭa* *bradāḥṭhā* *Śrī*.
20. *māla-dēva-vahikādhikṛto* *dhṛṣṭaḥ* | *vyāḍna* *Caṇḍakāṣṭhā* *vidhāṭhā* *va*.
21. *naṇa* *yodhyāpītāḥ* *na* *vidhāḥ* *Subhāṣa* *prastāṭhā* | 3 | *Iyām* *Gōḷasṭhā*.

22. (-na) eṭṭaṭṭhāraṃ dīṭṭamā | uttaraṭṭha Bhinnathāra āsaṇḍak-
shara-mālikā |
23. anam māra mathapattimhendragachchika ācaṇḍa-
pratipattā | ३ ||
24. vasaṇṇamaya (3) āhitaṃ ūna dīṭṭamā | yo vācayāṇi
gṇyā-
25. āta tt | ३ || ma(h)gala-saddhā | āraṇṇa
sūp.

Translation.

1. The god Śrī Mahāvīra who formerly came into this great town Śrīmālā in which the wise protected from fear take refuge—a new ordinance is written as follows for the people's sake through the favour of that Vīra, chief of the Jinas by Śrī Pārśvacandra Śāri, whose nature is most holy.
- 2-9. Good luck! In the Saka year 1333 (1377 A.D.), on Monday the 14th of the light half of Āśvina—on this day here in holy Śrīmālā in the prosperous and victorious reign of his majesty Śrī Candiga the Mahārāja, in the term of office of the poṇḍa (consisting of) Maha° Gaṇaṭha and others, appointed by him.
- 9-11. By Subhata the leading Kāyastha, of the Naigama family, the officer in authority over the Valikas of the Śrīmālā country, and by Karmastha the Cōṭaka (servant) (or vāṭaka), for their own (spiritual) benefit, at the great festival of the jātrā of the month of Āśvina on the fourteenth day 14 of the light half of Āśvina, for the worship (consisting of) the five services yearly to the god Śrī Mahāvīra.
- 12-15. [These four lines seem to be made up chiefly of Pāliya words which I am unable to translate. They specify two sums, one of 5 and the other of 8 drummas.]
- 15-17. Both, with the twenty-seventh *apaṭṭa* (?), the 13 drummas have been given in religious endowment. This which has been made as a religious endowment is to be maintained by the poṇḍa and by the *Śākhaka* (?) officiating (from time to time) for their own (spiritual) benefit.
- 18-19. Because every poṇḍa is always to be honoured, the benefit (of maintaining the endowment) belongs to whomever at any time (holds) the office.
- 19-22. Subhata, the officer of Śrīsatyapura Ratnapura and Laṭṭhara, the chief set over the valikas of the Śrīmālā country, who was taught by Candakari the gṇāṇik, the best of the learned, composed the *prastāva*. The series of letters of this grant was engraved by the wise carpenter Bhinnathā the son of Gōga.
- 23-25. This grant was written by that wise one . . . at the time in the term of office of the Abbot Mahādūta and the committeeman Āraṇḍa (?) . . who causes to speak Good luck! Bliss for ever! May it be auspicious Finis.

Appendix III.

BHINMAL.
Inscriptions.

XIII.—(S. 1334; A.D. 1278. No. 8 in Plan.) On the north face of the lower square section of the eastern of the north pair of dome pillars. All in prose:

1. Om namah śūryāya || yasyōdayatāmadayaś suramukṣa-
nīpātāḥ-vāpā-

Appendix III.

Burmese.
Inscriptions.

2. kamale 3 pa karatē 3 dhjallā trindēra sajayati dhāmā(m)
nāhā(ā) sūrya(ā) 3 | Śaśvat 1394.
3. Varahē Āvina va di 8 adyāha S'ri Śrīmālā Mahārājākula-
Śrī-Cālega-Kalyāṇa-rāja.
4. -ya-rājyē tanniyukta-maha* . . . (śiṣa-prabhīṭi-paṇcākula-
pratipattān | Śvadi kālā pravaritāmān)
5. Cābumānārayā Mahārājākula Śrī Samarasāhānāja-
Mahārājādhirāja-Śrī Udaya?
6. Śhaḍēdāhāja-S'ri Vāhaḥhasiha Śrī Cāmapā-
rāja-dava-śrīyānā maha*
7. Dēlākēna Śrī Jagavāmāśrītya bhānāgāre . . .
tāl
8. . . . dra, 100 śatuh dramā nikhāpītā Āvina-yātrāyā(ā)
Āvina rati 8 sahtant-dinē divasa-halī ta-
9. -tā anigabhāga . . . prākāṣaṇika Śiddhīya-
bhānāgārat kārapanīya | tāl-nikhāndhā
10. gōdhūma sē 3 ghṛita ka 1 (malvādyē) cōdhā(ā)
nā 2, muga sē 1, ghṛita ka 3 vjāsanivāpa I Ābōṭ.
11. -śrāvāpa 1 kuṭṭumāgura-mūlyā(ā) dra, 2 tāhā puṣṭha-
mūlyā dra, 2 (7) tāhā patrapāga-mūlyā dra, 2 pramadā-
kulā mūlyā dra, 2 6-
12. -vaṇṇat Vyāha-Ābōṭka-śrīchhī-gaṣṭhika kula-pramadā-
kula prabhīṭitānā varāṇānā vardānā prati ā-
13. camārāka-yāvat tāhā tti kārapanīya śrī-dāvina
kārapanīya | pari kēdāpi na karatī-
14. -yā | likhitaṁ dhra* Nāgula-suta Dēlākēna mīnā-
ksharam adbhikāṣharuṣā vā sarvaṁ pramāṇa-
15. -mūti 3 māṅgalānā mūtā arth 3 (śāstradāhāra 7) Nānā-suta
Dēpāla Śaṁ 34 varahē Caltra va di 15 maha.
16. Maṇasāhāra (?)

Translation.

- 1-2. Ōm. Reverence to the Sun! Victorious is that sun, the
storehouse of brightness, at whose rising and setting the
three-eyes (Śiṣa), even though (his own) lotus feet are
touched by the diadem of the gods, folds his hands (in
adoration).
- 3-4. In the Śaśvat year 1394 (1278 A.D.) on the 8th of the
dark half of Āvina—on this day here in holy Śrīmālā in
the prosperous and victorious reign of his majesty the
Mahārājā Śrī Cālega, in the term of office of the
puṣṭa (consisting of) the Maha* Śiṣa and the rest,
appointed by him—at this time
- 5-6. for the (spiritual) benefit of his majesty Śrī Cāmapā-
rāja (son of) Śrī-Vāhaḥhasiha the son of his
majesty Śrī Udayasīha the Mahārājādhirāja, (who was)
the son of his majesty the Mahārājā Śrī Samarasīha in
the Cābumāna race
7. By the Maha* Dēlākā in the treasury of the god
Śrī Jagavān tāl
8. dra, 100, one hundred *drammas*, were deposited. At the
Āvina yātrā the day's ball on the eighth 8 of the dark
half of Āvina.

Appendix III.

Brass plates.
Inscriptions.

16. *kpa dra*, *pramadākulama*
ācandā-hālaṃ yāva.
 17. *ā*, *nirūpaṇyaṃ ā kṛṇṇāntyaṃ*,
nāgula-sutāna maha Dṛ*.
 18. *śākha* | *Guhilō Sāha* Rudrapāla-suta-*
śāha Hariśāha na (Śādhō)*.
 19. *śīya-śhītaka dra. 4* *Sahajapāla-suta-ā*
śhīta.
 20. *ka dra 4*,
 21-23. Illegible.

Translation.

- 1-2. Oh, Reverence to the Sun! Victorious is that sun, the store-
 house of brightness, at whose rising and setting the three-
 eyed (Śiva), even though (his own) lotus feet are touched
 by the blades of the gods, folds his hands (in adoration).
 3-5. On Saturday the first of the light half of Āyina in the year
 1550 (1253 A.D.) on this day here in holy Śrīmatā, in the
 prosperous and victorious reign of his majesty the
 Mahārāj Śrī Śāmvatātha, in the term of office of the
 prince (consisting of) the maha* śha and the
 rest, appointed by him.
 6-8. Dra. 20, twenty drammae, were deposited in the treasury
 for the sun-god Śrī Jagadvāmī by Sāha* Sahajapāla son
 of Rudrapāla the Guhila, who came here from Śrī
 Jāvalipura, for every part of the *bali*, the worship, and
 the *śūpaśhāga*, for his own (spiritual) benefit and for the
 benefit of his father and mother.
 9-9. near the Rudrā road 1 *śa* field was given called
Kathara-pāṇā.
 9-11. To the god on day for worship, the wife of
 Sāha* Sahajapāla for her own benefit and for the
 benefit of her father and mother, deposited
dra. 10, ten drammae,
 11-12. *Drammae* in the Āyina *Yātra* on the first day of the
 light half of Āyina are to be expended by the god from
 the treasury (for) the day's *bali*, worship,
 13-17. In the *bali* endowment wheat of 2 *pāṇi ka (śha)* 8;
 in the *śhītaka cāṇa pā 2* mung *pāṇi ka (śha)* 4;
 in the *śūpaśhāga* for every part of the leaves and betel
dra. 1, the *Bhāt's* dola (the *Āb*) *śhāt's* dola 1,
 the band of singing women *dra. 2*; all this the god's
 treasury *dra*, by the hand of singing
 women so long as sun and moon
 endure is to be dated out, is to be
 expended.
 17-20. By the Maha* Dohāka son of Nāgula
 By Śōṇha* Hariśāha son of Sāha* Rudrapāla the Guhila,
 four *śhītaka* *drammae* of the god By
 Śā son of Sahajapāla
śhītaka *drammae* 4,
 21-23. Illegible.

XV.—(S. 1342; A.D. 1286. Not in Plan.) In the ground close to the
 wall on the right in entering the enclosure of old Mahālakṣmī's temple.
 Proc. No. 50 of the Bhāunagar State Collection (Bhān. Prā. I. page 15.)

1. Ōm. Namah Śāryāya | Tasyādayāstasamayā sura-ma-
2. -ka-ma-prishṭa-carana karmāḥ pi kurutē ś nijaśulih triśōtra
saja-
3. -yati dhātumān mādhih adryah | Śaśvat 1342 (1236 A.D.)
Āvina vadi 10 Ra-
4. -vānyōha Śrī Śrīmālō Mahārājakula Śrī Śāmvatasṭha dē-
5. -va-kalyāṇa-vijaya-rājyā tanniyakta-maha* Pāndyā-prahārīti-
pāṭha-
6. -kula-pratipattān | Śāsanāksharānī prapya(c)chati yathā | Rāthō-
ḍa-
7. -jātya-Ūtisavātha-pātra Vāgmantha Śtā* Alhayaṣṭhō-
8. -na ātmya-māh-pitrō śrēyaśō vāśrēyaśō Śrī Jagavāmī-dē-
9. -vāya Āvinō yātrāyām dāśamīlīnō divasa-lālī-pāḍā pr-
10. -kahanikādī aniga-lōga-nimī(t)tanō ślāhatbābhōrya-
11. -t Śrī kārāpīa āmādrērkayāvat pradatta dra. 4ḷ.
12. Śēdōvīya-kōṭaḷ.
13. Āmādrērkam yāḥ kōpi Ślāhatbō bhavati tēna varaham
varaham prati pā-
14. -janyam ca | vahubhir vasudhā bhuktā rājibhī Śagarādībhī
yaya
15. yaya | uḍā bhānī tasya tasya tadā phalaṁ || 1 Āvina vadi
10 ya-
16. -lī-nīlāhādī gōḍbhūma ś gṛīta ka 12 nāyōdyō
cōḍhām pā 4.
17. mngān mā 1 gṛīta ka 1 Vyāsanīrāpa 1 Abōṭīrāpa
1 kōḍkuma
18. kastūr-pratyam(gam) dra. 4 pushpa-pratyam(gam) dra. 4
pramāṅkula-pratyam(gam) dra. 4 patra-pā-
19. ga-pratyam(gam) dra. 1 śtat sarvam varaham 2 prati Śrī-
dōvīya bhānījāgarāt
20. Vartāpaka kārāpantya | mānigānā sūdārt | līhītārā
Dhava
21. Nāgula-suta-dhru* Dōdākēna Utkrōḍā sūtra* Būtmadhēnaḷ.

Translation.

- 1-3. Ōm. Reverence to the Sun ! Victorious is that sun, the store-
house of brightness, at whose rising and setting the three-
eyed (Śiva), even though (his own) lotus feet are touched
by the dials of the gods, folds his hands (in adoration).
- 3-6. Śaśvat 1342 on Sunday the 10th of the dark half of
Āvina, on this day here in holy Śrīmālā, in the prosperous
and victorious reign of his majesty the Maharāj Śrī Śāmvata-
sṭha-dēva, in the term of office of the pūṣṭha (consisting
of) Maha* Pāndyā and the rest, appointed by him, he sets
forth the writing of the grant as follows.
- 6-11. By Śtā* Alhayaṣṭha son of Vāgman and grandson of Ūti-
svātha of the Rāthōḍa race, for the benefit of his own
mother and father and for his own benefit, 4ḷ drummas
(were) given to the god Śrī Jagavāmī, for the day's bath,
the worship, the darśana &c.; and the anigaḍḍōga on the
10th day at the Āvina yātrā so long as sun and
moon (endure)
- 12-14. The god's treasure house whenever is Ślāhattha,
by him every year it is to be maintained also,

19. Tilaka-dāhātira-Saṅgha-patra-māta-Pānala-suta | Vrahma^a
Vāgaja mahābhāyā
20. Astatāh jātā | Śat Jagadvānina | Śrīśūryaya mūrtitā
prāśādā śharaṅgala-
21. Jātārōpita | Jātārādī dēvāni saṅgāḍḍya samasta-dēva-lōka-
Vrahma-lōka-pra-
22. Ayakāhān | Vādātadvayōdharaga-saṅgahān | Ātmanāśa
Āśāndrīśhāyāt cārya-prasāda-prā-
23. -pa-tyārthān | prāṭivaraṅhān | pājān Śat Jagadvāni-dēva-
bhāṅgāgārō nikahīpita | rāṅkna-vī
24. sata-prā-dra, 200 dēva Śatānī Āmāhām drammānām vyā-
japādāt Nāvina-yātrāyān Āśvī-
25. -na vādī || dīnō dīvām-vallī kāyāśvālī nīvaśādī gōdāhāna mē
4 pakvō ghāṭṭa ||
26. ka 16 nālvādyō cōśāhām mā 1 muga mā 14 ghāṭṭa ka | vīdākō
patra 8 pūṅa 2 āṅga-
27. -bhāṅga-pratya^a dra, 4 prakāṣa-pratya^a dra, 6 patrapāga-pra-
tya^a dra, 4 vyāsa-nīrāpa-āśvōḍḍ-nīrā-
28. -pa-nīrāśādī cōśāhām mē 4 muga pā 3 ghāṭṭa ka 1 dāhāḍḍā 16
2 pramāṭṭkula dra, 4 śā-
29. -tā sārvaṭi prāṭivaraṅhān āśāndrīśhā-yāvat Śrīlōvaya bhāṅg-
āgārādī vōcāntyam kārāpa-
30. -śūryān cā | sūbhāṭi bhavatu sārvalā | Jyoti^a Śāṅga-satōśa
Cāndrādītyōśa samahāhām ||
31. -khīlām Kāra^a Nāgula sataśa Dādāhām vīkṭāḍḍā Śāṭra^a
Nānā-satā-Dēpālī-
32. -na || māṅgalaṅī satā Śrīḥ.

Translation.

- 1-3. Reverence to that Śiva! the benefactor of those who bow to him, whose actions are praised by the leaders of gods and demona, who gives the happiness of heaven and of salvation, whose form is the supreme soul, whom the wise ever lay hold upon in (their) heart.
- 3-5. Oh Mahāśa, whenever bowing daily does reverence to the god who is guru of the three worlds, the lord of the mountain's daughter (Pārvatī), that man is worthy of praise from the righteous, fortunate, wise, to be honoured for most excellent virtues, a true hero,
- 5-7. Oh Lord thou art the moon among the bending lotuses that have found their place in the holy pool of Prabhāsa; therefore I make mention (of thee) famous by the name of Śōmanātha on the seashore . . .
- 7-9. May this heart of mine be adorned by these holy chief *stīrthas*, Prabhāsa, the moon's ornament, the Lotus (pool), the Release from Sin, the Release from Debt and Suffering &c., whose lucky days have been fixed by Kapardi (Śiva).
10. Of this pool of pure water and . . . of Samavati.
11. Do! Oh! Reverence to the Sun, whose light ever reaches far for the work of mankind, at the mention of whose name all sin goes beyond the ocean: the soul of all, whose path and whose ear are good, a lion to the trumpeting elephants (of darkness): When the Lord Sun is seen in the sky, he makes the last (?) . . of all.

Appendix III.

Bhinmal.
Inscriptions.

Appendix III.

BHINMAL.
Inscriptions.

- 14-16. On Monday the second of the dark half of Māgha in the Śaivai year 1345 (1289 A.D.), on this day here in holy Ścīndāla, in the prosperous and victorious reign of his majesty the Mahārāṇi Śrī Śaivata Śiṅgha, in the term of office of the *pāṇḍa* (consisting of) the Maha^o Chāśāḥ and the rest, appointed by him.
- 16-21. At this time to (read by) Vāgada the Brāhmaṇa son of Sōḥala and grandson of Adhyava^o Vallā, of the Nava-ghana family, of the Palamala gōtra, student of the Yajurveda, of the town of Puskarini and living in Śrī Jāvālpura, son of his mother Pūnala, and daughter's son of Tilaka the Jōḥit, and granddaughter's son of Mādharā the Jōḥit—recognizing the impermanence of this world, a golden *ṭalaka* was set up on the palace . . . of the Śan Jagavāmi.
- 21-24. (By him) worshipping the god in faith, before the world of the gods and the world of Brahmas, for the purpose (?) of saving his ancestors in both lines, and himself, to gain the favour of the Śan so long as sun and moon (endure), (for) worship every year, 200 *ṭalaka* *drummas* in gold were deposited in the treasury of the god Śrī Jagavāmi.
- 24-28. Out of the interest of these *drummas*, in the endowment of the day's *bali* and the *adyācāṇ* on the 11th of the dark half of Āśvina at the Āśvina festival, wheat at 4, ghl *kaṭaka* 10; in the Nāricāḍya cōḥa measure 1, mung pd. 14, ghl *kaṭaka* 3, for *paṇḍapāṭi* leaves 8, betel 2; for the Aṅgabhōga severally dr. 4, for flowers severally dr. 5, for leaves and betel severally dr. 4; in the endowment of the Bhat's *dola* and the Abōḍi's *dola*, cōḥa st. 1, mung pd. 3, ghl *kaṭaka* 1, dakṣiṇā 10 2, the band of singing women dr. 4.
- 29-32. All this is to be separated and expended from the treasury of the god every year so long as sun and moon (endure). May it always be auspicious. Written by Dādāka son of Kara^o Nāgala for Caṇḍarādītya son of Jyoti^o Eṅgala. Engraved by Dōpāla son of Nānā the carpenter. Good luck! Bliss for ever!

APPENDIX IV.

JAVA AND CAMBODIA.

AN incident redeems the early history of Gujarāt from provincial narrowness and raises its ruling tribes to a place among the greater conquerors and colonisers. This incident is the tradition that during the sixth and seventh centuries fleets from the coasts of Sindh and Gujarāt formed settlements in Java and in Cambodia. The Java legend is that about A.D. 603 Hindus led by Bhruvijāya Savalachāla the son of Kasamachitra or Bālya Achā king of Kujrāt or Gujarāt settled on the west coast of the island.¹ The details of the settlement recorded by Sir Stamford Raffles² are that Kasamachitra, ruler of Gujarāt, the tenth in descent from Arjun, was warned of the coming destruction of his kingdom. He accordingly started his son Bhruvijāya Savalachāla with 5000 followers, among whom were cultivators artisans warriors physicians and writers, in six large and a hundred small vessels for Java. After a voyage of four months the fleet touched at an island they took to be Java. Finding their mistake the pilots put to sea and finally reached Mataram in the island of Java. The prince built the town of Mendang Kumulan. He sent to his father for more men. A reinforcement of 2000 arrived among them carvers in stone and in brass. An extensive commerce sprang up with Gujarāt and other countries. The bay of Mataram was filled with stranger vessels and temples were built both at the capital, afterwards known as Brambanan, and, during the reign of Bhruvijāya's grandson Ardivijāya that is about A.D. 660, at Boro Buddor in Kedu.³ The remark that an ancestor of the immigrant prince had changed the name of his kingdom to Gujarāt is held by Lassen to prove that the tradition is modern. Instead of telling against the truth of the tradition this note is a strong argument in its favour. One of the earliest mentions of the name Gujarāt for south Mārwar is Hsien Tsang's (A.D. 630) Kiu-che-lo or Gurjjara. As when Hsien Tsang wrote the Gurjjara chief of Bhinmal, fifty miles west of Abu, already ranked as a Kshatriya his family had probably been for some time established perhaps as far back as A.D. 490 or date by which the Mihira or Gurjjara conquest of Valabhi and north Gujarāt was completed.⁴ The

Appendix IV.

JAVA.

¹ Sir Stamford Raffles' Java, II. 83. From Java Hindus passed to near Banjar Masela in Borneo probably the most eastern of Hindu settlements (Jour. R. A. Soc. IV, 186). Temples of superior workmanship with Hindu figures also occur at Waahoe 400 miles from the coast. Dalton's Diaks of Borneo Jour. Asiaticus (N.S.) VII, 153. An instance may be quoted from the extreme west of Hindu influence. In 1973 an Indian architect was found building a palace at Gondar in Abyssinia. Keith Johnson's Africa, 229.

² Raffles' Java, II. 65-83. Compare Lassen's Indische Alterthumskunde, II. 10, 40; IV. 460.

³ Raffles' Java, II. 87.

⁴ Compare Tod's Annals of Rajasthan (Third Reprint), I. 87. The thirty-nine Chohan successors, working back from about A.D. 1200 with an average reign of eighteen years, lead to A.D. 498.

Appendix IV.

JAVA.

details of the help received from Gujurāt after the prince's arrival show that the parent state had weathered the storm which threatened to destroy it. This agrees with the position of the Bhīmāl Gurjara at the opening of the seventh century, when, in spite of their defeat by Prabhakara-varādhana (A.D. 600-606) the father of Śrī Harsha (A.D. 606-641) of Magadha, they maintained their power at Broach and at Valabhi as well as at Bhīmāl.¹ The close relations between the Gurjara and the great confaring Mithras or Meds make it likely that the captains and pilots who guided the fleets to Java belonged to the Med tribe. Perhaps it was in their honour that the new Java capital received the name Mendan, as, at a later period it was called Brambanan or the town of Brāhmana. The fact that the Gurjara of Broach were sun-worshippers not Buddhists causes no difficulty since the Bhīmāl Gurjara whom Hsien Tsang visited in A.D. 630 were Buddhists and since at Valabhi Buddhism Shāivism and sun-worship seem to have secured the equal patronage of the state.

Besides of Gujurāt and its king the traditions of both Java and Cambodia contain references to Hastinagara or Hastinapura, to Taxila, and to Rumadesa.² With regard to these names and also with regard to Gandhāra

¹ Compare Note on Bhīmāl page 467.

² According to Cunningham (*Ancient Geography*, 42 and Feal's *Buddhist Records*, I, 109 note 27) the site of **Hastinagara** or the eight cities is on the Swat river eight-and-a-half miles north of Peshawar. In Vedic and early Mahābhārata times Hastinapuram was the capital of Gandhāra (*Howitt Jour. Roy. As. Soc.* XXI, 217). In the seventh century it was called Puskalavati (*Beal's Buddhist Records*, I, 109). **Taxila**, the capital of the country east of the Indus, was situated about forty miles east of Attock at Shāhīdri near Esāka-sarai (Cunningham's *Ancient Geography*, 105). According to Cunningham (Ibid. 109), Taxila continued a great city from the time of Alexander till the fifth century after Christ. It was then laid waste apparently by the great White Huns (compare Mikinkul (A.D. 500-550). A hundred years later when Hsien Tsang visited it the country was under Kashgari, the royal family were extinct, and the nobles were struggling for power (*Beal's Buddhist Records*, I, 136). **Rumadesa**. References to Rumadesa occur in the traditions of Siam and Cambodia as well as in those of Java. Places of Rum are also noted in the traditions of Bengal and Orissa as attacking the coast (*Fergusson's Architecture*, III, 640). Coupling the mention of Rōm with the tradition that the Cambodian temples were the work of Alexander the Great Colonel Yule (*Banc. Brit. Article Cambodia*) takes Rōm in its Musulman sense of Greece or Asia Minor. The variety of references suggested to Fergusson (*Architecture*, III, 640) that these exploits are a vague memory of Roman commerce in the Bay of Bengal. But the Roman rule was that no fleet should pass east of Ceylon (*Reinhold Jour. As. Soc.* VI, Tom. II, page 212). This rule may occasionally have been departed from as in A.D. 106 when the emperor Marcus Aurelius sent an ambassador by sea to China. Still it seems unlikely that Roman commerce in the Bay of Bengal was ever active enough to gain a place so rather and coloniser in the traditions of Java and Cambodia. It was with the west not with the east of India that the relations of Rome were close and important. From the time of Mark Antony to the time of Justinian, that is from about A.D. 30 to A.D. 550, their political importance as allies against the Parthians and Sassanians and their commercial importance as controllers of one of the main trade routes between the east and the west made the friendship of the Kushans or Sakas who held the Indus valley and Bactria a matter of the highest importance to Rome. How close was the friendship is shown in A.D. 60 by the Roman General Corbulo securing the Hyrcanian ambassadors up the Indus and through the territories of the Kushans or Indo-Skythians on their return from their embassy to Rome. (Compare Rawlinson's *Parthia*, 271.) The close connection is shown by the accurate details of the Indus valley and Bactria recorded by Ptolemy (A.D. 100) and about a hundred years later (A.D. 247) by the author of the *Periplus* and by the special value of the gifts which the Periplus natives were set apart for the rulers of Sindh. One result of this long continued alliance was the gaining by the Kushan and other rulers of Peshawar and the Panjab of a knowledge of Roman coinage astronomy and architecture. Certain Afghan or Bactrian coins bear the word Rōm apparently the name of some Afghan city. In spite of this there seems to

and to Cambodia, all of which places are in the north-west of India, the question arises whether the occurrence of these names implies an historical connection with Kābul Peshāwar and the west Panjāb or whether they are more local applications and assumptions by foreign settlers and converts of names known in the Behman and Baddhiā writings of India.¹ That elaborate applications of names mentioned in the Mahābhārata to places in Java have been made in the Java version of the Mahābhārata is shown by Raffles.² Still it is to be noticed that the places mentioned above, Kamboja or Kābul, Gaudhara or Peshāwar, Taxila or the west Panjāb, and Rumanassa apparently the south Panjāb are not, like Ayodhya the capital of Siam or like *Indra-pada-puri* that is Indraprastha or Delhi the later capital of Cambodia,³ the names of places which either by their special fame or by their geographical position would naturally be chosen as their original home by settlers or converts in Java and Cambodia. Fair ground can therefore be claimed for the presumption that the leading position given to Kamboja, Gaudhara, Taxila, and Rumanassa in Javan and Cambodian legends and place-names is a trace of an actual and direct historical connection between the north-west of India and the Malay Archipelago. This presumption gains probability by the argument from the architectural remains of the three countries which in certain peculiar features show so marked a resemblance both in design and in detail as in the judgment of Mr. Fergusson to establish a strong and direct connection.⁴ A third argument in favour of a Gujarāt strain in Java are the traditions of settlements and expeditions by the rulers of Mālwa which are still current in south Mārwar.⁵ Further a proverb

Appendix IV.

JAVA.

reason to suppose that Soma attempted to overlord the north-west of India still less that any local ruler was permitted to make use of the great name of Rama. It seems possible that certain notices of the fleets of Rām in the Bay of Bengal refer to the fleets of the Arab Al-Ram that is Lander or north-west Somaia apparently the Ramanā of the Chulāvan treasury of the Malabar Coast. (Yule's Cathay, I. lxxxix, note and Marco Polo, II. 243.)

¹ Compare Fergusson's Architecture, III. 640; Yule in Huey, Brit. Cambodia.

² Java, I. 411. Compare Fergusson's Architecture, III. 642.

³ See Yule in Jour. Roy. As. Soc. (N. S.), I. 356; Fergusson's Architecture, III. 681.

⁴ Of the Java remains Mr. Fergusson writes (Architecture, III. 644-648): The style and character of the sculptures of the great temple of Boru Bador are nearly identical with those of the later caves of Ajanta, on the Western Ghats, and in Sabotta. The resemblance in style is almost equally close with the buildings of Takht-i-Bahl in Gaudhara (Ditto, 647). Again (page 637) he says: The Hindu immigrants into Java came from the west coast of India. They came from the valley of the Indus not from the valley of the Ganges. Once more, in describing No. XXVI of the Ajanta caves Mosera, Fergusson and Burgess (Rock-cut Temples, 345 note D) write: The execution of these figures is so nearly the same as in the Boru Bador temple in Java that both must have been the work of the same artists during the latter half of the seventh century or somewhat later. The Buddhists were not in Java in the fifth century. They must have begun to go soon after since there is a considerable local element in the Boru Bador.

⁵ Traditions of expeditions by sea to Java remain in Mārwar. In April 1895 a tale at Bhimtal related how Bhojraja of Ujjain in anger with his son Chandrabhan drove him away. The son went to a Gujarāt or Kathiawar port obtained ships and sailed to Java. He took with him as his Brāhman the son of a Magh Pandit. A second tale tells how Vikram the destroyer of evils in a dream saw a Javanese woman weeping, because by an enemy's curse her son had been turned into stone. Vikram sailed to Java found the woman and removed the curse. According to a third legend Chandrabhan the grandson of Vir Prastāra saw a beautiful woman in a dream. He travelled everywhere in search of her. At last a Rishi told him the girl lived in Java. He started by sea and after many dangers and wonders found the dream-girl in Java. The people of Bhimtal are familiar with the Gujarāt proverb referred to below Who goes to Java comes not back. MS. Notes, March 1895.

Appendix IV. still well known both in Mārwar and in Gujarāt runs : /

JAVA.

*Je jai Java te kadi nahi deo
A'ee te with pulhi bātkho khato.
Who to Java room n'ee come home.
If they return, through seven lives
Sealed at ease their wealth survives.*

Once more the connection with Gujarāt is supported by the detail in the Java account which makes Laut Mira the starting point for the colonising fleet. This Sir S. Raffles supposed to be the Red Sea but the Mikhra's or Meda's sea may be suggested as it seems to correspond to the somewhat doubtful Arab name Baharimad (sea of the Meda?) for a town in western India sacked by Junaid. Against this evidence two considerations have been urged²: (a) The great length of the voyage from Gujarāt to Java compared with the passage to Java from the east coast of India; (b) That no people in India have known enough of navigation to send a fleet fit to make a conquest. As regards the length of the voyage it is to be remembered that though Sumatra is more favourably placed for being colonised from Bengal Orissa and the mouths of the Godavari and Krishna, in the case either of Java or of Cambodia the distance from the Sindh and Kathiāvada ports is not much greater and the navigation is in some respects both safer and simpler than from the coasts of Orissa and Bengal. In reply to the second objection that no class of Hindus have shown sufficient skill and enterprise at sea to justify the belief that they could transport armies of settlers from Gujarāt to Java, the answer is that the assumption is erroneous. Though the bulk of Hindus have at all times been averse from a seafaring life yet there are notable exceptions. During the last two thousand years the record of the Gujarāt coast shows a genuine fur seafaring fit to ensure the successful planting of north-west India in the Malay Archipelago.³

¹ Another version is :

*Je jai Java te phori na deo
Je phori deo te jaiya jaiya khato
Khato khato deo.*

*Who go to Java stay for aye,
If they return they meet and play
Such stores of wealth their ships repay.*

² Compare Crawford (A.D. 1836) in As. Res. XIII. 187 and Lassen Ind. Alt. II. 1046.

³ The following details summarise the available evidence of Gujarāt Hindu enterprise by sea. According to the Greek writers, though it is difficult to accept their statements as free from exaggeration, when, in B.C. 325, Alexander passed down the Indus the river showed no trace of any trade by sea. If at that time sea trade at the mouth of the Indus was so scanty as to escape notice it seems fair to suppose that Alexander's ship-building and fleet gave a start to deep-sea sailing which the constant successes of strong and vigorous northern tribes which entered and ruled Western India during the centuries before and after the Christian era continued to develop. According to Vincent (Periplus, I. 25, 36, 254) in the time of Agathangides (A.D. 200) the ports of Arabia and Ceylon were entirely in the hands of the people of Gujarāt. During the second century after Christ, when, under the great Bodhisattva (A.D. 143-156), the Sinh or Kshatrapa dynasty of Kathiāvada was at the height of its power, Indians of Ploutop, that is Sindhis, brought presents by sea to China (Journal Royal Asiatic Society for January 1896 page 9). In A.D. 168 (perhaps the same as the preceding) the Roman emperor Marcus Aurelius sent by sea to China ambassadors with ivory rhinoceros' horn and other articles apparently the produce of Western India (DeGuignes' Hsue, I. [Part I.] 22). In the third century A.D. 247 the Periplus (McCrindle, II. 52, 84, 98, 102)

* Alexander built his own boats on the Indus. McCrindle's Alexander, II. 3 He carried (pages 10 and 11) these boats to the Hydaspes on the Jhelum (134 note 1) where he found some country boats he built a flotilla of galleys with thirty oars; he made dockyards (pages 136, 137); his crown was Phoenician, Cyprian, Kartian, and Egyptian.

The Hindu settlement of Sumatra was almost entirely from the

Appendix IV.

JAVA.

notices large Hindu ships in the east African Arab and Persian ports and Hindu settlements on the north coast of Ptoktyn. About a century later occurs the doubtful reference (Wilford in *Asiatic Researches*, IX, 224) to the Dival or pirates of Dia who had to send hostages to Constantine the Great (A.D. 320-340) one of whom was Theophilus afterwards a Christian Bishop. Though it seems probable that the Kalatraspa (A.D. 70-400) ruled by sea as well as by land fresh-seafaring energy seems to have marked the arrival on the Sindhi and Kathiawar coasts of the Juan-Juan or Avars (A.D. 300-450) and of the White Huns (A.D. 450-550). During the fifth and sixth centuries the ports of Sindhi and Gujarat appear among the chief centres of naval enterprise in the east. How the sea ruled the religion of the newcomers is shown by the fame which gathered round the new or revived gods Siva the President of Somnath and Krishna the Apollo or St. Nicholas of Dwarka. (Compare Tod's *Annals of Rajasthan* I, 523.) In the fifth century (Yule's *Cathay*, I, lxxviii) according to Hsuan Tsang of Ispahan, at Hira near Kufa on the Euphrates the ships of India and China were constantly moored. In the early sixth century (A.D. 518-519) a Persian ambassador went by sea to China (Düke, I, lxxiv). About the same time (A.D. 526) Cosmas (Düke, I, cxxviii) describes Sindh or Debal and Orinda that is Soratha or Varval as leading places of trade with Ceylon. In the sixth century, apparently driven out by the White Huns and the Mihirans, the Jats from the Indus and Kachh occupied the islands in the Persian gulf, and perhaps manned the fleet with which about A.D. 570 Nanshiravan the great Sassanian (A.D. 531-574) is said to have invaded the lower Indus and perhaps Ceylon.* About the same time (Fergusson *Archæology*, III, 612) Amravati at the Krishna mouth was superseded as the port for the Arabian Cherokees by the direct voyage from Gujarat and the west coast of India. In A.D. 630 Hsuan Tsang (Beal's *Buddhist Records*, II, 269) describes the people of Surabatra as deriving their livelihood from the sea, engaging in commerce, and exchanging commodities. He further notices that in the chief cities of Persia Hindus were settled enjoying the full practice of their religion (Reinoud's *Abulfeida*, cccxxv). That the Jat not the Arab was the moving spirit in the early (A.D. 637-770) Muhammadan sea raids against the Gujarat and Konkan coasts is made probable by the fact that these seafaring ventures began not in Arabia but in the Jat-settled shores of the Persian Gulf, that for more than fifty years the Arab heads of the state looked them, and that in the Mediterranean where they had no Jat element the Arab was powerless at sea. (Compare Elliot, I, 416, 417.) That during the seventh and eighth centuries when the chief migrations by sea from Gujarat to Java and Cambodia seem to have taken place, Chinese fleets visited Dia (Yule's *Cathay*, lxxix), and that in A.D. 710 Arabs and Persians besieged Canton and pillaged the stretchways going and returning by sea (DeGuingnes' *Huna*, I, [Pt. II,] 508) suggest that the Jats were pilots as well as pirates.† On the Sindhi Kachh and Gujarat coasts border the Jats several of the new-come northern tribes showed notable energy at sea. It is to be remembered that as detailed in the Statistical Account of Thina (Bombay Gazetteer, XIII, Part II, 433) this remarkable outbreak of sea enterprise may have been due not only to the vigour of the new-come northern tribes but to the fact that some of them, perhaps the famous iron-working Turks (A.D. 550-680), brought with them the knowledge of the magnet, and that the local Brahman, with religious skill and secrecy, shaped the bar into a divine fish-machine or *manogyantra*, which, floating in a basin of oil, he consulted in some private quarter of the ship and when the stars were hid guided the pilot in what direction to steer. Among new seafaring classes were, on the Makran and Sindhi

* Reinoud's *Mémoires sur l'Inde*, III. The statement that Nanshiravan received Karachi from the king of Seraput (Elliot's *History*, I, 407; Tabart, II, 221) throws doubt on his expedition to Ceylon. At the close of the sixth century Karachi or Sindhi cannot have been in the gift of the king of Ceylon. It was in the possession of the Siliharil kings of Aror in Upper Sindhi perhaps of Siliharil Tegin Derraja shortened to Siliharil. (Compare Cunningham's *Oriental Congress*, I, 241.) According to Garret (*J. As. Soc.*, VI, Tom. XIII, 281 note 2) this Siliharil is Sorabul that is Syris and Antioch places which Nanshiravan is known to have taken. Several other references thus seem to imply a close connection between Gujarat and Ceylon are equally doubtful. In the *Manushiravan* (A.D. 100-500) the Sindhis being *manushyas* (children elegant) hoodlums and huns of parts. The mention of *veinobana* in *Samudragupta's* inscription (A.D. 350) Early Gujarat History page 64 and note 3 is uncertain. Neither Mihirakula's (A.D. 600) nor Laladitya's (A.D. 700) conquest of Ceylon can be historical. In A.D. 1000 when Abul Fatha the Carmatian ruler of Multan was attacked by Mahmud of Ghazni he retired to Ceylon. (Reinoud's *Mémoires*, III.) When Somnath was taken (A.D. 1025) the people embarked for Ceylon (Düke, 266).

† Compare at a later period (A.D. 1347) the *Natura's* great ship sailing from Kandahar (fourth north of French) to China with its guard of Abghinians as a defence against pirates. Reinoud's *Abulfeida*, cxxx.

Appendix IV. east coast of India and that Bengal Orissa and Masulipatam had Java.*

coasts the Bedins Kerka and Mada and along the shores of Kachh and Kathiawar the closely connected Mada and Gurjara. In the seventh and eighth centuries the Gurjara, chiefly of the Chitra or Chavala clan, both in Dwarka and Somnath and also inland, rose to power, a change which, as already noticed, may explain the efforts of the Jats to settle along the Persian Gulf and the Red Sea. About A.D. 710 the Chitra or Chavala, who had for a century and a half been in command in Dwarka and Somnath, established themselves at Anahilavada Pattan. According to their tradition King Yagarsija (A.D. 720-780) and his successor Yegarsija (A.D. 806-811) made great efforts to put down piracy. Yegarsija's sons plundered some Bengal or Dot ships which stress of weather forced into Veraval. The king said 'My sons with labour we were raising ourselves to be Chavala of princely rank; your greed throws me back on our old nicknames of Chura or thorus.' Yegarsija refused to be comforted and mounted the funeral pyre. Dr. Bunsen's History, 161. This tale seems to be a parable. Yegarsija's efforts to put down piracy seem to have driven large bodies of Jats from the Gujarat coast. In A.D. 834-35, according to Ibn Al-Athir (A.D. 834), a fleet manned by Djallas or Jats made a descent on the Thera. The whole strength of the Khalifat had to be set in motion to stop them. Those who fell into the hands of the Moslems were sent to Anahilavada on the borders of the Greek empire (Reinoud's Fragments, 201-2). As to the legend, the Chavala king's sons, that is the Chitra Ware and Gurjara, proved no less dangerous pirates than the Jats, whom they had driven out.* About fifty years later, in A.D. 892, Al-Biladiri describes as pirates who occurred the sons the Mada and the people of Somnath that is Derpolan or Somnath who were Chura or Gurjara.† Biladiri (Reinoud Sur L'Inde, 160) further notices that the Jats and other Indians had formed the main type of settlement in Verava which the Persians and Arabs had formed in India. During the ninth and tenth centuries the Gujarat Kingdom which had been established in Java was at the height of its power. (Ibn Al-Athir, c. 1020.) Early in the tenth century (A.D. 915-930) Masudi (Yule's Marco Polo, II. 341, II. 1, c. 1, 11) describes Sokatra as a noted band of the Indian corsairs called Barwarj which chase Arab ships bound for India and China. The merchant fleets of the early tenth century were not Arab alone. The Churas of Anahilavada went thence to Rhod and Chin (Ibn Al-Athir, I. 11). Nor were Ware and Chura the only pirates. Towards the end of the tenth century (A.D. 980) Gihari the Chindasama, known in story as Grahbiraj the Ahir of Surath and Girnar, so passed and surpassed the ocean that no one was safe (Ibn Al-Athir, I. 11). In the eleventh century (A.D. 1021) Al-Humayni (Ibn Al-Athir, I. 11) notes that the Barwarj, who take their name from their leader called Barwar or Barwar Mada, a marauding people of Kachh and of Somnath a great place of call for merchants trading between Sofala in east Africa and China. About the same time (A.D. 1020) when they despoiled of withstanding Mahmud of Ghazni the defenders of Somnath prepared to escape by sea, and after his victory Mahmud is said to have planned an expedition by sea to conquer Ceylon (Ibn Al-Athir, I. 108). In the twelfth century Ibn Al-Athir (Ibn Al-Athir, I. 1136) notices that Tatarja Sirhana, that is the Sirhana (A.D. 910-930) and White Mada (A.D. 900-980) corsairs of Sindhi and Gujarat, were in use both in Madagascar and in the Malay islands (Reinoud's Memoirs, 236), and that the merchants of Java could understand the people of Madagascar (Ibn Al-Athir, c. 1020). With the decline of the power of Anahilavada (A.D. 1250-1300) its fleet ceased to keep order at sea. In A.D. 1290 Marco Polo (Yule's Ed. II. 325, 326, 341) found the people of Gujarat the most desperate

* As an example of the readiness with which an inland race of marauders disposes of its maritime empire the Pirates of the Pontus who about A.D. 270 passed in a few years from the Pontus to the Mediterranean ports and having seized them Mada the limit of Greek progress sailed through Cilicia to the Baltic. (Ibn Al-Athir, I. 204-205.)

† Biladiri's Memoirs Sur L'Inde, 160. The traders of Chavala, that is of the old Chitra or Chitra country near Veraval and Mangrol, are now known in Bombay as Chavala. The revised explanation of Chavala by the reader may be it is said in decisive relation to their large and heavy head-dresses. But as the Portuguese head-dresses (which especially supports that the name Chavala is a trace of the early Chitra tribe of Indians who Chavala race with traditions of having come from the Red Sea, and so a medieval Arabic is the result of taking for Sokatra Somnath) that is set to the north of Dava.

† According to Ibn Al-Athir, c. 1020 (Ibn Al-Athir's Memoirs, 236) some of the forces of the Sultan of Malabar intended to set out a fleet to conquer Ceylon and Pagan. According to Ibn Al-Athir (Ibn Al-Athir, 160) Ceylon or Pagan was a dependency of Somnath till A.D. 1020 when the king of Jayabaya became independent.

* The common element in the two languages may have been the result of Gujarat settlements in Madagascar as well as in Java and Cambodia. This is however doubtful as the common element may be either Arabic or Polynesian.

share in colonising both Java and Cambodia cannot be doubted.¹

Appendix IV.

JAVA.

pirates in existence. More than a hundred corsair vessels went forth every year taking their wives and children with them and staying off the whole summer. They joined in fleets of twenty to thirty and made a sea carion five or six miles apart. Sokatra was infected by multitudes of Hindu pirates who encamped there and put up their plunder to sale. The Bataks (in Elliot, I. 344-345) 825 years later makes the same complaint. Musliman ascendancy had driven Rajput chiefs to the coast and turned them into pirates. The most notable addition was the Gokila who under Mukheraji Gubil, from his castle on Pinna Island, ruled the sea till his power was broken by Muhammad Taghlik in A.D. 1313 (Ras Mala, I. 318). Before their overthrow by the Mahommaluna what large vessels the Rajput sailors of Gujarat managed is shown by Friar Odoric, who about A.D. 1321 (Stevenson in Kerr's Voyages, XVIII. 324) crossed the Indian ocean in a ship that carried 700 people. How far the Rajputs went is shown by the mention in A.D. 1270 (Yule's Cathay, 51 in Houtsch's Mongols, I. 247) of ships sailing between Sumatra or Siam and China. Till the arrival of the Portuguese (A.D. 1500-1509) the Ahmedabad rulers maintained their position as lords of the sea.* In the fifteenth century Java appears in the state list of foreign borders which paid tribute (Hind's Itjarat, 131), the tribute probably being a cess or ship tax paid by Gujarat traders with Java in return for the protection of the royal navy.† In east Africa, in A.D. 1498 (J. As. Soc. of Bengal, V. 784) Vasco da Gama found sailors from Calicut and other parts of India who guided themselves by the help of the stars in the north and south and had nautical instruments of their own. In A.D. 1510 Albuquerque found a strong Hindu element in Java and Malacca. Sumatra was ruled by Pannamahwa a Hindu whose son by a Chinese mother was called Rajput Plommontoria, II. 63; III. 73-79). After the rule of the sea had passed to the Europeans, Gujarat Hindus continued to show marked courage and skill as merchants seamen and pirates. In the seventeenth century the French traveller Mandelstam (A.D. 1678, Travels 101, 108) found Aceh in north Sumatra a great centre of trade with Gujarat. During the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries the Saugandians of Sengar Rajputs of Mandvi in Kachh and of Navanagar in north Kathiawar were much dreaded. In A.D. 1760 Gross describes the small cruises of the Panjagubans troubling boats going to the Persian Gulf, though they seldom attacked large ships. Between A.D. 1804 and 1808 (Law's Indian Navy, I. 274) pirates from Bat established themselves in the ruined temple at Sumatrah. In 1820, when the English took Bat and Demara from the Waghels, among the pirates besides Waghels were Badhals a branch of Sahitors, Bhattis, Kharsas, Lohandas, Makwandas, Rahvars, and Wagharias. A trace of the Chinras remained in the neighbouring chief of Aramra.‡ Nor had the old love of seafaring deserted the Kathiawar chiefs. In the beginning of the present century (A.D. 1823) Tod (Western India, 462) compares the Mals, I. 2451 tells how with Baji Singh of Bhavnagar his port was his grand hobby and shipbuilding his chief interest and pleasure; also how Rao Ghor of Kachh (A.D. 1760-1776) built, equipped and manned a ship at Mandvi which without European or other outside assistance safely made the voyage to England and back to the Malabar Coast where arriving during the south-west monsoon the vessel seems to have been wrecked§.

¹ Crawford (A.D. 1820) held that all Hindu influence in Java came from Kalinga or north-east Madras. Fergusson (Ind. Arch. 106, Ed. 1876) says: The splendid remains at Amravati show that from the mouths of the Krishna and Godavari the Balhatis of north and north-west India colonised Pegu, Ceylon, and eventually the island of Java. Compure Tavernier (A.D. 1666; Ball's Translation, I. 174.) Maasipatam is the

* When in A.D. 1523 he secured Balhitar's splendid jewelled belt Humayun said: These are the treasures of the lord of the sea. Bayley's Gujarat, 366.

† Commerce in Bombay Public Library II, pages 197-202 of 1768-67, the revenues headings Surat and Cambay with entries of two per cent on all goods imported and exported from either of these places by traders under the Honourable Company's protection.

‡ These Badhals seem to be Hamilton's (A.D. 1720) Waghels of Chauri (New Account, I. 147). This Chauri is Chich near Jira apparently the place from which the Bhattis and their Bombay name of Chhattis. Towards the close of the eighteenth century Bhitties from Chich came to have formed a pirate settlement near Bhatia on the Thana coast. Major Price (Memoirs of a Field Officer, 327) notes (A.D. 1790-94) the extraordinary speed with which in travelling from Surat to Bombay by land they passed Dehkan through the Chhattis jungle the district of a pastoral community of that name.

§ According to Mr. A. Bernard (Jl. Bombay Gaz. Soc. VI. (1887) 27, 28) the special skill of the people of Kachh in navigation and ship-building was due to a young Rajput of Kachh, Rameshch Mahani, who about a century earlier had gone to Holland and learned those arts. See Bombay Gazetteer, V. 116 note 2.

Appendix IV.

JAVA.

Reasons have been given in support of the settlement in Java of large bodies of men from the north-west coasts of India and evidence has been offered to show that the objections taken to such a migration have little practical force. It remains to consider the time and the conditions of the Gujarat conquest and settlement of Java and Cambodia. The Javan date S. 525 that is A.D. 603 may be accepted as marking some central event in a process which continued for at least half a century before and after the beginning of the seventh century. Reasons have been given for holding that neither the commercial nor the political ascendancy of Rome makes it probable that to Rome the Rām of the legends refers. The notable Roman element in the architecture of Java and Cambodia may suggest that the memory of great Roman builders kept for Rome a place in the local legends. But the Roman element seems not to have come direct into the buildings of Java or Cambodia; as at Amrāvati at the Krishna mouth, the classic characteristics came by way of the Panjāb (Tāhla) only, in the case of Java, not by the personal taste and study of a prince, but as an incident of conquest and settlement.¹ Who then was the ruler of Rām near Taxila, who led a great settlement of Hindus from the Panjāb to Java. Names in appearance like Rome, occur in north-west India. None are of enough importance to explain the prince's title.² There remains the word *rass* or *ram* applied to salt land in the south Panjāb, in Māwār, and in north Sindh.³ The great battle of Kārer, about sixty miles south-east of Multān, in which apparently about A.D. 530 Yasodharman of Mālwa defeated the famous White Hāpa conqueror Mihirakula (A.D. 500-550) is described as fought in the land of Rām.⁴ This great White Hāpa defeat is apparently the origin of the legend of the prince of Rām who retired by sea to Java. At the time of the battle of Kārer the south Panjāb, together with the north of Sindh, was under the Saharaja of Aror in north Sindh, whose coins show them to have been not only White Hāpas, but of the same Jāvla family which the great conquerors Toramāpa

only place in the Bay of Bengal from which vessels sail eastwards for Bengal, Arrakan, Pegu, Siam, Sumatra, Cochin China, and the Maldives and west to Hormuz, Makha, and Madagascar. Inscriptions (Indian Antiquary, V. 314; VI. 356) bear out the correctness of the connection between the Kallinga coast and Java which Java legends have preserved. As explained in Dr. Bhattacharya's interesting article on the eastern passage of the Sakas (Jour. R. B. R. A. S. XVII.) certain inscriptions also show a Magadhi element which may have reached Java from Sumatra and Sumatra from the coast either of Bengal or of Orissa. Later information tends to bypass the east and south Indian shore. Compare Notices et Extraits des Manuscrits de la Bibliothèque Nationale Vol. XXVII. (Partie II) 2 Fascicule page 350.

¹ Compare Hsiao Tsung in Beal's Buddhist Records II. 222 note 102. Tāhla may be Taxila that is Baktra, but the Panjāb seems more likely. Compare Beal's Life of Hsiao Tsung, 136 note 2.

² Idribi A.D. 1135 (Elliot, I. 92) has a Romala a middling town on the borders of the desert between Multān and Solatān. Cunningham (Ancient Geog. 252) has a Romala Bannar near where the Nera the old Indus enters the Ran of Kurkh.

³ Cunningham's Num. Chron. 3rd Ser. VIII. 241. The Mahābhārata (Bhishma Parva, VII. 176; Cunningham's Anc. Geog. 187) may have taken their name from one of these salt stretches. The Khuriddibab (A.D. 612) mentions Romala (Elliot, I. 14, 87, 92, 99) as one of the countries of Sindh. In connection with the town Romala see Idribi A.D. 1135 (Elliot, I. 74, 92) has a district three days' journey from Kallata.

⁴ Cunningham's Numismatic Chronicle 3rd Ser. VIII. 236. The date of Kārer is uncertain. Ferguson (Arch. III. 746) puts it at A.D. 544. It was apparently earlier as in an inscription of A.D. 532 Yasodharman King of Mālwa claims to hold lands which were never held by either Gupta or Hāpa. Cunningham Num. Chron. 3rd Ser. VIII. 236. Compare History Text, 76, 77.

and Mihirakula adorned. So close a connection with Mihirakula makes it probable that the chief in charge of the north of the Aror dominions shared in the defeat and disgrace of Kâror. Seeing that the power of the Sâharâs of Aror spread as far south as the Kâthiâvâda ports of Somnâth and Dîu, and probably also of Dîul at the Indus mouth, if the defeated chief of the south Panjâh was unable or unwilling to remain as a vassal to his conqueror, no serious difficulty would stand in the way of his passage to the seaboard of Aror or of his finding in Dîu and other Sindh and Gajarat ports sufficient transport to convey him and his followers by sea to Java.¹ This then may be the chief whom the Cambodian story names Phra Tong or Thom apparently Great Lord that is Mahârâja.²

The success of the Javan enterprise would tempt others to follow especially as during the latter half of the sixth and almost the whole of the seventh centuries, the state of North India favoured migration. Their defeats by Sassanians and Turks between A.D. 550 and 600 would close to the White Hûnas the way of retreat northwards by either the Indus or the Kâbul valleys. If hard pressed the alternative was a retreat to Kashmir or an advance south or east to the sea. When in the early years of the seventh century (A.D. 600-606), Prabhâkaravardhana the father of Sri Harsha of Magadha (A.D. 610-642) defeated the king of Gandhâra, the Hûnas, the king of Sindh, the Gurjaras, the Lâtas, and the king of Malava,³ and when, about twenty years later, further defeats were inflicted by Sri Harsha himself numbers of refugees would gather to the Gujarat ports eager to escape further attack and to share the prosperity of Java. It is worthy of note that the details of Prabhâkaravardhana's conquests explain how Gandhâra and Lâta are both mentioned in the Java legends; how north-owners from the Panjâb were able to pass to the coast; how the Mârwâr stories give the king of Mâlwa a share in the migrations; how the fleets may have sailed across and across acquainted both with the monasteries and stupas of the Kâbul valley and Peshâwar and with the carvings of the Ajanta caves. During the second half of the seventh century the advance of the Turks from the north and of the Arabs both by sea (A.D. 637) and through Persia (A.D. 650-660);⁴ the conquering progress of a Chinese army from Magadha to Bamiân in A.D. 645-650⁵; the overthrow (A.D. 642) of

Appendix IV.

JAVA.

¹ Jour. As. Soc. Bl. VII. (Plate I.) 229; Burnes' Bokhâra, III. 76; Elliot's History, I. 405. Dîu which is specially mentioned as a Sâharâ port was during the seventh and eighth centuries a place of call for China ships. Yule's Cathay, I. 133.

² Phra like the Panjâh Ports of the embassy to Angkor in A.D. 30 (though this Phra may be so called merely because he ruled the lands of Alexander's Persia) may seem to be the favourite Parthian name Phraates. But an instance of the name Phraates is noted among White Hûna chiefs and the use of Phra as in Phra Bat or Lord Buddha seems ground for holding that the Phra Tong of the Cambodia legend means Great Lord.

³ In A.D. 635 raiders attacked Thina from Oman and Breach and Sindh from Baluchistan. Renauld's Mémoires Sur l'Inde, 170, 176.

⁴ The passage of a Chinese army from Magadha to the Gandhâra river about A.D. 650 seems beyond question. The emperor sent an ambassador Ouang-h-wan to Sri Harsha. Before Ouang-h-wan's arrival Sri Harsha was dead (died A.D. 642), and his place taken by an aspiring minister (Solu-fa-tâ) Alana-chun. The usurper drove off the envoy, who retired to Tibet then under the great Songtsân. With help from Tibet and from the Raja of Nepâl Ouang returned, defeated Alana, and pursued him to the Gandhâra river (Kâm-to-wâ). The passage was forced, the army captured, the Magadha and king's sons were led prisoners to China, and 580 cities surrendered, the magistrates proclaimed the victory in the temple of the ancestors and the emperor raised Ouang to the rank of Tê-ho-san-to-fou. Journal Asiatique Ser.

Appendix IV.

JAVA.

the Buddhist Sāharāis by their usurping Brāhmanist minister Chach and his persecution of the Jats must have resulted in a fairly constant movement of northern Indians southwards from the ports of Sindh and Gujarat.¹ In the leading migrations though fear may have moved the followers, enterprise and tidings of Java's prosperity would stir the leaders. The same longing that tempted Alexander to put to sea from the Indus mouth; Trajan (A.D. 115) from the mouth of the Tigris; and Mahmūd of Ghazni from Somnāth must have drawn Śaka Hūna and Garjjara chiefs to lead their men south to the land of rubies and of gold.²

Of the appearance and condition of the Hindus who settled in Java during the seventh and eighth centuries the Arab travellers Sulaimān A.D. 850 and Masūdī A.D. 915 have left the following details. The people near the volcanoes have white skins pierced ears and shaved heads; their religion is both Brāhmanic and Buddhist; their trade is in the costliest articles camphor aloes cloves and sandalwood.³

CAMBODIA.

CAMBODIA.

The close connection between Java and Cambodia, the alternate supremacy of Cambodia in Java and of Java in Cambodia, the likelihood of settlers passing from Java to Cambodia explain, to a considerable extent, why the traditions and the buildings of Java and Cambodia should point to a common origin in north-west India. The question remains: Do the people and buildings of Cambodia contain a distinct north Hindu element which worked its way south and east not by sea but by land across the Himalayas and Tibet and down the valley of the Yang-tse-kiang to Yunnan and Angkor. Whether the name Cambodia⁴ proves an actual race or historical connection with Kamboja or the Kabul valley is a point

IV. Tom. X. pages 81-121. The translator thinks the whole war was in the east of India and that the mention of the Gandhāra river is a mistake. The correctness of this view is doubtful. It is to be remembered that this was a time of the widest spread of Chinese power. They held Bactria and probably Bannan. Yule's Cathay, I. liviii. Compare Julien in Jour. As. Soc. Ser. IV. Tom. X. 280-291.

¹ Regarding these disturbances see Beal's Life of Hsiao Tsiang, 155; Max Müller's India, 286. The Arab writers (A.D. 713) notice to what a degraded state Chach had reduced the Jats. In comparing the relative importance of the western and eastern Indian strains in Java it is to be remembered that the western element has been overlaid by a late Bengal and Kalinga layer of fugitives from the Tibetan conquest of Bengal in the eighth century, the Bahu with the Gurkha at his heels, and during the ninth and later centuries by bands of Buddhists withdrawing from a land where their religion was no longer honoured.

² In A.D. 116 after the capture of Babylon and Ctesiphon Hadrian sailed down the Tigris and the Persian Gulf, embarked on the waters of the South Sea, made inquiries about India and regretted he was too old to get there. Rawlinson's Ancient Monarchies, VI. 313.

³ Reinoud's Ahuifeda, cccxx.

⁴ The origin of the name Kamboja seems to be Kāmbojaputra an old name of Kabul preserved almost in its present form in Ptolemy's (A.D. 100) Kaboura. The word is doubtfully connected with the Achemenian Kambyasa (B.C. 529-521) the Kambujya of the Behistun inscription. In the fifth of the Aśoka edicts (A.D. 240) Kamboja holds the middle distance between Gandhāra or Peshāwar and Yona or Bactria. According to Yaska, whose uncertain date varies from B.C. 500 to B.C. 200, the Kāmbojas spoke Sanskrit (Muir's Sanskrit Texts, II. 355 note 145). In the last battle of the Mahābhārata, A.D. 100 to 300 (Jl. Roy. As. Soc. [1845] VII. 139-140), apparently from near Bactria the Kambojas ranked as Mlecchhas with Śakas Darudās and Hūnas. One account (Fergusson, III. 466) places the original site of the Kambojas in the country round Taxila east of the Indus. This is probably incorrect. A trace of the Kambojas in their original seat seems to remain in the Kambojas of the Hindu Kush.

on which authorities disagree. Sir H. Yule held that the connection was purely literary and that as in the case of *Indrapatha-puri* or *Indraprastha* (Dohli) the later capital of Cambodia and of *Ayodhya* or *Oudh*, the capital of *Assam* no connection existed beyond the application to a new settlement of ancient worshipful Indian place-names. The objection to applying this rule to Cambodia is that except to immigrants from the *Kábul* valley the name is of too distant and also of too scanty a reputation to be chosen in preference to places in the nearer and holier lands of *Tihart* and *Magadha*. For this reason, and because the view is supported by the notable connection between the two styles of architecture, it seems advisable to accept Mr. Fergusson's decision that the name Cambodia was given to a portion of *Cochin-China* by immigrants from *Kamboja* that is from the *Kábul* valley. Traces remain of more than one migration from India to Indo-China. The earliest is the mythic account of the conversion of Indo-China to Buddhism before the time of *Asoka* (B.C. 240). A migration in the first century A.D. of *Yavanas* or *Sakas*, from *Tamluk* or *Ratnávate* on the *Hugli*, is in agreement with the large number of Indian place-names recorded by *Ptolemy* (A.D. 160).¹ Of this migration *Hien Tsang's* name *Yavana* (*Yen-mo-na*) for Cambodia may be a trace.² A *Saka* invasion further explains *Pausanias's* (A.D. 170) name *Sakææ* for *Cochin-China* and his description of the people as *Skythians* mixed with *Indians*.³ During the fifth and sixth centuries a fresh migration seems to have set in. Cambodia was divided into shore and inland and the name *Camboise* applied to both.⁴ Chinese records notice an embassy from the king of Cambodia in A.D. 617.⁵ Among the deciphered Cambodian inscriptions a considerable share belong to a *Bráhmánic* dynasty whose local initial date is in the early years of the seventh century,⁶ and one of whose kings *Somasarmman* (A.D. 610) is recorded to have held daily *Mahábháratá* readings in the temples.⁷ Of a fresh wave of *Buddhists*, who seem to have belonged to the northern branch, the earliest deciphered inscription is A.D. 958 (S. 875) that is about 350 years later.⁸ Meanwhile, though, so far as information goes, the new capital of *Angkor* on the north bank of *Jake Tale Sap* about 200 miles up the *Mekong* river was not founded till A.D. 1078 (S. 1000),⁹ the neighbourhood of the holy lake was already sacred and the series of temples of which the *Nakhonwat* or *Nága's Shrine*¹⁰ is one of the latest and finest examples, was begun at least as early as A.D. 825 (S. 750), and

Appendix IV.

CAMBODIA.

¹ See *Hunter's Oriens*, I. 310.

² *Yavans* to the south-west of *Siam*. *Beal's Life of Hien Tsang*, xxi.

³ Quoted in *Baillury's Ancient Geography*, II. 659. *Baillury* suggests that *Pausanias* may have gained his information from *Marcus Aurelius's* (A.D. 106) ambassador to *China*.

⁴ *Jour. Bengal Soc.* VII. (1.) 317.

⁵ *Remusat Nouvelles Mélanges Asiatiques*, I. 77 in *Jour. Asiatique Series*, VI. Tom. XIX. page 129 note 1; *Fergusson's Architecture*, III. 878.

⁶ *Barth in Journal Asiatique Ser. VI. Tom. XIX. page 150.*

⁷ *Barth in Journal Asiatique*, X. 57.

⁸ *Barth in Jour. As. Ser. VI. Tom. XIX. page 100; Journal Royal Asiatic Society*, XIV. (1882) cii.

⁹ *Barth in Journal Asiatique Ser. VI. Tom. XIX. pages 181, 185.*

¹⁰ Mr. *Fergusson* (*Architecture* page 686) and *Colonel Yule* (*Ency. Brit. Cambodia*) accept the local *Buddhist* rendering of *Nakhonwat* as the City Settlement. Against this it is to be noted (*Ditto ditto*) that *Nagara* city corrupts locally into *Angkor*. *Nagara* therefore can hardly also be the origin of the local *Nakhon*. Further as the local *Buddhists* claim the temple for *Buddha* they were bound to find in *Nakhon* some source other than its original meaning of *Snake*. The change finds a close parallel in the *Nága* that is *snake* or *Skythian* now *Nagara* or city *Bráhmán* of *Gujarat*.

Appendix IV.
CAMBODIA.

Nakhonwat itself seems to have been completed and was being embellished in A.D. 850 (S. 875).¹ During the ninth and tenth centuries by conquest and otherwise considerable interchange took place between Java and Cambodia.² As many of the inscriptions are written in two Indian characters a northern and a southern³ two migrations by sea seem to have taken place one from the Orissa and Manipalpatam coasts and the other with the same legend of the prince of Rām land, from the ports of Sindh and Gujarat.⁴ The question remains how far there is trace of such a distinct migration as would explain the close resemblance noted by Fergusson between the architecture of Kashmir and Cambodia as well as the northern element which Fergusson recognises in the religion and art of Cambodia.⁵ The people by whom this Panjab and Kashmir influence may have been introduced from the north are the people who still call themselves Khmers to whose skill as builders the magnificence of Cambodian temples lakes and bridges is apparently due.⁶ Of these people who, by the beginning of the eleventh century had already given their name to the whole of Cambodia, Alberuni (A.D. 1031) says: The Khmers are whitish of short stature and Turk-like build. They follow the religion of the Hindus and have the practice of piercing their ears.⁷ It will be noticed that so far as information is available the apparent holiness of the neighbourhood of Angkor had lasted for at least 250 years before A.D. 1078 when it was chosen as a capital. This point is in agreement with Mr. Fergusson's view that the details of Nakhonwat and other temples of that series show that the builders came neither by sea nor down the Ganges valley but by way of Kashmir and the back of the Himalayas.⁸ Though the evidence is incomplete and to some extent speculative the following considerations suggest a route and a medium through which the Roman and Greek elements in the early (A.D. 100-500) architecture of the Kābul valley and Peshāwar may have been carried inland to Cambodia. It may perhaps be accepted that the Ephthalites or White Hūnas and a share of the Kedarites, that is of the later Little Yuechi from Gandhāra the Peshāwar country, retreated to Kashmir before the father of Śrī Harsha (A.D. 590-606) and afterwards (A.D. 606-642) before Śrī Harsha himself.⁹ Further it seems fair to assume that from

¹ Barth in *Journal Asiatique* Ser. VI, Tom. XIX, 190.

² Yule's *Marco Polo*, II, 108; Reinoud's *Abulfeda*, edivi.

³ Barth in *Journal Asiatique* Ser. VI, Tom. XIX, 174.

⁴ Mr. Fergusson at first suggested the fourth century as the period of migration to Cambodia. He afterwards came to the conclusion that the settlers must have been much the same as the Gujarat conquerors of Java. *Architecture*, III, 665-678.

⁵ Fergusson *Architecture*, 665. Compare Tree and Serpent Worship, 49, 54. The people of Cambodia seem Indian serpent worshippers; they seem to have come from Tamil.

⁶ The name Khmer has been adopted as the technical term for the early literature and arts of the peninsula. Compare Barth J. As. Ser. VI, Tom. XIX, 193; Renan in *ditto* page 75 note 3 and Ser. VII, Tom. VIII, page 68; Yule in *Encyclopædia Britannica* Art. Cambodia. The resemblance of Cambodian and Kābul valley work recalls the praise by Chinese writers of the Han (n.c. 206-A.D. 24) and Wei (A.D. 386-534) dynasties of the craftsmen of Kipis, that is Kephene or Kambaja the Kābul valley, whose skill was not less remarkable in sculpturing and embellishing than in working gold silver copper and tin into vases and other articles. Specht in *Journal Asiatique*, II, (1883), 303 and note 3. A ninth century inscription mentions the architect Achyuta son of Rāma of Kāmbaja. *Epigraphia Indica*, I, 243.

⁷ Reinoud's *Abulfeda*, edivi; Sachau's *Alberuni*, I, 210.

⁸ Fergusson's *Architecture*, III, 666.

⁹ For the joint Kedarite-Ephthalite rule in Kashmir see Cunningham's *Ninth Oriental Congress*, I, 231-3. The sameness of names, if not an identity of rulers, shows how close was the union between the Ephthalites and the Kedarites. The coins preserve one difference depicting the Yuechi or Kedarite ruler with bushy and the White Hūna or Ephthalite ruler with cropped hair.

Kashmir they moved into Tibet and were the western Turks by whose aid in the second half of the seventh century Srongtsan or Srongdzan-gambo (A.D. 640-635), the founder of Tibetan power and civilization; overran the Tarim valley and western China.¹ During the first years of the eighth century (A.D. 703) a revolt in Nepal and the country of the Barmans was crushed by Srongdzan's successor Donsrong;² and the supremacy of Tibet was so firmly established in Bengal that, for over 200 years, the Bay of Bengal was known as the sea of Tibet.³ In A.D. 709 a Chinese advance across the Pamirs is said to have been checked by the great Arab soldier Kofala the comrade of Muhammad Kasim of Sindh.⁴ But according to Chinese records this reverse was wiped out in A.D. 713 by the defeat of the joint Arab and Tibet armies.⁵ In the following years, aided by disorders in China, Tibet conquered east to Hual on the upper Huangho and in A.D. 729 ceased to acknowledge the overlordship of China. Though about A.D. 700 he was for a time crippled by China's allies the Shado Turks the chief of Tibet spread his power so far down the Yangtsiang valley that in A.D. 767 the emperor of China, the king of Yunnan to the east of Burma, certain Indian chiefs, and the Arabs joined in a treaty against Tibet. As under the great Thirong (A.D. 803-845) and his successor Thi-tsang-ti (A.D. 878-901) the power of Tibet increased it seems probable that during the ninth century they overran and settled in Yunnan.⁶ That among the Tibetans who passed south-east into Yunnan were Kedarites and White Huns is supported by the fact that about A.D. 1290, according both to Marco Polo, and to Rashid-ud-din, the common name of Yunnan was Karajang whose capital was Yachi and whose people spoke a special language.⁷ The name Karajang was Mongol meaning Black People and was used to distinguish the mass of the inhabitants from certain fair tribes who were known as Chaganjang or Whites. That the ruler of Karajang was of Hindu origin is shown by his title Mahara or Maharaja. That the Hindu element came from the Kabul valley is shown by its Hindu name of Kamhar that is Gandhara or Peshawar, a name still in use as Gandharit (Gandhara-cishara) the Barmans for Yunnan.⁸ The strange confusion which Rashid-ud-din makes between the surroundings of Yunnan and of Peshawar is perhaps due to the fact that in his time the connection between the two places was still known and admitted.⁹ A further trace

¹ About A.D. 700 Urutai Kashgar Khutan and Kuche in the Tarim valley became Tibetan for a few years. Parker's *Thousand Years of the Tartars*, 245. In A.D. 691 the western Turks who for some years had been declining and divided were broken by the great eastern Turk conqueror Mezheh. The following passage from Masudi (*Præfatus* p. 1, 589) supports the establishment of White Huns or Mihra power in Tibet. The sons of Apir (a general phrase for Turks) mixed with the people of India. They founded a kingdom in Tibet the capital of which they called Med.

² *Encyclopædia Britannica* Articles Tibet and Turkestan.

³ Both Ibn Haukal and Al Istakhrî (A.D. 950) call the Bay of Bengal the sea of Tibet. Compare Reinald's *Abulfeda*, colviii.; *Encyclopædia Britannica* Article Tibet page 343.

⁴ Yule's *Cathay*, I, lxxxi.

⁵ Huey, *Beit. China*, 640.

⁶ Thirong besides spreading the power of Tibet (he was important enough to join with Mañju the son of the great Haris-ar-Rashid (A.D. 788-809) in a league against the Hindus) brought many learned Hindus into Tibet, had Sanskrit books translated, settled Lamasism, and built many temples. It is remarkable that (so far as inscriptions are read) the series of Nakhonwat temples was begun during Thirong's reign (A.D. 802-845).

⁷ Yule's *Marco Polo*, II, 39-42; *J. R. A. Soc.* I, 350.

⁸ Yule's *Jour. R. A. Soc.* (N. S.) I, 350.

⁹ Compare Yule in *Jour. R. A. Soc.* (N. S.) I, 351. Kandhar in south-west Afghanistan is another example of the Kedarite or Little Yuchi fondness for giving to their colonies the name of their parent country.

Appendix IV.

CAMBODIA.

of stranger whites like the Chaganyang of Yunnan occurs south-east in the Anin or Honli whose name suggests the Hupas and whose fondness for silver ornaments at once distinguishes them from their neighbours and connects them with India.¹ Even though these traders may be accepted as confirming a possible migration of Hupas and Kodaras to Yunnan and Anin a considerable gap remains between Anin and Angkor. Three local Cambodian considerations go some way to fill this gap. The first is that unlike the Siamese and Cochin Chinese the Khmers are a strong well made race with very little trace of the Mongoloid, with a language devoid of the intonations of other Indo-Chinese dialects, and with the hair worn cropped except the top-knot. The second point is that the Khmers claim a northern origin; and the third that important architectural remains similar to Nakhonvut are found within Siam limits about sixty miles north of Angkor.² One further point has to be considered: How far is an origin from White Hupas and Kodaras in agreement with the Naga phase of Cambodian worship. Hsien Tsiang's details of the Tarim Oxus and Swat valleys contain nothing so remarkable as the apparent increase of Dragon worship. In those countries dragons are rarely mentioned by Fa Hian in A.D. 400; dragons seem to have had somewhat more importance in the eyes of Sung-Yun in A.D. 520; and to Hsien Tsiang, the champion of the Mahayana or Broadway, dragons are everywhere explaining all misfortunes earthquakes storms and diseases. Buddhism may be the state religion but the secret of luck lies in pleasing the Dragon.³

¹ Compare Yule's Marco Polo, II, 82-84.

² Yule in Ency. Brit. Art. Cambodia, 724, 725, 726.

³ Fa Hian (A.D. 400) about fifty miles north-west of Kanan found a dragon chapel (Beal's Buddhist Records, I, 40) of which a white scaled dragon was the patron. The dragon, he notes, gives seasonable showers and keeps off all plagues and calamities. At the end of the rains the dragon turns into a little white scaled serpent and the priests feed him. At the deserted Kapilavastu in Tibet Fa Hian was shown a tank and in it a dragon who, he says, constantly guards and protects a tower to Buddha and worships there night and morning (Ditto, I, 50).

Sung-Yun (A.D. 519) notices (Beal's Buddhist Records, I, 52) in Swat (Udyana) a tank and a temple with fifty priests called the temple of the Naga Raja because the Naga supplies it with funds. In another passage (Ditto, 22) he notices that in a narrow land on the border of Pesse (Fara) a dragon had taken his residence and was stopping the rain and piling the snow. Hsien Tsiang (Ditto, I, 20) notes that in Kucha, north of the Tarim river east of the Bolor mountains, the Khmer horses are half dragon horses and the Shien men half dragon men. In Aksu, 150 miles west of Kucha, fierce dragons molest travellers with storms of flying sand and gravel (Ditto, 25); the hot lake of Johal, 100 miles north-east of Aksu, is jointly inhabited by dragons and fish; scaly monsters rise to the surface and travellers pray to them (Ditto, 26). An Arhat (page 63) prays that he may become a Nagaraja. He becomes a Nagaraja, kills the real Nagaraja, takes his palace, attaches the Nagas to him, and raises winds and tempests; Kanishka comes against him and the Arhat takes the form of a Brahman and knocks down Kanishka's towers. A great merit-flame bursts from Kanishka's shoulders and the Brahman Nagaraja apologises. His evil and passionate spirit, the fruit of evil deeds in a former birth, had made the Arhat pray to be a Nagaraja. If clouds gathered the monks knew that the Nagaraja meant mischief. The convent gook was beaten and the Nagaraja pacified (or scared) Ditto, 64-66. Nagas were powerful brutes, cloud-riding wind-driving water-walking brutes, still only brutes. The account of the Naga or dragon of Jolababad (in Kamboja) is excellent. In Buddha's time the dragon had been Buddha's milkman. He lost his temper, laid flowers at the Dragon's cave, prayed he might become a dragon, and leaped over the cliff. He laid the country waste and did so much harm, that Tathagata (or Buddha) converted him. The Naga asked Buddha to take his name. Buddha said No. I will leave my shadow. If you get angry look at my shadow and it will quiet you (Ditto,

This apparent increased importance of dragon or Nāga worship in north-west India during the fifth and sixth centuries may have been due partly to the decline of the earlier Buddhism partly to the genial wonder-loving temper of Hinuṃ Tsaṅg. Still so marked an increase makes it probable that with some of the great fifth and sixth century conquerors of Bactria Kābul and the Panjāb, of whom a trace may remain in the snake-

Appendix IV.

CAMBODIA.

94). Another typical dragon is Apālā of the Swāt river (Ditto, 68). In the time of Kāśyapa Buddha Apālā was a weaver of spells named Gangī. Gangī's spells kept the dragons quiet and saved the crops. But the people were thankless and paid no tithes. May I be born a dragon, cursed Gangī, poisonous and ruthless. He was born the dragon of the Swāt valley, Apālā, who belched forth a salt stream and burned the crops. The ruler of the fair and pious valley of Swāt reached Śākya's (Buddha's) ears. He passed to Mangala and beat the mountain side with Indra's mace. Apālā came forth was lectured and converted. He agreed to do no more mischief on condition that once in twelve years he might ruin the crops. (Ditto, 122.) In a lake about seven miles west of Takahāṣīlā, a spot dear to the exiled Kambhojan, lived Elipatra the Nāgarāja, a Bhikṣu or ascetic who in a former life had destroyed a tree. When the crops wanted rain or fair weather, the Shamana or medicine-men led the people to pray at Elipatra's tank (page 137). In Kashmir, perhaps the place of birth of the Kambhojan in his conquests eastwards, in old times the country was a dragon lake.* Mādhyantika drove out the waters but left one small part as a house for the Nāga king (I. 150). What sense have these tales? In a hilly land where the people live in valleys, the river is at once the most whimsical and the most dangerous force. Few seasons pass in which the river does not either damage with its floods or with its failure and at times glaciers and landslips stop the entire flow and the valley is ruined. So great and so strange an evil as the complete drying of a river must be the result of some one's will, of some one's temper. The Dragon is angry he wants a sacrifice. Again the river pools into a lake, the lake tops the earth bank and rushes in a flood wasting as only a dragon can waste. For generations after so awful a proof of power all doubts regarding dragons are dead. (Compare Drew's *Cashmere and Jummoo*, 414-421.) In India the Chinese dragon turns into a cobra. In China the cobra is unknown; in India than the cobra no power is more dreaded. How can the mighty unslayed dragon be the little silent cobra. How not? Can the dragon be worshipful if he is unable to change his shape. To the spirit not to the form is worship due. Again the worshipped dragon becomes the guardian. The great earth Bodhisattva transforms himself into a Nāgarāja and dwells in lake Anavatapta whose flow of cool water enriches the world (Buddhist legends, II. 11). In a fane in Swāt Buddha takes the form of a dragon and the people live on him (125). A postilence wasted Swāt. Buddha becomes the serpent Śūma, all who taste his flesh are healed of the plague (126). A Nāga maiden, who for her sins has been born in serpent shape and lives in a pool, loves Buddha who was then a Śākya chief. Buddha's merit regains for the girl her lost human form. He goes into the pool slays the girl's snake-kin and marries her. Not even by marriage with the Śākya is her serpent spirit driven out of the maiden. At night from her head issues a nine-crowned Naga. Śākya strikes off the nine crests and ever since that blow the royal family has suffered from headaches (132). This last tale shows how Buddhism works on the coarser and fiercer tribes who accept its teaching. The converts rise to be men though a snake-head may peep out to show that not all of the old heaven is dead. In other stories Buddha as the sacramental snake shows the moral advance in Buddhism from fiend to guardian worship. The rest of the tales illustrate the corresponding intellectual progress from force worship to man, that is mind, worship. The water force sometimes kindly and enriching sometimes fierce and wasting becomes a Bodhisattva always kindly though his goodwill may have to give way to the rage of evil powers. So Brahmanism turns Nārāyaṇa the sea into Śiva or Śaṁraṇa the sea ruler. In this as in other phases religion passes from the worship of the forces of Nature to which in his beginnings man has to bow to the worship of Man or conscious Mind whose growth in skill and in knowledge has made him the Lord of the forces. These higher ideals are to a great extent a veneer. The Buddhist evangelist may dry the lake; he is careful to leave a pool for the Nāgarāja. In times of trouble among the fierce struggles of pioneers and settlers the spirit of Buddha withdraws and leaves the empty shrine to the earlier and the more immortal spirit of Force, the Nāgarāja who has lived on in the pool which for the sake of peace Buddha refrained from drying.

* Kashmir has still a trace of Gandhara. Compare (Rev. Dr. Art. Kashmir page 13: The races of Kashmir are Gandhara, Khasa, and Daradā.

Appendix IV. CAMBODIA.

worshipping Nāga and Takka of the Karonon and Garhwal hills, the Dragon was the chief object of worship. Temple remains show that the seventh and eighth century rulers of Kashmir, with a knowledge of classic architecture probably brought from beyond the Indus, were Naga worshippers.¹ The fact that the ninth century revision of religion in Tibet came mainly from Kashmir and that among the eighteen chief gods of the reformed faith the great Serpent had a place favours the view that through Tibet passed the scheme and the classic details of the Kashmir Nāga temples which in greater wealth and splendour are repeated in the Nakhonwat of Angkor in Cambodia.² It is true that the dedication of the great temple to Naga worship before the Siamese priests filled it with statues of Buddha is questioned both by Lieut. Garnier and by Sir H. Yule.³ In spite of this objection and though some of the scenes have been Buddhist from the first, it is difficult to refuse acceptance to Mr. Fergusson's conclusions that in the great Nakhon, all traces of Buddhism are additions. The local conditions and the worshipful Tale Sap lake favour this conclusion. What holier dragon site can be imagined than the great lake Tale Sap, 100 miles by 30, joined to the river Mekong by a huge natural channel which of itself emphasises the lake in the dry season and refills it during the rains giving a water harvest of talons well as a land harvest of grain. What more typical work of the dragon as guardian water lord. Again not far off between Angkor and Yunnan was the head-quarters of the dragon as the unquarred flood. In Chien-jen ten days west of the city of Yachi Marco Polo (A.D. 1290) found a land of snakes and great serpents ten paces in length with very great heads, eyes bigger than a loaf of bread, mouths garnished with pointed teeth able to swallow a man whole, two four-legs with claws for feet and bodies equal in bulk to a great oak. He adds: 'These serpents devour the cubs of lions and bears without the fire and dam being able to prevent it. Indeed if they catch the big ones they devour them too: no one can make any resistance. Every man and beast stands in fear and trembling of them.' Even in these fiend dragons was the sacramental guardian element. The gall from their inside healed the bite of a mad dog, delivered a woman in hard labour, and cured itch or it might be worms. Moreover, he concludes, the flesh of these serpents is excellent eating and toothsome.⁴

¹ Mr. Fergusson (*Architecture*, 212) places the Kashmir temples between A.D. 600 and 1200 and allots Martand the greatest to about A.D. 750. The classical element, he says, cannot be mistaken. The shafts are dated Greco-Bactrian probably taken from the Gandhara monasteries of the fourth and fifth centuries. Fergusson was satisfied (*Ibid.*, 239) that the religion of the builders of the Kashmir temples was Naga worship. In Cambodia the Brahmanic remains were like those of Java (*Ibid.*, 667). But the connection between the Nakhonwat series and the Kashmir temples was unmistakable (*Ibid.*, 297, 665). Naga worship was the object of both (*Ibid.*, 677-876). Imperfect information forced Fergusson to date the Nakhonwat not earlier than the thirteenth century (*Ibid.*, 660, 679). The evidence of the inscriptions which (*J. As. Soc.*, VI, Tom. XIX, page 190) brings back the date of this the latest of a long series of temples to the ninth and tenth centuries adds greatly to the probability of some direct connection between the builders of the Martand shrine in Kashmir and of the great Nakhonwat temple at Angkor.

² *Encyc. Bel. Art. Tibet*, 344.

³ Yule's Marco Polo, II, 46, 47.

⁴ *Encyc. Bel. Art. Cambodia*.

APPENDIX V.

ARAB REFERENCES.¹

THE earliest Arab reference to Gujarāt is by the merchant Sulaimān² A.D. 851 (A.H. 237). Other Arab accounts follow up to A.D. 1263, a period of over four centuries. Sulaimān describes Jurr or Gujarāt as bordering on the kingdom of the Bahāra (A.D. 743-974) and as forming a tongue of land, rich in horses and camels and said to have "mines of gold and silver, exchanges being carried on by means of these metals in dust."

Al Bilāduri³ (A.D. 892) states that the first Islāmic expedition to India was the one despatched against Tīng⁴ (Thāna) by Usmān, son of Al-Asi the Thakafi, who in the fifteenth year of the Hijrah (A.D. 636) was appointed governor of Bahrein and Umān (the Persian Gulf) by the second Khalifah Umar, the son of Khattāb. On the return of the expedition, in reply to his governor's despatch, the Khalifah Umar is said to have written: "Oh brother of Thakif, thou hast placed the worm in the wood, but by Allāh, had any of my men been slain, I would have taken an equal number from thy tribe." In spite of this threat Usmān's brother Hakam, who was deputed by the governor to the charge of Bahrein, despatched a force to Bāriz⁵ (Broach). Al Bilāduri does not record the result of this expedition, but

Appendix V.

ARAB
REFERENCES,
A.D. 851-1263.

¹ Contributed by Khao Sahab Fazlullah Latifullah Faridi of Surat.

² This account which is in two parts is named Silālat-ul-Tawārikh, that is the Chain of History. The first part was written in A.D. 851-52 by Sulaimān and has the advantage of being the work of a traveller who himself knew the countries he describes. The second part was written by Abu Zaid-ul-Hasan of Siraf on the Persian Gulf about sixty years after Sulaimān's account. Though Abu Zaid never visited India, he made it his business to read and question travellers who had been in India. Abul Hasan-ul-Mas'udi (A.D. 913-943) who met him at Baerah is said to have imparted to and derived much information from Abu Zaid. Sir Henry Elliot's History of India, I. 2.

³ Ahmed bin Yahya, surnamed Abu Ja'far and called Bilāduri or Bilānuri from his addition to the dictionary of the Malacca bean (Bilākur, 32) or ananarrillum, lived about the middle of the ninth century of the Christian era at the court of Al-Mutawakkil (the Abbās), as an instructor to one of the royal princes. He died A.D. 379 (A.D. 992-93). His work is styled the Futūh-ul-Baldan The Conquest of Countries. He did not visit Sindh, but was in personal communication with men who had travelled far and wide.

⁴ Sir Henry Elliot's History of India, I. 115-116.

⁵ The reason of Umar's dislike for India is described by Al Mas'udi (Murā' Arabic Text, Cairo Edition, III. 165-171), to have originated from the description of the country by a philosopher to whom Umar had referred on the first spread of Islām in his reign. The philosopher said: India is a distant and remote land peopled by rebellious infidels. Immediately after the battle of Kadesiah (A.D. 636) when sending out Utbah, his first governor to the newly-founded camp-town of Bārah Umar is reported to have said: "I am sending thee to the land of Al-Hind (India) as governor. Remember it is a field of the fields of the enemy. The third Khalifah Usmān (A.D. 643-656) ordered his governor of Irak to depute a special officer to visit India and wait upon the Khalifah to report his opinion of that country. His report of India was not encouraging. He said: Its water is scarce, its fruits are poor, and its robbers hold. If the troops sent there are few they will be slain; if many they will starve. (Al-Bilāduri in Elliot, I. 116.)

² Sir H. Elliot's History of India, I. 116.

Appendix V.

ARAB
REFERENCES.
A.D. 851-1350.

mentions a more successful one to Debal at the mouth of the Indus sent by Hakeem under the command of his brother Mughaira. On the death of his uncle Al-Hajjaj (A.D. 714; n. 95) Muhammad the son of Kaseim the Arab conqueror of Sindh, is said to have made peace with the inhabitants of Suraet or Kathiawad with whom he states the people of Batia¹ that is Bet to the north of Dwarka were then at war. Al-Biladuri describes the Batia men as Meds seafarers and pirates. In the reign of Hisham (A.D. 724) Junaid, son of Abdur Rahman Al Murri, who was appointed to the frontier of Sindh is stated to have conquered Jara (Gujarat) and Baras (Broach).² A more permanent result followed a great expedition from Mansurak in Sindh. This result was the overthrow, from which it never recovered, of the great seaport and capital of Vala or Vulabhi.³ Al-Biladuri's next mention⁴ of Gujarat is in connection with the conquest of Sindan in Kachh and the founding there of a Jama mosque by Fasl, son of Mahan in the reign of the Abbasi Khalifah Al Mamun (A.D. 813-833) the son of the famous Harun-ur-Rashid. After Fasl's death his son Muhammad sailed with sixty vessels against the Meds of Hind, captured Malki⁵ apparently Malka in north Kathiawad after a great slaughter of the Meds and returned to Sindan.

The dissension between Muhammad and his brother Mahan, who in Muhammad's absence had usurped his authority at Sindan, re-established the power of the Hindus. The Hindus however, aids Al-Biladuri, spared the assembly mosques in which for long the Musalmans used to offer their Friday prayers.⁶ Ibtul Khurdadbeh (A.D. 912; n. 200) erroneously enumerates Baras and Sindan (Broach and Sindan) as cities of Sindh.⁷ The king of Jura he describes as the fourth Indian sovereign. According to Al Masudi⁸ (A.D. 915) the country of the Balharas or Rashtakutas (A.D. 743-974), which is also called the country of Kumkar (Konkan), is open on one side to the attacks of the king of Jura (Gujarat) a prince owning many horses and camels and troops who does not think any king on earth equal to him except the king of Babal (Babylon). He prides himself and holds himself high above all other kings and owns many elephants, but hates Musalmans. His country is on a tongue of land, and there are gold and silver mines in it, in which trade is carried on. Al Istakhrī⁹ (n. 340; A.D. 951) gives an itinerary in which he shows the distance between

¹ Sir H. Elliot (Hist. of India) transliterates this as *Betia*. But neither *Betia* nor his other supposition (Note 4 Ditto) *Betia* seem to have any sense. The original is probably *Batia*, a form in which other Arab historians and geographers also allude to *Bet*, the residence of the notorious *Banatrij* who are referred to a little farther on as seafarers and pirates. Ditto, I. 123.

² This important expedition extended to Ujjain. Details Above page 100 and also under Rihmas. Raids by sea from Sindh were repeated in A.D. 768, 790, 793, and perhaps A.D. 830. Reinand's *Erasmata*, 212. See Above Bhagvanlal's *Early History* page 96 note 3.

³ Details Above pages 94-96.

⁴ Sir Henry Elliot's *History of India*, I. 129.

⁵ Sir Henry Elliot (History of India, I. 129) calls it *Kallari* though (Ditto note 3) he says the text has *Malki*.

⁶ Sir H. Elliot's *History of India*, I. 129.

⁷ Ibtul Khurdadbeh a Musalman of Maglan descent as his name signifies, died H. 200 (A.D. 912). He held high office under the Abbasi Khalifas at Baghdad (Elliot's *History of India*, I. 12).

⁸ Abul Hasan Al Masudi, a native of Baghdad, who visited India about A.D. 916 and wrote his "*Meadows of Gold*" (*Murij-ur-rasah*) about A.D. 900-51 and died A.D. 936 in Egypt. (Sir Henry Elliot's *History of India*, I. 23-25.)

⁹ Abu Is-hak Al Istakhrī, a native (as his cognomen signifies) of Persepolis who flourished about the middle of the tenth century and wrote his *Book of Cities* (*Kitabul Ahalim*) about A.D. 340 (A.D. 951). Elliot's *History of India*, I. 26.

Mansurah and Kāmbhal¹ (Anhilwāra) to be eight days' journey; from Kāmbhal to Kambāya (Cambay) four days; from Kambāya to the sea about two *farasangs* that is between seven and eight miles²; from Kambāya to Surabāya³ perhaps Surabāra the Sufat river mouth which is half a *farasang* (between 1½ and two miles) from the sea, about four days. He places five days between Surabāya (Surat) and Sindān (St. John near Daman) and a like distance between Sindān and Saimūr (Chenai or Chennai) thirty miles south of Bombay. Ibn Hākal⁴ (p. 366; A.D. 976) enumerates⁵ (Fāmbhal)⁶ (Anhilwāra), Kambāya (Cambay), Surabārah (Surat), Sindān (Daman), and Saimūr (Chenai) as cities of Al Hind (India), as opposed to As Sindh or the Indus valley. From Kambāya to Saimūr, he writes, is the land of the Bahāra, which is in the possession of several kings.⁷ Ibn Hākal describes the land between Kāmbhal (Anhilwāra) and Kambāya (Cambay), and Bāniā three days' journey from Mansurah as desert,⁸ and between Kambāya and Saimūr as thickly covered with villages. Al Birūnī,⁹ in his famous *India* about A.D. 1030-31 writes: From Kāmbāya, travelling south-west you come to Āsi, a distance of eighteen *farasakhs*¹⁰ that is of seventy two miles; to Sahāra 17 *farasakhs* or sixty-eight miles; to Chandra 18 *farasakhs* or seventy-two miles; to Rajauri fifteen *farasakhs* or sixty miles; and to Nārāna (near Jaipur) the former capital of Gujaraṭ, 18 *farasakhs* or seventy-two miles. Nārāna he adds was destroyed and the capital transferred to another town on the frontier. From Nārāna at a distance of 60 *farasakhs* or 240 miles, south-west lies Anhilwāra, and thence to Somnāth on the sea is fifty *farasakhs* or 200 miles. From Anhilwāra, passing south in Lardes with its capitals Bihrah (Broach) and Bahānjūr¹¹ (Rāndhir) forty-two *farasakhs* (168

Appendix V.

ARAB
REFERENCES,
A.D. 831-1330.

¹ See Appendix A, Volume I. Sir Henry Elliot's History of India.

² Elliot's History of India, 394, where Sir Henry Elliot calculates a *farasang* or *farang* (Arabia *farasakhs*) to be 3½ miles. Al Birūnī, however, counts four *faras* or miles to a *farasakhs*. Sachau's Al Birūnī Arabic Text, chapter 18 page 97.

³ Sir Henry Elliot (History of India, I. 403) locates Surabāya somewhere near Surat. The mouth of the Tapi is still known in Surat as the Bāra.

⁴ Ibn Hākal (Muhammad Abul Kāsim) a native of Baghdad, left that city in H. 331 (A.D. 943), returned to it H. 359 (A.D. 968), and finished his work about H. 366 (A.D. 976). Sir Henry Elliot's History of India, I. 31.

⁵ Elliot, I. 34.

⁶ Sir Henry Elliot (History of India, I. 303) correctly takes Fāmbhal to be a misreading for Anhal that is Anhilwāra. Al Birūnī (A.D. 970-1030) uses the name Anhilwāra without any Arab peculiarity of transliteration or pronunciation. Sachau's Arabic Text, 100. Al Idrisi (end of the eleventh century) styles Anhilwāra "Nahrwāra" (Elliot, I. 84) an equally well known name.

⁷ Sir Henry Elliot's History of India, I. 34.

⁸ M. Gildemeister's Latin translation of Ibn Hākal's *Ashkal-ul-Bilad* (Sir Henry Elliot's History of India, I. 39).

⁹ Abu Rihān Al Birūnī was a native of Balkh in Central Asia. He accompanied Mahmūd of Ghazni to India in his expeditions and acquired an accurate knowledge of Sanskrit. His acquaintance with this language and Greek and his love of enquiry and research together with his fairness and impartiality, make his *India* a most valuable contribution to our information on India in the end of the tenth and beginning of the eleventh centuries. He finished his work after the death of his patron in A.D. 1030-31. See Sachau's Preface to the Arabic Text of the *India*, 12.

¹⁰ Al Birūnī makes his *farasakhs* of four miles. Sachau's Arabic Text, 97.

¹¹ Sir Henry Elliot's translation and transliteration of Bahānjūr (History of India, I. 61) are, he it said with all respect to the memory of that great scholar, inaccurate. He cannot make anything of the word (note 3) while in the Arabic Text of Sachau (page 109) the first letter is a plain ر and not ز. From the context also the ancient town of Rāndhir seems to be meant. It is plainly written (راندھير) Rāndhir and is very likely the copyist's mistake for the very similar form راندور or Rāndūr.

Appendix V.

ARAB
REFERENCES,
A.D. 851-1350.

miles). These he states are on the shore of the sea to the east of Tāna (the modern Thāna).¹ After describing the coast of Makrān till he reaches Debal² (Karāchi or Thatta) Abū Bihān comes to the coast of Kachh³ and Somnāth, the population of which he calls the Bawārij because, he says, they commit their piratical depredations in boats called Bāim.⁴ He gives the distance⁵ between Debal (Karāchi or Thatta) and Kachh the country that yields *muhl* (gum or myrrh)⁶ and *hādrūd* (balm) as six *farasāth* (24 miles); to Somnāth (from Debal) fourteen (56 miles); to Kambāya thirty (120 miles); to Aśwāl the site of Ahmedābād (from Cambay) two days' journey; to Balrōj (Broach) (from Debal)⁷ thirty, to Sindān or St. John (from Debal) fifty; to Subāra (Sopāra) from Sindān six⁸; to Tāna (from Sopāra) five. Rashid-ad-dīn in his translation (A.D. 1310) of Al Bīrūnī (A.D. 970-1031) states⁹ that beyond Gujrat are Koukan and Tāna. He calls Tānah the chief town of the Koukans and mentions the forest of the *Dāng* as the habitat of the *shara* an animal resembling the buffalo, but larger than a rhinoceros, with a small trunk and two big horns with which it attacks and destroys the elephant. Al Idrīsī,¹⁰ writing about the end of the eleventh century but with tenth century materials, places¹¹ in the seventh section of the second climate, the Gujarāt towns of Māmbal (Anhilwāra), Kambāya (Cambay), Subāra (apparently Surabāra or Surat), Sindān¹² (Sanjān in Thāna), and Saimūr (Chewal or Chaul). He adds, probably quoting from Al Jaubari (A.D. 930), that Nahrwāra is governed by a great prince who bears the title of Balhāra who owns the whole country from Nahrwāra to Saimūr. He ranks the king of Juer fourth among Indian potentates. The country from Debal to Kambāya (Karāchi to Cambay) he describes¹³ as "nothing but a marine strand without habitations and almost without water; and impassable for travellers."¹⁴ The situation of Māmbal (Anhilwāra) he gives as between Sindh and Hind. He notices the Meda as Mānda¹⁵ grazing their flocks to within a short distance of

¹ Sachau's Arabic Text of Al Bīrūnī, 95 and Sir Henry Elliot's History of India, I, 61.

² Ephinstone's History of India, Book V, Chapter 1, 263 Note 23 (John Murray's 1819 Edition) on the authority of Captain MacMurdo and Captain Alexander Burnes inclines to the opinion that Debal was somewhere near the site of the modern Karachi.

³ Sir Henry Elliot's History of India, I, 65. Sachau's Text of Al Bīrūnī, chapter 13 page 102.

⁴ Al Bīrūnī uses the word Barija for a strong built war vessel. Sir Henry Elliot derives the word from the Arabic and gives an interesting note on the subject in his Appendix I, 552. The word is still used in Hindustani as *bola* (بولہ) to signify a boat or bark.

⁵ Sachau's Arabic Text, 102.

⁶ According to Richardson (Arabic Dictionary voce مزل myrrh) though rendered gum by all translators. According to the *Mukhann* the word *muhl* (Urdu *guyah*) is Balsamodendrum and Bādrūd the corruption of *Bāra* (Urdu *bīra*) is balsam or bazaar.

⁷ Sachau's Arabic Text page 99 chapter 18.

⁸ After giving the distances in days or journeys the Text (page 102 Sachau's Text of Al Bīrūnī) does not particularise the distances of the places that follow in journeys or *farasāth*.

⁹ Elliot's History of India, I, 67.

¹⁰ Abū Abīdullah Muhammad Al Idrīsī, a native of Corsica in Morocco and descended from the royal family of the Idrīsids of that country, settled at the court of Roger II, of Sicily, where and at whose desire he wrote his book *The Nuzhat-al-Mushabak* or *The Seeker's Delight*. Elliot's History of India, I, 74. Almost all Al Idrīsī's special information regarding Sindh and Western India is from Al-Jahshadī governor of Khwarizm (A.D. 822-999), whose knowledge of Sindh and the Indus valley is unusually complete and accurate. Compare Reissner's *Abulfeda*, liii.

¹¹ Sir Henry Elliot's History of India, I, 77.

¹² Bantay Gazetteer, II, 69.

¹³ Elliot's History of India, I, 73.

¹⁴ Elliot's History of India, I, 76.

¹⁵ Elliot's History of India, I, 70.

Māmhāl (Anhilwār). He speaks of Māmhāl, Kambāya, Subāra (probably Surabāra or Surat), Sindān, and Saimūr as countries of Hind (India) touching upon Sindh.¹ He describes Māmhāl as a frontier town, numbered by some among the cities of Sindh, and he classifies Aukbin, Mānd, Kulāmmāl (Qailōn),² and Sindān (Sandhān in Kachh) as maritime islands. Among the numerous towns of India are Māmhāl (Anhilwār),³ Kambāya (Cambay), Subāra, Asāwal (Ahmedābād), Janāwāl (Chunvāl), Sindān, Saimūr, Jandur⁴ (Rāndir), Saudur (apparently a repetition of Rāndir), and Rīmāla (perhaps the south Panjāb).⁵ He speaks of Kalbata, Augasht, Nahrwāra (Anhilwār), and Lahawar (Lahori Bandar) as in the desert⁶ of Kambāya. Of the three Subāra (Surabāra or Surat), Sindān (the Thāna Sanjān), and Saimūr (Chand), he says Saimūr alone belongs to the Bāhāra, whose kingdom, he adds, is large, well-peopled, commercial, and fertile. Near Subāra (apparently Surabāra) he boates small islands which he styles Bāra where, he adds, coconuts and the cactus grow.⁷ East of Sindān, due to a confusion between Sandhān in Kachh and Sanjān in Thāna, he places another island bearing the same name as the port and under the same government as the mainland, highly cultivated and producing the coco palm the bamboo and the cane. Five miles by sea from Kulāmmāl lies another island called Mālī, an elevated plateau, but not hilly, and covered with vegetation. The mention of the pepper vine suggests that Al Idrisi has wandered to the Malabar Coast. In the eighth section of the second clime Al Idrisi places Bārūh (Broach), Sandāpūr (apparently Goa), Tāna (Thāna), Kandārina (Gandhār, north of Broach), Jirbātān a town mentioned by Al Idrisi as the nearest in a voyage from Ceylon to the continent of India on that continent. It is described as a populous town on a river supplying rice and grain to Ceylon.⁸ Kalkāyan, Lulawa, Kanja, and Samandirān, and in the interior Dālaka (Dholka), Janwāl (Chunvāl or Viramgām), and Nahrwār (Anhilwār).⁹ Opposite the sea-port of Bārūh (Broach), Al Idrisi places an island called Mullān, producing large quantities of pepper. Al Idrisi describes the port of Bārūh (Broach) as accessible to ships from China and Sindh. The distance from Bārūh to Saimūr he puts at two days journey, and that between Bārūh and Nahrwāra (Anhilwār) at eight days through a flat country travelled over in wheeled carriages drawn by oxen, which he adds furnished the only mode for the conveyance also of merchandise. He locates the towns of Dālaka and Hanwāl

Appendix V.

ARAB
REFERENCES,
A.D. 851-1350.

¹ Elliot's History of India, I. 84.

² The details of Kulāmmāl given by Al Kazwī (A.D. 1263-1275) seem to show it is Qailōn on the Malabar Coast. When a ruler died his successor was always chosen from China.

³ Elliot (I. 303-304) on the authority of Al Istakhri thinks that all the names Aukbal, Fūmhāl, Kāndhal, and Māmhāl are faulty readings of Anhal (Anhilwār) owing to irregularity in the position or absence of diacritical points.

⁴ This is probably Rāndir, a very natural Arab corruption. Instance Al Bīrūnī's Panjshur. See page 507 note 11 and page 530.

⁵ Rīmāla is mentioned at pages 14, 87, 92 and 93 volume I. of Elliot. It is first mentioned (page 14) by Ibn Khurdādhbih (A.D. 912) as one of the countries of Hind. It is next mentioned by Al Idrisi (em) of the eleventh century according to Elliot, I. 74) as one of the places of the eighth section describing the coast of India, but is mentioned along with Nahrwāra, Kandhār, and Kalbata (?). At page 92 (Ditto) the same writer (Idrisi) says that Kalkāya and Rīmāla are on the borders of the desert which separates Māhān from Sijistān. Again at page 93 (Ditto) Idrisi gives the distance between Kalkāya and Rīmāla as a distance of three days.

⁶ Elliot's History of India, I. 84.

⁷ Elliot, I. 90-93.

⁸ Sir H. Elliot's History of India, I. 85.

⁹ Elliot's History of India, I. 89.

Appendix V.

ARAB
REFERENCES,
A.D. 851-1350.

or Jauāwal (Chunwāl or Jhalāwar) with Asāwal (Ahmedābād) between Bārāh and Nahrwāra. He represents all three of these towns to be centres of a considerable trade, and among their products mentions the bamboo and the coconut. From Bārāh to Sandābūr (that is Goa), a commercial town with fine houses and rich bazārs situated on a great gulf where ships cast anchor, the distance along the coast given by Al Idrisi is four days. Al Kazwini¹ writing about the middle of the thirteenth century A.D. 1263-1273, but mainly from information of the tenth century notes Saimār (Chenl) "a city of Hind near the confines of Sindh" with its handsome people of Turkish extraction worshippers of fire having their own fire-temples. Al Kazwini (A.D. 1230) dwells at length on the wonders of Somnāth and its temple. He calls it a celebrated city of India situated on the shore of the sea and washed by its waves. Among its wonders is Somnāth, an idol hung in space resting on nothing. In Somnāth he says Hindus assemble by the ten thousand at lunar eclipses, believing that the souls of men meet there after separation from the body and that at the will of the idol they are re-born into other animals. The two centuries since its destruction by the idol-breaker of Ghaznah had restored Somnāth to its ancient prosperity. He concludes his account of Somnāth by telling how Mahmūd ascertained that the chief idol was of iron and its escape a loadstone and how by removing one of the walls the idol fell to the ground.

Rivers.

Regarding the rivers and streams of Gujarat the Arab writers are almost completely silent. The first reference to rivers is in Al Masūdī (A.D. 944) who in an oddly puzzled passage says:² "On the Lārwi Sea (Cambay and Chenl) great rivers run from the south whilst all the rivers of the world except the Nile of the Egypt, the Mahrān (Indus) of Sindh, and a few others flow from the north." Al Birūnī (A.D. 970-1030) states that between the drainage areas of the Sarant and the Ganges is the valley of the river Narmadā³ which comes from the eastern mountains and flows south-west till it falls into the sea near Bahrūch about 180 miles (60 yojanas) east of Somnāth. Another river the Sarant (Sarnatī) he rightly describes as falling into the sea an arrowshot to the east of Somnāth.⁴ He further mentions the Tābi (Tapti) from the Vindu or Vindhya hills and the Tāmra Barani or copper-coloured, apparently also the Tāpti, as coming from Mālva. In addition he refers to the Māhindri or Māhi and the Sarasa apparently

¹ Zakhirah Husn Muhammad Al Kazwini, a native of Karwin (Kashin) in Persia, wrote the *Nuzul al-Bihar* or "Signs or Monuments of Countries" about A.D. 651 (A.D. 1293) compiling it chiefly from the writings of Al Istakhri (A.D. 951) and Ibn Hishām (A.D. 976). He also frequently quotes Muḥsin bin Muḥallil, a traveller who (A.D. 943) visited India and China. Sir Henry Elliot's *History of India*, I, 34.

² *Bachar De Maynard's Text of Al Masūdī's Les Prairies D'Or*, I, 383.

³ Sir Henry Elliot interprets *Tamras* for Al Birūnī's Arabic form of Narmada. He says: It comes from the city of Tamras and the eastern hills; it has a south-easterly course till it falls into the sea near Bahrūch about 60 yojanas to the east of Somnāth. The literal translation of the text of Al Birūnī (see Sachau's *Al Birūnī's India*, 130) is that given above. It is hard to believe that the accurate Al Birūnī, while in one place (see Sachau's Text, 29) giving the name of the Narmada faultlessly, should in another place fall into the error of tracing it from *Tamra*, a city of Central Asia. A comparison of Elliot's version with the text sets the difficulty at rest. Compare Sir Henry Elliot's *History of India*, I, 49 and note 3 ditto and Sachau's *Arabic Text of Al Birūnī*, 130 chapter 25.

⁴ Compare Sachau's *Al Birūnī* with Sir Henry Elliot, I, 19, who is silent as to the distance.

Sarnerati perhaps meant for the Sābarmati. Al Idrisi (A.D. 1100) is the only other Arab writer who names any of the Gujarāt rivers. As usual he is confused, describing Dulka (Dholka) as standing on the bank of a river flowing into the sea which forms an estuary or gulf on the east of which stands the town of Bārāh (Broach).¹

The Arab writers record the following details of twenty-two leading towns:

Anahālva'da (Āmhal, Fāmhal, Kāmhal, Kāmuhul, Māmhal, Nāh-wāla, Nāh-wāla). Al Istakhri (n. 840; A.D. 951) mentions Āmhal Fāmhal and Kāmhal, Ibnī Haukal (A.D. 976) Fāmhal Kāmhal and Kāmuhul, and Al Idrisi (end of the eleventh century) Māmhal. That these are perversions of one name and that this town stood on the border of 'Hind' or Gujarāt (in contradistinction to Sindh) the position given to each by the Arab geographers² places beyond question. Al Istakhri (A.D. 951) alone calls the place by the name of Āmhal which he mentions³ as one of the chief cities of 'Hind.' Later he gives the name of Fāmhal to a place forming the northern border of "Hind", as all beyond it as far as Makrān belongs to Sindh. Again a little later⁴ he describes Kāmhal as a town eight days from Mansūrah and four days from Kambāya, thus making Kāmhal the first Gujarāt town on the road from Mansūrah about seventy miles north of Haidarābad in Sindh to Gujarāt. Ibnī Haukal (A.D. 968-976) in his Ashkal-ul-Bilād gives Fāmhal in his text and Kāmhal in his map⁵ and again while referring⁶ to the desert between Makrān and Fāmhal as the home of the Meds, he styles it Kāmhal. Once more he refers to Fāmhal as a strong and great city, containing a Jāma or Assembly Mosque; a little later⁷ he calls it Kāmuhul and places it eight days from Mansūrah and four from Kambāya. He afterwards contradicts himself by making Mansūrah two days' journey from 'Kāmuhul,' but this is an obvious error.⁸ Al Bīrūnī (A.D. 970-1039) notices Anhilwāra and does not recognise any other form.⁹ Al Idrisi (end of the eleventh century) adopts no form but Māmhal referring to it as one of the towns of the second climate¹⁰ on the confines of a desert between Sindh and "Hind" (India or Gujarāt) the home of the sheep-grazing and horse and camel-breeding Meds,¹¹ as a place numbered by some among the cities of Hind (Gujarāt) by others as one of the cities of Sindh situated at the extremity of the desert which stretches between Kambāya, Dehal, and Bānia.¹² Again he describes Māmhal as a town of moderate importance on the route "from Sindh to India," a place of little trade, producing small quantities of fruit but numerous flocks, nine days from Mansūrah through Bānia and five from Kambāya.¹³ Al Idrisi (quoting from tenth century

Appendix V.

ANAB
REFERENCES,
A.D. 851-1350.

Towns
Anahālwa'da.

¹ See Ahmedabad Gazetteer, IV, 338; also Elliot's History of India, I, 355-357.

² See Appendix Elliot's History of India, I, 363.

³ Al Istakhri in Elliot (History of India), I, 27.

⁴ Al Istakhri in Elliot (History of India), I, 30.

⁵ Ibnī Haukal in Elliot (History of India), I, 32-34.

⁶ Ibnī Haukal in Elliot (History of India), I, 34-35.

⁷ Ibnī Haukal in Elliot (History of India), I, 32.

⁸ Ibnī Haukal in Elliot (History of India), I, 40.

⁹ Al Bīrūnī in Elliot (History of India), I, 61.

¹⁰ Al Idrisi in Elliot (History of India), I, 77.

¹¹ Al Idrisi in Elliot (History of India), I, 79.

¹² Bānia seems to be a copyist's error for Bāzina or Nāryāna. The distances agree and the fact that to this day the neighbourhood of Jaipur is noted for its flocks of sheep bears additional testimony to the correctness of the supposition.

¹³ Al Idrisi in Elliot's History of India, I, 84.

Appendix V.

ARAB
REFERENCES,
A.D. 831-1330.

TOWN.
Anahilavāḍa.

materials) also notices *Nahrwāra* as eight days' journey from *Bārīḥ* (Broach) across a flat country a place governed by a prince having the title of the *Balhāra*, a prince with numerous troops and elephants, a place frequented by large numbers of Musalmāns who go there on business.¹ It is remarkable that though *Yanarāja* (A.D. 720-780?) founded *Anhilwāra* as early as about A.D. 750 no Arab geographer refers to the capital under any of the many forms into which its name was twisted before *Al Istakhri* in A.D. 951. At first *Anhilwāra* may have been a small place but before the tenth century it ought to have been large enough to attract the notice of *Imī Khurādābah* (A.D. 912) and *Al Masūdī* (A.D. 915). In the eleventh century the Musalmān historians of *Mahmūd's* reign are profuse in their references to *Anhilwāra*. According to *Farishtah*² after the capture of *Anhilwāra* and the destruction of *Somnāth* (n. 414; A.D. 1025) *Mahmūd* was anxious to make *Anhilwāra* his capital especially as it had mines of gold and as *Singaldip* (Ceylon) rich in rubies was one of its dependencies. *Mahmūd* was dissuaded from the project by his ministers.³ But two mosques in the town of *Pattan* remain to show *Mahmūd's* fondness for the city. The next Muhammadan reference to *Anhilwāra* is by *Nūr-ud-dīn Muhammad Uḡi*, who lived in the reign of *Shams-ud-dīn Altamash* (A.D. 1211).⁴ In his *Romance of History* *Uḡi* refers to *Anhilwāra* as the capital of that *Jai Rāj*, who on receiving the complaint of a poor Musalmān preacher of *Cambay*, whose mosque the Hindus instigated the fire-worshippers of the place to destroy, left the capital alone on a fleet dromedary and returning after personal enquiry at *Cambay* summoned the complainant and ordered the chief men of the infidels to be punished and the Musalmān mosque to be rebuilt at their expense.⁵

The *Jāmi-ūl-Hikāyat* of *Muhammad Uḡi* alludes to the defeat of *Sultān Shāhāb-ud-dīn* or *Mahammad bin Sām*, usually styled *Mahammad Ghori*, at the hands of *Mōlarāja II.* of *Anahilavāḍa* in A.D. 1178. And the *Tājul Maʿādir*⁷ describes how in A.D. 1297 the Musalmāns under *Kuth-ud-dīn Albak* retrieved the honour of their arms by the defeat of *Karan* and his flight from *Anhilwāra*. This account refers to *Gujarāt* as "a country full of rivers and a separate region of the world." It also notices that *Sultān Nāsir-ud-dīn Kubāchah* (A.D. 1246-1266) deputed his general *Khāskhān* from *Debal* to attack *Nahrwāla* and that *Khāskhān* brought back many captives and much spoil. After the conquest of *Gujarāt*, in A.D. 1300 *Sultān Alā-ud-dīn Khilji* despatched *Ulughkhān* (that is the Great Khān commonly styled *Alfkhān*) to destroy the idol-temple of *Somnāth*. This was done and the largest idol was sent to *Alā-ud-dīn*.⁸

Chief Towns.
Asāwal.

Asāwal. *Abū Rihān Al Birūnī* is the first (A.D. 970-1039) of Arab geographers to mention *Asāwal* the site of *Almedābād* which he correctly

¹ *Al Idrisi* in *Elliot's History of India*, I. 9. The *Balhāras* or *Bāshtrakūṭas* lost their power in A.D. 974. The only explanation of *Idrīs's* (A.D. 1100) *Balhāras* at *Anhilwāra* is that *Idrīs* is quoting from *Al Birūnī* A.D. 950.

² *Farishtah Persian Text* Lithographed Bombay Edition, I. 57.

³ *Farishtah Persian Text* Lithographed Bombay Edition, IV. 48. The *Bauzat-uz-Safā* states that it was at *Somnāth* the Ghaznavide wanted to fix his capital (IV. 42 Persian Text, Lachman Edition). *Anahilavāḍa* seems more likely.

⁴ *Sir Henry Elliot's History of India*, II. 155.

⁵ The *Jāmi-ūl-Hikāyat* in *Elliot (History of India)*, II. 162.

⁶ *Elliot's History of India*, II. 204.

⁷ *Elliot's History of India*, II. 229-30.

⁸ *Sir Henry Elliot's History of India*, III. 74.

places two days' journey from Cambay.¹ The next notice is along with *Khalirūn* (probably *Kāvi* on the left mouth of the *Māhi*) and near *Hanāwal* or *Janāwal*, apparently *Chunvāl* or *Viraingām*, by *Al Idrisi* (end of the eleventh century) as a town, populous, commercial, rich, industrious, and productive of useful articles.² He likens *Asāwal* "both in size and condition" to *Dhulka*, both being places of good trade.³ In the early fourteenth century (A.D. 1325) *Zai-ud-din Barni* refers to *Asāwal* as the place where *Saltān Muhammad Taghlak* (A.D. 1325-1351) had to pass a month in the height of the rains owing to the evil condition to which his horses were reduced in marching and countermarching in pursuit of the rebel *Tāghī*. In the beginning of the fifteenth century (A.D. 1403-4) the *Tārīkh-i-Mubārak Shāhi* notices *Asāwal* as the place where *Tātārkhān* the son of *Zafārkhān* had basely seized and confined his own father.⁴ The *Mirāt-i-Sikandari* also speaks⁵ of *Asāwal* (A.D. 1403) but with the more courtly remark that it was the place where *Zafārkhān* the grandfather of *Saltān Ahmad* the founder of *Ahmedābād*, retired into private life after placing his son *Tātārkhān* on the throne.⁶ The *Mirāt-i-Sikandari* states that the city of *Ahmedābād* was built⁷ in the immediate vicinity of *Asāwal*. The present village of *Asarwa* is, under a slightly changed name, probably what remains of the old town.

Barda. See **VALABHI**.

Broach (*Bārū**, *Bārūh*, *Bārū**) is one of the places first attacked by the Muslim Arabs. In the fifteenth year of the *Hijrah* (A.D. 636) the *Khalīfah Umar* appointed *Usmān* son of *Abdul Asī* to *Bahrain*. *Usmān* sent *Hakam* to *Bahrain* and *Hakam* despatched a fleet to *Bārān* (or *Broach*).⁸ *Al Bilāduri* (A.D. 892-93) speaks of *Junnaid* the son of *Abdur Rahman Al Murrī* on his appointment to the frontier of *Sindh* in the *Khilāfat* of *Hishām bin Abdal Malik* (A.D. 724-743) sending an expedition by land against *Bārū* (*Broach*) and overrunning *Jur*⁹ (*Gujarat*). *Ibnī Khurādādhah* (A.D. 912) enumerates *Bārū* among the countries of *Sindh*.¹⁰ *Broach* is next noticed¹¹ by *Al Birūni* (A.D. 970-1039) as standing near the estuary of the river *Narbadā*, as 120 miles (37 *pārasangs*) from *Debal*, and as being with *Rahanjur* (*Rāndor*) the capital of *Lardes*. In describing the coasts of the Persian Gulf and the Indian Ocean *Al Masūdī* (A.C. 915-944) speaks of *Broach* as *Bārū* adding from which come the famous lance shafts called *Bārū*.¹² *Al Idrisi* (A.D. 1100) mentions¹³ *Bārū* as a large town well-built of brick and plaster, the inhabitants rich, engaged in trade and ready to enter upon speculations and distant expeditions, a port for vessels coming from *China* and *Sindh*, being two days' journey from *Saimūr* (*Chen*) and eight days from *Nahrawān* *Ahliwān* *Pattan*. In the fourteenth century (A.D. 1325) *Broach* is described as in the flames of the insurrection

Appendix V.

ARAB
ENGINEERS,
A.D. 651-1259.
Chief Towns.
Ahmedabad.

Ahmedabad.

Barda.

Capital and
Port Towns.
Broach.

¹ Sachau's Text, 102.

² *Al Idrisi* in *Elliot* (History of India), I. 87.

³ *Al Idrisi* in *Elliot* (History of India), I. 88. ⁴ *Elliot's History of India*, III. 260.

⁵ *Bayley's Gujarat*, 81. ⁶ *Elliot's History of India*, IV. 29; *History of Gujarat*, 81.

⁷ *Bayley's Gujarat*, 93. ⁸ *Al Bilāduri* (A.D. 892) in *Elliot's History of India*, I. 116.

⁹ *Al Bilāduri* (A.D. 893) in *Elliot's History of India*, I. 126. Details of this far-reaching affliction of *Sindh*, *Kachh*, the *Chāraṭṭā*, *Chicer*, *Bilūmal*, and *Ujjain* are given above, *History* 109.

¹⁰ *Ibnī Khurādādhah* in *Elliot* (History of India), I. 14.

¹¹ *Al Birūni* in *Elliot* (History of India), I. 49-50; and *Sachau's Arabic Text*, 100.

¹² *Haribier DeMeynard's Arabic Text of Les Prairies D'Or*, I. 239.

¹³ *Al Idrisi* in *Elliot* (History of India), I. 87.

Appendix V.

ARAB
REFERENCES,
A.D. 851-1350.

Port or Coast
Town.
Cambay.

caused by the foreign *asafs* or nobles, of the hot-tempered and impolitic Muhammad bin Tughlak (A.D. 1325-1351) who visited it in person to quell their revolt. Ziauddin Barni the famous annalist of his reign and the author of the *Tārikh-i-Firāz Shāhī* speaks of his deputating to Broach by Malik Kabir the future Sultan Firāz Shāh with a letter to the Sultan.¹

Cambay (KAMPĀYA, KAMBĀYAT, KAMPĀYAH, KHAMULIT.) According to Al Istakhri (A.D. 951) Kambāya formed the north boundary of the land of the Bahārā.² Al Istakhri describes it as four days from Kāmhāl (Anhilwāra) sixteen miles (4 *farasangs*) from the sea and four days from Surabāya probably Surabāra or the mouth of the Tapti a term which is still in use.³ Al Masūdī (A.D. 915) in speaking of the ebb and flow of the ocean mentions Kambāya. He notices that Kambāya was famous in Baghdad, as it still is famous in Gujarāt, for its shoes. These shoes, he says, were made in Kambāya and the towns about it like Sindān (Sanjan in Thana) and Sufārāh (Supara). He notices that when he visited Kambāya in H. 303 (A.D. 913-14) the city was ruled by a Brāhmin of the name of Bānda, on behalf of the Bahārā lord of Mānkir (Malkhet). He states that this Bānda was kind to and held friendly discussions with stranger Musalmāns and people of other faiths. He gives a pleasing picture of Cambay, on a gulf far broader than the estuaries of the Nile, the Euphrates, or the Tigris whose shores were covered with villages, estates, and gardens wooded and stocked with palm and date groves full of peacocks parrots and other Indian birds. Between Kambāya and the sea from which this gulf branches was two days' journey. When, says Al Masūdī, the waters ebb from the gulf stretches of sands come to view. One day I saw a dog on one of these desert-like stretches of mud. The tide began to pour up the gulf and the dog hearing it ran for his life to the shore, but the rush was too rapid. The waters overtook and drowned him. Al Masūdī speaks of an emerald known as the Makkan emerald being carried from Kambāya by Aden to Makkah where it found a market.⁴ Ithā Hānkal (A.D. 968-998) names Kambāya among the cities of Hind.⁵ In his time there were Jāma or assembly mosques in Kambāya, where the precepts of Islam were openly taught. Among the productions of Kambāya he gives mangoes coconuts lemons and rice in great plenty and some honey but no date trees.⁶ He makes Kambāya four miles (one *farasang*) from the sea and four (that is four days' journey) from Subāra apparently Surabāra that is Sūrat. The distance to Kāmhāl or Anhilwāra by some mistake is shown as four *farasangs* instead of four days' journey.⁷ Al Birūnī (A.D. 970-1031) places Kambāya within the large country of Gujarāt (120 miles)⁸ (30 *farasaks*) from Dūbal (Kārañābī). He says the men of Kambāya receive tribute from the chiefs of the island of Kis or Kish (probably Kich-Makran).⁹ Al Idrisī (A.D. 1100) places Kambāya with other Gujarāt cities in the second

¹ Elliot's History of India, III, 256, 260.

² Al Istakhri in Elliot (History of India), I, 27.

³ Al Istakhri in Elliot (History of India), I, 30.

⁴ Paulries D'Or (Barbier De Meynard's Arabic Text), I, 353-54.

⁵ Paulries D'Or (Arabic Text), III, 47.

⁶ Ithā Hānkal in Elliot (History of India), I, 34.

⁷ Ithā Hānkal in Elliot (History of India), I, 35.

⁸ Ithā Hānkal in Elliot (History of India), I, 39.

⁹ Rashid-ul-din from Al Birūnī in Elliot's History of India, I, 63 and Sachau's Arabic Text, chapter 18 pages 90-102.

¹⁰ Sir Henry Elliot's History of India, I, 67.

climate.¹ He says it is a pretty and well known naval station second among the towns of Gujars.² It stands at the end of a bay three miles from the sea where vessels can enter and cast anchor. It is well supplied with water and has a fine fortress built by the Government to prevent the incursions of the pirates of Kish (Makran). From Kambiya to the island of Aubkin (Pirau) is two and a half days' sail and from Aubkin to Dehal (or Karachi) two days more. The country is fertile in wheat and rice and its mountains yield the bamboo. Its inhabitants are idolaters. In his *Tarjimat-ul-Ansar*, Abdullah Waseef³ in a.p. 1200 (n. 699) writes: "Gujarat which is commonly called Kambayat contains 70,000 villages and towns all populous and the people abounding in wealth and luxuries. In the course of the four seasons seventy different species of beautiful flowers bloom. The purity of the air is so great that the picture of an animal drawn with the pen is lifelike. Many plants and herbs grow wild. Even in winter the ground is full of tulips (poppies). The air is healthy, the climate a perpetual spring. The moisture of the dew of itself suffices for the cold season crops. Then comes the summer harvest which is dependent on the rain. The vineyards bring forth blue grapes twice a year."

The trade in horses from the Persian Isles and coast and from Katif, Lahsa, Bahrain, and Hormuz was so great that during the reign of Adilak Abu Bakr⁴ (a.d. 1154-1189) 10,000 horses worth 2,250,000 *dirhams* (Rs. 1,10,00,000) were imported into Cambay and the ports of Malabar. These enormous sums were not paid out of the government treasury but from the endowments of Hindu temples and from taxes on the courtiers attached to them. The same author mentions the conquest of Gujars and the plunder of Kambayat by Malik Muiz-ud-din (called by Farishtah Ali and by Barni U'lugh naming the great Khan.) The *Tarikh-i-Firuz Shahi* states that Nasrat Khan and not U'lugh Khan took and plundered Cambay and notices that in Cambay Nasrat Khan purchased Kafir Hasir Dinari (the thousand Dinari Kafir), the future favourite minister and famous general of Ali-ud-din. About fifty years later the hot-headed Muhammad bin Tughlak (a.d. 1325-1351) was in Cambay quelling an insurrection and collecting the arrears of Cambay revenue.⁵

Appendix V.

ARAB
REFERENCES.
A.D. 851-1350.
Port or Coast
Town,
Cambay.

¹ Sir Henry Elliot's History of India, I. 77.

² Sir Henry Elliot's History of India, I. 84.

³ *Tarjimat-ul-Ansar* in Elliot, III. 32.

⁴ *Sakhi's* patron mentioned by him in his Garden of Roses.

⁵ The word *dirham* is from the Latin *denarius* (a silver coin worth 10 ass. of brass) through the Greek *denarius*. It is a Kufic word, the ancient Arabic equivalent being *ḍīḥ* *ḍīḥ*. The *dirham* equiva or *ḍīḥ* varied in value in different times. In Abu Hanifah's (the greatest of the four Sunni Jurisconsults) time (A.D. 749) its value ranged from 10 to 12 *dirhams*. Then from 20 to 25 *dirhams* or *drachmas*. As a weight it represented a *drachma* and a half. Though generally fluctuating its value may be assessed at Rs. or 10 francs to half a sovereign. For an elaborate article on the *Dirham* see Yule's Cathay, II. 439; Burton's Ali Lillah, I. 32. The word *Dirham* is used in Arabic in the sense of "silver" (vulg. silver) the Greek *denarius* and the *drachma* of Plautus. This silver piece was 99d, and as a weight 86½ grains. Sir Henry Elliot does not speak more at length of the *dirham* and the *drachma* than to say (History of India, I. 404) that they were introduced in India in the reign of Alauddin Malik (a.d. 1295) and Elliot, VIII. 31) that the *dirham* was a *Rhin* and the *drachma* a Persian coin. The value of the *dirham* in modern Indian currency may be said to be Rs. 3 and that of the *drachma* nearly annas 4.

⁶ Waseef gives the date of this event as A.C. 1298, but the *Tarikh-i-Alai* of Amir Khurasan places it as A.C. 1301. See Elliot's History of India, III. 43 and 74.

⁷ Elliot's History of India, III. 556-57.

Appendix V.

ARAB
REFERENCES,
A.D. 831-1330.
Port or Coast
Town
Cheul.

Cheul (Saimūr). Al Masūdī (A.D. 943) is the first Arab geographer to mention Saimūr.¹ He says: On the coast as in Saimūr Sabāra and Tāna the *Lāriyyā* language is spoken. In describing Saimūr Al Masūdī states² that at the time of his visit (A. 304; A.D. 914) the ruler on behalf of the Balhāra was Jhānṣha (this is the fifth Śilahāra A.D. 910). Nearly ten thousand Musalmāns were settled in Saimūr including some (called Bayāsrah) born in the land of Arab parents and others from *Shāf* and Persian Gulf, Basrah, Baghdād, and other towns. A certain Mūsā bin Is-hāk was appointed Rāṣ or ruler³ by the Balhāra or Valabhi, that is the reigning Rāshtrakūta Indra Nityūkhvarsha to adjudicate Muḥammadan disputes according to Musalmān law and customs. He describes⁴ at length the ceremony of self-destruction by a *Ḥawā* youth (a Hindu by religion) to gain a better state in his future life, his sculpting himself and putting fire on his head, his cutting out a piece of his heart and sending it to a friend as a souvenir.

Al Istakhri (A.D. 951) mentions Saimūr as one of the cities of Hind, makes it the southern end of the Balhāra kingdom with Kambhāra as the northern,⁵ and places it at a distance of five days from Sindān (the Thina Shufān) and fifteen days from Sarandīb or Ceylon.⁶ Ibn Hunkal (A.D. 965) notices Saimūr as one of the cities of Hind known to him and mentions the sea of Fāra (or the Indian Ocean) as stretching from Saimūr on the east to Tiz or Makrān.⁷ He states⁸ that the country between Saimūr and Tānhal (Anhilwāra) belongs to Hind. He makes⁹ the distance between Subāra (probably Surabāra or Swat), Sindān, and Saimūr five days each and between Saimūr and Sarandīb (Ceylon) fifteen days. Al Birūnī (A.D. 1020) says:¹⁰ "Then you enter the land of Lārān in which is Saimūr also called *Jaimūr* or *Chaimūr*." Al Idrisi (end of the eleventh century) mentions Saimūr as one of the towns of the second climate.¹¹ He describes it as large and well-built, five days from Sindān and among its products notes coconut trees in abundance, *hemu* (*Lawsonia inermis*), and on its mountains many aromatic plants.¹² His remark that Saimūr formed a part of the vast, fertile, well-peopled and commercial kingdom of the Balhāras must be taken from the work of Al-Jauhari (A.D. 950).

Al Kazzīnī (A.D. 1236) quoting Miskā bin Muḥallid (A.D. 942) describes Saimūr as one of the cities of Hind near the confines of Sind,¹³ whose people born of Turkish and Indian parents are very beautiful. It was a flourishing trade centre with a mixed population of Jews, Firewor-

¹ Al Masūdī in Elliot (History of India), I. 24.

² *Pratree D'Oy*, II. 85.

³ He was called a *Halām* or *Halāmāh* in the language of the country. Al Masūdī's *Murāj Arabic Text* Cairo Edition, II. 66.

⁴ Al Masūdī's *Murāj Arabic Text* Cairo Edition, II. 56-57.

⁵ One born in India of an Arab father and an Indian mother probably from the Gujarātī word *ādā-tam* meaning mixed blood. This seems the origin of the *Devi Rajput*. The performer in the case in the text was a Hindu. Al Masūdī (*Murāj Arabic Text* II. 57 Cairo Edition) says that the singular of Bayāsrah is *Basar*.

⁶ Al Istakhri in Elliot (History of India), I. 27.

⁷ Al Istakhri in Elliot (History of India), I. 30.

⁸ Ibn Hunkal in Elliot (History of India), I. 33-34.

⁹ Ibn Hunkal in Elliot (History of India), I. 33.

¹⁰ Ibn Hunkal in Elliot (History of India), I. 33.

¹¹ Al Idrisi in Elliot (History of India), I. 39, 68.

¹² Al Idrisi in Elliot (History of India), I. 77.

¹³ Al Idrisi in Elliot (History of India), I. 77, 65.

¹⁴ Al Masūdī in Elliot (History of India), I. 97.

shippers, Christians, and Musalmāns.¹ The merchandise of the Turks (probably of the Indo-Afghān frontier) was conveyed thither and the best of alces were exported and called *Saimūrī* after its name. The temple of Saimūr was on an eminence with idols of turquois and *badjadar* or ruby. In the city, were many mosques churches synagogues and fire-temples.

Dholka (DOLAKA). Al Idrisi (end of the eleventh century) places Dōlaka and another town he calls Hanāwal that is Chanwal or Janawal perhaps Jhalāwār between Bārūh (Broach) and Nahrwāra. He describes Dōlaka as on the banks of a river (the Sāharwati) which flows into the sea, which forms an estuary or gulf on the west (east) of which stands the town of Bārūh. Both these towns, he adds stand at the foot of a chain of mountains which lie to the north and which are called *Udalaran* apparently Vindhya. The *kana* (cambop) grows here as well as a few cocoanut trees.²

Goa. See SINDHAR.

Gondal (KONDAL). Zia-ud-din Barni in his *Tārīkh-i-Firuz Shāhi* states³ that Sultan Muhammad Tughlak spent (A.D. 1349) his third rainy season in Gujarat in Kondal (Gondal). Here the Sultan assembled his forces before starting on his fatal march to Sindh.

Kachh. Al Bīrdai (A.D. 970-1031) is the only Arab writer who refers to Kachh. He calls Kachh⁴ with Samnāth the head-quarters of the country of the Bawārij⁵ or Melli pirates. Speaking of the Indus he notices⁶ that one of its branches which reaches the borders of Kachh is known as Sind Sagar. In a third passage he refers⁷ to Kachh as the land of the *mūl* or bulamodendron and of *bādrūd* or betowar. It was twenty-four miles (6 farsangs) from Debal (Karachi). According to the *Tārīkh-i-Mahmūdī*⁸ when (A.D. 1039) the sovereignty of Sindh passed from the descendants of Mahmūd of Ghazni to the Sammas, Singhar, the grandson of Samra (A.D. 1062)⁹ extended his sway from Kachh to Nasarpur¹⁰ near Sindh. Haidarabād and Khaffi the son of Singhar consolidated his power and made Kachh a Samma dependency.¹¹ Dāda the grandson of Khaffi quelled a threatened Samma rising by proceeding to Kachh and chastising the Sammas.¹² On the fall of the Sammas the Chāuras became masters of Kachh from whose hands the country passed to those of the Sammas. Ground down under the iron sway of the Sammas a number of Sammas fled from Sindh and entered Kachh where they were kindly received by the Chāuras who gave them land to cultivate. After acquainting themselves with the country and the resources of its rulers the Samma immigrants who seem to have increased in numbers and strengthened themselves by union, obtained possession by stratagem but not without heroism of the chief fortress of Kachh.¹³ This fort now in ruins

Appendix V.

ARAB
REFERENCES,
A.D. 831-1350.

Chief Towns,
Dholka.

Goa,
Gondal.

Capitals,
Kachh.

¹ Though Al Kāswīn wrote in the thirteenth century, he derives his information of India from Muḥammad bin Maḥallī, who visited India about A.D. 942. Elliot (History of India), I. 94.

² Al Idrisi in Elliot (History of India), I. 87.

³ *Tārīkh-i-Firuz Shāhi* by Zia Barni (Elliot's History of India), III. 204-05.

⁴ Rashid-ud-din (A.D. 1310) from Al Bīrdai in Elliot's History of India, I. 65.

⁵ Rashid-ud-din (A.D. 1310) from Al Bīrdai in Elliot's History of India, I. 49.

⁶ Rashid-ud-din (A.D. 1310) from Al Bīrdai in Elliot's History of India, I. 65.

⁷ Wāṭana A.D. 1000 (Elliot, I. 213).

⁸ *Tārīkh-i-Mahmūdī* in Elliot, I. 16.

⁹ Tuhfatul Kāsim in Elliot, I. 541.

¹⁰ *Tārīkh-i-Mahmūdī* in Elliot, I. 217.

¹¹ *Tārīkh-i-Mahmūdī* in Elliot, I. 218.

¹² *Tārīkh-i-Tāhīrī* (Elliot's History of India), I. 207-68.

Appendix V.

ARAB
REFERENCES,
A.D. 851-1350.

Capitals,
Kaira.

Chief Towns,
Kābirūn.

Kambay.

Kanauj.

was the fort of Gānti.¹ The *Tārīkh-i-Pāhiri* states that up to the time the history was written (A.D. 1623)² the country was in the possession of the Sammas, both the Rāis Bhāra and Jām Sīhta of great and little Kachh in his time being of Samma descent.

Kaira (Kāura). One mention of Kaira apparently Kaira or Kheda occurs in Zāhidulla Barni's account of Muḥammad Taghiak's (A.D. 1325) pursuit of his rebellious Gujarāt noble Tāghī. He speaks of Muḥammad's detention for a month at Asāwal during the rains and his overtaking and dispersing Tāghī's forces at Kaira. From Kaira the rebels fled in disorder to Nahrwara (Aukilwara). Several of Tāghī's supporters sought and were refused shelter by the Rāna of Mandal that is Pātri near Viramgam.

Kābirūn. Al Idrisi (end of the eleventh century) mentions Kābirūn and Asāwal as towns of the same 'section' both of them populous, commercial, rich, and producing useful articles. He adds that at the time he wrote the Muslims had made their way into the greater portion of these countries and conquered them. Kābirūn like the Akalaura of the *Periplus* (A.D. 249) is perhaps a town on the Kāveri river in south Gujarāt.

Kambay. See CAMBAY.

Kanauj. Al Masādhī³ (A.D. 956) is the first Arab traveller who gives an account of Kanauj. He says: "The kingdom of the Bahāra king of Kanauj extends about a hundred and twenty square *parasangs* of Sindh, each *parasang* being equal to eight miles of this country. This king has four armies according to the four quarters of the world. Each of them numbers 700,000 or 900,000. The army of the north wars against the prince of Multān and with his Muslim subjects on the frontier. The army of the south fights against the Bahāra king of Mankir. The other two armies march to meet enemies in every direction. Ibn Hankaḥ (A.D. 968-976) says⁴ that from the sea of Fārs to the country of Kanauj is three months' journey. Rashid-ud-dīn from Al Bīrūnī (A.D. 970-1039) places Kanauj south of the Hindūyās and states⁵ that the Jamna falls into the Ganga below Kanauj which is situated on the west of the river (Ganga). The chief portion of Hind included in the "second climate" is called the central land or Madhiya Desh. He adds that the Persians call it Kanauj. It was the capital of the great, haughty, and proud despots of India. He praises the former magnificence of Kanauj, which he says being now deserted by its ruler has fallen into neglect and ruin, and the city of Bāri, three days' journey from Kanauj on the eastern

¹ Journal Asiatic Society of Bengal for February 1855, 102.

² Sir Henry Elliot's History of India, I. 268.

³ *Tārīkh-i-Firās Shāhi* in Elliot, II. 260.

⁴ In his Arabic Text of the *Murāj* (Prelims D'Or, Cairo Edition) Al Masādhī writes the name of the Kanauj King as Farwarah. (If the *P* stands for *P* and the *u* for *u*, as is quite possible in Arab writing, then this can be Farwarah the Arab plural for Farwar.) At volume I, page 240 the word Farwarah is twice used. Once: "And the king of Kanauj, of the kings of Sindh (India) is Farwarah." Again at the same page (240): "And Farwarah he who is king of Kanauj is opposed to Bahāra." Then at page 241: Farwarah is again used in the beginning of the account quoted by Elliot in I. 23.

⁵ Elliot's History of India, I. 23. In the Cairo Edition of the Arabic Text of Al Masādhī's *Murāj* (Prelims D'Or) vol. I, page 241 is the original of this account.

⁶ Elliot's History of India, I. 23.

⁷ Elliot's History of India, I. 45.

side of the Ganges being now the capital. Kananj was celebrated for its descendants of the Pāṇḍavas as Māhara (Mathra) is on account of Bāa Dev (Krishna). Al Idrisi, end of the eleventh century, speaks¹ of Kananj in connection with a river port town of the name of Samandār "a large town, commercial and rich, where there are large profits to be made and which is dependent" on the rule of the Kananj king. Samandār, he says, stands on a river coming from Kashmir. To the north of Samandār at seven days is, he says, the city of Inner Kashmir under the rule of Kananj. The Chāch Nāmah (an Arabic history of great antiquity written before A.D. 753, translated into Persian in the time of Sultān Nāsrūddīn Kabāchah) (A.D. 1216) says² that when Chāch (A.D. 631-670) advanced against Akham Lohāna of Brahmanābād that the Lohāna wrote to ask the help of "the king of Hindustān," that is Kananj, at that time Sathān son of Rāsal, but that Akham died before his answer came.

Kol. Ibn Khurdādhah (A.D. 912) has Kol seventy-two miles (18 *farasakhs*) from Sanjān in Kachh.³ And the Tāj-ul-Mādar⁴ relates how in A.D. 1194 Kutbuddin advanced to Kol and took the fort.

Maikhet (*Mākhik*). Al Masūdī (A.D. 943) is the first Arab writer to mention *Mākhik* that is Mānyākhetā now Mālkhet about sixty miles south-east of Sholapur. In relating the extinction of the great Brahma-born dynasty of India Al Masūdī states⁵ that at the time the city of Mānkir, the great centre of India, submitted to the kings called the *Balhāras* who in his time were still ruling at Mānkir.⁶

Al Masūdī correctly describes the position of Mālkhet as eighty Sindh or eight-mile *farasakhs* that is six hundred and forty miles from the sea in a mountainous country. Again he notices that the language spoken in Mānkir was Kiriya,⁷ called from Kari or Karāra the district where it was spoken. The current coin was the *Tārtariyah dirham* (each weighing a dirham and a half)⁸ on which was impressed the date of the ruler's reign. He describes the country of the Balhāras as stretching from the Kanakar (or Konkan) in the south or south-west north to the frontiers of the king of Juzj (Gujarāt), "a monarch rich in men horses and camels." Al Istakhrī (A.D. 951) describes Mānkir as the dwelling of the wide-ruling Balhāra. Ibn Hānkal (A.D. 968-976) repeats almost to the letter the information given by Al Istakhrī. The destruction of Mālkhet (Mānya Kheta) by the western Chālukya king Tailappa in A.D. 972 explains why none of the writers after Ibn Hānkal mentions Mānkir.

Appendix V.

ARAB
REFERENCES,
A.D. 861-1330.
Chief Towns,
Kananj.

Kol.

Maikhet.

¹ Elliot, I. 90.² Elliot's History of India, I. 147.³ Sir Henry Elliot's History of India, I. 16.⁴ Tāj-ul-Mādar in Sir Henry Elliot's History of India, II. 221. "After staying some time at Delhi he (Kutb-ud-din) marched in A.D. 1194 (H. 590) towards Kol and Bandana passing the Jumna which from its exceeding purity resembled a mirror." It would seem to place Kol near Bandana.⁵ Al Masūdī's Prairies D'Or (Arabic Text), I. 162.⁶ Al Masūdī in Elliot (History of India), I. 10, 20, 21 and Prairies D'Or, I. 178.⁷ Al Masūdī Arabic Text Prairies D'Or, (I. 261); Al Masūdī in Elliot (History of India), I. 24.⁸ That is an Arab dirham and a half. Al Istakhrī in Elliot (History of India), I. 27. These *Tārtariyya* dirhams are mentioned by almost all Arab writers. Al Idrisi says they were current in Mansūrah in Sindh and in the Malay archipelago. See Elliot, I. 3 note 4. According to *Salsimān* (A.C. 951) the *Tārtariya* dirham weighed "a dirham and a half of the coinage of the king." Elliot, I. 3. Al Masūdī (Prairies D'Or, I. 262) calls these "Tārtariyyah" dirhams, giving them the same weight as that given by *Salsimān* to the *Tārtariyah* dirhams. Ibn Hānkal calls it the *Tārtir* dirham and makes its weight equal to "a dirham and a third" (Elliot, I. 83).

Appendix V.

ARAB
REFERENCES,
A.D. 801-1330,
Chief Towns.

Nārāna.

Ma'ndal Ibnī Khurādādhah (A.D. 912) enumerates Māndal (in Viraṅgām) with Rāmā,¹ Kullī, and Bārūh as counties of Sindh. During the Khilafat of Hishām the son of Abdal Malik (A.D. 724-743) Junaid son of Abdur Rahman-al-Murri was appointed to the frontier of Sindh. According to Al Bilāduri (A.D. 892) Junaid sent his officers to Māndal,² Dahmāj perhaps Kamlej, and Bāhrās (Branch).

Na'ra'na. In his *Indica* Al Biruni (A.D. 970-1031) notices Nārāna near Jaipur as the ancient capital of Gujaraṭ. He says that its correct name is Baxānah but that "it is known to our people (the Arabs) as Nārāna." He places it eighty miles (20 *farsakhs*) south-west of Kasmūj, and adds that when it was destroyed the inhabitants removed to and founded another city.³ Abū Rihās makes Nārāna the starting point of three itineraries to the south the south-west and the west. Al Biruni's details suffice to place this centre in the neighbourhood of the modern Jaipur and to identify it with Nārāyan the capital of Bairaṭ of Matsya which according to Farihtah⁴ Mahmūd of Ghazni took in A.D. 1022 (H. 412).

Rānder.

Rānder (RĀHANJIE OR RAHANIE'S). Al Biruni (A.D. 1031) gives⁵ Rāhanjūr and Bāhrāj (Branch) as the capitals of Lar Dosh or south Gujaraṭ. Elliot (Note 3. I. 61) writes the word Dahanhūr or Dahanhūr but the reading given by Sachau in his Arabic text of Al Biruni (page 100 chapter 15) is plainly Rahanjūr (رحانجور) and the place intended is without doubt Rānder on the right bank of the Tāpi opposite Surat. In his list of Indian towns Al Idrisi (end of the eleventh century) seems to refer⁶ to it under the forms Jandūr and Sandūr.

Sanja'n.

Sanja'n (SINDĀN). The two Sanjāna, one in Kachh the other in Thāna, complicate the references to Sindān. Sindān in Kachh was one of the earliest gains of Islam in India. Al-Bilāduri⁷ (A.D. 892) speaks of Faal, the son of Māhān, in the reign of the greatest of the Abbāsi Khalifās Al-Māmūn (A.D. 813-833), taking Sindān and sending Al Māmūn the rare present of "an elephant and the longest and largest *saḡ* or turban or teak spar ever seen." Faal built an assembly mosque that was spared by the Hindus on their recapture of the town. Ibnī Khurādādhah (A.D. 912) includes this Kachh Sindān with Branch and other places in Gujaraṭ among the cities of Sindh. In his itinerary starting from Bakkar, he places Sindān seventy-two miles⁸ (18 *farsakhs*) from Kol. Al Masudi (A.D. 915-944) states that Indian emeralds from (the Kachh) Sindān and the neighbourhood of Kambāyat (Cambay) approached those of the first water in the intensity of their green and in brilliance. As they found a market in Maktah they were called Maktah emeralds.⁹ Al Istakhri (A.D. 951) under cities of Hind places the Konkun Sindān five days from Surabāyq (Surabāra or Surat) and as many from Saimēr.¹⁰

¹ Kamlah is rumas salt land. There is a Rām near Korr about sixty miles south-east of Multān. Al Idrisi (A.D. 1135) has a Rāmāth three days from Kābāta the salt range. Elliot, I. 92.

² Probably Oghamāndal. See Appendix vol. I. page 390 Elliot's History of India.

³ Sachau's Arabic Text of Al Biruni's Indica, 99.

⁴ Persian Text Bombay Edition of 1832, I. 58.

⁵ Sachau's Arabic Text of Al Biruni, 100.

⁶ Elliot's History of India, I. 54.

⁷ Al Bilāduri in Elliot (History of India), I. 122. The word *saḡ* in the Arabic text means besides a teak-spar (which seems to be an improbable present to be sent to a Khalifāh), a large black or green turban or cash.

⁸ Ibnī Khurādādhah in Elliot (History of India), I. 14 and 15.

⁹ De Meynard's Arabic Text of Ibn Fāris al-Dīnawarī, III. 47-48.

¹⁰ Al Istakhri in Elliot (History of India), I. 77 and 80.

(Cheval). Ibnī Haukal (A.D. 968) mentions (the Kachh) Sindān among the cities of Hind, which have a large Musalmān population and a Jāma Masjid¹ or assembly mosque. Al Birūnī (A.D. 970-1031)² in his itinerary from Debal in Sindh places the Kokan 200 miles (50 *farasakhs*) from that port and between Broach and Supāra. At the end of the eleventh century probably the Kachh Sindān was a large commercial town rich both in exports and imports with an intelligent and warlike, industrious, and rich population. Al Idrisi gives the situation of the Konkani Sindān as a mile and a half from the sea and five days from Saimūr (Cheval).³ Apparently Abul Fida⁴ (A.D. 1324) confused Sindān with Sindābūr or Gon which Ibnī Batūta (A.D. 1340) rightly describes as an island.⁵

Sinda bu'r or Sinda pu'r. Al Masūdī (A.D. 943) places *Sindapūr* he writes it *Sindabūra* or Gon in the country of the Baghara (Balthara) in India.⁶ Al Birūnī (A.D. 1021) places *Sindapūr* or *Sindabūr* that is Gon as the first of coast towns in Malabār the next being Fāknūr.⁷ Al Idrisi (end of the eleventh century) describes *Sindabūr* as a commercial town with fine buildings and rich bazars in a great gulf where ships cast anchor, four days along the coast⁸ from Thāna.

Somna'th. Al Birūnī (A.D. 970-1031) is the first of the Arab writers to notice Somnāth. He calls Somnāth and Kachh the capital of the Bawārij pirates who commit their depredations in boats called *baira*.⁹ He places Somnāth (14 *farasakhs*) fifty-six miles from Debal or Karachi 200 miles (50 *farasakhs*) from Anhilwār and 180 miles (60 *yojanas*) from Broach. He notes that the river Sarsūt falls into the sea an arrow-shot from the town. He speaks of Somnāth as an important place of Hindu worship and as a centre of pilgrimage from all parts of India. He tells of votaries and pilgrims performing the last stage of their journey crawling on their sides or on their ankles, never touching the sacred ground with the soles of their feet, even progressing on their heads.¹⁰ Al Birūnī gives¹¹ the legendary origin of the Somnāth idol: how the moon loved the daughters of Prajāpati; how his surpassing love for one of them the fair Rohini kindled the jealousy of her slighted sisters; how their angry sire punished the partiality of the moon by pronouncing a curse which caused the paller of leprosy to overspread his face; how the penitent moon sued for forgiveness to the saint and how the saint unable to recall his curse showed him the way of salvation by the worship of the *Līlāgam*; how he set up and called the Moon-Lord a stone which¹² for ages had lain on the sea shore less than three miles to the west of the mouth of the Sarasvati, and to the east of the site of the golden castle of *Bādrī* (Veraval) the residence of Bāsudev and near the scene of his death and of the destruction of his people the Yālavas. The waxing and the waning of the moon caused the flood that hid the *Līlāgam* and the ebb that showed it and proved that the Moon was its servant who bathed it regularly. Al Birūnī notices¹³ that in his time the castellated walls and other fortifications round the temple were not more than a hundred

Appendix V.

ARAB
REFERENCES,
A.D. 961-1360.

Port or
Coast Towns,
Sindabūr or
Sindapūr.

Somnāth.

¹ Ibnī Haukal in Elliot (History of India), I, 34 and 38.

² Al Birūnī in Elliot, I, 66.

³ Al Idrisi in Elliot, I, 77-85.

⁴ Sir Henry Elliot's History of India, I, 403 Appendix.

⁵ Ibnī Batūta, 103.

⁶ Al Masūdī in Elliot (History of India), I, 21.

⁷ Rashid-ud-dīn from Al Birūnī in Elliot, I, 68.

⁸ Al Idrisi in Elliot, I, 89.

⁹ Sir Henry Elliot's History of India, I, 65; Sachau's Arabic Text of Al Birūnī, 102.

¹⁰ Elliot's History of India, I, 67.

¹¹ Sachau's Text of Al Birūnī, 253.

¹² Sachau's Arabic Text, 253.

¹³ Sachau's Arabic Text, 253 chapter 58.

Appendix V.

ARAB
REFERENCES.
A.D. 801-1350.
Port of
Cass Town,
Somnāth.

years old. Al Birūnī represents the upper part of the *Liṅgam* as hung with massive and bejewelled gold chains. These chains together with the upper half of the idol were, he observes, carried away by the Emir¹ Mahmūd to Ghazna, where a part of the idol was used to form one of the steps of the Assembly Mosque and the other part was left to lie with *Chakra Swastika*, the Thāmasar idol, in the *maida* or hippodrome of Mahmūd's capital. Somnāth, says Al Birūnī,² was the greatest of the *Liṅgams* worshipped in India where in the countries to the south-west of Sindh the worship of these emblems abounds. A jar of Ganges water and a basket of Kashmir flowers were brought daily to Somnāth. Its worshippers believed the stone to possess the power of curing all diseases, and the mariners and the wanderers over the deep between Soffala and China addressed their prayers to it as their patron deity.³ Ibnī Asir⁴ (A.D. 1121) gives a detailed account of the temple of Somnāth and its ancient grandeur. He says Somnāth was the greatest of all the idols of Hind. Pilgrims by the hundred thousand met at the temple especially at the time of eclipses and believed that the ebb and flow of the tide was the homage paid by the sea to the god. Everything of the most precious was brought to Somnāth and the temple was endowed with more than 10,000 villages. Jewels of incalculable value were stored in the temple and to wash the idol water from the sacred stream of the Ganga was brought every day over a distance of two hundred *farsangs* (1200 miles). A thousand Brahmans were on duty every day in the temple, three hundred and fifty singers and dancers performed before the image, and three hundred barbers shaved the pilgrims who intended to pay their devotions at the shrine. Every one of these servants had a settled allowance. The temple of Somnāth was built upon fifty pillars of teakwood covered with lead. The idol, which did not appear to be sculptured,⁵ stood three cubits out of the ground and had a girth of three cubits. The idol was by itself in a dark chamber lighted by most exquisitely jewelled chandeliers. Near the idol was a chain of gold to which bells were hung weighing 200 *manas*. The chain was shaken at certain intervals during the night that the bells might rouse fresh parties of worshipping Brahmans. The treasury containing many gold and silver idols, with doors hung with curtains set with valuable jewels, was near the chamber of the idol. The worth of what was found in the temple exceeded two millions of *dinārs* (Rs. 1,00,00,000). According to Ibnī Asir Mahmūd reached Somnāth on a Thursday in the middle of *Zilḥajja* H. 414 (A.D. December 1023). On the approach of Mahmūd Bḥim the ruler of Anhilvād fled abandoning his capital and took refuge in a fort to prepare for war. From Anhilvād Mahmūd started for Somnāth taking several forts with images which, Ibnī Asir says, were the heralds

¹ It appears that at the time of his expedition to Somnāth Mahmūd had not adopted the title of Sultan.

² Sachau's Arabic Text, 263 chapter 58.

³ Sachau's Text, 253 chapter 58.

⁴ The *Tārīkh-i-Rasūl*. Ibnī Asir (A.D. 1160-1232) is a voluminous and reliable historian. Ibnī Khallikān, the author of the famous biographical dictionary, knew and respected Asir always alluding to him as "our Sheikh." See Elliot, II. 245.

⁵ From the term "sculptured" it would seem the idol was of stone. It is curious how Ibnī Asir states a little further that a part of the idol was "burned by Mahmūd." See Elliot, II. 471. The *Tārīkh-i-Afḡān* says (Elliot, II. 471) that the idol was cut of solid stone. It however represents it as hollow and containing jewels, in repeating the somewhat hackneyed words of Mahmūd when breaking the idol regardless of the handsome offer of the Brahmans, and finding it full of jewels.

or chamberlains of Somnāth. Resuming his march he crossed a desert with little water. Here he was encountered by an army of 20,000 fighting men under chiefs who had determined not to submit to the invader. These forces were defeated and put to flight by a detachment sent against them by Mahmūd. Mahmūd himself marched to Dabulwārah a place said by Ibn al Asir to be two days journey from Somnāth. When he reached Somnāth Mahmūd beheld a strong fortress whose base was washed by the waves of the sea. The assault began on the next day Friday. During nearly two days of hard fighting the invaders seemed doomed to defeat. On the third the Musalmāns drove the Hindas from the town to the temple. A terrible carnage took place at the temple-gate. Those of the defenders that survived took themselves to the sea in boats but were overtaken and some slain and the rest drowned.¹

Sopāra (SUDARĪ, SUDARA, OR SUDARĪN).—The references to Sudārī are doubtful as some seem to belong to Sarabāra the Tāpti mouth and others to Sopāra six miles north of Bassain. The first Arab reference to Sudārī belongs to Sopāra. Al Masūdī's (A.C. 915)² reference is that in *Salma* (Cheval), Sudārī (Sopāra), and Thāna (Thāna) the people speak the Lāriyāh language, so called from the sea which washes the coast. On this coast Al Istakhrī (A.D. 951)³ refers to Sudārī that is apparently to Sarabāra or Surat a city of Hind, four days from Kambāyah (Cambay).⁴

Ibn al Haukal (A.D. 968-976) mentions⁵ *Sarabārah* apparently the Tāpti mouth or Surat as one of the cities of Hind four *farasakh*, correctly days, from Kambāyah and two miles (half *farasakh*) from the sea. From Sarabāra to Siadān, perhaps the Kachh Sanjān, he makes ten days. Al Bīrdūn (A.D. 970-1031) makes *Sudārī* perhaps the Thāna Sopāra six days' journey from *Debal*⁶ (perhaps Din). Al Idrīsī (A.D. 1100) mentions Sudārī apparently Sopāra as a town in the second climate, a mile and a half from the sea and five days (an excessive allowance) from Sindān. It was a populous busy town, one of the entrepôts of India and a pearl fishery. Near *Sudārī* he places Bāra, a small island with a growth of cactus and coconut trees.⁷

Sura'ba'ra. See **SOPARA**.

Thāna (TĀNA).—That Thāna was known to the Arabs in pre-Islām times is shown by one of the first Musalmān expeditions to the coast of India being directed against it. As early as the reign of the second Khalifah Umar Ibn al Khaṭṭab (A.D. 634-643; H. 13-23) mention is made⁸ of Umān, Umar's governor of Umān (the Persian Gulf) and Bahrein,

Appendix V.

ARAB
REFERENCES,
A.D. 801-1030,
Port or
Coast Towns.
Somnāth.

Sopāra.

Sarabāra,
Capital,
Thāna.

¹ The *Raṣat-us-Safa* (Lithogr. Edition, IV. 48) speaks of Mahmūd's project of making Somnāth his capital and not Anbilwāra as stated by Farihtah (I. 57, Original Persian Text). The *Raṣat-us-Safa* says that when Mahmūd had conquered Somnāth he wished to fix his residence there for some years as the country was very large and had a great many advantages including mines of pure gold and rubies brought from Sarandīb or Ceylon which he represents as a dependency of Gujaraṭ. At last he yielded to his minister's advice and agreed to return to Khurāsān.

² *Prairies D'Or* (DoMeynard's Arabic Text, I. 381; also Al Masūdī in Elliot (History of India, I. 24). ³ Al Istakhrī in Elliot (History of India, I. 27).

⁴ Al Istakhrī in Elliot (History of India, I. 30).

⁵ Ibn al Haukal in Elliot (History of India, I. 34, 39).

⁶ Thus in Sachau's Arabic Text page 102, but Elliot (I. 63) spells the word Sopāra in his translation. It might have assumed that form in coming from the Arabic through Rashid-us-Safī's Persian version from which Sir Henry Elliot derives his account.

⁷ Al Idrīsī in Elliot (History of India, I. 77 and 84).

⁸ Al Bīrdūn in Elliot, I. 116.

Appendix V.

ARAB
REFERENCES,
A.D. 801-1259.
Capitals,
Thāna.

Vāla or
Valabhi.

sending a successful expedition against Thāna. Al Maasūdī (A.D. 943) refers to Thāna on the shore of the Lārwiya or Indian Ocean, as one of the coast towns in which the Lārwi language is spoken.¹ Al Bīrdnī (A.D. 970-1031) gives² the distance from *Mahrut Desh* (the Marāṭha country) to the Konkan "with its capital Thāna on the sea-shore" as 100 miles (25 *farasakhs*) and locates the *Lār Desh* (south Gujarāt) capitals of Rāārj and Bahanjur (Borach and Rander) to the east of Thāna. He places Thāna with Sounāth Konkan and Kamliya in Gujarāt and notices that from Thāna the Lār country begins. Al Idriṣī (end of the eleventh century) describes³ Thāna as a pretty town upon a great gulf where vessels anchor and from where they set sail. He gives the distance from Sindāhar (or Gou) to Thāna as four days' sail. From the neighbourhood of Thāna he says the *kana* or bamboo and the *tabāshīr* or bamboo pith are transported to the east and west.⁴

Bara'da (PONDAR).—Of the Arab attacks on the great sea-port Vāla or Valabhi, twenty miles west of Bhāvnagar, during the eighth and ninth centuries details are given Above pages 24-26. The manner of writing the name of the city attacked leaves it doubtful whether Balaba that is Valabhi or Barada near Porbandar is meant. But the importance of the town destroyed and the agreement in dates with other accounts leaves little doubt that the reference is to Valabhi.⁵

In the fourth year of his reign about A.D. 758 the Khalīfah Ja'far-al-Mansūr⁶ (A.D. 754-775) the second ruler of the house of Abbās appointed Hishām governor of Sindh. Hishām despatched a fleet to the coast of Baradah, which may generally be read Balabha, under the command of Amru bin Jamāl Taghlabi. Tabari (A.D. 828-932) and Ibnī Asir (A.D. 1160-1232)⁷ state that another expedition was sent to this coast in A.D. 160 (A.D. 776) in which though the Arabs succeeded in taking the town, disease thinned the ranks of the party stationed to garrison the port, a thousand of them died, and the remaining troops while returning to their country were shipwrecked on the coast of Persia. This he adds deterred

¹ Bartier DeMeynard's Text of Maasūdī's Prairies D'Or, I. 330 and 381.

² Sachau's Arabic Text of Al Bīrdnī, chapters 18, 39, 302 and Elliot's History of India, I. 36-61, 66-67.

³ Al Idriṣī in Elliot, I. 59.

⁴ Al Idriṣī says the real *tabāshīr* is extracted from the root of the reed called *sharki*. *Sharī* is Gujarātī for reed. It is generally applied to the reeds growing on river banks used by the poor for thatching their cottages. *Tabāshīr* is a drug obtained from the pith of the bamboo and prescribed by Indian physicians as a cooling drink good for fever.

⁵ The name *Baradah* بارادہ in Arabic orthography bears a close resemblance to بارل Bardah, بارلہ Birlah, Barlah, all three being the forms or nearly the

forms in which the word والہ Walah or والی Wali would be written by an Arab, supposing the diacritical points to be, as they often are, omitted. Besides as Baradah the word has been read and miswritten نارند Nārānd or Rārānd and بارند Barānd or Barid. In the *alikaṭah* or broken hand Nārānd or Rārānd would closely resemble بارلہ Bārīlah or Bārīlah. Al Bīrdnī in Elliot's History of India I. 127, writes the word Nārānd or Rārānd. Sir Henry Elliot (History, I. 444) reads the word Barānd and would identify the place with the Barāta hills inland from Porbandar in south-west Kāthiāwāḍ. The objection to this is that the word used by the Arab writers was the name of a town as well as of a coast tract, while the name of Barāta is applied solely to a range of hills. On the other hand Balaba the coast and town meets all requirements.

⁶ Reigned A.D. 754-775.

⁷ Sir Henry Elliot's History of India, II. 246 and Frag. Arabes 3, 120, 212; Well's Geschichte der Chalifen, II. 115.

Al Mabdi¹ (A.D. 775-785) the succeeding Khalifah from extending the eastern limits of his empire. Besides against Balabq the Sindhi Arabs sent a fleet against Kandhār apparently, though somewhat doubtfully,² the town of that name to the north of Bruch where they destroyed a temple or *budd* and built a mosque. Al Biruni³ (A.D. 1030) writing of the Valabhi era describes the city of Balabāh 𑀧𑀺𑀭𑀸𑀓𑀲𑀺𑀭𑀺𑀓 as nearly thirty *jaukhas* (*gajanas*) that is ninety miles to the south of Anhilvāra. In another passage⁴ he describes how the Bāniā Rānka sued for and obtained the aid of an Arab fleet from the Arab lord of Mansūrah (built A.D. 750) for the destruction of Balaba. A land grant by a Valabhi chief remains as late as A.D. 766. For this reason and as the invaders of that expedition fled panic-struck by sickness Valabhi seems to have continued as a place of consequence if the expedition of A.D. 830 against Balā king of the east refers to the final attack on Valabhi an identification which is supported by a Jain authority which places the final overthrow of Valabhi at 868 Samvat that is A.D. 830.⁵

Of the rulers of Gujarāt between A.D. 850 and A.D. 1250 the only dynasty which impressed the Arabs was the Balhāras of Mālkhet or Mānyakheta (A.D. 630-972) sixty miles south-east of Sholāpūr. From about A.D. 736 to about A.D. 978, at first through a more or less independent local branch and afterwards (A.D. 914) direct the Rāshtrakūtas continued overlords of most of Gujarāt. The Arabs knew the Rāshtrakūtas by their title Vallabha or Beloved in the case of Govind III. (A.D. 809-814), Prithivīvallabha Beloved by the Earth, and of his successor the long beloved Amoghavarsha Vallabhaaskanda, the Beloved of Siva. Al Masūdi (A.D. 915-941) said: Bālārāi is a name which he who follows takes. So entirely did the Arabs believe in the overlordship of the Rāshtrakūtas in Gujarāt that Al Idrisi (A.D. 1100, but probably quoting Al Janhari A.D. 950) describes Nahrwalla as the capital of the Balarās. Until Dr. Bhāndārkar discovered its origin in Vallabha, the case with which meanings could be tortured out of the word and in Gujarāt its apparent connection with the Valabhi kings (A.D. 509-770) made the word Bālārāi a cause of matchless confusion.

The merchant Sulaimān (A.D. 851) ranks the Balhāra, the lord of Mānkir, as the fourth of the great rulers of the world. Every prince in India even in his own land paid him homage. He was the owner of many elephants and of great wealth. He refrained from wine and paid his troops and servants regularly. Their favour to Arabs was famous. Abu Zaid⁶ (A.D. 913) says that though the Indian kings acknowledge the supremacy of no one, yet the Balhāras or Rāshtrakūtas by virtue of the title Balhāra are kings of kings. Ibnī Khurdādhah (A.D. 912) describes the Balhāras as the greatest of Indian kings being as the name imports the king of kings. Al Masūdi (A.D. 915) described Balhāra as a dynastic name which he who followed took. Though he introduces two other potentates the king of Jura and the Baḥra or Parmār king of Kanauj fighting with each other and with the Balhāra he makes the Balhāra, the lord of the Mānkir or the great centre, the greatest king

Appendix V.

ARAB
REFERENCES.
A.D. 651-1350.
Capitals.
Valla or
Valabhi.

Kings.

¹ Sir Henry Elliot's History of India, I. 444.

² Sir Henry Elliot (History of India, I. 445) identifies Kandhār with Kandūār in north-west Kāthiawār.

³ Sachau's Original Text, 205.

⁴ Sachau's Original Text, 17-94.

⁵ Details Above in Dr. Bhagvanlāl's History, 96 note 3.

⁶ Elliot's History of India, I. 7.

Appendix V.

ARAB
REFERENCES,
A.D. 861-1350.
Kings.

of India¹ to whom the kings of India bow in their prayers and whose emissaries they honour. He notices that the Balhāra favours and honours Musalmāns and allows them to have mosques and assembly mosques. When Al Masūdī was in Canbay the town was ruled by Bāḡia, the deputy of the Balhāra. Al Istakhri (A.D. 951) describes the land from Kambāyah to Saimūr (Cheul)-as the land of the Balhāra of Mankir. In the Konkan were many Musalmāns over whom the Balhāra appointed no one but a Musalmān to rule. Ibnī Haukal (A.D. 970) describes the Balhāra as holding sway over a land in which are several Indian kings.² Al Idrisi (A.D. 1100) but quoting Al Jaahiri A.D. 930) agrees with Ibnī Khurdādhbih that Balhāra is a title meaning King of Kings. He says the title is hereditary in this country, where when a king ascends the throne he takes the name of his predecessor and transmits it to his heirs.³

Condition.

That the Arabs found the Rāshtrakūtas kind and liberal rulers there is ample evidence. In their territories property was secure,⁴ theft or robbery was unknown, commerce was encouraged, foreigners were treated with consideration and respect. The Arabs especially were honoured not only with a marked and delicate regard, but magistrates from among themselves were appointed to adjudicate their disputes according to the Musalmān law.

The
Gurjara.

The ruler next in importance to the Balhāra was the Jura that is the Gurjara king. It is remarkable, though natural, that the Arabs should preserve the true name of the rulers of Anulivāda which the three tribe or dynastic names Chāpa or Chaura (A.D. 720-956), Selaṅki or Cālukya (A.D. 951-1242), and Vāghela (A.D. 1240-1290) should so long have concealed. Sulaimān (A.D. 851) notices that the Jura king hated Musalmāns while the Balhāra king loved Musalmāns. He may not have known what excellent reasons the Gurjaras had for hating the Arab raiders from sea and from Sindh. Nor would it strike him that the main reason why the Balhāra fostered the Moslem was the hope of Arab help in his struggles with the Gurjaras.

Jura.

According to the merchant Sulaimān⁵ (A.D. 851) the kingdom next after the Balhāra's was that of Jura the Gurjara king whose territories "consisted of a tongue of land." The king of Jura maintained a large force: his cavalry was the best in India. He was unfriendly to the Arabs. His territories were very rich and abounded in horses and camels. In his realms exchanges were carried on in silver and gold dust of which metals mines were said to be worked.

The king of Jura was at war with the Balhāras as well as with the neighbouring kingdom of Tāfak or the Panjāb. The details given under Bhīmāl page 468 show that Sulaimān's tongue of land, by which he apparently meant either Kāthiāwār or Gujarāt was an imperfect idea of the extent of Gurjara rule. At the beginning of the tenth century, A.D. 916 Sulaimān's editor Abu Zaid describes Kanauj as a large country

¹ Elliot's History of India, I, 22, 24, 25.

² Elliot's History of India, I, 34.

³ Elliot's History of India, I, 80.

⁴ Al Masūdī Les Prairies D'Or, II, chapter 16 page 55.

⁵ Giving an account of the diviners and jugglers of India Abu Zaid says: These observations are especially applicable to Kanauj, a large country forming the empire of Jura. Abu Zaid in Elliot's History of India, I, 10. References given in the History of Bhīmāl show that the Gurjara power spread not only to Kanauj but to Bengal.

forming the empire of Juzr,¹ a description which the Gurjara Vatsarāja's success in Bengal about a century before shows not to be impossible. Ibn Khurdādhbih (A.D. 912) ranks the king of Juzr as fourth in importance among Indian kings. According to him "the Tātariya dirhams were in use in the Juzr kingdom." Al Mas'ūdī (A.D. 943) speaks of the Konkān country of the Balhāra as on our side exposed to the attacks of the king of Juzr a monarch rich in men horses and camels. He speaks of the Juzr kingdom bordering on Tāfān apparently the Panjab and Tāfān as bounded by Rahma² apparently Burma and Samātra. Ibn Hāskal (A.D. 968-976) notices that several kingdoms existed, including the domain of the Silshāra of the north Konkān within the land of the Balhāra between Kamāyāh and Salmā.³ Al Bīrūnī (A.D. 970-1031) uses not Juzr, but Gujarāt.⁴ Beyond that is to the south of Gujarāt he places Konkān and Tams. In Al Bīrūnī's time Nārāyan near Jaipur, the former capital of Gujarāt, had been taken and the inhabitants removed to a town on the frontier.⁵ Al Idrīsī (end of the eleventh century really, from tenth century materials) ranks the king of Juzr as the fourth and the king of Sāfān or Tāfān as the second in greatness to the Balhāra.⁶ In another passage in a list of titular sovereigns Al Idrīsī enters the names of Sāfir (Tāfān) Hazr (Juzr-Juzr) and Dūmī (Rahmī).⁷ By the side of Juzr was Tāfak (doubtfully the Panjab) a small state producing the whitest and most beautiful women in India; the king having few soldiers; living at peace with his neighbours and like the Balhāras highly esteeming the Arabs.⁸ Ibn Khurdādhbih (A.D. 912) calls Tāfān the king next in eminence to the Balhāra.⁹ Al Mas'ūdī (A.D. 943) calls Tāfak the ruler of a mountainous country like Kashmir¹⁰ with small forces living on friendly terms with neighbouring sovereigns and well disposed to the Moslems.¹¹ Al Idrīsī (end of eleventh century but materials of the tenth century) notices Sāfān (Tāfān) as the principality that ranks next to the Konkān that is to the Rāshtrakūtas.

Rahma or Rahmī. according to the merchant Sulaimān (A.D. 851) borders the land of the Balhāras, the Juzr, and Tāfān. The king who was not much respected was at war with both the Juzr and the Balhāra. He had the most numerous army in India and a following of 50,000 elephants when he took the field. Sulaimān notices a cotton fabric made in Rahma so delicate that a dress of it could pass through a signet-ring. The medium of exchange was *copper* Cyprian moneta shell money. The country produced gold silver and aloes and the whisk of the *sumatra* or *yak* Bos poëphagus the bushy-tailed ox. Ibn Khurdādhbih¹² (A.D. 912) places Rahmī as the sixth kingdom. He apparently identified it with Al Rahmī or north-Samātra as he notes that between it and the other kingdoms communication is kept up by ships. He notices that the ruler had five thousand elephants and that cotton cloth and aloes probably the well-known Kumāri

Appendix V.

ARAB
REFERENCES,
A.D. 851-1330
Kings.
Juzr.

Rahma or
Rahmī.

¹ Ibn Khurdādhbih in Elliot's History of India, I. 13.

² Al Mas'ūdī in Elliot (History of India), I. 25.

³ Ibn Hāskal in Elliot (History of India), I. 34.

⁴ Al Bīrūnī in Elliot (History of India), I. 67.

⁵ Al Bīrūnī in Elliot (History of India), I. 59.

⁶ Al Idrīsī in Elliot (History of India), I. 74.

⁷ Al Idrīsī in Elliot (History of India), I. 86.

⁸ The merchant Sulaimān (851 A.D.) in Elliot's History of India, I. 5.

⁹ Ibn Khurdādhbih in Elliot (History of India), I. 13.

¹⁰ Al Mas'ūdī in Elliot (History of India), I. 23.

¹¹ Al Mas'ūdī in Elliot (History of India), I. 20.

¹² Ibn Khurdādhbih in Elliot's History of India, I. 14.

Appendix V.

ARAB
REFERENCES,
A.D. 851-1350.
Kings.
Rahma or
Rahmi.

or Cambodian aloes, were the staple produce. Al Mas'ûdi (A.D. 943) after stating that former accounts of Rahma's¹ elephants troops and horses were probably exaggerated, adds that the kingdom of Rahma extends both along the sea and the continent and that it is bounded by an inland state called Kâman (probably Kâmarup that is Assam). He describes the inhabitants as fair and handsome and notices that both men and women had their ears pierced. This description of the people still more the extension of the country both along the sea and along the continent suggests that Mas'ûdi's Al Rahmi is a combination of Burma which by dropping the B he has mixed with Al Rahma. Lane identifies Rahmi² with Samâra on the authority of an Account of India and China by two Muhammedan Travellers of the Ninth Century. This identification is supported by Al Mas'ûdi's³ mention of Râmi as one of the islands of the Java group, the kingdom of the Indian Mîhrâj. The absence of reference to Bengal in these accounts agrees with the view that during the ninth century Bengal was under Tibet.

Products.

In the middle of the ninth century mines of gold and silver are said to be worked in Gujârât.⁴ Abu Zaid (A.D. 916) represents pearls as in great demand. The Târtâriyah, or according to Al Mas'ûdi the Tâhîriyah *dinars* of Sindh, fluctuating⁵ in price from one and a half to three and a fraction of the Baghdâd *dinars*, were the current coin in the Gujârât ports. Emeralds also were imported from Egypt mounted as seals.⁶

Ibnî Khurâdbeh⁷ (A.D. 912) mentions teakwood and the bamboo as products of Sindân that is the Konkan Sanjan.⁸ Al Mas'ûdi (A.D. 943) notes that at the great fair of Multân the people of Sindh and Hind offered Kumar that is Cambodian aloe-wood of the purest quality worth twenty *dinars* a mon.⁹ Among other articles of trade he mentions an inferior emerald exported from Cambay and Saimûr to Makkah,¹⁰ the lance shafts of Breach,¹¹ the shoes of Cambay,¹² and the white and handsome maidens of Tâfan,¹³ who were in great demand in Arab countries. Ibnî Haskal (A.D. 968-976) states that the country comprising Fâmah, Sindân, Saimûr, and Kambarah produced mangoes coconuts lemons and rice in abundance. That honey could be had in great quantities, but no date palms were to be found.¹⁴

Al Bîrûnî (A.D. 1031) notices that its import of horses from Mekran and the islands of the Persian Gulf was a leading portion of Cambay trade.¹⁵ According to Al Idrîsî (A.D. 1100) the people of Mâmbar¹⁶ (Anhilwâra) had many horses and camels.¹⁷ One of the peculiarities of

¹ Al Mas'ûdi in History of India by Sir Henry Elliot, I, 25.

² Lane's Notes on his Translation of the Alf Lailah, III, 80.

³ Al Mas'ûdi's Mardj (Arabic Text Cairo Edition, I, 221).

⁴ The merchant Sulaiman (Elliot's History of India), I, 4 and 5.

⁵ See page 519 note 8.

⁶ Sir Henry Elliot's History of India, I, 11.

⁷ Ibnî Khurâdbeh in Elliot's History of India, I, 14.

⁸ Ibnî Khurâdbeh in Elliot's History of India, I, 15.

⁹ Al Mas'ûdi (Elliot's History of India), I, 50.

¹⁰ Barbier De Meynard's Arabic Text of Les Prairies D'Or, III, 47-48.

¹¹ Barbier De Meynard's Arabic Text of Les Prairies D'Or, I, 232.

¹² Barbier De Meynard's Arabic Text of Les Prairies D'Or, I, 233.

¹³ Barbier De Meynard's Arabic Text of Les Prairies D'Or, I, 234.

¹⁴ Ibnî Haskal (Ashkâl-ul-Bilâd) and Elliot's History of India, I, 50.

¹⁵ Elliot's History of India, III, 23.

¹⁶ Mâmbar is by some numbered among the cities of India. Al Idrîsî in Elliot, I, 74.

¹⁷ Al Idrîsî in Elliot, I, 79.

the Nahrwāla country was that all journeys were made and all merchandise was carried in bullock waggons. Kambāyah was rich in wheat and rice and its mountains yielded the Indian *Assā* or bamboo. At Suhāra¹ (Sopara) they fished for pearls and Bāra a small island close to Suhāra produced the coconut and the coconuts. Sindān according to Al Idrisi produced the cocon palm, the ratan, and the bagboo. Saimūr had many cocon palms, much berrua (*Lawsonia inermis*), and a number of aromatic plants.² The hills of Thāna yielded the bamboo and *tabashīr*³ or bamboo girth. From Saimūr according to Al Kaawini (A.D. 1236, but from tenth century materials) came aloes. Rashid-ud-din (A.D. 1310) states that in Kambāyah, Sumnāth, Kankan, and Tāna the vines yield twice a year and such is the strength of the soil that cotton-plants grow like willow or plane trees and yield produce for ten years.⁴ He refers to the betel leaf, to which he and other Arab writers and physicians ascribe strange virtues as the produce of the whole country of Malabar. The exports from the Gujarāt coasts are said to be sugar (the staple product of Mālwa), *bafrid* that is betour, and *hazli* that is turmeric.⁵

According to Ibnī Haakal (A.D. 170) from Kambāya to Saimūr the villages lay close to one another and much land was under cultivation.⁶ At the end⁷ of the eleventh century trade was brisk merchandise from every country finding its way to the ports of Gujarāt whose local products were in turn exported all over the east.⁸ The Rāshtrakūja dominion was vast, well-peopled, commercial, and fertile.⁹ The people lived mostly on a vegetable diet, rice peas-beans haricots and lentils being their daily food.¹⁰ Al Idrisi speaks of certain Hindus eating animals whose deaths had been caused by falls or by being gored,¹¹ but Al Mas'udi states that the higher classes who wore the "balhām like yellow thread" (the Juncū) abstained from flesh. According to Ibnī Haakal (A.D. 968-970) the ordinary dress of the kings of Hind was trousers and a tunic.¹² He also notices that between Kambāyah and Saimūr the Muslims and infidels wear the same cool fine muslin dress and let their beards grow in the same fashion.¹³ During the tenth century on high days the Balhām wore a crown of gold and a dress of rich stuff. The attendant women were richly clad, wearing rings of gold and silver upon their feet and hands and having their hair in curls.¹⁴ At the close of the Hindu period (A.D. 1300) Rashid-ud-din describes Gujarāt as a flourishing country with no less than 80,000 villages and hamlets the people happy the soil rich growing in the four seasons seventy varieties of flowers. Two harvests repaid the husbandman the earlier crop refreshed by the dew of the cold season the late crop enriched by a certain rainfall.¹⁵

In their intercourse with Western India nothing struck the Arabs more than the toleration shown to their religion both by chief and people.

Appendix V.

ARAB
REFERENCES,
A.D. 801-1330.
Products.

Review.

¹ Al Idrisi in Elliot, I. 85.² Al Idrisi in Elliot's History of India, I. 85.³ Al Idrisi in Elliot's History of India, I. 85.⁴ Rashid-ud-din in Elliot's History of India, I. 67-68.⁵ Ibnī Haakal (A.D. 968) in Elliot, I. 29.⁶ Al Idrisi (A.D. 968) in Elliot, I. 84 and 87.⁷ Al Idrisi speaking of Cambay in Elliot's History of India, I. 84.⁸ Al Idrisi in Elliot, I. 85.⁹ Al Idrisi in Elliot, I. 85.¹⁰ Al Mas'udi in Elliot's History of India, I. 9.¹¹ Ibnī Haakal in Elliot, I. 35.¹² Ibnī Haakal in Elliot, I. 39.¹³ Al Idrisi in Elliot's History of India, I. 85.¹⁴ Rashid-ud-din (A.D. 1310) in Elliot's History of India, I. 67. The passage seems to be a quotation from Al Bīrūnī (A.D. 1031).

Appendix V.

ARAB
REFERENCES,
A.D. 651-1550.
Review.

This was specially marked in the Rāshtrakūṭa towns where besides five use of mosques and Jaina mosques Musalmān magistrates or *dāris* were appointed to settle disputes among Musalmāns according to their own laws.¹ Toleration was not peculiar to the Balhāra. Al Birūnī records² that in the ninth century (A.D. 951), when the Hindus recovered Sindān (Sanjān in Kutch) they spared the assembly mosque where long after the Faithful congregated on Fridays praying for their Khalīfah without hindrance. In the Balhāra country so strongly did the people believe in the power of Islam or which is perhaps more likely so courteous were they that they said that our king enjoys a long life and long reign is solely due to the favour shown by him to the Musalmāns. So far as the merchant Sulaimān saw in the sixth century the chief religion in Gujarāt was Boddhism. He notices that the principles of the religion of China were brought from India and that the Chinese ascribe to the Indians the introduction of Boddhas into their country. Of religious beliefs metempsychoses or re-birth and of religious practices widow-burning or *satti* and self-torture seem to have struck him most.³ As a rule the dead were burned.⁴ Sulaimān represents the people of Gujarāt as steady abstemious and sober abstaining from wine as well as from vinegar, 'not' he adds 'from religious motives but from their disdain of it.' Among their sovereigns the desire of conquest was seldom the cause of war.⁵ Abu Zaid (A.D. 916) describes the Brāhmins as Hindus devoted to religion and science. Among Brāhmins were poets who lived at kings' courts, astronomers, philosophers, diviners, and drawers of omens from the flight of crows.⁶ He adds: So arm are the people that after death they shall return to life upon the earth, that when a person grows old "he begs some one of his family to throw him into the fire or to drown him."⁷ In Abu Zaid's time (A.D. 916) the Hindus did not seclude their women. Even the wives of the kings used to mix freely with men and attend courts and places of public resort unveiled.⁸ According to Ibnī Khurdādhah (A.D. 912) India has forty-two religious sects "part of whom believe in God and his Prophet (on whom be peace) and part who deny his mission."⁹ Ibnī Khurdādhah (A.D. 912) describes the Hindus as divided into seven classes. Of these the first are Thākuriās¹⁰ or Thākurs men of high caste from whom kings are chosen and to whom men of the other classes render homage; the second are the Barāhmins¹¹ who abstain from wine and fermented liquors; the third are the Katarīya or Kapatris who drink not more than three cups of wine; the fourth are the Sudarī or Shudras husbandmen by profession; the fifth are the Baisura or Vaish artificers and domestics; the sixth Sandaliya or Chāndala menials; and the seventh the 'Lahūd,' whose women adorn themselves and whose men are fond of amusements and games of skill. Both among the people and the kings of Gujarāt¹² wine

¹ Ibnī Haukal in Elliot's History of India, I. 34-35, also Al Naswini, I. 97.

² Sir Henry Elliot's History of India, I. 29.

³ The merchant Sulaimān in Elliot's History of India, I. 7.

⁴ The merchant Sulaimān in Elliot's History of India, I. 6.

⁵ The merchant Sulaimān in Elliot's History of India, I. 7.

⁶ Abu Zaid in Elliot's History of India, I. 10.

⁷ Abu Zaid in Elliot's History of India, I. 9-10.

⁸ Abu Zaid in Elliot's History of India, I. 11.

⁹ Ibnī Khurdādhah in Elliot, I. 37.

¹⁰ See Elliot, I. 76, where Al Birūnī calls the first class 'Sātoris' the word being a transliteration of the Arabic Thākuriyah or Thākurs.

¹¹ The Arabic plural of the word Barāhman.

¹² Ibnī Khurdādhah in Elliot's History of India, I. 13-17.

Appendix V.

ARAB
REFERENCES.
A.D. 751-1332

Revised.

was "unlawful and lawful" that is it was not used though no religious rule forbade its use. According to Al Mas'udi (A.D. 943) a general opinion prevailed that India was the earliest home of order and wisdom. The Indians chose as their king the great Brâhman who ruled them for 356 years. His descendants retain the name of Brâhman and are honoured as the most illustrious caste. They abstain from the flesh of animals.¹ Hindu kings cannot succeed before the age of forty nor do they appear in public except on certain occasions for the conduct of state affairs. Royalty and all the high offices of state² are limited to the descendants of one family. The Hindus strongly disapprove of the use of wine both in themselves and in others not from any religious objection but on account of its intoxicating and reason-clouding qualities.³ Al Bîrûnî (A.D. 970-1031) quoted by Rashîd-ad-dîn (A.D. 1316) states that the people of Gujarât are idolaters and notices the great penance-pilgrimages to Somnâth details of which have already been given.⁴ Al Idrisî (end of the eleventh century) closely follows Ibnî Khurdâdhbah's (A.D. 912) division of the people of India. The chief exception is that he represents⁵ the second class, the Brâhman, as wearing the skins of tigers and going about staff in hand collecting crowds and from morn till eve proclaiming to their hearers the glory and power of God. He makes out that the Kastûriâs or Kâshatriyas are able to drink three *ratl* (a *ratl* being one pound troy) of wine and are allowed to marry Brâhman women. The Sâbdaliya or Chandal women, he says, are noted for beauty. Of the forty-two sects he enumerates worshippers of trees and adorers of serpents, which they keep in stables and feed as well as they can, deeming it to be a meritorious work. He says that the inhabitants of Kamhâya are Buddhists (idolaters)⁶ and that the Balhâra also worship the idol Budhîm.⁷ The Indians, says Al Idrisî⁸ (end of the eleventh century) are naturally inclined to justice and in their actions never depart from it. Their reputation for good faith, honesty, and fidelity to their engagements brings strangers flocking to their country and aids its prosperity. In illustration of the peaceable disposition of the Hindus, he quotes the ancient practice of *dehâs* or conjuring in the name of the king, a rite which is still in vogue in some native states. When a man has a rightful claim he draws a circle on the ground and asks his debtor to step into the circle in the name of the king. The debtor never fails to step in nor does he ever leave the circle without paying his debt.⁹ Al Idrisî describes the people of Nahrwârâ as having so high a respect for oxen that when an ox dies they bury it. "When enfeebled by age or if unable to work they provide their oxen with food without exacting any return."¹⁰

¹ Text Les Peuples D'Or, I. 149-151 and Elliot's History of India, I. 19.

² Arabic Text Les Peuples D'Or, I. 149-151, and Elliot's History of India, I. 20.

³ Al Mas'ûdî's Peuples D'Or, I. 159, and Elliot's History of India, I. 29.

⁴ Rashîd-ad-dîn from Al Bîrûnî in Elliot's History of India, I. 67-68.

⁵ Al Idrisî in Elliot (History of India), I. 76.

⁶ Al Idrisî in Elliot (History of India), I. 83.

⁷ Al Idrisî in Elliot (History of India), I. 87.

⁸ Sir Henry Elliot's History of India, I. 88.

⁹ Al Idrisî in Elliot (History of India), I. 88.

APPENDIX VI.

WESTERN INDIA AS KNOWN TO THE GREEKS
AND ROMANS.

Appendix VI.

EARLY GREEKS
AND ROMANS.*Ktésias.*

Herodotos and Hekataios, the earliest Greek writers who make mention of India, give no information in regard to Western India in particular.

Ktésias (c. 400 B.C.) learnt in Persia that a race of Pygmies lived in India in the neighbourhood of the silver mines, which Lassen places near Udaipur (Mewar). From the description of these Pygmies (*Phétiæ*, *Bibl. LXXII. 11-12*) it is evident that they represent the Bhila. *Ktésias* also mentions (*Phétiæ*, *Bibl. LXXII. 8*) that there is a place in an uninhabited region fifteen days from Mount Sairous, where they venerate the sun and moon and where for thirty-five days in each year the sun remits his heat for the comfort of his worshippers. This place must apparently have been somewhere in Marwar, and perhaps Mount Abu is the place referred to.

Alexander.

Alexander (B.C. 326-25) did not reach Gujarât, and his companions have nothing to tell of this part of the country. It is otherwise with

Megasthenes.

Megasthenes (c. 300 B.C.) who resided with Chandragupta as the ambassador of Seleukos Nikator and wrote an account of India in four books, of which considerable fragments are preserved, chiefly by Strabo, Pliny, and Arrian. His general account of the manners of the Indians relates chiefly to those of northern India, of whom he had personal knowledge. But he also gave a geographical description of India, for Arrian informs us (*Ind. VII*) that he gave the total number of Indian tribes as 118, and Pliny (*VI. 17f*) does in fact enumerate about 90, to whom may be added some seven or eight more mentioned by Arrian. It is true that Pliny does not distinctly state that he takes his geographical details from *Megasthenes*, and that he quotes Seneca as having written a book on India. But Seneca also (*Pliny, VI. 17*) gave the number of the tribes as 118 in which he must have followed *Megasthenes*. Further, Pliny says (*ibid*) that accounts of the military forces of each nation were given by writers such as *Megasthenes* and *Dionysius* who stayed with Indian kings; and as he does not mention *Dionysius* in his list of authorities for his Book VI., it follows that it was from *Megasthenes* that he drew his accounts of the forces of the Gangaridæ, Modogalingæ, Andaræ, Prasæ, Megallæ, Asmagi, Oratæ, Saucataratæ, Automala, Charrmæ, and Pandæ (*VI. 19*), names which, as will be shown below, betray a knowledge of all parts of India. It is a fair inference that the remaining names mentioned by Pliny were taken by him from *Megasthenes*, perhaps through the medium of Seneca's work. The corruption of Pliny's text

and the fact that Megasthenes learnt the tribal names in their Prakrit forms, make it extremely difficult to identify many of the races referred to.

That part of Pliny's account of India which may with some certainty be traced back to Megasthenes begins with a statement of the stages of the royal road from the Hypasis (Bhis) to Palibothra (Patna) (Nat. Hist. VI. 17). The next chapter gives an account of the Ganges and its tributaries and mentions the Gangaridæ of Kalinga with their capital Patalis as the most distant nation on its banks. In the 19th chapter, after an account of the forces of the Gangaridæ, Pliny gives a list of thirteen tribes, of which the only ones that can be said to be satisfactorily identified are Modogalinga (the three Calingæ: Caldwell Dray. Gr.), Molindis (compare Mount Mālindya of Varāha Mihira Br. S. XIV.), and Thalintæ (McCrindle reads Talintæ and identifies with the Tāmraliptakæ of Tānjuk on the lower Ganges). He next mentions the Andaræ (Andhivæ of Telingana) with thirty cities 100,000 foot 2000 horse and 1000 elephants. He then digresses to speak of the Dardæ (Dards of the Upper Indus) as rich in gold and the Sæmæ (of Mōwâr, Lassen) in silver, and next introduces the Prasi (Prāyās) of Palibothra (Pātaliputra) as the most famous and powerful of all the tribes, having 600,000 foot 30,000 horse and 8000 elephants. Inland from these he names the Monades (Munda of Singhbhum) and Suari (Savarus of Central India) among whom is Mount Malens (Mahendra Mā.?). Then after some account of the Iomanes (Yamunæ) running between Methora (Mathurā) and Chrysobora (McCrindle reads Carisobora. Arrian Ind. VIII. Kleisobora = Krishnapura?) he turns to the Indus, of some of whose nineteen tributaries he gives some account in chapter 20. He then digresses to give an account of the coast of India, starting from the mouth of the Ganges, whence to Point Calington (Point Godāvari) and the city of Dandagada (Cunningham's Raja Mahendri, but more probably the Dharmakataka or Dhenukataka of the Western cave inscriptions) he reckons 625 miles. The distance thence to Tropim (Trupanataru near Kochin according to Burgess) is 1225 miles. Next at a distance of 750 miles is the cape of Perimula, where in the most famous mart of India. Further on in the same chapter is mentioned a city named Antimula on the sea shore among the Arabastæ (or Salabastæ and Oratæ, McCrindle) a noble mart where five rivers together flow into the sea. There can hardly be a doubt that the two places are the same, the two names being taken from different authorities, and that the place meant is Chennula or Chenl (Ptolemy's Simulla) the five rivers being those that flow into Bombay Harbour northward of Chenl. The distance from Perimula to the Island of Patala in the Indus is 620 miles. Pliny next enumerates as hill tribes between the Indus and Jamma, shut in a ring of mountains and deserts for a space of 625 miles, the Cæsi (the Kekasi of Arr. Ind. IV. and Kékayas of the Parāvas, about the head waters of the Satlej), the Cetrisoni of the woods (C. . . . Vaga?), the Megallæ (Mékala) with 500 elephants and unknown numbers of horse and foot, the Chrysei (Karāsha) Parasangæ (Pārasāra, corrupted by the likeness of its first three syllables to the word *σαρκαρρα*), the Asmagi (Asmaka of Varāha Mihira) with 30,000 foot 300 elephants and 800 horse. These are shut in by the Indus and surrounded by a circle of mountains and deserts for 825 miles. Next come the Dari and Sæmæ and then deserts again for 187 miles. Whether these are or are not correctly identified with the Dhara and Saura of Sindh, they must be placed somewhere to the north of the Ran. Below them come five kingless tribes living in the hills along the sea-

Appendix VI.

EARLY GREEKS
AND ROMANS.
Megasthenes.

Appendix VI

EARLY GREEKS
AND ROMANS.
Megasthenes.

coast—the Mallecorns, Singhas, Marchas, Barungas, and Morani—none of whom are satisfactorily identified, but who may be placed in Kachhi. Next follow the Naras enclosed by Mount Capitalia (Ālpa) the highest mountain in India, on the other side of which are mines of gold and silver. The identification of Capitalia with Ābu is probable enough, but the name given to the mountain must be connected with the Kapishthala of the Purāṇas, who have given their name to one of the recensions of the Yajur Veda, though Kāthāl, their modern representative, lies far away from Ābu in the Karnāl district of the Panjab, and Arrian places his *kapishthalas* (Ind. IV) about the head waters of the Hydrāotis (Rāvi). After Capitalia and the Naras come the Oratis with but ten elephants but numerous infantry. These must be the Aparantakas of the inscriptions and *parayas*, Megasthenes having learnt the name in a Prakrit form (Avārāta, Orāta). The name of the next tribe, who have an elephant but horse and foot only, is commonly read Saucataratas (Nobho) but the preferable reading is Varastae (McCrindle) which when corrected to Varastae represents Varastae, the sixth of the seven Konkans in the purāṇic lists (Wilson As. Res. XV. 47), which occupied the centre of the Thām district and the country of the wild tribe of the Varla. Next are the Odonhacors, whose name is connected with the *adambara* Pious glomerata tree, and who are not the Aulambari Sālvā of Pāṇini (IV. i. 173) but must be placed in Southern Thām. Next come the Arabastra Oratis (so read for Arabastra Thoraes of Nobho, and Sala-bastro Horatius of McCrindle) or Arabastra division of the Oratis or Konkans. Arabastra may be connected with the Āraṇa of Yaśha-Mihira's South-Western Division (Be. S. XIV. 17) where they are mentioned along with Barbara (the seventh or northernmost Konkāṇ). This tribe had a fine city in a marsh infested by crocodiles and also the great mart of Antimela (Chenī) at the confluence of five rivers, and the king had 1000 elephants 150,000 foot and 5000 horse, and must therefore have held a large part of the Dakhan as well as of the sea coast. Next to this kingdom is that of the Charma, whose forces are small, and next to them the Pando (Pāṇḍya of Travancor) with 200 cities 150,000 foot and 600 elephants. Next follows a list of thirteen tribes, some of which St. Martin has identified with modern Rajput tribes about the Indus, because the last name of the thirteen is Orostris, "who reach to the island of Patala" and may be confidently identified with the Śākāshtra of Kāthiā-vāda. We must however assume that Megasthenes after naming the tribes of the west coast enumerates the inland tribes of the Dakhan until he arrives at the point from which he started. But the only identification that seems plausible is that of the Derangas with the Telingas or Telugus. Next to the Orostris follows a list of tribes on the east of the Indus from south to north—the Mathas (compare Māthava, a Bāhika town Pān. IV. ii. 117), Bolingas (Bhāṇṅingi, a Sālvā tribe Pān. IV. i. 173), Gallitalas (perhaps a corruption of Tālakhalī, another Sālvā tribe, *ib.*), Dimuri, Megari, Ardabak, Moss (Mataya of Jaipur ?), Abi, Suci, (v. l. Abhis Uti), Sila, and then deserts for 250 miles. Next come three more tribes and then again deserts, then four or five (according to the reading) more tribes, and the Asti whose capital is Pucephala (Jaipur) (Cunningham Anc. Geog. 177). Megasthenes then gives two mountain tribes and ten beyond the Indus including the Orsi (Urāsi) Tuxilte (Taksashilā) and Pencilite (peoples of Pashkālavati). Of the work of Dīmarchos, who went on an embassy to Allitrochades (Bindusāra) son of Candragupta, nothing is known except that it was in two books and was reckoned the most untrustworthy of all accounts of India (Strabo, II. i. 2).

Ptolemy II. Philadelphos (died 247 B.C.) interested himself in the trade with India and opened a caravan road from Koptos on the Nile to Barmak on the Red Sea (Strabo, XVII. i. 46) and for centuries the Indian trade resorted either to this port or to the neighbouring *Myos Hormos*. He also sent to India (apparently to Adoka) an envoy named Dionysios, who is said by Pliny (VI. 17) to have written an account of things Indian of which no certain fragments appear to remain. But we know from the fragments of

Agatharkhides (born c. 230 A.D.) who wrote in old age an account of the Red Sea of which we have considerable extracts in Diodoros (III. 12-48) and Photios (Müller's Geogr. Gr. Min. I. 111 ff.), states that in his time the Indian trade with *Putana* (*Patala*) was in the hands of the *Sabæans* of Yemen. (Müller, I. 191.) In fact it was not until the voyages of Eudoxos (see below) that any direct trade sprang up between India and Egypt. The mention of *Patala* as the mart resorted to by the Arabs shows that we are still in Pliny's first period (see below).

The **Baktrian Greeks** extended their power into India after the fall of the Māurya empire (c. 180 B.C.) their leader being *Dionétrios* son of *Euthydēmos*, whose conquests are referred to by Justin (XII. 6) and Strabo (XI. ii. 3). But the most extensive conquests to the east and south were made by *Menandros* (c. 110 B.C.) who advanced to the Jumna and conquered the whole coast from *Patalend* (lower Sindh) to the kingdom of *Sarakost* (*Sarakshtra*) and *Sigertia* (Pliny's *Sigerna*?) (Strabo, XL B. 1). These statements of Strabo are confirmed by the author of the *Periplus* (c. 250 A.D.) who says that in his time *drachman* with Greek inscriptions of *Menandros* and *Apollodotos* were still current at *Barygaza* (Per. 47). *Apollodotos* is now generally thought to have been the successor of *Menandros* (c. 100 B.C.) (Brit. Museum Cat. of Bactrian Coins page xxxiii.). *Plutarch* (Reip. Gr. Princ.) tells us that *Menandros*' rule was so mild, that on his death his towns disputed the possession of his ashes and finally divided them.

Eudoxos of Cyzicus (c. 117 B.C.) made in company with others two very successful voyages to India, in the first of which the company were guided by an Indian who had been shipwrecked on the Egyptian coast. Strabo (II. III. 4), in quoting the story of his doings from *Pomponius*, lays more stress upon his attempt to circumnavigate Africa than upon these two Indian voyages, but they are of very great importance as the beginnings of the direct trade with India.

The **Geographers** down to Ptolemy drew their knowledge of India almost entirely from the works of *Megasthenes* and of the companions of Alexander. Among them *Eratostrhenes* (c. 275-194 B.C.), the founder of scientific geography, deserves mention as having first given wide currency to the notion that the width of India from west to east was greater than its length from north to south, an error which lies at the root of Ptolemy's distortion of the map. *Eratostrhenes*' critic *Hipparkhos* (c. 130 B.C.) on this point followed the more correct account of *Megasthenes*, and is otherwise notable as the first to make use of astronomy for the determination of the geographical position of places.

Strabo (c. 63 B.C.-23 A.D.) drew his knowledge of India, like his predecessors, chiefly from *Megasthenes* and from Alexander's followers, but adds (XV. i. 72) on the authority of *Damasos* (tutor to the children of Antony and Cleopatra, and envoy of Herod) an account of three Indian envoys from a certain king *Póros* to Augustus (c. 5 B.C. 14),

Appendix VI.

EARLY GREEKS
AND ROMANS.

Ptolemy II.

Agatharkhides.

The Baktrian
Greeks.Eudoxos of
Cyzicus.

Eratostrhenes.

Strabo.

Appendix VI.

EARLY GREEKS
AND ROMANS.*Strabo.*

who brought presents consisting of an Arabian man, snakes, a huge turtle and a large partridge, with a letter in Greek written on parchment offering free passage and traffic through his dominions to the emperor's subjects. With these envoys came a certain Zarmenoksdas (Sramanā-cāya, Lassen) from Barygē (Broach, the earliest mention of the name) who afterwards burnt himself at Athens, "according to the ancestral custom of the Indians." The fact that the embassy came from Broach and passed through Antioch shows that they took the route by the Persian Gulf, which long remained one of the chief lines of trade (Perr. chap. 36). If the embassy was not a purely commercial speculation on the part of merchants of Broach, it is hard to see how King Péroz, who had 600 under-kings, can be other than the Indo-Skythian Kordakadaphes, who held Péroz's old kingdom as well as much other territory in North-West India. This if correct would show that as early as the beginning of our era the Indo-Skythian power reached as far south as Broach. The fact that the embassy took the Persian Gulf route and that their object was to open commercial relations with the Roman empire seems to show that at this period there was no direct trade between Broach and the Egyptian ports of the Red Sea. Strabo however mentions that in his time Arabian and Indian wares were carried on camels from Myos Hormos (near Rās Aln Sornor) on the Red Sea to Koptos on the Nile (XVII. l. 45 and XVI. 11-24) and dilates upon the increase of the Indian trade since the days of the Ptolemies when not so many as twenty ships dared pass through the Red Sea "to peer out of the Straits," whereas in his time whole fleets of as many as 120 vessels voyaged to India and the lowlands of Ethiopia from Myos Hormos (II. v. 12 and XV. l. 13). It would seem that we have here to do with Pliny's second period of Indian trade, when Sigerns (probably Janjira) was the goal of the Egyptian shipmasters (see below). Strabo learnt these particulars during his stay in Egypt with Aelius Gallus, but they were unknown to his contemporary Diodorus who drew his account of India entirely from Megasthenes (Diod. II. 31-32) and had no knowledge of the East beyond the stories told by Jamboulas a person of uncertain date of an island in the Indian Archipelago (Ball, according to Lassen) (Diod. II. 37-60). Pomponius Mela (A.D. 43) also had no recent information as regards India.

Pliny.

Pliny (A.D. 23-79) who published his Natural History in A.D. 77 gives a fairly full account of India, chiefly drawn from Megasthenes (see above). He also gives two valuable pieces of contemporary information:

(i) An account of Ceylon (Taprobanē) to which a freedman of Annias Plocamus, farmer of the Red Sea tribute, was carried by stress of weather in the reign of Claudius (A.D. 41-54). On his return the king sent to the emperor four envoys, headed by one Rachias (VI. 22).

(ii) An account of the voyage from Alexandria to India by a course which had only lately been made known (VI. 23). Pliny divides the history of navigation from the time of Nearchus to his own age into three periods:

(a) the period of sailing from Syagrus (Rās Fartak) in Arabia to Patalā (Indus delta) by the south-west wind called Hippalus, 1332 miles;

(b) the period of sailing from Syagrus (Rās Fartak) to Sigerns (Ptol. Meliægyris, Peripl. Meliægaca, probably Janjira, and perhaps the same as Strabo's Sigertia);

(c) the modern period, when traffic went on from Alexandria to Koptos up the Nile, and thence by camels across the desert to Berenice (in Foul Bay), 257 miles. Thence the merchants start in the middle of

summer before the rising of the dogstar and in thirty days reach Okelis (Ghalla) or Cana (Hien Ghurab), the former port being most frequented by the Indian trade. From Okelis it is a forty days' voyage to Musiris (Muyyiri, Kranganur) which is dangerous on account of the neighbouring pirates of Nitrias (Mangalore) and inconvenient by reason of the distance of the roads from the shore. Another better port is Becare (Kallhela, Yulo) belonging to the tribe Neceyndon (*Ptol.* Melkynda, *Peripl.* Nelkynda) of the kingdom of Pandion (Pāndya) whose capital is Modura (Madura). Here pepper is brought in canoes from Cottanara (Kadattanāda). The ships return to the Red Sea in December or January.

It is clear that the modern improvement in navigation on which Pliny lays so much stress consisted, not in making use of the monsoon wind, but in striking straight across the Indian ocean to the Malabar coast. The fact that the ships which took this course carried a guard of archers in Pliny's time, but not in that of the *Periplus*, is another indication that the direct route to Malabar was new and unfamiliar in the first century A.D. The name Hippalus given to the monsoon wind will be discussed below in dealing with the *Periplus*.

Dionysios Perie'ge'te's who has lately been proved to have written under Hadrian (A.D. 117-138) (*Christ's Greek, Litteratur Gesch.* page 507) gives a very superficial description of India but has a valuable notice of the Southern Skythians who live along the river Indus to the east of the Gedrosai (i. 1087-88).

Appendix VI.

EARLY GREEKS
AND ROMANS.*Pliny.**Dionysios
Periegetes.**Klaudios
Ptolemaios.*

Klaudios Ptolemaios of Alexandria lived according to Suidas under Marcus Aurelius Antoninus (A.D. 161-180). He compiled his account of India as part of a geographical description of the then known world, and drew much of his materials from Marinus of Tyro, whose work is lost, but who must have written about A.D. 130. Ptolemy (or Marinus before him) had a very wide knowledge of India, drawn partly from the relations of shipmasters and traders and partly from Indian lists similar to those of the *Purānas* but drawn up in Prakrit. He seems to have made little if any use of Megasthenes and the companions of Alexander. But his map of India is distorted by the erroneous idea, which he took from Eratosthenes, that the width of India from west to east greatly exceeded its length from north to south. Ptolemy begins his description of India with the first chapter of his seventh book, which deals with India within the Ganges. He gives first the names of rivers, countries, towns, and capes along the whole coast of India from the westernmost mouth of the Indus to the easternmost mouth of the Ganges. He next mentions in detail the mountains and the rivers with their tributaries, and then proceeds to enumerate the various nations of India and the cities belonging to each, beginning with the north-west and working southwards; and he finally gives a list of the islands lying off the coast. In dealing with his account of western India it will be convenient to notice together the cities of each nation which he mentions separately under the heads of coast and inland towns.

He gives the name of Indo-Skythia to the whole country on both sides of the lower course of the Indus from its junction with the Koa (Kābul river), and gives its three divisions as Patalēnē (lower Sindh) Abiria (read Sabiria, that is Sauvira or upper Sindh and Multan) and Surastrenē (Sūratshēra or Kāthiāwāda). We have seen that Dionysios knew the southern Skythians of the Indus, and we shall meet with them again in the *Periplus* (chapter 38B).

Appendix VI.

EARLY GREEKS
AND ROMANS.*Klondike
Ptolemaios.*

He enumerates seven mouths of the Indus, but the river is so constantly changing its course that it is hopeless to expect to identify all the names given by him (Sagapa, Sinthôn, Khariphron, Sapara, Sabalacres, and Lônilaré) with the existing channels. Only it may be noted that Sinthôn preserves the Indian name of the river (Sindhu) and that the easternmost mouth (Lônilaré) probably represents both the present Kori or Luni and the Luni river of Mārwar, a fact which goes some way to explain why Ptolemy had no idea of the existence of Kachh, though he knows the Ran as the gulf of Nanthi. Hence he misplaces Surastrénâ (Surastrâ or Kâthiavâda) in the Indus delta instead of south of the Ran. Ptolemy enumerates a group of five towns in the north-western part of Indo-Skythia (Kohat, Banna, and Dera Ismail Khân) of which Cunningham (Anc. Geog. pages 84ff) has identified Banagara with Hannu, and Andrapana with Daraban, while the sites of Artocarta, Sabana, and Kodrana are unknown. Ptolemy next gives a list of twelve towns along the western bank of the Indus to the sea. Of these Embolima has been identified by Cunningham (Anc. Geog. page 52) with Amb sixty miles above Atak, and Pasipêla is identified by St. Martin with the Beasaid of the Arab geographers and placed near Mithankot at the junction of the Chenab with the Indus. Sousikana, which comes next in the list to Pasipêla, is generally thought to be a corruption of Monsikanon, and is placed by the latest authority (General Haig, *The Indus Delta Country*, page 130) in Balawalpur, though Cunningham (Anc. Geog. page 257) puts it at Alor, which is somewhat more in accordance with Ptolemy's distances. Kôlaka the most southerly town of the list, cannot well be the Krôkala of Arrian (Karachi) as McCrindle supposes, for Ptolemy puts it nearly a degree north of the western mouth of the Indus.

The two great towns of the delta which Ptolemy next mentions, are placed by General Haig, Patala at a point thirty-five miles south-east of Haidarâbâd (*op. cit.* page 79) and Barbarei near Shâh Bandar (*op. cit.* page 31). Barbarei is mentioned again in the Periplus (chapter 38) under the name of Barbariken. Ptolemy gives the names of nine towns on the left bank of the Indus from the confluence to the sea, but very few of them can be satisfactorily identified. Pannaa can only be Oanpar (St. Martin) or Plunien's principles. Boulaisa must represent the Badliya of the Arabs, though it is on the wrong side of the river (see Haig, *op. cit.* page 57ff). Naagramma may with Yule be placed at Nausahro. Kamigara cannot be Aror (McCrindle), if that place represents Sousikana. Binagara is commonly thought to be a corrupt reading of Minnagara (compare Periplus chapter 38). Haig (*op. cit.* page 32 note 47) refers to the Tuhfatul Kirâm as mentioning a Minnagar in Jangama Shâhdâdpur (north-east of Haidarâbâd). Parabali, Sydros, and Epitausa have not been identified, but must be looked for either in Haidarâbâd or in Thar and Parkar. Xonaa may with Yule be identified with Siwana in the bend of the Luni and gives another indication that Ptolemy confounded the Luni with the eastern mouth of the Indus.

On the coast of Surastrénâ (Kâthiavâda) Ptolemy mentions, first, the island of Barakê (Dvârakâ Bdt.), then the city Bardaxâna which must be Porbandar (Yule), in front of the Barada hills: then the village of Surastra, which perhaps represents Vordval, though it is placed too far north. Surastra cannot well be Junâgad (Lassen) which is not on the coast and in Ptolemy's time was not a village, but a city, though it is certainly strange that Ptolemy does not anywhere mention it. Further south Ptolemy places the mart of Monoglôsson (Mangrol). The eastern

boundary of the coast of Indo-Skythia seems to have been the mouth of the Mōphis (Mahi). Ptolemy's account of Indo-Skythia may be completed by mentioning the list of places which he puts to the east of the Indus (i.e. the Luni) and at some distance from it.

These are: Xodraké, which has not been identified, but which must be placed somewhere in Mowār, perhaps at the old city of Pār, seventy-two miles north-east of Udaipur, or possibly at the old city of Ahar, two miles from Udaipur itself (Tod's Rājasthān, I. 677-78).

Sarwana, which is marked in Ptolemy's map at the head-waters of the Mahi in the Apokopa mountains (Aravallis), must be identified with Sarwan about ten miles north-west of Ratlām. There is also a place called Saryanio close to Nimach, which Ptolemy may have confused with Sarwana.

Auxoanis, which St. Martin identifies with Sūni, and Yule with Ajmīr, but neither place suits the distance and direction from Sarwan. If Ptolemy, as above suggested, confused Sarwan and Saryanio, Auxoanis may be Ahar near Udaipur. Pār being then Xodraké: otherwise Auxoanis may be Idar. The question can only be settled by more exact knowledge of the age of Ahar and of Idar. Orbadarou may provisionally with Yule be placed at Aha.

Asinda must be looked for near Sidhipar, though it cannot with St. Martin be identified with that place. Perhaps Vadnagar (formerly Anandapura and a very old town) may be its modern representative.

Theophila may be Devaliya (Yule) or Thān (Burgess) in north-east Kāthiāvāda.

Ashakapra is admitted to be Hastakavapra or Hāthab near Bhāvnagar (Bühler).

Lariké is described by Ptolemy next after Indo-Skythia on his way down the West Coast. The northern limit of its coast was the mouth of the river Mōphis (Mahi). Its name is the Lata of purāṇas and inscriptions. Ptolemy mentions as on its coast the village of Pakidaré, which may be a misreading for Kāpidaré and represent Kāvī (Kāpiké of inscriptions) a holy place just south of the Mahi. Next comes Cape Maleō, which Ptolemy both in his text and in his map includes in Lariké, though there is no prominent headland in a suitable position on the east side of the Gulf of Cambay. As he puts it 2½ degrees west of Broach, it may probably be identified with Gōmāth Point in Kāthiāvāda on the other side of the gulf (the Pāpiké of the Periplus), his name for it surviving in the neighbouring shoals known as the Malai banka. It is in agreement with this that Ptolemy puts the mouth of the river Namados (Narmadā) to the north of Cape Maleō. South of the river is Kamané which may be identified with the Kamanijja or Karmasēya of inscriptions, that is with Kantlej on the Tapti above Surat. It has been supposed to be the Karmouñ of the Periplus (chapter 43), which was the village opposite to the reef called Hērōnē on the right (east) of the gulf of Barygaza; but it is perhaps best to separate the two and to identify Karmouñ with Kim, north of Olpād. The next town mentioned is Nousaripa, which should probably be read Nousarika, being the Navasārikā of inscriptions and the modern Nausāri. The most southerly town of Lariké is Poulipoula, which has been identified with Phulpādā or old Surat, but is too far south. Bilimora is perhaps the most likely position for it, though the names do not correspond (unless Pouli is the Dravidian *Puli* or *poli* = a tiger, afterwards replaced by *Bili* = a cat). Ptolemy begins his list of the inland cities of Lariké with Agrinagara, which may with Yule be identified with

Appendix VI.

EARLY GREEK
AND ROMAN.*Kāthiāvāda
Ptolemaios.*

Appendix VI.

EARLY GREEKS
AND ROMANS.*Kandahar
Ptolemaea.*

Agar, thirty-five miles north-east of Ujjain, and the *Ākara* of inscriptions. The next town is Siripalla, which has not been identified, but should be looked for about thirty miles to the south-east of Agar, not far from Shāhjahānpur. The modern name would probably be Shirol. Bannogoura must be identified, not with Pawangad (Yule), but with Hsien Tsiang's "city of the Brāhmins" (Beal, *Si-ya-ki*, II. 262), 200 li (about 33 miles) to the north-west of the capital of Mālava in his time. The distance and direction bring us nearly to Jaora. Sazantion and Zerogerei have not been satisfactorily identified but may provisionally be placed at Ratlām and Badnawar respectively, or Zerogerei may be Dhār as Yule suggested. Ozānē the capital of Tiatandēs is Ujjain the capital of the Kshatrapa Cāshitana who reigned c. 120 A.D. His kingdom included Western Mālwa, West Khandesh, and the whole of Gujārat south of the Mahi. His grandson Rudradāman (A.D. 150) tells us in his Gīrār inscription (I.A. VII. 259) that his own kingdom included also Mārwar Sindh and the lower Panjāb. Next to Ujjain Ptolemy mentions Minnagara, which must have been somewhere near Mānpur. Then we come to Tiatoura or Chāndou (Yule) on the ridge which separates Khandesh from the valley of the Godāvari, and finally on that river itself Nāsika the modern Nāsik. It is very doubtful whether Nāsik at any time formed part of the dominions of Cāshitana, since we know from the inscriptions in the Nāsik caves that the Kshatrapas were driven out of that part of the country by Gautamiputra Śātakarṇi, the father of Ptolemy's contemporary Pulamāyi. Ptolemy probably found Nāsik mentioned in one of his lists as on a road leading from Ujjain southwards and he concluded that they belonged to the same kingdom.

Arīakē of the Sadinoi included the coast of the Konkan as far south as Bālīpatna (near Mahād) and the Deccan between the Godāvari and the Kriṣṇa. The name occurs in Varāha Mihira's *Brīhat Samhitā* XIV, in the form *Aryaka*. The tribal name Sadinoi is less easy to explain. The suggested connection with the word *Sādhanā* as meaning an agent (*Laṣṣan*) and its application to the Kshatrapas of Gujārat, are not tenable. The only authority for this meaning of *Sādhanā* is Wilson's Sanskrit Dictionary, and at this time it is certain that Arīakē belonged, not to the Kshatrapas of Gujārat, but to the Śātakarṇis of Paithān on the Godāvari. Bhāndārkar's identification of the Sadinoi with Varāha Mihira's Śāntikas seems also somewhat unsatisfactory. Ptolemy's name may possibly be a corruption of Śātakarṇi or Śātavāhana. The coast towns of this region were Soupara (Supārā near Bassein), south of which Ptolemy places the river Gauris (Vaiturani), Dounga (perhaps Dugdā ten miles north of Bhiwadi) south of which is the Bēnda river (Bhiwadi Creek), Simylla, a mart and a cape, the Automna and Perimna of Pliny and the modern Chemul (Chemula); Milizēgyria an island, the same as the Melizēgyra of the Periplus and (probably) as the Sigerus of Pliny and the modern Janjira; Hippokoura, either Ghodegāon or Kudā (Yule) in Kolābā district; Bālīpatna, probably the Palāipatna of the Periplus and the same as Pāl near Mahād.

The inland dominions of the Sadinoi were much more extensive than their coast line. Ptolemy gives two lists of cities, one of those lying to the west (i.e. north) of the Bēnda, whose course in the Deccan represents the Bhīmā river, and the other of those between the Bēnda and the Pseudo-stomos (here the Mālprabā and Kriṣṇa or possibly the Tungabhadra with its tributaries). The most easterly towns in the first list, Malippala and Sariaabā, are not satisfactorily identified, but must be looked for in the Nisām's country to the south-east of Haidarābād. Next comes Tagara mentioned in the Periplus (chapter 51) as ten days east from Paithān, and

therefore about the latitude of Kolhaga, with which it is identified by Yule. The distance and direction make its identification with Deogir (Wilford and others), Junnar (Bhāgwanīāl), or Kolhāpur (Fleet) impossible. The best suggestion hitherto made is that it is Dārur or Dhārur (Bhāndārkar), but Dārur in the Bhir district is too far north, so Dhārur fifty miles west of Haidarābād must be taken as the most likely site. Next to Tagara Ptolemy mentions Baithana, which is the Paithana of the Periplus and the modern Paithan on the Godāvari. It is called by our author the capital of Siroptolemaios, who is the Śrī-Palamāyi of the Nāsik cave inscriptions. Next to Baithana comes Deopali, which may safely be identified with the modern Deoli in the suburbs of Ahmadnagar. Gamaliba, the next stage, must be placed somewhere on the line between Ahmadnagar and Junnar, which latter ancient town is to be identified with Ptolemy's Omtanagara, although this name is not easy to explain.

The second list of towns in Ariakē begins with Nagarcuris (Nagarpur) which probably represents Poona which even then must have been a place of importance, being at the head of the great road down the Bhorghat. Tabasē (compare Vartha Mihira's Tapaśāśramāḥ and Ptolemy's own Tabasē) may be the holy city of Pandharpur. Indē has retained its ancient name (Indi in the north of the Bijapur district). Next follows Tripaṅgalida (Tikota in the Kurundwad State?) and then Hippokoura, the capital of Baleskaros. Dr. Bhāndārkar has identified this king with the Vijāyākūra of coins found in the Kolhāpur state. His capital may possibly be Hippargi in the Sindgi taluka of the Bijapur district. Soubouton, the next town on Ptolemy's list, is not identifiable, but the name which follows, Sjrimalaga, must be Siraāl in the Bijapur taluka of the same district.

Kalligeris may be identified not with Kanthagiri (McCrindle) but with Gaḡgāl at the crossing of the Krishna, and Modogoulla is not Mādgal (McCrindle) but Mudhol on the Ghātprabhā. Peturgala should probably read Penengala, and would then represent the old town of Panangala or Hongal in the Dhārvād district. The last name on the list is Banauassei, which is Vanavāsi, about ten miles from Sirsi in Kanara, a very old town where a separate branch of the Śātakarpis once ruled.

The *Pirate Coast* is the next division of Western India described by Ptolemy, who mentions five sea-ports but only two inland cities. It is clear that the pirates were hemmed in on the land side by the dominions of the Śātakarpis, and that they held but little territory above the ghāts, though their capital Monopolis was in that region. The places on the coast from north to south were Mandagara, the Mandagara of the Periplus (chapter 53) which has been satisfactorily identified with Mandanguj to the south of the Bānkot creek.

Byzantion, which, as Dr. Bhāndārkar first pointed out, is the Vaijayanṭi of inscriptions may be placed either at Chiplun or at Dabhol at the mouth of the Vāsishtī river. Chiplun is the only town of great antiquity in this part of the Koṅkan, and if it is not Vaijayanṭi Ptolemy has passed over it altogether. The similarity of the names has suggested the identification of Byzantion with Jaygaḍ (Bhāndārkar) or Vijayadrug (Vincent), but both these places are comparatively modern. There are indeed no very ancient towns in the Koṅkan between Saṅgamāhvar and the Sāvantvādī border.

Kherosēnos is generally admitted to be the peninsula of Goa.

Appendix VI.

EARLY GREEKS
AND ROMANS.

Klaus
Ptolemaios.

Appendix VI.

EARLY GREEKS
AND ROMANS.*Kālidāsa
Ptolemaios.*

Armagora is placed a little to the north of the river Nanagouna and may be represented by Cape Ramas in Portuguese territory.

The river Nanagouna here is generally supposed to be the Kālidāsi, though in its upper course it seems to represent the Tapti, and a confusion with the Nānā pass led Ptolemy to bring it into connection with the rivers Gosria and Bēda (Campbell).

Nitra, the southernmost mart on the pirate coast, is the Nitria of Pliny, and has been satisfactorily identified by Yule with Mangalore on the Nētravati.

The inland cities of the Pirates are Olokhoica and Mousopallē the capital, both of which must be sought for in the rugged country about the sources of the Krishna and may provisionally be identified with the ancient towns of Karāṣ and Kārvī (Kolhāpur) respectively. To complete Ptolemy's account of this coast it is only necessary to mention the islands of Heptandēsia (Burm Islands?) Trikadiba and Poperinē. We are not here concerned with his account of the rest of India.

Bardesane.

Bardesane's met at Babylon certain envoys sent from India to the emperor Antoninus Pius (A.D. 154-181) and received from Damadania and Sandania, who were of their number, accounts of the customs of the Brāhmana and of a rock temple containing a statue of Śiva in the Ardhanārī form. Lassen (III. 62 and 348) connects Sandanēs with the Sadinoi and places the temple in Western India, but neither of these conclusions is necessary. The object of the embassy is unknown.

Periplus.

The Periplus of the Erythrean Sea, formerly though wrongly attributed to Arrian (150 A.D.), is an account of the Egyptian trade with East Africa and India, written by a merchant of Alexandria for the use of his fellows. It is preserved in a single manuscript which in some places is very corrupt. The age of this work has been much disputed: the chief views as to this matter are,

(i) that the Periplus was written before Pliny and made use of by him (Vincent, Schwanbeck, and Glaser). The arguments of Vincent and Schwanbeck are refuted by Müller (Geogr. Gr. Min. I. xviiii.) Glaser's case is (Amaland 1891, page 43) that the Malikhas of the Periplus is Malchos III. of Nabathæa (A.D. 49-71), that the Periplus knows Meroë as capital of Ethiopia, while at the time of Nero's expedition to East Africa (A.D. 68), it had almost vanished, and lastly that the author of the Periplus is Basilis or Basileia, whom Pliny names as an authority for his Book VI. It may be replied that Malikhas is the title Malik and may have been applied to any Arab Sheikh (Reinaud); that the Periplus does not with certainty mention Meroë at all; and that Basilis whether or not a contemporary of Ptolemy Philadelphos was at any rate earlier than Agatharchidēs (c. 200 B.C.), who quotes him (Geogr. Gr. Min. I. 156);

(ii) that the Periplus was written at the same time as Pliny's work, but neither used the other (Salmasius). This view is refuted by Müller (*op. cit.* page 155);

(iii) that the Periplus was written after 161 A.D. (Dodwell); Müller has shown (*ibid.*) that Dodwell's arguments are inconclusive;

(iv) the received view that the Periplus was written between A.D. 80 and A.D. 89 (Müller);

(v) that the Periplus was written about the middle of the third century (Reinaud *Mém. de l'Ac. des Inscri.* XXIV. Pt. II. translated in I. A. VIII. pages 330ff.).

The only choice lies between the view of Müller and that of Reinand. Müller argues for a date between A.D. 80 and A.D. 89, because the *Periplus* knows no more than Pliny of India beyond the Ganges, whereas Ptolemy's knowledge is much greater: because the *Periplus* calls Ceylon Palaisimoundon, which is to Ptolemy (VII. iv. 1) an old name: because the Nabathanian kingdom, which was destroyed A.D. 105, was still in existence at the time of the *Periplus*: because the *Periplus* account of Hippalos shows it to be later than Pliny: and because the *Periplus* mentions king Zōskalos, who must be the Za Haskā of the Abyssinian lists who reigned A.D. 77-89. It may be replied that the *Periplus* is not a geography of Eastern Asia, but a guide book for traders with certain ports only: that Ptolemy must have found in his lists three names for Ceylon, Taprobane, Palaisimoundon, and Salikē, and that he has wrongly separated Palai from Simoundon, taking it to mean "formerly" and therefore entered Simoundon as the old and Salikē as the modern name,* whereas all three names were in use together: that the Nabathanian king Malikhas was simply the Shaikh of the tribe (Reinand), and points to no definite date: that the *Periplus*' account of Hippalos is certainly later than Pliny: and that the Zōskalos of the *Periplus* is the Za Sāgal or Za Asgal of the Abyssinian lists, who reigned A.D. 246-47 (Reinand).

It follows that Reinand's date for the *Periplus* (A.D. 250) is the only one consistent with the facts, and especially with the Indian facts. As will appear below, the growth of the Hippalos legend since Pliny's time, the rival Parthians in Sindh, the mention of Manibaros and the supplanting of Ozēnē by Minnagara as his capital since Ptolemy's time, the independence of Baktria, and the notices of Saragauēs and Sandanēs, all points strongly in favour of Reinand's date.

In the time of the *Periplus* the ships carrying on the Indian trade started from Myos Hormos (near Ras Abu Sumer) or Berenikē (in Foul Bay) and sailed down the Red Sea to Monza (Musa twenty-five miles north of Mokhā), and thence to the watering place Okēlia (Ghalla) at the Straits. They then followed the Arabian coast as far as Kanē (Hien Ghurāb in Hadramaut) passing on the way Eudaimōn Arabia (Aden) once a great mart for Indian traders, but lately destroyed by king Elisar (Müller's conjecture for KAIJAR of the MS.) From Kanē the routes to India diverge, some ships sailing to the Indies and on to Barygaza, and others direct to the ports of Lamyrikē (Malabār Coast). There was also another route to Lamyrikē, starting from Aromata (Cape Guardafui). In all three voyages the ships made use of the monsoon, starting from Egypt in July. The monsoon was called Hippalos, according to the *Periplus* (chapter 57), after the navigator who first discovered the direct course across the sea, and it has been inferred from Pliny's words (VI. 23) that this pilot lived in the middle of the first century A.D. But Pliny's own account shows that, as we should expect, the progress from a coasting to a direct voyage was a gradual one, with several intermediate stages, in all of which the monsoon was more or less made use of. There was therefore no reason for naming the wind from the pilot who merely made the last step. Further though Pliny knows Hippalos as the local name of the monsoon wind in the eastern seas, he says nothing of its having been the name of the inventor of the direct course. The inference seems to be that Hippalos the pilot is the child of a seaman's yarn arising out of the local name of

Appendix VI.

EARLY GREEKS
AND ROMANS.
Periplus.

* We learn from Pliny (VI. 22) that Palaisimoundon was the name of a town and a river in Ceylon, whence the name was extended to the whole island.

Appendix VI.

EARLY GREEKS
AND ROMANS.*Periplus.*

the monsoon wind, and that his presence in the *Periplus* and not in *Pliny* shows that the former writer is much later than the latter.

The merchant bound for Skythia (Sindh) before he reaches land, which lies low to the northward, meets the white water from the river Sinthos (Indus) and water snakes (chapter 38). The river has seven mouths, small and marshy all but the middle one, on which is the port of Barharikon (Shāhbandar, Haig, page 31) whence the merchants' wares are carried up by river to the capital Minnagar (near Shāhdādpur, Haig, page 32), which is ruled by Parthians who constantly expel one another (chapter 39). These contending Parthians must have been the remnant of the Karēn Pahlava who joined with the Kushāns to attack Ardashir Pāpakān (*Journ. As.* [1866] VII. 134). The imports are clothing, flowered cottons, topazes, coral, storax, frankincense, glass vessels, silver plate, specie, and wine; and the exports costus (spice), bdellium (gum), yellow dye, apikemarl, emeralds, sapphires, furs from Tibet, cottons, silk thread, and indigo. The list of imports shows that the people of Skythia were a civilised race and by no means wild nomads.

The *Periplus* next (chapter 40) gives an accurate account of the Ran (Erinnon) which in those days was probably below sea level (Haig, page 22, Barnes' *Travels into Bokhara*, III. 309ff), and was already divided into the Great and the Little. Both were marshy shallows even out of sight of land and therefore dangerous to navigators. The Ran was then as now bounded to south and west by seven islands; and the headland Barakē (Dvārakā) a place of special danger of whose neighbourhood ships were warned by meeting with great black water-snakes.

The next chapter (41) describes the gulf of Barygasa (gulf of Cambay) and the adjoining land, but the passage has been much mangled by the copyist of our only MS. and more still by the guesses of editors. According to the simplest correction (*ἡ ἑσπέρη Ἀραγωγία*) our author says that next after Barakē (Dvārakā) follows the gulf of Barygasa and the country towards Ariakē, being the beginning of the kingdom of Mambura and of all India. Mambura may possibly be a corruption of Mahāstrāpā or some similar Greek form of Mahākahatrāpa, the title of the so-called "Sāh Kings" who ruled here at this period (A.D. 250). According to the reading of the MS. the author goes on to say that "the inland part of this country bordering on the Iberia (read Sabiria = Saurā) district of Skythia is called . . . (the name, perhaps Maru, has dropped out of the text), and the sea-coast Syrastrēnē (Surāshtra)." The country abounded then as now in cattle, corn, rice, cotton and coarse cotton cloth, and the people were tall and dark. The capital of the country was Minnagara whence much cotton was brought down to Barygasa. This Minnagara is perhaps the city of that name placed by Ptolemy near Mānpur in the Vinḍhyas, but it has with more probability been identified with Junāgad (Bhagvānāl) which was once called Manipura (*Kath. Gaz.* 487). Our author states that in this part of the country were to be found old temples, ruined camps and large walls, relics (he says) of Alexander's march, but more probably the work of Menandros and Apollodotos. This statement certainly points to Kāthiavāda rather than to Mānpur. The voyage along this coast from Barharikon to the headland of Pāpikē (Gopnāth) near Astakapura (Hāthab) and opposite to Barygasa (Broach) was one of 3000 stadia = 300 miles, which is roughly correct. The next chapter (42) describes the northern part of the gulf of Cambay as 300 stadia wide and running northward to the river Mats (Mālā). Ships bound for Barygasa steer first northward past the island

Balones (Peram) and then eastward towards the mouth of the Namnadice (Narmada) the river of Broach. The navigation (chapter 43) is difficult by reason of rocks and shoals such as Hérôné (perhaps named from some wreck) opposite the village of Kanuadni (Kim) on the eastern shore and by reason of the current on the western near Pápliké (perhaps a sailor's name meaning Unlucky). Hence the government sends out fishermen in long boats called Trappaga or Kotuniba (Kotla) to meet the ships (chapter 44) and pilot them into Barygaza, 300 stadia up the river, by towing and taking advantage of the tides. In this connection our author gives a graphic description of the Bore in the Narmadā (chapter 45) and of the dangers to which strange ships are exposed thereby (chapter 46).

Inland from Barygaza (that is, from the whole kingdom, which, as we have seen, bordered on Sanvira or Málkau) lay (chapter 47) the Aratrioi (Arattas of the Mahābhārata and Purāṇas, who lived in the Panjāb), the Arakhōioi (people of eastern Afghanistan), Gandaraiol (Gandhāra of N.-W. Panjāb), Proklais (near Peshāwar), and beyond them the Baktrianoi (of Balkh) a most warlike race, governed by their own independent sovereigns. These last are probably the Kushāna who, when the Parthian empire fell to pieces in the second quarter of the third century, joined the Karkū Pahlavā in attacking Ardeshir. It was from those parts, says our author, that Alexander marched into India as far as the Ganges—an interesting glimpse of the growth of the Alexander legend since the days of Arrian (A.D. 150). Our author found old drakhmai of Menandros and Apollodotos still current in Barygaza.

Eastward in the same kingdom (chapter 48) is the city of Ozánē, which was formerly the capital, whence onyxes, porcelain, muslins, and cottons are brought to Barygaza. From the country beyond Proklais come costus, bdellium, and spikenard of three kinds, the Kattybourina, the Patropapigio, and the Kabalitio (this last from Kibul).

We learn incidentally that besides the regular Egyptian trade Barygaza had commercial relations with Moussa in Arabia (chapter 21) with the East African coast (chapter H) and with Apologea (Obollah) at the head of the Persian Gulf and with Omara on its eastern shore (chapter 36). The imports of Barygaza were wine, bronze, tin and lead, coral and gold alono (kopax?), cloth of all sorts, variegated asalus (like the horrible Berlin wool comforters of modern days), storax, sweet clover, white glass, gum sandarac, stibium for the eyes, and gold and silver coin, and unguents. Besides, there were imported for the king costly silver plate, musical instruments (musical boxes are still favoured by Indian royalty), handsome girls for the harem (these are the famous Yavani handmaids of the Indian drama), high-class wine, apparel and choice unguents, a list which shows that these monarchs lived in considerable luxury. The exports of Barygaza were spikenard, costus, bdellium, ivory, onyxes, porcelain, box-thorn, cottons, silk, silk thread, long pepper (chilles), and other wares from the coast ports.

From Barygaza our author rightly says (chapter 50) that the coast trends southward and the country is called Dakhinatades (Dakshināpatha): much of the inland country is waste and infested by wild beasts, while populous tribes inhabit other regions as far as the Ganges. The chief towns in Dakhinatades (chapter 51) are Paithana (Paithan) twenty days journey south of Barygaza and Tagara (Dhātur) a very large city ten days east of Paithana. From Paithana come onyxes, and from Tagara cottons, muslins, and other local wares from the (east) coast.

Appendix VI.

EARLY GREEKS
AND ROMANS.*Periplus.*

Appendix VI.

EARLY GREEKS
AND ROMANS.*Periplus.*

✓ The smaller ports south of Barygaza are Akalavou (perhaps the Khahiran of Mahomedan writers and the modern Nāvri the river of Nāvāri) Souppara (Supārā near Bassin) and Kallima, which was made a mart by the elder Satraganēs, but much injured when Sandanēs became its master, for from his time Greek vessels visiting the port are sent under guard to Barygaza. This interesting statement is one of the clearest indications of the date of the *Periplus*. At Bhāndārkar has shown, the elder Satraganēs implies also a younger, who can be no other than Yājñadri Satakarni (A.D. 140), and the *Periplus* must be later than his time. The Sandanēs of the text must have been a ruler of Gujerat and may be identified with the Kshatrapa Satghadāman (A.D. 224).

✓ South of Kallima (chapter 65) were Sāmalla (Chaul) Mandagara (Mandagad) Palaiputnai (Pāl near Mahād) Malisigara (probably Junjira) and Byzantion (Chiplin). The words which follow probably give another name of Byzantion "which was formerly also called Tazmaneshona," the name Toparcha being a misunderstanding (Müller, *Geogr. Gr. Min.* I. 296). South of this are the islands of Sākreienai (Burnt Islands), Aigridioi (Angediva), Kainotai (Island of St. George) near the Kharmanāwa (Goa), and Lenkō (Laccadives?) all pirate haunts. Next comes Iāmyrikē (the Tamil country) the first marts of which are Naoura (Channaur or Tellicherry, rather than Honavar, which is too far north) and Tyndis (Kadalundi near Bepar) and south of these Muziris (Kraungaur) and Nolkynā (Kallada). Tyndis and Muziris were subject to Kēprobotra (Keralaputra that is the Cera king) and Nolkynā to Pambion (the Pāndya king of Madura). Muziris was a very prosperous mart trading with Ariakē (North Kanhā) as well as Egypt. Nolkynā was up a river 120 stadia from the sea, ships taking in cargo at the village of Bekarē at the mouth of the river. Our author gives an interesting account of the trade at these ports and further south as well as on the east coast, but we are not concerned with this part of his work.

Markianus.

Markianos of Hirakla about the year 400 A.D. is the leading geographer of the period following Ptolemy, but his work consisted chiefly in corrections of Ptolemy's distances taken from an obscure geographer named Prōtagoras. He adds no new facts to Ptolemy's account of western India.

Stephanos.

Stephanos of Byzantium wrote about 450 A.D. (or at any rate later than Markianos, whom he quotes) a large geographical dictionary of which we have an epitome by one Hermolachos. The Indian names he gives are chiefly taken from Hekataios, Arrianos, and especially from a poem called *Bassarika* on the exploits of Dionysos, by a certain Dionysos. But his geography is far from accurate: he calls Barakē (Dēvāki) an island, and Barygaza (Borach) a city, of Gedrosia. Among the cities he names are Argantō (quoted from Hekataios), Barygaza (Borach), Bonkephala (Jalāmpar), Byzantion (Chiplin), Geroia, Gorgippin, Darsania famous for woven cloths, Dionysopolis (Nym?), Kallia (Mullān?), Kasapypira and Kaspeira (Kashmir), Margana, Masaka (in Swāt), Nym, Palimbothra (Pataliputra), Pansoura near the Indus, Palala (thirty-five miles south-east of Haidarābād, Sindh), Rhodē, Rhogand, Rhōn in Gandarika, Sonnia, Sesindion, Sindu on the great gulf (perhaps Ptolemy's Asinda, Vashnagar), Solima, and Taxila. He also names a number of tribes, of whom none but the Orbitai (Makran) the Pandai (Pāndya) Bōlinga (Bhālingi Sāvas) and possibly the Salangoi (Sāhankāyana) belong to the western coast.

Kosmas Indikopleustes, shipman and monk, who wrote his *Topographia Christiana* between A.D. 520 and 550, is the last of the ancient writers who shows independent knowledge of India. He says that *Sindu* (*Sindh*), is where India begins, the *Indus* being the boundary between it and Persia. The chief ports of India are *Sindu* (*Dehal*), which exports musk and nard; *Orrholma* (*Surashtra* that is *Varanul*) which had a king of its own; *Kalliana* (*Kalyan*) a great port exporting brass, and steam (black-wood) logs and cloth having a king of its own and a community of Christians under a Persian bishop; *Sibor* which also had a king of its own and therefore cannot be *Supara*, which is too close to *Kalliana* but must be *Gos*, the *Sindahur* of the Arabs; *Parti*, *Mangaruth* (*Mangalore*), *Salopatana*, *Nelopatana*, and *Padopatana* which are the five parts of *Malé* the pepper country (*Malabar*), where also there are many Christians. Five days' sail south of *Malálay* *Sialodiba* or *Taprobane* (*Ceylon*), divided into two kingdoms in one of which is found the hyacinth-stone. The island has many temples, and a church of *Persian* Christians, and is much resorted to by ships from India Persia and Ethiopia dealing in silk, alsewood, cloves, sandalwood, &c. On the east coast of India in *Marallo* (*Morava* opposite *Ceylon*) whence conch-shells are exported: Then *Kaber* (*Kaveripatam* or *Pegu*; *Yule's Cathay Introd.* page cxxviii.) which exports *Alabandium*; further on is the clove country and furthest of all *Tsinata* (*China*) which produces the silk. In India further up the country, that is farther north, are the *White* *Quasi* or *Hūnas* who have a king named *Gollas* (*Mihirakula* of inscriptions) who goes forth to war with 1000 elephants and many horsemen and tyrannises over India, exacting tribute from the people. His army is said to be so vast as once to have drunk dry the ditch surrounding a besieged city and marched in dryshod.

In his book XI. *Kosmas* gives some account of the wild beasts of India, but this part of his work does not require notice here.

This is the last glimpse we get of India before the Arabs cut off the old line of communication with the Empire by the conquest of Egypt A.D. 641-2).

Appendix VI.

EARLY GREEKS
AND ROMANS.*Kosmas.*



INDEX.

ADA SHELUTAR: Peshwa's Subhedar of Gujarat, betrays contributions on the Galkwar's villages, 411; is made over to the British Government (1807), 410.

ADAR, AMIR KHAN: of Junnar, becomes viceroy by a forged order and appoints Jawan Mard Khan his deputy in Gujarat, 325; his defeat and death at Kim Kathodra, 328.

ADAR, KAPUR: son and prime minister of Ghulamulla Khanji, 362, 364. See Nasiruddin.

ADAR, KARIM: engineer of the emperor Jehangir, repairs buildings at Mandu (1617), 372.

ADULLAH KHAN URBAN: Akbar's general, reconquers Malwa (1563), 349.

ADULLAH WARRAF: author of *Tauziyat-ul-Ahmar*, 614 and note 6.

ADHAHMAN RINTUN: 464. See Abhaya Singh.

ADHAYATILAKAGANI: Jain monk (1253), revised the *Dryasraya*, 150.

ADHYAKSHAN: Maharaja; fifty-third viceroy of Gujarat (1736-1738), 310; his defeat by Mahara-ul-Mulk, 311; procures assassination of Pilaji Galkwar and takes Baroda (1737), 312; is re-appointed fifty-fifth viceroy of Gujarat, 319.

ADHICHANA CHRISTIANI: work, written by Hemachandra, 192.

ADHIMASTU: early Raktakuta prince, his copperplate grant, 120.

ADHINA: name of a tribe, and province, 62, 64, note 3. See Ahir and Ghilzama.

ADHINAR: tribe, 127.

ADH: tribe, 634.

ADHIA: name of a province, 62, 63 note 1, 137; Upper Sindhi and Multan, 537. See Ahira.

ADH: king of, present with Maharaja in the battle with Ushaship, 100; magnificent temple of Neminath built by Vastupala and Tejapala on, 199, 202; inscription on the temple of Vastupala at, 204; Minting at, 439; Pandhara possession, 470; Mount, 532, 534.

ADHAMA: perhaps Obolahi, 35.

ADH PARI: Akbar's historian (1500), his account of Sultan Bahadur's death, 249, 271.

ADH RINAF: 308, 320. See Al Rurani.

ADH ZAIN: Arab writer (913), 526, 520 note 5, 528, 530.

ADH ZEINAB HAKIM: author of the second part of *Silsilat-ul-Tawarikh*, 500 note 2.

ADHAR: early race, 174 and note 1.

ADHANTAS: funeral Brahman, 461.

ADHAR: battle of (1730), 311.

ADH: 514, 543.

ADHAYATOPANISHAD: religious work compiled by Hemachandra, 193.

ADHAYAT: temple on Satrunjaya of, 79 note 3. See Adhaya.

ADHAYAT: Jain god on Satrunjaya hill, 117, 136.

ADMINISTRATION: of the Valsala (500 to 700), 61.

ADHAR: temple of, completed, 186. See Adhaya.

ADHAR GALLUS: 536.

ADHANTAS: 545.

ADHAR: 535.

ADHARAKHINDAS: (born 250 A.D.) 535, 542.

ADHARAKH: Rajput, 463, 468.

ADHAR MUHAMMAD RUSKAN: commander of the fort of Pella, his surrender, 327.

ADHAR: fort, 367.

ADHARMENT: between the Peshwa and the Bhatta, 293.

ADHARAGARA: identified with Agar, 339.

ADHAR: son of Udaya Varma, high officer in the reign of Kumarapala, 170; not acknowledging Kumarapala goes to Anandja, 184.

ADHAR: by, 539.

ADHAR: 175. See Chulachand and Adhira.

ADHAR: settlement of, 137, 138.

ADHAR: 513. See Ahmed.

ADHARAKH: built (1415), 236, 519; sacked (1583), 234, 235; manuscript found at, 266; riot at (1631), death of the leader Abu Bakr by poison, 286, 287; riots at (1730), 310; Umahai, widow of Khander's Dabida marches upon, 314; siege of and capture by the Marathas and Momin Khan (1737-1738), 320; prosperity of, 320; disputes about the government of, between Rangaji and Momin Khan (1738), 319; disturbances at, between the Musalmans and the Marathas, 325; minting of troops at, confinement of Fida-ul-Din and Musfakhir Khan at, capture of the city of, by Jawan Mard Khan, 327; Marathas in (1743-44), 327; siege of by Fakhur-ul-daulah and Raddinghji of Idar and defeat and capture of the viceroy at, by Jawan Mard Khan, 329; expulsion of Khander's Galkwar's deputy Trimuk from, by

- Rangoji, 329; siege and capture of, by the Marathas under Raghubhadrā, 337; minting of coins in the emperor's name stopped at, (1733), 338; assassination of Rangoji the Maratha deputy at and expulsion of the Marathas from, by Mamlūk Khān; Kolis attempt to plunder the Dutch factory at, 329-340; siege of, by the Marathas, Shāh Nūr intercedes with the Peshwa to settle the affairs of, and falls, surrender of (1738), 341, 342; Bahadur Rāmhadrā is appointed viceroy at (1760), 344; state of the parties at, 360; riots at (1738), 359; captured by General Goddard (1780), 409; surrendered to Qutub-din Ghalib by A'la Shāh-kar, 411; cession of the fort of, to the English by Ghalib-kar in 1817, 428; mutiny at (1857), 438; disturbances at (14th September 1857), 440; suppression of the mutiny at, 442. See Ahmadābād.
- RUHAR AYAZ: governor of Gujarat, 330.
- RUHAR I.: Ahmadābād king (1411-1441), builds Ahmadābād (1413); defeats the Ydar chief (1413); suppresses a revolt, spreads Islam, sends expedition against Māwa (1417); attacks Chāmpāpur (1418); his war with Māwa (1422); defeats the Ydar chief (1423); recovers Māwa (1423) and Baghān (1431), 207 note 1, 215, 219, 235, 240.
- RUHARSHAH: 513. See Ahmadābād.
- RUHARSHAH KING: rule of (1403-1473), 297, 299, 210, 234, 264.
- RUHAR KHAN: see Ahmed I.
- RUHAR KHAN HANSHI: commandant of the Surat fort, expelled by the English (1750), 343.
- RUHARSHAH: fort, built (1427), 236.
- RUHAR SHAH: son and successor of Emperor Muhammad Shāh, 332; deposed (1754), 339.
- RUHAR SHAH: grandson and successor of Muhammad I. of Gujarat (1399-1411), besieges Māwa twice between A.D. 1418 and 1422, 333.
- RUHARSHAH: Angadīra, 646.
- RUHAR: inscription at, 107.
- RUHAR-MULK MUHTASHI: Gujarat governor (1318), 229, 230.
- RUHARSHAH: Chohan king (840), 157.
- RUHARSHAH: Chohanīya king (1174-1177), successor of Kunīyapāra persecuted Jain officers and scholars, is murdered by the door-keeper, 194-195.
- RUHAR: monarch of Kufayn, killed by Nālpāl, 120.
- RUHARSHAH: son of Mahārāja Jāyasthān of Māwa, causes disturbances (1659-1692), 286, 289; his plans of rebellion checked (1708), 291; recovers Jodhpur (1709), 295, 298; is reconciled with the emperor (1714), gives his daughter to him in marriage, 297; is appointed forty-seventh viceroy of Gujarat, disagrees with Haidar Kālī Khān, 299-300; is appointed forty-ninth viceroy of Gujarat (1719-1721), 301.
- RUHAR: Mbar settlement at, 130; capital of Chohan kings, 157, 180.
- RUHARSHAH: perhaps Khajurāho, 518, 519.
- RUHARSHAH: Rāshtrakūṭa klog of the Gujarat branch, re-establishes himself in the territory of his father Dhruva, 120.
- RUHARSHAH: another name of Kishor, the Dakhan Rāshtrakūṭa king, recovers the disputed area to the south of the Tāpā, his grants, 127-128. See Kishor.
- RUHARSHAH: ancient name of, Māwa, 30 and note 1.
- RUHAR: Delhi emperor (1578-1604), struggles with the Sūradīns, 110, 207, 211, 220; marries Mirza Mubārak Khān's daughter at Māwa (1584), 369.
- RUHAR: Aurangzib's son, rebels (1697), 220.
- RUHARSHAH: the palace at, built by Nasir-ud-din of Māwa (1500-1512), 305.
- RUHAR KOBARA: of Bahmanshāhī, asks help of the king of Hindustān, 519.
- RUHAR: brother of Prachanda, 129.
- RUHAR: 126.
- RUHARSHAH: Ankheśvar, 115.
- RUHAR KHAN: 190; brother of Alā-ud-din Khilji leads an expedition against Gujarat and conquers it (1297), invades Somanth, constructs a Jaina mosque at Anakhilāraja with white marble pillars taken from Jain temples, 205.
- RUHARSHAH: Gujjar king (890), 3; codes Takhalāfa, 468.
- RUHARSHAH II.: Arizmdin, son of Jahānshāh, succeeds to the throne of Delhi (1754-1759), 339.
- RUHAR: father of Umayyā, 603.
- RUHARSHAH CHANDRANATH: work on rhetoric compiled by Hemachandra, 159.
- RUHAR ARI KHAN: Deputy viceroy of the Dakhan, pursues the Nizam, is defeated and slain at Bālpur (1729), 301.
- RUHAR-UD-DIN KHILJI: Delhi emperor (1296-1316), despoils the Somanth temple, 190, 207, 219, 515, 516.
- RUHARSHAH: identified with Hishmān, expedition against, 109, 167.
- RUHAR: Lieutenant, disarms and shoots Muntashāhīn, the Arab leader in revolt at Surat, 441.
- RUHARSHAH: 78 and note, 81, 157 note 1. See Al Birmī.
- RUHAR BILGUNI: Ahmed bin Yāhya, surnamed Abu Jāfar, writer of Futuh-ul-Buldan (592), 505 and note 3, 506, 518 and notes 3 and 9, 520.
- RUHARSHAH: Abu Rūshān, Arab traveller (970-1039), 453, 500, 507 and note 9, 508 and note 8, 510 and note 3, 511 and note 9, 512 and note 1, 518 and note 11, 514, 516 and note 11, 517, 518, 520, 521, 522, 523, 524, 525, 527, 528, 530, 531. See Al Birmī and Abu Rūshān.
- RUHARSHAH: the Great, Macedonian emperor (c. 336-323), 164 note 5, 497, 532, 535, 537, 544, 545.
- RUHARSHAH: town, 530.
- RUHARSHAH: (714), 506.
- RUHARSHAH: India, 505 note 3, 507.

- ALF AKKEE** : of Isaphān, governor of Fārs and Cambay, 280; his assassination (1646), 280.
AL IJMAH : Abu Abdallah Muhammad, author of *Nawā'id-al-Mushā'ik* (1108), 509 and note 10, 510 note 5, 510, 511, 512 and note 1, 516, 517 and note 2, 519, 521, 523, 524, 525, 526, 527, 528, 529, 531.
ALIRAG : 356.
ALINGA : appointed naval councillor, 181. See *Rājasa*.
AL IJMAH : Abu Is-hak, author of *Kitāb-al-Akālīs* (551), 509 note 5, 510 note 1, 511 and notes 2 and 4, 512, 514 and notes 2 and 3, 516 notes 6 and 7, 520 and note 10, 523.
AL JAURANI : Arab writer (182-209), 508 note 10, 525, 526.
AL KAZWANI : Zakariyah Ibn Muhammad, author of *Nawā'id-Bihar* (1263-1275), 509 note 2, 510 and note 1, 516 and note 14, 517 note 1, 529.
ALLAHABAD : inscription of Samudragupta at, 63, 65.
ALLITROCHADES : Bhadrāra, son of Chandragupta, 534.
AL MAHDI : Khalifah (775-785), 525.
AL MAHUR : Abū'al Khalifah (813-833), 506, 520.
AL MAS'UDI : Abul Hasan, Arab traveller (915-946), 506 note 2, 520 note 3, 519, 512, 513, 514, 516, 518 and note 4, 523, 521, 523, 524, 525, 526, 527, 528, 529, 531.
AL MURRI : Abū'al Kāsim, 513.
AL MUTAWAKKIL : 605 note 3.
ALOR : town, 534.
ALF KHAIR, governor of Gujarat (1310), 229 note 6.
AMARAT KHAN : military governor of Surat (1680), 288. See *Ismā'il Khān*.
AMARAHATA : Sanskrit form of *Amhada*, 165 note 3.
AMARAKA : branch of Salivān, 128.
AMARJI : Devika of Jubbulpur (1759-1784), 70.
AMBI BHAYANI : rugged land beyond, owned by a branch of the Vāghelās, 206.
AMBADA : son of Udayana, rises to eminence in the reign of Kuntīpāla, 170; fights with Mallikārjuna and in a second fight kills him for which he is honoured with the title of *Rājapitāmaha*, 185-186, 196, 221; refuses subordination to Ajayapāla in religious matters and is killed in a fight, 195.
AMBALA : Sanskrit form of *Amhada*, 165 note 3.
AMBAPATAKA : village, grant of, to a Jain temple at Navasari, 125.
AMBIKA : goddess, temple of, at Kodinār, 182 and note.
AMBA : 511. See *Amshilavāda*.
AMIL : sub-divisional officer, 210, 212.
AMIN : chief treasurer, 212, 214.
AMIRANI BAHAN : inscription of (1346), 230.
AMIRKHAN : Rājā's general, 405.
AMIR KHUTRAO : author of *Tarikh-i-Alai*, 513.
AMRITA : king at, 430.
AMOGHAYANSHA I. : Dakṣiṇ Rāshtrakūṭa king, 123, 124, invades Gujarat, 123, 126, 127, 423.
AMRAHATA : see *Amhada*.
AMRAKABHATA : Gupta chief, 60.
AMRELI : battle at (1730), 312; Mahale, 413.
AMRUT SING JAMAL TASHIRASI : 521.
AMRUTAKHAT : inscription of, 81.
ANAHILA : Bhārvid, shows Vamraja the site of *Anahilavāda*, 151.
ANAHILAPURA : city, 151; Vamraja's installation at, 152; Ambada returns victorious here after his fight with Mallikārjuna, 185. See *Anahilavāda*.
ANAHILAVADA : Chāvala of, 154; foundation of (765), 466; removal of the capital of Mularāja Solanki to, 130; named after a Bhārvid who shows the site; founding of Jain temple at, 151-152; chronicles, 780; Mularāja's father and angles stop at, 156; cavalry parade at, 197; Jain and Mahāder temples at, 190, 191; lake built by Durjishha at, 163; invasion by Kela-chandra of, 163-164; Rikina 1. king of, at Mahād's advance abandons it and flies away, 180; proposed capital of Mahād of Ghazni, 182 note 1; Samamara temple at, 170; Vikramasinha imprisoned at, 185; house-temple at, 193; sack of (1194), 329, its different names, 511. See *Anahilapura*.
ANAHILAVATA : Sanskrit form of *Anahilavāda*, 151.
ANAHILAVATANA : 304. See *Anahilavāda*.
ANAKA : see *Amorāja*.
ANALOR : name of *Anarāja*, father of Lavam-prasada, as given in an inscription, 200.
ANALLADEVA : name of *Anarāja* as given in the *Hamirnamahākavya*, 182. See *Amorāja*.
ANANDAPURA : ancient name of *Vadnagar*, 8 and note 2.
ANANDRAT GIKWAD : heir of Govindrao, 412.
ANANDRATPAVAR : settled at Dhar (A.D. 1734), 522. His Highness Sir K.O.S.L. C.I.E., the present Maharaja of Dhar, 523.
ANANTAPURA : fort of, 180 note 2.
ANARAJA : king of Sakambhari, 181 and note 3, 182. See *Amorāja*.
ANARITA : ancient division of Gujarat, 6, 35, 56; legendary Gujarat king, 5.
ANANTAPURA : see *Khandapura*.
ANARAK : Andhra, 523, 524.
ANARAS : the (A.D. 1338), 32, 52, king of, at the bridegroom-choosing of Durjishha, 163, 328.
ANARAPANA : identified with *Derian*, 538.
ANARAKHITTAS : see *Anarika* and *Sakambhari*.
ANARAKHITANAMITA : Collection of words of more than one meaning, compiled by Hemachandra, 122.
ANOA : king of, at the bridegroom-choosing of Durjishha, 163.
ANON : village, destruction of, by Mr. Ashburner, 413.
ANGUDIVA : island, 546.
ANILAVANA : 516. See *Anahilavāda*.
ANILVANA : 512, 514. See *Anahilavāda*.
ANRISTARA : Akshayara Krishna's Bagunra grant from, 128; Marathas defeated at (A.D. 1711), 297.
ANOKON : capital of Cambodia, 499, 500, 502.

- ANANT PLOOMANUS**: 536.
ANANTTAKA: modern Anant, 125.
ANCI: Prakrit local name of Anaraja, 181 note 5.
See Anaraja.
ANANDPUR BHASNARI: deputy of the viceroy Ajit Singh, 301.
ANARAJA: name of, 153.
ANARAJ BHASNAR: Marathi leader in Gujarat, 391.
ANARAJ: 536.
ANTONIO DE SYLVIA: Portuguese commander in India, 349.
ANTONINUS PIUS: Roman emperor (154-181), 542.
ASTOLI: plate of, 122.
ASTORI-CHANDI: Kakka, the Rāshtrakūṭa prince's grant of, 121.
ATTA: name of country, 30 note 2.
ATPANA: wife of Tejapala, 302.
ATTA GANESHI: Peshwa's viceroy of Gujarat, 345.
ATPADIYTA: successor of Mallikārjuna, 186.
ATPANTA, the western seaboard, 33 and note 2.
ATPANTAKAS: 524.
ATPORA: the Aravalli, 539.
APOLONIUS: Haktian-Greek king, 17, 18; his inscriptions, 535, 544, 545.
APOLONIS: modern Oballah, 545.
ARABASTRA: 534.
ARABASTRA: 533, 534.
ARABIA: 543.
ARAB QUARD: at Broda, disbanded (1800), 413.
ARABHATTA: *see* Alada.
ARAB INVASION by, 117; route of, 465; advance of, by sea and land (637) and through Persia, (650-660), 497, 525, 577, 629.
ARABODIOT: people of eastern Afghanistan, 545.
ARATHIOT: Arathes of the Mahābhārata, 545.
ARATAS: 545.
ARIS: battle of (1723), 305.
ARUDAI: *see* Ara.
ARCHITLADO: Malay, 492.
AREAK: tribe, 534.
AREKHIN PAPARAN: 544, 545.
ARODHITAMA: district, 191.
ARODHITAYA: grandson of Buvijaya (660), 489.
AREKHIN: city, 546.
ARHAI-DIKAI JORDAI: mosque in Ajmer, originally Sanskrit school, 180.
AREHAT ACHARA: great monastery built by, 79.
ARIAX: north Konkan, 540, 544, 545.
ARIKELAI: 466.
ARHITANAMI: Jain temple of, 181, modern Ahmadabad.
ARUNA, visit of, to Kathiawar, 9.
ARUNADIVA: Vagbela chief (1262-1274), 170; succeeds Vilakalava; extension of his kingdom and his inscriptions, 200-201, 206.
ARUNAVARA: Khatiya tribe, 64 note 2.
ARUNARA: probably Cape Rames, 542.
ARORAJA: king of Sumbhar, 170, 182, 184; fights with Kusumpuralla and is defeated, 194, 188. *See* Anaraja.
ARORAJA: son of Dhavala, king of Bhim-pali; helps Bhima II. in resisting the power of his nobles (1170-1200), 195, 197, 198, 206. *See* Anaraja.
ARUMATA: Cape Guardafui, 545.
ARON DOMINIONS: spread of, 490.
ARHAN: (150 A.D.), 532, 533, 534, 542, 545.
ARHANOS: 546.
ARHARAI: town, 538.
ARHARA: country, 540.
ARA BULL, defeat of (1413-14), 238.
ARAPALA: prince, 178 and note 1.
ARAPALLI: modern Ahmedabad, 205.
ARAP JAN NISAN-UL-MULK: governor of Malwa (1717-1721), 352.
ARAP-UL-BILAN: work by Al Kharisi, 510 and note 1.
ARAPTA: village, 329, 513.
ARAPAL: village, temple at, 170.
ARAPAL: Ahmadabad, 231, 234, 235, 508, 509, 510, 512, 513, 514.
ARHAI: Bhil chief, slain by Karna, 170.
ARHAPALLI: modern Araval, west of Bhil chief, Arha, 170.
ARHUPHIN: Mr. Assistant Magistrate, Kaira, raises a fort for the protection of the district, 430; suppresses a rising of Thakors at Par-talpur, 443, 444.
ARHAI-UL-BILAN: work by Imd Hanka, 511.
ARHARIS: coins, 342.
ARHAI: identification of, 334.
ARHAI: tribe, 534.
ARHAI: the Aravalli, 583, 533.
ARHARAS: 535.
ARHAI: Mauryan Emperor (B.C. 360), 13, 14; raises monuments in Buddha's places of rest, 79.
ARHARAS: Brahman stages of life, 116.
ARHAI: 528. *See* Kaman.
ARHAI: LATA: during Muslim period, 209, 210, 211, 212, 214.
AR SINDH: Indus valley, 307.
ARHAKAPA: town, identified with Hastaka-vapa or Hathak, 539, 544.
ARHADA: son of Udaya, rises to a high position under Kamrapala, 170.
ARHANA: darbazi hall, built by Siddharaja, 179.
ARHAI AND BAK: king (1154-1159), Sudd's patron, 515 note 4.
ARHAI: village, on the Tapti, 309; fort, built at (1730), 310, 331.
ARHAI: 54.
ARHAI: sup., 481.
ARHAI: town, 538.
ARHAI: Piran, 509, 515.
ARHAI: subdivision of Brahmins, originally northerners, 101; grants to, 172.
ARHAI: KALAN: tribe, 534.
ARHAI: (A.D. 14) 535.
ARHAI: Mughal emperor; 226, Prince Muhammad, twenty-fifth viceroy of Gujarat (1644-1646), 280; his rule marked by religious disputes, is recalled, 280; joins with Marat, defeats Juvant Singh and Dirth Shikoh, confines Murad and ascends the

throned (1455), 293; appoints Sati Yakti of Jangira, Mughal admiral (1670), 293; his campaigns against Udaypur and Méruar; imposes janyak tax in Gujjarat (1679), 296; his death (1707), 295.
AVARHULA: tribe, 532; modern Chani, 533, 534, 540.
AVAGAMIS: town, identification of, 333.
AVAGHATA: father of Yogesvara, 126.
AVANTI: king of, at the background-choosing of Durlabhdevi, 163.
AVAR: 161.
AVARA, tribe, 75.
AVASARITHI: age, 190.
AYODHYA: capital of Anam, 401.
AXAM KHAN: viceroy of Gujjarat (1635-1642), 278; subdues Kalia and Kathia, robbers in Jhalavada, Kathiawada, Navrangpur and Kachh (1639) 278; and marches against Navrangpur (1640); is recalled (1642), 279.
AXAM SHAH: prince, defeated and slain (1707), 296.

BABAR: Babayan, 506.
BABARI: military minister of Gulkwar: leaves the command of the forces to Kathikonda and takes part in the civil administration at Batohi, 416.
BAHA PIRAN: ford, defeat of the Musalmans at (1705), 294; advance of the Marathas to (1711), 297.
BANARSI VINA: 175, 187.
BANARO: Jemur, helps Eddharaja in his warlike feats, 174.
BANI ZAMIR: power of this, 285; disagreement with Bahar Kuli Khan, 300, 301.
BANERJADAB fort, invested by Chabasta, 187.
BANERAV: guardian of Yashtastrak, infant son of Umabai Dabhlade, 300.
BANAWI: town in Nijapur district, 125.
BADARASONT: apparently Borsad, 126.
BAD GUJJARS: high Gujjars, 464.
BADUN: town, 370.
BADNER: Mhor settlement at, 186.
BADRUJ: 514, 516.
BAGDAS: hills, hiding place of Karmaderv, 303.
BAGHMARA: village, copperplate grant from, 117; plate of Akkavarsha at, 125; grant of Dhruva II. at, 126; Akkavarsha Kishna's grant at, 127; Krishna, the Gujjarat Rashtra's king's grant at, 129; Dhruva II.'s plate at, 130, 468.
BAGVADA: fort, capture of, by Shivaji (1672), 367; by Lieutenant Welch in 1780, 409.
BANADA: son of Udaya, rises to high position under Kuntapala, 170; is made chief commander, 184; establishes Khatlapura, 186.
BANADARNA: town established by Bahula, 186 note 4.
BANANTH: Sultan of Gujjarat (1536-1536); captures Mandu and sends Mahmud II. of Malwa prisoner to Gujjarat; incorporates Malwa with Gujjarat (1556), 367; takes refuge at Mandu after his defeat at Mandasor,

flies from Mandu to Chittampur, 367, 368; orders the town of Bannat to the Portuguese, 367; his death (1556), 368-369.
BANARSH KHAN: son of a slave girl, claims the chiefship of Junagadh (1811), 425.
BANARSH KHAN: Khan Jahan, thirty-fourth viceroy of Gujjarat (1668-1671); sent as viceroy of the Dakhn (1671-1674), 285.
BANARSH SHAH I.: (1707-1712) emperor of Delhi, 296; his death, 297.
BANALIM: Indian viceroy of the Ghazni king, 124 note 1.
BANARIMAD: (Moved 7), 109, 102.
BANAWALPUR: 528.
BANFALI NAIK: Shivaji's spy in Gujjarat in 1664, 389.
BANIM SHAH: Ghazni king (1116-1157), 174 note 1.
BANIMAN: 506, 513, 515, 523.
BANIMAN: 510. See Branch.
BANIMAN: 508, 516. See Branch.
BANIMAN: 530. See Branch.
BANIMODA: apparently the modern village of Bholoda, remission of yalcitra tax at, 178.
BANUSARAYA: see Dadda III.
BANDADAR: Amble for rule, 517.
BANDAS: Persian, 512.
BANDAS: plate boat, 508 note 4, 521.
BANDAS: Valahya 530.
BANDAS: Paltan, 37, 541.
BANDAS: daughter of Sirji Rao Chhatke and widow of Durlabh Sindhi of Gwalior, 187.
BANDAS BANDA: Peshwa (1720-1740), sends Udayi Pavar to Gujjarat to drive away Pralati Gulkwar; carried on negotiations with the Gujjarat viceroy and promises to exclude Pralati, Kanti, and other freebooters from Gujjarat, 308; discovers the intrigues of Trimbhakrav Dabhlade; advances to Borsad and besieges it; raises the siege and on his way to the Dakhn defeats the forces of Trimbhakrav and Pishaji and kills Trimbhakrav (1731), 393; his negotiations with the Nizam (1731), 312, 313; is appointed governor of Malwa with Amardav Pavar as his deputy, 392.
BANDAS II.: Peshwa, son of Raghubhadrak (1796-1816), appoints his younger brother governor of Gujjarat, 411; appoints Trimbhakji Dangle Bar Solha of Ahmedabad, 427.
BANDAS: place, 520.
BANDAS: military paymaster, 514.
BANDAS: independence of, 513.
BANDAS GHERI: 456 note 1, 535.
BANDAS: warlike race, 345.
BANDAS: queen of Bhim I. (1169), 169; concubine, 181.
BANDAS: town, 574, 584.
BANDAS: Jain saints, 451 note 2.
BANDAS: of Nagodha, 75 notes 2 and 3.
BANDAS: Alghorah's era of, 75 note 1; starting of era, 81.
BANDAS: Peshwa's name for Gopesh, 78 note 1.
BANDAS: Peshwa (1740-1761), sends an army to Gujjarat and frees Rangaji, 323;

- his negotiations with Jawān Mard Khān (1750); imprisons Dāmaji and compels him to surrender half his rights and compounds (1761); includes Cambay in his share at the request of Momin Khān; imprisons all the members of the Galkwar and Delhade families and sends Baghmāthrao to Surat, 334.
 BILAJI VISHWANATH: Peshwa (1714-1720), advances to Ahmedabad and levies tribute, 293, 296; his negotiations at Delhi respecting the Gujarat tribute (1717), 349.
 BILASPORE: king, identified with Vilhaya-kara, 541.
 BILMIRA: 505.
 BILMIRAS: Hoshtrakāpa, 468, 469, 505, 509, 512, 514, 516, 518; rulers of Malhat, 512, 525, 526, 529, 527, 529, 530, 531.
 BIL MURAJA: see Mularaja II.
 BILAVAR: battle of (1729), 501, 550.
 BILASWAR: residence of the Bahl family, 514; captured by Bhagvantrāy from Saadar Muhammad Khān Haid, 344; recaptured by Sardār Muhammad Khān (1761), 543.
 BILIN: see Vajah.
 BILSIAN: village, 127.
 BILISA: village, identified with Wahan, gift of, 111.
 BILK: 144, 543.
 BILMILA: king of Malwa, defeated by Kumbhāpala, 154.
 BILMER: 185, 196, 466.
 BILMER: grant of Vinayāditya Mangalārāja at, 108, 127; asked by the Portuguese in A.D. 1531, 347.
 BILPATNA: modern Pat, 540.
 BILYA ACHA: Kassamachitra, ruler of Gujarat, 459.
 BILIAN: 497.
 BILMOSCHER: town, 540.
 BILVA: poet, 154.
 BILVANA: identified with Benin, 525.
 BILVAPAST: Vansam, 541.
 BILVADHAR: calligrapher, 459.
 BILVAVARMAN: 76.
 BILVA: Balam or Nārāyan, 511 and note 12.
 BILVA: Brahman, feed of Mankir, 514.
 BILVA RASA: 525.
 BILVAT: town, 538.
 BILVADHAN: desert sea, 455.
 BILVA: Shalika or Valsinava pontiffs, 84-85, 85 note 1.
 BILVA GHANWAR: half-brother of the Galkwar, a political refugee at Ahmedabad (1857), 442.
 BILVA Island, 529.
 BILVADA: Fortmular, 524.
 BILVADAST: sage, 451.
 BILVAT: Varaha the Bear, temple of, 451.
 BILVATHA: Brahmana, 530 and note 11.
 BILVAT: residence of, 471.
 BILVAT: Devia, 528, 544, 548.
 BILVATTA: Talapa's general and king of Lata or south Gujarat, killed by Mularaja, 157, 158.
 BILVAT: Branch, 513.
 BILVANA: 174, 324. See Barbaraka.
 BARBARAKA: Hermon, 174 and note 2; see Ayyas tribe, 174 and note 1, 175.
 BARBARIL: town, 174 note 1, 328.
 BARBARIKOX: Shāhismādar, 174 note 1, 324, 544.
 BARBARILS: ancient Barbaraka, 175.
 BARBARA: traveller (1611-1614), 513.
 BARDA: hills, 57, 135, 136; town, 513.
 BARDAI: main division of Mithi chieftains, 134.
 BARDOI: 139.
 BARDAKERA: Fortmular, 525.
 BARDEKAT: 542.
 BARDOSE: Branch, 536.
 BAR: city, 518.
 BARDAI: Karfa's grant at, 122, 124, 125; Dhorva's grant at, 126, 127; Kumbhāpala's visit to, 183; granted by Kumbhāpala to Kataka, 184; 235; one-fourth revenue of, assigned to Pilaji Galkwar by Hamid Khān, 506; capture of, by the Marathas under Mahadaji Galkwar (1734), 314-315; invested by Govindrāy Galkwar (1775), 401; affairs at, managed by Rājī and Bāhājī Ayya, 412; affairs of (1803), 413; Maratha conspiracy at (1857), 442-443.
 BARUDARA: ancient name of Branch, 18.
 BARDI: Branch, 506, 509, 511, 511, 512, 513, 517, 520.
 BARVA: identified with Bharuch, expedition against, 109, 465, 467, 501, 513.
 BARVA: Arable for lanna shafts, 513.
 BARVA: Branch, 505.
 BARVA: Verical, 521.
 BARYGALA: Branch, 535; gulf of, 522, 544; exports and imports of, 543, 546.
 BARDIV: Krishna, 519.
 BARIL: reputed author of the Periplus, 542.
 BARIL: 505 notes 3 and 4, 518.
 BARANAKA: poem, 546.
 BARAIN: Mallikarjuna's inscription at, 186; destroyed by the Portuguese (1637), 347; ceded to the Portuguese by Sultan Bahadur of Gujarat (1594), 347; captured by the Marathas (1732), 327; besieged by General Goddard (1750), 409; treaty of (1802), 413, 523, 546.
 BARDO: 521. See Bārdar.
 BARVA: Bel, 506 and note 1.
 BARVA: 55 note 3. See Bappa.
 BARVAT: people of Karha and Sammath, 505; Mithi plants, 517, 521.
 BARINA: Bārah or Nārāyan, 511 note 12, 520.
 BILV BARADUR: the last of the independent chiefs of Māndu, 525; Malik Bāyad kills his brother Daulat Khān; the defeat of, by the Qazis; his poetic love of Roqmāt or Roqmātī; expulsion of, by Pir Muhammad Akbar's general (1566); his restoration and the death of Pir Muhammad in 1561; re-capture of Māndu by the Mughals under Abdullah (1562); the retirement of, to Ghulnat (1567); accepts service under the Mughals (1570), 369-371.
 BARVING: village, 537, 548.
 BARVA: Bārdar creek, 540, 542.
 BARVAL: 174; original place of Srigandha, 181.

- 463, 494; Gurjara Vatsarāja's success in 527, under Tilat, 528.
- BANERJIA**: 534, 543.
- BANERJIA**: town on the Red Sea, 535.
- BANUNI**: 81. See Al-Biruni.
- BANUN**: 516 and note 5.
- BAY**: island and fort, seized by Vaghers, captured and destroyed by the English (1859), 446-447.
- BHĀSHVAT**: minister of Bhima II., 300.
- BHĀSHVA**: river, 160.
- BHĀSHVĀLĪ**: inscription in the temple of, 79 note 3, 81; shrine of, at Pātan, 190.
- BHĀSHVĀLĪ**: inscription in a temple near, 179; in Kachh, expedition against the chief of, 301; inscription slab at, 104.
- BHĀSHVĀLĪ**: see Bhāshvār.
- BHĀSHVĀLĪ**: 180 note 2.
- BHĀSHVĀLĪ**: Dr., 111, 117 note, 124, 127, 129 note 3, 127, 128, 141, 144, 145, 167 note 1, 163, 341, 544.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: Peshwa's deputy, marches on Cambay; is surrounded and taken prisoner by Momin Khān; his release, 338; his engagements with Momin Khān (1754), 339; conquers Rāthāpur and loses Peshwa's share of the revenue, 341.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: share system of levying land revenue in kind, 379.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: shrine of, 474; Bodhisattvic guardian, 498 and note 1.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: Professor, 110, 127, 341, 545.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: Gupta ruler (511), 72.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: 483.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: Mahānāth Kshātrapa (279-291), coins of, 43.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: see Bhāshvār.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: military officer, 125.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: 80; founder of the Vāshāhīdynasty of Gurjara descent, coins ascribed to him (509-523), 85, 86, 87, 136.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: reduced by Mahmūd of Ghazni, 168.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: settlement of, 123. See Bhāshvār.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: genealogists, 491 and note 1.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: tribute of priests of Digambara Jain sect, 85.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: 137.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: Śālya tribe, 534, 546.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: Bhāshvār's state officer at Somnāth, 193.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: early Rāshtrakūṭa prince, 120; Pātan, 465.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: Daroda officer, his intrigues (1857), 442, 446.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: town and creek, 78; state collection, 473, 531.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: of Viramgām, invites the Marāṭhās to Viramgām and expels the Kachhīs from the town (1756), 317; attacks the fort and expels the Marāṭhās, 325.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: 460. See Bhāshvār.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: 451, 532.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: Chaulukya king (1022-1064) succeeds Durlabha, leads victorious expeditions against the kings of Sind and Chedi; Kulachandra attacks his capital Anahilavāṇī; he succeeds at the advance of Mahmūd of Ghazni (1024), his plates, 79 note 2, 163-170, 181; builds the Somnāth temple, 190, 522.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: Chaulukya king (1179-1242) succeeds Mulārāja II.; his grants, 195 and note 3; his nickname Bhāshvār (reception), 190, 470.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: 79 note 2, 181, 190. See Bhāshvār.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: Chaulukya ruler, 229. See Bhāshvār.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: town, 190.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: (563), 460.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: husbandman, succeeded Somnāth, 192; is appointed head of the royal Bodyguard, 194.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: temple of, near Dura, 463.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: "Śrīmāl" town, 3 and note 6; expedition against, 102 and note 2; Gurjara of, 113, 169; Chavāḍa connected with Chavāḍa of, 139, 166, its king sides with Mulārāja, 160; description of, 410; people of, 460; objects in the town, 451-452; surroundings, 452-454; of Jaikop, 458-459; son temple at, 459-461; legends, 461-463; caste legends of, 463-465; history, 463-471; origin of the name of, 460 note 6; 469, inscriptions at, 471-489; Gurjara chief of, 489; collection of, 512 note 2, 526.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: king of Māhā, 103, 164, 190, 453 note 1.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: Śārīr and treasurer of the Gāikwār (1857), his intrigues, 442; obtains pardon at the intercession of the Gāikwār, 443.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: town, 438.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: installed as Pottarāl or pottarāgent of Kumārāpāla, 184. See Bhāshvār.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: sage, 461.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: modern Bhāshvār, 137; Kumārāpāla meets a southwayer at, 183.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: SATELAKHĀLĪ: son of Kāmākhītrā, king of Gujārāt, 489.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: 136. See Bhāshvār.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: 215 note 2, 451 note 3.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: 137.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: fort, capital of Mhars, 136, 137, 138.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: wife of Kumārāpāla, 184. See Bhāshvār.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: Chaulukya king, kills Jayasinhā, 130, 157.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: Mulārāja's ancestor, 157.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: another name of Chāmpā, 154, 166.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: uncle of Mulārāja, 160, 160.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: 104. See Vijayapura.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: poet (c. 1000 A.D.), 166.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: Roach, 507.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: town, 578.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: Gupta inscription at, 67.
- BHĀSHVĀTRĀY**: Captain, political agent, Radhanpur, 441.

- BOHRAH**: 534, 546. See *Bharungl*.
BOHRAN: name of a wall, 453.
BOMBAY: island, burned by the Portuguese (1532); 247; harbour, 533.
BOMBILS: leatherworkers, 431.
BOMB: 545.
BOON BUDHON: Javan town, 450.
BOSSAN: 116; fort, built by Jagjivan Pavar, Maratha leader (1742), 325; given up by Rangoji to the Muzulmans, 326; siege and fall of, 333; besieged by Momin Khan, 332; recovered by Rangoji from Barika, adopted son of Khanderav Gulkar; retaken by Khanderav and Damaji Gulkar (1748), 290.
BOSARI: Kumbharpala's companion in exile, 182; appointed viceroy of Sika or south Gujarat, 184.
BOSTA: hill range, 156.
BORDIA: town, 535.
BORETHALA: Jalalpur, 546.
BORCHIER: Mr., Governor of Bombay (1759), 513.
BRAMA: inscription in the temple of, on the Chitola fort, 184; king of the Indians, 531.
BRAMHAGUTTA: astronomer (628), his work on astronomy called *Bratamagupta Siddhanta*, 138, 433 note 1, 467.
BRAMHAKUND: Brahman's pool, 432, 433, 463.
BRAMHAN: 530, 531.
BRAMHANISAD: town, 519.
BRAMHARONAB: lake, 454.
BRAMHABHARANYA: work on astronomy by Bratamagupta, 433 note 1.
BRAMHATAKA: family name of Prachanda, 129.
BRAMHATH: capital of Bhurjijaya, king of Jata, 459.
BRIDGE: Colonel (1827), 282.
BRINARPATI: Gauda Bhata, repairs the Somnath temple, 159, 160. God, 561.
BRINATHAMUNA: work by Varaha Mihira, 610.
BRITISH: the intervention for protection of the chiefs of Kathiawar against the Mughal system, 421-422; settles treaty of the, with Ravi; reward Ravi with a village, 413; make a fresh treaty with the Kathiawar, consolidating all previous engagements into a single treaty and constitute themselves arbiters in all disputes of the Kathiawar with foreign powers and the Peshwa (1805), 418. See English.
BROACH: Valabhi grants in, 86; district, Gurjar dynasty of, 107, 113; Valabhi king's camp of victory at, 114; described by Hsien Tsang; port, submitted to Pulakesi II., grant at, 110, 117, 126; Dhruva II.'s Bagunas and Baroda grants made at, 127; a seethayer at, promises Kumbharpala the throne, 183; Lavanasaula's fight with Singharas at, 159; insurrection at (1325), 513-514; siege and relief of (1347), 260; (1412), 225; plundered by the Marathas (1670), 267; plundered several times by the Marathas (1685), 267-268; capture of, by the Marathas and Momin (1691), 288; siege of, by Damaji and the Marathas (1741), 324, 396; defended by the Nizam's lieutenant
 Nek Alum Khan; raising of the siege by Damaji; concessions of a share in the customs revenues of, to Damaji by the Nizam, 324; governor of, becomes independent (1732), 334; capture of, by the English (1772), 401; given over to Sindia in 1802, 410; captured by the English from Sindia (1802), ceded to the English by the treaty of Surje Asanjagan (1805), 414; its different names, 512, 521, 522, 536, 543; 546.
BROGARA: wearing of, at Ahmedabad, enumerated by emperor Aurangzeb (1700), 292.
BUCHHALLA: Jalalpur, capital of the Asgi, 534.
BUDER: Captain, political agent of Rens Kaula (1857), 439, 443.
BUDHMA: idol of, 531; introduction of, in China, 539.
BUDHAYARMHAN: ruler of Kaira, 108, 110, 111; Kalachuri prince, 114.
BUDHAGUTTA: Gupta king (A.D. 404-508), 74, 72, 135; overthrown by Toramana, 136.
BUDHMER: state religion in Cambodia, 502; religion in Gujarat, 530.
BUDHISTS: 531.
BUDHITA: town, 538.
BURAK: Dr., 74, 111, 113, 117 note, 155, 156, 157, 161, 174 note 1, 193 note 4, 366.
BURJIBER: constructed in Rishikaraj's time, 179-180.
BURJIBERAND: Krishna's son Jagatnaga, lived at, 180.
BURJIBER: 233.
BURJIBER: plundered by the Marathas in 1670, 287.
BURMA: 257.
BURMESE TRAVELS INTO BOHARA: 544.
BYZANTINE: Valjeyani, 546; Chelipia, 640.
BYZANTINE: 546.
- CACHAR**: 471.
CARI: Kalyana, 533.
CARINOOK: Point Godavari, 533.
CARNAV: Bramhacharya, 123; Kumbharpala, repairs to, 182; Jain temple at, repaired by Kumbharpala, 190; plundered by Alauddin's army, 106; sack of (1572), 229 and note 2, 224 and note 2; 225 and note 2; sack of (1347), 230, 282, 283; siege of, by Tribhakar of Kathiawar, 206; Momin Khan appointed governor of, 311, 517; customs house at, 323; included in the Peshwa's share of tribute (1762), 394; failure of a Maratha attempt on (1733), 324, 325; interview of Ravi of Baroda with Governor Dunsen at (1800), 412; Musulman preacher of, 512, 513; its different names, 514; importation of horses into, 516.
CAMBODIA: 199-204; origin of the name Kumbhaja, 458 note 4; Brahmanic dynasty of; inscriptions, king of, an embassy from to China (617), 499; also, 528.
CAMBODIA: 471.
CANDRAGUTTA: 533. See *Chandragupta*.
CANE: port, Him Ghurah, 507.

- CAPITALIA** : identified with Mount Abu, 534.
CARNELIANA : 75 note 1.
CASHTAS : 330. See Chishtiya.
CASHTANAS : history of the Portuguese in India up to A.D. 1535, 349.
CASHTS : Gujar underlayer in Gujarat, 4; legends of, 463-465.
CASHTIYA : 296. See Chishtiya.
CASHTY : 500, 515, 538.
CASHI : 681-670, 519.
CASHI NAMA : Arabic history of Chach, 519.
CASHIOTA : Modh Venia of Bhambhuka, father of Hasmachandra, 191.
CASHIANS : white people, 501.
CASHIADA : son of Udaya and younger brother of Rohida; rises to a high position under Kumbhapa, 170; leads an expedition against Samblar; title of Rajogharata conferred on him, grants half a village, 187.
CASHIYAVANTI : Jain saint, 451 note 3.
CASHIYA : grants of, 466, 467.
CASHIYA or CASHIYA : see Chaulukya.
CASHIYA : Dakshin dynasty (A.D. 552-973), 153; early traces in Gujarat of its rule, come from the Dakshin and establish themselves in Gujarat; their grants, genealogy, 107-112.
CASHIPAN : attacked by Alim I. (1415), 227; taken by Mahmud Begada and made his capital under the name of Mahammadabad (1484) 247; captured by the Marathas (1728), 208; 307; 308; 391-392.
CASHIYA : Chavada king (A.D. 880-908), 154, 165; son of Malurja Chaulukya, slays in fight Dvārappa and Bārappa, 169; his reign (A.D. 907-1010); installs his son Vallabha; goes on pilgrimage to Banāra; is insulted by the Malwa king, 162. The family stock of Hasmachandra, 191. Ruler of Vantali, killed by his brother-in-law Viradimal Vaghela, 200.
CASHIYA : shrine of, 419, 457, 458.
CASHIYA : murti, 531.
CASHIYA : dynasty in Bundelkhand, 178.
CASHIYAWA : shrine of, 482 and note 1.
CASHIYA : 461.
CASHIYI : shrine of, 453.
CASHIYI MANDIR : 467, 468.
CASHIYAS : officer of Pulikoti II. takes Pari, 707.
CASHI KHAS : illegitimate brother of Sultan Bahadur of Gujarat, is supported by the Portuguese (1532), 347, 367.
CASHIYAS : Malurja's ancestor, 157.
CASHIYAS : founder of Maurya dynasty (B.C. 319), 13-14.
CASHIYAS I. : third Gupta king (A.D. 340-360), 61, 67.
CASHIYAS II. : fifth Gupta king (A.D. 396-416), inscriptions, coins, founded Gupta era (201), 65-67, 86, 129.
CASHIYAS : identified with Chandavar and Gokarna, 171 and note 1.
CASHIYAS : visited by Kumārapāla, 185, 188; capital of Vishalgirya, 204; -Parnara possession, 470.
CASHIYI : coin, 522 note 2.
CHANGADEYA : original name of Hasmachandra, 191.
CHATA : dynasty, 184 and note 1, family of Bhimad, 120, 463 note 3, 490.
CHATHIYAS : Gurjara origin of, 467; Sanskrit form of Chaulukya, 160.
CHATHIYAS : of Valabhi copperplate, 40.
CHATHIYAS : second Sahastara (A.D. 180), coins of, 20-31; 32.
CHATHI : tribe, 532, 534.
CHATHI : partition works, 103.
CHATHIYAS : Andhra king, 38.
CHATHIYAS : Rajputs of Samblar, 403, 408. See Chathis.
CHATHI : 540. See Chaul.
CHATHIYAS : Sanskrit form of Chaulukya, 156, ruling dynasty of Anahilavada (A.D. 961-1247); invasion of Somnath by Mahmud of Ghazni, revulsion of pilgrim-tax; architectural buildings; ascendancy of Jainism and decline of the kingdom among the nobles under the, 166-197; kingdom of, 166.
CHATHIYAS : leaders of Kachhi after the fall of the Somnath, 517; dynasty, 506. See Chavada.
CHATHI : contribution, 388.
CHATHIYAS : of Anahilavada (710-966), 124; of Gurjara race establish a small chiefship at Panchdhar which falls in A.D. 696; establish a kingdom at Anahilavada, their genealogy, 149-156, 463 note 2; their settlements, 164, 466, 466; founders of Bhimad, 409; their affliction, 513 note 2. See Chāpas, Chauris, Chāpachalas, Chavotakas, and Chavars.
CHATHI : Gurjara surname, 463.
CHATHIYAS : kingdom of the Chavadas, affected by Arab army, 109. See Chavachas.
CHATHIYAS : identified with Chavada of Panchdhar, 150, 151, 463, 466, 467. See Chavachas.
CHATHIYAS : identified with Chāpas of Bhimad, 120. See Chavachas.
CHATHI : era, 57, 58 and note 1, 114; dynasty, 114; modern Bundelkhand, 180, 183; the king, present at the bridegroom-choosing of Durabhadra, 163; his king strangled, 186-187, 469. See Tribhaktas and Kachchuri.
CHATHI : modern Chaul, 433.
CHATHI : river, 522.
CHATHI : kingdom of, conquered by Pulikoti II., 311.
CHATHI : part, 351, 513, 516. See Chaul.
CHATHIYAS : 64 note 2, 65.
CHATHIYAS : work on Prosody, compiled by Hasmachandra, 193.
CHATHI : given to the English, 412.
CHATHIYAS : brother of Bajirao Peshwa, captures Chāpachar, 309, 322, 301, 392, is appointed Peshwa's subahdar of Gujarat, 411.
CHATHI : army of, marching from Magadha to Bhojpur, 497; vessels coming from, 513, 522, 523; religion of, 530.
CHATHI : Mallikarjuna's inscription at, 186; 540, 546.
CHATHIYAS : see Chaulukya.

- CHITRA:** fort, 184; inscription of Kumarapala at, 183.
- CHITUR:** Martyrs of, afflicted by Arab army, 109 note 1, 512 note 9; visited by Kuthirapala in his exile, 183.
- CHITRAKANTHA:** breed of horses, 111.
- CHITRAKUTA:** peak of Abu, 169; modern Chitra, 183, 169.
- CHONIDA:** tribe, Amir kings, 167, 403 note 2, 406, 470; see Bhilumal, 171.
- CHOLA:** kingdom, compared by Palakati II., 111.
- CHORVATA:** Valabhi hotel nine cultivators' settlement at, 113 and note 3; allude in Kithlavala, 208 and note 3.
- CHOTA UCHER:** defeat of Tatyapa Topi at, 443.
- CHUTKANA:** in Naimpur, 517.
- CHUKOLERS:** Jain, 156; Anahilavata, 100; Jain, 170, 201, 202.
- CHUTET:** Kanuka, 533.
- CHYCHONA:** 133.
- CHUDARI:** fort, 180 note 2.
- CHUDACHANDRA:** first ruler of Vasthal, 138, 139.
- CHUDAMAS:** invading tribe, originally of the Ahila tribe, 187; foreigners (900-940), 138-139, 173. See Ahir.
- CHURVAL:** 513, 517.
- CHYRA:** in Portugal inscription from Sumanatha found at, 295.
- CLAUDE:** reign of (41-64), 530.
- COLE:** a civil and criminal enacted by Mr. Mountstuart Elphinstone (1817), 436.
- COINS:** of Kumbhila (A.C. 153), 16-17; of Apollodorus, 18-19; of Alexander, 19-19; of Nabakapa, 24-25; Gupta, 29; of Chavhana (A.D. 180), 29-30; Gupta steps or round, 32; hoards of, 45-49, 57-60; of Samudragupta, two varieties of, 62-63, 66, 67-68; Gupta, 70, 71; of King Mahipala, 133; Hindu era, 142.
- COMBOUR:** Cambodia, 499.
- CONFIRMING:** of Gujarat (1297-1300), 217-228.
- CONVERGAM:** visited by Kumarapala in his exile, 183.
- CORRELATES:** Valabhi, description of, 79-80; of Gujarat Chalukya, 108; of the Gurjara, 113, 114; three forged, 117 note, 118, 121; of Bhima I., 163.
- CORRE CORRE:** (1607) introduced into Gujarat by the viceroy Mahabai, Khan (1662-1668), 291.
- CONNA:** Portuguese historian (1512-1550) died at Goa (1550), 342.
- COYAT:** English traveller (1670), 377.
- UGMAS:** Indraprastha, 56, 113, 140.
- CORONANA:** Kadatamada, 337.
- COWATS:** shell money, 327.
- COWS LAMB:** under Mughal administration, 211.
- CUNDA:** Nono da, Portuguese viceroy in India (1559) sends an expedition against the island of Dia; his defeat; supports Chand Khan; sends an embassy to the Court of Humayun; makes peace with Sultan Bahadur (1594); comes to Dia in 1598; murder of Sultan Bahadur at a meeting with (1605), 347, 349.
- CUNNINGHAM:** General, 80, 144, 170, 223, 334, 335.
- CUNHROT:** under Muslimina, 223 note 2.
- CUTER:** Gupta conquest of, 70. See Ragh.
- DABALA:** see Chedi.
- DABALWARAN:** plundered by Mahmud of Ghazni, apparently Delvala, 108 and note 2, 323.
- DABROT:** fort, its building ascribed to Siddharaja, 179; in south Gujarat, its fortifications repaired by Vishadeva, 203; Gadhwa's station in Gujarat (1732), 304; surrendered to Raghoba and Colonel Keating, 400 (occupied by General Goddard (1780), 408).
- DABHILAS:** ancient royal family, 169.
- DABALA:** minister of Siddharaja, 177.
- DABGA I:** Gurjara king of Nanded (580), 108, 114; first Gurjara founder of Bhimval Gurjara kingdom, 115.
- DABDA II:** Gurjara king (600-650), 35; Gurjara chief of Nanded, helps the Valabhis 55; his grant, 111, 114, 118, 116.
- DABDA III:** Gurjara king (650), 111; founder of Jayasimha, the Chalukya; first Salva of his family, adopts the Purandara pedigree traced to Rama, 116-117.
- DABHA WIL:** 455.
- DABHARAPUR:** fort, 180 note 2.
- DABHAR:** village, granted to Devaprasada, son of Kahanmaria, for maintenance, 150; residence of Kumarapala's ancestors, 181.
- DABHA:** perhaps Kumbh, 320.
- DABHARA:** Trailokya king (447), 45, 58.
- DABHA:** 334.
- DABHARAPUR:** Dakshinapatha, 343.
- DABHA:** Phaji Gadhwa assassinated at (1722), 313.
- DABHARA:** founded by Khanderla Dabhal, renewed by Rajaraj I. (1741), 334.
- DABHARAPUR:** Dabhal, 343.
- DABHA:** 109.
- DABHARAPUR:** envoy, 342.
- DABHARAPUR:** twelfth Khatrapa (230), coins of, 45. Sixteenth Khatrapa (250-256), coins of, 47.
- DABHAR:** founder of the Gadhwa family; distinguishes himself at the battle of Dabhar (1720), 320.
- DABHAR:** Gadhwa, son of Phaji, also Bala and Kola to revolt (1720), 304; loses tribute from the chiefs of south (1730), 321; attacks Chavval Kola and burns the Chavhar village, 321-322; appoints Rangaji as his deputy in place of Mahabai Khan (1741), 323; forges Bhush and receives a salute by its eastern revenues (1741), 324, 305; goes to Chavhar from Satara, 326; defeats Peshwa's army but is treacherously seized by the Peshwa and imprisoned (1751), 327; released; his negotiations with the Peshwa (1752), 327, 328; returns to Gujarat and is reconciled to his brother Khanderla, 330, 330; captures Kapadvanj and appoints his deputy

- Shivakul to collect his share of revenue, 338; joins the Peshwa's deputy to invest Ahmedabad (1756), 340; helps the Ráo of Raich in his expedition against Binli, 342; defeats Alauddin Khan at Cambay and recovers Visalnagar, Khernia, Vainagar, Bijapur, and Patan, 346; captures Ballabhor (1761), 369; accompanies the Peshwa to Delhi and escapes from Panipat (1761), 369; marries a daughter of the Góhli chief of Lohi whose dowry in land gives him the standpoint in the heart of Góhliárája, 418; his death (1768), 400; quarrels for succession in his family, 400.
- DAMAN: colony founded at, 58; burned by the Portuguese (1532), 347.
- DÁMARA: Bhiria I's general, takes Karnu captive, 163.
- DÁMASANA: eleventh Kshatrapa (A.D. 228-236), coins of, 45.
- DAMASINI: twenty-third Kshatrapa (A.D. 320), coins of, 50.
- DAMARADA: fifth Kshatrapa (A.D. 153-168), coins of, 20-40.
- DAM: coin, 222 note 2.
- DANDAGUDA: city, 533.
- DANDANI: village, 162.
- DANDAI: local name of Kadi district, 503 note 3.
- DANDU: forest, 508.
- DANDAKA: Mulraj's uncle, 156, 160.
- DANDA RÁZAR: 207 note.
- DANTA: 464.
- DANTIDURGA: his inscriptions at Ellora, 120; minutely, 122, 467.
- DANTIVARMAN: 120; son of the Bhádrakúta prince Karka, his plates, 125, 127.
- DARÍ SHIRÓN: * Prince Muhammad, twenty-seventh viceroy of Gujarat (1648-1652); sent to Káshimír, 290; obtains the transfer of Mural from Gujarat to Berár; is defeated at Dholpur by Mural and Aurangzeb; flies to Delhi and thence to Lahore (1658), 282; his rebellion; is defeated at Ahmedabad; flies to Sind; is taken prisoner (1649), 282.
- DARHÁVATI: modern Dehli, 233.
- DARIN: Birds of the Upper Indus, 332.
- DARI: tribe, 583.
- DARIN: talook, 421.
- DARVA KUN: Gujarat governor (1373), 281.
- DAROHAN: official, 214.
- DARUK: 641. See Dháru.
- DARWÁSH: see Atis.
- DARWÁSHI: Akbar's grandson (A.D. 210), 14-16.
- DATTADÉVI: Gupta queen, 65.
- DATT KRÁN PANN: forty-sixth viceroy of Gujarat (1714-1715); religious riots at Ahmedabad; his introduction of Dakhán Pandits into official posts, 288, 299.
- DAXOU DÁVATH: commander of Ahmedabad garrison (1763), 336.
- DAULATÁL BONDIA: treacherously seizes Nana Phadnavis and A'la Shinkar (1797), 411.
- DAVANA: kingdom of, 64 and note 2.
- DEBAL: expedition to, 505, 511, 512, 513; identified with Karamah or Thana, 508 note 2, 514, 517, 521; perhaps Dlu, 523, 547.
- DEBARROO: Portuguese historian (1579), 349.
- DECADAS: (1497-1539), a work by De Barros, a Portuguese historian, his death in 1570, 349.
- DEODARA: reservoir, 150 note 2.
- DEGADT: Prachanda's ancestor, 129.
- DEIMAGUS: ambassador, 534.
- DEHRI: fall of (26th September 1857); emperor of, in a prearranged correspondence with the Nawab of Bidhanpur, 461.
- DEHARAT: Major, Political Agent of Dhar (1843), 384.
- DEHINA: town, 233 and note 3.
- DEHSHIR: King of the Indians (A.D. 193-165), 16.
- DE LA VALLE: traveller (1833), 224 note 2.
- DEORI: grant from 469, 469, 541.
- DEOPALI: town, identified with Deoli, 541.
- DESA ISMAIL KHAN: 539.
- DESHAM: identified with the Telugas, 534.
- DESHI: position and duties of, 210, 212, 239, and note 2.
- DESHASTRI: Saturday oil-beggars, 451.
- DESHASTRÁLA: Prárit work on local and provincial words compiled by Hemachandra, 193.
- DESORRA: Emanuel, captain of the fort of Dlu (1536), 347.
- DETHANORA: Jain priest, visits Dhandbuka, carries Changoiya to Karnarat, changes his name Somachandra to Hemachandra, 161.
- DEVAL TAKAR: lieutenant of Dámaji Galkwar, defeats Abdul Aziz (1744), 325.
- DEVALADEVI: sister of Kumarpala, married to Anaraja king of Sakumbhari, 181, 182. Daughter of Kartadeva, the last Vaghula chief, married Kúlar Khán, 205.
- DEVALL: 465.
- DEVANAGARI: character, 50.
- DEVAPRASADA: son of Kshémaraja, 170; recommended by Karna to Siddharaja, burns himself on the funeral pile, 171.
- DEVAPITRA: Kshán name, 64 and note 3.
- DEVARAJA: early Bhádrakúta prince, 120; grantor in Dhruva's Baroda grant, 120, 470.
- DEVASINI: lady of Vilambara village, feeds Kumarpala in exile, 183, 184.
- DEVASTI: Svetámbara Jain Yáharya, holds a religious discussion with Kumbhachandra, Dighamara Jain Yáharya, 181 and note 3; Hemacharya's teacher advises Kumarpala to rebuild the Somnatha temple, 182.
- DEVATINI: wife of Yayati, 462.
- DEVATO: village, 184.
- DEVORAN: Daulatabad, 229 and note 4.
- DEVOTION: exhibition of, to Viradavala, 203.
- DEWACHARAN: Wagher chief in command of the fort of Bet, 447.
- DEWIA RAJPUTA: 462, 463.
- DEWIA RAJPUTA: 463. See Dewia Rajputa.
- DRAMMARAKHITO: Yava, evangelist (A.D. 290), 13.

- DHAN**: Mehr of Dhanakra, 87 note.
- DHANAKAT JAINAT**: enters Gujarat and defeats the Musalmans at Ratanpur and Bilsa March 1601 (1703) 291.
- DHANAKATAXA**: 533.
- DHANOHAT**: local name of Pilsanpur sikh, 203 note 2.
- DHANURKA**: Paramara chief of Abu, subdued by Vimala, general of Bhima I., 159.
- DHANURKAT**: Hemacharya's birthplace, taluka town, 191 and note 1; district under the Yachela, 193, 470.
- DHAN**: plateau, 352; capital of the old Hindu kings of Malwa, 357; Anandray Pagar settles at (1734), 352; defeat of Sultan Husang by his uncle Mansaff I. of Gujarat (1404), 355.
- DHAN**: capital of Malwa, attacked by Siddharaja, 178; carrying on pillars of a mosque at, 150. See **Dhar**.
- DHANTIDHAR**: gateway, 455 note 1.
- DHARAVAMANA**: Chapa king of Wallwar (314), 127, 400, 462.
- DHARAPATTA**: Valabhi king, divorce of the son, 82.
- DHARIPURI**: sacked by Karna, 163.
- DHARAKA I.**: Valabhi king, 111, 115.
- DHARAKA II.**: Valabhi king, copperplate of, 79 note 1.
- DHARAKA IV.**: Valabhi king, 116.
- DHARAKA RAYA JAYASINHA**: see Jayasinhavarman.
- DHARAVARMA**: another name of Dhruva I., also of Dhruva II., 125.
- DHARS**: tribe, 533.
- DHARUR**: town, 541, 545.
- DHAYALA**: king of Bhimnapalli, 195; Yachela chief (1190), 200.
- DHAWARASADNA**: see **Dholka**.
- DHAWALATPA**: Prachanda's father and general of Krishna Abhilashara, 123.
- DHAWARAKA**: Dhanakata, 533.
- DHAWANI**: forged grants at, 87; village, 137.
- DHAWNI**: Belimunda, Temu granted to, 121.
- DHAWI**: village, battle at (1735); defeat and death of Sultan Khata at, 316.
- DHOLKA**: Malwa lake at, built by Siddharaja, 189 note 2; district under the Yachela, 193; assigned to Rataning Bhundari (1735), 315; defeat of Rangaji by Rataning Bhundari at (1736), 317; defeat of the Marathas at (1741), 324, 317.
- DHOLPUR**: battle of (1658), 292.
- DHOLPUR**: fort in the Ajlota range; defeat of Raghunathsinh Peshwa at (1768), 400.
- DHURAT**: feudatory Rashtrakuta ruler of Gujarat, 121, 122.
- DHURTA I.**: (795) Dakhan Rashtrakuta king spreads his conquest from South India to Allahabad, 123; Gujarat Rashtrakuta king, his war with Dakhan Rashtrakuta king Anagharasa, 121, 120, 466.
- DHURTA II.**: (867) Gujarat Rashtrakuta king, opposed by Dakhan Rashtrakuta, his relations by the Gurjars and by a Miller king, 121, 126-127, 126.
- DHURTA III.**: of Branch, his grant, 453.
- DHURVAPATE**: Valabhi king, 79.
- DHURVAPATA I.**: first Valabhi king (238); follower of Valsimara son, 54; his grant, 50, 119.
- DHURVATA**: town, 513.
- DHURVATA**: first Paramara sovereign, 470.
- DIA DARDIN**: governor of Malin; defeated and slain by Malharwar Holkar (1732), 282.
- DILWAN KHAN GHORAS**: founds an independent kingdom in Malwa, seizes the hills with buildings, and strengthens the defences (1357-1404), 352, 357; amirulain, Mahmud Ghazniak (1358), 353.
- DILWAN**: tribe, 531.
- DILWAN**: coins, 66; found at Somasitha, 167, 315 and note 5, 522.
- DILWAN**: 525, 526.
- DILWAN PRACHANDRA**: 527, 545.
- DILWAN**: Greek writer, 533, 535.
- DILWAN**: coins, 407 note 2, 515 note 5.
- DILWA**: conspiracy at (1657), 441.
- DILWAN**: literary and poetic, held at childharaja's court, 187.
- DILWAN**: in Gujarat (1345-1573), 227-231, 233.
- DILWA**: island; attempts of the Portuguese to obtain a footing on their island (1531); fort; Emanuel De Sousa the governor of; meeting of the Portuguese viceroy Nuno de Cunha and Sultan Bahadur and the death of the latter at (1536-1537), 229, 317, 349, 350, 351; place of call for China ships, 407 note 1.
- DILWA**: Mughal chief secretary, 213, 214.
- DILWAN**: ancient Gujarat, 6-7.
- DILWAN**: Portuguese writer (1600), 342.
- DILWA**: hill range, 456.
- DILWA**: 124 inscription at, 175, 179; restored by the English to India under the treaty of Sirji Anjanagar (1699), 414.
- DILWAN**: Colonel, commander of the expedition against Ilak (1809), 414.
- DILWAN**: causes a revolt in Nepal and establishes his supremacy in Nepal (703), 501.
- DILWA**: perhaps Dogad, 540.
- DILWAN**: Greek coins found in Kathiawar, 16, 17, 18.
- DILWAN**: 533, 545.
- DILWAN**: distribution of the coins, 130; coin, 161, 300.
- DILWAN**: military officer, 125.
- DILWA**: quells a Sumra rising, 317.
- DILWA**: coin, 531.
- DILWA**: Dholka, 509, 517.
- DILWA**: 517. See **Dholka**.
- DILWA**: village, 403.
- DILWAN**: Jonathan, Governor of Bombay (1802), 405; assumes chief authority in Surat, 411; his interview with Miraj of Baroda, 513; arranges about the collection of tribute in Gujarat and Kathiawar by the employment of a British contingent, 414.
- DILWAN**: Colonel, Resident at Mhow, driven out by the troops of Holkar; takes refuge in Bhogal, 438.

DURGABHATT: father of Nandibhaya, 125; father of Nandibhaya, 125, 126.
DURGASAS RATHOD: Indian prince Akbar to rebellion, 388; causes disturbances in Marwar (1672), 289; is reconciled with the emperor (1686), 290; obtains for Ajit Singh pardon and lands in the districts of Jodhar and Mchur (1689), 290; is appointed governor of Patan (1702), 291; intrigues against (1703); his escape, 291-292; joins Ajit Singh in his rebellion; takes shelter with the Roffs; his disappearance, 295.
DURGASAL: identified with Jundgadh, 169.
DURLABHA: Chaulukya king (A.D. 1010-1022) attacks the emperor or child-marriage of Durlabhaddevi and is selected as groom, builds a lake at Anahilavajha and abdicates in favour of his nephew Bhima, 162-163.
DURLABHDEV: sister of Mahendra Raja of Nandol, selected Durlabha Chaulukya king as a suzerain, 162-163.
DURLABHADDEVARA: lake, built at Anahilavajha by Durlabha the Chaulukya king, 163.
DURLABHDEVARA: 168.
DURLABHDEV: sage, 481.
DURJATA: king of Sakambhari, 171.
DURJATA: grantor, 165.
DURJATA: king of Lata, 166 and note 1. See Durjaya.
DURJAYAPURA: capital of Hoysala Ballabha, 293 note 2.
DURJAYA: 6, 160; Muslima post at, attack on, orders of the emperor to raise to the ground the temple at, 295; is captured by Yaghem of Okhmandal (1659), 449; taken by the English, 448, 461, 546.
DURJAYATA: work compiled by Hanubhandra, 137, 156, 159, 162, 163, 170, 171, 173, 182, 183, 193.
DURJAYATA KOSHA: 180, 192. See Dryakmaya.
DURJAYATA: third cycle, 461.
DURJAYAT: 461. See Durjaya.

EASTWICK: Captain (1833), 332.
EDIPUR: held sacred by Hindus, 165 and note 2, 322.
EDUPUR: of Acha (A.C. 259), 34.
EDUPUR: 546; trade of, 515, 546.
EDUPUR: the Rm, 544.
EDUPUR VIRA: shrine, visited by Vasopala, 200.
EDUPURVAT: probably old Puri, 107; cave temple at, 455.
EDUPUR: 543.
EDUPURVAT: Mr. Mountstuart, master a civil and criminal code in 1877, 436.
EDUPURVAT: Lord, Governor of Bombay (1857), 438.
EDUPUR: inscription of Dantidurga at, 120, 122, 407; Dantidurga captured near, 205.
EDUPUR: town identified with Amb, 558.
EDUPUR: the, their factory at Surat besieged and plundered, 333; plundered second time, takes the fort of Surat with the help of the

Marathas (1759), 345; become chief of the affairs of Surat, and enter into agreement with Fatah Singh Galkwar (1773), 401; capture Broach (1773), 401; capture Thana and Verava fort, 401; enter into an alliance offensive and defensive with Fatah Singh Galkwar (1783), 408; operations of, against Sindia and Holkar, 409; aid Gopinath Galkwar's party (1802), 412; settle the treaty of Basaln (1802), 413; capture Broach and Paragat, restore Paragat and Dehal to Sindia (1803), 414; enter into a fresh treaty with the Galkwar, and obtain the Galkwar's share in Ahmedabad, Surat, and Kaira (1817), 428; sovereignty of Gujarat passes into the hand of (1819), 428; capture Bro and Dura (1859), 440-448. See British.
EDUPUR: ruling class of White Horses, 26, 145; retreat of to Kashmir (590-642), 500. See Horses.
EDUPUR: town, 534.
EDUPUR: Of Nephelina: 26, the Malwa, 28-29, 67; the Samvat, 29, the Gupta, 29, Yababhi, 81; Trakutala, 113; Chudi, 114; of Sindhuraja, Chaulukya king, 176 and note.
EDUPUR: Gupta pillar inscription at, 51.
EDUPURVAT: Greek geographer (275-191 A.C.), 535, 537.
EDUPUR: military at (1857), 439.
EDUPUR: Mr., the chief of the factory at Cambay (1759), 343.
EDUPUR: landlady of, 536.
EDUPURVAT: Bakirani king, 16-17.
EDUPURVAT ARABIA: modern Aden, 513.
EDUPURVAT: of Uyuzens (117 A.C.) his voyage to India, 535.
EDUPURVAT: river, 514.
EDUPURVAT: 535.
EDUPURVAT: from Gujarat coasts, 529; from Skythia, 544.

F. A. HAN (400), 502.
FALL: revenue clerks, 212.
FALL-UP-DARTAN: attacks Ahmedabad; is deserted by his supporters Sherhán Babi and Bahadurji of Idar; is defeated and captured by Jarda Marf Khan; intrigues with the Marathas under Pundji Vithal, 329; besieges Kanadranj, 330; returns to Dehal (1789), 335.
FALL-UP-DIN: son of Mulla Muhammad Ali, chief of merchants at Surat, is imprisoned by Sayad Agha, is sent to Bombay in disguise by the chief of the English factory at Surat, 332.
FALL: Anahilavajha, 511.
FALL: Chaulukya, 170.
FALL: is Vashdeva's time, 205 and note 5; in Gujarat (1851), 280; (1684), 287; (1698), 290; 1719, 300; (1732), 313; (1747), 332.
FALL-UP-DIN: Gujarat governor (1376-1391), 231.
FALL-UP-DIN: Muslima historian, 348, 361, 372, 312 notes 2 and 3.

- FAIRKUSHAN**: emperor (1713-1719) 318, son of Asim-us-sala, second son of Asim-us-sala, marches on Delhi and puts Jehandār Shāh to death (1713); remains under the influence of the Sayad brothers; makes treaty with Afghans of Māwāh and marries his daughter, (1715); religious riots in Ahmedābād (1714) 227-228; his deposition and death (1719), 320.
- FAUZHĀN**: Mughal governors of crown domains, 211; military police, 214.
- FATEHDĀD**: son of Dāmājī Gāikwār by his third wife; comes to Poona and gets a reversal of the recognition of the claims of Govindkrishn from the Peshwa in favour of Sayājī; is appointed Sayājī's deputy in Gujrat; negotiations of, with the English in 1760, 400; shuts himself up in the city of Baroda, 401; negotiates with the English (1760), 409; dies (1760), 410.
- FATĪ**: founds a Jama mosque at Sindia, 406, 420.
- FATĪ-ULLĀH**: Maulāna, physician of Mahmūd Khān, 302.
- FATWĀNĀYĀT**: during Musalman period 205.
- FATWĀNĀYĀT**: Mr. (1829, 333, 491, 499, 500, 501).
- FATWĀNĀYĀT**: Nawab of Kamana and follower of Tāib Toḡl, 445.
- FATWĀNĀYĀT**: Colonel, 440.
- FATWĀNĀYĀT KHĀN**: acts as viceroy (1743); schemes of Rangōji for his assassination; returns to Cambay; defeats Rangōji and becomes sub-master of Gujrat (1743), 376; confined by his troops for arrears of pay, escapes to Agra, 377.
- FATWĀNĀYĀT**: under Valabhi, 22.
- FATWĀNĀYĀT**: of Mirza Isā Tarkhān, 270.
- FATWĀNĀYĀT**: in Salpur, 516.
- FATWĀNĀYĀT**: Sultan, 274. See Malik Kabir.
- FATWĀNĀYĀT**: Emperor (1851-1858), 221.
- FATWĀNĀYĀT**: of Gujrat, during Musalman period, 210.
- FATWĀNĀYĀT**: Mr., 21, 111, 117 note, 124, 142, 341.
- FATWĀNĀYĀT**: Salpur (1653), 287.
- FATWĀNĀYĀT**: 533.
- FATWĀNĀYĀT**: the late Mr., author of the Rā Māh, 152, 159, 160, 168, 470.
- FATWĀNĀYĀT**: Major, 409.
- FATWĀNĀYĀT**: Mr., on the Mulagiri systems of the Marāṭhas in 1775, 419.
- FATWĀNĀYĀT**: settlement of, in Gujrat, 13.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: fight of Nālikēri at, 135.
- GĀNDHĀRĀ**: coins, 409.
- GĀNDHĀRĀ**: 227. See Dāmājī Gāikwār, Fate-ah-ah Gāikwār, Govindkrishn Gāikwār, Pilājī Gāikwār, and Sayājī Gāikwār.
- GĀNDHĀRĀ**: mother of Rangōji son of Govindkrishn, takes refuge at Surat; applies for assistance to the English as well as to Māhār, son of Khāndarā Gāikwār (1800), 512.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: perhaps Tālibhālī Shāh, 334.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: 541.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: ancient name of Cambay, 323.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: Mr., chief of the English at Surat, 401.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: see Gāndhāpāt.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: Gāndhār, 545.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: Gāndhārāshāstra or Yunnan, 501.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: old town, 75; establishment of the power of Kūṭās in, 141, 467, 491; retreat of White Hāna from to Kashmir, 500, 515.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: image of, 163.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: the river Ganges, 165 and note 2, 518.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: Gāikwār's convey to Poona for the settlement of the Peshwa's old claims on Gāikwār's estate (1814), 477.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: younger brother of Māhārāja, 160.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: tribe, 522, 523.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: river, eastern boundary of Kumāra-pala's kingdom, 179, 510; water of the, for Wānāth, 522, 523, 527, 543.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: position of, 215 and note 2.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: disciple of Nālikēri, founder of a branch of Pāṇinīya school, 54.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: Sanskrit form of Gāndhārī, 165.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: Lieutenant, 504.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: eagle god, 465.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: country, 124, 160, 465, 492.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: 432.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: Buddha (n. c. 360-450), travel through Valabhi country, 79. Sage, tank and hermitage of, 454, 461.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: Andhra king (A.D. 135), 37, 38; Pātākari, 510.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: see Karna.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: see Gudi, 423.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: 546.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: 537.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: name derived from Valabhi king, 65, 466.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: of the Gujratī Kabātrās, 54; of the Gopas (310-470), 60; of the Chāva-ṇas, 155; of the Yagubas, 293.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: of Marāṭha supremacy in Gujrat, 423.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: Chāvaṇa king (A.D. 305-337), 124, 155.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: 537.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: all-powers, 450.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: second Gupta chief, 61, 67.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: Khān Bahādūr Furus Jaug, forty-third viceroy of Gujrat (1708-1716); his death; confiscation of his property, 226, 297.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: capital of Mahmūd, 165, 510.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: grave of, 455.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: near Vajah town, probably a river in Valabhi time, 72.
- GĀNDHĀRĀSHĀSTRA**: son and successor of Mahmūd Khān (1429-1429); appoints his son Alauḍ Kādī prime minister; builds Māndu Shāhī

Abid or abada of joy; invasion of Malwa by Rishabh Lodhi (1452); death of, by poison administered by his son and prime minister Naurat-din, 362-363.
ABHAYABHUT TUGHLAK: emperor (1320), 280.
ABHAYABHUT: chief of Godhira, attacked by Tejshpala, 261.
ABHAY: see Abhay.
ABHAYAN: Rajadun: Raja, Nagar Brahman, governor of Malwa (1722-1724); defeat of, by Chhatrajai Pandit and Udayi Pagar, 332.
ABHAYAN: Jaisagodi, 14.
ABHAYAN: fair at, 9; Chandragupta's inscription at, 125; Vastupala's temple at, 133; inscription at, 176; temple of Neminath repaired at, 176, 177, 186; inscription at, 190; visited by Hammachandra, 192; hill, magnificent temple of Neminath built on, 109, 202, 231 and note 2, 236.
ABHAYAN: Beahmans, 70.
ABHAYAN: inscription: of Chandragupta, 69-70.
ABHAYAN: 542.
ABHAY: 517.
ABHAYAN: river Vaitarna, 540, 542.
ABHAYAN: General, conducts negotiations with Purna on behalf of the Supreme Government and the Government of Bombay; advances against Dabhol, 408; takes Ahmedabad by storm; besieges the fort of Basah (1780), 409.
ABHAYAN: chief of desert Lavaprasada and John Malwa chief, 190, 501.
ABHAYAN and **GOBHAYAN**: see Godhira.
ABHAYAN: capture of (1347), 220; contest for the government of, 214; captured by Minin Khan (1766), 236; delivered to the Marathas by Minin Khan (1768), 312; poet, 410.
ABHAYAN: main division of Mhar chiefships, 136.
ABHAYAN: name derived from a Valabhi king, 85, 86; Rajput tribe, 217 note 2.
ABHAYAN: Allah, 268 and note 2.
ABHAYAN: a ruler, 76; Han king, 86, 142.
ABHAYAN: 331, 377.
ABHAYAN: 433.
ABHAYAN: Lieutenant Charles, H. E., 447, 448.
ABHAYAN: temple, 79.
ABHAYAN: priests, 451.
ABHAYAN: feudatory Rashtraakuta prince ruling in Gujarat, 121, 122. Gujarat Rashtraakuta king (827), 125.
ABHAYAN I.: Dakhan Rashtraakuta king (680), 120, 121.
ABHAYAN II.: Dakhan Rashtraakuta king, 122.
ABHAYAN III.: (827-833) holds the Gujarat province independently of the Dakhan army, completes the conquest of the north and marches to the south, hands the Gujarat kingdom to his brother Indra, 123, 469, 468, 328.
ABHAYAN: brother of Dhruva II., 127.
ABHAYAN: Galkar, son of Dandaji by his first wife; sides with Raghunathrao and is taken prisoner with him at Dhotap (1788); promises increased tribute and heavy fine

for his conduct and is invested with his father's title and estates, 399-400; grant made in his favour is cancelled (1751); is released by Raghunathrao (1773), 401; invests Baroda (1773), 401; leads Raghobha's army, 403; secures the favour of Mahadji Shinde and applies to him for restoration, 410; takes up the office of regent at Baroda (1793), 411; forces Ata Shalukar to surrender Ahmedabad and keeps him in confinement (1797), 411; his death (1798), 419.
ABHAYAN: Mulraj's opponent, 129.
ABHAYAN: king of the Chaudhans, 137; Mischakharol of Smith, wars with Mulraj and is made prisoner, 160, 304.
ABHAYAN: copperplate, 25.
ABHAYAN: Colonel, 440.
ABHAYAN: vessels, 216.
ABHAYAN: see Gahsana.
ABHAYAN: follower of Godhira, 85; Valabhi king (A.D. 559-567), 85.
ABHAYAN: boundaries and extent, 1; the name, 2-5; Rashtraakuta in, 110-124; invaded by Alai Khan, 203 note 2; under the Maghols (A.D. 1573-1760), 231-235; under the rule of Aurangzeb (1644-1647), 290; Shirdaji's incursions in (1664-1670), 284, 286; predatory invasions of the Marathas, their growth, their power, and their supremacy in, 275; expulsions of Khanderia Dabhol in (1700-1717), 288; administration of, left entirely in the hands of the Galkar family after the treaty of Salhal, 410, 411; under the management of Ata Shalukar (1706-1707), 411; formed in Galkar by the Peshwa (1708), 417; renewal of the farm of, to Raghunathrao Galkar for ten years (1800), 418; appointment of Teindakji Dengle as Rashtraakuta of Ahmedabad, 427; Peshwa's rights passed to the British (1819), 385; disturbances (1857-1859), 493-445; alarming (1857), 444; gateway, 452, 459; Beahmans, 463; Hindu enterprise by sea to Java, 492 note 2; conquest and settlement of Java and Cambodia (603), 490; earliest Arab references to, 505, 508, 511; conquest of (1300), 512, 511, 515, 517, 526, 530; religion in, 530; people of, 531, 532. See Jarr.
ABHAYAN: a tribe, 2-3, 59.
ABHAYAN: 142. See Mithragalla.
ABHAYAN: Bodhidatta, 79.
ABHAYAN: Kabhatra inscription at, 42.
ABHAYAN: fort, seized by Purnima, 129, 318.
ABHAYAN: first Gupta king, 60, 61.
ABHAYAN: era, 28, 58, 67, 81, 87, 110.
ABHAYAN: in Magadha, 72, 77.
ABHAYAN: kingdoms, 3-4; foreign tribes, Valabhis believed to be Gurjara, 71; defeated by Arabs, 109; establish themselves at Nanded (580-806), 113; territory, 113; copperplate grants 113-114; family tree, 114; give up sea-worship and their name for Sabien and Persian religions, 116; march against Dhruva II., 127; Chaudhans said to belong to them, 127 note 2.

- 458, 463; origin of, 464; of Branch, 465, 466; their appearance in India and earliest notice, 467, 468; migration of, 469; are defeated by Pralādhakarmadhama (469-466), 470; retain Branch, Valabhi, and Bhimad, 470; their relation with Mibhka or Meda, 480, 481. See Jarr.
- GUNJARABĀTA: province of Gujara, name derived from Valabhi kings, 85.
- GUYARA: first Chohan king, 128 note 1.
- H**
- HABĀLĀKA: village, 112.
- HADĀLA: copperplate found at, 128.
- HADW: Mr., collector of Ahmedabad, 443.
- HADHAN: Roman emperor (117-138), 337.
- HAIDARĀBĀD: Sindhi town, 511, 517, 538, 546.
- HAIDAR KULI KHAN: Afghan victory of Gujara; disorder in Ahmedabad (1721); his journey to Babā of Gujara; from the emperor from the tyranny of the Sayads (1721); is honoured with a title and the governorship of Gujara (1721-22), 307; subdues Kulla of Chusad; shows signs of independence and is executed (1723), 304.
- HAINO: General, 538.
- HAINAYAS: a Kshatriya tribe, 53.
- HAIRAKHABAD: Hama's pt, 198.
- HAININAMĀLA: string of names composed by Hemachandra, 192.
- HAIRAK: 145.
- HĀIRAM: brother of Daman, second Khāṣh, 504; sends an expedition to Bal and Branch, 504, 506, 513.
- HĀIRAN: Allah, 208 and note 3.
- HAMĀL KHAN: great of, 455.
- HAMIRĀV: the title of Hamji Mehta, Nidā-ji's commander, 397.
- HAMIR BEE: is appointed governor of Branch (1754), 392.
- HAMIR KHAN: uncle of Nizam-ul-Mulk, deputy viceroy of Gujara (1772), 303; joins his forces with Kāntaji Kadam, and defeats and kills Shajast Khan near Ahmedabad; takes up his quarters at Shāhi High and gets possession of all Ahmedabad except the city; attempt of Ibrahim Kallam of Shajast Khan to assassinate him, 304-305; defeated by Daman Ali at Arda (1723), 305, 218; assigns one-fourth share of the territory north of the Māhi to Kāntaji and a corresponding interest in the territory south of Māhi to Pilaji, 305; unites his forces with the Marāṭhas under Kāntaji and Pilaji and marches on Ahmedabad; defeat of, at Sujitra; second defeat, 307.
- HAMIRABHĀRĀYTA: 157, 159, 171, 183, 184.
- HAMMUKA: king of Sind, invaded by Ghina I., 103.
- HANAWAR: Janāval, apparently Chavāl or Jhalāwar, 510, 512, 517.
- HANUMAN: progenitor of Porbandar chieft, 135.
- HANUMANI: chief of Limbaji (1723), 337.
- HARIRA: adopted son of Khanderāo Gaikwad, attacks Rangoji's deputy and kills him; his expulsion by Rangoji from Borāl, 371.
- HARIPĀLA: minister of Siddharj, 173; grandfather of Kuntimpala, 171.
- HARIPANT PAGER: Peshwa's personal soldier Gujara and compels Ghendras and Nigbala to raise the siege of Florida, 402.
- HARITA BHĀMAN: 400 and note 2.
- HARĀRĀN: messengers, 214.
- HARSHA: 118.
- HARSHAVASITA: Sanskrit work by the poet Hama, 114.
- HARSHIDĀYA: Harshavamsidhama of Kanva (601-646), 118.
- HARSHAPURA: identified with Haral, 129.
- HARSHAYASIDHANA: Kanva's king (629-646), 54, 72, 108.
- HARSHĀ: temple at Vardhal of, 209.
- HARSHI MONTE: pleaders Branch (1676), 387. See Harshiray.
- HERAS MURHAMMAD KHAN: author of Mirat-i-Ahmad (1750), 310.
- HARSHANARA: town, 499.
- HARSHAPUR: same as Harshigara.
- HARSH: Captain (1867), 142.
- HARSHVAT MALHOTRA: Naga-Brahman's special guardian at Valimpar; destruction of the temple of, 232.
- HARSHAN: Mughal village officer, 712.
- HĀSI MUHAMMAD KHAN: governor of Māndi (1608), 370.
- HEAD TAX: the report of (1772), 307.
- HEBASTAS: Greek writer, 532, 546.
- HEMACHANDRA: Jain devotee and character (A.D. 1099-1173), 156, patronised by Siddharj, 180; his teacher, 181 note 2; tells Kuntimpala his future, 182-183; birth and education, 191; becomes Kuntimpala's religious adviser, 192-193; his works and death, 193.
- HEMALĀYANA: 179, 183; his conquest, 184. See Hemachandras.
- HEMANT: Sir Thomas, English traveller in India (1820), 361. Master Thomas, 381.
- HEMANTHA: island, 542.
- HEMOTAS: geographer, 544.
- HEMOTOPUS: Greek historian, 532.
- HEMOT: ref., 639, 543.
- HIMALAYAS: the, 318.
- HING: 511; cities of, 514, 516, 518; king of, 529.
- HIND: 512, 529; chieft, of, 530; king, 531.
- HINDU CHIEFS: of Māndi, expelled by Sultan Fānu-ul-din Akbar (1254), 367.
- HIPPALAS: 530, 537, 543.
- HIPPARCHOS: Eusebius' critic (130 A.D.), 535.
- HIPPOTOURA: either Godegaur or Kuda, 546; possibly Hippargi, 541.
- HERABETA: 546.
- HIRANTA BASIPUT Jaman, 190.
- HISTORIAS: Solsāl, 755.
- HITR TIANG: Chinese traveller and pilgrim (A.D. 612-640), 3, 7, 77; his description of the Valabhis, 79-80; 111; 115; notes Branch kingdom, 116; 143, 465, 466, 467, 480, 490, 499, 502, 540.
- HISAN HIS ABDUL MALIK: (754-715), 606, 513, 620.

HABSHIM PARMAN, Gujarat governor, 220 and note 1.
HABSHIM: 237.
HABSHIM: of Bhamdi, 465-471.
HABSHIM: 405.
HABSHIM: chief of the council at Bombay (1770), 408.
HABSHIM SHAH GHURJI: Sultan of Malwa (1405-1432), the establisher of Malwa's greatness; goes to Jajjagar (Jaipur) in attack on Odessa (1421); returns to Malwa at the news of the siege of Malwa by Ahmad Shah of Gujarat in 1422; prosperity of Malwa and extension of his power by his ministers Malik Maghla Khilji and Mahmud Khan his son; his death, 248-249.
HABSHIM: Dr., 129 note 3.
HABSHIM: emperor of Delhi (1359-1368), 220; defeats Sultan Bahadur of Gujarat (1364) at Mandav; captures the fort of Fongal, returns to Malwa from Gujarat (1365), returns to Agra (1366-70), 267, 268.
HABSHIM: coin variety, 510 note 2, 522 note 2.
HABSHIM: king of, at the appointment of choice-marriage of Darabkhan, 163.
HABSHIM: 405.
HABSHIM: White (A.D. 450-500), 69, 73, 74-76, 142-144, 465, 467. See **HABSHIM**.
HABSHIM: subdivision of Marwar Rumbia, 165.
HABSHIM: White, 69, 73, 76. See **HABSHIM**.
HABSHIM: horse trade from, 515.
HABSHIM: town, capital of Panchala, 122.
HABSHIM KHAN BAYTASHI: 139.
HABSHIM: Captain, Political Agent of Bhavnagar, takes the Rifa of Amjara (1857), 139.
HABSHIM: Roshan king (A.D. 100-125), 37.
HABSHIM: the Ravi, 54.
HABSHIM: the Ravi, 53.
HABSHIM: the Ravi, 53.
I: district of Skythia, 64.
IBN KHURDADHAH: 465. See **IBN KHURDADHAH**.
IBN ARAB: Arab historian, author of *Ta'rikh-i-Kamil* (1160-1233), his account of the destruction of Samanids, 163.
IBN ARAB: 522 and note 4, 523, 524. See **IBN ARAB**.
IBN ARAB: Muhammad Abul Hasan (995-1006), 507, 514 and notes 6, 7, 8, 516 and notes 8, 9, 10, 518, 519, 521, 523, 526, 527, 528, 529.
IBN KHURDADHAH: author of the biographical dictionary, 522 note 4.
IBN KHURDADHAH: Arab writer (912), 506 and note 7, 509 note 3, 512, 513 and note 10, 519, 520, 527, 528, 530, 531. See **IBN KHURDADHAH**.
IBRAHIM KHAN: fortieth viceroy of Gujarat (1705), 293; forty-second viceroy (1706), 293; resigns (1708), 296.
IBRAHIM: gold coin, 519 note 2; 522 note 2.
IBRAHIM: 218, 223, 227, 233, 236, 237, 238; revolt of, capture of, by Maghla, death of the chief of (1679), 238; unsuccessful attack on, by Jagan Mohan Khan, 316.
IBRAHIM: copperplate grant found at, 140 note 3, 147.
IBRAHIM: decay of (1720), 501.
IBRAHIM: into Skythia, 64.
IBRAHIM: by Akbar, 233.
IBRAHIM: funeral spirit carried by the proceedings of, 436.
IBRAHIM: Ind, 541.
IBRAHIM: fort taken by Loken, Welch in 1750, 409.
IBRAHIM: religious sects of, 530; home of wisdom, 531.
IBRAHIM ARAB: 525.
IBRAHIM: conversion of, to Buddhism (A.D. 240); immigration to, of Balas or Yarnas from Yamak or Natunak on the Haghil (A.D. 100), 492.
IBRAHIM: 537, 538, 539.
IBRAHIM: Rashtrakuta king (about A.D. 500), 120, founder of the Gujarat branch, 121, 123-124.
IBRAHIM I.: Rashtrakuta king, 120, 121.
IBRAHIM III.: Rashtrakuta king, his grants found at Navsari, 123; (A.D. 914), 120, 516.
IBRAHIM: river, 517, 523, 524, 527, 528.
IBRAHIM: 42, 43, 63-65, 67, 69, 71, of Gen. Kalamia, 172 note 3; of Narvarman, 173; of Madhavarmar, 173; 203-204; at Bhimad, 471-488.
IBRAHIM: the Yamuna, 533.
IBRAHIM: legend of the, 30 and note 2.
IBRAHIM: Nec converts to, 141; spread of (1414), 238-237; precepts of, taught in Kamlaya, 514, 520.
IBRAHIM: military post of the Maghla, See **IBRAHIM**, 525.
IBRAHIM: see Navanagar.
IBRAHIM: the collector of customs at Cambay in 1741, 525.
IBRAHIM: Keshava ruler (230-250), coins of, 51-52; ruler, 57.
IBRAHIM: Akhira king, 52.

JAGADHAR: Abhishekh (754-775), 524.
JAGADHAR: Vimaladeva retires to, for help, 203.
JAGADHAR: Raja of, shelter Captain Hutchinson, 439.
JAGADHAR: king, copperplate of, 138.
JAGADHAR: name as Yadava, 139.
JAGADHAR: corruption of Jundheja, 137.
JAGADHAR: invading tribe, 137.
JAGADHAR: son of Umalai Dabhadra, 514.
JAGADHAR: chief, general of Siddhartha, 172 and note 3.
JAGADHAR: world guardian, another name of Darabkhan, Chaulukya king, 162.
JAGADHAR: gives Khirwal to Gujarat Brahmins, 403.
JAGADHAR: 400, 463. See **JAGADHAR**.
JAGADHAR: Dakhan Rashtrakuta prince, son of Krishna, 129, 130.

- JAN DAY: see Jagadilaya.
 JANERWAN: shrine and estate of, 433.
 JANTRA: see Miscellaneous landholders, 213.
 JAOB: John de St., Portuguese apostate in the service of Sultan Bahadur of Cambray (1539), 350, 359.
 JAGMOH: king, 469, 461, 464; temple of, 460.
 JAGTANI: son temple of, 451, 456, 459, 463, 471.
 JAHANGIR: Mughal emperor (1605-1627), visits Manda in 1617; receives English ambassador Sir T. Roe at Manda, 361, 372-377.
 JAHANVA: copperplate of, 51; Mahr king, his grant, 87; another name of Jachkadava, 137; his grant at Mohi, 129.
 JAIKOT: properly Jakshikot, 454 and note; lake, 455, 456-458, 471.
 JAIPUR: 511 note 12, 520.
 JAJAKA: minister of Akalavarsha Krishna, 128.
 JAL: 430. See Pila.
 JALHAS: daughter of Arunija, marries Kamrupa, 185.
 JALLIPUR: town, 554, 546.
 JAM: 713 and note 2.
 JAMA: fixed sum of land revenue, 212.
 JAMIAL: tribe, 460, 464.
 JAMRA: Hindu minister of Venarsaja, 156.
 JAMUNALI: river, 160.
 JAMPUR: Brahmana of, mentioned as granee, 111; attacked and plundered by Muslim Khata in 1753, 329.
 JAMUVADA: 125.
 JAMUVAVIKA: modern Jamuvada, 125.
 JAMUNATI: sage, 161.
 JAMPUL-HAKIAR: work of Muhammad Uf, 512 and note 5.
 JAMRA: king, 512.
 JAMNA: the river Yamuna, 518.
 JAMS: Gujarati chiefs, 139.
 JAM SHIHA: Sonma chief of Little Kachh, 518.
 JAMSHATA: 56.
 JANAWAL: Chuvai, 509, 513.
 JANDON: Rander, 509 note 1, 530.
 JANTRA: identified with Puri, 107; island, 307, note 1; fort residence, and stronghold of the Sili or Abyssinian admirals of Bijapur, 295, 346.
 JANUORINIA SIKHTA: adopted son of Balisai, widow of Dastidar Khata, 457.
 JANRI BROVIA: of Nagpur, partisan of Raghuva, 399.
 JANVAL: Chuvai or Viramgiri, 509. See Janawal and Janawal.
 JANVA: goldsmith, origin of, 161.
 JARVAS: town, 451.
 JARVAN: Kshatrapa inscription at, 43.
 JAVANTHONG RATHOD: Mahdrāja, viceroy of Malwa (1637), thirty-second viceroy of Gujarat (1639-1662), 282; sent from Gujarat by Aurangzeb to join prince Muhammad against Shivaji in the Dekhan (1662), 283, 357, thirty-fifth viceroy of Gujarat (1671-1674); sent to Kabul (1674), 284.
 JAZ: cultivators, 451; persecution of, by Brahmanical Chach (612), 498.
 JAYAN: Allah, 208 and note 2.
 JAYANHA: 177.
 JAYANHA: Yajna, 575.
 JAYLA: identified with the tribe mentioned by Toramasa, 146.
 JAYA: island, early Hindu settlements in, 489; traditions of expeditions by sea in, 193, 491 note 5, 492; mention of Candhara and Lata in the legends of, 497; integration to, of refugees from the defeats of Prabhakara, Candhara and Shikharaka of Magadha (530-440), 497; appearance and condition of Hindu settlers in, 495.
 JAYADA: corruption of Chivada, 160.
 JAYLA: see Jayala.
 JAVAN MAND KHAN BANI: his unsuccessful attempt on Idar and negotiations with the Marathas, 315; proclaims himself deputy viceroy of Gujarat, 520; assumes charge of the city of Ahmedabad and persuades the troops to release Fida-ul-din; drives the victory to Cambray and invites Abdul Aziz Khan of Junnar, 327, 328; reconciles himself with his brother Sadler Khan Bani of Hathapur and imprisons Fakhr-ul-din and his family, 329; appoints Jundharant in place of Ramoji, 334; enters into negotiations with Balaji Rao Peshwa (1759), 334; joins the Marathas against Muslim Khata, 310, 342, 345.
 JAVLA: tribal name, 403.
 JAYASHATA I.: Gurjara king (665-622), 114, 115.
 JAYASHATA II.: Gurjara chief of Nandol, helps Valabha, 83; (650-673), 116.
 JAYASHATA III.: Gurjara king of Nandol, his copperplate, 58, 109; (700-731), 114, 116; his grants, 117; deprived of his dominion by Dantidurga, 122.
 JAYASHATA: third Kshatrapa (140-743) coin of, 33-34.
 JAYASTHA: son temple, 126.
 JAYAKSI: Kshatrapa king of Chandrapur, marries his daughter to Karna, turns himself on the funeral pyre, 170 and note 5.
 JAYAKSI II.: Goa Kadamba king, 172 note 5.
 JAYANTAPALA: Vastupala's son, 302.
 JAYANTARMA: Chalukya noble (1234), 109.
 JAYANTIDEVI: goddess in Anant, 179.
 JAYASTHARA: Chivada king of Panchalur (995), killed by Bhuvada, 150, 166.
 JAYASTHARA: Chalukya prince, 111, 117. See Jayasthavarman.
 JAYASTHARA I.: Chalukya prince, defeats Indra Kshatrapa prince (A.D. 500), 120.
 JAYASTHARVAMAN: Chalukya king, 58, younger brother of Vikramaditya Sanyavara drives out the Gurjara and establishes Chalukya power in south Gujarat (A.D. 600-623), 107, 108, 110.
 JAYAN: capitation tax, 213; imposition of, by Aurangzeb, 280.
 JAYASTHARAN: Akal Fatah Muir-ul-din, son and successor of Bahadur Shah I. of Delhi (1713-13), 297.
 JAYALWA: Mitha seals at, 150; Jain temple at, 101 note 1.

JETHVA: Parbandar chiefs, 125; Rajputs, 129; foreign tribe, 129-140; identified with Jais, 143.
 JETHVA: Rajputs, 129; foreign tribe, 146, 200 note.
 JHĀLĀYĪYA: established in Rājputana, 140, 208 note 3.
 JHĀLĀWĀ: local name, 233 and note 3, 517.
 JHĀLĪYĀR: ancient name of Jhalor, 229.
 JHĀLOR: in Jodhpur, 229 and note 3, 449, 451.
 JHĀNDĪR: 561.
 JHĀNṢĀ: Śākha king (916), 129, 519.
 JHĀNṢĀ NĀTHMĀY: agent of the Baroda conspirators in the Kathi district, 442.
 JĀWĪA: division of Panjāb Gūjjara, 146.
 JĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: fort, 159 note 2.
 JĀMĪYATIRĀMA: temple temple, built by Kumārapāda at Dāndūlika, 160.
 JĀNĀPĀTHASTRĪ: Jain sage and writer, 8, 15, 78; author of the Tirthakalpā, 176, 182 note.
 JĀNĀYAN: town, 509.
 JĀNṢĀ: father of Śaṅkharagupta, 438 note 1.
 JĀNṢĀ: battle of (1891), 242 and note 2, 238.
 JĀNṢĀ RĀNṢṬYĀ: reservoir, 180 note 2.
 JĀNĀYAN: sixth Kachhapa (178), poem of, 40-41.
 JĀNṢĀ: town, 403.
 JĀNṢĀ: 405.
 JĀNṢĀ: 533. See Jānna.
 JĀNṢĀ: Mauryan capital of Gujaraṭ, 14; establishment of Akṛ kingdon at, 138; capital of Chudāsana ruler, 176; independent ruler of, 206, note, 236; taken by Mahāma Deyada and made his capital under the name of Mustafāshah (1473), 245-246; disputed succession (1811), 425; British not involved at (1616), 427, 503.
 JĀNṢĀ: ninth governor of Khalīf Hāshim, his expeditions, 109, 467; sends expeditions against Gujaraṭ, 508, 518, 520.
 JĀNṢĀ: 517. See Jāndwāl.
 JĀNṢĀ: see Jāndwāl.
 JĀNṢĀ: perhaps ancient Tridāpa, 57.
 JĀNṢĀ: see Jāt.
 JĀNṢĀ: Bhaghal administration of, 213.
 JĀNṢĀ: historian (A.D. 300), 16, 333.
 JĀNṢĀ: Gujaraṭ and Gurjara, expedition against, 109, 465, 467, 468, 469, 505, 506, 508, 526, 527.

KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: perhaps a town on the Kaveri, Mānāntūpa in, 518.
 KĀWĪ VALLEY: steppes or mounds of, 497.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: migration of Sauras to, 130; Bhīma's copperplate in, 163; stone inscription from, 203, 204; affliction of, 513 note 9; 517, 521, 520, 534, 535. See Kachhella.
 KACHHĒLLA: identified with Kachh, 109.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: poem, 62 note 2.
 KACHHĒLLA: Kachh, 56 and note 3.
 KACHHĒLLA: near Bepur, 546.
 KACHHĒLLA: Bāma's work, 114.
 KACHHĒLLA: battle of (656), 505 note 5.
 KACHHĒLLA: town grant from, 203, 231.

KADĪ: fort, captured by the English (1802), 412.
 KADWĪ: Gujaraṭ Kanā sub-division, 4-5.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: Hārī Dīnār, minister and general of Alā-ud-dīn, 516.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: island of 29, George, 546.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: grant of, 119, 467, 518 and note 2.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: 534.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: town, 54 note 2.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: village, 152.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: founder of Hachtrakṛpa kingdom in Gujaraṭ, 467.
 KAKKA II.: Hachtrakṛpa king, his grants, 122.
 KAKKA III.: Hachtrakṛpa king, 120.
 KAKKALA: Hachtrakṛpa king, 120.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: name of sub-division, 508 note 3.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: era, 57; dynasty, 114, 460. See Chodī Tarkhalaka.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: city, visited by Kumārapāda, 182 and note.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: city, 57 and note 4; fort, 178.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: river identified with Kāveri, 185 and note 4.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: legendary Dakhā hero, 9.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: Yogi of Ujjain, 174.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: Kalachuri possession, 469.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: fourth cycle, 8, 461.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: 507.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: modern Kalyān, great port, 547.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: modern Kalyān, 50.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: probably (Kachh), 541.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: father of Jājaka, 178.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: 50, see Kāliṅga; capital of Chāḷukya kingdom, 150; great port, 547.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: capital of Buvaḍa Chāḷukya king, 150. Capital of king Perumāl, 172.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: mother of Lakṣma, curves Mahā-rāja's descendants, 100.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: probably Kāmarūpa, that is Assam, island state, 524.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: identified with Kamboj, 539.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: revenue official, 219 note.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: 518. See Camboj.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: 507, 508, 509, 511, 514, 531. See Camboj.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: 514, 523, 525, 529. See Camboj.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: 514, 515, 520. See Camboj.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: Kabul, 431, 495 and note 4.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: 507, 511, 514. See Anahilavāḍa.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: town, 538.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: Kanhan, 519.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: district, 106; expedition against, 109, 180, 520.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: modern Kāmlaj, 130.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: identified with Kim, 539; village, 545.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: Raja of, 230 and note 9.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: defeated by Krishṇa, 172.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: 511, 514. See Anahilavāḍa.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: 468 note 3.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: founder of the Skythian era (78), 133, 441.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: local name for Jambuvār, 506 note 3.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: 507, 518, 519.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: origin of the name, 4.
 KĀKṢHṢṬYĀ: modern Conjevooran, visited by Kumārapāda, 183.

- KANDAMBA**: Gandhar, north of Brach, 389.
KANDHAR: 525.
KANOUJA: palace, 150 note 2.
KANE: modern Han Ghureh, 343.
KANHA: 181. See Krishnadeva.
KANHERI: cave inscription at, 128, 129.
KANHORI: Galkwar, son of Gostindry by a Rajputani princess of Dharampur; kept in confinement during Govindadev's time; manages to secure the government for his black brother Ananddeo (1809), again kept in confinement by his Arab guard, 418; collects an army, obtains possession of Ananddeo and is subdued by the English (1603), 413.
KANHUKA: Kushan king (A.D. 78), 22, 33, 37, 64 note 6, 428, 492 note 3. See Kanak.
KANU: Chomvada Koli robber, subdued by Azam Khan viceroy (1635-1642), 278; Koli chief of Chhanlar, 321.
KANKAR: village, Damaji's brother Prataprav died at (1737), 448.
KANAKOTA RIA: 229.
KANAK: battle of, 169.
KANAKOTA: Brahmins, 161.
KANORI TARKAN: Galkwar's lieutenant, 339; goes with Fakir-ud-daulah into Sorath and captures the town of Vantali; retires to Dholka and expels Muhammad Jachar; joins Rangoji and marches on Sidand, 331, 336.
KANTAR KANAK RANA: officer of the Peshwa, enters Gujrat and brings tribute for the first time (1733), 304, 310, 317, 330; takes Chikpapur, 301; harasses Gujrat, 394.
KANTHIN: see Primmur.
KANTHAR: words, 161.
KANTHARU: 128. See Kanthkar.
KANTH: 157.
KANTHAR: coast tract, from Balahr northward, or between Bombay and Canning, 123, 136.
KANTHOKOT: fort in Cutch, 153, 304, 335 and note 2.
KANTHOKOT: Maghat accountants, 212. *
KANTHAR: village, 445.
KANTHARU: 79, 161. See Kanna.
KANTHARU: great at, 123, 129, overthrown built at, by Siddharaja, 150 note 1; battle of (1725), 307; capture of, by the Marathas (1736), 317; Fakir-ud-daulah meets Rangoji of Jhar at, 329; siege of, raised by Holkar (1746), 330; taken by Damaji from Shor Khan (1763), 338.
KANTHARU: 127.
KANTHAR: Kuntarpala's chief minister after the death of Udayana, 190; becomes Ajarpala's minister, is thrown in a cauldron of boiling oil, 194.
KANTH: 156.
KANTH: identified with Kati, 125.
KANTHAKOT: Maharaja slew Lakha in a combat at, 160.
KANTHARU: 534.
KANTHARU BHARALI: leading merchant of Ahmedabad, murder of, by Anupang Bhambhani, 302.
KARAO: town, gold-bug found at, 44-42.
KARAO KANOVAN: lake, 523.
KARATHTYAN: 143 and note 2.
KARLAHO: Yuzens (1296), 301.
KARARATA VIKANA: temple, built by Kuntarpala at Patan, 190.
KARAN: defeat of, by the Mussulmans, 512. See Karan Ghola.
KARAN GHOLA: Vaghela ruler of Gujrat (1276-1304), 229.
KARAVINA: modern Karva, chief shrine of Lakulish and temple of Chamephadevi at, 83 and note.
KARNA: place, 128, 130.
KARNI PAKHAY: 344, 345.
KARLE: Salavata, 151.
KARSA I.: Harshabhadra king of Gujrat branch (812-821), accepts the vassalship of Dabhani dynasty, helps Anangdevaditya in establishing his supremacy and receives in return a portion of country south of the Tapi; his grants, 124-125.
KARSA II.: grant of (812-813), 463, 465.
KARNAVATA ARIKA: district of Kanol, 108.
KARNA: Puranic king, 44. Mahabharata hero, 55, 56, 116. Son and successor of Rikha I. (1084-1094), removes his capital to Karnavata, 168, 170-171. King of Chauli, pays tribute to Rikha I., 163; marches against Kuntarpala and dies on the way, 186 and note 5, 187.
KARNAVATA: last Vaghela king (1226-1294); dies before Mussulmans at Durgadi, dies a fugitive, 295-296.
KARNAVATA: 157.
KARNA: district of Kanol, 434.
KARNAMENDI: temple at Anahilavada, built by Karna the Chaulukya king, 170.
KARNA KADAVAT: lake made by Karna the Chaulukya king, 170.
KARNATA: king of, 302 and note 3.
KARNATATI: city founded by Karna the Chaulukya king and made his capital; temple of Udaya Varaha at, 170; modern Ahmedabad, 181; Hemachandra's birthplace, 191.
KARNATYANA: god Mahadeva in Anant, 179.
KARPAKHA: 156.
KARNA: Rana, 518.
KARNANI: Havi: Rina of Nager Parkar, died in revolt, subdued by Udaya Varaha, 445.
KARNAL KHAN: viceroy, suppresses the mutiny at Ahmedabad (1688), 238.
KARTHIKA: 64 and note 2.
KARU: battle of, 183, 196.
KARVANA: disciple of Sakulika, 44.
KARVIN: see Karavana.
KARAKULA: Girdico, 116.
KARMAKANTHA: ruler of Gujrat, sends an expedition to Java (601), 470.
KIRAN KHAN: thirtieth viceroy of Gujrat (1657-1659), 282.
KIRANA: brass-miner, 150.
KARAN: town, 213.
KARATIS: of Patan (1745), 333.

- KASHMIR: state, 460, 461, 464, 465, 466, 519, 521.
 KASHYAP: surn, 461.
 KATI: king of, present with Multaka in the battle with Gushakitya, 100; present at the emergence of Durllabhadra, 163.
 KATINA: 105, 527, 549.
 KATINADITYA: wife of Trilokyanagala, 121.
 KATINASS: 469.
 KATINOS: Katanir city, 546.
 KATANTAN: Katanirya, 531.
 KATANIYA: Katanirya, 530.
 KATIRASIRMAJANA: 73.
 KATIRAS: woodworkers, 450.
 KATIRIYADA: the name, 208, 209; allah in South, 293 and note 3, 299; Gupta away in, 135; arrival of Mees in, 140 and note 5; disturbance in (1692), 288; settlement of tribute by Colonel Walker, 416; state of (1807), 416; the revenue and system in, 417; Bala and Chama in, 420-421; the habit of taking securities in all engagements in, 420; Peshwa's share of tribute in, 422-423; evasion of the share to the English for military expenses, 423-424; disturbances in (1811), 425, 530, 534, 538.
 KATIRI: the tribe, 509, 517 note 3.
 KATUKA: Bala, gives parcelled goods to Katanipala as credit, 155; is given Harala, 184.
 KATUNORVI: wife of Kurodileva, taken captive by Alai Khan and admitted into the Sultan's harem, 204.
 KAVAS BHUMIAS: servants, 461.
 KAVARI: river, 513, 516.
 KAVI: Gerdal III.'s grant at 125, 125, 126.
 KAVIRASAGOT: modern Kowal, 125.
 KAVIRASA: writer, 461.
 KAZI: position and duties of, 213, 214, 530.
 KAZI-UL-KUTUB: Maghal: appellation 422, 518.
 KEATING: Colonel, sent to help Baghoda, 402; joins Baghoda at Darnaj or Dera near Cambay (1776), 408; negotiates with Fate-sing on behalf of Baghoda, 405; receives orders to leave Baghoda to himself, 406.
 KENDAKIVARA: temple in Kamden repaired by Gorda Britaspati, 194.
 KERANIR: names of, in Kashmir, 500; south with Piletois in Yunnan in the thirteenth century, 501.
 KERANJ: Galkwar, Damaji's cousin, receives one-third of the revenues of Surat for his aid from Sayad Achuban, 332.
 KERALAPATTANA: probably modern Kalam or Quilon, 185 note.
 KULAPANA: chief of Nadar, 193.
 KERANORAS: Keralaputra, 546.
 KERAPU: inscriptions near the ruined town of, 188.
 KERALAPUTRA: Cera king, 546.
 KERAN: village, inscription at, 196, 470.
 KESAVA: Nagara Brahman, minister of Karamadva, state, 205 note 2.
 KHAL NAIK: Nalida Bhil leader, surrender of (1840), 419.
 KHALIBEN: probably Kati, 513, 546. See Akalaben.
 KHARIE: son of Baghar, 317.
 KHAIRINO: inscription from, 469.
 KHARIR-HARALAI: (724-749), 100.
 KHALAN: crown domain, 200, 214.
 KHARIRI: 514. See Cambay.
 KHAMIRI: see Cambay.
 KHAMIRIA: town, head-quarters of the Navanganir chiefs between 1671-1707, 285.
 KHAMIRI: battle of (1802), 232 and note 2.
 KHAMIRIA KHAL: obtains a title of Ghazib Jung, 307.
 KHAMIRIAT: fort, attacked by Mahmud of Ghazni, 167.
 KHAMIRIAV: Dakhila, Rām Raja's deputy in Bikanir, makes incursions into the Turat district (1699), 388; his expeditions in Gujarat (1700-1711); his defeat at Ang-lushvar by the Mughals (1711), 388; defeats the army sent against him under Zulfiar Beg by the Delhi authorities (1716), 388; his outpost between Surat and Buzdampur (1713), 388; is appointed Samapati by Raja Shaha, 399.
 KHAMIRIAV: Galkwar, brother of Damaji, demands his share; negotiates with Juvai Mard Khan; appoints Dadin Moris his deputy at Ahmedabad and goes to South, 326, 327; confirms Bangoji and Fakir-ul-dinlahi; appoints Trimbak Pandit his deputy, 329; is appointed his brother's deputy in Gujarat, 332, 340.
 KHAN JUNAID LOON: unsuccessfully besieges Mandla, 381.
 KHAMIRIAV: prince, 23.
 KHAN: Bava: salt well, 432.
 KHAMIRIHOON: mouth of the Indus, 53.
 KHANA: king of Koonasa, 190.
 KHANSA: 469.
 KHAN KHAN: general of Sultan Nasir-ul-Ris Khabulshah, 519.
 KHATIR: butchers, 451.
 KHATIR: father of Umar, the second Khalifah, 403.
 KHATIR: family: slaves, under government of Navanganir; dispersed by British contingent (1818), 427.
 KHATIRAN-KAMIRANI: imperial treasury, 518.
 KHANA: grant of, 108, 112, 116, 118, 126, 128, 516.
 KHANSAH: king of Serah, killed by Siddharaja, 176.
 KHANSAH IV.: Chuddama king of Jangawith (1279-1283), repels Somnath after its destruction by Ala-ud-din Khilji, 180.
 KHANSAH: the pashas of Goa, 541, 542.
 KHANSAH KHAN: brother and successor of Nā Alau Khan II. of Broach; his death, 338, 339.
 KHANSA: Kheda, 110, 128.
 KHANSAV: 513.
 KHAN KHAN: prince, son of Ala-ud-din Khilji and husband of Devaladevi, 206.

KASHMIR: Benjāh and Kashmir settlers in Jāra and Cambodia, 500 note 6, 502.
 KHOKHAR: village, inscription at, 504.
 KICHANAR: 163.
 KICHANAR-BHAT: mother of Nasir-ud-din Khilji (1500-1513), 365.
 KICHANICHANAR-SHET: chief merchant of Ahmedabad, 435.
 KICHANAWAR: White Hima emperor (460-500), 76.
 KICHANAR: division of Baktrian Yutshā, 144.
 KICHANAR: 116. See Kheda.
 KIM: 545. See Kaummi.
 KIM KACHONKA: Battle of (1744), 323; customs station, its revenue made over to the English by the British minister Rājji (1843), 414.
 KIM: Chappari aphylla, 461.
 KIMKACHA: see Korada.
 KIMTA: language spoken at Mākhut, 616.
 KIMKACHA: compiled by Samastara, 129, 174, 378, 379, 194, 195, 196, 198, 199.
 KIMYABHMAN: Chalukya king, 107.
 KIMYABH: grandson of Pārappa and king of Lāpa, his grant at Sorat, 159.
 KIMYABH: brother of Kumārāpāla, 161.
 KIMYABH: Parmār king, 160.
 KIMYABHMAN: reservoir, 126 note 2.
 KIR: 514. See Kibh. [515.
 KIR: probably Kich-Mukran, island of, 514.
 KIRABHMAN: gateway, 450 note 1.
 KIRABH: Aśvāsin: Book of Clima, work of Al Istakhrī, 504 note 9.
 KIRABH: last Kushān king, 75; ruler of Yutshā, 144.
 KIR-CHAND: northern Gurjara kingdom (A.D. 620), 2; Chinese form of Gurjara, 466, 469.
 KIRABH: Ptolemaios: of Alexandria, 537.
 KIRABH: Kāshī river, 537.
 KIRABH: goddess in Anāval, 170.
 KIRABH: 535.
 KIRABH: town, temple of Ambikā at, 182 and note.
 KIRABH: town, 538.
 KIRABH: town, 538.
 KIRABH: Māh settlement at, 126.
 KIRABH: town, 519 and note 4, 520.
 KIRABH: town, 535.
 KIRABH: probably modern Quilon, 123 note.
 KIRABH: Kumārāpāla's visit to, 163.
 KIRABH: rebellion of, 384.
 KIRABH: Gaudat, 517.
 KIRABH: northern boundary of (A.D. 885), 5 note, 524, 527, 528, 534.
 KIRABH: village, 127.
 KIRABH: the residence of Bāghoba after the treaty of Sālbā, 410.
 KIRABH: town on the Nile, 553, 554.
 KIRABH: 438.
 KIRABH: village, 126.
 KIRABH: 469.
 KIRABH: abhinava and monk, author of Topographia Christiana (530-530), 547.
 KIRABH: Arab commander, checks Chinese advance (797), 501.
 KIRABH: village, 126.

KIRABH: town, 545.
 KIRABH: city police inspector, 214.
 KIRABH: Indo-Skythian king, 332.
 KIRABH: 537, 545.
 KIRABH: father of Bādshāh king, 332.
 KIRABH: 339, Bādshāh king (755), 121, 122, Akshatara, last Gurjara Bādshāh king (888), 123, Akshatara, Bādshāh king (889-914) brings south Gurjara under the sway of the Bādshāh, 125-126.
 KIRABH: legendary connection with Dwarka, 8-11; incarnation of Vishnu, his image at Veraval, 81; claimed by the Chōlās as their ancestor, 129, 176; inside the Sannātha temple, 170; claimed by Gurjara as their ancestor, 461.
 KIRABH III: Bādshāh king, 460.
 KIRABH: cavalry general of Bādshāh and brother-in-law of Kumārāpāla, 161, 182; helps Kumārāpāla to secure the throne, 163; inside Kumārāpāla, 161.
 KIRABH: foster son of Kāntār, captures the fort of Chāmpār (1725), 335.
 KIRABH: Persian king, 170.
 KIRABH: dynasty of (A.D. 70, A.D. 280), the name, 20 and note 21; northern and western, 22-54, 65, 66; dynasty of, 66.
 KIRABH: 403 note 2, 405, 406, 511.
 KIRABH: Chavala king (941-949) of Anāvalavā, 127 note 2, 134, 135.
 KIRABH: son of Bāhina I, Chalukya king of Anāvalavā, 160, 170, 181.
 KIRABH: 463.
 KIRABH: (A.D. 400, 537).
 KIRABH: see Kula.
 KIRABH: name of province, 36 and note 7.
 KIRABH: Quilon, apparently on the Malabar coast, maritime island, 500 and note 2.
 KIRABH: general of the Māh king, invades and sacks Anāvalavā city, 163-164.
 KIRABH: country of Sindh, 550.
 KIRABH: temple in, repaired by Gauda Bāhupāl, 170.
 KIRABH: Gupta queen (c. 380 A.D.), 54 and note 4.
 KIRABH: Gupta king (A.D. 320), 19.
 KIRABH I: sixth Gupta king (A.D. 416-453). Inscriptions, coins, 66, 67, 68-69, 74, 78 note, 86.
 KIRABH II: Gupta king, 74.
 KIRABH: local chief 172 and note 1.
 KIRABH: Chalukya king (1143-1174), 156, 170, 176; his ancestry 179, 181; his death planned by Bādshāh; goes into exile; his wanderings; coins issued in his name by Prādāpāla; is chosen king, 182-183; rewards his friends in exile; his wars with the kings of Māhārā, Malwa, the Kunkun, and Gurjara, 184-187; traditions regarding his Soledin Kāl, 188; extent of his kingdom; construction of the temple of Sannātha; his vow to keep apart from women and sucher fish and wine, 189; his Jain law-factions; is credited with building 144 temples; scholars at his court, 190; dies, 194.

KUMHARAPALACHARITA: His of Kumharapala in Sanskrit, 149 note 1, 170, 177 note 1, 178, 182, 190, 196.

KUMHARAPALACHARITAM: history of Kumharapala in Sanskrit, 149 note 1, 173, 183, 194.

KUMHARAPALAYANA: temple of, 173.

KUMHARS: 398. See Khamars.

KUMHAR: Sans of Chitor, defeated by Mahmud Ghilji (1453), 361.

KUMHAR: pottery, 431.

KUMHAR: Kothan, 506.

KUMHARHATA: grantor in the Kati grant, 126.

KUMHARHATA: Digambara Jain from Karmataka, his religious discourses with Deramriti and defeat, 181.

KUMHARLA: First Nagar Brahmins, 5 note.

KUMHAR: king of, present at the coronation or elope marriage of Darshkhadevi, 103.

KUMHARHATA: holy place, 161.

KUMHAR: 182.

KUMHAR: investiture festival at, 130 and note 3.

KUMHAR: son of Rama of the Solar race, incarnation of Vishnu, 119.

KUMHAR: name of Desirika, 3.

KUMHAR: 456 note 1; dynasty, 464; warfare, 464, 544.

KUMHAR: disciple of Nalinia, founder of a branch of Pāpata school, 81.

KUMHAR: an attribute meaning preachment, 119.

KUMHAR: 174: Moghal general in Gujarat, captures Navrangpur and annexes the territory (1804), 283.

KUMHAR-DIN ADAR: Defeated Rana Vaghela (1297), 512.

KUMHAR-DIN KHAN: Delhi emperor (1124), 329; advances to Kol, 519 and note 4.

KUMHAR-DIN SHAH: king of Gujarat, defeated Mahmud Ghilji of Malwa (1453), 362.

KUMHAR: old name for Kullavara, 4.

KUMHAR: prince, 218 note 2.

LIAN-LIN: Toramāra's father, 74-76.

LIAN-LIN: Lahori Banalar, 500.

LIAN-LIN: class of Hindus, 530.

LIAN-LIN: son of Pūta-king of Kachh, slain by Malatya, 100.

LIAN-LIN-KHAR: 409.

LIAN-LIN: daughter of king of Chedi married to Jaganmūha son of Keshava, 130. Younger sister of Mahendra married to Naga Raja, 163.

LIAN-LIN: temple of, 460.

LIAN-LIN: daughter of Bhaghu, 461, 462.

LIAN-LIN-KHAR: Lakulmi's settlement, 452.

LIAN-LIN: founder of Pāpata sect, chief shrine at Kārtavāra, 83, 84. See Nalinia.

LIAN-LIN: wife of Vastupala, 202.

LIAN-LIN: the Seld of Oldud near Swat, 463.

LIAN-LIN: Mr., chief of the English factory at Surat; sends Mulla Fakhr-ud-din in disguise to Bombay, 322; his escape, 333.

LIAN-LIN-KHAR: under Valabhi, 62 and 83.

LIAN-LIN: under Maghala, 212.

LIAN-LIN-KHAR: Bharti prince, son-in-law of Chidharaja, 174 note 1.

LIAN: seat of a Gujjar, prince; tribe; 104 note 1.

LIAN-DHAN: South Gujarat, 520, 521.

LIAN-LIN: the province Lāta, 7, 339.

LIAN-LIN: language, 516, 523.

LIAN-LIN: Sea (Indian Ocean), 519; language, 521.

LIAN-LIN: 532, 533, 534.

LIAN: ancient name of central and southern Gujarat, 5 note 1, 6, 7 and note 5, 116, 117; its conquest by Daudhurga, 127; its chief described Lavanaprasad and joined Slingama, 100, 465, 467, 468.

LIAN: 465, 467.

LIAN: name as Lāta, 7.

LIAN-LIN: original city of the Lātas, 7.

LIAN-LIN: 535.

LIAN-LIN: Red Sea, 624.

LIAN-LIN-KHAR: 190, 197; Vaghela chieftain (1200-1223) minister of Bhima II., rules at Anahilavala in his sovereign's name, 199; his war with the Durgel Yādava and the Māwar chief; his abdication in favour of his son Viradhavala, 198, 200, 206.

LIAN-LIN-KHAR: see Lavanaprasad.

LIAN-LIN-KHAR: of Gannāth Mōl, 521.

LIAN-LIN: of Gujarat, 8 11; of Bhūmad, 461-463; of Java, 497.

LIAN-LIN DA ASIA: (A.D. 1497-1539) work of Curzon, a Portuguese writer (1512-1530), 349.

LIAN-LIN: (Lavanaprasad?), pirate hunter, 540.

LIAN-LIN: Gujarat Kuntā subdivision, 4 and 5.

LIAN: certain measure of distance, 72.

LIAN-LIN-KHAR: dynasty of the, 61 and note 4, 63.

LIAN-LIN-KHAR: Jain and for, 103.

LIAN-LIN: sister of Samantaditya married to Rājā, 157.

LIAN-LIN: queen of Bhima II., daughter of Chohan chief Samantaditya, 197.

LIAN-LIN: of the country under Kumharapala's sway, 159 and note 1.

LIAN-LIN: Malabar coast, 543; Tamil country, 544.

LIAN-LIN: worship of the, 521, 522.

LIAN-LIN-KHAR: a work on genders compiled by Hemachandra, 124.

LIAN-LIN: 481.

LIAN-LIN: village, place of Nāgas, 84 note.

LIAN-LIN-KHAR: power of, 228.

LIAN-LIN: gathering of Thakurs at (1157), 443.

LIAN-LIN: blacksmith, 101.

LIAN-LIN: mouth of the Indus, 533.

LIAN-LIN: 103.

LIAN-LIN: village, engagement of the Marāthas with the Kells at, 334.

LIAN-LIN: Vastupala's chief supporter, shrine, 230 note 2.

LIAN-LIN: disturbances at, crushed by Ictostomus Albar, 44.

LIAN-LIN: river, 535.

LIAN-LIN: chief, 479.

MADANAPALA: brother of Kanya's mother, his death, 172.

MADANARAJA: wife of Lavangprada, 168.

MADANAYANMIAT: Chandel king of Mahabala, modern Mahala, his inscription; his surrender to Siddharaja; his hospitality, 178-179.

MADHATA: Nager Brahman, minister of Karyadeva, invites Muhammadans into Garjat; is appointed civil minister of Alaf Khan, 206 and note 2.

MADHATRAY CAKEWAR: brother of Pihaji, takes Baroda (1734) from Nwar Khola Bala the governor, 204.

MADHATRAY PESHWA: son and successor of Balaji Peshwa (1762-1772), 399; marches against Raghoba and defeats him at Dhany (1768), 400.

MADHAYADHAI: country between the Ganges and the Yamuna, 161, 438.

MADHAT: sacred place of the Muhammadans, 204.

MADHATAS: tribe, 64 and note 5.

MADHUA: 546.

MADH: Brahmana, sun-worshipper, 450.

MADHANA: Gupta ruler in 7th century, 75, 77.

MADHARAI: agent of Rupa Gekwar, a political refugee at Ahmedabad, 443.

MADHAT: sun-worshipper, 142.

MADHUA: Sanskrit poet, 453 note 1.

MADHUA: Brahmana, 464, 465. See Magua.

MADHAT KHAN: thirty-third viceroy of Garjat (1662-1665), suppresses the rebellion of the Chuvai Kols headed by a Belochi personating Dora Shikoh (1664), 293.

MADHARATA: 545.

MADHARAI VIRMA: receives the town of Branch from the English, 410.

MADHARAI: 469.

MADHARAHMI: temple of, 451, 471.

MADHARAI: Garjat prince, married to a Kanyakubja King, 151.

MADHARAI: attribute of priestly Brahmana, 85.

MADHARAHMAYASA: Jain temple at Siddipur, 172.

MADHARAHMI: southern boundary of Vindhya's kingdom, 301.

MADHARAI: 193.

MADHARAI: Raja of Nadol, holds a *rengamara* or chude-marriage of his sister, 162, 163.

MADHARAI MAHARAT: chief of, 451.

MADHARAHMI: grantee in the Haddala copperplate, 123.

MADH: river, 134, 467, 512.

MADH KATHA: Colonel Walker's tribute system introduced in (1808), 624.

MADH: port, 207 note 1.

MADHARAI: the river Mithi, 510.

MADHARAI: Chaudaman ruler of Kathiavada (1617), his coins, 138. Brother of Komarpala, 161; father of Ajaysingh, Chaulukya King, 194. King of Gurjara, 468, 469.

MADHARAHMI: see Mahipala.

MADHARAI RUPA: Raa Sahab, 160 note 2.

MADHARAI: of Chuvai, seeks Samantath and attacks Anahilavada and other places, 164-

168, 229, 493, 510, 512, 517, 522 and note 1, 523.

MADHARAI BHARAI: Ahmedabad king (1429-1515), 241, 250; defeats a conspiracy of his nobles (1436); improves the soldiery (1459-1461), 243-244; helps the king of the Dakhn against the Sultan of Malwa (1461), 244-245; his expedition against the pirate Zamindars of the hill Fort of Baris and the wheel of Dam or Dahamu; his expedition against Junagadh (1467) and capture of Girnar (1472), 245; repairs the Fort of Jodhpur and pushes Junagadh his capital under the name of Mustafid, 245-246; expedition against Kach and defeat of the Jakhis in Baris; takes the Fort of Jagat or Dvarka and destroys the idol temples, 246; founds the city of Muhammadabad on the Vataki; second conspiracy of the nobles (1485) headed by Khudward Khan; his war against Chams (1482-1484); captures Pavagad (1484) and makes Chitragarh his capital under the name of Muhammadabad, 247; invades Sonmukhi (1490), 190; places his nephew Miran Muhammadkhan Paroli on the throne of Ahar-Durkhanpur (1508), 216; his religious zeal; his death (1515), 249-250.

MADHARAI: coin, 122 note 2.

MADHARAI: in Bundelkhand, 178.

MADHARAI: writers, 340.

MADHARAI DUKH: the Marathi country, 521.

MADHARAI: 619. See Madhara.

MADH: Mard river, 544.

MADHARAI: 469.

MADHARAI: tribal name of Mehra, 76 and note 6, 87-88; identified with Mehra, 136, 139, 141, 142 and note 2.

MADHARAI: disciple of Nakhila, founder of a branch of Pasupati school, 84.

MADHARAI: village, 176.

MADHARAI: district accountants, 212, 213 note 2.

MADHARAI: fish, tribal badge of the Mehra, 87, 136.

MADHARAHMI: chief of Mehra, his fights with Mayuradhesa, 87; ancestor of other chiefs of Parbhar, 135.

MADHARAI: 204.

MADHARAI: 544, 589. See Madhara.

MADHARAI: 608, 511, 516.

MADHARAI: same as Jhalat, 140.

MADHARAI: part of, 516, 529, 537.

MADHARAI: chief minister of Arjunadeva and Samragadeva, 304.

MADHARAI: tribe, 28; kingdom of, 64; see, 67, 321, 465, 467, 469. See Madhara.

MADHARAI: lake at Dholka, built by Siddharaja, 180 note 2.

MADHARAI: Sir John, 180 note 3; (1520), 373.

MADHARAI: name of, identification of, 529.

MADHARAI: Mr., chief of the English at Surat, 402.

MADHARAI: Memoir, 533.

MADHARAI: son of Khanderav Gekwar, retires on a pension to Nakhil, 412; breaks out in rebellion in Kathiavada; is captured by Babaji Appaji and Vitthal Devaji, 412.

- MAHARAJA GADWAR:** called Dahi Sahib, takes part in the Maratha conspiracy at Baroda (1857); escapes punishment, is imprisoned, succeeds Khanderi, is deposed (1875), 442-443.
- MAHARAJA HOLKAR:** Bajirav Peshwa's officer, plunders Minda and Vadnagar and exacts tribute from Palanpur, 317; defeats Dhi Bahadur, governor of Manda and captures Manda, 342.
- MAHARAJA KRUFT:** Dandaji Gulkar's deputy at Ahmedabad, collects tribute in Gujarat (1740), 322, 323.
- MALA:** apparently Mills in north Kathiawar, capture of, 506 and note 5; island, 340.
- MALIA:** temple at, 103; Raja of, plunders Kachh and Sind, 422.
- MALINA:** identified with Malwa, expedition against, 100, 187.
- MALIK BILAZIR:** son and successor of Shajist Khan, Sultan of Malwa, with the title of Bha Bahadur (1355-1370), 262.
- MALIKHAR:** Sahasrahar king, 512, 513.
- MALIK KAHIR:** Sultan Firuzshah, deposes Zil-mudin Bared to Benach, 514.
- MALIK KATRA:** Cambay slave, rises in Delhi emperor's favour, is sent to subdue the Dekhan, 204, 229.
- MALIK MOHAMMAD KHAN:** minister of Sultan Hoshang (1455-1431), 359.
- MALIK MUHAMMAD:** conquers Gujarat and plunders Kambayat, 515.
- MALIK MURAD:** Gujarat governor, 230.
- MALIK TEOMAN:** captain of freebooters (1347), 230-231.
- MALNA:** gardeners, 450.
- MALINDRA:** Mami, 523.
- MALIPPAHA:** town, 540.
- MALINDHO:** capital of the later Rashtrakutas, 120. See Manyakheta.
- MALHOTRA:** Malhar, 514; destroyed by Tailappa, Chalukya king (973), 519.
- MALLERAZURA:** Sultana king of the Koonkan (1160), is killed in battle by Kumarapala's general, 185; his stone inscriptions, 186.
- MALIK KHAN:** commandant of Malwa assumes the title of Kafilshah Malwa and makes Manda his capital, indifference of, to the orders of Sher Shah Sur, does homage to Sher Shah at Sarangpur (1543), flies to Gujarat and attacks Manda with Gujarati forces; the defeat of, by one of Sher Shah's generals, 308, 309.
- MALYAGUR:** telhe, 534.
- MALWA:** 24, 28; Gupta conquest of, 67; conquered by Govinda III, Rashtrakuta king, 123, 124; its king taken prisoner by Siddharaja, 175; annexed to Chaulukya kingdom by Siddharaja, 178; its king Ballala defeated by Kumarapala, 155; its king crushed by Vishaldeva, 203; incorporation of, to Gujarat by Sultan Bahadur of Gujarat (1536-1536), invasion of, by the emperor Humayun (1534), 367; under Sher Shah Sur (1542-1543), 368; under his successor Salim Shah (1543-1553), becomes independent under Shajist in 1554, 369, 510. See Malilla.
- MALWA KULTANS:** (1400-1570), 356-371.
- MAMRADO:** 512, 544.
- MIMHAI:** 504, 509 note 3, 511. See Anahila-naga.
- MAMA:** see Mamma.
- MAMET:** brother of Fatehshah Gulkar, assumes the government of Baroda, 470; his death, 471.
- MANAVI MOHAM:** Sonajati of Raja Shah, 339.
- MANARA:** early Rashtrakuta prince; Rashtrakuta family, 129.
- MANAPTRA:** city, 150, 182.
- MANSARA:** associated with the introduction of sun-worship, 147.
- MAND:** maritime island, 509.
- MANDAGARA:** identified with Mandangad, 541.
- MANDAR:** Sudra class of sun-worshippers, 142.
- MANDAGARA:** 540. See Mandangad.
- MANDAR:** village near Virangan, expedition against, 100, 516, 520 and note 2.
- MANDALA:** kingdom, 135.
- MANDALI:** modern Manda, Mohanlaldev's temple at, 101 and note 2.
- MANDALAKA:** Gaudama ruler of Junagadh, 70.
- MANDALI-NAGARA:** temple of Malevara at, 101 and note 2.
- MANDANGAD:** 540.
- MANDAPARA:** gold campy, won from Kama the Chedi king and presented to Samanatha, 165.
- MANDAR:** town in western Malwa, 77; inscription of Anur Varman at, 41; defeat of Sultan Bahadur of Gujarat at (1554), 367.
- MANDAR:** traveller (1023), 224 note 2; at Ahmedabad (1035), 370 note 5.
- MAND:** identified with Mera, 140 and note 5, 142 and note 2, 505.
- MAND:** expedition against (1334) 233, (1419) 237; hill fort, description of, 359-366; is made capital of Malwa by Sultan Hoshang, 355; besieged by Ahmed Shah of Gujarat (1415-1422), 359; captured by Mahmud II. of Malwa and Munaffar of Gujarat (1519); besieged by Sultan Bahadur of Gujarat (1520), 367; local Muslim chiefs attempt to establish at (1536-1542), Malu Khan the Sultan of, 325; captured by Akbar's general Pir Muhammad (1540) (re-taken by Sultan Bha Bahadur (1547)); re-captured by Akbar's general Abdullah Khan Ustak (1553); seized by Akbar (1563); 369; Mughal province (1570-1720) 370, 371; is given to Munaffar III. of Gujarat by Akbar, 371; described by Abul Fazl (1590), Farishtah (1610), emperor Jehangir (1617), the Reverend Edward Terry (1617) 371-381; besieged by Khan Jehan Lodi, 381; captured by Uday Pavar (1696) and emperor Bahadur Shah (1705); Asaph Jah Nizamu-ol-Mulk, governor (1717-1721); Raja Girdhar Bahadur, governor (1722-1724); defeated by Chhatraj Pandit and Uday Pavar; Bajirav Peshwa, governor of

- (1724), included in the Pávir territory; Mubad, mother of Rámchandra Pávir, taken shelter in (1803), 382; under the Maráthas, (1820-1829), 382.
- MANGALAPURA**: establishment of a cove at, 376.
- MANGALAPURI**: identified with Puri, 108.
- MANGALAPURA**: Gujarát Cháshukya ruler (998-731), 58; his plates, 108-109; at Narvári, 119.
- MANGALAKARABÁYA**: 60. See Mangaladji.
- MANGALÁYA**: Cháshukya king (600), 114.
- MANGUN**: Vaidi, betelnut cultivators' settlement at, 113 note 3; village, Músha ore mentioned in an inscription at, 178. See Mangalapura.
- MANGALORI**: 537.
- MANGORI**: port, burned by the Portuguese (1583), 317.
- MANGORI**: 514, 518, 519. See Málkhat.
- MANGORI DESOURA**: same as Kámal Desouza, captain of the fleet of Diu, 549, 556, 551.
- MANGURA**: identification of, 543.
- MANGRA**: taken by Dámaji, 324.
- MANGRA**: in Central Sind, invaded by Mahmúd of Ghazni, 367.
- MANGRAH**: 506, 507, 511, 524. See Mahura.
- MANGRAH**: Báluka town, 364.
- MANGRAHARIS**: prevalent in charms, 163.
- MANGRI**: agents: 209.
- MANGRAHURA**: modern Málkhat, 120; capital of Dakhan Ráshtrakúta, 128; 180, 519. See Málkhat.
- MANGRAHURA**: king of east Malwa, submits to Govind III, 328.
- MANGRAHURA**: Málwár, 470.
- MANGRAHURA**: their ascendancy in Gujarát (1760-1802), 227; raids in Saur (1654), 284 (1699), 291; threaten Surat (1709), 292; enter Gujarát under Dhotaji Jádav (1705), 293; plunder Mahadja, enter Ahmedábad and levy tribute (1707), 295, 296; defeated at Ankleshvar (1711), 297; plunder the treasure secured by Muhammad Táhir (1713), 288; yearly raids into Gujarát, 297; besiege Vadnagar (1725), 307; quarrels between their leaders Dámaji and Kámaji; under Dámaji expel the Virangam Kachhís; under Kámaji are defeated at Dholka by Ratanabhai, 316, 317; defeat Rustam Ali governor of Surat, 305; defeated by Khánabád at Soitra and Kapadvaj (1725), 307; compel Mubáriz-ul-mulk to confirm his predecessor's grants in their favour (1736), 307; make terms with the viceroy of Gujarát, 314; driven out of Baroda (1737), 304; defeat Sher Khán Bábi and capture Baroda (1738), 314-315; capture Kapadvaj (1736), 317; expelled from Virangam Fort; call in the aid of Muzáir Khán; take Virangam, 323-324; take Pothid, 327; engagements with Muzáir Khán, 340, 317, 342; their arrangements in Ahmedábad (1758); strike coins of their own at the Ahmedábad mint, 342; levy tribute in Umarka, Bálisaur, Lunaváda, Valságar, and Pálanpur (1755), 343; their supremacy in Gujarát (1760-1819), 345, 383; help the Ráo of Kachh in an expedition against Thatta in Sind (1779), 306; join in a league against the Bháskari (1779); driven from their posts in Ankleshvar, Hátak, and Kínol by the Bháskari (1780), 109; close of their supremacy (1819), 427-429.
- MARCO POLO**: Italian traveller (1290), 201, 204.
- MARCO AVERRIO EXTANTINI**: (161-180), 367.
- MARISOR**: of Tyre, 437.
- MARSHAPOT**: geographer (n.c. 109), 246.
- MARSHOT**: tribe, 231.
- MARSHAPOT**: 187 and note 1.
- MARSH**: ancient name of Málwár, 36 and note 4, 406, 468.
- MARSHAPOT**: Málwár, expedition against, 109, 467.
- MARSHAPOT**: king of, present with Grahárida in the battle with Maharája, 160; chiefs of, attack Lavangpur and are defeated, 189, 200; disturbance in (1627), 289, 304, 322.
- MARSHAPOT**: local name, 245 note 3.
- MARSHAPOT**: 462, 498. See Al Marshat.
- MARSHAPOT**: 194.
- MARSHAPOT**: taluka of the Kaira district, 122 and note 2.
- MARSHAPOT**: in the island of Java, 482.
- MARSHAPOT**: tribute over to the English by Rájaji, Baroda sultan, in payment of the subsidiary force at Baroda (1808), 474.
- MARSHAPOT**: goddess, 461.
- MARSHAPOT**: tribe, 231.
- MARSHAPOT**: modern Mathura, 519.
- MARSHAPOT**: king of, present at the *propitiatio* or choice-marriage of Dáraháder, 183; Prince Murád confined in (1808), 282, 533.
- MARSHAPOT**: with Muzáir march against Hoshak and murder the governor (1621); their defeat and slaughter, 182.
- MARSHAPOT**: 122. See Málwár.
- MARSHAPOT**: northern Káshtráya king (n.c. 70), 27.
- MARSHAPOT**: Puránik name for the Rájasa, 141 (n.c. 2, 141).
- MARSHAPOT**: chiefs, later (600), 15; ruling dynasty of the Kachh, 107; kingdom identified with Mauryas of Chitor, 109; of Chitor, 405; empire (n.c. 180), 232.
- MARSHAPOT**: power, control of the Gupta, 135.
- MARSHAPOT**: 135.
- MARSHAPOT**: see Morkhand.
- MARSHAPOT**: Mr., 538, 541.
- MARSHAPOT**: see Mura.
- MARSHAPOT**: commander-in-chief of Mahmúd II. of Málwa (1512-1530), suppresses the revolt of Mubáriz Khán; defeats the combination of Mubáriz II. of Gujarát and Sháhdád Khán of Dáhlí; attempts of Mahmúd II. of Málwa for the annexation of; expulsion of, with terrible slaughter, by joint forces of Mahmúd II. and Sultan Mubáriz of Gujarát; supported by Rájasa Sangha of Chitor, 362-367.
- MARSHAPOT**: 140; Bawárij prince, 517. See Málwár.
- MARSHAPOT**: 140; Málwa, 508, 511. See Mura.
- MARSHAPOT**: Virgil's phrase for the Jhelum, 144.

- his Barhoda from Gujarat, 319; captures Ahmedabad (1738); is appointed *Amir-ul-akh* viceroys (1738-1743), 220; is honoured with a title and dress by the emperor of Delhi (1742), 225; his death (1743); his wife seeks protection of Rangoji, 226.
- MUMTAZ KHAN II.**: son of Mumta Khan I., 227, is confirmed as governor of Cambay (1743), 230, 231; at his request Cambay is included in Peshwa's share (1751), 234; is compelled by Raghunathrao to pay an annual tribute of Rs. 10,000, 237, 238; takes Gogha, attacks Jamnagar and besieges Borad (1755), 239; captures Ahmedabad (1756), 239; receives compliments and a sword from the emperor of Delhi, 240; besieged in Ahmedabad, receives help from the Raja of Idar; Shah Nur's attempt to make peace between Mumta Khan and the Peshwa fails; Ahmedabad and Gogha surrendered to the Peshwa (1757), 241, 242; oppresses and extorts money from his own followers, 242; contracts friendship with the English and visits Poona (1759), 243, 244; receives instructions from Delhi to join in driving the Marathas out of Gujarat; is defeated (1761), 245.
- MUMTAZ**: revolt of (1831), 258.
- MUMTAZ**: Maqda of Chinghina, 523.
- MUMTAZ**: modern Mangrol, 528.
- MURAN**: river Madi, 629.
- MURAT**: copperplate of Jalakhava at 81, 87; earliest one of Jethava, 130; grant of Jalakhava at, 139.
- MURMUNA**: capital of the country ruled by Govinda, son of Dhruva, Raderakata 11th, 123.
- MURU TRIMAL**: Shivaji's general, captures the fort of Salur in Bagha (1672), 287.
- MURUT**: tribe, 524.
- MURUT**: Mr., resident envoy in Poona (1777), 407.
- MURVANA**: Brahman settlements of, 127.
- MURVANA**: provisionally identified with Karvir, 512.
- MURVA**: modern Mosa, 512, 515.
- MURZAFAR**: invader of Sonanatha, 100.
- MURZAM**: son of Aurangzeb and commander of the Mughal army sent against Pathari, 387.
- MURZAM SHAH**: Prince Muhammad 2nd, 207. See Bahadur Shah of Delhi.
- MURZAM KHAN**: emperor, 224.
- MURZAM-UL-MULK**: 304, 306, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311. See Farukhan Khan.
- MURZAM KHAN**: son of Mumta Khan, schemes of Rangoji for the assassination of; unites his forces with Fida-ul-din Khan, defeats Rangoji and obtains Borad and Virangam from him, 320; thirty-seventh viceroys (1743-1744), appoints Jawan Mari Khan his deputy; the house of, besieged by Jawan Mari Khan; his escape, joins Rangoji and retires to Cambay, 327.
- MURZAM**: brother of Hakam, leads an expedition to Debal, 506.
- MURZAM**: the administration of, in Gujarat (1573-1760), 221-227; emperors in Gujarat (1573-1760), 207, 210; at Mandu (1678-1720), 270-282.
- MURZAM KHAN**: commander of Mumta II. of Malwa (1512-1530), the result of, suppressed by Muzaffar Shah, 206.
- MURZAM**: prophet, his era, 204.
- MURZAM**: son of Fari, calls against the Muz of Hind and captures Madi, 206.
- MURZAM**: son of Kamin, 200.
- MURZAM IV.**: (1648-1657) Turkish Sultan, an ambassador from, leads at Surat, 230.
- MURZAM ALI KHAN SHAH**: thirty-sixth viceroys of Gujarat (1703-1704), 221.
- MURZAM AMIN**: polemic Abu Bakr, the leader and suppressor the riot of Ahmedabad (1651), 270-277.
- MURZAM AMIN KHAN**: Usman-ul-Mulk, thirty-sixth viceroys of Gujarat (1671-1680), 263.
- MURZAM HANUM KHAN**: Surinwar, Mughal general, captures Idar (1672), 256.
- MURZAM HANUM KHAN**: thirty-first viceroys of Gujarat (1704-5), 224-225.
- MURZAM GHONI**: rebel of, into Gujarat, 106 note 7, 512; Sultan Ghani Khan, son and successor of Sultan Hoshang of Malwa (1431-1436), poisoned by Muhammad, son of Malik Mughla, 240-240.
- MURZAM KHAN**: (712), 456 was 1.
- MURZAM SHAH I.**: (1413-1414), 221 and note 1.
- MURZAM SHAH II.**: Humayun Akbar, emperor of Delhi (1521-1545), 222, 201; sends Muzafar-ul-Mulk against Hamid Khan and the Marathas, 223; his death (1748), 202.
- MURZAM TUGHAN**: Sultan (1323-1331), 220, 201; at Adilwat, 513; quells the insurrection at Burch and Cambay, 514, 515; in Goudal (1340), 517; pursues Taghi, 518.
- MURZAM TUGHAN II.**: emperor (1391-1393), 222.
- MURZAM UZI**: 195 note 4.
- MURZAM**: territory, 214.
- MURZAM**: city capital, 214.
- MURZAM-DIN**: Gujarat governor (1347), 221.
- MURZAM-DIN HANUM KHAN**: Sultan of Debal (1124-1203), defeated by N. Bahadur, 201.
- MURZAM**: 212. See note 1.
- MURZAM KHAN**: thirty-ninth viceroys of Gujarat (1623-24), 287.
- MURZAM**: crown domain officers, 200 and note 2.
- MURZAM**: son of Bahadur, makes grants to Sonanatha; is mentioned in the inscription at Mangrol, 170.
- MURZAM**: land-cultivating system in Kathiawar under the Mughals and Marathas, 417; special rules, 418, 421.
- MURZAMADITYA**: temple of, at Mandali, 161 and note 2.
- MURZAM**: Solanki king, 131, 139, 163, 169, 470. See Mularaja, Chaulukya king.
- MURZAM**: Chaulukya king (961-966); his descent and birth; kills his maternal uncle and ascends the Chaulukya throne; his fight with

- Bhappa**: his war with Grakastipa, ruler of South; builds his son and retires; builds temple at Anahilavāḍa and Siddhajnagar; grants villages to Brahmins, 131, 139, 143, 154-161, 264, 469, 470.
- MULARAJA**: last apparent of Bhillas I. of Anahilavāḍa, his mysterious death, 149.
- MULARAJA II.**: Chandukya king (1177-1179), succeeds his father Ajayapala; disperses the Turukha army, defeats Muhammad Ghori, 193, 512.
- MULAVANTI**: temple of, at Anahilavāḍa, 161.
- MULAVANTIKĀ**: Jain temple at Anahilavāḍa, 160, 161.
- MULIVANA**: temple at Mandalingurga, 161.
- MULIVAN**: Kalatrapa inscription at, 13.
- MULLA MUHAMMAD ALI**: Umdat-ul-Juz'at as chief of merchants, raises a disturbance at Surat (1729), takes his head-quarters at Porbm, and afterwards at Aihra on the Tapi, 309; builds the fort of Aghra (1730), 310; drives Solanki Khān, governor, out of Surat (1732); keeps in confinement by Toghbug Khān, governor of Surat, 313; in correspondence with the Nizām; letters from the Nizām to Toghbug Khān for the release of; the assassination of (1734), 315.
- MULLEN**: 512, 513.
- MURĀN**: capital of Hāpa dynasty, 143, 459, 509, 513, 525, 545.
- MORA**: lake at Viramgam, 180.
- MURDARAVARA**: holy place, 170.
- MURZA**: king of Malwa, deprives Chānuḡḡa of his marks of royalty, 162.
- MURZĀ**: minister of Karna, Chandukya king, 170, 171, 172.
- MURĀN BAHADUR**: Prince Muhammad, twenty-third viceroy of Gujarat (1634-1657); surrender of Kānji Chānuḡḡa Koli; proclaims himself emperor of Gujarat (1637); his transfer to the viceroyalty of Berar through Dārā Shikoh; collects an army and arranges to meet his brother Aurangzib; fights a battle with Mahārāja Juvantasing and Kāsanikhān, viceroys of Malwa and Gujarat (1658); Aurangzib and Murād enter Ujjain, meet Dārā Shikoh at Dholpur and defeat him; confined by Aurangzib at Mathura (1658), 281-282.
- MURTAZ-UL-ARĀN**: 'Meadows of Gold,' work of Al Masudi, 406 note 8.
- MURUNDIA**: tribe, 64 and note 5.
- MURĀN ISTRĀ**: 516 and note 3.
- MURĀLMĀNS**: Gujarat (1297-1760), 297-284, 451, 468, 512, 514, 516, 518, 523, 526, 630.
- MURUKA VIKRĀ**: temple at Pīṣan, built by Kumārpalā, 190.
- MURURIS**: revenue clerks, 212, 214.
- MURUG**: Arabs, first attack Broach, 318.
- MURUG**: dress of, 329.
- MURĀRĀN KHĀN**: head of the Arabs at South, makes the Rājā prisoner; disarmed, and shot by Lieutenant Allan, 441.
- MUTABARDET**: civil officer, 212.
- MUTER**: Captain, arrest of, by Genl. Roberts, 410.
- MUTIST**: at Ahmedābād, suppression of, by the viceroy (1680) 285.
- MUZHIRI**: 537.
- MUZAFFAR I.**: of Gujarat, (1407-1419), 210, 234-235; invades Malwa and defeats Solanki Bhadrang at Dhar (1407); takes Sultan Bhadrang to Gujarat as a prisoner; releases and reinstates him at Māhda (1408), 238.
- MUZAFFAR II.**: Gujarat king; attacks Somnath, 191.
- MUZAFFAR KHĀN (HĀNDI)**: Pathwa's captain at Surat (1768-1769), 342.
- MUZAFFARĀRĀN**: port, burned by the Portuguese (1542), 347.
- MUZIRIS**: Kresugannur, 537, 546.
- MUGS HERNES**: near Rās Abu Samir, 530, 542.
- NAGARHMA**: town, 535.
- NAGATHANA**: destruction of the kingdom of (105), 542.
- NAGĀN**: battle near (1412), 235; made over to the British by Rājā Apāji (1803), 413.
- NAGIRHĀN**: Persian emperor invades Hindustan, 322.
- NAGOT**: copperplate, 181 note 3.
- NAGOR**: state in Marwar, its chief Kothana put a curse to death for his wife having offered flesh to a field-god, 303.
- NAGELA**: modern Nāndol, 198.
- NAGA KIROR**: the, 49.
- NAGA**: see Nīkalulaka, 315. Wild tribe identified with Nāikās of the Panch Mahāla and the Talabās of Broach, 115.
- NAGADA**: minister of Vishaldeva, 203.
- NAGĀNA**: temple of, in Mavād, 133.
- NAGĀN**: triple guardians of the Rathols, 162.
- NAGAN PĀKAM**: rising in, 448.
- NAGA RĀJA**: son of Chānuḡḡa, Chandukya king, 192-193.
- NAGANIKĀ**: modern Navsari, 123.
- NAGAROGRE**: probably Poona, 541.
- NAGARINIKĀ**: modern Navsari, 123.
- NAGATZENDHANA**: Chandukya prince; king ruling in west Nakh; grant of, at Nirpan, 108, 110, 111, 112.
- NAGAVANMAN**: 122.
- NAG WOHSHI**: legends of, 502 note 3.
- NAGUR**: fort of, 174 note 1.
- NAGUR**: district, 208 and note 3.
- NAGARĀNA**: first Gujarat Kshatrapa, 24, 29.
- NANHWANA**: Anahilavāḍa, 508, 509, 510, 511, 517, 518, 531.
- NANOWALA**: the Bal of (Bhimdeva), 196, 511, 512.
- NANVAN**: father of Yyazi, 460.
- NANEDA BILLS**: in revolt under Rupa and Kevā Nāks (1858), 444; joined by Talis Topi's broken force, 445.
- NANEDAS**: wild tribe, 115.
- NANIDORI**: wife of Ajayapala and mother of Mahārāja II, Chandukya king, her flight at Gādārātrāghatta, 195.
- NAIR PAL**, slew Ajpal, Kannj monarch (170), 120.
- NAIR**: barbers, 451.
- NAITAD**: district in South, 208 and note 2.

NICOLA DE CORTI: traveller (1490-1444) 220, note 2.
NIRASAMALLA: king of Anahilapataka, 204.
NIKOLAOS: of Damascus, 535.
NIKUMMALA SAKTI: Soudamā chief, his grant, 55-56, 111.
NILAKANTHA MARÁDEVA: Kumārpalā's royal god, 189.
NILAKANTHÁVARA MARÁDEVA: image of, 163.
NILÁ: river, 510, 514.
NILÁ: queen of Grāharipa, 160.
NILKAPPA: pleasure-house at Māndu, visited by Akbar in 1574 and by Jehāngir in 1617, 356; inscription, 370-371.
NILKAPPA MAHĀDEVA: shrine of, 453.
NIMACH: 539.
NIMBĀLI: tank, 432. See Nirmalakavovar.
NINGHORIA BHAIKAV: 534.
NIRJULLARA: grant of, 58 note 1; chieftain of a wild tribe, 111, 113. See Nāga.
NIRPAK: grant of Nāgavardhāna Tridharmasaya at, 138, 110.
NIRUPAKA: another name of Dhruva I. and Dhruva II., 176.
NISUANA: country, 53 and note 9.
NITRA: identified with Mangalori, 542.
NITHIAS: 537, 542. See Nitra.
NITTARVASHA HAVATĀNDASPA: 139.
NIJĀNĀNĪ NĀSĀR: of the Dakhan (1496-1506), 221.
NIZAM-UL-MULK: governor of Gujarat (1551), 251. Amfāfā, Governor of Ujjain (1750), retires to the Dakhan, defeats and kills Sayad Dīlāwar Khān; retires to Anantnagāh, battle of Bāḍāpur: In the Herāra and death of Alam Khān, deputy viceroy of the Dakhan, 501; appointed prime minister of the empire (1731), 302; his disagreement with Haidar Kull Khān (1722), 300; appointed fifty-first viceroy of Gujarat (1722); appoints Haidar Khān, deputy viceroy and Muzah Khān, governor of Surat, 300; defeats Rustam Ali, 300; sends Fagala Nithan and Achhan to Surat to avenge Mulla Muhammad Ali (1748), 331.
NOGHAN: Akbar ruler of Savaditra, attacked and slain by Siddhartha, 176.
NONO DA CUNHA: Portuguese viceroy in India, 349, 351.
NORTHERN INDIA: conquest of, by Timur (1399-1400), 357.
NOUSARIYA: modern Nausari, 539.
NUKI Noah, given as the first ancestor of the Chindāmanis, 139.
NUK JUBAN: wife of emperor Jehāngir at Māndu, 375.
NUK-UD-DIN MUHAMMAD URI: author of Jami-ul-Hikayat (1211), 512.
NUSTĀK-UL-MUHTĀK: work of Al Idrisi, 508 note 10.

O
OLLAHAN: 515.
O-CHIA-LO: Chinese name of the Arhat Achāra, 79.
ODDABODDER: tribe, 531.
OFFICE-GRANTEE: under the Valabhi administration (A.D. 500-700), 81, 82.
OHIED: 163.
OKELA: modern Ghalla, 537, 543.
OKHAGH: 505; Okhamandah.
OKHĀMANRAL: chief, 208 and note 3; chiefs of, submit Soudarj Shrivāji as resident on behalf of British Government, 435; chiefs of, take to piracy (1876) and are crushed by a British force; the district of, made over to the Galkwa (1816), 427; Waghers of, besiege and plunder Dwārka Barvata and Bat (1839); expedition against Bat; capture of the forts of Bat and Dwārka, 440-443.
OLLATYARA: grant mentioned in Akhāvarsha Krishna's grant at Bagumra, 128.
OLKHOTRA: provisionally identified with Keral, 542.
OLPA: 539.
OMANE: east of the Persian Gulf, 545.
OMENOGARA: probably Junnar, 541.
ORATE: the Aparantaka, 532, 533, 534.
ORAYURA: probably Surashtra, 5.
ORDADAROU: identification of, 539.
ORHAT: Makran tribe, 546.
ORIGIN: of the name of Gujarat, 2-5; of the Valabhi, 83-86; of Bhinnal, 466.
ORINA: 494.
ORHAT: shipowner of, 204.
ORHSTER: tribe, 531.
ORHOTHIA: Gorath, 537.
ORH: Oras tribe, 534.
ORHSTER: town, 535.
ORH: land, 463.
ORHMERALLA: village, 108.
ORHAR: caste, 463, 464; origin of, 464 note 1.
ORHIA: same as Rupa, 146.
ORH: river, 144.
ORHAR: Ujjain, 37, 510, 543, 545.

P
PADMAPURA: city in Kāshmir, 188.
PADMĀYATI: wife of Kumārpalā, 158.
PAGODA: coin, 219 note 2.
PADMA KĀSH JĀLAKI: governor of Palanpur (1744), 328.
PĀHĪR: mother of Hemachandra, 191.
PAHLAVAN: tribe, 35.
PAITHAN: town, 37, 132, 540, 541, 545.
PAKIDARI: modern Kari, 539.
PAL: 216. See Val.
PAL: village, 546.
PALAT: 543.
PALĀIPATMAY: modern Pal, 540, 545.
PALĀSINGOURDOU: Ceylon, 543.
PĀLANPUR: birth-place of Salihārāja, Chandra-lakya king, 171, 310.
PĀLĪNTHĒRA: Pāṭaliputra, modern Patna, 533.

- PALINBOOTHRA : Pataliputra, 516.
 PALITANA : 186.
 PALLATA : dynasty of Dakshin kings, 33, 38.
 PALHANA : village, 127 and note 3.
 PĀLVĀMA : local name, 208 note 3.
 PAMPA : Kuchavag post (341), 166.
 PANAS : town, 338.
 PANCHAL : sllab, 308 and note 3.
 PANCHASAR : village, Chāvajā chiefship at its fall (690), 119 150, 155.
 PANCHASARA PARASAKTĪ : Jain temple of, 152.
 PANCH MAHĀLA : rising in; siege of Dohad raised by Captain Buckle's forces, 439; Tātā Topi in, his expulsion from, 445.
 PANDYA : Pandya, 532, 534.
 PANDYA : Pandya, 548.
 PANDYAN : the, 519.
 PANDION : kingdom of the Pandya, 537, 546.
 PASHITE : at courts of Gujjarā kings, 189.
 PANDURANG PANDIT : Peshwa's agent in Gujrat, marches on Cambay and Ahmedabad, makes peace with Momin Khan and Jawan Mari Khan and retires to Scrath (1752), 335.
 PANDURANG PANT : 368. See Pandurang Pandit.
 PANDYA : kingdom of, conquered by Pulikeshi II., 111, 524, 546.
 PANJAN : kingdom of, 526, 527, 534, 545.
 PANINI : grammarian, 36, 534.
 PANIPAT : battle of (1761), 345.
 PANKUL : village, assigned to the author of *Mirāt-i-Ahmadi*, 322.
 PANKOLI : village, 323.
 PANTER : cups of, identification of, 539, 544, 545.
 PARABALI : tribe, 538.
 PARAHANAKA : village, granted, its identity with Palaha, 127 and note 2.
 PARAHADHYA : Rāja, identified with Paramāra, king of A'bur, 168 note 2.
 PARAMĀRA : Rājputa, 453, of Māliwa, section of the Gujjarā or Bhilmāl stupice, 469; supreme in Marasthāl, lose their possessions, 470.
 PARANTHI : assigned to the Marāthās (1757), 119, 319.
 PARASANG : local measure, 163.
 PARASANG : Parādeva, 232.
 PARASAKTĪ : Jain saint, 152.
 PARASAKTĪMA : son of Sajāna, builds the temple of Nemināth, 177 note 1.
 PARDI : 116.
 PARGANĀH : sub-division, 210.
 PARKHDE : local name of Baroda, 208 note 3.
 PARIAN DOG : passing of the (1827), in Gujrat, 423.
 PARISHAD : Rājputa, 463, 468.
 PARISHRUTAPRYAN : work of Hemachandra, 103.
 PARIYAYA : village, granted, 110.
 PARK : Colonel, 445.
 PARLIPUR : town, 119.
 PARNADATTA : Surialdra governor of Ekaula-cupta, 62.
 PARSERA : fort, captured by Shivaji (1677), 287; fort, 402; taken by Lieutenant Webb (1780), 400.
 PARSAR : local name, 208 note 3.
 PARSVANĀTHA : temple of, 471.
 PARSIS : 194 note 1; riot of, in Bombay (1957), 457, 458.
 PARTABPUR : gathering at; destruction of, 445.
 PARTHIAN : 543, 544; empire of, 545.
 PARSYAT : Shiv's wife, 455.
 PASAITAN : see Varatana.
 PASIPEDA : town identified with Rannmā, 538.
 PASUTATA : sect, 53; 190.
 PASUPATĪ : take service in army, 84.
 PATALA : island, 533, 534, 535; town, 533, 546.
 PATALS : Indus delta, 536.
 PATALAKH : 537. See Pattalena.
 PATALSHIVAR : 432.
 PATALPUTRA : city, 546.
 PATAV : town, 531, 532, 535; inscription at, 107 note 1, 174, 175; Salsarallaga lake built by Shihārdja at 179; Sahā called at, by Shihārdja, 181; stone inscription at, 190; vacated by Jhaloris and Rathods and sold by Jawan Mari Khan (1757), 318, 460 and note 4.
 PATAV KORNATH : sllab, 508 and note 3.
 PATAKARALA : another name of Karka I., 524.
 PATRI : fort, given to Bhādvaj by the Marāthās, 325-324.
 PATRI : village headmen, 210.
 PATIRA : northern Khatrapa rules, 23 and note 1, 53.
 PATRI : Mandal, 518.
 PATRIAS : dancing girls, 451 and note 4.
 PATTABANDI : Surticulture festival, 130.
 PATTALAKH : Lower Sindh, 538.
 PATTAR : town, mosque in, 512.
 PATTAN SOMNATH : burned by the Portuguese (1623), 347.
 PATTAVAN : 469.
 PATTWANT : Mughal village officer, 212.
 PAVANIAS : (179) 409.
 PAVAGAD : hill fort, golden Kālī on, 206 note; taken from and restored to Sindh by the English (1803), 414.
 PAVAK : Gujjar surname, 408.
 PAVAGAD : 540.
 PEARL FISHERIES : in the gulf of Kachh, 245.
 PEETH : 110.
 PERSINE : island, 542.
 PERANI : 546.
 PERIMURA : Chaul, 533, 540.
 PERIPUR : the, 7, 17, 233, 537, 539, 538; the author a merchant of Alexandria; the chief views about its age, 542-545.
 PERMAST : king, 172 and note 3; Kadambā king, 195.
 PERNA : country, 497; 532, 547; gulf of, 516, 536, 545.
 PERIALIS : capital of the Gangaridai.
 PERIYAN : stupas of, 497, 545.
 PERHAWAN : Khāra established in, 144.
 PESUKASH : Maratha contributions, 316.
 PESKWA : opens for the first time direct negotiations with the viceroy of Gujrat (1726);

- appoints Udaji Pavār his deputy to levy tribute in Gujarat and to operate against Pilaji; sends Chhannaji with an army through Gujarat; obtains tribute on the whole revenue of Gujarat (1728), 307, 309, 391; negotiates with the Nizam and the adherents of Trimbak-rāy Dādāda; recognises the Nizam's rights to several places in Gujarat and agrees to help him in covering the Dekhan from the possessions of the emperor, 393; negotiates with Jagan Mart Khān (1734), 397; treaty of Basrah (1802), 413; his intrigue in Baroda, 426; treaty of Poona (1817); his fall (1818), 428.
- PETHAPANGARAKA**: Dakṣiṇa Siva shrine at 132.
- PETHAVELA**: probably Paragala or Hongal, 341.
- PETLAD**: fort, captured and demolished by Rangoji (1745), 347.
- PHUGOLITAN**: people of Pimpālvavati, 534.
- PHURIA**: 334.
- PHUR TOSO**: apparently Great Lord, 497.
- PHULA**: king of Kachh, 160.
- PHULSANA**: old Surat, 539.
- PHULADA**: father of Lāḥa, 160.
- PIAJI GAIKWAR**: nephew and successor of Dāndaji GaiKWār, marches on Surat (1719); defeats Musalmāns; establishes himself at Sangad; is warmly favored by Ajit Singh, 300, 390; marches on Surat and defeats Momin Khāḥ; levies contribution; overruns Surat province and builds forts in Rājpipla, 303, 304, 390; obtains Haroda and Dahoi; prevents Udaji Pavār from joining his forces with the viceroys at Baroda (1737), 303, 391; negotiates with Marāṭhā Khān, governor elect of Surat (1730), 311; assassinated (1733), 313, 394.
- PICTURIMAGES**: Vastupāla's, 202 note 3.
- PILLAR**: Allahābād, inscriptions on, of Samudragupta, 63-65.
- PILO-MOLO**: Bhilmāl or Bhiṇmāl, 3, 466.
- PILE**: Salvadoria persea, 449, 455.
- PIRGALINA**: 174.
- PIRI DUARA**: 455.
- PIRAM**: island in the gulf of Cambay, 309.
- PIRETH COAST**: 544.
- PIRATES**: 492, note 3.
- PIR MUHAMMAD**: Akbar's general in Malwa, drives Sultan Baz Bahadur out of Māula (1560); his defeat and death (1561), 369.
- PIROS**: Muhammadan shipowner of Ormuz, builds a mosque at Somnātha Patan, 204.
- PLATE**: forgery of, 110, 111.
- PLINT**: (25-70), 6, 532, 533, 535, 536, 537, 543.
- PLUTARCH**: 535.
- POKARN**: 464. See Poshkar.
- POLEMONIA**: 37.
- POLEMONIA MELO**: (A.D. 48), 536.
- POONA**: treaty of, between the English and the Peshwa (1617), 428.
- POORASHAR**: part, 524.
- POOROS**: Indian king, 533, 536.
- PORE**: Gujarat (1613-1615), 290 and note 2.
- PONDICHERRY**: affairs of the, in Din (1629-1636), 347; send an expedition to south Kathiāvraja and sack Tārāpur, Bālsar, and Surat (1531); burn the ports of Somnāth Patan, Mangrol, Talaja, and Mumfarrāh; destroy Basrah and burn Dāmān, Thāna, and Bombay; send an embassy to the court of Humāyūn to obtain Din; treaty with Sultan Bahadur of Gujarat; disputes with Sultan Bahadur (1536), 347, 348; in Surat (1700-1703), 292.
- POSTHUMOUS ASIA**: Historical work by Paria-sona (1650), 342.
- POWDER**: castle, origin of, 464.
- POSTHOMOS**: 336.
- POUNA**: boundary of the Vāghela kingdom in 1297, 206.
- POST**: in Musalmān period, 214.
- POSTAL CHAUVIN**: 214.
- POTANA**: Patana, 535.
- POULIPOOLS**: identification of, 539.
- PRABANDHACHINTAMANI**: historical work dealing with the Chāvaḥa kings, 149 and note 2, 151, 152, 154, 155, 156, 158, 159, 160, 162, 163, 165, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 176, 178, 179, 180, 183, 184, 185, 256, 189, 190, 193, 194, 195.
- PRABANDHASATA**: work of Rāmachandra, Kumārāpāla's Pandit, 190.
- PRABHAKARAVARDHANA**: king of Magadh (600-606), 467, 467.
- PRABHAKA**: Mularāja's visit to, 160; holy place, 164; inscription at, 176. See Somnāth Patan.
- PRABHUTAVARSHA**: another name of Govind Bahadurkūta, 126.
- PRACHARA**: helde of Krishna, Dakhan Rāsh-trakūṭa king, 129.
- PRADIPATI**: daughter of, loved by the Moon, 521.
- PRADIPIT**: dialect, 533, 534.
- PRADIPYAS**: a tribe, 64 and note 3.
- PRADIPANAGA**: title of Dula II. Gurjara ruler, 115.
- PRASARTI**: poetical eulogium on the Sahasra-linga lake written by Śripāla, 180.
- PRASI**: Prāgyas of Pallabha, 532, 533.
- PRATAPAMALLA**: son of Kumārāpāla's daughter, 194. Second son of Virādihavala, 203.
- PRATAPRAY**: brother of Dāndaji GaiKWār advances with Devaji Takpar and exacts tribute and plunders the country; levies tribute from the chiefs in Sorath, dies of small-pox at Kāskar near Dholka (1737), 317.
- PRATAPASIMHA**: king of Kalambajātana, receives Kumārāpāla, builds a temple, and issues a coin named after Kumārāpāla, 183.
- PRATH-NAGRI**: local name of Dholka, 205 note 3.
- PREHISTORIC REFERENCES**: to Gujarat, II note 2.
- PREMALADEVI**: sister of Kumārāpāla married to Krishnadora, Siddharāja's general, 181.
- PRITHVĀ CHOLIA**: king of Dehl, 479.

- PRITHIVIRAJA** : ninth Khatrapa (A.D. 922), coin of, 43.
PROCLAIM : 546.
PROTAGORAS : geographer, 546.
PROCTOSTOMOS : river, 549.
PROEMY : Egyptian geographer (A.D. 280), 6, 7.
 78 note 1, 137, 199, 231, 235, 239, 540, 544, 546.
PROEMY II, **PHILADELPHOS** : died 247 B.C., 335, 342.
PULAKH II, (610-640), 110, 116. See **Pulakoti**.
Vallabha Satyashraya, 465.
PULAKOTI JANASHRAYA : Gujarat Chalikya king at Navsari (739-739), 110; repulses the great Arab invasion at Navsari, 117.
PULAKOTI VALLABHA SATYASHRAYA : Dakshina Chalikya king (610-640), 110, 111. See **Pulakoti II**.
PULIKESH : grant of, 109.
PULIKESH II : 107. See **Pulakoti II**.
PULIKESH JANASHRAYA : his grants, 149. See **Pulakoti Janashraya**.
PULUKUTI : Andhrabhattiya king, 38, 540.
PUNAJI VITHAL : Peshwa's agent in Gujarat, 322.
PUR : town, 539.
PURAGUPTA : Gupta chief (A.D. 470), 71.
PURANA : Bhavishya, 142, 532, 534, 545.
PURANJAN : treaty of (1775) between the Peshwa and the English, 406.
PURR : Kusum Manryas of; its identity with Janjira or Elephanta, 107, 108; ancient name of Brouche, 159.
PURUPAL : 401.
PURUSAR : holy place, 404.
PURHATGUTTA : Gujarat governor of Chandragupta, 14.
PURHATMITRA : name of king or tribe, 99 and note 4, 73-74.
PYOMIE : race of, in India, 542.
PUT : lieutenant, 483.

- RACHIAS** : envoy, 536.
RAJES : 119. See **Rajha**.
RADHASTRA : grant of Govind III., at, 123; disloyalty of the Nephew of, 441; plea from, 466; grant of, 498.
RAFFLES : Sir Stamford, 489, 491.
RAJES-DARAJAT : grandson and successor of the emperor Farrukhsiyar (1719); his murder by the Sayyids, 301.
RAGHUBHA : Balaji Rajirav Peshwa's brother, called also Raghunatharav, levies tribute in Gujarat, takes possession of Gera and Mahi Kantha districts and marches on Surat (1752), 334; takes Ahmedabad (1753), 336-337; compels Mumtaz Khan to pay tribute; appoints Shripatrao his deputy at Ahmedabad and collects tribute from Limbdi and Wadhwa chiefs, 337; acts as guardian of his nephew Mithabharav, is joined in his intrigues by Jhanshi Bhosale and Govindarav Galkwar, is defeated by the young Peshwa at Dhorap (1768) and confined at Poona, 339-400; is invested with the robe of Peshwa by the titular king of Satara; reinstates Govindarav

- Galkwar in title and restores of Dhanaji Chitambar (1774); sets out for Gujarat and attacks the city of Baroda (1775), 401-402; opens negotiations with the English through Mr. Gambler the chief at Surat, 401; joins Govindarav Galkwar (1775); sends an agent to negotiate with the Bombay Council; flies to Cambay and through the help of Mr. Males goes to Surat via Bhavnagar, 402; reception of by the Nawab of Cambay, 403; abandoned by the English after the treaty of Purandhar (1776); takes refuge at Surat, 406; at Bombay; a fresh alliance of, with the English (1778), 407; headed over to Sindia; flies to Brouch (1779), 409; receives a pension and goes to Karpagam (1783), 410. See **Raghunatharav**.
RAGHUBHA : Maratha deputy, assassination of, at Ahmedabad (1756), 339.
RAGHUNATHARAV : Maratha leader, sent to subdue Kuls (1753), 338.
RAGHUBHA BHOSALE : of Barar, joins with Dhanaji in attacking the Peshwa, 336.
RAGHUNATHARAV : Raja, Nizam's minister, 333.
RAGHUNATHARAV : 334, 336, 337, 368, 399, 400. See **Raghobha**.
RAGHUBHA : another name of Ghaghiada the Chhapra king, 154, 156.
RAGHUBHA : Rindia, capital of Landa, 507 and note 11, 512.
RAGHUBHA : Bahadur, apparently Burma and Panditra, 527, 528.
RAGHUBHA : 527.
RAGHUBHA : spina of Indra, 110; 123.
RAGHUBHA BHOSALE : image of, 133.
RAGHUBHA : of Alor, 143.
RAGHUBHA : Raghu, Raghu chief of great Kachh, 519.
RAGHUBHA : usurps the chiefship of Navanagar, is defeated and slain in 1664, 283.
RAGHUBHA : Raja of Ilas joins Fakhr-ud-daulah, 319, 321.
RAIVATA : legendary king, 8.
RAIVATAKA : Gherat hill, 177.
RAJA : chief, 410 and note 2.
RAJAGHARATTA : title conferred on Chahaja by Kumarpala, 187.
RAJAMANKA : Prachin's grandfather, 120.
RAJA MAHENDRA : 535.
RAJASTHAN : title of Rajahs kings, 185.
RAJASTHAN : see **Puri**.
RAJY : father of Maharaja, 150, 157; marries Lakha's sister Rajyaji; is slain by Lakha, 100.
RAJYAJI : 296.
RAJYAJI : special rules for conducting the *walsajiri* or land-selling system, 416.
RAJYAJI : northern Khatrapa, 33.
RAJYAJI : son of Raji and Lakha's sister Rajyaji, 160.
RAJYAJI : division of Parihar Rajputs, 465.
RAJA : minister of the Chudamanma clan, 139.
RAJAGHARATTA : Pandit in Kumarpala's court, writes the *Prishandhasata*, 190; Jain scholar, ordered by Ajayapala to sit on a red-hot sheet of copper, 194.

- RAM**: island of the Java group, 528.
RAM RAJA: king of Sattara, appoints Khandarvir Bahadur to collect *chakast* and *varicakast* in Baglan, 588.
RANDEV PULASTRI: minister of the Peshwa; decides in favour of Sayajirav, son of Damaji by his second wife, 400.
RAM SEN: hill range, 456.
RAN: 453.
RANA: of Chitor, 464.
RANAKHANA: Gurjara prince (669), brother of Dauda II., his copperplate grant, 116.
RANAKA: chieftain, title of the Vaghelas, 199.
RANAKANT: daughter of a potter sought in marriage by Siddharaja, 176.
RANDEV: Bahadur or Bahadur, 512, 520.
RANDEKIA: district, 187.
RANODI: is appointed agent by Damaji Gaikwar in Gujarat; defeats Kandasji at Anand-Nager (1746), 310, 317; agrees to aid Monda Khan on condition of receiving half the revenue of Gujarat (1757), 318, 394; again appointed deputy by Damaji to collect tribute in Gujarat (1741), 322, 325; defeated by Mulkhar Khan and Jiddaul-din; deserted by Sher Khan Bahadur, is taken prisoner, his escape (1743), 326, 328; captures and demolishes the fort of Pind; employed by the Musalmans in the quarrels regarding the viceroyalty of Gujarat (1743-44), 327, 328; imprisoned by Khandarvir Gaikwar, released by Unabai and appointed her agent (1745); 329, 396; expels Trimbharvir from Ahmedabad and himself collects the Maratha share of the city revenue, 329; takes shelter with Sher Khan Bahadur in Kapadvanj; besieged at Kapadvanj by Fakir-ul-daulah, requests Holkar to come to his help; the siege raised at the approach of Holkar; his interview with Jauhar Mard Khan at Ahmedabad (1747), 330; captures Borsad and forces Haidra to leave the country, 331; deserted by his allies and imprisoned (1747), 332.
RANDEK: Gura, 451.
RANMALJI: Savanagar Jam (1664), 283.
RAYORI GURJA: at Idar, 316.
RAY: title. See Ray.
RAYAGAN: title, 534.
RAE FARTAG: in Arakha, 536.
RASHID-UD-DIN: Avahi geographer (1810), 501; translated Al Idrisi, 500, 514 note 9, 518, 529, 531.
RAHSTRATAPAN: Gujarat branch of the overthrow Chutukya kingdom, 317; Dakhan Branch of the, 119; dynasty (713-974), 119-124; their origin and name 119-120; their early dynasty (450-500), their main dynasty (630-973), 120; their conquest of Gujarat (750-780), 465; their grants, 466, 467, 500, 512 and note 1; in Gujarat, 523, 526, 527; their dominions, 529; their towns, 530.
RAS MELA: 140, 153.
RASELWAGAN: name given by Aurangzib to Vishnugar, 286.
RATARAJ PANDIT: Mr., 403 note 1.
RAYASMA: 461.
RAYASUR: town, 471.
RAYASURIN BHARHAB: deputy viceroy of Gujarat (1730-1737), 314; receives Daula; defeats Shahrat Khan at Dhol near Dhan-dhaka, 315-316; entreaty of, with Momin Khan, 316, 319; his attempts to oppose the Gaikwar, 317; defends Ahmedabad, 319; leaves Ahmedabad, 320.
RAYA: 119. See Rayta.
RAYMON: chief, at Idar, 217 note 3; dynasty, identified with Rahrakotes, their origin, 119.
RAYE: pound (roy), 531.
RATMAHITA: Ghavada king, 154, 156.
RAYAGAN: hill range, 456.
RATNAMALA: poetic history, 740 note 2, 150, 151, 157.
RAYAVATE: Tamlok, post on the Hegli, 492.
RATTA: dynasty of kings, 7, 119.
RAUT-CHALAI: 183, 512 note 3, 528 and note 1.
RAY: village, inscription and stone well at, 204.
RAY: title, 215 and note 2; of Rakh, makes an expedition against Sindhi (1755), 342.
RAYAL: title, 215 and note 2.
RAYASA: dewan king of Lanka, builder of the silver temple of Semanath, 150, 154 note 1.
RAYEL: perhaps Rander, 520 note 2.
RAYI: brought with his brother Rastaji Apa to Baroda (1703) by Govindrav Gaikwar, 412; both the brothers receive from the Bombay Government the assistance of an auxiliary force under Major Walker, take the fort of Kadi by storm and compel Mulkharvir to surrender, 412; his interview with Governor Darnley at Canday, 412; death of Rastaji Apa (1703), 414.
RAYA: sister of Lakha, married to Raji, 160.
RAYAS: fort, 284; stronghold of Shiroji, 380.
RAYI: Kharasra cava name, 119, 226 Radli.
RAY SA: 535, 536.
RAYOTA: in Gujarat, 7.
RAYOTA: of Aurangzib, 283.
RAYANIS: herdmen, 451.
RAYAGAN: 542-543.
RAYAGAN: of the Vajaladi kings, 33-35.
RAYAGAN DISTRICT: 280.
RAYA: Nerulada river, 167.
RAYATIMTRA: present with Maharaja in the battle with Gharatpa, 160.
RAYAGAN: under Ahmedabad kings, 219 and note 2; in 1671, 221; in 1760, 222 note 2.
RAYAT KHAIR: minister of Akbar Khan, Gujarat viceroy (1653-1642), 278.
ROBERT: General, 430, 445.
ROY, SIR THOMAS: traveller (1615-1618), 217 note 3, 222 note 1.
ROHINI: daughter of Prajapati, loved by the Moon, 521.
ROMAN: element in the architecture of Java and Cambodia, 496; empire, 505.
ROSI: 143.
RODRIGAMAN: fourth Rastarapa (143-155), coins and inscriptions of, 8, 11 note 2, 13, 34-36, 80 note 1; his kingdom, 410.

- RUPNAGARA**: Traikūṭaka king, 59 and note 1.
MUHAMMADĀLĀ: great chief of Sindra at Shidhapura, 161; built by Siddharaja, 179, 180.
RUDRAMĀLĀ: 172.
RUDRAMĀLA I.: eighth Khatrapa (203-230), coins and inscription of, 42-43.
RUDRAMĀLA: seventeenth Khatrapa (256-272), coins of, 47.
RUDRAMĀLA III.: twenty-fifth Khatrapa (378-386), coins of, 51.
RUDRAMĀLA IV.: twenty-fourth Khatrapa (349-376); coins of, 50-51.
RUDRAMĀLA I.: seventh Khatrapa (181-196), coins and inscription of, 41-42.
RUDRAMĀLA II.: twenty-first Khatrapa (308-311), coins of, 42.
RUHI: 527. See *Rahma*.
RUIS: of Valabhi, 74, 79.
RUKN-UD-DIN AKHIS: 101.
RUMIDHAT: 490; south Panjab, 491.
RUMLĀ: perhaps south Panjab, 509 and note 3.
RUHI KHAN: officer of Sultan Bahadur of Gujarat (1539), 342, 350, 351.
RUMBA: country of Sindha, 520 and note 1.
RUPA NĀIK: leader of Nāikda Bhillas; surrender of (1832), 418.
RUPA SUNDARĪ: wife of Jata'ekhara, gives birth to a son in the forest, 156, 161.
RUVE: quarters of, 455.
RUPNATH: name as Rupmani, wife of Bā Bahadur of Malwa (1555-1576); her partition at Mandi, 363, 365, 371; captured by Alam Khan Atak at Sarangpur, commits suicide (1592), 369, 371.
RUPNATH: saga, 461.
RUPNATH ALI KHAN: matches on Jodhpur with Shujaat Khan and captures it (1723), 303; governor of Surat, aids Mirāj Galkwar's aid against Hamid Khan and Kāntaji; defeats Hamid Khan at Agre; his severe defeat and death by the Marathas near Ahmedabad (1723), 303, 390.
RUPNATH: Maratha leader in the army of Abul Aiz, 328.
SADĀDI: Persian poet (1200-1230), 159 note 2.
SADALAKHA: mouth of the Indus, 538.
SADARA: town, 539.
SADARMATI: river, 150, 198, 206; floods, (1683), 267; (1739), 322, 511, 517.
SADALITA: Chandra, 533.
SADASTYASANA: grammatical work of Hamachandra, 193.
SADASTY: people, 535.
SADASTY: 537. See *Abirā*.
SADASTY: Mughal department of justice, 213.
SADASHIV RANCHANDRA: Peshwa's general, besieges and takes the town of Ahmedabad from Momin Khan (1758); helps the Bay of Kachh, 340, 341, 342; besieges Bādhmer (1758) and levies tribute, 343, 398; defeats the chief of Derangthra at Halvad and captures him, 344; appointed viceroy of Ahmedabad by the Peshwa (1760), 344.
SADHANA JERASHU: work of Kāo Salich Mahipatras Kipuram, 150 note 2.
SADHANA JERASHU: another name of Siddharaja, 173.
SADH: Mughal judge, 213.
SADHONI: tribe, 540, 542.
SADRA: (Shaharash), military post of the Maghals in Māli Kuratā (1674), 275, 423.
SADRA: fort, 150 note 2.
SADRA: Tufān, principality west to Konkan, 527.
SADRA MUHAMMAD KHAN: successor of Tarbāg Khan, governor of Surat, 330; expelled by Sayad Achekhan, 331; returns to Sind, 333; brought back to Surat by the Dutch and other merchants, 333.
SADRA-VAMANA: temple at Gauday, 190.
SADRA: mouth of the Indus, 538.
SADRA: former tract, 388.
SADRA: father of Molaka, mentioned in the inscription at Mangrol, 176.
SADASHIVARA: temple at Prabhara, 176.
SADASHIV: of Arw in the north of Sindh, rule over south Panjab and north Sindh, 496; Buddhists, overthrow of, by usurping Brahmanist Uda'h (642), 427-430.
SADASHIV: lake, built by Siddharaja, 177, 179.
SADASHIV: tribal name, 61 and note 5.
SADASHIV: 507, 508, 509, 510, 513, 510, 520, 523, 522, 522. See *Chaul*.
SADASHIV: religion of the Valabhi kings, 53.
SADASHIV: Siddharaja's victory, in panjira, builds a temple at Gaur, in inscription, 176-177. Potter, hides Karmāpala, 182; is rewarded by Karmāpala with seven hundred villages, 184.
SADASHIV: tribe, 22, 67 note 7; see, 29; Yarnas, 499.
SADASHIV: 456 note 1; branches of Bhinnadi shavaki, 464-466, 466 note 1.
SADASHIV: the Sādashiv lake; goddess; piece, 158 and note 1.
SADASHIV: Panjira (170) name for Gochin China, 493.
SADASTY: land of the Sadra, 112 note 5.
SADASTY VISHVA: 180.
SADASTY: widow of Kāshā, applies to Dāmasi Galkwar and Highmāth Bhanda against the ministers (1748), 303.
SADASTY KHAN: claimant to the chiefship of Junagadh, 425.
SADASTY: Sālanjāna, tribe, 540.
SADASTY: tribe, 546.
SADASTY: treaty of (1782), between the English and the Marathas, 410.
SADASTY: fort in Baglan, captured by Moro Trimal (1672), 387.
SADASTY: dīsh, 208 and note 3.
SADASTY: Ceylon, 542.
SADASTY KHAN: (1545-1553) Sher Shah Sur's successor, 369.
SADASTY: king of Mārijikavati, 10 and note 1.
SADASTY: tribe, 534.
SADASTY-VAMANA-SADASTY: title of the Gurjars, 113.
SADASTY: river port town, 510.

- SĀKINGAD**: place, 123; grant from, (753-754), 407.
- SĀMANTA**: feudatory, title of the Gujjara, 113.
- SĀMANTAŚRĪMĀT**: title of Jayakhaṇḍi 111.
- SĀMANTAŚRĪMĀT**: Gujjara king, 113 and note 8.
- SĀMANTASIMHA**: Uchala king, defeated and slain by Muladja, 157.
- SAMARA**: king of Purushatra, at war with Khatrapala, 155 and note 1.
- SAMARASIMHA**: Chahala chief, 197.
- SAMARASIMHIDEVA**: 470.
- SAMATARA**: name of province, 61 and note 2.
- SĀMBHAR**: expedition of Chahala against, 157, 158.
- SĀMBA**: Thacker of, 441.
- SAMIPABHAKA**: village, gift of, 125.
- SAMMA**: tribe, 188; masters of Kachh after the fall of the Chauras, 577.
- SAMSETTIA**: school, 79.
- SAMPATI**: grandson of Ajaka, 15.
- SAMŚĀM-UD-DAURĀH**: Dauda Naṣrūt Jang Bahādur, forty-eighth viceroy of Gujaraṭ (1716-1719), 390.
- SAMUDRAGUPTA**: fourth Gupta king (370-395), coins, Allahābād inscription, 52-53, 67.
- SAMVAT**: Vikram era, 204.
- SAMVATASIMHA**: 471.
- SAMVATARIKA**: name of province, 61 and note 2, 65.
- SANANT KOTPA**: Gupta inscription on, 66.
- SANDEOR**: gate name, 449.
- SANĀBĀR**: Gera, commercial town, 510.
- SANDALIA**: Chāndala, nomads, 230.
- SANDANĀT**: of the Persians, 44 note 2; envoy, 542, 543; ruler of Gujaraṭ, 540.
- SANDĀPUR**: apparently Gera, 509.
- SANDHĀN**: in Kachh, 509.
- SANDUR**: Bander, 109, 229.
- SANGARA**: ruler of Vantahā, killed by Virādheva, 200.
- SANHAVARA**: village, 187.
- SANJHĀDĀMAN**: tenth Khatrapa (222-229) coins of, 43-44, 540.
- SANJĀN**: in Thana, 509, 520; in Kachh, 530. See Sindhi.
- SANJĀN**: name borne by the Jains, 139.
- SANJĀNĠHĀNĀT**: 84.
- SANKANADĒVA**: Deragiri Yādava chief, 205.
- SANKANAGARA**: father of Buddhavarman, Kalachuri prince, 114. See Sankaraga.
- SANKARAGA**: 114. See Sankaraga.
- SANKARAVARMAN**: Kashmir king (900), 3, 468.
- SANJĀN**: claims Cambay, is defeated by Vantapala, Borch chieftain, 200 and note 2.
- SANJHEDA**: grant to, 118.
- SĀNOLI**: Pooni, burning-place of Pīlaji Gāikwar (1732), 318.
- SĀNTIKAS**: a Ronkan tribe, 44 note 2, 540.
- SĀNTIKĀTRA**: Jain Pīrhanaka, 192.
- SĀNVI**: minister of Chandokya king Karma, builds a Jain temple, 170; Akhbarjā's minister, 172; attacks an army of Dhils, 178.
- SĀNTUTARANI**: Jain temple, built by Santa Karma's minister, 170.
- SARĀPALĪKHA**: name of the Ajāit king, 157; Nāmbhar territory, 144. See Sarāpali.
- SARĀPALĪKHA**: 157.
- SARARA**: mouth of the Indus, 535.
- SARAGAN**: 513; the younger and the elder, 540.
- SARAS-UD-DIN**: Maṣlū, preaching *feṣāḥ* or religious war in Ahmādābād (1837), 381.
- SARASDIP**: Ceylon, 516.
- SARASDIP**: dependency of the Gujjara kingdom, 168.
- SARANGADĒVA**: Vaghela king (1275-1296), 203; succeeds Arjunadara, his inscriptions, 204, 205, 206.
- SARANGPUR**: battle of (1423), 207 note; town, 368.
- SARASVATIS**: probably Surāshtra, 6, 355.
- SARASVAT**: river, 161, 178; well of talent in Dhara, 180, 671, 671.
- SARANA**: town identified with Sarani, 339.
- SARHON**: place in Savel taluka of Borch, Cevind 141, taluk at, 123.
- SARHOLAN KHAN**: Khan Bahādur Mubārak-ul-mulk Dilawar Jang; is appointed deputy viceroy of Gujaraṭ (1712-13); robbed on his way to Gujaraṭ (1713), 201; appointed fifty-second viceroy (1723-1730); his deputy defeated (1724), 304; ordered to proceed in person with a strong army to Gujaraṭ (1725), 306; is compelled to pay tribute to the Marāṭhas (1726), 307; makes alliance with the Peshwa, extorts tribute in Verath, and marries the daughter of Jalla Pratsingh whom he exempts from tribute (1728), 308; grants formally to the Peshwa one-fourth and one-tenth share of the revenue of the province (1729), 309; levies tribute in Kathiawar (1730), 310; defeats the new viceroy at Adala and retires (1730), 311. See Mubārak-ul-mulk.
- SARHOL MUBARAK KHAN**: captures Bāhādur from the Marāṭhas, 315.
- SARHOL**: lake, 190 note 2.
- SARDONA**: Mount, 532.
- SARGARAS**: Bhil messengers, 464.
- SARHA**: demon, 462, 463.
- SARHAR**: town, 540.
- SARKAR**: Gujjara divisions, 209, 218-219.
- SARNER**: village, 438.
- SARPI**: the river Sarasvatī, 510, 531.
- SARPIA**: apparently the river Sarasvatī, but perhaps the Sāhermatī, 510.
- SARPIA**: Bāshtrakha or Gujjara king, his coins, 87. See Amoghavarsha.
- SARVATKAGOLA**: village, 126.
- SĀTAKARVI**: Andhra dynastic name, 37, 39, 49.
- SĀTAKARVI**: Yajñavalki (140), 340.
- SĀTAKARVI**: of Pāitana, 541.
- SATĀN**: son of Rāmal, king of Hindustan (that is Kanau), 512.
- SATI**: 434.
- SATRA**: evil spirit, 457.
- SATRA**: name of Khatrapa, 21.
- SATRAJAYA**: Jain hill, 78, 79 note 3, 164 note 8, 177, 386; Hemicharya's rights to, 189, 199; temple of Nemināth on, 202.
- SATTAGARA**: Chahala king, 51.
- SATTATVA**: first cycle, 431.

- BAHMA**: name of country, perhaps Brachma, 10 and note 1.
- BATHAMNIA**: afflicted by an Arab army, 109; tells of Kathiawar, 531.
- BATHUR**: of Sind, 533.
- BAURANA**: king of Persia, 186 and note 1.
- BAYTURA**: Upper Sind and Multan, 537, 543.
- BAYIDAR**: gateway, 450 note 1.
- BAYTIR**: wife of Ibrahim, said to be a Gurjara mahila, 464.
- BEWASHIRIYAS**: news-writers, 211.
- BAYAN**: Musalmán trader, arrest of, at Cambay, 207.
- BAYAN AGHCHAS**: paymaster at Surat, aspires to the governorship of Surat, seeks Maratha help (1747), 296; takes the city of Surat and gets the merchants to sign a deed addressed to the emperor and the Nizam that he should be appointed governor (1748), 331-332; makes over one-third of Surat revenue to the Marathas, 332; oppresses influential persons, surrenders tithe to the Hāshī and withdraws to Bombay and thence to Poona (1750), 333; receives the governorship of Surat from the Peshwa and establishes himself in the government (1758), 343; receives a body-guard from the Peshwa (1759), 306.
- BAYAN**: brothers: Hasan Ali and Alchilla Khan, king-makers at Delhi, 297, 301.
- BAYAN IMAM-UD-DIN**: Ismailī missionary in Gujarat during the reign of Mahmūd Begula (1459-1512), 288.
- BAYAN JALAL KHAN**: chief law officer of Badr-ud-Daula for the whole of India (1612-1644), 279.
- BAYAN MEHRABAN**: marches on Surat and returns unsuccessful, his suicide, 331.
- BAYAN SADR**: proceptor of Malik of Kathiawar and Momina of Gujarat, his suicide, 258.
- BAYAN HAKIM**: son of Dāmaji Gaikwār, 312, 298; collects tribute in South (1769), 344; appointed successor of Dāmaji (1771), 400, 401.
- BAYAN**: village, 150.
- BAYER**: land customs, 213.
- BAYANION**: town, 540.
- SCHWANZER**: 542.
- SCORIE**: Colonel, 447.
- SEAL**: Valabhi, 80.
- SEA OF PARS**: the Indian ocean, 516, 518.
- SELA-VIDYADHARAS**: north Konkān Sūlāhās, 129.
- SELEKOS NIKATOR**: 532.
- SEMYELA**: modern Chul, 545.
- SEKIPATI BHATARA**: see Bhataraka.
- SEKIDARA**: chief, 55; grant, 111.
- SENECA**: his book on India, 237.
- SEER KHEIRAT**: Barni islands, 546.
- SEIR**: tribe of Mowar, 503.
- SEWALK**: hills, 167; king of, 191.
- SHADANPUR**: town, 535.
- SHAH-UD-DIN GHORI**: defeat of (1178), 229.
- SHADIS**: beggars, 481.
- SHAHMAT KHAN**: forty-fifth viceroy (1713), 297.
- SHAHANSHAHIS**: Khashi dynasty name, 61 and note 2.
- SHAH-BANAR**: harbour master, 212. Town, 538.
- SHAH BUKHAN**: Hajrat, son of Saint Shah-i-Alam, the tomb of, on the Scharmat near Ahmedabad, 337 note 1.
- SHAH BURHAN KHAN**: is appointed commandant of Mānda (1566); builds Silkharka, 270.
- SHAH JEHAN**: emperor, stays at Mānda; is defeated, his brother Shah Parviz retreats to Mānda (1621-1622), 381; his death (1669), 284.
- SHAH NAWAZ KHAN SARAYI**: thirty-first viceroy of Gujarat, joins prince Dara in his rebellion against Aurangzib (1649), 289.
- SHAH NUR**: Hassan Kuli Khan Bahadur, viceroy of Oudh, was sent for Malakh; his unsuccessful attempt to arrange matters between Momin Khan and the Peshwa, 341.
- SHAHIS**: Khashi name, 64 and note 2.
- SHAHU**: Raja of Satara, appoints Khandekar Dabhalde Sanipal, 320; settles the terms of agreement between the Peshwa and the Ismailis, 323.
- SHAHJAHAN KHAN**: twenty-sixth viceroy of Gujarat (1646-1648), 280; twenty-eighth viceroy of Gujarat (1653-1654); his expedition against the Chavalla Kols, 261.
- SHAKHSHAN**: Sir Richmond, resident at Baroda, 413.
- SHAHNATHAN**: Nagar Brahman, supporter of Momin Khan at the siege of Ahmedabad, is taken prisoner by Dāmaji and sent in chains to Baroda, 349.
- SHAKIA ALI**: beggars, 451.
- SHAMSHER BARADAR**: title conferred on Dāmaji by Shah after the battle of Indapur (1720), 289.
- SHAMS-UD-DIN ALTAMASH**: Sultan, 174 note 1; takes the fort of Mānda and drives away the Hindu chief (1234), 307.
- SHANERAT**: governor of Vithangara (1733), 238.
- SHARMISTHA**: wife of Yayati, 460.
- SHAWA**: an animal, 505.
- SHELL-MONEY**: sewing of, 163, 164 and note 1.
- SHAH KHAN BANI**: governor of Baroda; defeated of; capture of, Baroda, 314; deputy governor of Sorath (1738), 321; allows Rangaji to escape to Baroda and joins Khandekar, Dāmaji's brother, 320; joins Rangaji and marches against Fakhr-ul-Daulah; wounded in the battle of Kapadvanj, 330; dispute of, with his Arab mercenaries at Bāldesar, 335; dies (1738) at Junagadh, 312.
- SHAH SHAH SUR**: revolt of, in Bengal, 368; emperor (1542-1543), 368-369.
- SHETPAT**: commander of the Ahmedabad garrison (1733), suffers a defeat, 338.
- SHEVARS**: 450, 464.
- SHIVALS**: Raja, commandant of Mānda (1658), 282.
- SHIVANGH**: Raja of Idar, sends Sajjan Singh to help Momin Khan at the siege of Ahmedabad by the Marathas (1727), 341.

- SUDAKSIN** : 540.
SUJAH HANSA : King of Magadha (610-642), defeats the Hūgas, Gurjara, Lāta and the King of Kādhi and Mālava, 497; drives away White Hūgas 500.
SURIMĀL : Brahmana, 460, 462 and note 2; Vāla, 463; Brahmana, their origin, 44. See Bhamal.
SURIMĀL : meaning-taking of the name of, 435; brought back to Bhīmāl (1644), 464.
SURIMĀL MĀNĀYMA : legendary account of Pāṇini, 461.
SURINAGAR : Shrimāl, 461.
SURPATRAJ : deputy of the Peshwa in Gujaraṭ, negotiates with Mumtāz Khān for the release of Bhagvatsrāj; is recalled, 525.
SURUPA : another name of Jagann, 460, 462, and note 3.
SUDAMA : 330. See Sudama.
SUEZĀY KHĀN : Kāriālāb Khān, thirty-eighth viceroy of Gujaraṭ (1634-1703), 287; his campaign in Jhalāraḥ and Sorath and storming of the fort of Thān, 288; captures Jodhpur (1727), 303. See Kārtālik Khān. One of Sher Shāh Sur's generals in Mālava; defeats Kālīr Khān at Mānda; appointed commandant of Mānda, 368-369; recovers Mālava (1453), 363.
SUFI-UD-DĀULĀ : nawāb of Lucknow, 311.
SUFI : soldiers of Samanāth, 704.
SUDHAKRĀY : graṇta in Indra's grant, 131.
SUDHAKRĀYAN : title of Siddharāja, 173.
SUDHAKRĀ : grammar by Hemachandra, 120.
SUDHĀ HEMACHANDRA : 191. See Siddhahema.
SIDDHĀNTA : 407. See Bāhama Siddhānta.
SIDDHAPUR : town, Vamsāja's image at, 152; holy place, Mularāja's grants at, 161; Jain temple at, 172; Rudraśaḥilaya temple at, 179; Kumārāpāla's visits to, 193; Alauddin's march against, 237.
SIDDHARAJA : Chaulukya king (1094-1143), 156, 161, 162, succeeds Karna; regency of his mother; intrigues regarding his succession; remission of pilgrims tax; his wars with the kings of Saurashtra, Mālava, and Sindh; his era; his religious leanings and architectural buildings, 171-181.
SIDDHART : 132, 161, 172, 237. See Siddhapur.
SIDI YAKUT : commandant of Janjira, offers to become a vassal of the emperor through the governor of Surat and receives the title of Yakut Khān from the emperor with an annual subsidy of 1½ lakhs payable from the port of Surat, 285.
SIDHUNA : perhaps Śāgaravṛpa or Cutch, 16.
SIDHART : kingdom of, 533.
SIDHART : probably Janjira, 535, 536, 540.
SIRI JAGADRA : palace, 130 note 2.
SIRON : village in Kāthiavāda, 54 note 5, 161; reservoir at, 180 note 2.
SILĀDITYA : of Mālava, reigning king of Kātya Kūbja, 79.
SILĀDITYA IV. : Valabhi king (691), 117.
SILĀDITYA V. : Valabhi king (727), 117.
SILĀDITYA ŚHYĀMAY : Gujaraṭ Chālukya king, 55, 105.
SILĀDĀNARUNI : Jain priest, 151.
SILĀNĀS : of the north Konkan, 527.
SILĀSTRĀTHA : perhaps Silha in Jhalāraḥ, king of present with Mularāja in the battle with Grāharipa, 160 and note 2.
SILĀ : tribe, 534.
SILĀKĪ-OT-TĒWĀRĪN : written (851-859) by the merchant Sulaiman, 505 note 2.
SILVERA : James de, Portuguese captain, burns the ports of Pottan-Samanth, Mangrol, Tāla, and Muzafarābād; Thāna, Bascula, and Bombay, 347.
SIN : country, king of, imprisoned by Siddharāja, 173.
SINHA : maternal uncle of king Viśadabha, 702; era, 176, 294.
SINHADEVA : see Silver.
SINHASANA : twenty-sixth Kāshtrapa, coin of, 51.
SINTELA : modern Chaul, 533.
SINTILA : modern Chaul, 540.
SINDA : Gon Kadamba chief, 173 and note 5.
SINDA : perhaps Vadnagar, 546.
SINDHART : Uga, 517, 521.
SINDAN : in Kachh, conquest of, and Jama mosque founded at, 506; St. John or Fanjan in Thana near Daman, 507, 509, 509, 514, 515, 521, 523, 528, 529, 530.
SINIM : conquered by Chulhamaṇa, 139; expedition against by the lāo of Kachh, 342; Brahmana, 432 and note 2; King of, 467, 509, 511, 515, 517, 533. See Sindhu.
SINDUR : identified with Shulh, afflicted by Arab army, 109; river, 189.
SINDURKĀLA : killed by Siddharāja, 173, 179.
SINDU : Marāṭha leader, his unsuccessful attack on Shur (1731), 409; at war with the English; his treaty with the English at Firji Anjanpur (1809), 414.
SINDULĀN : branch of the Indus, 517.
SINDU : Delat, 547.
SINDULIP : Ceylon, 512.
SINDUR : tribe, 534.
SINGHANA II. : Devagiri Vādava king (1209-1247), 198; attacks Lavnaprastha; his treaty, 199.
SINGHAN : grandson of Sumra, extends his sway (1069), 347.
SINGHANADRA : see Singham.
SINON : attacked by Sindis (1731), 402.
SINTHOS : mouth of the Indus, 528.
SINTHOS : Indus river, 544.
SINMALAGA : modern Sirnā, 541.
SINPALLA : town, 540.
SIRI PROKMAKOS : Śrī Pulamāyi, Andhra king, 37.
SIRINAPADRAKA : Sindra, village, 112.
SIRONI : chief of, head of Deva Rajputa, 465.
SINOROLMAKOS : Śrī-Pulamāyi, 541.
SIRAT : Amoghavarsha's inscription at, 124.
SINDHĀ RĀST : queen of Komārāpāla, 183.
SINDHĀ : Rājā of Mivāḍ, struggles with Akbar, 110.

- PIROHAI**: village, 118.
SRINAI: in Jhalavada, 169 note 2.
SIYAHITTA: Gov. Rudhika king (1147-1175), 179 and note 3, 193.
SIVAJI: 145; founder of the Maratha empire, plunders Surat (1631), 284, 286; plunders it for the second time (1670), 284, 286; equips his fleet at Allga, comes to the mouth of the gulf of Cambay, carries off Mughal pilgrim-ships, 286; captures Pārmā and Bugrāda forts to the south of Surat (1672), 287.
SIVASABH KUMĀRĀRĀSTAVARA: temple of, 183.
SIVĀDRA: king of, conquered by Humayun, 163.
SIVAJI: success of the Nāikdas at, 146.
SIWASA: town, 425.
SIYĀIRĀY GĀIKWĀR: 342. See Sayājī Gāikwār.
SIKHA: twenty-seventh Kabatapa, 51. Another name of Amoghavaraha, Dakhan Rāshtrakūṭa king, 128.
SRĀKHAṬṬA: seventh Gupta king (454-470), inscription at Bhūtārī and Girār, 69; at Jāṇigwāh, 72, 74; coins, 70-71; 80 note 1, 86.
SRĀKHA PRĪTHA: 491.
SETTHIAR: Sindhi, 544.
SORHAI VĀY: step-well at Maṅgrā, 176.
SORHAI PARMĀRA: 317 note 3.
SOHĀLA: 322.
SORADA: ruler of Māwa, 198.
SORHĀR ALI: 313. See Sorhāi Khān.
SORHĀI KHĀN: governor of Surat (1730), 310; confirmed in the appointment; driven out of Surat (1735); settles at Bhadrāpur, 313; appointed governor of Viramgaṇ (1735); is defeated at Dhoh by Rāmasingh Bhāndārī, 315-318.
SOTERA: village, battle of (1725), 307.
SOTAKI: see Solahki.
SOLAKIA: 156; 191; Rajputs, their settlements, 461; their kingdom, 465; their change of faith (743), 463 and note 2, 468; of Anandavada, section of the Bhūmal temple, 409; dynasty (901-1242), 320. See Chalukyas.
SOLLA: son of Udaya Vāma, minister of Karna, 170.
SOM: builder of the Sun temple, 402.
SOMA: builds the gold temple of Sumanātha, 190.
SOMACHANDRA: Hemachandra's name after his consecration, 101.
SOMĀDHITA: Mulraj's ancestor, 167.
SOMĀRĀTHA: temple, 79 note 2, 124, 164; destroyed by Mahmūd of Ghazni (1074), 160, 165; Mīśrakalladev's pilgrimage to, 172; Kumārpal's pilgrimage to, 187, 190; Lāyānaprasāda grants a village to, 200; destroyed by Muhammadans in 1297, 206. See Somnāth.
SOMNĀTH PĀTAN: inscription in Bhadrakāl's temple at, 81; rebuilding of the shrine at, 189; house-tax imposed for the maintenance of a mosque at, 201.
SOMAKĀLA: son of Sahajiga, builds a temple at Prabhas, 370.
SOMĀKAMĪS: Brahmanic king of Cambodia (610) 409.
SOMĀYĀRA: poet, author of Kirtikārmali and Vastupāśārikas, 174, 199, 202.
SOMĀYĀRA: shrine, re-built by Kumārpal, 179 and note 2.
SOMĀYĀRA: king of the Hoysala Salāha of Dvārammaṭra (1232), 202 note 2.
SOMĀYĀRI: 310 and note 1, 237-238, 307, 309, 519; destruction of (1036), 519; pilgrimages to, 551; legendary origin and description of the temple of, 321, 322, 370, 529, 531. See Somnātha.
SOMPRAS: 464.
SOMAS: goldsmith, 430.
SOMNAD: head-quarters of Pīājī Gāikwār, 301; 330; 330. Fort, the citadel of Minā, captured by Humayun in 1534, 266; 267-268.
SOMNĀTH HĀPTER: 451 note B and 4.
SOMUS: 463.
SOMĀ KHĀN: see Sorhāi Khān.
SOMĀRA: near Basohi, southern Marjān capital, 34, 38.
SOMATH: chief of, owes allegiance to Galla, 143; annexed to the Chalukya kingdom of Anandavada, 174; name and extent, 289 and notes 1 and 2, 293; land-revenue system of the Marāṭhas in, 418-419.
SOMER NAGAR: note of, 19.
SOMNATHPUR: tower 341.
SOMPAR: modern Sopara, 540.
SOMPARA: 540. See Sopara.
SOMPRAS: town, 333.
SOUTHERN SETTHIAR: 537.
SOUZA: Faria, Portuguese writer (1650), 349.
SORCH: author, 145.
SORCHER: Mr., chief of the English factory at and governor of the Castle of Surat, 343.
SRI BHAVARA: identified with Parthian, 123.
SRI CHĪPA: dynasty, 467.
SRI DEVI: 162.
SRI GĀUDĀ: branch of Gujarī Bāhman, their origin, 161.
SRI GUPTA: see Gupta.
SRI HARHA: king of Magadha (606-647), 467.
SRI HARSHACHARITA: life of Śrī Harsha, 467.
SRI JAYATASIHARHA: 470.
SRI JĀHARMI: gate name, 449.
SRI MAHA: identified with Bhūmal, 100.
SRIKAGARA: seat of Jethva power, 184.
SRIKĀLA: Śālikarāj's post-harshana, 180.
SRITHALA-SIDHAPĀRA: troubled by Kāl shāda or demons, 174.
SRI VALLABHA: see Amoghavaraha.
SRONDUKAN-SARBO: (640-695), founder of Tibetan power and civilisation, overruns Tarim valley and Western China, 501.
ŚRĪĀSHAYA KĪLĀDHITA: (603-691), his plates, 107-108; Vastarāja (691-692), 110, 111, 112.
SRĀKHA: king, threatens Rāshtrakūṭa kingdom in the Dakhan, 123.
SRĀKHADEVIRĀTHA: modern Cambay, 123; granted to Śrīgwalas by Mulraj, 161; temple at, repaired by Kumārpal, 190.
STERNAND: of B. anilam, geographer, 340.
STRĀVĪS: 193.

- SHRIDHAR**: name of a Bahliavira, 79 and note 1, 48.
- SH. MARTIN**: 534, 538, 539.
- SHAND**: Roman geographer (D.C. 50-AD. 20), 10, 17, 532, 535, 538.
- SHIKHAR**: settlements of, in Gujarat, 1.
- SHIVABHAR**: 532, 534.
- SHARI**: Sāvaras of Central India, 528.
- SHARI**: peninsula, 211.
- SHARADA**: Mughal slavery, 211.
- SHARDA**: 505, 509, 514, 516, 523 note 4, 529. See *Supāra*, *Surābha*, and *Surat*.
- SHARMA**: Krishna's sister, 9, 19.
- SHARVAT**: king of the Karabāha, 170.
- SHIVATAYAKH**: king of Malwa, 190.
- SHIVADOLA**: another name of Akalavanda, 123.
- SHUDRA**: Shudras, householders, 530.
- SHUDRANA**: ancient lake near Girnar, 35, 36, 69.
- SHUNSA**: northern Kalatrapa king, 23.
- SHUPAKTINIDHAR**: ancestor of Prachanda, 128.
- SHUPARIN**: 514. See *Supāra*.
- SHIVATAYAKH**: inscription at Vada of, 107.
- SHIVATAYAKH**: place on the Nerbada, 162.
- SHIVITA HAKSHIRANA**: Sanskrit work on Chavajā kings, 149 and note 2, 154, 155, 159 note 3, 171, 191, 195, 196.
- SHIVAKA**: merchant and traveller; author of *Silālat-ul-Tawarikh*, 408, 503 and note 2, 530, 526, 527, 530.
- SHIVAKA**: *Supāra* at, 61.
- SHIVAKA**: Hindu settlements of, 423, 527, 528.
- SHIVA**: chief, 159; king of Sindh, 160; tribe, sovereignty of Sindh passes to, 317.
- SHIVA**: hill range, 484.
- SHIVA MĀYA**: shrine of, 425, 494.
- SHIVOR**: Chinese ambassador (A.D. 520) 74, 75, 501.
- SHIVKAPUR**: 485; description of, 489-490; history, 490-491; date, 493.
- SHIVA**: Arab outbreak at, 447.
- SHIVKAPUR**: Muslim, 142 and notes 2 and 3.
- SHIVAKA**: near Basrah, its various names, 523, 529, 546, 547.
- SHIVAKA**: apparently Surat, 514, 516; *Supāra*, 523.
- SHIVAKA**: Marābha, Surat, 507 and note 3, 514.
- SHIVA**: tribe, 533.
- SHIVAKA**: claimant to the Lavāraja. post or chieftaincy, 441.
- SHIVAKA**: gateway, 450 note 1.
- SHIVAKA**: brother-in-law of Jayasinh, 120, 151.
- SHIVAKA**: ancient division of Gujarat, 6, 26, 36, 132; land of, taken prisoner by Siddharaja, 175; kingdom of, 535; *Vorāval*, 547.
- SHIVAKA**: Rādhā's, 506.
- SHIVAKA**: village, 528.
- SHIVAKA**: *Supāra*, 13-16, 527, 538.
- SHIVAKA**: plate of *Śrīkṛṣṇa* *Śhāliya* at, 107, 108; Karka's grant at, 124; Kirtidja's grant at, 159, 230; 235; sacked by the Portuguese in 1531, 347; plundered by Malik Ambar in 1606, 224 and note 2; by Shivaji in 1664, 284, 380; Shivaji's second, attack on, in 1670, 284, 386; Marathas at; permission granted by the emperor to let pass the Portuguese ships from (1700-1703), 322; affairs at; Mulla Mahammad Ali's success at; his imprisonment and death at, by Tagbar Khan, the governor (1732-1734), 313; affairs at (1743), 331; evasion of the revenue of Surat to the Marathas under Kodari Galkwar (1747), 332; affairs at (1750); 343; attacked by Bahadurshah (1752), 234; affairs at (1758); castle taken by the English (1759), 343; treaty of (1775), between Nāghda and the Bombay Government, negotiated by Narotundia, 402; treaty of, declared invalid by the Supreme Government, 405-406; 534; 528.
- SHIVA**: Atharva, plundered by the Marathas (1760), 409.
- SHIVAKA**: month of the Tipti, 523.
- SHIVA**: saga, title conferred on Hemachandra, 121; tribe, 524.
- SHIVA**: by Tadar Mal (1573), 223.
- SHIVA**: gate name, 449; Sanādal, 461; *Parān*, 404, 465.
- SHIVATAYAKH**: another name of Karka I., 124.
- SHIVATAYAKH**: installation of, in Śakunika Vihāra, 185.
- SHIVA**: name of country, 10 note 1, 36 and note 3.
- SHIVATAYAKH**: see *Silālat*.
- SHIVATAYAKH**: shrine, on *Satrujaya* in honour of Vasudhā, 202.
- SHIVATAYAKH**: bridgeground-choosing, of *Darā* *Shivaji*, 162-163.
- SHIVA**: 488.
- SHIVA**: Rāo Farak in Arabia, 536.
- SHIVA**: town, 528.
- SHIVAKA**: 544.
- TABARAT-NASIRI**: 196.
- TABAR**: king of Tārah, 527.
- TABAR**: Arab writer (838-892), 521.
- TABAR**: probably Pandharper, 541.
- TABAR**: 541. See *Tahaso*.
- TABI**: the Tipti, 510.
- TABAR**: the Panjāh, 526; women of, 527.
- TABAR**: apparently the Panjāh, 527.
- TABAR**: town, identification of, 540-541, 545.
- TABAR**: rebellious Gujarāt noble, 513, 518.
- TABAR**: sub-divisional officer, 210.
- TABAR**: Sāra tribe, 524.
- TABAR**: king of Tārah, 156, 157.
- TABAR**: western Chālukya king, overthrow the Rashtrakūṭa dynasty (972), 120, 131, 512.
- TABAR**: Arabs, 140.
- TABAR**: village, 428.
- TABAR MAHAR**: 512, 519 and note 4.
- TABAR BAI**: wife of Abundar Galkwar, 426 and 68.
- TABAR**: Gujarāt governor (1320), 230.

- TAKRADIA**: tract of country (Punjab), 3, 468.
TALABÁ: wild tribe, 115.
TALÁIA FORT: burned by the Portuguese (1532), 347.
TALMI: lake, 453.
TALM SAP: lake, 499, 504.
TALPAT: state land, 515, 527.
TAMÁCHI: name borne by Jams, 139.
TAMÁCHI: son of Raisingh, restored to Navágar (1673), 284.
TÁMARA BARANI: apparently the Tapti, 510.
TÁMARAL: Ashildara, 516.
TÁMARAORI: local name of Cambay, 208 note 3.
TAMERK: port on the Hathi (A.D. 1000), 499, 532.
TÁMILLIPPAKAS: of Tamlul, 523.
TÁNA: modern Thana, expedition against by Usmán, 505, 508, 509, 523.
TÁNAH: 508. See Thana.
TÁNEA: country, 467 and note 7.
TÁNKÁ: coin, 223 note 1.
TÁNTIRIA: proficient in *tantra* (clarina) *tanach* of learning, 161.
TAPPA: Brahman-Bhát, 485, 490.
TAPPOBANA: Ceylon, 543.
TAPTÍ: river, 514, 522.
TÁPÍR: near Cambay, 337. In the Thana district, sacked by the Portuguese (1531), 347.
TÁMIRI-ALÁI: work of Amir Khusró, 516 note 6.
TÁMIRI-PIRTIRÁMI: work of Zia-ul-din Barú, 514, 515, 517.
TÁMIRI-PAKÁI: work of Ibnul Asir, 522 note 2.
TÁMIRI-MÁLUMI: written in 1690 A.D., 517 and notes 7, 8, and 10.
TÁMIRI-MUMÁBARAHÁI: notions Anáwal (1405-1501), 513.
TÁMIRI-TÁMIRI: written A.D. 1321, 139, 517 note 12, 518.
TÁMIRKÁI: see customs dues, 213 note 1.
TÁMIRI-TIRIYÁMI: coin, 469 and note 2, 519 and note 8.
TÁMIRKÁI: Sultan of Gujarat, 513.
TÁMIRÁYA: see Gautama.
TÁMIRI TOPI: rebel, enters the Panch Mahál, 441; corresponds with the chiefs of Jamkhundi and Nargund; is defeated at Chhotu Udepur, 445.
TAXILA: town, 490, 491, 546. Takshashila tribe, 531.
TAYLOR: Captain (1857), 439.
TARVER-UL-ANÁR: work of Abdulláh Wassáf (1300), 518.
TIRAKH KHÁN: governor of Surát, defeats the forces of Munim Khán and confines (1730) to become governor of Surát, 318; crucifies of, at Surát, 315; kills Mulla Muhammad Ali, 321; dies (1746), 330.
TARAPÁLA: minister of the first two Vághel chieftains and famous temple-builder, 199; accompanies Viradhavda in the expedition against the rulers of Vasthál; defeats Gungula, chief of Godhra, 291.
TARAPARA: Andhra of, 533.
TARINGA: Telugu, 534.
TARIGUN: 534.
TEMPLES: in Gujarat, of brick and wood up to ninth century, 79 and note 2.
TERRA: village granted, 130.
TERRITORIAL DIVISIONS: under the Vághels, their identification with the present, 12 and note 4.
TERRITORIAL LIMITS: of Gujarat under Muhammad, 207 and note 1, 208.
THAR: 221 note 1, 224 note 2. The Rev. Edward, chaplain to Sir T. Row (1817), 276.
THAR: 145.
THARAKAS: caste, 430 and note 13.
THAKURS: petty chieftains, 315 note 2. High caste men, 530 note 10. See Thakurá.
THALPIT: identified with Tamraliptaka, 535.
THAN: 180 note 2; fort in Kathiáwár, headquarters of the Káthi, stormed by Shajáat Khán (1692), 288.
THANA: town, burned by the Portuguese (1532) 347; captured by the English (1774), 401, 523, 524, 529, 531.
THANADÁNS: local officers, 210.
THAN AND PÁKAR: district, 538.
THATHUR: Captain, 444.
THANIS: fortified outposts, 210.
THIRONG: king of Tibet (803-816), 601.
THIRONGOTI: king of Tibet (876-905), 601.
THOM: apparently Great Lord, 497.
THOSPHILA: town, identification of, 539.
TUK: hill range, 456.
TIATYAN: Chastipara, 27, 540.
TIATHUR: modern Chander, 540.
TIBET: country, comes to acknowledge the overlordship of China (729), spreads its power to the Yangtsching valley (750), confederacy formed by the king of China with Indian chiefs and Arabs against it (787), 561.
TIGRE: river, 514.
TIGRARS: arrowmakers, 451.
TIRHUT: birthplace of Srigantha, 167, 436 note 1.
TIRIPAKHÁLA: town, 541.
TIRTHAKALPA: work, 178; written by Jisupre Bhauri, 183 note 1.
TIRTHAPAKAS: Jain saints, 431 note 8.
TIRUPANAYARA: near Koolin, 533.
TOT: Colonel, 81, 145, 186, 203 note 7.
TOTA GILLS: ready-money payment, 216, 217 and note 1.
TORAMÁRA: king (671), 79, 74-75; overthrows Bhojagupta, 136, 148, 465, 490.
TOWNS: of victory, built by Mahmud Khilji (1442) at Mandla, 254.
TRAKUTARA: era, 110; era (249-260), 313, 119, dynasty (250-450), 55-57.
TRAJAN: (166), 497.
TRAMBHAKARAVAR: lake, 453. See Talhi.
TRABOKHANA: country, 139.
TRAPPAGA: boat, 545.
TRÁYANGH: Pándya of, 534.
TRATIAS: terms of, 199-200.

- TREATY**: conditions of, between Singham and Lavasa-prasada, 200.
- TRETT**: of Gurjara genealogy, 114; of Bāshtra-kṣīa family, 121. See Genealogy.
- TRETAYUGA**: second cycle, 461.
- TRIVAKNA**: district, 111.
- TRIMBA**: Indian, 535.
- TRISHUVAKAPĀLA**: great grandson of Bhīma I. (1022-1064), and father of Kumārapāla, murdered by Akhilarāja, 187. Representative of Anahilavāḍa Śaśakṣa, 203.
- TRISHUVAKAPĀLAVARĀTI**: temple at Bāhadrā-pura, 156.
- TRIKADINA**: island, 542.
- TRIKUTA**: perhaps Junnat, 57, 58 note 1.
- TRIMBAK**: pond, 467.
- TRIMBAK**: Pandit, deputy of Khandevr Galkar at Ahmedābād; his intrigues with Fakir-nā-Senab, 329.
- TRIMBAKHEWAR MAHADEV**: shrine of, 454.
- TRIMBAKHI**: Dangle, appointed Samudhā of Ahmedābād; causes the assassination of Gangadhar Śaśakṣi (1815), 427; his escape from Thāna, 428.
- TRIMBAKRAV**: Dākhada, son and successor of Khandevr Dākhada (1720), 389; advances with an army to Cambay (1726), 395, 391; his jealousy of the interference of the Peshwa in Gujerāt affairs; intrigues of, against the Peshwa; intercourse of, with the Nizām; confederacy with Pīlāji, Kāntāji, and Udāji to rescue the Marāṭha rāja from the Brāhman minister; defeat of the allies by the Peshwa (1731) and death of, in battle, 312, 392-393.
- TRIPURA**: city, 57 note 4.
- TRIPURĀSTARA**: religious benefactions of, 205.
- TRIPURĀPRASĀDA**: Mahadeva's temple at Anahilavāḍa, 161; new temple of, 169.
- TRIPURĀSRI ŚĀKARĀDIPUTRACHANDRA**: lives of sixty-three Jain saints, compiled by Hemachandra, 193.
- TRUPINA**: Tirupattināra, 533.
- TURPAT-UL-KIRĀN**: ibo, 190, 538.
- TURULIK**: name borne by Jāma, 133.
- TU-LI-N'O-FO-TH**: Chinese name of Dhruva-pala Valsabhi king, 79.
- TURKA**: 189; advance of, 497, 507.
- TURUSHA**: Mahomedan army dispersed by Mularāja II. in childhood, 195 and note 4.
- TURUSIKAS**: 189. See Turka.
- TUSHARA**: Yavana governor of Aśoka in Surāshtra, 14.
- TUNDIS**: Katalundi, 246.
- U**
- UDA**: see Udaya, 172.
- UDAI PAYA**: Peshwa's general in Gujerāt (1727); is outmaneuvered by Pīlāji and Kāntāji; his retirement to Māla, 308; captures Māndu (1696), 332; in Surāshtra, 14.
- UDAIPIUR**: town (Metad), 532.
- UDALĪVĀRA**: temple, 172.
- UDAKRANA**: village, 182.
- UDAYA**: minister of Chaulukya king Karna and builder of the temple Udaya-Vardina, 170.
- UDAYACHANDRA**: one of Kumārapāla's leading Pandits, 190.
- UDAYADITYA**: inscription of, at Udepur, 164; Kumārapāla's inscription in the temple of, 185.
- UDAYAGIRI CAVE**: Gupta inscriptions at, 65-66.
- UDAYAMATI**: queen of Bhīma I., builds a step-well at Anahilavāḍa, 169; persuades her son Karna to marry Niyānalladevi, 171.
- UDAYANA**: Siddharāja's minister, helps Kumārapāla, 183; is appointed minister by Kumārapāla, 184; and is mortally wounded in the fight with the king of Surāshtra, 186.
- UDAYAPURA**: inscription of Udayāditya at, 164; Kumārapāla's inscriptions in the temple at, 185; grant to the god of, 187; 194 note 4. See Udepur.
- UDAYA VARĀNA**: temple at Karnavati, 170.
- UDAYASIMHADITYA**: Chohan king, captures Bhīmāl, 470.
- UDHUR**: 164. See Udayapura.
- UDHET**: Nicholas, English merchant (1611), 224 note 2, 449 and note 2.
- UGRASENA**: legendary Yādava chief of Dwarka, 9.
- UGJAIN**: 174; visited by Kumārapāla in his exile, 183; 513 note 9.
- ULUGH KHAN**: general (1297), 229; 512; 515.
- ULMĀRĪ**: widow of Khandevr Dākhada, goes to Gujerāt to avenge Pīlāji's death and marches upon Ahmedābād, 314, 328; intrigues of, against the Peshwa; recognises Dāmāji as her agent in Gujerāt (1730), 394; causes Bangaji to be set at liberty and reappoints him her agent in Gujerāt (1745), 329, 396; dies (1748), 332, 396.
- UMĀN**: Persian Gulf, 503; 523.
- UMAR ISMAEL KHATTĀN**: Khalifah (634-643), 506 and note 5, 513, 523.
- UMETA**: copperplate grant from, 113 note 6, 117.
- UMYĀRĪ**: identified with Umra, 150.
- UNDARAN**: apparently Vinchya mountain, 517.
- UPAKSĀGACCHA**: 469.
- UPARAVATA**: Virādihara's home, 201.
- UPPOX**: Colonel, special envoy deputed by the Calcutta Government to negotiate with ministers in Poona, 406.
- USHAVADĀTA**: Śaka viceroy (100-120), gifts of, 25-26.
- USMĀN**: governor of Bahrain and Persian Gulf, 505, 512, 523. Third Khalifah (643-655), 505 and note 5.
- UTRAH**: governor of Ilahab, 505 note 5.
- UTKARTINI**: ago, 103.
- UTTAMAPURUSHAS**: Jain saint, 451 note 3.
- UTTAMYĀR**: female demon, 455.
- UZAIN**: identified with Ujjain; expedition against, 100, 467.

- Vāṇa**: inscription of Śaṅkadevavarman at, 107.
Vāṇa: religious discrimination, 181.
VADAPADRETA: identified with *Varata*, 125.
VADAR: convention of, dissolved by the Bombay Council (1778), 407.
VADHIA: 349, 350.
VADHYA: 469. See *Vadhwā*.
VADHWA: capital of the Chapa dynasty, 125, 137; 180 note 2; 186.
VADHWA: town, ancient names of, 6, developed by Antāḥ Bhūṭar, again by Kāntāḥ, burnt (1725), 207; 447; 546.
VADWA: local name, 168 note 2.
VAGHAVA: see *Vihāḍa*.
VAGHACHONDA: modern *Vāghoda*, 125.
VAGHIA: principality of, 465; dynasty (1290-1296), 526.
VAGHIA HERO: see *Vira Vāghia*.
VAGHIA: branch of the Chaulukyas of *Amāhāvāḍa*, help the last Chaulukya king and succeed him, 190-197; their rule and genealogy, 198-204.
VAGHIA: 125.
VAGIA: 129 and note 3.
VAGIRASIMHA: 471.
VAGIRMA: Chāvala king, 161, 162.
VAGIA: 230. See *Baharia*.
VAGIRMAKAR GAVIRMAKAR: Mr. Nāḍ Dvāḍ of Bhāṅṅar; his collection of articles found in *Valahli*, 75 note 1.
VAGIRMAKAR: fifty-ninth the last victory of Gujārāt appointed by the imperial court, 322.
VALA: *Valahli*, 506.
VALAH: probably *Gujara*, 4, 5 note 1; capital of *Valahli* dynasty, identified with *Valah*, 76 and note 1; history, 78-700; year, 291; 466, 468; *Mihir* or *Gurjara* conquest of (466), 470; its great sea port and capital overthrown, 506; 524; 525.
VALAH: *Bahara* or *Rāṅṅar* king, 510.
VALAHNA: province mentioned by *Jinapradha*, 491, 78.
VALAH: *Valahli*, mentioned by *Jinapradha*, 491, 78.
VALER: modern name of *Valahli*, town, its site examined (1872), 76 and note.
VALHAR: head of the *Dakhan Rāṅṅar*, 127, 425.
VALHARMA: is installed by his father *Chāṅṅar*, marches against *Māra*, dies of small-pox, 162.
VALI: De la, Italian traveller at *Māra* (1623), 291.
VANAVATHALI: modern *Vanthali*, 140.
VANAR: Pandit in *Kumārāpā*'s court, inside *Heuchandha*, loses his annuity, 193.
VANAR: *Chāṅṅar* king (720-780), born and bred in forest, founded *Amāhāvāḍa*; his installation, his image, his successors, 160, 161-162, 163, 165; 512.
VAN-DINDON: grant of *Gosāl III.* at, 123; 466, 468.
VANOA: modern *Bengal*, 124, 468.
VANKAR: 295.
VANKI: creek near *Baleḍ*, 423.
VANKI: 123, 400 *Vanki*.
VANKI: forest pool, 424.
VANTA: share, 213.
VANTHIA: share, 212.
VANTHIA: 126; *Chandamā* title at, 129; capital of *Gurjara*, 160; its rulers killed by *Vindhivāḍa Vāghia*, 207, 208.
VANTHIA: village, 150.
VANARA: wild bear coin, 210 note 2; Bear god, 461.
VANARA MITHA: *Varanasi*, 533, 534, 540.
VANARASIMHA: modern *Vanthar*, 175.
VANARASIMHA: see *Vanthar*.
VANARASIMHA: modern *Vanthar*, 125.
VANARA: wild tribe, 534.
VANARASIMHA: police subordinates, 212.
VANARASIMHA: traveller (1603-1608), 220 note 2.
VANAR: 144, 174 note 1. See *Bahāṅṅar*.
VANARASIMHA: see *Bahāṅṅar*.
VANARA: camp, 112.
VANARASIMHA: king of *Nepāl*, 94.
VANARASIMHA: sage, 461.
VANARASIMHA: 140 note 2.
VANARASIMHA: 341.
VANARASIMHA: *Lavangapada*'s minister builds magnificent Jain temple, 199 and note 2, 490, 501, 502 and note 1.
VANARASIMHA: life of *Vanthar* by *Samudra*, 109.
VANARASIMHA: *Kashan* king (4 D. 123-150), 57.
VANARASIMHA: *Chohan* king (720), 157.
VANARASIMHA: Jain temple, 451 note 2.
VANARASIMHA: probably *Rasoda*, 153.
VANARASIMHA: 469, 468; *Gurjara* king, his success in *Bengal*, 527.
VANARASIMHA: manager of *Triparashakti*-*śāḍa* temple, 161.
VANARASIMHA: *Vanthi*'s *Vanthali*, 132.
VANARASIMHA: inscription at, 81, 176, 203, 204, 221, 547.
VANARASIMHA: of *Rājapā*, 295.
VANARASIMHA: fort in *Shāḍa*, taken by the English (1774), 401.
VANARASIMHA: list of kings, 149 and note 2, 162, 164, 165, 166, 167, 170, 171, 180, 204.
VIPRA-SALA: Sanskrit college, 453 note 1.
VIPRA: *Bengal* near *Shāḍa*, 65, 66.
VIPRA-SALA: ancestors of north-Kashan *Sāḍa*, 120.
VIPRA-SALA: king of *Ajanta*, 157.
VIPRA-SALA: *Chāḍa* king (626-775), 110.
VIPRA-SALA: identified with *Rājapā* near *Parantij*, 108, 110.
VIPRA-SALA: *Chāḍa* prince, his grant, 105, 110, 112.
VIPRA-SALA: *Om* *Kandha* king, 172 note 3.
VIPRA-SALA: fifteenth *Kashan* (228-249), coins of, 46-47, 49.
VIPRA-SALA: *Ajanta*'s donkey-keeper and muller, 195.
VIPRA-SALA: era, 204.
VIPRA-SALA: *Dakhan Chāḍa* king, 56;

Satyādrāja, Chālukya king (689), 107, 110, 111, 112; gives Lata to his brother Jayasinh, 117.
VIKRĀDĪTĪ: name of Chandragupta II., 65.
VIKRĀMASĪMHA: Paramāra king of Chandravati, joins the king of Kumbhara and is destroyed by Kumārāpala, 185, 188.
VILLAGE OFFICERS: Mughal, in Gujarat, 212.
VIMALA: general of Bhima I., subdues Bhādhuka chief of A'bo and builds on A'bo Jain temples, 169.
VIMALAVARĀNI: group of Jain temples on A'bo, 169 and note 1.
VINAYĀDĪTĪ: Chālukya king, 36, 110, his inscriptions, 111.
VINAYĀDĪTĪYA MANGALARĀJA: copperplate found at Bahār of, 108.
VIRCHY: 542.
VIRDI: mountain, northern limit of Dakhā I.'s Gurjara kingdom, 115; its king, 163; southern boundary of Kumārāpala's kingdom, 189; 468; 537.
VIRDI: the Virdiyas, 530.
VIRĀDHAN: thirteenth Kshatrapa (A.D. 288-288), coins of, 46.
VIRĀDHĀVALA: Vāghela king (1233-1238), 179, 198, 197; his father abdicates in his favour; his expeditions against Vantali, Bhadravara, and Godhra, and his treaty with the Sultan of Delhi, 200-201, 206.
VIRĀHĀNI: Jain nun, brings up Vanarāja, 181.
VIRMA VISALA: see Visaladeva.
VIRĀHĀNI: Munda lake at, 189 note 2; disturbance at (1731), 314; Sher Khān Pāth appointed governor of, 315; expulsion of the Marāthās from, by Bhāy Singh, 323; besieged by the Marāthās; surrendered by Bhāy Singh, 323, 324; given by Rangaji to the Musalmāns, 328, 618, 618.
VIRMA: 180 note 2, 206.
VIRMA VĀGHĒLA: 178 and note 6. See Virma-
 vala.
VISALĀDEVA: Vāghela king (1243-1261), defeats his brother and Tribhuvānapāla, Solanki; refuses to acknowledge an overlord and lessens the miseries of a three years' famine, 203, 206. Ruler of Chandravati, 204.
VISALANAGARA: fortifications of, repaired by Visaladeva, 203.
VISHNU: a god, 461.
VISHNUPĀLA: chief, 65.
VISHOPAKA: 169.
VISHVARĀHMA: divine architect, 461, 462.
VISHVAMĪTRA: sage, 461.
VISHVAKṢA: twentieth Kshatrapa (294-300), coins of, 48-49.
VISHVAKṢA: eighteenth Kshatrapa (272-272), coins of, 47.
VISHVĀRĀHMA: father of Grahāri, 139.
VISHVĀRĀHMA: title of Jayasinh I., Gurjara ruler, 115; Jain Tirthankara, 126.
VISHAL DĒVĀJĪ: Gokwar's officer, captures Mallharāy Galkwar (1803), 413; appointed Subha in Kāthiāvāḍa, 424.
VITHAL SHIVDEV: lieutenant of Raghnāth-rāy in Gujarat, settles peace with Jawān Mard Khān (1753), 337.

VOD: exactness, 216, 227 and note 1.
VRIJJA: 456 note 1.
VYĀGHRAVALI: Vāghela, the house of Vāgh-
 148, 198.
VYĀGHRADEVA: Gurjara king, 467.
VYĀGHRAHĀJA: Chāpa king, 188 note 1.
VYĀGHRAHĀJA: perhaps Vāgha, 129 and note 2.

W
WAGER: outbreak of (1852), 446.
WAGHIA: creator, 451.
WAGHIA: village, 445.
WAGHIAHĀJA: news-writer, 314.
WALKER: Major, sent by Governor Darnley to help Gerdān's party at Baroda, 412; resident at Baroda, 413, 414; Colonel, settles the Kāthiāvāḍa tribute question, 416, 422, 423.
WALLACE: Colonel, political agent of Rewa Kāthiā, 446.
WARRER: 111. See Ballian.
WATHER: Mr., 120.
WATSON: Colonel, 145, 468.
WATSON: land grants, 212; land held on religious tenures by Hindus, confiscation of, by an order of Aurangzib between 1671 and 1674, 285.
WELSH: Lieut., takes the forts of Parnara, Indergud, and Bagrada (1780), 409.
WEST: the late Colonel, 110.
WEST NARIN: connected with south Gujarat under the Chālukya rule, 110.
WHITE HUN: foreigners 142-145; 459; in north Sinth and south Panjāb, 496; defeat of, by Samanians and Turks (550-600), 497; settle in Yunnan with Tibetans and Kharatens, 501. See Hūnas.
WILSON: 438 and note 2.
WILSON: 541.
WILSON: Dr. John, 145.


X
XOANA: town, 535.
XORAKH: 539.

Y
YACHI: capital of Kārajāng or Yunnan (1590), 501, 504.
YADAVA KINGDOM: at Dwārakā, establishment of, 4.
YADAVAS: 521.
YAJÑANĀTH: Brahman, 461.
YAJÑANĀTH: Andhra king, 36.
YAJÑANĀTH: 531.
YAGHRA: king, 434, 456 and note 1; statue of, described, 456-457; high day of, 456, 463.
YAGHRA: river, 523.
YAGHRAHĀJA: fourteenth Kshatrapa (A.D. 229), coins of, 46.
YAGHRAHĀJA: twenty-second Kshatrapa (A.D. 320), coins of, 49.
YAGHRAHĀJA: minor son of Trimbhakar Dakhde made Samant by Rājprav Peshwa (1731), 293, 396.
YAGHRAHĀJA: king of Māwa, 76, 77; defeats Hūna, 143; defeats Mihirakula the famous White Hun conqueror at Kāmr (500), 466.

- YASODHARVALE : Kumārapala's victory, 167 ; set on his uncle's throne by Kumārapala, 169.
 YASOTARMAK : king of Malwa, 160, 172, 173, 174 ; his war with Śāldharsāja, is taken prisoner and kept in a cage, 177-178, 496.
 YAUDHERYA : Kāusthya tribe, 19 and note 3, 66-67, 64 and note 3, 128.
 YAVANA : 12 ; people, 119 ; language, 100, 456 note 1 ; migration of, to Indo-China (160), 499.
 YAVANĀYA : Yavana prince of Pārlipar, 119.
 YAVANI : handmaids, of the Indian drama, 545.
 YAYATI : king, son of Nahmā, asks boon from the Sun, 460 and note 2.
 YEMEN : country, 535.
 YĪSHODHANMMAN : 143. See Yaśodharman.
 YĪTA-I-LI-TO : 145.
 YĪ-THA : White Huns, 75, 142, 145. See Ye-ta-i-li-to.
 YODA : Brāhman dance, 136.
 YOGARAJA : Anahilavāja chief (805-841), 124 ; mounts funeral pyre, 124, 153.
 YOGALĪTHA : work compiled by Hemachandra, 129.
 YOGĪSVARA : writer of Govind's Kāvī grant, 125.
 YOJANA : three miles, 510, 521.
 YU-AN-YU-AN : 144.
 YUCHI : foreign tribe, 142, 456 note 1 ; 500. See Kedaritod.
 YUHUNI : see 144. See Yuchi.
 YUO : cycles, 461.
 YUKĪTUMISA : loose temple, 193.
 YUER : Sir H., 499, 504, 537, 538, 539, 540.
 YUNNAN : settlement in, of Thaisang and his successor Thā-tsang-4, 501.
 ZAFAR KHĀN : Gujarāt governor (1371), 230, 231, (1391-1408), 232, 234 ; confined by his son Tālā Khān at Anāval, 512.
 ZAKĀT : a tax, 215 note 1.
 ZAKINDĀNS : landholders, 213, 216 note 1 ; three classes of, 226.
 ZAMOTIKA : father of Khatrapa Chastana, 51.
 ZARMANORHĒGAS : Zarmankaryas at Athens, 230.
 ZĀROGHĒRI : town, 540.
 ZIA-UD-DIN BAKRI : annalist of Mahammad Taghān's reign and author of *Tārkh-i-Firuz Shāhī* (1395), 512, 514, 517, 518.
 ZIMMIS : infidels, 213.
 ZŌKALĒS : King Za Sāgal or Za Asgal or Za Kākalā, 543.
 ZUNFĪYAR BEG : Mughal leader, is defeated by the Marāthās (1716), 528.









ps 15 x 10 1/2

1028/25

Central Archaeological Library,
NEW DELHI

Call No. R910.3085432G/-17 315

G. B. P. / H. G.

Author—

Title— Hist. of Gujarat-Bombay
Gast. Vol. 1. Pt. 1.

Borrower No.	Date of Issue	Date of Return
Dr. Desai	17.3.65	1/1/65

"A book that is shut is but a block"

CENTRAL ARCHAEOLOGICAL LIBRARY
GOVT. OF INDIA
Department of Archaeology
NEW DELHI.

Please help us to keep the book
clean and moving.